ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 403 and 420

Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category; Effluent Limitation Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards; and New Source Performance Standards; and General Pretreatment Regulations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed regulation.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes modifications to the regulation which limits effluent discharges to waters of the United States and the introduction of pollutants into publicly owned treatment works from facilities engaged in manufacturing iron and steel. EPA agreed to propose these modifications in a settlement agreement which resolved the various lawsuits brought against EPA by the steel industry and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., challenging the final iron and steel industry regulation promulgated by EPA on May 27, 1982, 47 FR 23258.

The proposed modifications include: (1) An amendment to the "water bubble" rule (an alternate effluent limitations policy, under which dischargers with multiple outfalls may discharge greater amounts of pollutants from outfalls where treatment costs are high in exchange for an equivalent decrease from outfalls at the same plant where abatement is less expensive); (2) certain modifications of the effluent limitations for "best practicable control technology currently available" (BPT); "best available technology economically achievable" (BAT); "best conventional pollutant control technology" (BCT); and, "new source performance standards" (NSPS) for direct discharges; (3) a provision of the final steel industry regulation to establish Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available for the iron and steel industry regulation, which limits effluent discharges to waters of the United States and the introduction of pollutants into publicly owned treatment works from facilities engaged in manufacturing iron and steel; (4) an amendment to the General Pretreatment Regulation, § 403.6(c) which would allow reclassification of non-contact cooling waters contaminated with significant quantities of pollutants from "dilute" to "unregulated" for purposes of the combined waste stream formula contained in 40 CFR 403.6(e).

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be submitted on or before November 14, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Mr. Ernst P. Hall, Effluent Guidelines Division (WH-552), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Attention EGD Docket Clerk. Proposed Iron and Steel Rules (PSNS) for the iron and steel manufacturing point source category (steel industry). 46 FR 1858. EPA published the final steel industry regulation on May 27, 1982, 47 FR 23258. The preamble to the final steel industry regulation describes the history of the rulemaking action.

B. Challenges to the Prior Regulation

After publication of the steel industry regulation, certain members of the steel industry, the American Iron and Steel Institute, and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., filed petitions to review the regulation. These challenges were consolidated into one lawsuit by the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. (National Steel Corp. v. EPA, No. 82-3225 and Consolidated Cases).

C. Settlement Agreement

(1) Agreement to Modifications and Changes. On February 24, 1983, the parties in the consolidated lawsuits entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement which resolved all issues related to the steel industry regulation raised by the petitioners. As a result of that settlement agreement, the United States Court of Appeals issued an order on March 9, 1982 which stayed briefing in the lawsuits. In the settlement agreement, EPA agreed to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking and to solicit comments regarding certain modifications to the final steel industry regulation. In addition, EPA agreed to publish proposed additions to the preamble to the regulation. If, after EPA has taken final action under the settlement agreement, each individual provision of the final steel industry regulation and each addition to the preamble is substantially the same as, and does not alter the meaning of, language set forth in the settlement agreement, the petitioners will dismiss the various lawsuits challenging the final steel industry regulation.

EPA also agreed to take final action on a proposed amendment to the general pretreatment regulations (40 CFR Part 403) which would allow reclassification of non-contact cooling waters contaminated with significant quantities pollutants from "dilute" to "unregulated" for purposes of the...
combined waste stream formula to 40 CFR 403.6(e).

(2) Stay of Certain Effluent Limitations. As part of the Settlement Agreement, the parties jointly requested the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit in National Steel Corp. v. EPA, to stay the effectiveness of certain sections of 40 CFR Part 420 pending final action by EPA on each respective modification or addition. Copies of the settlement agreement were promptly sent to EPA Regional Offices and State NPDES permit-issuing authorities after it was executed. On March 9, 1983, the Court entered an order staying those sections of the regulation promulgated on May 27, 1982 which EPA is proposing to amend.

All limitations and standards contained in the final steel industry regulation published in May 27, 1982 which are not specifically listed in the attached proposed regulation are not stayed by the order entered by the court. EPA is not proposing to delete or modify any of those limitations and standards in this notice.

