MEMORANDUM


FROM: Richard D. Wilson,
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Air and Radiation

TO: EPA Regional Administrators, 1 - 10

Introduction

This memorandum provides guidance and sets forth the Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA) policy and interpretation regarding the granting of explicit State Implementation Plan (SIP) credit for Voluntary Mobile Source Emission Reduction Programs (VMEPs) under section 110 of the Clean Air Act. Voluntary mobile source measures have the potential to contribute, in a cost-effective manner, emission reductions needed for progress toward attainment and maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). EPA believes that SIP credit is appropriate for voluntary mobile source measures where we have confidence that the measures can achieve emission reductions. This memorandum announces EPA’s intent to grant emission reduction credits for VMEPs, the terms and conditions for establishing and implementing VMEPs, and the requirements for approvable VMEP SIP submittals.

The establishment of this policy pertains solely to voluntary mobile source programs and is not intended to establish precedent for other air emissions source categories. Guidance on emission reduction credits for voluntary activities for other source categories may be established through future guidance documents. This policy also does not change existing EPA policy on credits for mobile source measures in the context of emissions trading programs or Economic Incentives Programs.
The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 increased the responsibility of States to demonstrate progress toward attainment of the NAAQS. At the same time, air pollution control programs in the U.S. have had difficulty regulating the emission reduction potential of smaller or unconventional sources. EPA supports innovative methods in achieving air quality goals and wishes to promote the creation of viable voluntary mobile source air quality programs. The desire to recognize the emission reductions from these sources has led the Agency to develop policies to support an increasing variety of innovative approaches. EPA recognizes that emission reduction credit toward SIP air quality demonstrations can be a positive factor for gaining political and institutional support for program development and implementation. The demonstration of air quality benefits is also desirable for program assistance through EPA’s section 105 grants and is a requirement for project eligibility under the Department of Transportation’s Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) program.

This memorandum is intended to clarify the basic framework for ensuring that VMEPs become eligible for SIP credit. Generally, a State would submit a SIP which 1) identifies and describes a VMEP; 2) contains projections of emission reductions attributable to the program, along with relevant technical support documentation; 3) commits to monitor, evaluate, and report the resulting emissions effect of the voluntary measure; and 4) commits to remedy in a timely manner any SIP credit shortfall if the VMEP program does not achieve projected emission reductions.

EPA anticipates that this policy will generate additional interest and resources toward VMEP development and data collection. EPA wishes to ensure that the potential benefits of VMEPs are properly quantified and that these benefits are sustained as successful components of the SIP. As experience and information regarding the effectiveness of VMEPs becomes available, EPA intends to provide further technical guidance and assistance to the States. As States and EPA gain more experience with VMEPs in quantifying emissions benefits, more precise information will be available in determining the effectiveness of a range of programs. The type of information that EPA expects to gain from evaluating VMEPs includes emissions benefits, public response and education, cost of implementation, secondary indicators/benefits, quantification methodologies, and data collection.

Throughout this document, the term “State” refers to any state or local government body or agency with the authority to submit SIPs to EPA for approval.
EPA hopes that the effect of this policy will be to generate sufficient information and programmatic experience to warrant a wider application of VMEPs for progress toward attainment under the new NAAQS policy framework. EPA believes that States should benefit from this policy by having a wider range of programmatic options to consider. This policy will ultimately support the creation of new, cost-effective air quality programs and market-based incentives.

**Background**

Historically, mobile source control strategies have focused primarily on reducing emissions per mile through vehicle and fuel technology improvements. Tremendous strides have been made resulting in new light-duty vehicle emission rates which are 70 to 90 percent less than for the 1970 model year. However, transportation emissions continue to be a significant cause of air pollution due to a doubling of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) from 1970 to 1990, and tripling since 1960. In some quickly developing urban areas, the more recent VMT growth rate is even more dramatic. In San Diego, California, VMT tripled between 1970 and 1990. VMT in Las Vegas, Nevada, increased 160 percent from 1981 to 1991, and nearly doubled in Phoenix, Arizona, during the same time period.

The increasing cost of technological improvements to produce incrementally smaller reductions in grams per mile or grams per kilowatt hour emissions in the entire fleet of vehicles and engines, along with the time it takes for technological improvements to penetrate the existing fleets, suggests that supplemental or alternative approaches for reducing mobile source air pollution are necessary. Mobile source strategies which attempt to complement existing regulatory programs through voluntary, nonregulatory changes in local transportation sector activity levels or changes in in-use vehicle and engine fleet composition are being explored and developed.

