United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9

San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund

Request for Proposals April 15, 2016

Agency Name: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 (EPA) Funding Opportunity Name: San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund, FY2016 Announcement Type: Request for Proposals Funding Opportunity Number: EPA-R9-SFBWQIF-16-01 Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA): 66.126

SUMMARY

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 (EPA) is soliciting proposals under this announcement from eligible entities to improve water quality and restore aquatic habitat (i.e. wetlands) in San Francisco Bay and its watersheds. Proposals must fully describe the scope of the project, budget and environmental results. Criteria, as described in Section V, will be used to evaluate proposals.

The total amount anticipated to be awarded under this announcement is approximately \$4,200,000 of fiscal year 2016 funds under the authority of Section 320 of the Clean Water Act. Awards will range from approximately \$800,000 to \$2,000,000 of federal funds with a typical project period of four years. **Applicants must provide a non-federal match of 50% of the total cost of the project.** A broad range of entities, as described in Section III, are eligible to submit proposals under this announcement for projects that protect and restore the San Francisco Bay and its watersheds within the nine Bay Area counties (Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco.)

Important Dates

May 27, 2016	Proposals must be received by EPA via Grants.gov by 5pm Pacific Standard Time.
July 2016	Selected applicants notified.
August 2016	Final application packages submitted to EPA.
September 2016	Awards made.

The above dates (other than the May 27, 2016 proposal submission date) are anticipated dates and may be subject to change.

Contact: Luisa Valiela Phone: (415) 972-3400 Email: <u>valiela.luisa@epa.gov</u>

Contents of Full Text Announcement:

- I. Funding Opportunity Description
- II. Award Information
- III. Eligibility Information
- IV. Proposal and Submission Information
- V. Proposal Review Information
- VI. Award Administration Information
- VII. Agency Contact
- VIII. Other Information

I. Funding Opportunity Description

<u>A. Background.</u> EPA has been administering the San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund (SFBWQIF), a competitive grant program for the protection and restoration of San Francisco Bay and its watersheds, since 2008. To date, EPA has awarded over \$40 million in 58 projects through 33 grant awards. These projects include an additional \$149 million in leveraged funding to restore wetlands, restore water quality, and green development. Green development practices use natural hydrologic processes to treat polluted runoff. Information on these projects along with application assistance is available on the EPA SFBWQIF website. Applicants are advised to read the SFBWQIF Progress Report (2008-2014) found on our website in advance of submitting a proposal (see link: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-</u> fund#progress .)

B. Program Priorities. EPA is seeking technically sound projects to improve water quality and restore aquatic habitat (i.e. wetlands.) Achieving significant environmental results is an overall program priority. Therefore, environmental results, also referred to as outcomes, must be quantitatively described with timeframes for achieving the results. Applicants are encouraged to develop their projects utilizing an "outcome framework" to maximize the likelihood of achieving the most significant environmental results. For more information on quantifying anticipated water quality results, see Section I.C.2. "Environmental Outputs and Outcomes."

EPA is seeking multi-objective environmental projects. Such projects should include diverse partnerships and community involvement, ensure cost-effective designs and activities, and produce multiple benefits. On-the-ground projects are encouraged to include partnerships with agencies and/or entities that have purview over water quality, habitat and land use decisions. EPA is also encouraging projects that benefit underserved communities (e.g. economically disadvantaged communities and other populations that experience disproportionate environmental harm and health risks as a result of greater vulnerability to environmental hazards) by increasing natural habitat and "greener" infrastructure in those areas.

EPA anticipates that most proposals will encompass implementation projects. However, planning and assessment projects will also be considered based on the proposal demonstrating a strong commitment that the plan will be implemented (including funding and permitting considerations) to achieve the desired environmental results.

EPA is encouraging proposals that will achieve environmental results in geographic areas within the nine counties that have never received SFBWQIF funding. SFBWQIF funded projects can be found on the Project Map located on the SFBWQIF website (see link provided in Section VIII.C).

Projects should account for climate change to help ensure that the project achieves its expected outcomes even as the climate changes and/or helps to mitigate the effects of climate change. As appropriate to project type, the scope and approach described in the

proposal should include a brief discussion of climate change considerations, for instance, how sea level rise was factored into the wetlands or stream restoration design, or how changing rainfall patterns were considered in the design of green infrastructure projects.

Implementation projects should be based on existing plans, such as a restoration plan, a TMDL, or a stormwater/green infrastructure plan. All project proposals must be consistent with the San Francisco Estuary Partnership's (SFEP) Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP). Therefore, proposals must indicate the CCMP objective(s) and action(s) to be implemented under the project. A list of the CCMP objectives and actions that address program priorities is included as Attachment A and should be used as a basis to provide this information in the proposal narrative. For additional information on the CCMP refer to the website link in Section VIII.C.

Applicants are encouraged to address to the fullest extent possible recommended strategies provided in <u>The Baylands and Climate Change: Science Update, 2015</u> (see link provided in Section VIII.C.), which emphasizes restoring habitat connectivity, rebuilding natural hydrology, incorporating low-impact development to reduce stormwater runoff, and increasing the multiple benefits in restoration projects by piloting new technology.

All projects that include a monitoring component should be compatible with the California Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), the California Wetland and Riparian Area Monitoring Program, and/or the San Francisco Bay Regional Monitoring Program (RMP) (see links in Section VIII.C). All projects should include an information transfer component to promote the use of project results by other San Francisco Bay watersheds.

The program priorities described above are reflected in the evaluation criteria (Section V.A) that proposals will be evaluated against. Proposals that more completely address these program priorities will likely be ranked more highly based on these criteria. In preparing your proposal, EPA encourages you to carefully review these priorities and the evaluation criteria to develop and describe your projects.

