DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Sunrise Medical Home Healthcare Group, Inc. (DeVilbis Company)
Facility Address:	100 DeVilbis Drive, Somerset, PA 15501
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 99 075 2537

- 1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?
 - **X** If yes check here and continue with #2 below.
 - _____ If no re-evaluate existing data, or
 - _____ If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	<u>Rationale / Key Contaminants</u>
Groundwater		_X		No suspected release/source
Air (indoors) ²		X		No suspected release
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		_X_		No suspected release
Surface Water		_X		No suspected release
Sediment		_X_		No suspected release
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		_X_		No suspected release
Air (outdoors)		_X_		No suspected release

X If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

____ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): There have been no documented releases from any of the areas at the Facility to date. Prior to 1970, however, electroplating wastes were presumably discharged directly into the onsite pond. Wastes discharged to the pond at this time may have included copper, nickel, silver, rhodium, cyanide, trichloroethylene(TCE), dichloroethylene (DCE) and vinyl chloride(VC). In 1970, a wastewater treatment system was installed to treat electroplating wastewater. This system was tested (pH, suspended solids, copper, nickel, and cyanide) and passed the effluent requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

In April, 1972, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) took two water samples, one from a ditch along the north end of the pond, and one at the discharge point of the pond. These samples were analyzed for copper, zinc and total chromium. All samples were found to be below current drinking water standards. **In 1984,** all plating operations at the Facility were removed, and the pond no longer received any process water from the Facility. At this time, the Facility requested permission from PADEP to drain and reshape the onsite pond. One composite sample of pond sludge was taken to determine of contamination was leaching from the pond bottom. This sample was analyzed for acid extractables, base neutrals, heavy metals, priority pollutant volatile organic compounds and EPA priority pesticides. Based on the results of this sampling, PADEP approved the Facility's request, and the pond bottom was dredged and this material used to reshape the pond embankment.

Additional sampling of the pond bottom and embankment for copper, nickel, silver, cyanide, TCE, DCE and VC, performed by Sunrise Medical in the spring of 2000, further verified that no contaminants of concern are present in the pond above levels of concern. Based on this sampling, along with previous sampling results, the pond is not reasonably suspected to be a potential contaminant source to the groundwater beneath the Facility, and therefore no exposure pathway to human health exists at this time. Today the pond receives only surface water runoff from the Facility, and is a habitat for several species of fish.

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be 3. reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
	Residents	Residents Workers	Residents Workers Day-Care	Residents Workers Day-Care Construction	Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers <td>Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation </td>	Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation

Instructions for <u>Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table</u>:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("____"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- _____ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
- _____ If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
- _____ If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **"significant"**⁴ (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
 - If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
 - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

_____ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

- 5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?
 - If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a sitespecific Human Health Risk Assessment).
 - _____ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
 - _____ If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):_____

- 6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
 - YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Sunrise Medical Home Healthcare Group, Inc. (DeVilbis Company) facility, EPA ID # PAD 99 075 2537, located at 100 DeVilbis Drive, Somerset, PA 15501 under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
 - ____ NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
 - ____ IN More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by	(signature)	Date: <u>11-14-00</u>
	Hilary Livingston	
	Remedial Project Manager	

Supervisor(signature)
Paul Gotthold
PA Operations Branch Chief
EPA, Region 3Date: 11-14-00

Locations where References may be found:

Facility RCRA Project File EPA, Region 3 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Paul Gotthold
(phone #) 215-814-3410
(e-mail) gotthold.paul@epa.gov