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Abstract

Over the past 5 years, the practice of developing and implementing predictive models at coastal beaches has increased several-fold, particularly in the Great Lakes. During the first 3 years of the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI), the adoption of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) Virtual Beach decision-support software, among other tools for implementing operational nowcasts, expanded from a handful of sites to over 50 beaches. Whether this expansion will continue in the absence of centralized model-building services previously supported by GLRI remains to be seen. At issue is whether a typical local government (e.g., public health or parks department) can develop, operate, and/or maintain nowcast models without additional funding or specialized staff. Based on past experience and research, the presenter will argue that the answer is a conditional “yes” and will provide practical suggestions on how EPA and its state, local, and academic partners can overcome both real and perceived barriers, such as the lack of adequate data, technical know-how, clear decision criteria, managerial confidence, and time. The presentation will highlight issues relevant to marine beaches, where adoption to date has been minimal, and will conclude with an updated look at the suite of resources and tools being developed to make the process easier and more sustainable over time.
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Implementing Predictive Models: Practical Advice and New Tools

Why Predictive Models (Nowcasts)?

1. To Reduce Unnecessary & Missed Advisories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampled Advisories:</th>
<th>Sampled Open:</th>
<th>Sampled All:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>In Err.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>1,107</td>
<td>709 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>452 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>137 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>360 (72%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>474 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>56 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1,727</td>
<td>1,289 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,416</td>
<td>3,958 (73%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from EPA BEACON (2008–11)

2. To Increase the Frequency of Monitoring

- Pct. Samples with F. coli > 235 CFU from EPA BEACON (2005–12)

Case Study Communities

- Community A (The “Innovator” – adopted 2009)
  - “The intent is to reduce reliance on Virtual Beach more fully, so we don’t spend as much time and money on testing.”

- Community B (The “Early Adopter” – adopted 2011)
  - “We experimented with it year-to-year to see how well it performed... how many times it was right or wrong...”

- Community C (The “Early Majority” – adopted 2013)
  - “I went to my Administration and said... There’s some guy in Madison who’s really pushing it and I’ve heard another community is using it...”

From Madnick (2014)

Theoretical “Diffusion” of Nowcast Models

Based on Rogers (1983)
Critical Question:

Can a typical local health department develop, operate, and maintain its own predictive model...?
- Without special funding?
- Without specialized staff?

- Medrick & Waterman (2014)

‘Typical’ Health Departments

From Rockwell et al. (2014):
- In nearly 40% of reporting depts., interns responsible for over half the beach-related work.
- Among depts responsible for > 5 beaches, over 75% devote < 10% of overall time to beach-related work.
- Among primary staff responsible for beaches, over 85% spend < 1/4 of their time on beach-related work.

Other Perceived Barriers:

Lack of Data
- 85% of beach managers said location-specific, Web-accessible data would be ‘very useful’ or ‘extremely useful’ (9.1 out of 10)

Lack of Tools
- 85% said improved predictive modeling tools would be ‘helpful’ or ‘very helpful’ (#1 out of 9)

“Virtual Beach”

www.seagrant.wisc.edu/virtualbeach

Virtual Beach

Online Data

1. Lab Data & Sanitary Conditions
2. Hydro-Meteorological Data

www.seagrant.wisc.edu/virtualbeach
Virtual Beach 3.0.6

1. ‘Gradient Boosting Machine’ (GBM) Method
   - More efficient model-building (regression trees)

2. Direct connection to ‘EnDDaT’
   - Big, easy data:
     River Discharge,
     Waves, Currents, etc.
     (Spatially and temporally matched/processed)

Other Perceived Barriers:

- Limited Technical Know-How
  - Over 50% said training on predictive models would be ‘helpful’ or ‘very helpful’ (Rockwell et al. 2014)

- Lack of Comprehensive Guidelines/
  “Best Practices”
  - Under Development (UW Sea Grant)

- Lack of Confidence on the part of Administrators and Decision-Makers

www.seagrant.wisc.edu/virtualbeach
Virtual Beach Users’ Group

Best Practices (DRAFT)

- Model Operation
  - Daily, preferably between 8:30–10:30 am EDT
  - Operate in conjunction with regular data reporting
  - Report ‘Model’ as the reason for beach actions

- Minimum Field Data (required in Wisconsin)
  - Clarity (categories)
  - Turbidity (NTU/Secchi cm)
  - Water Temperature
  - Wave Height

Best Practices (DRAFT)

- Sampling Frequency
  - 2 or more samples per week
  - Less frequent (?) for year-round beaches

- Validation Frequency
  - Every 1-2 months
  - Don’t over-validate (weekly)

- Validation Metrics (Francy et al. 2013)
  - 50% ‘sensitivity’ (correct advisories)
  - 90% ‘specificity’ (correct beach-open)

Best Practices (DRAFT)

- Model Building (re-calibration) Frequency:
  - Annual (preferred) or every 2 years (minimum).

