AX-15-001-2256

8/3 20 19 191

## Law Office of Robert Ukeiley

255 Mountain Meadows Road Boulder, CO 80302 et al. 303.442.4033

Robert Ukeiley rukeiley@igc.org

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

July 27, 2015

Gina McCarthy Administrator United States Environmental Protection Agency Ariel Rios Building 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20460

Re: Clean Air Act Notice of Intent to Sue pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7604(b)(2) for failure to take final action under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4) and failure to make findings of failure to submit under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B) for 2006 PM2.5 NAAOS nonattainment areas state implementation plans

Dear Administrator McCarthy,

On behalf of the Center for Biological Diversity, Center for Environmental Health, and Neighbors for Clean Air ("Environmental Groups"), I am writing to inform you that the Environmental Groups intend to file suit against you for "a failure of the Administrator [of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA")] to perform any act or duty under this chapter which is not discretionary with the Administrator." 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2). Specifically, under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA must issue a finding of failure to submit nonattainment area state implementation plan (SIP) submittal elements, and publish notice of that action in the Federal Register for the 2006 particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM2.5) national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). This duty is required for the nonattainment areas and elements listed in Table 1. Additionally, under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4), EPA must take final action, and publish notice of that action in the Federal Register, on SIP submittals listed in Table 2. As explained in more detail below, EPA has failed to perform these mandatory duties.

EPA should remedy its violation of these mandatory duties to better protect the public and native ecosystems from PM2.5's harmful effects. PM2.5 is "produced chiefly by combustion processes and by atmospheric reactions of various gaseous pollutants," thus

"[s]ources of fine particles include . . . motor vehicles, power generation, combustion sources at industrial facilities, and residential fuel burning." 71 Fed. Reg. 61,144, 61,146 (Oct. 17, 2006). The effects of PM2.5 on humans are profound. For example, long-term exposure has been associated "with an array of health effects, notably premature mortality, increased respiratory symptoms and illnesses (*e.g.* bronchitis and cough in children), and reduced lung function." 62 Fed. Reg. 38,652, 38,668 (July 18, 1997).

PM2.5 also adversely impacts wildlife. EPA has explained "a number of animal toxicologic . . . studies had reported health effects associations with high concentrations of numerous fine particle components[.]" 71 Fed. Reg. 2,620, 2,643 - 2644 (Jan. 17, 2006). PM2.5 also causes direct foliar injury to vegetation. Id. at 2,682. As to broader ecosystem impacts, EPA has explained that the nitrogen and sulfur "containing components of PM have been associated with a broad spectrum of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem impacts that result from either the nutrient or acidifying characteristics of the deposited compounds. Id. These impacts include nitrogen saturation which "causes 1) Decreased productivity, increased mortality, and/or shifts in terrestrial plant community composition, often leading to decreased biodiversity in many natural habitats wherever atmospheric [reactive nitrogen] deposition increases significantly and critical thresholds are exceeded; (2) leaching of excess nitrate and associated base cations from terrestrial soils into streams, lakes and rivers and mobilization of soil aluminum; and (3) alteration of ecosystem processes such as nutrient and energy cycles through changes in the functioning and species composition of beneficial soil organisms (Galloway and Cowling 2002)." Id. EPA has described this impacts on terrestrial ecosystems as "profound and adverse[.]" Id. EPA has also determined that PM2.5 adversely impacts aquatic ecosystems via excess nutrient inputs and acid and acidifying deposition. 71 Fed. Reg. at 2,682 - 2,683. "Data from existing deposition networks in the U.S. demonstrate that N and S compounds are being deposited in amounts known to be sufficient to affect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems over time." 71 Fed. Reg. at 2,683.

Moreover, PM2.5 adversely affects the aesthetics of our natural surroundings. For example, Regional haze is caused in part by particulates in the air scattering sunlight. EPA, Haze- How Air Pollution Affects the View (available at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/t1/fr\_notices/haze.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/t1/fr\_notices/haze.pdf</a>). It is vital that EPA take the required action in order to strengthen protection of public health and welfare against PM2.5.

## I. FAILURE TO MAKE FINDING OF FAILURE TO SUBMIT

EPA is required to determine whether a state implementation plan (SIP) submittal is administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). If, six months after a submittal is due, a state has failed to submit any required SIP, there is no submittal that may be deemed administratively complete, and EPA must make a determination stating that the state failed to submit the required state implementation plan. *Id.* This is referred to as a "finding of failure to submit."