III. Proposed Modifications to the Iron and Steel Manufacturing Point Source Category Regulation

The following are the changes to the steel industry regulation EPA is proposing:

A. Section 420.03 Alternative Effluent Limitations (Water Bubble)

The proposed amendments to the water bubble rule for the iron and steel manufacturing point source category regulation provide that the alternative effluent limitations established under the water bubble rule must result in a decrease in the discharge of traded pollutants from the amount allowed by the generally applicable limitations. The water bubble rule established by the final regulation published on May 27, 1982, provided that there could be no increase in the discharge of pollutants beyond that allowed by the generally applicable limitations. The preamble amendments presented in Section V of this notice describes the proposed revisions to the water bubble rule.

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

As part of the settlement, EPA is proposing to amend its bubble rule for the steel industry. As originally promulgated, the rule provided that a discharger could qualify for alternative effluent limitations as long as its discharge from a combination of outfalls met certain requirements (water quality standards and restrictions and would not exceed the total mass of each pollutant otherwise allowed under the regulation.

Under the revised rule being proposed today, a discharger would have to meet the same requirements and restrictions, but would qualify for alternative effluent limitations only if it achieves a net reduction from the total mass of each traded pollutant.

The amended regulation provides that the permit-issuing authority must determine an "appropriate net reduction amount" in each case. In making that determination, it is intended that the permit writer will examine historical discharge levels and seek to achieve those reductions that are attainable at a facility through good engineering practices, improved operations and supervision of existing treatment systems or other feasible modifications, e.g., non-process flow segregation or chemical addition, if they can be achieved without requiring significant additional expenditures. It is intended that in reviewing opportunities for appropriate reductions, the permit writer will require only those measures which result in non-trivial (substantial) effluent reductions and which will not require significant additional expenditures.

The minimum net reduction in all cases for each pollutant traded is to be the amount specified in the regulation. The amount is expressed in terms of percentages of the amount by which a discharger proposes to exceed the otherwise applicable effluent limitations established in this regulation. The Agency proposes approximately 15 percent for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Oil and Grease (O&G) and approximately 10 percent for all other traded pollutants.

In the simplest case, for example, a discharger might propose to exceed the allowable limitation for TSS on Outfall A by 100 pounds and then make up the amount on Outfall B by reducing its allowable discharge by 100 pounds. The net reduction provision would require that, at a minimum, the allowable discharge from Outfall B (or any other outfall in which the discharger have included in the bubble trade) be reduced by approximately 115 pounds. In making a determination of the "appropriate net reduction amount," the permit writer will require further, non-trivial (substantial) reductions only if he determines that they can be achieved without significant additional expenditures.

This amendment results from settlement of litigation among several parties with significantly divergent views of the water bubble rule. This provision does not represent the Agency view on whether it is a legally required condition of a bubble rule under the Clean Water Act or any other environmental statute required as a matter of policy, nor shall it be taken as an indicator of what the Agency may or may not require in any other regulations establishing effluent limitations guidelines under the Clean Water Act.

In reaching this accord, the parties do not imply any changes in their positions. In the interest of avoiding protracted litigation and of expediting the installation of pollution controls for this industry, the parties have reached an overall settlement of many issues that they viewed as beneficial. In that context, the parties agreed to resolve their differences with this settlement:

B. Section 420.06 Removal Credits for Phenols (4AAP)

EPA proposes to add § 420.06 which specifies that pretreatment removal credits for phenols (4AAP) may be granted when phenols (4AAP) is used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant. Under the general pretreatment regulations, a categorical pretreatment standard may be revised to reflect removal of indicator or surrogate pollutants if the standard specifies that such revisions are permissible (40 CFR 403.7(a)). The final regulation published on May 27, 1982, did not specify that removal credits would be granted for phenols (4AAP). The Agency believes that the biological treatment systems employed at publicly owned treatment works will, in large measure, remove those pollutants for which phenols (4AAP) is not used as an indicator pollutant to the same degree as they remove phenols (4AAP). Accordingly, EPA proposes to revise the steel industry regulations to provide that removal credits may be granted for phenols (4AAP).

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Removal allowances pursuant to 40 CFR 403.7(a)(1) may be granted for phenols (4AAP) limited in 40 CFR Part 420 when used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant. Of course, when phenols (4AAP) are not used as an indicator or surrogate pollutant, removal allowances may also be granted.

