# **Processing of Biogenic Organics from** the Surface to the Clouds

Steve Bertman (Western Michigan Univ.) <u>Kerri Pratt</u> (Univ. of Michigan) John Seeley (Oakland Univ.) Paul Shepson (Purdue Univ.)

Tim Starn (West Chester Univ. of Pennsylvania)

This research is funded by

U.S. EPA - Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Program

Grant # R835409

## **Acknowledgements**

- Alex Laskin, Julia Laskin (PNNL)
- Chris Wirth, Brian Stirm, Kevin McAvey, Chris Groff (Purdue)
- M. Victor Nhliziyo (Tuskegee)
- Shino Toma (Western Michigan)
- John Offenberg (EPA)
- Glenn Wolfe (UMBC)
- SOAS field team, particularly Annmarie Carlton (Rutgers)
- Funding:
  - EPA (R835409)
  - NSF (AGS-1228496, Shepson)
  - MS analysis performed at PNNL EMSL, sponsored by OBER DOE
  - University of Michigan College of LSA, Dept. of Chemistry, & Rackham Graduate School











Eric Boone (U. Michigan)

#### **SOAS Aircraft Effort:**

- Characterize CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O vertical profiles
- Compare atmospheric particle & cloud water organic molecular composition to gain insights into in-cloud aqueous-phase reaction pathways

#### **SOAS Centerville Ground Efforts:**

- Isoprene nitrate (including lab studies, Xiong et al., 2015, ACP), PAN and MPAN, speciated monoterpene concentrations
- Single-particle morphology & chemical composition (CCSEM-EDX and Raman microspectroscopy)
- Organic molecular composition (nano-DESI-MS)
- Vegetation survey



# **Clear Sky Vertical Profiles**

- $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $H_2O$
- Temperature, turbulence parameters

over forest



Paul Shepson (Purdue)



### SOAS – How does cloud processing impact high mass organic compounds?

### Few Cloud Water Measurements of Individual High Mass Organic Compounds Exist

- Fall, Germany Feng and Möller 2004, J. Atmos. Chem.
- Summer/Fall, Germany Van Pinxteren and Herrman 2007, J. Chromat. A
- Winter, Colorado Samy et al. 2010, Atmos. Environ.
- Summer, Missouri Pratt et al. 2013, Atmos. Environ.
- Winter, Colorado Zhao et al. 2013, ACP



#### Previous EPA STAR Grants: Pratt et al. 2013, Atmos. Environ. \*EPA STAR: G2007-STAR-E1 & 83504101 Biogenic VOC Influence on Cloud Water

- Cloud water collection
  & ESI-MS analysis
- CMAQ simulations for cloud sampling altitude in July over the Missouri Ozarks (high isoprene emissions)
- Detection of isoprenederived organosulfates in cloud water:





All proposed structures (Surratt et al. 2007, ES&T) are primary organosulfates that are resistant to hydrolysis (Darer et al. 2011, ES&T).

# **Focus: Aerosol & Cloud Sampling**

Boone et al. 2015, ES&T



Step 2: Cloud water sampling at ~1.8-2.0 km asl (middle/upper sections of developing cumulus clouds)

**Step 1:** Below-cloud particle sampling at ~1-1.2 km asl

- June 10, 13, & 27 flights with particle + cloud water sampling
- Moderate → very high convective instability (boundary layer influence)

# **Aircraft-based Sample Collection**

#### <u>Below-cloud particle collection</u>

- ~30-45 min of sampling onto Al foil using a rotating drum impactor (Bateman et al. 2009, PCCP)
- Analyzed particles from Stage 2 (0.34-1.2 μm) – expected to have activated to form cloud droplets
- Estimated ~20 ng of organic material collected

#### <u>Cloud water collection</u>

- ~30-45 min of sampling using a modified Mohnen slotted rod cloud water collector (Huebert et al. 1988, J. Atmos. Chem.)
- Cloud droplet 50% cut-point of ~5.5 μm (Kim & Boatman 1992, J. Atmos. Ocean Technol.)
- Typically ~5 mL of cloud water collected



