DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: U.S. Army Fort AP Hill
Facility Address: ENRD, 19952 North Range Road
Bowling Green, VA 22427-3123
Facility EPA ID #: VAD 210 020 416

1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?

   _ X___ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
   _____ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
   _____ if data are not available skip to #6 and enter“IN” (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of “Current Human Exposures Under Control” EI

A positive “Current Human Exposures Under Control” EI determination (“YE” status code) indicates that there are no “unacceptable” human exposures to “contamination” (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all “contamination” subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The “Current Human Exposures Under Control” EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program’s overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).
2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be “contaminated” above appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>?</th>
<th>Rationale / Key Contaminants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (indoors) 2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No indoor pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Soil (e.g., &lt;2 ft)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganics and few other constituents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inorganics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsurf. Soil (e.g., &gt;2 ft)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presumed impacted based on groundwater results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (outdoors)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not known or reasonable suspected impacts above risk based levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter “YE,” status code after providing or citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these “levels” are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each “contaminated” medium, citing appropriate “levels” (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and References: Various inorganics and other constituents, specially aluminum and iron, above Risk Based Numbers (RBCs) and/or MCLs were detected in various SWMUs. Results of the investigations are presented in the Facility Lead Agreement Investigations Report dated August 2002.

Footnotes:

1 “Contamination” and “contaminated” describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

2 Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.
3. Are there complete pathways between “contamination” and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“Contaminated” Media</th>
<th>Residents</th>
<th>Workers</th>
<th>Day-Care</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Trespassers</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Food³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (indoors, e.g., &lt;2 ft)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (subsurface e.g., &gt;2 ft)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (indoors)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors’ spaces for Media which are not “contaminated”) as identified in #2 above.

2. Enter “yes” or “no” for potential “completeness” under each “Contaminated” Media – Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (“___”). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

_____ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter “YE” status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).

__X__ If yes (pathways are complete for any “Contaminated” Media – Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

_____ If unknown (for any “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Potential on site receptors consist of: military personnel conducting maneuvers in the vicinity of the areas of concern, authorized operational/facility personnel periodically conducting maintenance activities and environmental workers performing site investigation activities. The site is an active military installation with closely controlled access. Access to the site is restricted to authorized workers only. Many areas are enclosed by a barbed-wire-topped chain link fence. Water supply wells are distant and draw from a much deeper aquifer separated from the water table by several confining layers. ³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)
Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **“significant”** (i.e., potentially “unacceptable” because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable “levels” (used to identify the “contamination”); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable “levels”) could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

___X___ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “YE” status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”

_____ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure pathway and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”

_____ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code

Rationale and Reference(s): Access to the site is restricted to authorized workers only. The only potentially direct exposure pathways is to facility operational and/or maintenance workers. Access is infrequent and of short duration and appropriate PPE is used at some areas. Contact with surface soil is limited since many SWMUs contained an artificial cap. Many areas are enclosed by a barbed-wire-topped chain link fence. Water supply wells are distant and draw from a much deeper aquifer separated from the water table by several confining layers. Therefore the exposure to potential receptors is considered insignificant.

4 If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.
Can the “significant” exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?

_____ If yes (all “significant” exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter “YE” after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all “significant” exposures to “contamination” are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

_____ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be “unacceptable”) - continue and enter “NO” status code after providing a description of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure.

_____ If unknown (for any potentially “unacceptable” exposure) - continue and enter “IN” status code

Rationale and Reference(s):
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

___X___ YE - Yes, “Current Human Exposures Under Control” has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, “Current Human Exposures” are expected to be “Under Control” at the US Army Fort AP Hill facility, EPA ID # **VAD 210020416**, located at **Fort AP Hill, Bowling Green, VA** under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

___ NO ___ “Current Human Exposures” are NOT “Under Control.”

___ IN ___ More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) /s/ __________________________ Date 7/29/03 __________________
(print) ___________________________________________
(title) ___________________________________________

Supervisor (signature) /s/ __________________________ Date 7/29/03 __________________
(print) ___________________________________________
(title) ___________________________________________
(EPA Region or State) ___________________________________________

Locations where References may be found:

EPA Region III, Philadelphia, PA

________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________
________________________________________________________________

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name) Wanda Martinez
(phone #) 215-814-3434
(e-mail) Martinez.wanda@epa.gov

**FINAL NOTE:** THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.