A number of such voluntary mobile source and transportation programs have already been initiated at the State and local level in response to increasing interest by the public and business sectors in creating alternatives to traditional emission reduction strategies. Some examples include economic and market-based incentive programs, transportation control measures, trip reduction programs, growth management strategies, ozone action programs, and targeted public outreach. These programs attempt to gain additional emissions reductions beyond mandatory Clean Air Act programs by engaging the public to make changes in activities that will result in reducing mobile source emissions.
Definitions

The following definitions apply to VMEPs as described in this memorandum.

**Voluntary Measures:** Emission reduction programs that rely on voluntary actions of individuals or other parties for achieving emission reductions.

**Seasonal Measures:** Emission reduction programs that are in effect only during the season in which the area experiences high pollutant concentrations.

**Episodic Measures:** Activity-based mobile source programs that are implemented during identified periods of high pollutant concentrations, varying by meteorological conditions. These measures may or may not be continuous in nature depending on program design. The statutory authority for approval of episodic measures in SIPs applies only to activity-based mobile source emission reduction measures as explained below.

Clean Air Act Authority

EPA plans to use its authority under the Clean Air Act to allow SIP credit for new approaches to reducing mobile source emissions. This policy represents a flexible approach regarding the SIP requirements set forth in section 110, and economic incentive provisions in section 182 and 108 of the Act. This policy responds to State and local government interest in gaining SIP credits and funding for VMEP programs which will count toward their State’s plan to make progress toward attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS and builds on EPA’s history of approving measures that rely to some degree on voluntary compliance, such as provision of mass transit. Recognizing that only a limited amount of implementation experience currently exists, and that information on VMEP effectiveness will be evaluated and reported as a result of this policy, EPA plans to re-evaluate this policy in the future.

Authority to approve of voluntary measures in SIP

EPA believes that it has authority under CAA section 110 to approve voluntary measures in a SIP for emission reduction credit. However, EPA believes that as part of its SIP submittal a State must commit to monitor, evaluate, and report the resulting emissions effect of the voluntary measure, whether the measure is implemented directly by the State or another party, and to remedy in a timely manner any credit shortfall.

In light of the increasing incremental cost associated with additional mobile source

\footnote{The requirements regarding emission reductions needed to achieve attainment of the NAAQS.}
emission reductions, the lead time required for new technologies to penetrate fleets, and the increasing need to target mobile source use to realize reductions, where voluntary measures meet the requirements of this policy, EPA believes that it is appropriate and consistent with the Act to allow a limited percentage of the total emission reductions needed to satisfy any statutory requirement, as described below, to come from voluntary measures. In the event the voluntary measure does not achieve the projected emission reductions, the State, having previously committed in its SIP to remedying such shortfalls, will pursue appropriate follow-up actions in a timely fashion including, but not limited to: adjusting the voluntary measure, adopting a new measure, or revising the VMEP emission credits to reflect actual emission reductions, provided overall SIP commitments are met. EPA believes that voluntary mobile source measures, in conjunction with the enforceable commitment to monitor emission reductions achieved and rectify any shortfall, meet the SIP control measure requirements of the Act.

**Establishment of a cap on SIP credits allowed for VMEPs**

Under this policy, in light of the innovative nature of voluntary measures and EPA’s inexperience with quantifying their emission reductions, EPA is setting a limit on the amount of emission reductions allowed for VMEPs in a SIP. The limit is set at three percent (3%) of the total projected future year emissions reductions required to attain the appropriate NAAQS. However, the total amount of emissions reductions from voluntary measures shall also not exceed 3% of the statutory requirements of the CAA with respect to any SIP submittal to demonstrate progress toward, attainment of, or, maintenance of the NAAQS. EPA has analyzed a number of voluntary mobile source programs which could be incorporated into a SIP. The emission reduction potential of these programs is generally a fraction of one ton per day. A three percent limit on emission reductions from VMEPs will allow areas to implement and claim SIP credit for a significant number of voluntary mobile source programs. This cap still provides a sufficient incentive for developing and implementing VMEPs, while setting a limit on the extent to which a SIP can rely on innovative programs with which we have had limited experience.