C. Subparts B and C—Sintering and Ironmaking Subcategories

The proposed BAT, NSPS, PSES, and PSNS ironmaking and sintering limitations and standards for lead and zinc are slightly higher than those contained in the final steel industry regulation published on May 27, 1982. After promulgating the final regulation, EPA learned that the final limitations for ironmaking operations (blast furnaces) were based in part upon data obtained at a plant with treatment operations more extensive than the EPA model treatment system. Therefore, these data may not be an appropriate basis for the limitations and standards. The limitations and standards proposed today are based upon data obtained from steelmaking operations using the applicable BAT model treatment system. The model treatment systems used to develop the limitations and standards for steelmaking operations are the same as those considered for sintering and ironmaking operations. Because wastewaters from steelmaking operations are similar in character and treatability to wastewaters from

EPA is proposing modifications to the BAT limitations and PSES for total cyanide and to establish a new subdivision for existing indirect blast furnace dischargers which would contain standards which are the same as the generally applicable PSES except that the proposed ammonia-N and phenol standards are less stringent. These standards are only applicable to the two existing iron blast furnace operations which discharge their wastewater into POTWs. These operations are located in Chicago, Illinois and discharge their wastewater into the Metropolitan Sanitary District system. Compliance with the proposed cyanide BAT limitations and PSES could be accomplished through the use of wastwater treatment technologies other than the model BAT and PSES alkaline chlorination technology. The proposed changes would, accordingly, give the industry added flexibility. EPA is not, however, proposing any changes to the BAT limitations and pretreatment standards except as noted above for existing indirect dischargers for ammonia-N and phenols (44AP) contained in the final regulation.

D. Section 301(g) Water Quality Variance for Ammonia-N and Phenols (44AP)

The availability of variances from the BAT limitations for non-toxic nonconventional pollutants as allowed under Section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act can significantly affect the cost of compliance for a discharger. Section 301(g) variances can, however, only be granted in cases where the granting of the variance will not interfere with attainment of existing water quality standards. Certain parties to the Settlement Agreement have sought a clarification regarding the availability of Section 301(g) variances for steel industry dischargers. In the Settlement Agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the final regulation as follows:

The BPT referred to in section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act is either (a) the requirement applicable to a facility as a result of the BPT limitation contained in the steel industry regulation, or (b) the requirement applicable to a facility as a result of the BPT limitation contained in the steel industry regulation which is or may be modified after February 24, 1983 by a fundamentally different factors ("FDF") variance. (40 CFR 125.31), or the net gross provisions of the NPDES permit regulations (40 CFR 122.63(h). Section 301(g) variances may be granted for ammonia-N discharges from blast furnaces and from sinter plants when sinter plant wastewaters are treated with blast furnace wastewaters. Section 301(g) variances may also be granted for phenols (API discharges from blast furnaces and from sinter plants when sinter plant wastewaters are treated with blast furnace wastewaters if the applicant discharging phenols performs appropriate analyses (e.g., CC or CC/MS) of the effluent which demonstrate that the effluent does not contain significant amounts of toxic pollutants. Of course, no variance may be granted pursuant to section 301(g) unless the demonstration called for by that section has been made.

E. Blast Furnace Flow-Rated Safety Issue

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

It has been brought to the Agency's attention that one facility contends that it may encounter a safety problem related to the maintenance of gas seal pressures resulting from efforts to reduce its blast furnace flows to those contemplated by the EPA model. Such a safety related flow problem may result in difficulty in meeting blast furnace mass limitations at the facility. Safety related issues were not raised prior to promulgation of the effluent limitations guidelines and were, therefore, not considered by the Agency in the rulemaking. The Agency has not received any information that this may be a problem at any other facility. If it appears that there is a safety problem at that particular site related to flow reduction (or the total cost of compliance with the BAT requirements, including the cost of remedying the safety problem is substantially greater than the EPA model treatment system cost estimate) then either or both of those circumstances may be an appropriate basis for a FDF variance for that facility. Any application for such a variance shall be in accordance with and satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR Part 125 Subpart D.

F. Subpart I—Acid Pickling Subcategory, Sulfuric and Hydrochloric Acid Pickling Segments

In accordance with the settlement agreement, the proposed BPT and BAT limitations and NSPS, PSES, and PSNS for zinc are slightly higher than those contained in the final regulation.

