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Foreword

The high costs of laboratory analytical procedures frequently strain environmental and public health budgets. Whether soil, water or biological tissue is being analyzed, the cost of testing for chemical and pathogenic contaminants can be quite prohibitive.

Composite sampling can substantially reduce analytical costs because the number of required analyses is reduced by compositing several samples into one and analyzing the composited sample. By appropriate selection of the composite sample size and retesting of select individual samples, composite sampling may reveal the same information as would otherwise require many more analyses.

Many of the limitations of composite sampling have been overcome by recent research, thus bringing out more widespread potential for using composite sampling to reduce costs of environmental and public health assessments while maintaining and often increasing the precision of sample-based inference.
Acknowledgments

The EPA Observational Economy Series is a result of the research conducted under a cooperative agreement between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Pennsylvania State University Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics, Professor G.P. Patil, Director.


The series is published by the Statistical Analysis and Computing Branch of the Environmental Statistics and Information Division in the EPA Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation. This volume in the series is largely based on the work of M. T. Boswell, S. D. Gore, G. D. Johnson, G. P. Patil, and C. Taillie at the Penn State Center in cooperation with John Fritzvold, Herbert Lacayo, Robert O’Brien, Brenda Odom, Barry Nussbaum, and John Warren as project officers at U.S. EPA. Questions or comments on this publication should be directed to Dr. N. Phillip Ross, Director, Environmental Statistics and Information Division (Mail Code 2163), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460; Ph. (202) 260-2680.
1. Introduction

While decision making in general involves opinion based on prior experience, scientifically based decision making requires careful collection, measurement and interpretation of data from physical observations. Examples of such decisions are: "Has a hazardous waste site been sufficiently cleaned?"; or "Are pollutants accumulating in certain foods as well as in human or wildlife tissues?".

Scientifically based decision making should minimize the risk of being wrong. Since decisions require information, which is in turn extracted from data, this risk decreases as the data become more representative of the population being studied.

In order for a data set to properly represent a population, it must cover the ranges of space and time within which the population lies, as well as have sufficient resolution within these ranges. It soon becomes obvious that collection and review of representative data can be prohibitively expensive if a large sample size (number of measurements, recordings or counts) is required, especially when analytical costs are very high such as with monitoring environmental and biological media for chemical or pathogenic contaminants.

Conventional statistical techniques allow for the reduction of either cost or uncertainty. However, the reduction of one of these factors is at the expense of an increase in the other. Composite sampling offers to maintain cost or uncertainty at a specified level while decreasing the other component.

Compositing simply refers to physically mixing individual samples to form a composite sample, as visualized in Figure 1. Just one analysis is performed on the composite, which is used to represent each of the original individual samples.

Compositing is common practice for simply increasing the representativeness of a measurement, such as when measuring the fat content of a particular entree that is compositied across several restaurants included in a national survey (Burros, 1994). For this reason, compositing can always reduce costs for estimating a total or an average value. However, analysis of composite samples can be cleverly extended to classify the original individual sample units that comprised a composite. For example, one may need to identify
the presence or absence of a pathogen like HIV in blood samples, or one may need to identify all soil cores whose contaminant concentration exceeds an action level at a hazardous waste site.

When analytical costs dominate over sampling costs, the savings potential is obviously high; however, the immediate question is “How do we compensate for information that is lost due to compositing?” More specifically, if we are testing whether or not a substance is present or existing at a concentration above some threshold, we do not want to dilute individual “contaminated” samples with clean samples so that the analysis does not detect any contamination.’ Furthermore, if our measurements are of a variable such as a chemical concentration, we may need to know the actual values of those individual samples with the highest concentrations. For example, “hot spots” need to be identified at hazardous waste sites.

Through judicial choice of a strategy for retesting some of the original individual samples based on composite sample measurements, many limitations of composite sampling can be overcome. Furthermore, other innovative applications of composite sampling are emerging such as combining with ranked set sampling, another approach to achieve observational economy that is discussed in Volume 2 of this series.
2. What is Composite Sampling?