---

3 For example, an ozone area classified as severe needing reductions of 200 tpd of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and 100 tpd of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) from the projected year 2005 baseline inventory could rely on VMEPs for up to 3% of the required reductions from each pollutant, or 6 tpd of VOC and 3 tpd of NOx. The area could also use all or a portion of these same reductions for purposes of meeting interim rate-of-progress (ROP) milestones, but again the 3% limit would apply. Thus, if the area needed 25 tpd of creditable VOC reductions to meet the 1999 ROP target, no more than 0.75 tpd of the VOC reduction in the 1999 ROP plan could come from VMEPs.
Relationship to Economic Incentive Programs

The 1990 Amendments statutorily required the Agency to develop Economic Incentive Program (EIP) rules. The EIP provides general SIP guidance for the adoption of incentive and other innovative programs. Some programs that depend on voluntary actions also require either State or local government authorization to implement the program. In these cases, which include certain transportation control measures such as congestion pricing programs, it may be more appropriate to use the EIP authority to incorporate the measure into the SIP. Further, where emissions reductions are expected to exceed the 3% limit, EPA would anticipate the State could use the EIP to incorporate measures. If a State wishes to have a VMEP approved under the EIP program rules, EPA is willing to work with the State to develop such a program.

Approval of Voluntary Measures into the SIP - Key Criteria

This section sets forth minimum criteria for approval of VMEPs into SIPs. These criteria require that the VMEP not interfere with other requirements of the Clean Air Act, be consistent with SIP attainment and Rate of Progress requirements, and that emission reductions be:

1. **Quantifiable** - VMEP emission reductions must be quantifiable. The level of uncertainty in achieving emission reductions must be quantified, and this uncertainty must be reflected in the projected emission reductions claimed by the VMEP. VMEPs must also contain procedures designed to both evaluate program implementation and report program results as described in the section “Technical Support for VMEPs” of this guidance.

2. **Surplus** - The VMEP emission reductions may not be substituted for mandatory, required emission reductions. States may submit to EPA for approval any program that will result in emission reductions in addition to those already credited in a relevant attainment or maintenance plan, or used for purposes of SIP demonstrations such as conformity, rate of progress, or emission credit trading programs.

3. **Enforceable** - A State’s obligations with respect to VMEPs must be enforceable at the State and Federal levels. Under this policy, the State is not responsible, necessarily, for implementing a program dependent on voluntary actions. However, the State is obligated to monitor, assess

---

4In accordance with the Act language (section 182 (g)(4)(A)), the EIP applies to “incentives and requirements to reduce vehicle emissions and vehicle miles traveled,” including TCM’s contained in section 108 of the Act. In addition, the EIP defines mobile sources to mean on-road (highway) vehicles (e.g., automobiles, trucks and motorcycles) and non-road vehicles (e.g., trains, airplanes, agricultural equipment, industrial equipment, construction vehicles, off-road motorcycles, and marine vessels). In certain cases, States are required to adopt EIP provisions into their State Implementation Plan (SIP). The EIP also serves as guidance for all other States that choose to adopt EIP provisions into their SIP as non-mandatory EIPs. In 1994, the Agency issued EIP rules and guidance (40 CFR part 51 subpart U), which outlined requirements for establishing these programs.
and report on the implementation of voluntary actions and the emission reductions achieved from the voluntary actions and to remedy in a timely manner emission reduction shortfalls should the voluntary measure not achieve projected emission reductions. As stated earlier, EPA anticipates that the State will take the steps it determines to be necessary to assure that the voluntary program is implemented and that emission reductions are achieved so that corrective SIP actions are not required. For example, the State may want to sign a Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) with the VMEP sponsors.

Any uncertainty in the emission reductions projected to be achieved by the VMEP must be estimated and reflected in the emission reduction credits claimed in the SIP. As part of this submission, the State must commit to conducting program evaluations within an appropriate time-frame. The State must also report the resulting information to EPA within an appropriate time-frame in order to document whether the program is being carried out, and emission reductions are being achieved as described in the SIP submittal. Through the program evaluation provisions contained in this policy EPA anticipates that States will discover any potential emission reduction shortfall in a timely manner and appropriately account for such shortfall either by changing the program to address the shortfall, adopting a new measure, or revising the VMEP’s emission credits to reflect actual emission reductions achieved, provided overall SIP commitments are met.