EPA nonattainment designations for the 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS were effective no later than December 14, 2009. see 74 Fed. Reg. 58,688 (Nov. 13, 2009). EPA designated all of the

areas listed in Table 1 nonattainment for the 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS. 74 Fed. Reg. at 58,696. All elements of the nonattainment SIP were due by no later than December 31, 2014. See http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/pm-2.5\_\_2006\_en.html. See also 79 Fed. Reg. 31,566 (June 2, 2014). Thus, EPA has a mandatory duty to make a completeness finding under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B) by no later than July 1, 2015. The States in Table 1 have failed to submit nonattainment SIPs for the areas and elements listed in Table 1 as of the date of this letter. Yet, EPA has failed to issue a finding of failure to submit, as required under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B), regarding the nonattainment area SIPs for the areas and elements listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1

AREA & ELEMENT(S)	STATE	SUBMITTAL DEADLINE
West Central Pinal, AZ - NNSR	Arizona	12/31/2014
Imperial County – NNSR, Contingency Measures, Attainment Demonstration, RACM/RACT Subpart 4, RFP	California	12/31/2014
Sacramento – El Dorado, Placer and Yolo-Solano - NNSR	California	12/31/2014
Logan – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Idaho	12/31/2014
Klamath Falls – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Oregon	12/31/2014
Oakridge – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Oregon	12/31/2014
Logan – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Utah	12/31/2014
Provo – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Utah	12/31/2014
Salt Lake City – RACM/RACT Subpart 4	Utah	12/31/2014

Without a submittal addressing the nonattainment SIP elements, the minimum criteria cannot be met for the States in Table 1 to submit a state implementation plan addressing the nonattainment requirement, and EPA must issue a finding of failure to submit. EPA is in violation of this mandatory duty.

## II. FAILURE TO APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE IN WHOLE OR PART

The Clean Air Act also requires that if, six months after a state submits a SIP submittal, EPA has not made the completeness finding and has not found the submittal to be incomplete, the submittal is deemed administratively complete by operation of law. 42 U.S.C. §

7410(k)(1)(B). EPA must take final action on an administratively complete submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part within 12 months of the completeness finding. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).

For the 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS NA SIP, states have submitted elements which were deemed complete by the date in the completion date column of Table 2. Thus, the due date for EPA to take final action on these submittals by approving or disapproving them in whole or part passed on the date in the final action due date column. However, EPA has not taken final action on these submittals as of the date of this letter. Thus, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty in 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).

TABLE 2

AREA	ELEMENT(S)	COMPLETION DATE	FINAL ACTION DUE DATE
Logan – ID/UT	Attainment Demonstration, Contingency Measures	6/19/2013	6/19/2014
Klamath Falls, OR	Attainment Demonstration, Contingency Measures, RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/17/2013	6/17/2014
Oakridge, OR	Attainment Demonstration, Contingency Measures, RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/20/2013	6/20/2014
Provo, UT	Attainment Demonstration, Contingency Measures, RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/16/2014	6/16/2015
Salt Lake City, UT	Attainment Demonstration, Contingency Measures, RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/16/2014	6/16/2015
Nogales, AZ	NNSR	4/29/2013	4/29/2014
Chico, CA	NNSR	4/9/2013	4/9/2014

Sacramento [Sacramento], CA	NNSR	3/26/2013	4/26/2014
San Francisco Bay Area, CA	NNSR	6/26/2013	6/26/2014
Liberty-Clairton, PA	NNSR	7/26/2013	7/26/2014
Logan, UT	NNSR	2/23/2014	2/23/2015
Provo, UT	NNSR	2/23/2014	2/23/2015
Salt Lake City, UT	NNSR	2/23/2014	2/23/2015
Logan, ID	RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/19/2013	6/19/2014
Logan, UT	RACM/RACT Subpart 1, RFP	6/17/2013	6/17/2014

As required by 40 C.F.R. § 54.3, the persons providing this notice are:

The Center for Biological Diversity 1212 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, CA. 94612 Attn: Jonathan Evans

Tel: (510) 844-7100 x318

Center for Environmental Health 2201 Broadway, #302 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 655-3900

Neighbors for Clean Air P.O. Box 10544 Portland, OR 97296

While EPA regulations require this information, please direct all correspondences and communications regarding this matter to the undersigned counsel.

The Environmental Groups and their counsel would prefer to resolve this matter without the need for litigation. Therefore, we look forward to EPA coming into compliance within 60 days. If you do not do so, however, we will have to file a complaint.

Sincerely,

Robert Ukeiley

Counsel for Center for Biological Diversity, Center for Environmental Health, and

Neighbors for Clean Air