<u>C. EPA's Strategic Plan Linkage and Environmental Results.</u> Pursuant to Section 6a of EPA Order 5700.7, "Environmental Results under EPA Assistance Agreements," EPA must link proposed assistance agreements to its Strategic Plan. EPA also requires that applicants and recipients adequately describe environmental outputs and outcomes to be achieved under assistance agreements. <u>https://www.epa.gov/planandbudget/strategicplan</u>

1. Linkage to EPA Strategic Plan. All proposals must support EPA's strategic goals to improve and restore impaired water quality on a watershed basis and facilitate ecosystem-scale protection and restoration under EPA Strategic Plan Goal 2 – Protecting America's Waters, Objective 2.2 (Protect and Restore Watersheds and Aquatic Ecosystems), and Strategic Measures (Improve Water Quality on a Watershed Basis and Increase Wetlands.) (http://www.epa.gov/planandbudget/)

2. Environmental Outputs and Outcomes. The significance of environmental outputs and outcomes you expect to achieve will be considered under the evaluation criteria during the selection process and must be addressed in the proposal submission (See Sections IV. and V.)

a. Outputs. The term "output" means an activity, effort, and/or work product that will be produced or provided over a specific period of time or by a specific date. Outputs (or project deliverables) may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period. Proposals must include a description of project outputs and the way in which recipients will track project milestones throughout the grant period. Project output examples may include, but are not limited to, number of presentations made, number of participants at an event, and draft and final reports produced.

Progress reports and a final report will also be a required output, as specified in Section VI.D. of this announcement, "Reporting Requirements."

b. Outcomes. The term "outcome" means an environmental result, effect or consequence that will occur from carrying out project activities and/or completing outputs related to an environmental goal. Outcomes may be environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, but must be quantitative. Proposals must include a description of project outcomes resulting from the project outputs, even if the outcome to be achieved is beyond the assistance agreement funding period. For projects that are implementing activities called for in a plan such as a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) or a restoration plan with an extended (e.g. 20-50 year time horizon) timeframe, applicants should explain the degree to which the activities move towards the stated long-term goal or outcome. Outcomes expected as a result of the awards under this announcement may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Acres of wetlands/other aquatic habitat restored.
- Pollutant load reductions, attainment of water quality objectives, or other numeric indicators of water quality improvement.
- Percentage of land area where Low Impact Development has been or will be implemented to achieve water quality improvements and/or other habitat enhancements.

Additional information regarding EPA's definition of environmental results in terms of "outputs" and "outcomes" can be found at:

https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-03/documents/epa_order_5700_7a1.pdf and https://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/application-assistance#results.

D. Statutory Authority. The funds for the awards that will be made under this announcement were appropriated to EPA in, and will be awarded in furtherance of, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016, and will be awarded under Section 320 of the Clean Water Act (National Estuary Program), 33 U.S.C. §1330.

II. Award Information

A. Available Funding. The total amount available under this announcement is approximately \$4.2 million. The amount of federal funding per award is estimated to range from approximately \$800,000 to \$2,000,000. EPA anticipates awarding 3 to 5 grants or cooperative agreements under this solicitation.

Funding for the projects is not guaranteed and is subject to the availability of funds, the evaluation of proposals based on the criteria in this announcement, and other applicable considerations. In addition, EPA reserves the right to make no awards, or fewer awards than expected, under this announcement. Further, award of funding through this competition is not a guarantee of future funding.

EPA also reserves the right to make additional awards under this announcement, consistent with Agency policy and guidance, if additional funding becomes available after the original selection decisions. Any additional selections for awards will be made no later than six months after the original selection decisions.

<u>B. Project Period for Awards.</u> The estimated project period for awards resulting from this solicitation will begin in September 2016. Previously funded projects have typically had project periods of 4 years.

<u>**C. Partial Funding.**</u> In appropriate circumstances, EPA reserves the right to partially fund proposals/applications under this announcement by funding discrete activities, portions, or phases of proposed projects. If EPA decides to partially fund a proposal, it will do so in a manner that does not prejudice any applicants or affect the basis upon which the proposal/application, or portion(s) thereof, was evaluated and selected for award, and that maintains the integrity of the competition and selection process.

D. Funding Type. Successful applicants will be issued a grant or cooperative agreement as appropriate. A cooperative agreement is an assistance agreement that is used when there is substantial federal involvement with the recipient during the performance of an activity or project. EPA awards cooperative agreements for those projects in which it expects to have substantial interaction with the recipient throughout the performance of the project. EPA will negotiate the precise terms and conditions of "substantial involvement" as part of the award process. Federal involvement may include close monitoring of the recipient's performance; collaboration during the performance of the scope of work; reviewing qualifications of key personnel (EPA will not select employees or contractors); and/or review and comment on the content of printed or electronic publications prepared (final decisions on the content of reports rests with the recipient.)

III. Eligibility Information

A. Eligible Applicants. The following entities are eligible to apply for funding under this announcement unless restricted by the authorizing statute(s): State, local government agencies, districts, and councils; regional water pollution control agencies and entities; State coastal zone management agencies; public and private universities and colleges; and public or private non-governmental, non-profit institutions. Non-profit organizations must have documentation of non-profit status from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service or their state of incorporation, except that non-profits organizations as defined in Section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code that engage in lobbying as defined in Section 3 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act 1995 or superseding legislation are ineligible.

B. Cost Sharing/Match Requirement. Matching funds of 50% of the total project cost are required under this competition based on the requirements of Section 320 of the CWA. Accordingly, EPA is requiring applicants to identify the non-federal match of 50% on Form 424(A). A 50% match means EPA will fund a maximum of 50% of the total project cost, which is essentially an equal cost share of the total project cost with the applicant. Matching funds can come in the form of cash and in-kind contributions, such as the use of volunteers and/or donated time, equipment, expertise, etc., consistent with the regulations governing matching fund requirements (2 CFR §200.306) from your own organization and/or your project partners. Federal funds may not be used to meet the match requirement for this grant program unless authorized by the statute governing their use.

<u>**C. Ineligible Activities.**</u> If a proposal is submitted that includes any ineligible tasks or activities, that portion of the proposal will be ineligible for funding and may, depending on the extent to which it affects the proposal, render the entire proposal ineligible for funding.

D. Threshold Eligibility Criteria. These are requirements which if not met by the time of proposal submission will result in elimination of the proposal from further consideration for funding. Only proposals that meet all of these criteria will be ranked against the evaluation criteria (see Section V) of this announcement. Applicants deemed ineligible for funding consideration as a result of the threshold eligibility review will be notified within 15 calendar days of the ineligibility determination.

1. Applicant Eligibility: Applicants must meet the applicant eligibility requirements described in Section III. A.

2. Priorities: Proposals must demonstrate that the project(s) improves water quality and/or restores wetlands in San Francisco Bay and its watersheds.
3. EPA Strategic Plan: Proposals must support Strategic Plan Goal 2 of EPA's Strategic Plan as specified in Section I.C.1.