4. Permanent - Emission reductions produced by the VMEP must continue at least for as long as the time period in which they are used by applicable SIP demonstrations. The VMEP need not continue forever to generate permanent emissions reductions, but must specify an appropriate period of implementation in the SIP. Voluntary actions in such a program, and the resulting emission reductions, can be discrete (temporary) or continuous, depending on the nature of the program. For example, an ozone action day program which takes effect over an ozone season, but calls for specific actions on days when exceedences of the ozone standard are likely (i.e., episodic measures) is considered a continuous program producing discrete (temporary) reductions, and therefore the reductions are SIP creditable.

5. Adequately Supported - As with all SIP creditable programs, VMEPs must demonstrate adequate personnel and program resources to implement the program.

Approval of Episodic Measures

EPA has concluded that episodic transportation control measures and other mobile source related market response measures may be approved for SIP credit under the Act. Prior to the 1990 amendments to the Act, EPA believed that section 123 of the Act, which bars the use of dispersion techniques in calculating emission limitations, might apply to all control measures, including transportation and mobile source market controls. However, new language was added to the Act in the 1990 amendments that EPA believes indicates a clear congressional intent to allow and even require the incorporation of episodic transportation and mobile source market
response programs in SIPs.

Several new requirements added to the Act in 1990 specifically require adoption of transportation control measures as listed in section 108(f)(l) of the Act under certain circumstances. See, for example, section 182(c)(5) - Transportation Controls and section 182(d)(1) - Vehicle Miles Traveled. Section 108(e) and (f) authorizes EPA to issue guidance on various types of transportation control measures available for selection in the control programs required under section 182. Section 108(f)(1)(B) identifies methods that contribute to reductions in mobile source related pollutants during periods in which a primary NAAQS will be exceeded. Episodic transportation and market response measures designed to operate during periods when ambient pollution levels are anticipated to exceed the NAAQS clearly fall within the scope of these types of programs that Congress has authorized areas to include in their section 182 transportation and vehicle miles traveled programs.

EPA therefore concludes that any implication that section 123 may have applied to transportation and mobile source market response programs under the Act as amended in 1977 has been clarified by the Act as more recently amended in 1990 by the addition of the specific authorization for adoption of any program identified in section 108(f) under the transportation control programs required under section 182.

**Technical Support for VMEPs**

A State may take credit in its SIP for VMEPs only if they are quantifiable. VMEPs which are thought to be directionally sound, but for which quantification is not possible cannot be granted credit. EPA believes that carefully designed and implemented VMEPs are quantifiable to the extent necessary to grant SIP credit.

All VMEP submittals must include documentation which clearly states how the sources from which the reductions are occurring, are currently, or will be addressed in the emissions inventory, ROP plan, and attainment or maintenance plan, as applicable. This documentation should include a description of the assumptions used in estimating and tracking emissions and emissions reductions from affected sources.

The following sections are intended to provide general guidance on the elements of emission reduction calculation and evaluation procedures that must be addressed in a VMEP SIP submittal.
**Emission Reduction Calculation**

To receive SIP credit for a VMEP, the SIP submittal must contain a good faith estimate of emission reductions, including technical support documentation for the conclusion that the measure will produce the anticipated emission reductions. VMEP emission reduction calculations must account for and be adjusted to reflect uncertainties in the program. The calculations must be adjusted to account for two types of uncertainty:

- **compliance uncertainty** - the extent to which the responsible party (a public or private entity) will fully implement the VMEP program, and
- **programmatic uncertainty** - the extent to which voluntary responses actually occur and/or the inherent uncertainties of program design.

The State must adjust the VMEP calculation for compliance and programmatic uncertainty, based on program design elements, and on the predictive quality of the information, data, and analytic methodology used by the State to develop the projected emission reductions. The State must justify the appropriateness of the adjustments in its VMEP SIP submittal, usually as part of the technical support document.

The adjusted emission reduction estimate should be developed and justified by the State by taking into account various elements of the VMEP program design. These elements could include, but not be limited to: the voluntary mechanism upon which the program is based, such as public outreach or reduced fares; the variability in emission rates from affected mobile sources; the extent of uncertainty in the emissions quantification procedure; and the frequency and type of program evaluation, monitoring, record keeping and reporting.

