

Rules of Thumb					
Energy Efficiency in Buildings					
Cost Premiums	Benefits				
	Energy Savings	Cost Savings	Increased Productivity	Economic Development	Other Benefits
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Standard lighting retrofits:</i> \$0.90-\$1.20 per square foot.</li> <li>• <i>High-efficiency packaged and split system A/C equipment:</i> \$100- \$180 per ton more than standard efficiency models.</li> <li>• <i>Premium Efficiency Motors</i> (incremental costs vs. standard replacements): about \$16 per horse power (HP) for 1 HP-10 HP motors; \$8/HP for 11 HP to 100 HP.</li> <li>• <i>Variable frequency drives (VFDs):</i> \$150-\$200, installed.</li> <li>• <i>Commissioning new buildings:</i> \$0.50-\$3.00 per square foot.</li> <li>• <i>Retro-commissioning buildings:</i> \$0.05 and \$0.40 per square foot.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> overall consumption reductions of 20% to 30%, with reductions as high as 35%-40%, depending on aggressiveness.</li> <li>• <i>Retro-commissioned commercial building:</i> average savings of 1.7 kWh/ft<sup>2</sup> and average overall energy savings of 15%.</li> <li>• <i>Lighting retrofits:</i> save 10%-20% of total electric consumption in gas-heated buildings.</li> <li>• <i>High efficiency packaged and split-system cooling equipment:</i> 25% less cooling energy than standard equipment and 10%-15% less than ASHRAE standard.</li> <li>• <i>Building Operator training:</i> 0.35-1.2 kWh/ft<sup>2</sup> per year.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> reducing consumption by 20% to 30% can produce savings from 6%-9% of total annual costs.</li> <li>• <i>Converting constant volume HVAC systems to variable air volume systems:</i> can save between \$0.10/ft<sup>2</sup> to \$0.20/ft<sup>2</sup> or 10%-21% of HVAC energy costs.</li> <li>• <i>Installing premium efficiency motors and VFDs:</i> Potential energy cost savings are 50-85%.</li> <li>• <i>Peak energy-reducing measures:</i> produce proportionally greater cost savings than those that have mostly off-peak savings.</li> <li>• <i>Commissioning new buildings:</i> average savings of \$0.05/ft<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>• <i>Retro-commissioning existing buildings:</i> save around \$0.27/ft<sup>2</sup>, resulting in 15% energy savings and a payback period of 0.7 years.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> improved comfort and better air quality can increase productivity.</li> <li>• <i>Retrofitted buildings:</i> Increased savings from enhanced productivity can equal up to 10 times the energy cost savings.</li> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> 1% productivity improvements can offset entire annual utility costs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> For every \$1 spent in local economy, energy efficiency generates 57¢-84¢ more economic activity than does payment of energy bills.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Existing Buildings:</i> energy efficiency investments can increase asset value by \$2.00-\$3.00 for each \$1.00 spent.</li> <li>• <i>Existing buildings:</i> a lighting power reduction of 40% increases an ENERGY STAR rating by 10 points.</li> <li>• <i>Retro-commissioned buildings:</i> annual non-energy savings, such as extended equipment life and improved air quality, are approximately \$0.26/ft<sup>2</sup>.</li> </ul>