4. Fifty (50) % Match: Applicants must demonstrate in their proposal how they will provide a 50% match of the total project cost as described in Section III. B.
5. CCMP Consistency: Proposals must describe how the proposed activities are consistent with SFEP's CCMP (see Attachment A) and identify relevant CCMP objective(s) and action(s) to be implemented by the project.

6. Substantial Compliance: Proposals must substantially comply with the proposal submission instructions and requirements set forth in Section IV or else they will be rejected. However, where a page limit is expressed in Section IV with respect to the proposal narrative, pages in excess of the page limitation will not be reviewed.

7a. Proposal Submission: Proposals must be submitted through **Grants.gov** as stated in Section IV of this announcement (except in the limited circumstances where another mode of submission is specifically allowed for as explained in Section IV) on or before the proposal submission deadline of **5:00pm Pacific Standard Time on May 27, 2016.** Applicants are responsible for following the submission instructions in Section IV of this announcement to ensure that their proposal is timely submitted.

7b. Proposals submitted after the submission deadline will be considered late and deemed ineligible without further consideration unless the applicant can clearly demonstrate that it was late due to EPA mishandling or because of technical problems associated with Grants.gov or relevant SAM.gov system issues. An applicant's failure to timely submit their proposal/application through Grants.gov because they did not timely or properly register in SAM.gov or Grants.gov will not be considered an acceptable reason to consider a late submission. Applicants should confirm receipt of their proposal with Luisa Valiela as soon as possible after the submission deadline—failure to do so may result in your proposal not being reviewed.

8. Project Location: Projects must occur within one or more of the nine Bay Area counties (Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco).

IV. Proposal and Submission Information

A. Requirement to Submit Through Grants.gov and Limited Exception Procedures. Complete proposal packages must be submitted electronically through Grants.gov by **5:00 pm Pacific Standard Time on May 27, 2016.** Grants.gov allows an applicant to download an application package template and complete the package offline based on agency instructions. After an applicant completes the required package, they can submit the package electronically to Grants.gov, which transmits the package to EPA. Complete instructions for submitting applications via Grants.gov are included as **Attachment B** to this announcement.

Applicants, except as noted below, must apply electronically through Grants.gov under this funding opportunity based on the grants.gov instructions in this announcement. If an applicant does not have the technical capability to apply electronically through grants.gov because of limited or no internet access which prevents them from being able to upload the required application materials to Grants.gov, the applicant must contact OGDWaivers@epa.gov or the address listed below in writing (e.g., by hard copy, email) *at least 15 calendar days prior to the submission deadline under this announcement* to request approval to submit their application materials through an alternate method. Mailing Address: OGD Waivers c/o Barbara Perkins USEPA Headquarters William Jefferson Clinton Building 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N. W. Mail Code: 3903R Washington, DC 20460

Courier Address: OGD Waivers c/o Barbara Perkins Ronald Reagan Building 1300 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Rm # 51267 Washington, DC 20004

In the request, the applicant must include the following information:

Funding Opportunity Number (FON) Organization Name and DUNS Organization's Contact Information (email address and phone number) Explanation of how they lack the technical capability to apply electronically through Grants.gov because of 1) limited internet access or 2) no internet access which prevents them from being able to upload the required application materials through Grants.gov.

EPA will only consider alternate submission exception requests based on the two reasons stated above and will timely respond to the request -- all other requests will be denied. If an alternate submission method is approved, the applicant will receive documentation of this approval and further instructions on how to apply under this announcement. Applicants will be required to submit the documentation of approval with any initial application submitted under the alternative method. In addition, any submittal through an alternative method must comply with all applicable requirements and deadlines in the announcement including the submission deadline and requirements regarding proposal content and page limits (although the documentation of approval of an alternate submission method will not count against any page limits).

If an exception is granted, it is valid for submissions to EPA for the remainder of the entire calendar year in which the exception was approved and can be used to justify alternative submission methods for application submissions made through December 31 of the calendar year in which the exception was approved (e.g., if the exception was approved on March 1, 2015, it is valid for any competitive or non-competitive application submission to EPA through December 31, 2015). Applicants need only request an exception once in a calendar year and all exceptions will expire on December 31 of that calendar year. Applicants must request a new exception from required electronic submission through Grants.gov for submissions for any succeeding calendar year. For example, if there is a competitive opportunity issued on December 1, 2015 with a submission deadline of January 15, 2016, the applicant would need a new exception to

submit through alternative methods beginning January 1, 2016. Please note that the process described in this section is only for requesting alternate submission methods. All other inquiries about this announcement must be directed to the Agency Contact listed in Section VII of the announcement. Queries or requests submitted to the email address identified above for any reason other than to request an alternate submission method will not be acknowledged or answered.

B. Content of Proposal Submission. Applicants must include the information outlined below in their proposals. Proposals (the proposal narrative sections as described below) must be no longer than **fifteen (15) pages in total** (8 ½ x 11 inches, single spaced); pages in excess of this page limitation will not be reviewed. Applicants must also ensure that, to the extent not otherwise addressed below, their proposals (particularly the proposal narrative) provide information addressing all of the proposal evaluation criteria identified in Section V.A. and the threshold eligibility criteria identified in III.C. Forms 424, 424(A), a map, and letters of support DO NOT count toward the 15-page limit.