2.1. Method

First, let’s clarify that a “sample” in this document refers to a physical object to be measured, whether an individual or a composite, and not a collection of observations in the statistical sense. Individual sample units are what is obtained in the field, such as soil cores or fish fillets; or obtained from subjects, such as blood samples. Meanwhile, a composite sample may be a physical mix of individual sample units or a batch of unblended individual sample units that are tested as a group. Most compositing for environmental assessment and monitoring consists of physically mixing individual units to make a composite sample that is as homogeneous as possible.

With classical sampling, no distinction is made between the process of sampling (i.e., selection or inclusion) and that of observation or measurement. We assume, with classical sampling, that any unit selected for inclusion in a statistical sample is measured and hence its value becomes known. In composite sampling, however, there is a clear distinction between the sampling and measurement stages. Compositing takes place between these two stages, and therefore achieves two otherwise conflicting goals. While a large number of samples can be selected to satisfy sample size requirements, the number of analytical measurements is kept affordable.

If a variable of concern is a measurement that is continuous in nature such as a chemical concentration, the mean (arithmetic average) of composite samples provides an unbiased estimate of the true but unknown “population” mean. Also, if measurement error is known, the population variance based on the scale of the individual samples can be estimated by a simple weighting of the measured composite sample variance.

With selective retesting of individual sample units, based on initial composite sample results, we can classify all of the individual sample units according to the presence or absence of a trait, or exceedance (vs. compliance) of a numerical standard. We can subsequently estimate the prevalence of
a trait or proportion of non-compliance. Basically, if a composite measurement does not reveal a trait in question or is in compliance, then all individual samples comprising that composite are classified as “negative”. When a composite tests positive, then retesting is performed on the individual samples or subsamples (aliquots) in order to locate the source of “contamination”.

Retesting, as visualized in a general sense in Figure 2, may simply be exhaustive retesting of all individuals comprising a composite or may entail more specialized protocols. Generally, as the retesting protocol becomes more sophisticated, the expected number of analyses decreases. Therefore, one must consider any increased logistical costs along with the expected decrease in analytical cost when evaluating the overall cost of a compositing/retesting protocol.

Due to recent research (Patil, Gore and Sinha, 1994), the individual samples with the highest value, along with those individual samples comprising an upper percentile, can be identified with minimal retesting. This ability is extremely important when “hot spots” need to be identified such as with soil monitoring at a hazardous waste site.

Whether we are dealing with data from binary (presence/absence) measurements or data from measurements on a continuum, composite sampling
can result in classifying each individual sample without having to separately analyze each one. While composite sampling may not be feasible when the prevalence of contamination is high, the analytical costs can be drastically reduced as the number of contaminated samples decreases.

2.2. Limitations of Composite Sampling

Both physical and logistical constraints exist that may restrict the application of composite sampling. The limitations which more commonly arise are discussed here along with some simple recommendations for how compositing still may help.

**Physical:**

If the integrity of the individual sample values changes because of compositing, then composite sampling may not be the desired approach. For example, volatile chemicals can evaporate upon mixing of samples (Cline and Severin, 1989) or interaction can occur among sample constituents. In the first case, compositing of individual sample extracts may be a reasonable alternative to mixing individual samples as they are collected.

Another limitation is imposed by potential dilution, where an individual sample with a high value is combined with low values resulting in a composite sample that falsely tests negative. When classifying samples according to exceedance or compliance with some standard value, c, the problem of dilution is overcome by comparing the composite sample result to c divided by the composite sample size, \( k \), \( (c/k) \). Furthermore, when an analytical detection limit, d, is known, the maximum composite sample size is established according to the inequality \( k < c/d \). One may lower this upper bound on the composite sample size to reduce effects of measurement error. As can be seen here, when reporting limits (Rajagopal, 1990) or action levels (Williams, 1990) of some hazardous chemical concentrations are legally required to be near the detection limit, the possibility of composite sampling may be eliminated.