G. Subpart I—Cold Forming Subcategory, Cold Worked Pipe and Tube Segments

The final regulation limited all cold worked pipe and tube operations to zero discharge at each level of treatment (BPT, BAT, NSPS, PSES, PSNS, and BCT). The model treatment system relied upon by the Agency as the basis for the final limitations and standards includes the recycle of the oil or water solution and, when appropriate, contract hauling of a small oil solution blowdown. The proposed regulation would permit nominal discharges of the spent oil or water solution (rather than contract hauling), and also specifies that appropriate limitations and standards for process wastewaters which are not regulated by the prior regulation are to be developed on a case-by-case basis. The proposed effluent limitations and standards for cold worked pipe and tube operations are based upon the cold rolling model treatment systems and a model flow rate of 5 gallons per ton.

H. Subpart I—Hot Coating Subcategory

The proposed regulation contains modified effluent limitations and standards for zinc. These limitations and standards are based upon the same effluent concentration as are the proposed zinc limitations and standards for acid pickling operations (0.20 mg/L). The proposed regulation contains a provision requiring that hot coating treatment facilities presently achieving zinc discharge levels more stringent than the proposed limitations and standards continue to do so. The proposed regulation also provides that the proposed limitations may be used as a basis for determining alternative limitations under 40 CFR 420.03 (water bubble rule) even for those facilities presently achieving discharge levels more stringent than the proposed limitations and standards.

IV. Proposed Amendments to the Preamble to the Regulation

A. Pretreatment Issues

(1) Flow Monitoring for Combined Wastestream Formula. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Under § 403.12(b)(4) of the General Pretreatment Regulations, a facility must monitor the flow of regulated process streams and other streams "as necessary" to allow use of the Combined Wastestream Formula. A facility must monitor the flows of its regulated streams. However, a facility can avoid monitoring its other streams (unregulated and dilute) under this section by agreeing to meet a mass limitation at least as stringent as the one which would be calculated under the Combined Wastestream Formula if these other streams were taken into consideration. An integrated iron and steel facility combining regulated process streams with either unregulated or dilute streams, or both, can avoid monitoring the
flows of those streams if it agrees to meet the mass limit calculated solely through use of the limits applicable to the regulated streams. Such a limit would be stricter than any which could possibly be derived under the formula if either the unregulated or dilute streams, or both, were taken into consideration. If, however, the facility desires to take into account potential pollutants contained in those unregulated or dilute streams, monitoring of these streams will be required to enable calculation of the alternative limit under the formula.

It should be noted that it is an entirely different matter where concentration-based rather than mass-based limits are involved. A facility cannot, for example, avoid monitoring unregulated or dilute streams by agreeing to meet the concentration limit applicable to its regulated streams. This is because application of the formula could result in a more stringent concentration-based limit if the unregulated or dilute streams were taken into consideration.

(2) Monitoring Data for Temporarily Closed Plants. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

The pretreatment regulations should be construed to establish that temporarily closed plants are required to submit a baseline monitoring report if recommencement of discharge is expected, but need not include the monitoring information unless the plant wants to submit historical data and this is acceptable to the Control Authority. Monitoring data should be submitted within a reasonable time after reopening the plant. For those plants that are operating at a reduced rate of production, a complete baseline monitoring report is required. The report should include monitoring data based upon the present average rate of production. If the plant calculates its limits through use of the Combined Wastestream Formula, it will be necessary to inform the Control Authority of any significant change in the values used to calculate this limit. See 40 CFR 403.6(e) (1982).

(3) Flow Estimates for Combined Wastestream Formula. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Flows from integrated facilities can be estimated when it is difficult or nearly impossible to monitor the flows to achieve an actual reading. 40 CFR 403.12(b)(4) (1982) lists the flow measurement requirements, and states in part that the Control Authority may allow for verifiable estimates of these flows if regulated streams and other streams necessary to allow use of the Combined Wastestream Formula are justified by cost of feasibility considerations.

(4) Mass-Based and Concentration-Based Pretreatment Standards. In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

If an integrated plant is required to comply with a categorical pretreatment standard expressed only in the mass-based limits and with another categorical pretreatment standard expressed only in concentration-based limits, a mass-based limit should be applied to the combined flow. To accomplish this under the formula, the concentration limit may be converted to a mass limit by multiplying the concentration limit by the average or other appropriate flow of the regulated stream to which that limit applies.