	Proposal Submission Content		
Applicant Information	Include applicant (organization) name, address, contact		
on Federal Assistance	person, phone number, fax and e-mail address. This form		
Standard Form 424	also requires a registration in the System for Award		
	Management (SAM.gov), a Dun and Bradstreet (D&B), and		
	Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number (see		
	Section VI.C. for more information).		
	http://www.epa.gov/ogd/AppKit/application.htm		
Budget Summary on	Provide overall budget information on the form's tabular		
Federal Assistance	format including the specific amount being requested from		
Standard Form	EPA, the required match, and the total project cost.		
424(A)	http://www.epa.gov/ogd/AppKit/application.htm		
Мар	Provide a map of the watershed and the proposed work areas		
	related to the project or, if more appropriate, provide a		
	schematic that helps explain the proposed project activities.		
Propos	al Narrative (subject to the 15-page limit)		
• <u>Abstract</u> - A brief	summary (approximately 200 words) of your project's		
environmental sig	environmental significance, major activities, timeframes, and measurable		
outcomes.			
• <u>Water quality improvement and/or wetlands restoration</u> - Discuss the specific			
improvement that	will be achieved by the project activities. Identify the		
watersheds and/or waterbodies to be addressed.			
• <u>Project activities</u> - Describe the proposed activities and highlight the plans and			
assessments that form the basis for the activities and expected results.			
• <u>Climate change</u> - Describe how anticipated climate change considerations			
were factored into the proposed activities and if the project will help mitigate			
or adapt to climate change.			
_			

Proposal Narrative (continued)

- <u>Timeframe</u>- Identify the timeframe for activities and environmental results. If planning and assessment activities are proposed, describe the likelihood of implementation, including key next steps, funding and timeframe for environmental results.
- <u>Provide the list</u> of relevant CCMP objectives and actions to be implemented by the project. You may use Attachment A as a reference.
- <u>Outputs and Outcomes</u>- Describe the project outputs (Section I.C.2.a.) and outcomes (Section I.C.2.b.). Please see the example "Output and Outcome Summary Table"* below as a suggested format to provide the required information.
- <u>Quantify</u>- Outputs may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period; outcomes must be quantitative and may be achieved beyond the assistance agreement funding period.
- <u>Provide context</u>- Discuss the extent, magnitude and significance of the anticipated outcomes. For example, identify pollutant load reductions to be achieved in terms of the overall reductions and timeframe necessary to achieve water quality standards.
- <u>Timeframe</u>- Outputs and outcomes achievable beyond the assistance agreement funding period should be included.
- <u>Tracking</u>- Identify how progress towards achieving the outputs and outcomes will be tracked and measured over the expected project time period. For some projects, this may include monitoring and the production of a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP).
- <u>Geographic Location</u>- Identify the geographic area by watershed and/or more specific location of the project(s).
- <u>Partnerships-</u> Describe your project partners and community involvement in carrying out your project. Provide specifics as to each partner's activities. Provide letters of support from partners to document their role. Describe how project partners will contribute to the project's stated environmental outcomes. If relevant, describe the partnership with an "underserved community", how that community is involved in and will benefit from your project.
- <u>Budget Detail-</u> Using a table format, clearly explain how EPA funds will be used. This section also provides an opportunity for a narrative description of the budget found in the SF 424(A). Applicants must itemize costs related to personnel, fringe benefits, contractual costs, travel, equipment, supplies, other direct costs, indirect costs, and total costs. Explanations of the costs associated with each project task, including match amounts in full or in part from project partners, should be provided. Description of costs should correspond to figures presented in the SF 424(A). A table highlighting key tasks and/or outputs for the length of the project with the associated budget breakdown is required. Discuss whether the overall project costs and the various components are cost-effective in furthering future implementation.

Proposal Narrative (continued)

• <u>Programmatic Capability and Past Performance history</u>- Submit a list of federally and/or non-federally funded assistance agreements (assistance agreements include federal grants and cooperative agreements but not federal contracts) similar in size, scope and relevance to the proposed project that your organization performed within the last three years (no more than 5 agreements and preferably EPA agreements), and describe how you were technically able to successfully complete and manage those agreements. For the grants listed above, also describe your history of meeting the reporting requirements under those agreements, including whether you timely reported progress towards achieving the expected outputs and outcomes of those agreements (and if not, explain why not) and whether you submitted acceptable final technical reports.

In evaluating applicants under these factors in Section V, EPA will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information from other sources, including information from EPA files and from current/prior grantors (e.g., to verify and/or supplement the information provided by the applicant). If you do not have any relevant or available past performance or past reporting information, please indicate this in the proposal and you will receive a neutral score for these factors (a neutral score is half of the total points available in a subset of possible points). If you do not provide any response for these items, you may receive a score of 0 for these factors.

- <u>Organizational experience</u>- Provide information on your organizational experience and plan for timely and successfully achieving the objectives of the proposed project.
- <u>Staff experience</u>- Provide information on your staff expertise/qualifications, staff knowledge, and resources or the ability to obtain them, to successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project.
- <u>Expenditure of Awarded Grant Funds-</u>Provide information on the approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner.

*EXAMPLE: Outputs & Outcomes Summary Table		
OUTPUTS	OUTCO (quantitative enviro	
(activity, effort, and/or work product during project period)	SHORT-TERM (1-5YRS)	LONG-TERM (5-20+YRS)

C. Intergovernmental Review. If selected for award, applicants must comply with the Intergovernmental Review Process and/or consultation provisions of Executive Order 12372. EPA's implementing regulations for this Executive Order can be found at 40 CFR Part 29.1-29.13. Applicants should consult the office or official designated as the single point of contact (SPOC) in their state for more information on the process the state requires to be followed in applying for assistance if the state has selected the program for review. You may find a listing of SPOCs at the following website maintained by the Office of Management and Budget: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_spoc.

D. Contracts and Subawards. EPA awards funds to one eligible applicant as the "recipient" even if other eligible applicants are named as "partners" or "co-applicants" or members of a "coalition" or "consortium." The recipient is accountable to EPA for the proper expenditure of funds and reporting requirements.

1. Subawards, Contracts, and Partnerships. Funding may be used to provide subawards of financial assistance, which includes using subawards to fund partnerships, provided the recipient complies with applicable requirements for subawards including those contained in 2 CFR Part 200. Applicants must compete contracts for services and products, including consultant contracts, and conduct cost and price analyses, to the extent required by the procurement provisions of the regulations at 2 CFR Part 200. Applicants are not required to identify subrecipients and/or contractors (including consultants) in their proposal/application. However, if they do, the fact that an applicant selected for award has named a specific subrecipient, contractor, or consultant in the proposal/application EPA selects for funding does not relieve the applicant of its obligations to comply with subaward and/or competitive procurement requirements as appropriate. Please note that applicants may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering or other firms assisting application.

Successful applicants cannot use subawards to avoid requirements in EPA grant regulations for competitive procurement by using these instruments to acquire commercial services or products from for-profit organizations to carry out its assistance agreement. The nature of the transaction between the recipient and the subrecipient must be consistent with the standards for distinguishing between vendor transactions and subrecipient assistance found at 2 CFR 200.330, and the definitions of subaward at 2 CFR 200.92 and subrecipient at 2 CFR 200.93. EPA will not be a party to these transactions. Applicants acquiring commercial goods or services must comply with the competitive procurement standards in 2 CFR 200.317-326 and cannot use a subaward as the funding mechanism.