Rules of Thumb					
Green Buildings					
Cost Premiums	Benefits				
	Energy Savings	Cost Savings	Increased Productivity	Average Period Payback (years)	Other Benefits
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Green buildings: cost premiums average \$3/ft<sup>2</sup>- \$5/ft<sup>2</sup>, or less than 2% of initial costs.</li> <li>New high-performance green buildings: cost premium range from 2%-7%, depending on the specific design features integrated.</li> <li>LEED green buildings: additional cost of certified projects: 0%-2.5%, Silver 0%-3.5%, Gold 0.5%-5%, Platinum 4.5%+.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New green buildings: mean savings is 27%; mean value for actual consumption is 1% lower than modeled.</li> <li>New green buildings: 50% reduced consumption compared to conventional new buildings.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>New green buildings: energy cost savings compared to conventional design as high as \$0.47/ft<sup>2</sup>.</li> <li>Commissioning new buildings: average savings of \$0.05/ft<sup>2</sup>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Installing high-performance lighting: productivity improvements of 0.7%-26% with a median of 3.2%.</li> <li>Incorporating daylighting: productivity improvements of 0.45%-40%, mean of 5.5%.</li> <li>Increasing natural ventilation: productivity improvements of 3%-18%, mean of 8.5%.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-performance buildings: simple payback period can be as short as 2.0 years for offices, 2.1 years for libraries, and 2.6 years for schools.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GHG emissions reductions: as high as 36%.</li> <li>Reduced indoor and outdoor water consumption: 30% and 50%, respectively.</li> <li>Reduced waste consumption: 50%-75%.</li> <li>Value of non-energy benefits: 25%-50% of the value of annual electricity cost savings.</li> </ul>

Energy-Efficient Product Procurement – All Products				
Cost Premiums	Benefits			
	Energy Savings	Energy Cost Savings	Average Payback Period (years)	Emission Reductions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Energy-efficient as opposed to conventional products: cost premium varies with each product, but most often the difference is slight.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Energy-efficient product procurement: savings of 3%-12% of total building energy consumption.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Energy-efficient product procurement: energy cost savings of 4%-17% relative to total commercial energy costs.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 MWh of electricity saved: through energy-efficient product procurement equals emissions reductions of:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– 1,364 pounds of CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>– 5.6 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>– 2.2 pounds NO<sub>x</sub></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<b>Rules of Thumb</b>			
<b>Energy-Efficient Product Procurement – By Type of Product</b>			
<b>Product Category</b>	<b>Effective Date of Current Specification</b>	<b>Percent Savings Compared to Conventional Product</b>	<b>Cost-effectiveness (payback period)</b>
<b>Appliances</b>			
Dehumidifiers	October 2006	15%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Dishwashers	January 2007	40%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Refrigerators and freezers	April 2008	15%	4 years (refrigerators) 6 years (freezers)
Room air conditioners	November 2005	10%	Not available
Room air cleaners	July 2004	45%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
<b>Electronics</b>			
Battery charging systems	January 2006	35%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
DVD products	January 2003	60%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
External power adapters	January 2005	35%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Televisions	November 2008	25%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
<b>Envelope</b>			
Roof products	December 2007	Not available	< 4 years
<b>Lighting</b>			
Compact fluorescent lamps	January 2004	75%	< 1 year
<b>Office Equipment</b>			
Computers	July 2007	25% - 50%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Copiers	April 2007	65%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Monitors	July 2007	25%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Multifunction Devices	April 2007	20%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Printers, fax machines, and mailing machines	April 2007	15%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Scanners	April 2007	50%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)

<b>Rules of Thumb</b>			
<b>Energy-Efficient Product Procurement – By Type of Product</b>			
<b>Product Category</b>	<b>Effective Date of Current Specification</b>	<b>Percent Savings Compared to Conventional Product</b>	<b>Cost-effectiveness (payback period)</b>
<b>Heating and Cooling</b>			
Air source heat pumps	April 2006	5%	< 5 years
Boilers	April 2002	5%	< 1 year
Ceiling fans	September 2006	45%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Furnaces	October 2006	15%	< 3 years
Geothermal heat pumps	April 2001	30%	< 5 years for new construction
Light commercial HVAC	January 2004	5%	< 1 year
Ventilating fans	October 2003	70%	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
<b>Commercial Food Service</b>			
Commercial dishwashers	October 2007	30%	2 years
Commercial fryers	August 2003	15%	2 years (for typical unit)
Commercial ice makers	January 2008	25% - 30%	4 years (for typical unit)
Commercial solid door refrigerators and freezers	September 2001	35%	1 year
<b>Other</b>			
Water coolers	May 2004	45 %	0 years (typically no retail cost premium)
Vending machines	April 2004 August 2006 (rebuilt machines)	40 %	< 1 year