B. Central Treatment

In the settlement agreement, the Agency agreed to propose to amend the preamble to the regulation as follows:

Industry petitioners believe that they are entitled to obtain a FDF variance under 40 CFR Part 125 Subpart D for an individual process (a) where the removal costs are wholly out of proportion to the removal costs considered during development of the national limits, or (b) where other factors solely related to that individual process would result in a non-water quality environmental impact (including energy requirements) fundamentally more adverse than the impact considered during development of the national limits. EPA may have considered such costs or such other factors in making its determination pursuant to 40 CFR 420.07(b). EPA does not concede that petitioners' contention is a correct interpretation of applicable law, but does not agree that the discussion in the preamble (47 FR 23267 (Column 3) (May 27, 1982)) was not intended to preclude this contention.

V. Proposed Modification to the General Pretreatment Regulations, § 403.6(c)

In the combined wastestream formula, the term "dilution stream" is defined to include boiler blowdown and non-contact cooling water streams, among others. However, in certain circumstances (e.g., where recycled cooling water is treated with algaecides or non-contact cooling water or boiler blowdown could contain significant concentrations of regulated pollutants), the Agency today proposes to refine the meaning of dilution stream to address this situation. Where non-contact cooling water or a boiler blowdown stream contains a significant amount of a pollutant, and an industrial user combines this wastewater with its regulated process wastestream(s) prior to treatment, resulting in a substantial reduction of that particular pollutant, the Control Authority will be authorized to exercise its discretion to classify this stream as either a dilution or an unregulated stream. The term "Control Authority" refers either to the POTW if it has an approved pretreatment program, or to the Approval Authority (EPA or the NPDES State) if the POTW has no approved program.

Before the Control Authority can exercise its discretion to classify such a stream, the industrial user must provide engineering, production, and sampling and analysis information sufficient to allow a determination by the Control Authority on how the stream should be classified.

VI. Environmental Impact of the Proposed Modifications to the Steel Industry Regulation

EPA's estimates of the industry-wide direct discharges of toxic metals and total cyanide under the final steel industry regulation and the regulation as modified by this proposal are presented below. Volume I of the Development Document contains a compilation of estimated industry-wide discharges on a subcategory specific basis. The estimated discharges of other pollutants limited by the final steel industry regulation would be the same under the regulation as modified by this proposal. These estimates do not take into account the proposed change in the water bubble rule which would result in a decrease in the amount of pollutants discharged at those facilities using the rule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Discharge in tons/year)</th>
<th>Unvented wastewaters</th>
<th>BPT</th>
<th>BAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Metals</td>
<td>121,900</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cyanide</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic Metals</td>
<td>121,900</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cyanide</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. Solicitation of Comments

EPA invites public participation in this rulemaking and requests comments on the proposals discussed or set out in this notice. The Agency asks that any deficiencies in the record of this proposal be pointed to with specificity and that suggested revisions or corrections be supported by data.

VIII. Executive Order 12291

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to the requirement of a Regulatory Impact Analysis. The Agency previously prepared such an analysis regarding the May 27, 1982 final steel industry regulation. This proposed regulation is not major because it does not fall within the criteria for major regulations established in Executive Order 12291.
IX. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5
U.S.C. 601 et seq., EPA must prepare a
Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for all
proposed regulations that have a
significant impact on a substantial
number of small entities. In the
preamble to the May 27, 1982 final steel
industry regulation, the Agency
concluded that there would not be a
significant impact on any segment of the
regulated population, large or small. For
that reason, the Agency determined that
a formal regulatory flexibility analysis
was not required. That conclusion is
equally applicable to this regulation.
The Agency is not, therefore, preparing
a formal analysis for this regulation.

X. OMB Review

This regulation was submitted to the
Office of Management and Budget for
review as required by Executive Order
12291. Any comments from OMB to EPA
and any EPA response to those
comments are available for public
inspection at Room M2404, U.S. EPA,
401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.
20460 from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday
through Friday, excluding Federal
holidays.

XI. List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 403
Confidential business information,
Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements. Waste treatment and
disposal. Water pollution control.

40 CFR Part 420
Iron, Steel, Water pollution control,
Wastewater treatment and disposal.

Dated: September 27, 1983.
William D. Ruckelshaus,
Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the
preamble, EPA is proposing to amend 40
CFR Part 420 as follows:

PART 420—(AMENDED)

1. The authority citation for Part 420
reads as follows:

Authority: Sections 301; 304 (b), (c), (e), and
(g); 306 (b) and (c); 307; 308 and 501. Clean
Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution
Control Act Amendments of 1972, as
amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977)
(1) (b)(3) [33 U.S.C. 1311; 1314 (b), (c), (e),
and (g); 1315 (b) and (c); 1317; 1318; and 1361-
L. 95-217.