2. Subawardee and Contractor Consideration during Evaluation. Section V of the announcement describes the evaluation criteria and evaluation process that will be used by EPA to make selections under this announcement. During this evaluation, except for those criteria that relate to the applicant's own qualifications, past performance, and reporting history, the review panel will consider, as appropriate and relevant, the qualifications, expertise, and experience of:

(i) an applicant's named subrecipients identified in the proposal/application if the applicant demonstrates in the proposal/application that if it receives an award that the subaward will be properly awarded consistent with the applicable regulations in 2 CFR Part 200. For example, applicants must not use subawards/subgrants to obtain commercial services or products from for profit firms or individual consultants.
(ii) an applicant's named contractor(s), including consultants, identified in the proposal/application if the applicant demonstrates in its proposal/application that the contractor(s) was selected in compliance with the competitive procurement standards in 2 CFR Part 200.317-326. For example, an applicant must demonstrate that it selected the contractor(s) competitively or that a proper non-competitive sole-source award consistent with the regulations will be made to the contractor(s), that efforts were made to provide small and disadvantaged businesses with opportunities to compete, and that some form of cost or price analysis was conducted. EPA may not accept sole source justifications for contracts for services or products that are otherwise readily available in the commercial marketplace.

EPA will not consider the qualification, experience, and expertise of named subrecipients and/or named contractors during the proposal evaluation process unless the applicant complies with these requirements.

E. Additional Provisions for Applicants under this Solicitation. Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation, including those related to confidential business information, proposal assistance and communications, management fees, and others can be found at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/grants/epa-solicitation-clauses</u>

These provisions are important and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

V. Proposal Review Information

A. Evaluation Criteria.

Proposals will be evaluated and scored by reviewers using the criteria listed below. Each proposal may receive up to 100 points. Applicants should explicitly address these criteria as part of their submittals.

Proposal Evaluation Criteria (100 pts)

Points	Proposal Evaluation Criteria
	1. <u>Scope/Approach</u> : Under this criterion, proposals will be evaluated based on
	whether they present:
	• a technically/scientifically sound approach for addressing water quality
	improvement and/or wetlands restoration through the proposed activities. (8 pts)
	• activities based on existing plans and assessments, such as watershed plans,
20	TMDLs and/or associated analysis, or stormwater/green infrastructure plans; or
	for proposed planning and assessment activities, the likelihood of subsequent
	implementation. (6 pts)
	• a description of the environmental significance of the project and its
	contribution to local or regional resiliency in the face of anticipated climate
	change effects. (6 pts)
	2. Environmental Results—Outputs and Outcomes: Under this criterion,
	proposals will be evaluated based on how well they demonstrate:
25	• well defined outputs throughout the project timeframe. (5 pts)
	• clearly described water quality and/or wetlands results that are specific,
	quantified and significant. (10 pts)
	• an effective plan for tracking and measuring progress toward achieving expected
	project outputs and outcomes, including those identified in Section I.C.2.a. and
	Section I.C.2.b.** (5 pts)
	• that environmental results will be achieved in a geographic area that has not yet
	received SFBWQIF funding. (5 pts)
	** EPA will consider the quality and scope of the monitoring component to measure
	environmental results under this criterion. If monitoring is not an appropriate
	project activity necessary to achieve and document results, proposals will not
• •	receive a lower rating under this criterion.
20	3. <u>Partnerships:</u> Under this criterion, proposals will be evaluated based on the
	quality and extent to which they demonstrate:
	• partnerships with underserved communities to reduce cumulative and legacy
	contamination and/or increase access to natural habitat and "greener"
	infrastructure. (5 pts)
	• diverse partnerships and community involvement, particularly those that
	contribute to expected environmental results and include agencies that have
	purview over water quality and land use decisions. (5 pts)

	• specifics as to the roles the partners will play to support the proposed project
	activities. Letters of support provided by partners to substantiate their role will
	be reviewed. (10 pts)
18	4. <u>Budget Detail</u> : Under this criterion, proposals will be evaluated based on:
	• the level of detailed budget information provided in a table format which should
	include an approximation of the cost allocated for each major activity over the
	project's expected timeline. Budget resources necessary for completing a
	Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), if applicable, sharing project
	information broadly, and reporting progress, are expected to be included. (13
	pts)
	• whether the overall project costs and the various components are cost-effective
	and reasonable in furthering future implementation of similar activities. (5 pts)
12	5. <u>Programmatic Capability and Past Performance</u> : Under this criterion,
	proposals will be evaluated based on the applicant's:
	• past performance in successfully completing and managing the assistance
	agreements identified in the proposal narrative as described in Section IV.C of
	the announcement. (3 pts)
	• history of meeting the reporting requirements under the assistance agreements
	identified in the proposal narrative as described in Section IV.C of the
	announcement including whether the applicant submitted acceptable final
	technical reports under those agreements and the extent to which the applicant
	adequately and timely reported on their progress towards achieving the expected
	outputs and outcomes under those agreements and if such progress was not
	being made whether the applicant adequately reported why not. (3 pts)
	• organizational experience and plan for timely and successfully achieving the
	objectives of the proposed project. (3 pts)
	• staff expertise/qualifications, staff knowledge, and resources or the ability to
	obtain them, to successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project. (3 pts)
	Note: In evaluating applicants under the first two items of this criterion, EPA will
	consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant
	information from other sources including agency files and/or prior/current grantors
	(e.g., to verify and/or supplement the information supplied by the applicant). If you
	do not have any relevant or available past performance or reporting information,
	please indicate this in the proposal and you will receive a neutral score for these
	subfactors (the first two items above-a neutral score is half of the total points
	available in a subset of possible points). If you do not provide any response for
	these items, you may receive a score of 0 for these factors.
5	6. <u>Expenditure of Awarded Grant Funds:</u> Under this criterion, proposals will be
	evaluated based on their approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that
	awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner. (5pts)

B. Review and Selection Process.

1. Proposals. Eligible proposals will be evaluated by the EPA Region 9 Review Committee which will score and rank the proposals using the evaluation criteria identified above in Section V.A. The Review Committee will consist of EPA staff and may also include representatives from other state or Federal agencies.

