Selection and Weighting Worksheet for Recovery Potential Indicators

Selection Instructions: You can treat this form not as rigid guidance but as a menu of candidate indicator concepts to stimulate workgroup thinking, discussion and indicator selection. 1) Select the indicators that are appropriate to the study area and the primary purpose of your recovery potential screening; see indicator summaries and indicator-specific fact sheets at http://www.epa.gov/recoverypotential/ for more information about individual metrics. 2) Modify or write in additional indicators in the appropriate columns, as desired. 3) Weighting your indicators: To reflect your initial assumptions of each indicator's importance to recovery potential in your area, assign a weighting factor from 1 to 5 to each of the indicators (5 is highest) or leave all of them at equal weighting. 4) Merge the completed sheets from your workgroup to identify candidate indicators and weights, then use group results to work on consensus for indicator selection.

Name:

Project:

Location:

Project: Location:							
	ECOLOGICAL METRICS		STRESSOR METRICS		SOCIAL CONTEXT METRICS		
Watershed natural structure		Watershed-level disturbance		Leadership, organization and engagement			
	watershed % natural cover		watershed % agriculture		watershed organizational leadership		
			watershed % steep slope				
	watershed % forest		agriculture		watershed collaboration		
	watershed % wetlands		watershed # of CAFOs		corridor owner-occupied residential		
	watershed woody vegetation		watershed # of septic systems		government agency involvement		
	watershed topographic				participation rate in land		
	complexity		watershed % impervious cover		conservation programs		
	watershed forest patch mean				large watershed management		
	area		watershed % tile-drained cropland		potential		
			watershed % U index (non-natural				
	watershed soil resilience		cover)		university proximity		
	watershed % streamlength						
	unimpaired		watershed % urban		political support		
	watershed shape		watershed road density	Protect	tive ownership or regulation		
	watershed size	Corrido	or or near-shore disturbance		watershed % protected land		
Corrido	r and shorelands stability		corridor % impervious cover		applicable regulation		
	bank stability/soils		corridor % tile-drained cropland	Level o	f information, certainty and planning		
	bank stability/woody vegetation		corridor % U-index		certainty of causal linkages		
	corridor % forest		corridor % urban		% identified stressor sources		
	corridor % woody veg		corridor % agriculture		certainty of restoration practices		
			linear % of channel through				
	corridor % wetlands		agriculture		TMDL or other plan existence		
	corridor slope		corridor road crossings		watershed education level		
	corridor soil erosion potential		corridor road density		ratio #TMDLs/#impairments		
	corridor soil type	Hydrol	ogic alteration		% of stream miles assessed		
	shoreline % forested		aquatic barriers		% of lake acres assessed		
	shoreline % woody veg		channelization	Restora	ation cost, difficulty, or complexity		
Flow ar	nd channel dynamics		hydrologic alteration		estimated restoration cost		
	natural channel form		relative net water demand		jurisdictional complexity		
	corridor groundwater level		water use intensity		landownership complexity		
	channel slope	Biotic o	or climatic risks		recovery time frame		
	sinuosity		elevation	Socio-e	conomic considerations		
					Environmental Justice area of		
	confinement ratio		invasive species risk		concern		
	channel evolution status	Severit	y of pollutant loading		local socio-economic conditions		
				Human health, beneficial uses, recognition and			
	fine sediment transport capacity		number of 303(d) listed causes	incenti			
	natural flow regime		number of permits		watershed population		

9	ECOLOGICAL METRICS	9	STRESSOR METRICS		SOCIAL CONTEXT METRICS
	median-flow maintenance		CSO or MS4 areas		recreational resource
	low-flow maintenance		age of sewer infrastructure		# of drinking water intakes
					watershed % source water
	Strahler stream order		severity of loading		protection area
Biotic community integrity			stressor persistence		valued ecological attribute
			SPARROW nitrogen loading		
	biotic community integrity		estimate		funding eligibility
			SPARROW phosphorus loading		_
	rare taxa presence		estimate		human health and safety
	trophic state		watershed stream miles impaired		community identity (iconic value)
	NFHAP fish habitat condition index		watershed waterbody acres impaired		303(d) schedule priority
Aquat	ic connectivity		modeled watershed aerial deposition of N		
			modeled watershed aerial		
	confluence density		deposition of Hg		
	unimpaired confluences density		other stressor-specific severity factors		
	watershed stream density	Legacy	of past, trajectory of future land use		
	contiguity with green				
	infrastructure corridor		land use change trajectory		
	proximity to green infrastructure				
	hub		legacy land uses		
	recolonization access		watershed % legacy agriculture		
Ecolo	gical history		watershed % legacy urban		
	maintenance of % natural cover		corridor % legacy agriculture		
	ratio current/historic % forest		corridor % legacy urban		
	ratio current/historic % wetlands				
	historical species occurrence				
	species range				
Other	ecological factors (write in)	Other	stressor-related factors (write in)	Other	social context traits (write in)
Other	Cological factors (write iii)	Other s	A COSO TELUTER TRACTORS (WITTER III)	Other s	Social context traits (write iii)