2. By revising § 420.03 to read as follows:

§ 420.03 Alternative effluent limitations
representing the degree of effluent
reduction attainable by the application of
best practicable control technology
currently available, best available
technology, and best conventional
technology.
(a) Except as provided in paragraphs
(b)(1) through (b)(3) of this section, any
existing point source subject to this part
may qualify for alternative effluent
limitations to those specified in Part 420,
Subparts A though L for a number of its
processes representing the degree of
effluent reduction attainable by the
application of best practicable control
technology currently available, best
available technology economically,
achievable, and best conventional
technology. The alternative effluent
limitations for each pollutant are
determined for a combination of outfalls
by totaling the mass limitations of each
pollutant allowed under Subparts A
though L and subtracting from each total
an appropriate net reduction amount.
The permit authority shall determine an
appropriate net reduction amount for
each pollutant traded based upon
consideration of additional available
control measures which would result in
non-trivial (substantial) effluent
reductions and which can be achieved
without requiring significant additional
expenditures at any outfall(s) by which
the discharges from any waste steam(s)
in the combination for which the
Discharge is projected to be better
required by this regulation.
(b) In the case of Total Suspended
Solids (TSS), and Oil and Grease (O&G),
the minimum net reduction amount shall
be approximately 15 percent of the
amount(s) by which any waste stream(s)
in the combination will exceed
otherwise allowable effluent limits.
For all other traded pollutants, the
minimum net reduction amount shall be
approximately 10 percent of the
amount(s) by which the discharges from
any waste steam(s) in the combination
will exceed otherwise allowable effluent
limits for each pollutant under this
regulation.
(1) A discharger cannot qualify for
alternative effluent limitations if the
application of such alternative effluent
limitations would result in violation of
any applicable State water quality
standards.
(2) Each outfall from which process
wastewaters are discharged must have
specified, fixed effluent limitations for
each pollutant limited by the applicable
Subparts A through L.
(3) Subcategory-Specific restrictions.

(i) There shall be no alternate effluent
limitations for cold forming
process wastewaters.
(ii) There shall be no alternative
effluent limitations for cold forming
process wastewaters.

3. By adding a new § 420.06 to read as
follows:

§ 420.06 Removal Credits for Phenols
(4AAP).
Removal allowances pursuant to 40
CFR 403.7(a)(1) may be granted for
phenols (4AAP) limited in 40 CFR Part
420 when used as an indicator or
surrogate pollutant.

4. The table in § 420.23 is amended by
revising the entries for cyanide, lead,
and zinc as follows:

§ 420.23 Effluent limitations representing
the degree of effluent reduction attainable
by the application of the best available
technology economically achievable (BAT).

SUBPART B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kg/kgg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyanide ........................ 0.00300 0.00150
Lead .............................. 0.00451 0.00215
Zinc ............................. 0.000676 0.000225

5. The table in § 420.24 is amended by
revising the entries for lead and zinc as
follows:

§ 420.24 New source performance
standards (NSPS).

SUBPART B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 50 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kg/kgg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lead .............................. 0.00435 0.00225
Zinc ............................. 0.000676 0.000225

6. The table in § 420.25 is amended by
revising the entries for cyanide, lead,
and zinc as follows:
§ 420.25 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).

**SUBPART B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
<th>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000175</td>
<td>0.000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.000263</td>
<td>0.0000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000263</td>
<td>0.0000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.34 is amended by revising the entries for cyanide, lead, and zinc as follows:

§ 420.34 New source performance standards (NSPS).

**SUBPART C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
<th>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>0.0000876</td>
<td>0.000131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.000394</td>
<td>0.000131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000394</td>
<td>0.000131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. The table in paragraph (a) of § 420.35 is amended by revising the entries for cyanide, lead, and zinc as follows:

§ 420.35 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).