Sample homogeneity is another consideration. A homogeneous sample is one where the variable of interest, such as a chemical concentration, is evenly distributed throughout the sample. In contrast, a heterogeneous sample can have substantially different values for the variable of interest, depending on what part of the sample is actually analyzed. If the whole sample unit is analyzed, then heterogeneity is not a problem; however, most laboratory analyses are performed on a small subsample of the original sample unit. For example, one gram of soil may be taken from a one kilogram soil core for
actual extraction and analysis. If a subsample is to represent a larger sample unit, then the larger unit must be fairly homogeneous with respect to the variable of interest.

Therefore, an individual sample unit should be homogenized as much as possible prior to obtaining an aliquot for inclusion in a composite. Furthermore, formation of a composite must include homogenization if the composite is going to be represented by measurement on a smaller subsample.

Often, measurements on multiple attributes are desired. However, if retesting is performed in order to classify individual samples, it is unclear how to optimize the retesting relative to the different attributes (Schaeffer et al., 1982). For example, should chemicals be tested independently, or does there exist dependence in the multivariate information that can be used to improve cost efficiency? Classifying for multiple attributes remains an open problem in composite sampling.

**Logistical:**

When retesting of certain individual samples may be required based on composite sample results, then subsamples (aliquots) of the original individual samples must be preserved and stored until all testing is done. This may lead to extra expense that must be considered in the overall cost comparison between compositing and other strategies. For most environmental and public health studies, the analytical savings from compositing will far outweigh the extra cost of sample preservation and storage.

Another consideration is that events out of control of the scientists may dictate the feasibility of composite sampling. For example, people whose wells are being tested may demand that their wells be treated as equitably as the wells of their neighbors. Measuring some well samples individually and some well samples solely as part of a composite may give an appearance of inequitability and result in a political decree to measure each well individually (Rajagopal, 1990).

Circumstances that may presently disqualify composite sampling from being applied may change upon advances in technology. Long turn-around time for laboratory results and large labor costs may currently eliminate optimal retesting designs from consideration. However, retesting designs in the future may be automated and guided by an expert system (Rajagopal, 1990). Also, advances in statistical methodology may further extend the utility of composite sampling.

3. Applications

Composite sampling has its roots in what is known as group testing. An early application of group testing was to estimate the prevalence of plant virus transmission by insects (Watson, 1936). In this application, insect vectors were allowed to feed upon host plants, thus allowing the disease transmission rate to be estimated from the number of plants that subsequently become diseased.

Apparently, the next important application of group testing occurred during World War II when U.S. servicemen were tested for syphilis by detecting the presence or absence of a specific antigen of the syphilis-causing bacterium in samples of their blood (Dorfman, 1943). Initial analyses were done on composite samples formed from aliquots of blood drawn from the subjects. A composite sample testing negative indicated that all individuals contributing to the composite were negative, while a composite testing positive prompted exhaustive retesting of the original aliquots comprising that composite. If blood aliquots of, $k$ individuals are composited, the number of required tests to classify these $k$ individuals will either be 1 or $k + 1$. For a given prevalence of the trait, the expected number of tests can be calculated for a composite of size $k$. This application has gone on to become a classic example of how statistical cleverness can assist researchers in attaining what we call observational economy (Rao, 1989).

In light of recent developments, composite sampling is increasingly becoming an acceptable practice for sampling soils, biota, and bulk materials when the goal is estimation of some population value under restrictions of a desired standard error and/or limits on the cost of sample measurement.

In response to an informal survey of various professionals, several favorable applications of composite sampling were received. They include:

- Establishing and verifying attainment of remedial cleanup standards in soils using sample compositing and bootstrapping techniques
- Use of compositing to obtain adequate support in geostatistical sampling
• Optimal compositing strategies for screening material for deleterious agents

• A soil sample design utilizing techniques of compositing, binary search, and confidence limits on proportions

• Composite sampling for analyzing foliage and other biological materials

While many diverse applications exist for composite sampling, some examples that are particularly relevant to environmental and public health studies are detailed in the remainder of this chapter.