2. Final Selections and Awards. The Review Committee will provide rankings and funding recommendations based on the proposal scores to the selection official (Director of EPA Region 9 Water Division.) Final funding decisions will be made by the selection official. In making the final funding decisions, the selection official may also take into account the following factors: geographic distribution of funds, diversity of projects, and availability of funds. Applicants selected for funding will be asked to submit a final application package in order to award funds.

C. Additional Provisions for Applicants under this Solicitation.

Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation including the clause on Reporting and Use of Information Concerning Recipient Integrity and Performance can be found at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/grants/epa-solicitation-clauses</u>. These, and the other provisions that can be found at the website link, are important, and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notification. Notification to successful applicants will be made via electronic and/or postal mail by approximately August 2016. The notification will be sent to the original signer of the proposal or the project contact listed in the proposal. This notification, which informs the applicant that its proposal has been selected and is being recommended for award, is not an authorization to begin work. The official notification of an award will be made by EPA's Grants Management Office. Applicants are cautioned that only the Award Official is authorized to bind the Government to the expenditure of funds; selection does not guarantee an award will be made. For example, statutory authorization, funding or other issues discovered during the award process may affect the ability of EPA to make an award to an applicant. The award notice is the authorizing document and will be provided through electronic or postal mail. The successful applicant may need to prepare and submit additional documents and forms (e.g., work plan), which must be approved by EPA, before the grant can officially be awarded. The time between notification of selection and award of a grant can take up to 90 days.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification by email within 15 days of the final selections for award.

EPA reserves the right to negotiate and/or adjust the final grant amount and work plan content prior to award, as appropriate and consistent with Agency policy including the

Assistance Agreement Competition Policy, EPA Order 5700.5A1. An approvable work plan is required to include:

Work plan components to be funded under the grant;
 Estimated funding amounts for each work plan component;
 Work plan commitments/outputs for each work plan component and a timeframe for their accomplishment;
 Performance evaluation process and reporting schedule; and
 Roles and responsibilities of the recipient and EPA in carrying out the work plan commitments.

In addition, successful applicants will be required to certify that they have not been Debarred or Suspended from participation in federal assistance awards in accordance with 2 CFR Part 180.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements. The general award and administration process for all San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Funds is governed by regulations at 2 CFR Part 200, 2 CFR Part 1500, 2 CFR Part 189, and 40 CFR Part 33, and other applicable EPA policies. All costs incurred under this program must be allowable under 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E. Any recipient of funding must agree not to use assistance funds for lobbying, fund-raising, or political activities (i.e., lobbying members of Congress or lobbying for other Federal grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts.)

<u>**C. Reporting Requirements.</u>** Project monitoring and reporting requirements can be found in 2 CFR Part 200. In general, recipients are responsible for managing the day-to-day operations and activities supported by the grant or cooperative agreement to assure compliance with applicable federal requirements, and for ensuring that established milestones and performance goals are being achieved. Performance reports and financial reports must be submitted quarterly and are due 30 days after the reporting period. The content for these reports will be identified during the grant application time frame, and will include reporting on established performance measures indicated in the project description (i.e., goals, outputs and outcomes). The final report is due 90 days after the assistance agreement has expired.</u>

D. Pre-award Costs. Under this solicitation, EPA may consider the selected applicant's request to be reimbursed for costs incurred prior to the grant award. However, such costs must comply with the cost principles of 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E. Therefore, if costs are incurred before the award, they are incurred at the applicant's own risk. In addition, pre-award costs do not include any <u>direct</u> costs associated with responding to a solicitation or in finalizing the application package.

E. Compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act and other applicable environmental laws. Projects funded by the SFBWQIF are authorized under Section 320 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) also referred to as the National Estuary Program (NEP). The NEP does not require compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) pursuant to section 511 (c) (1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1371(c) (1). However, compliance with other Federal environmental laws, such as the Endangered Species Act and the National Historic Preservation Act, and state laws and regulations may be required.

F. Additional Provisions for Applicants under this Solicitation. Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation, including but not limited to those related to human subjects, DUNS, registration in the System of Award Management (SAM), copyrights, disputes, and administrative capability, can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/grants/epa-solicitation-clauses.

These, and the other provisions that can be found at the website link, are important, and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

VII. Agency Contact

For additional information, please contact:

Luisa Valiela EPA Region 9, Watersheds Office (WTR-2-2) San Francisco, CA 94105 415-972-3400 valiela.luisa@epa.gov

VIII. Other Information

<u>A. Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC).</u> Certain quality assurance and/or quality control (QA/QC) and peer review requirements are applicable to the collection of environmental data. Environmental data are any measurements or information that describe environmental processes, location, or condition; ecological or health effects and consequences; or the performance of environmental technology. Environmental data also include information collected directly from measurements, produced from models, and obtained from other sources such as data bases or published literature. Regulations pertaining to QA/QC requirements can be found in 2 CFR Part 200. Additional guidance can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/QUALITY/qa_docs.html.

Applicants should allow sufficient time and resources for this process in their proposed projects. If your organization does not have a Quality Management System in place, one must be developed. A project specific Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) must be submitted and approved by EPA if your project includes sampling of any kind. If your proposal is selected and a QAPP required, you are encouraged to contact the EPA's QA Office at 415-972-3411 as soon as possible to discuss the process and set up a schedule for review. Allow 3-4 months in your timeline for approval of these plans.

B. Assistance Agreement Terms and Conditions. As a Term and Condition of the grant, recipients will be required to institute standardized reporting requirements into their work plans and include such costs in their budgets. All environmental data generated as part of the project should be comparable to the state's Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP).

<u>**C. Useful References.**</u> This information is for reference purposes only. See Section VI.F. above for EPA's website guidelines.