**SUBPART C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
<th>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>0.000175</td>
<td>0.000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.000263</td>
<td>0.0000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000263</td>
<td>0.0000876</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. By adding a new paragraph (c) to § 420.35 as follows:

**SUBPART I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
<th>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000048</td>
<td>0.000150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.000025</td>
<td>0.0000751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (2) * * *

### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.0000701</td>
<td>0.000234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (3) * * *

### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.000051</td>
<td>0.0000150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (4) * * *

### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb of product)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.000255</td>
<td>0.000851</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (5) * * *

### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (b) * * *

[1] * * *

### Subpart I

**BPT Effluent Limitations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or Pollutant Property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kg/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (b) * * *

15. Section 420.93 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(5) as follows:
Zinc is a pollutant or pollutant property. The Maximum for daily values for daily values is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subpart I**

BAT effluent limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subpart I**

New source performance standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000100</td>
<td>0.0000324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Section 420.94 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(4)

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.000751
      - 0.0000324

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.000175
      - 0.0000584

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.000051
      - 0.0000501

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.0000250
      - 0.0000250

---

**Subpart I**

BAT effluent limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000751</td>
<td>0.0000324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Section 420.94 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(4) as follows:

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards (NSPS).
    - **(a)**
      - **(1)**
        - New source Performance Standards
          - Pollutant or pollutant property
            - Maximum for any 1 day
            - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
          - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
            - Zinc: 0.000125
            - 0.0000417

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.0000751
      - 0.0000324

---

**Subpart I**

BAT effluent limitations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000125</td>
<td>0.0000417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Subpart I**
  - New source performance standards
    - Pollutant or pollutant property
      - Maximum for any 1 day
      - Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days
    - Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product
      - Zinc: 0.000150
      - 0.0000501
17. Section 420.95 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(5) as follows:

**§420.95 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSES).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**New source performance standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000100</td>
<td>0.00000334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000025</td>
<td>0.0000751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000451</td>
<td>0.000150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.0000417</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000126</td>
<td>0.000417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000701</td>
<td>0.000234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBPART I

**Pretreatment standards for existing sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Kg/kkg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000701</td>
<td>0.000234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## § 420.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

* * *

(a) * * *

1. * * *

### SUBPART I

Pretreatment standards for new sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.0327</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. Section 420.96 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(5) and (b)(1) through (b)(4) as follows:

### § 420.96 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

* * *

(a) * * *

1. * * *

### SUBPART I

Pretreatment standards for new sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.000125</td>
<td>0.0000417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19. To redesignate the existing text of § 420.100 as paragraph (a) and to add a new paragraph (b) as follows:

### § 420.100 Applicability; description of the cold forming subcategory.

* * *

(b) The limitations and standards set out below for cold worked pipe and tube operations shall be applicable only where cold worked pipe and tube wastewaters are discharged at steel plant sites. No limitations are applicable or allowable where these wastewaters are hauled off-site for disposal or are otherwise not discharged at steel plant sites. The limitations and standards set out below for cold worked pipe and tube operations shall be applicable only to the blowdown of soluble oil or water solutions used in cold worked pipe and tube forming operations. Limitations for other wastewater sources from these operations must be established on a site-specific basis.

20. By revising §420.102(b)(1) and (2) as follows:

### §420.102 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best practicable control technology currently available (BPT).

* * *

(b) Cold worked pipe and tube.

1. Using water:
§ 420.104 New source performance standards (NSPS).

(b) Cold worked pipe and tube mills.

(1) Using water:

SUBPART J

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.0000626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;G</td>
<td>0.000522</td>
<td>0.0000209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>0.0000021</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.
2 Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.

21. By revising § 420.106(b)(1) and (2) as follows:

§ 420.106 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

(b) Cold worked pipe and tube mills.

(1) Using water:

SUBPART J

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.0000626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;G</td>
<td>0.000522</td>
<td>0.0000209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.0000094</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>0.0000021</td>
<td>0.0000031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The limitations for chromium and nickel shall be applicable in lieu of those for lead and zinc when cold forming wastewaters are treated with descaling or combination acid pickling wastewaters.
2 Within the range of 6.0 to 9.0.
 §420.107 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best conventional technology (BCT).

(b) Cold worked pipe and tube.
(1) Using water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Using oil solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. By redesigning the existing text of §420.120 as paragraph (a) and adding a new paragraph (b) as follows:

§420.120 Applicability; description of the hot coating subcategory.

