BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR  
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  

IN THE MATTER OF  
Drummond Company, Inc.  
Jefferson County, Alabama  

PETITION FOR OBJECTION  

ABC Coke Facility  
Title V Major Source Operating Permit  
No. 4-07-0001-03  

Issued by Jefferson County  
Department of Health  

Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act"), 42 U.S.C. § 7661d(b)(2), and 40 C.F.R. § 70.8(d), GASP, an Alabama non-profit membership corporation, petitions the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to object to the Title V Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 issued by the Jefferson County Department of Health to Drummond Company, Inc. for operation of the ABC Coke Facility. As required by these cited provisions, Petitioner is providing this Petition to the EPA Administrator, the Jefferson County Department of Health, and Drummond Company, Inc. Petitioner is also providing this Petition to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 4.

EPA must object to the Permit because it is not in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Specifically, the Permit is not in compliance with the CAA in the following respects, which will be discussed in detail below. First, the permit does not comply with the provisions of Alabama’s approved State Implementation Plan. Second, the Permit fails to assure compliance with and practical enforceability of the emission limits applicable to the ABC Coke Facility.
BACKGROUND

Drummond Company, Inc. applied to the Jefferson County Department of Health for a Major Source Operating Permit on or about May 15, 2013. After a public notice and comment period, the Jefferson Department of Health submitted proposed Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to EPA Region 4 for review on or about September 18, 2014.

During the public comment period on the draft Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03, GASP timely submitted written comments to the Jefferson County Department of Health on April 18, 2014. (Exhibit A). GASP raised all issues in this Petition in its comments to the Jefferson County Department of Health.

EPA received the proposed Title V Permit from the Jefferson County Department of Health on September 18, 2014. EPA’s 45-day review period ended on August 4, 2014. EPA did not object to the proposed Permit during the review period, despite a request dated Jul 23, 2014 from GASP that it do so. The Jefferson County Department of Health issued Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to Drummond Company, Inc. on August 11, 2014. (Exhibit B).

This Petition is timely filed since Petitioners submitted it within 60 days following the end of EPA’s 45-day review period (October 3, 2014) as required by CAA §505(b)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7661d(b)(2).
BASES FOR OBJECTION

A. General Permit Condition 14 does not assure compliance with particulate emission requirements of SIP

40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a)(1) mandates that a Title V permit “assure compliance with all applicable requirements.” Applicable requirements include emission limitations on particulates necessary to achieve ambient air quality standards for PM10 and PM2.5. General Permit Condition 14 of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with Part 6.2 of the Jefferson County Air Pollution Control Rules and Regulations ("Fugitive Dust") or Ala. Admin. Code r. 335-3-4-.02 ("Fugitive Dust and Fugitive Emissions"). The latter rule is part of the Alabama State Implementation Plan to achieve ambient air quality standards for PM10 and PM2.5. General Permit Condition 14 does not assure compliance with emission limitations on particulates because it is unconstitutionally vague and unconstitutionally restrictive. In Ross Neely Express, Inc. v. Alabama Department of Environmental Management, 437 So.2d 82 ( Ala. 1983), the Alabama Supreme Court struck down a nearly identical State rule governing fugitive dust. The Court held that the requirement to take “reasonable” precautions to prevent particulate matter from becoming airborne was unconstitutionally vague and the prohibition against causing the discharge of visible fugitive dust emissions beyond the lot line was unreasonably and unconstitutionally restrictive. See Comments of GASP on Proposed Reissuance of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to ABC Coke, a Division of Drummond Company, Inc. (Apr 18, 2014) at 39-40 (Exhibit A) (incorporated herein by reference). While additional “reasonable” precautions have been identified in the final Permit, Condition 14 continues to include the unenforceable prohibition against “the discharge of visible
fugitive dust emissions beyond the lot line of the property on which the emissions originate.”

Thus, General Permit Condition 14 of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with applicable requirements.