Rules of Thumb			
Green Power Purchases			
Cost Premiums	Benefits		
	Energy Savings	Energy Cost Savings	Emission Reductions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Green power</i>: about 2¢/kWh. Premiums vary by utility but range from 0.2¢/kWh-17.6¢/kWh</li> <li>• <i>Renewable energy certificates (RECs)</i>: 1¢/kWh (in ME) - about 5¢/kWh (in MA). Solar REC prices in NJ are the highest at 25¢/kWh</li> <li>• <i>RECs offered by a certificate marketer</i>: 0.5¢/kWh - 7.5¢/kWh, with an average of 2.3¢/kWh. RECs are also available at \$5.50/ton CO<sub>2</sub> to \$12/ton CO<sub>2</sub>, with an average of \$9.80/ton CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Utility green power programs</i>: For some utility green power programs, the premium can be negative, thus reducing energy bills. These premiums have been as low as -0.13¢/kWh</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Purchasing 1 MWh of green power is equivalent to:</i><sup>d</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– 0.14 passenger cars not driven for one year;</li> <li>– 0.52 acres of pine or fir forests storing carbon for one year;</li> <li>– 16 tree seedlings grown for 10 years;</li> <li>– 0.21 tons of waste recycled instead of landfilled; and</li> <li>– 71 gallons of gasoline.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Rules of Thumb			
Clean Energy Supply			
Total Costs	Benefits		
	Energy Savings or Energy Generated	Cost Savings	Emission Reductions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Small-scale CHP plant:</i> installed cost of \$1.60/W for systems less than 500 kW, and about \$1/W for systems between 0.5 MW and 5 MW. Installed costs for on-site CHP systems average around \$2.90/W in California.</li> <li>• <i>Solar photovoltaics:</i> average shipment price in 2004 was \$3.00/W; the average price in 2005 was \$3.20/W.<sup>c</sup>Total costs, including the inverter, installation, and balance of system range from \$6-\$9 per peak Watt. Installed costs for PV modules average around \$8.70/W in California and \$7.90/W in New Jersey.</li> <li>• <i>Small wind turbine:</i> including installation, ranges from \$14,700-\$20,800 for a low-range model; \$28,100-\$59,600 for a mid-range model; and \$105,000 and \$115,000, respectively, for two elite models. Installed costs for on-site wind generation average around \$3.60/W in California.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CHP systems:</i> energy savings as high as 40%.</li> <li>• <i>10 kW solar PV system:</i> generates 9,700 kWh/year to 16,800 kWh/year, depending on the location of the system.</li> <li>• <i>Wind turbine with 84-foot tower and 7-foot diameter (rated at 900 W):</i> generates 96 kWh/month at an average wind speed of 10 mph and 155 kWh/month at 12 mph.</li> <li>• <i>Wind turbine with 140-foot tower and 50-foot diameter (rated at 65 kW):</i> generates 3,674 kWh/month at 10 mph and 5,992 kWh/month at 12 mph.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CHP systems:</i> as high as 40% of the cost of operating separate heat and power systems.</li> <li>• <i>10 kW solar PV system:</i> from about \$600-\$1,400 per year, depending on the geographic location of the system.</li> <li>• <i>900W wind turbine:</i> cost savings range from \$9/mo.-\$14/mo per installed turbine.</li> <li>• <i>65 kW wind turbine:</i> range from \$330/mo -\$540/mo. Per installed turbine.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CHP systems:</i> equivalent to about 2.6 lbs NO<sub>x</sub>/MWh, 5.8 lbs SO<sub>x</sub>/MWh, and 1,200 lbs CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh.</li> <li>• <i>10 kW solar PV system:</i> from 12,000 lbs-20,000 lbs of CO<sub>2</sub>, 10 lbs-90 lbs of SO<sub>x</sub>, and 4 lbs- 90 lbs of NO<sub>x</sub> per year.</li> <li>• <i>Single 10-meter wind turbine with 750 kW capacity with wind speeds ranging between 12.5 and 13.4 mph:</i> 2.36 million lbs of CO<sub>2</sub>, 13,800 lbs of SO<sub>x</sub>, and 8,600 lbs of NO<sub>x</sub> in one year.</li> </ul>