- Reasons for Overriding Model Predictions:
  - Swimmer Safety (NWS dangerous current forecasts)
  - Professional Judgment
    - Observations in the field
    - Weather
    - Rapid qPCR
    - Recent Model Performance (i.e., validation)

- When Nowcast Model cannot be run...
  - Default to “Persistence” (i.e., most recent lab results)

Managerial Confidence

www.seagrant.wisc.edu/virtualbeach
California Beach Water Quality Nowcasting

Leslie Griffin
 Heal the Bay

Abstract

Traditional beach management that uses concentrations of cultivatable fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) may lead to delayed notification of unsafe swimming conditions. Predictive, “Nowcast” models of beach water quality may help reduce beach management errors and enhance protection of public health. This study compared the performances of five different types of statistical, data-driven predictive models—multiple linear regression model, binary logistic regression model, partial least-squares regression model, artificial neural network, and classification tree—in predicting health advisories due to FIB contamination at 25 beaches along the California coastline. In total, over 700 models were developed and evaluated. Multiple linear regression with threshold tuning performed well, along with binary logistic regression with threshold tuning and classification trees. On average, models outperformed the current method based on day-old FIB concentrations by capturing 25% more poor water quality days while maintaining equivalent false negative results. Beaches with well-performing models usually have a rainfall/flow-related dominating factor affecting beach water quality, while beaches having a deteriorating water quality trend or low FIB exceedance rates are less likely to have a well-performing model. Based on the results of this study, we carried out a pilot study at three Californian beaches with beach managers in the summer of 2015 to use daily nowcasting for public notification of beach water quality. Due to the success of the pilot program, the State of California has funded the development of a Nowcasting system to provide daily information to local beach managers in an effort to help inform public notification decisions for up to 25 separate beach locations over the next 3 years.
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CALIFORNIA BEACH WATER QUALITY PREDICTIVE MODELING PROJECT

HEAL THE BAY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, AND UCLA

FAXON, J. ACAMILLO, M. TAUGAM, A. THOR, A. BOERMA, M. GOLDB

Project Goal

To study the feasibility of using predictive models as a public notification tool at California beaches

Why do we need predictive models in California?

- Our current monitoring and public notification (M&P) programs leave the public at risk:
  - 24-48 hours from sample to posting
  - Rapid detection methods still take hours
  - Of the ~500 beaches monitored in CA:
    - 30 sampled 5x per week
    - 20-25 weekly
    - >600 daily since daily

Phase I: Proof of Concept

- Completed 2012-2014 at 25 beaches in CA
- 6 years of historical data
- Input factors: rain, tide, wind, solar radiation, etc.
- 5 model types
- 3 TDLs, 2 seasons
  - Summer (S): April to October
  - Winter (W): November to March
- Over 700 models developed and tested
- Calibration (2006-2010) and validation (2011-2012)

Phase I: Conclusions

- Models can improve sensitivity while maintaining a reasonable specificity
- Sensitivity: the ability of a model to accurately predict beach postings
- Specificity: The accurate prediction of open beach days
- Two peer-reviewed scientific papers were published based on Phase I results
Phase II: Pilot at Three Beaches

- Objectives:
  - Optimizing models from Phase I
  - Feasibility of using models with M&PN programs at CA beaches

Pilot Design

- Prediction tool: optimized MLR model in an Excel spreadsheet
- Prediction of post/no-post daily by 10 am
- Study period: Memorial Day to Labor Day
- Three Beaches
  - LADPH City of LA
  - OCRCA Doheny
  - SBCEHS Arroyo Burro
- Santa Monica Pier
- Doheny Beach