3.1. Soil Sampling

3.1.1. Characterization of Soil PCB Contamination at Gas Pipeline Compressor Stations

As part of a recent settlement between the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources and the Texas Eastern Pipeline Company, PCB-contaminated soils had to be characterized and remediated at 19 sites. Because waste sources included indiscriminate dumping, disposal in trash pits, air emissions and even application as weed killer along fence lines, the resulting spatial distribution of contaminated soil was very heterogeneous, with hot spot locations unknown. Therefore, the only way to reliably characterize these sites required a very large number of soil samples, around 12,000 to be more precise. With each sample analyzed for total PCBs, the cost for site characterization alone was around $33 million. Now to really appreciate the magnitude of the problem, one must realize this discussion only pertains to the Pennsylvania settlement. The problem extends along the whole pipeline from the Gulf Coast to New England.

Results of a retrospective study (Gore, Patil, and Taillie, 1992; Patil, Gore and Sinha, 1994), using the actual site characterization data, revealed that composite sampling methods potentially could have substantially reduced the analytical costs.

Three aspects of the data were evaluated: (i) estimation of the mean and variance of total PCB concentration as well as total PCB mass, (ii) classification of each individual (uncomposited) sample as above or below a specified critical level, and (iii) quantification of those individual samples with the highest PCB levels.

Results showed that unbiased estimates of the mean and variance could be obtained with one fourth the number of analyses (90 instead of 360). A small loss of precision resulting from compositing seemed quite acceptable in
light of large analytical cost reduction. Compositing can actually increase precision if composites are purposely formed to increase heterogeneity within composites; however, in this case composites were formed from spatially proximate field samples in order to minimize heterogeneity within composites. This approach was taken because it provides for the most efficient retesting for classifying individual samples, which, as with most hazardous waste sites, was the primary objective.

A site was acceptably clean if 90% of the measured samples were below 10 parts per million (ppm) with no values exceeding 25 ppm. With characterization data from the worst of the nineteen sites, compositing could have reduced the analytical cost of classifying individual samples according to the 10 ppm criterion by 9%, relative to exhaustive testing. Starting from this nearly worst case scenario, the cost savings increase as we move to cleaner sites and should be dramatic when analyzing post-remediation verification data. For example, another site along the pipeline that is cleaner, although still contaminated, could have had all individual samples classified according to 10 ppm for 50% less of the analytical cost associated with exhaustive testing. (See Gore, Boswell, Patil, and Taillie, 1992).

Finally, if concerned with simply knowing which individual sample has the highest concentration, we could have discovered this by exhaustively retesting just two composite samples. In other words, with only eight measurements in addition to the 90 composite measurements, we could have identified the “hottest” spot. Furthermore, 12 additional measurements could have revealed the locations with the four highest concentrations (See Patil, Gore and Sinha, 1994).

Keep in mind that the percentages cited here result from a retrospective study where expected composite values were estimated by arithmetically averaging individual values. Since this approach assumes no measurement error (but some is expected due to incomplete homogenization of samples), these percentages are best interpreted as potential savings.

### 3.1.2. Characterization of Soil PAH Contamination at a Superfund Site

In another study involving remediation of contaminated soil (Messner, et al, 1990), the investigators wanted to determine which half-acre plots at a Superfund site should be remediated. The contaminant was total polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and the cleanup objective was to remediate any plots that posed greater than a $10^{-4}$ risk based on direct ingestion as the most likely route of exposure.

These investigators concluded that the most cost-effective sampling design
was to take two composite samples from each half-acre plot, with each of the
two composites consisting of ten individual samples. Even when considering
the influence of small "hot spots," the proposed composite sampling design
assured a high probability of making the correct decision. Since the estimated
cost per analysis for this study was $800, the savings due to compositing is
phenomenal.