(b) The BPT and BAT limitations for zinc set out below are not applicable to hot coating operations with wastewater treatment facilities achieving, during periods of normal production, zinc discharge levels more stringent than those BPT and BAT limitations. For such operations, the BPT and BAT limitations for zinc shall be determined on a case-by-case basis based upon the existing performance of the wastewater treatment facility. The permitting authority shall evaluate representative effluent data from the wastewater treatment facility during periods of normal production in establishing the case-by-case BPT and BAT limitations. The BPT and BAT limitations specified in 40 CFR 420.122 and 420.123 may be used as the basis for calculating total mass limitations for zinc pursuant to 40 CFR 420.03.

27. Section 420.122 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)1), (b)1), and (c) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28. Section 420.123 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)1), (b)1), and (c) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. By revising §420.107(b) (1) and (2) to read as follows:

§420.107 Effluent limitations representing the degree of effluent reduction attainable by the application of the best conventional technology (BCT).

(b) Cold worked pipe and tube.
(1) Using water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Using oil solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum for any 1 day</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td>0.000500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
29. Section 420.124 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the table in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as follows:

§ 420.124 New source performance standards (NSPS).

(a) **  
(1) **

**SUBPART L**

New source performance standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.000376</strong></td>
<td>0.000150</td>
<td><strong>0.00500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **  
(1) **

**SUBPART L**

New source performance standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.00150</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00200</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **

**SUBPART L**

New source performance standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.00481</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0491</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0164</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. Section 420.125 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1), and (c) as follows:

§ 420.125 Pretreatment standards for existing sources (PSNS).

(a) **  
(1) **

**SUBPART L**

Pretreatment standards for existing sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.000376</strong></td>
<td>0.00150</td>
<td><strong>0.00500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **  
(1) **

**SUBPART L**

Pretreatment standards for existing sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.00150</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00200</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **

**SUBPART L**

Pretreatment standards for existing sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant or pollutant property</th>
<th>Maximum Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for any 1 day Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
<th>Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days Kg/kg (pounds per 1,000 lb) of product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td><strong>0.00481</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0491</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.0164</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31. Section 420.128 is amended by revising the entry for zinc in the tables in paragraphs (a)(1), (b)(1) and (c) as follows:

§ 420.128 Pretreatment standards for new sources (PSNS).

(a) **  
(1) **

**PART 403—[AMENDED]**

EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR Part 403 as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 403 reads as follows:

Authority: Sections 301, 304(b), (c), (e), and (g); 306(b) and (c); 307; 308 and 501, Clean Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977), (the "Act"); 33 U.S.C. 1211; 1311(b), (c), (e), and (g); 1316(b) and (c); 1317; 1318; and 1361; 86 Stat. 841, Pub. L. 92–500; 91 Stat. 1567; Pub. L. 95–217.

2. Section 403.6 (e)(1) (i) and (ii) are amended by revising the definition for Fp as revised, the definition for Fp in both paragraphs reads as follows:

§ 403.6 National Pretreatment Standards: Categorical Standards.

Fp = the average daily flow (at least a 30-day average) from (a) boiler blowdown
streams and non-contact cooling streams; provided, however, that where such streams contain a significant amount of a pollutant, and the combination of such streams, prior to treatment, with an Industrial Users regulated process wastestream(s) will result in a substantial reduction of that pollutant, the Control Authority, upon application of the Industrial User, may exercise its discretion to determine whether such stream(s) should be classified as dilute or unregulated. In its application to the Control Authority, the Industrial User must provide engineering, production, sampling and analysis and such other information so that the Control Authority can make its determination, or (b) sanitary wastestreams (where such streams are not regulated by a categorical Pretreatment Standard) or (c) from any process wastestreams which were or could have been entirely exempted from categorical Pretreatment Standards pursuant to paragraph 8 of the NRDC v. Costle Consent Decree (12 ERC 1833) for one or more of the following reasons (see Appendix D):

1. the pollutants of concern are not detectable in the effluent from the Industrial User (paragraph (8)(a)(iii));

2. the pollutants of concern are present only in trace amounts and are neither causing nor likely to cause toxic effects (paragraph (8)(a)(iii));

3. the pollutants of concern are present in amounts too small to be effectively reduced by technologies known to the Administrator (paragraph (8)(a)(iii)); or

4. the wastestream contains only pollutants which are compatible with the POTW (paragraph (8)(b)(i)).