**Evaluation Reporting Procedures**

States which use VMEPs in their SIP must describe how they plan to evaluate program implementation and report on program results in terms of actual emissions reductions. Program evaluation provisions for VMEPs must be accompanied by procedures designed to compare projected emission reductions with actual emissions reductions achieved. The timing of the evaluations must be specified in the VMEP SIP submittal. The States and program sponsors will benefit from accurate and complete evaluation reports. EPA expects that program evaluations and experience gained over time will result in VMEP modifications to increase effectiveness.

The State must provide timely post-evaluation reports to the EPA relevant to the SIP time-frame in which the emission reductions are being used. These reports may be used by EPA for the purpose of reviewing subsequent SIP submissions required by the CAA, including but not limited to: periodic inventories, rate of progress (milestone compliance demonstrations), attainment demonstrations, and maintenance demonstrations.
EPA is working with State and local government representatives to develop methodologies which would provide sufficient technical support for VMEP SIP submissions. As results become available, EPA will provide technical guidance to assist in the development of VMEP emission reduction estimates and program evaluation procedures. However, EPA’s policy is to recognize the experience of State and local voluntary programs in quantifying emission reductions and evaluating program results. Acceptable methodologies and procedures will not be limited to those developed by EPA, and programs are encouraged to discuss technically sound alternative methods with EPA Regional Office staff.

**VMEP Emission Reduction Use**

As explained above, under Title I of the Clean Air Act, EPA is permitting a limited amount of voluntary mobile source measures to be included in SIPs and FIPs and to be adopted for any criteria pollutant in both nonattainment and attainment areas. VMEP emission reductions shall be limited in use as determined by existing applicable SIP policy including offsets, Rate of Progress, attainment demonstrations, baseline determinations, redesignation and maintenance demonstrations.

**Future Guidance and Regional Coordination**

It is incumbent upon EPA Regional Offices and Headquarters to coordinate the implementation of this policy through consultation and exchange of information. It will be necessary to determine the appropriateness of individual VMEPs, applicability of emission reductions, development of methodologies to estimate emission reductions (including the appropriateness of uncertainty adjustments), peer review, and standardization of policy. To the extent that issues cannot be resolved through ongoing coordination efforts between Regional and Headquarter offices, issues may be ultimately raised through the SIP consistency process. EPA encourages early consultation between project sponsors, planners, and EPA’s Regional offices during the development of VMEPs.

For further information on EPA’s policy on VMEPs or the guidance set forth in this memorandum, contact Michael Ball of the Office of Mobile Sources, at 313-741-7897.

Attachments
Examples of Voluntary Mobile Source Emission Reduction Programs

The following are some examples which are representative of voluntary mobile source emission reduction programs (VMEPs) that could be implemented and credited with emission reductions for SIP related purposes. These programs can and have been designed to be implemented on an episodic, seasonal, or a continual basis. More program examples and ideas may be found on the following websites:

- EPA Office of Mobile Source Smart Travel Resources Center web site (www.epa.gov/omswww/strc.htm)
- Market Incentive Resource Center (www.epa.gov/omswww/market.htm)
- Episodic Measures Database (www.epa.gov/omswww/reports/episodic/study/htm)

### Employer Based Transportation Management Programs

Various programs implemented by employers to manage the commute and travel behavior of employees, such as: van pooling, car pooling, subscription buses, walking, shuttle services, guaranteed rides home, alternative work schedules, financial incentives(transit passes and subsidies) and on-site TDM support.

### Work Schedule Changes

Changes in work schedules to provide flexibility to employees to commute outside of peak travel periods, such as: telecommuting, flextime, compressed work weeks, staggered work hours.

### Area-wide Rideshare Incentives

Promotional assistance aimed at encouraging commuters to use alternatives to single occupant vehicles, such as: marketing of ridesharing services, transit station shuttles, computerized carpool matching, vanpool matching, program implementation assistance.

### Parking Management

Management of parking supply and demand, such as: preferential parking locations for carpools and vanpools, preferential parking prices for carpools and vanpools, fee structures that discourage commuter parking, reduced parking for new developments.
Special Event Travel Demand Management

Special plans to manage travel demand in effect during special events, defined as destinations for a large number of vehicle trips which occur on a one-time, infrequent, or scheduled basis (such as athletic events, festivals, and major entertainment performances). These measures could include parking management, remote parking connecting with transit or shuttle services, efficient traffic routing efforts, public information and communications systems.