3.2. Ground Water Monitoring

As the distribution of a constituent in a given medium becomes more ho-
mogeneous, measurement error decreases, making composite sampling more
feasible. For this reason, composite sampling has great economic potential
for analyzing dissolved solutes, whether the solvent is water or some other
liquid. In fact, a study of composite sampling of wastewater (Schaeffer, Ker-
ster and Janardan, 1980) showed that variability of analytical results due to
compositing was an insignificant source of total variability.

Rajagopal and Williams (1989) critically evaluated the economy of com-
positing ground water samples when screening a large monitoring network in
order to identify contaminated wells. With a binary retesting scheme, com-
positing resulted in decreased analytical effort and subsequent cost when no
more than about 12.5% of the wells were contaminated. Of course the savings
increased as the number of contaminated wells in the network decreased.

When more than one out of eight wells were contaminated, the number
of analyses increased over the amount required’ by initial exhaustive testing,
with the worst case scenario resulting in 50% additional analyses. If, however,
curtailed retesting was performed instead of straight binary retesting, the
absolute maximum exceedance of analyses would be 31% over that required
by initial exhaustive retesting. This number of additional analyses becomes
even smaller as the distribution of contaminated wells becomes contagious
(or clumped); therefore the rate of 31% additional analyses is absolute worst
case.

As seen here, if the number of contaminated wells is expected to be gen-
erally low, (e.g. less than 12%), compositing can be economically attractive.

3.3. Indoor Air Monitoring for Allergens

Quantification of specific allergens in dust from human dwellings provides
important information for determining allergen exposure. The fact that in-
door allergens are not equally distributed in the dust of human dwellings
makes it difficult to estimate allergen exposure with a high degree of cer-
tainty. A composite sample may provide a more reliable estimate of indoor allergen exposure and minimize error associated with unequal distribution of allergens on discrete objects. Composite samples of household dust may provide useful information while minimizing the sample collection effort and analytical test costs.

In a recent study (Lintner et al., 1992), dust samples from three specific objects and composite samples from the same three objects were collected from the living rooms and bedrooms of 15 homes by a single technician. Discrete and composite samples were collected from floor, furniture (upholstery/bed) and window-coverings in both the living room and a bedroom of each home. Discrete samples were collected by vacuuming the specific objects for 10 minutes. Composite samples were collected in a defined sequence by vacuuming the three objects for 5 minutes each. In this way, the composites were formed at the time of sample collection by allowing the vacuum cleaner to do the physical mixing of the dust from several objects.

Results of this study seem to indicate that the actual measurement of a composite sample will be approximately the average of the values that would be obtained from separate measurements on discrete samples. However, if an object has a significantly higher allergen content than other objects, the composite sample measurement tends to be higher than the average of the discrete sample measurements. In order to effectively use composite sampling, only items which are likely sources of allergen should be used to form a composite sample.

3.4. Biomonitoring

3.4.1. Measuring Bioaccumulation in Human Adipose Tissue

The National Human Adipose Tissue Survey (NHATS) is an annual survey to collect and analyze a sample of adipose tissue specimens from autopsied cadavers and surgical patients (Orban, Lordo and Schemberger, 1990). The primary objectives of NHATS include:

- To identify chemicals that are present in the adipose tissue of individuals in the U.S. population,

- To estimate the average concentrations, with confidence intervals, of selected chemicals in adipose tissue of individuals in the U.S. population and in various demographic subpopulations, and

- To determine if geographic region, age, race and sex affect the average concentrations of selected chemicals detected in the U.S. population.
Every year approximately 800-1200 adipose tissue specimens are collected using a multistage sampling plan. First, the 48 conterminous states are stratified into four geographic areas, which form four strata. Next, a sample of metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) is selected from every stratum with probabilities proportional to MSA populations. Finally, several cooperators (hospital pathologists or medical examiners) are chosen from every selected MSA and asked to supply a specified quota of tissue specimens. The quota specifies the number of specimens needed in each of the following categories:

- Age groups: 0-14 years, 15-44 years, and 45+ years;
- Race: Caucasian and non-Caucasian; and
- Sex: Male and female.