• SFBWQIF Project Map

https://www.epa.gov/sfbay-delta/sf-bay-water-quality-improvement-fund-interactive-project-map

- S.F. Bay Area TMDLs and 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/water_issues/programs/TMDLs/
- California Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/swamp/
- S.F. Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board Basin Plan http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/basin_planning.shtml
- Bay Area's Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals http://baylandsgoals.org/
- San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Regional Monitoring Program http://wrmp.org/protocols.html#protocols
- SF Bay Regional Monitoring Program (RMP) http://www.sfei.org/rmp/
- San Francisco Bay Delta Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan http://www.sfestuary.org/about-the-estuary/documents-reports/
- Bay Area Integrated Regional Water Management Plan http://bairwmp.org/
- EPA Region 9 Watershed Priorities http://www.epa.gov/region09/water/watershed/index.html
- Handbook for Developing Watershed Plans to Restore and Protect Our Waters http://www.epa.gov/nps/watershed_handbook/

Attachment A: SFEP CCMP Objectives and Actions San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund

2016 Request for Proposals

Review this list to indicate the San Francisco Estuary Partnership's Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP) Objective(s) and Action(s) to be addressed by your proposed activities. The list includes the CCMP objectives and actions that address the program priorities for this grant program. Proposals must demonstrate consistency with the SFEP CCMP by indicating the CCMP objective(s) and action(s) to be implemented. Provide this information in the proposal narrative section of your proposal.

AQUATIC RESOURCES		
Objective AR-2	Species-specific and non-indigenous management actions	
Action AR-2.3	Control problem non-native invasive species	
Action AR-2.7	Identify & control fish contaminants	
Objective AR-4	Water management, flows affecting aquatic resources	
Action AR-4.7	Protect shaded riverine aquatic habitats	
Action AR-4.8	Increase shaded riverine aquatic habitat	
Action AR-4.9	Protect & maintain tule islands, tidal wetlands & berms	
Action AR-4.10	Decrease adverse effects of dredging & flood control	
Action AR-4.11	Protect remnant stream habitats	
Action AR-4.12	Protect marshes, wetlands, and tidal sloughs	
Objective AR-6	Develop & implement Upper Estuary programs	
Action AR 6.6	Bay tributary stream flow analysis and stream restoration	
Objective AR-7	Protect, enhance, and restore subtidal habitats	
Objective AR-9	Reduce and prevent marine debris	
Action AR-9.1	Improve understanding of types and impacts of marine debris	
Action AR-9.2	Expand existing prevention and cleanup programs	

WILDLIFE	
Objective WL-1	Create & restore critical plant & animal habitats
Action WL-1.1	Restore tidal salt marsh for clapper rail & salt marsh mouse
Action WL-1.3	Acquire & restore wetlands
Action WL-1.4	Restore tidal marshes
Action WL-1.5	Identify, convert, or restore non-wetlands to wetlands or riparian

WETLANDS MANAGEMENT

Objective WT-1	Create a comprehensive Estuary-wide wetlands management program
Action WT-1.3	Protect wetland buffer areas; transitional habitats
Action WT-1.4	Identify & protect & restore seasonal wetlands
Objective WT-3	Protect wetlands and expand acquisition
Action WT-3.2	Expand financial & technical assistance to landowners
Action WT-3.3	Encourage wetland protection bylaws
Objective WT-4	Expand wetland resource base
Action WT-4.1	Identify, convert, restore non-wetland to wetlands or riparian
Action WT-4.2	Prevent non-native invasive species in wetland restoration projects
Action WT-4.3	Identify, develop & implement success criteria for wetland restoration
Objective WT-5	Improve regional monitoring & tracking of restoration projects
Action WT-5.1.1	Implement wetlands tracking, data management & coordination

POLLUTION PREVENTION

Objective PO-1	Reduce pollutants by establishing a Pollution Prevention Program	
Action PO-1.2	Use effluent credits to encourage treating urban runoff	
Action PO-1.4.1	Improve agricultural practices to reduce pollutants	
Action PO-1.4.2	Implement control measures to reduce ag pollution	
Action PO-1.8	Pollution prevention to trash, bacteria, sediment & nutrients	
Objective PO-2	Improve regulatory systems for point & nonpoint source control	
Action PO-2.3	Control selenium and mercury in the Estuary	
Action PO-2.4	Urban runoff management update	
Action PO-2.5	Control measures for energy & transportation systems	
Action PO-2.6	Control agricultural sources of toxic substances	
Action PO-2.7	Reduce toxic loadings from mines	
Objective PO-3	Remediate pollution threats to public health and wildlife	
Action PO-3.1	Cleanup of contaminated sites—new priorities	
Action PO-3.2	Expedite cleanup of contaminated sites—improve processes	
Action PO-3.3	Funding of large-scale infrastructure improvements	
Objective PO-4	Improve water quality by restoring tidal wetlands, riparian &	
-	floodplains	
Action PO-4.1	Incentives to restore stream and wetland functions	
Action PO-4.2	Appropriate regulatory oversight and collaboration	
Action PO-4.3	Encourage opportunistic stream/wetland restoration	

WATER USE	
Objective WU- Develop water conservation methods & facilities	
2	
Action WU-2.1	Ensure efficient agricultural water management
Action WU-2.2	New methods of agricultural conservation
Action WU-2.3	Water districts & municipalities develop conservation measures

LAND USE AND WATERSHED MANAGEMENT

Objective LU-1	Improve planning, regulatory, and development programs of local, regional, and state agencies to protect resources of the Estuary	
Action LU-1.1	Local general plans should incorporate watershed protection	
Action LU-1.1.1	Incorporate nonpoint source controls into local govt. & businesses	
Action LU-1.5	Promote stormwater BMPs and guidelines for site planning	
Action LU-1.6	Educate and train planners, public works depts. & builders on sustainable	
	design and building practices	
Objective LU-2	Coordinate and improve integrated regional land use management	
Action LU-2.3	Adopt & implement regional polices to protect and restore natural	
	floodplains	
Action LU-2.6	Prepare and implement Watershed Management Plans	
Action LU-2.7	Adopt & implement natural stream & wetland function policies	
Action LU-2.8	Increase incentives to economically disadvantaged communities	
Objective LU-5	Develop new public & private economic incentives for protection	
Action LU-5.3	Promote private sector protection & restoration efforts	

Attachment B GRANTS.GOV SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS San Francisco Bay Water Quality Improvement Fund 2016 Request for Proposals

Grants.gov Instructions

The electronic submission of your application must be made by an official representative of your institution who is registered with Grants.gov and is authorized to sign applications for Federal assistance. For more information on the registration requirements that must be completed in order to submit an application through grants.gov, go to Grants.gov and click on "Applicants" on the top of the page and then go to the "Get Registered" link on the page. If your organization is not currently registered with Grants.gov, please encourage your office to designate an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and ask that individual to begin the registration process as soon as possible. Please note that the registration process also requires that your organization have a DUNS number and a current registration with the System for Award Management (SAM) and the process of obtaining both could take a month or more. Applicants must ensure that all registration requirements are met in order to apply for this opportunity through grants.gov and should ensure that all such requirements have been met well in advance of the submission deadline. Registration on grants.gov, SAM.gov, and DUNS number assignment is FREE.