Vehicle Use Limitations/Restrictions

Techniques to limit vehicle activity in a given geographic area or specified time period, such as: auto restricted zones, pedestrian malls, traffic calming, no-drive days, commercial truck restrictions on parking and idling.

Reduced Vehicle Idling

Measures to reduce the amount of time which vehicles spend in idle modes as part of their overall operation, such as: reduced operations of drive-thru facilities such as banks and fast-food restaurants, reduced construction of drive-thru facilities, programs that facilitate reducing idling at truck stops, transfer facilities and loading docks at commercial developments.

Small Engine and Recreational Vehicle Programs

Measures targeted at reducing the frequency and duration of small engine and recreational vehicle use. Other programs aim to shift the time period in which emissions producing activities, such as lawn and landscape maintenance, take place so that the negative impact on air quality is reduced. These measures are usually associated with episodic or seasonal control programs with a significant component of public education and outreach to encourage the voluntary change in activities.
Example of a Voluntary Program

Program scenario: A State air quality agency is approached by a public utility to begin a lawn mower buy back program. The State would like to take credit for the emissions reductions from this private sector activity in it’s 15% plan.

Up-front credit: The State would like to take credit predicting the effect of the program in reducing emissions associated with replacing uncontrolled lawnmower emissions with electric -- non polluting lawnmowers.

SIP Submittal

General Process
- State notifies EPA of it’s intent to take credit for voluntary lawnmower program. Includes program information and technical support documentation and commitment to remedy any emission reduction shortfall in a timely manner.
- Regional Office reviews and approves up-front credit after comments.
- Activity is conducted by the public utility.
- State verifies that the program achieved the predicted benefits and generates information for EPA review.
- Regional Office reviews the State SIP submission and determines that the credits have been achieved as predicted. Also approved under milestone compliance.

Program Identification: State submits to EPA its intent to conduct or take credit for the voluntary lawn mower buy back program in the SIP. The State will describe how the program or activity will work in practice. In the submission, the State will describe the following program elements.

Program participants
How the program works
Activity effects
Emission effects
State commitment for evaluation, reporting, remedying emission credit shortfall
Technical support documentation

Program Participants The State will identify the sponsors of the program. In this case the public utility.

How the Program Works As part of the submittal the State will include a description of the
basic program, predicted effect of the program on a given NAAQS criteria pollutant and a
commitment to evaluate the program over the desired period of implementation and remedy any
emission reduction shortfall in a timely manner.

In the submittal, the State describes the basic program including how the utility intends to
facilitate the activity-- buy back of lawn mowers. On three consecutive Saturdays, the utility
customers and employees are able to bring in their gasoline powered lawnmowers and receive a
voucher toward the purchase of any new electric lawnmower.

**Activity Effects** The State will submit predicted and observed activity effects. Data will be
generated and analyzed which examines the predicted and actual effect of the program.

In this case, using information provided by the utility, the State estimates that 2000 lawnmowers
would be replaced by non-polluting electric mowers.

**Emission Effects** Activity effects ultimately are translated into emissions benefit calculations
(usually in tons per day\per year).

The State would be given up-front credit for emission reductions in terms of HC, CO and other
NAAQS criteria pollutants for 2000 mowers being replaced by electric mowers.

**State Commitment for Evaluation, Reporting, and Addressing Credit Shortfall** The State
will be responsible for ensuring that data will be collected regarding participation and the
effectiveness of the program. In addition, the State must commit to remedy any SIP credit
shortfall in a timely manner if the voluntary measure does not achieve projected emission
reductions.

The State, as part of the evaluation and reporting commitment, submits to EPA a comparison of
the predicted effect of the program with the actual observed levels. In this example the utility
finds that 2000 mowers were replaced. Thus, the predicted reductions were achieved.

**Technical Support Documentation** The State will submit Technical Support Documents
describing the program and the methodology for predicting emissions benefits. Where possible
the State should identify data collection methodologies and information necessary for describing
implementation, compliance, effectiveness and other relevant information. This information
should account for the following:

**Programmatic Uncertainty**- Because the program will be voluntary in nature, the State
will be responsible for submitting to EPA the predicted and, eventually, the actual participation
levels.
Analytic Methodology- The State will describe how they estimated participation levels and the effect of the activity on emissions