#### cloud water collector



# **Mass Spectrometry Analysis**

- <u>Cloud water</u>: Direct injection electrospray ionization (ESI), following acetonitrile addition (70% by volume)
- <u>Atmospheric particles</u>: Nanospray desorption electrospray ionization (nano-DESI) using acetonitrile/water (70/30, by volume) as the solvent





- Orbitrap mass spectrometry (R = 100,000)
  - Negative ion mode; *m/z* 150-1000 (focus on *m/z* <400);</li>
    Kendrick mass defect analysis used to assign peaks

# No Change in Bulk O:C of High Mass Organics Observed with Cloud Processing



Boone et al. 2015, ES&T

- Expected organic compounds in cloud water to have a higher O:C ratio based on many bulk aqueous photochemistry lab studies (Ervens et al. 2011, ACP)
- However, no O:C ratio change in recent biogenic SOA aqueous photochemistry studies (Nguyen et al. 2012, ACP; Romonosky et al. 2014, JPCA)

### **Below-Cloud Aerosol vs. Cloud Water**

#### **Example Mass Spectra**



General Trends:

- Significant decreases in CHOS & increases in CHON (number) from particles → cloud water
- Decreasing overall molecular masses from particles → cloud Boone et al. 2015, ES&T

# Fragmentation of Isoprene SOA Oligomers in Cloud Water

2-methylglyceric acid (2MGA) oligomers (Surratt et al. 2006, JPCA) & glycoaldehyde oligomers (Nguyen et al. 2011, ACP)

- 2MGA oligomers enhanced in particlephase under lower RH (Nguyen et al. 2011, ACP; Zhang et al. 2011, ACP)
- 2MGA oligomers previously suggested to be observed in cloud water (Pratt et al. 2013, AE)

Boone et al. 2015, ES&T



## **In-Cloud Hydrolysis of Organosulfates**



- $ROSO_3H + H_2O \leftrightarrow ROH + H_2SO_4$
- ~70% of CHOS compounds had corresponding hydrolysis product CHO formulas in the cloud water Boone et al. 2015, ES&T

# **Most Abundant Organosulfates**

#### Across all particle-phase samples:

- m/z 211 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>), 213 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>), 215 (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>7</sub>S<sup>-</sup>)
- Attributed to isoprene oxidation
- Particle samples by number: 30-50% of identified organosulfates attributed to isoprene oxidation, with the remaining identified organosulfates corresponding to monoterpene oxidation.
- Particle samples by intensity: >90% from isoprene oxidation



# **Primary Organosulfates**

 Only abundant organosulfate observed in cloud water (also observed in particles):



- <u>Primary</u> isoprene-derived organosulfate
- Hydrolysis lifetime >2500 h (Darer et al. 2011, ES&T)
- Previously measured in cloud water (Pratt et al. 2013, Atmos. Environ.)

# **Tertiary Organosulfates**

- Considering all proposed organosulfates with structures identified based on comparisons to lab studies:
  - 50-86% particle-phase organosulfates had <u>tertiary</u> functionality.
  - Shorter hydrolysis lifetimes of ~20-460 h at neutral pH, shorter in acidic cloudwater (Darer et al. 2011, ES&T)
  - Only 12% of these tertiary organosulfates were observed in cloud water.

Boone et al. 2015, ES&T

# **Formation of CHON Compounds**



Boone et al. 2015, ES&T

- On average, ~4x more CHON compounds detected in cloud water
- Average N:C ratio increased from 0.03 for particles to 0.14 for cloud water (0.3 for CHON compounds in cloud water)
- Not organonitrates, ~50% expected to have aromatic structures (chromophores)
- Formation mechanism? More lab studies needed!

## **Aqueous Processing of Atmospheric Organic Particles in Cloud Droplets**

- Significant influence of BVOC oxidation products during convective cloud events during SOAS
- No overall trend in O:C ratio with cloud processing
- In-cloud fragmentation of isoprene oxidation oligomers
- Hydrolysis of tertiary organosulfates in cloud droplets



- Formation of CHON compounds
- Additional measurements of high molecular weight compounds in atmospheric particles and cloud droplets are needed to assess cloud processing pathways!