B. Permit requirements do not assure compliance with prohibition against “air pollution” (toxic air pollutants, particulates, odors) in SIP

40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a)(1) mandates that a Title V permit “assure compliance with all applicable requirements.” Applicable requirements include provisions of the Alabama State Implementation Plan, specifically Ala. Admin. Code r. 335-3-1-.08 (“Prohibition of Air Pollution”). Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with r. 335-3-1-.08 because it allows Drummond Company, Inc. to permit or cause the emission of toxic air pollutants in such quantities and duration as are, or tend to be, injurious to human health; to permit or cause the emission of particulates in such quantities and duration as are, or tend to be, injurious to welfare or would interfere with the enjoyment of life or property, to permit or cause the emission of odors which are unpleasant to persons or which tend to lessen human food and water intake, interfere with sleep, upset appetite, produce irritation of the upper respiratory tract, or cause symptoms or nausea, or which by their inherent chemical or physical nature or method or processing are, or may be, detrimental or dangerous to health. See Comments of GASP on Proposed Reissuance of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to ABC Coke, a Division of Drummond Company, Inc. (Apr 18, 2014) at 28-39 (Exhibit A) (incorporated herein by reference). The evidence demonstrates that existing pollution controls do not eliminate “air pollution,” i.e., toxics pollutants in such quantities and duration as are, or tend to be, injurious to human health, particulates in such quantities and duration as are, or tend to be,
injurious to welfare or would interfere with the enjoyment of life or property, and odors which are unpleasant to persons or which tend to lessen human food and water intake, interfere with sleep, upset appetite, produce irritation of the upper respiratory tract, or cause symptoms or nausea, or which by their inherent chemical or physical nature or method or processing are, or may be, detrimental or dangerous to health. Thus, Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements.

C. General Permit Condition 45 does not assure compliance with prohibition against “air pollution” (odors) in SIP

40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a)(1) mandates that a Title V permit “assure compliance with all applicable requirements.” Applicable requirements include provisions of the Alabama State Implementation Plan, specifically Ala. Admin. Code r. 335-3-1-.08 (“Prohibition of Air Pollution,” including odors). General Permit Condition 45 (Abatement of Obnoxious Odors) in Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with r. 335-3-1-.08 because it allows ABC Coke to permit or cause the emission of unlawful odors when such odors have not been characterized as “obnoxious” by a Department inspector or when the Health Officer has not determined that such odors may be abated by measures that are “technically and economically feasible” for the company to implement. Thus, General Permit Condition 45 of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements. See Comments of GASP on Proposed Reissuance of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to ABC Coke, a Division of Drummond Company, Inc. (Apr 18, 2014) at 40-41 (Exhibit A) (incorporated herein by reference). In addition, the requirements of General Permit Condition 45 are vague and unenforceable.
D. Permit lacks monitoring and reporting requirements sufficient to determine compliance with prohibition against “air pollution” (toxic air pollutants)

40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a)(1) mandates that a Title V permit “assure compliance with all applicable requirements.” Applicable requirements include provisions of the Alabama State Implementation Plan, specifically Ala. Admin. Code r. 335-3-1-.08 (“Prohibition of Air Pollution”). Prohibited air pollution includes the emission of toxic air pollutants in such quantities and duration as are, or tend to be, injurious to human health. Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 lacks monitoring and reporting requirements sufficient for the public and government regulators to determine whether the ABC Coke facility is in compliance with r. 335-3-1-.08 because it fails to require the measurement of air toxics that are emitted by the ABC Coke facility and the measurement of air toxics to which the public are exposed. See Comments of GASP on Proposed Reissuance of Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 to ABC Coke, a Division of Drummond Company, Inc. (Apr 18, 2014) at 28-34; 41-42 (Exhibit A) (incorporated herein by reference). Thus, Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03 does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, GASP requests that EPA issue an objection to Major Source Operating Permit No. 4-07-0001-03.
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Washington, D.C. 20004
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Environmental Protection Agency
Atlanta Federal Center
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Atlanta, GA 30303-3104

Mr. Bruce C. Webster
Drummond Company, Inc. (Registered Agent)
1000 Urban Center Drive, Suite 300
Birmingham, AL 35242

Dr. Mark E. Wilson, Health Officer
Jefferson County Department of Health
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Birmingham, AL 35233

Done this 3rd day of October, 2014.

David A. Ludder