The sampling plans are designed to give unbiased and efficient estimates of the average concentrations of selected chemicals in the entire population and in various subpopulations defined by the demographic variables described above. Concentrations are characterized by the average or median chemical concentrations; while prevalence is the proportion of individuals with chemical concentrations exceeding specified criterion levels.

Instead of analyzing 800-1200 individual specimens, only about 50 composite samples are analyzed. This not only reduces analytical cost, but also provides enough tissue mass to use high resolution gas chromatography / mass spectrometry which allows for a wider list of target chemicals to test for.

3.4.2. Assessing Contamination in Fish

When monitoring human tissue for assessing the bioaccumulation of contaminants, compositing was forced on the study in order to achieve sufficient mass of material for analysis. Now, with other organisms this is not typically a limitation because we can sacrifice the whole organism. Nevertheless, as researchers have shown (Paasivirta and Paukku, 1989), compositing is still preferable because it is much more cost-effective.

When concerned with the concentrations of a host of organochlorine compounds in Herring off of Finland's East Gulf, researchers recognized how expensive such monitoring could become. They therefore evaluated the effectiveness of composite sampling and concluded that costs could be reduced by about 54% using optimized composite sampling instead of analyzing individual fish. They also showed that average chemical concentrations could be estimated from composite samples with the same accuracy as a larger number of individual samples, and that optimum composite sample sizes could be easily calculated if laboratory variance can be predicted.
3.4.3. Assessing Contaminants in Mollusks

As part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s “Mussel Watch” program, 177 coastal sites were sampled from 1986 to 1988 (NOAA, 1989). While mussels were collected along the West Coast and northern East Coast, oysters were taken along the southern East Coast, the Gulf Coast and three sites in Hawaii.

Using the soft tissue of these mollusks, composite samples were made by homogenizing either 30 mussels or 20 oysters. Six composites were then used for chemical analysis, three for organics and three for trace elements.

Compositeing served two purposes here; to provide sufficient media (tissue) for analysis and to increase the information in each measurement. The statistics of interest were means and variances, therefore retesting of individual mollusks or groups thereof was not necessary and the desired information was obtained with minimal analyses.

3.4.4. Measuring Average Fat Content in Bulk Milk

Apparently, the economic value of composite sampling is well known in the dairy industry, where milk must be routinely analyzed. For example, the fat content of milk is determined on composite samples which are formed from samples using all deliveries during a specified period of time.

Since composite samples are known to provide an unbiased estimate of the population mean, dairy scientists are mainly concerned with the precision of a composite sample estimator compared to that of an individual sample estimator. Williams and Peterson (1978) developed a framework for assessing the precision of sampling schemes by estimating different sources of variation associated with the sampling process. They identified four components: variance due to real difference between collections from a supplier within a compositeing period (biological variance), variance among samples taken from the same collection (sample variance), variance among measurements on the same sample (testing variance) and the variance associated with forming a composite sample (compositeing variance).

Based on a study of sixty-one herd milk supplies in three different creamery locations, Connolly and O’Connor (1981) found that the biological components of variability were about 10 times as large as sampling or compositeing components, indicating that the true biological variability is not masked by the composite sampling process.
4. **Summary**

Compared to exhaustively testing all individual sample units, testing composite samples has the potential to greatly increase one’s observational economy when conducting environmental and public health monitoring.

When the objective is to estimate the population mean or total, compositing will always reduce analytical cost; however, a sufficient number of composite samples must still be obtained for estimating the variance.

When the objective is to classify each individual sample, with subsequent estimation of the prevalence of a binary trait or proportion of noncompliance measurements, testing composite samples with selective retesting becomes cost-effective when the prevalence or proportion is low. Examples of where composite sampling can be very cost-effective for classification include (i) estimating the prevalence of a rare disease and (ii) verifying if a hazardous waste site has been sufficiently remediated.
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