Applicants need to ensure that the AOR who submits the application through Grants.gov and whose DUNS number is listed on the application is an AOR for the applicant listed on the application. Additionally, the DUNS number listed on the application must be registered to the applicant organization's SAM account. If not, the application may be deemed ineligible.

To begin the application process under this grant announcement, go to Grants.gov and click on "Applicants" on the top of the page and then "Apply for Grants" from the dropdown menu and then follow the instructions accordingly. Please note: To apply through grants.gov, you must use Adobe Reader software and download the compatible Adobe Reader version. For more information about Adobe Reader, to verify compatibility, or to download the free software, please visit Adobe Reader Compatibility Information on Grants.gov

You may also be able to access the application package for this announcement by searching for the opportunity on Grants.gov. Go to Grants.gov and then click on "Search Grants" at the top of the page and enter the Funding Opportunity Number, EPA-R9-SFBWQIF-16-01, or the CFDA number that applies to the announcement (CFDA 66.126), in the appropriate field and click the Search button. Alternatively, you may be able to access the application package by clicking on the Package button at the top right of the synopsis page for the announcement on Grants.gov. To find the

synopsis page, go to Grants.gov and click "Browse Agencies" in the middle of the page and then go to "Environmental Protection Agency" to find the EPA funding opportunities.

Application Submission Deadline: Your organization's AOR must submit your complete application package electronically to EPA through Grants.gov no later than May 27, 2016. Please allow for enough time to successfully submit your application process and allow for unexpected errors that may require you to resubmit. Please submit *all* of the application materials described below using the grants.gov application package that you downloaded using the instructions above. For additional instructions on completing and submitting the electronic application package, click on the "Show Instructions" tab that is accessible within the application package itself.

Application Materials

The following forms and documents are required under this announcement:

Mandatory Documents:

- 1. Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
- 2. Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A)
- 3. Assurances for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B)
- 4. Grants.gov Lobbying Form
- 5. EPA Key Contacts Form 5700-54
- 6. EPA Form 4700-4 Pre-award Compliance Review Report

7. Narrative Proposal (Project Narrative Attachment Form)-prepared as described in Section IV.B of the announcement

- 8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL), if applicable
- 9. Project Area Map (submit under "Other Attachments" tab in Grants.gov)

If you are submitting letters of support as part of your application, please attach them under the "Other Attachments" tab in Grants.gov along with the Project Area Map.

Applications submitted through grants.gov will be time and date stamped electronically. If you have not received a confirmation of receipt from EPA (not from grants.gov) within 30 days of the application deadline, please contact Luisa Valiela at (415) 972-3400. Failure to do so may result in your application not being reviewed.

Technical Issues with Submission

Once the application package has been completed, the "Submit" button should be enabled. If the "Submit" button is not active, please call Grants.gov for assistance at 1-

800-518-4726. Applicants who are outside the U.S. at the time of submittal and are not able to access the toll-free number may reach a Grants.gov representative by calling 606-545-5035. Applicants should save the completed application package with two different file names before providing it to the AOR to avoid having to re-create the package should submission problems be experienced or a revised application needs to be submitted.

a. Submitting the application. The application package must be transferred to Grants.gov by an AOR. The AOR should close all other software before attempting to submit the application package. Click the "submit" button of the application package. Your Internet browser will launch and a sign-in page will appear. Note: Minor problems are not uncommon with transfers to Grants.gov. It is essential to allow sufficient time to ensure that your application is submitted to Grants.gov BEFORE the due date identified in Section IV of the solicitation. The Grants.gov support desk operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week, except Federal Holidays. A successful transfer will end with an on-screen acknowledgement. For documentation purposes, print or screen capture this acknowledgement. If a submission problem occurs, reboot the computer – turning the power off may be necessary – and re-attempt the submission.

Note: Grants.gov issues a "case number" upon a request for assistance.

b. Transmission Difficulties. If transmission difficulties that result in a late transmission, no transmission, or rejection of the transmitted application are experienced, and following the above instructions do not resolve the problem so that the application is submitted to Grants.gov by the deadline date and time, follow the guidance below. The Agency will make a decision concerning acceptance of each late submission on a case-by-case basis. All emails, as described below, are to be sent to Luisa Valiela with the FON in the subject line. If you are unable to email, contact Luisa Valiela at 415-972-3400. Be aware that EPA will only consider accepting applications that were unable to transmit due to Grants.gov or relevant www.Sam.gov system issues or for unforeseen exigent circumstances, such as extreme weather interfering with internet access. Failure of an applicant to submit timely because they did not properly or timely register in SAM.gov or Grants.gov is not an acceptable reason to justify acceptance of a late submittal.

c. If you are experiencing problems resulting in an inability to upload the application to Grants.gov, it is essential to call Grants.gov for assistance at 1-800-518-4726 before the application deadline. Applicants who are outside the U.S. at the time of submittal and are not able to access the toll-free number may reach a Grants.gov representative by calling 606-545-5035. Be *sure* to obtain a case number from Grants.gov. If the problems stem from unforeseen exigent circumstances unrelated to Grants.gov, such as extreme weather interfering with internet access, contact Luisa Valiela at 415-972-3400.

d. Unsuccessful transfer of the application package: If a successful transfer of the application cannot be accomplished even with assistance from Grants.gov due to electronic submission system issues or unforeseen exigent circumstances, send an email message to valiela.luisa@epa.gov prior to the application deadline. The email message must document the problem and include the Grants.gov case number as well as the entire application in PDF format as an attachment.

e. Grants.gov rejection of the application package: If a notification is received from Grants.gov stating that the application has been rejected for reasons other than late submittal promptly send an email to <<< program contact>>> with the FON in the subject line within one business day of the closing date of this solicitation. The email should include any materials provided by Grants.gov and attach the entire application in PDF format.