Current Monitoring and Public Notification at Pilot Beaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Monitoring Agency</th>
<th>Public Notification Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Frequency</th>
<th>Posting Frequency</th>
<th>Lag Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo Burro</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>SICMS</td>
<td>SICMS</td>
<td>1 day/week</td>
<td>Post one</td>
<td>1 day/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doheny State Beach</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>OICMA</td>
<td>OCRCA</td>
<td>1 day/week</td>
<td>Post one</td>
<td>2 day/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Monica Pier Beach</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>LACFM-PST</td>
<td>LACFM</td>
<td>1 day/week</td>
<td>Post one</td>
<td>3 day/week</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pilot Daily Modeling Steps

- Obtaining FIB data
- Collect online environmental data
- Run each FIB model
- Cross-check between with agencies, HIB, and Stanford
- Posting results online

Posting Predictive Model Results Online

- Beach Report Card website:
  - Beachgoers could find surf and dry grades, Advisories, News, and results, and historical information by beach
- Both the BRC and OC websites also had FAQ sections for questions/concerns
Doheny State Results

- Number of samples: 34
- Number of predictions: 106
- Observed exceedances: 3
- Model captured: 3 vs 2 (current)
- False alarms: 1 vs 3 (current)

Phase II Outcomes

- Models successfully run 106 consecutive days with results ready by 10 am
- Daily notifications to beachgoers in the morning every day, including weekends
- 2 agencies voluntarily participated & donated staff/resources to the pilot
- Models can be seamlessly integrated with existing M&PE programs

Benefits of Predictive Models

- Improved accuracy in public notification over current method
- Improved understanding of FIB pollution at the beach and how to mitigate sources
- Easy and flexible model implementation can be run by the health agencies or a third party ( Heal the Bay)

Phase III: Develop CA Nowcast System

- SWRCB grant to build permanent CA Beach Nowcast System
- Heal the Bay, Stanford, and UCLA
- 3 year roll-out
- 20 summer A+4-H beaches
- 5 winter surf/beaches

Phase III: Develop CA Nowcast System

- Technical Advisory Committee and Implementation Advisory Committee
- Outreach
- On-the-beach public notification program
- Webpage and Mobile App
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Predictive Modeling and Forecasting of Water Quality at Recreational Beaches along Gulf of Mexico Coast

Zhiqiang Deng
Louisiana State University

Abstract
A series of predictive models has been developed by Louisiana State University for recreational beaches that have experienced frequent advisories over the past 10 years. The beaches used in the project, which was funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), were Siesta Key Beach and Venice Beach in Florida, Orange Street Pier/Park Beach in Alabama, Harrison County Beach in Mississippi, Holly Beach in Louisiana, and Galveston Bay Beach and Corpus Christi Bay Beach in Texas. The models were constructed using an artificial neural networks toolbox in the MATLAB program and can predict either daily enterococci levels in beach waters or risks of water quality standard violations at a beach site as long as daily data are available for the environmental parameters (e.g., rainfall, salinity, temperature, wind, tide [or gage height], and solar radiation). Some models require less data and some of the data can be replaced with NASA satellite data. The models were able to explain 70–86% of the variations in observed enterococci levels or recreational water quality advisories issued by state beach monitoring programs. User manuals for state beach monitoring personnel explain how to use the models for real-time monitoring of recreational water quality. This presentation will provide an overview of the models and their performance in predicting water quality at the beaches. It is expected that the adoption and sustained use of the models will significantly improve the effectiveness of recreational water programs and provide better protection of public health in the Gulf of Mexico states and the nation.
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Using Probabilities of Enterococci Exceedance and Logistic Regression to Evaluate Long-Term Weekly Beach Monitoring Data

Jay Fleisher, PhD
Nova Southeastern University

Abstract
Recreational water quality surveillance involves comparing bacterial levels to set threshold values to determine beach closure. Bacterial levels can be predicted through models which are traditionally based on multiple linear regression. The objective of this study was to evaluate exceedance probabilities—as opposed to bacterial levels—as an alternate method to express beach risk. Data were incorporated into a logistic regression to identify environmental parameters most closely correlated with exceedance probabilities. The analysis was based on 7,422 historical sample data points from the years 2000–2010 for 15 beach sample sites in south Florida. Probability analyses showed which beaches in the data set were most susceptible to exceedances. No yearly trends were observed nor were any relationships to monthly rainfall or hurricanes apparent. Results from logistic regression analyses found that among the environmental parameters evaluated, tide was most closely associated with exceedances, with exceedances 2.475 times more likely to occur at high tide than at low tide. The logistic regression methodology proved useful for predicting future exceedances at a beach location in terms of probability and modeling water quality environmental parameters with dependence on a binary response. Beach managers can use this methodology for allocating resources when sampling more than one beach.
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METHODS:
688 samples were utilized in this analysis. 10 major environmental variables and several FIO's were collected on each sample date. Both types of models were run on these data.

ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES
- pH
- Salinity Water
- Water Temperature
- Tidal Stage
- Turbidity
- Amount of Rainfall in the preceding 6 hours prior to sampling
- Amount of Rainfall in the preceding 24 hours of sampling
- Wind Direction
- Wind Speed
- Solar Radiation

*All Environmental Variables entered in both Models and Backward Selection Procedure used in all models.

Results Least Squares Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.2827</td>
<td>0.0724</td>
<td>0.2447</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.4836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.002223</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>12.3075</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.000516</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>5.8127</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.0912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Radiation</td>
<td>-0.0005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.9918</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model R-square = 0.28
Multiple Logistic Regression

ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES
- pH
- Salinity
- Water Temperature
- Tidal Stage
- Turbidity
- Amount of Rainfall in the preceding 6 hours prior to sampling
- Amount of Rainfall in the preceding 24 hours of sampling
- Wind Direction
- Wind Speed
- Solar Radiation

*All Environmental Variables entered in both models and Backward Selection Procedure used in all models.

Results Logistic Regression Above or Below Single Sample Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Chi-Square</th>
<th>Pr &gt; ChiSq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.1244</td>
<td>0.4949</td>
<td>14.9537</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3256</td>
<td>0.1170</td>
<td>9.0784</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1386</td>
<td>0.0643</td>
<td>8.1042</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal Stage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8824</td>
<td>0.7645</td>
<td>14.2145</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.0020</td>
<td>0.00080</td>
<td>33.0501</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our Best Multiple Least Squares Regression was computed with a R Square value of 0.26, while the Multiple Logistic Regression Model yielded a maximum Sensitivity of 72.9% and a maximum Specificity of 65.9% at a cut point = 0.1. A backward selection routine was used in both the Logistic and Least Squares Model.

CONCLUSIONS
Since the Logistic regression yields a much better selection package that the original model, with the fact that the R Square value is a discriminate one, more attention should be given to model using the Multiple Logistic Model. It should also be noted that the precision of the Logistic Model even better than both the Least Squares Model approach and the usual Calculating of Environment.
Application of Logistic Regression to Historical Data

Used Different Data than Previous

- 7,422 Samples analyzed
- Data from 2000-2010
- Data from 13 South Florida Beaches

Figure 1. Beaches selected under the DNR/Healthy Beaches Program, beach name, and EPA location of sampling point.

Figure 2. Historical DOH beach sampling from June 2000-December 2010 (n = 7,422). Grey line delineates any sample above the 194 CFU EPA occurrence level.

Figure 3. South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) Data County (average rainfall) from 2000 to 2010 vs. probability and number of occurrence counts in each month. Grey areas represent wet season and white areas represent dry season.

Figure 4. Monthly historical occurrence counts (bars) and their probability (diamonds) for ten years of occurrence count data.

Figure 5. Historical occurrence counts (bars) and their probability (diamonds) by year. The probabilities were connected to show the variability between the years.
Analysis identified which beaches were most susceptible to exceedances.
Logistic regression proved useful for predicting the probability of an exceedance.
Tide was most closely associated with exceedance.
Results can be used to allocate beach sampling resources.

Table 1. Odds ratio estimates between tidal conditions as computed from Logistic Regression. Tidal conditions as reported by the FDOH are coded as 1 = High Tide, 2 = Slack Tide, and 3 = Low Tide.
Development of a Predictive Spatial Model to Understand the Connection between Rainfall Events and Beach Water Quality

Lance Larson, PhD  
Natural Resources Defense Council

Abstract

Throughout coastal portions of the United States, rainfall events are physical mechanisms that deliver various urban and rural pollutants to coastal waterways, threatening human and ecosystem health. The objective of this research was to correlate historical beach water quality exceedances to rainfall events. We developed a spatial and temporal beach water quality exceedance model, which queries a database consisting of water quality sample results collected over a 10-year period (2005-2014) at over 8,000 U.S. beaches in 30 states. The model consists of a series of dynamic database queries based on a set of user-defined input parameters. In the database, each water quality sample record is associated with precipitation totals recorded on the sample collection date, as well as for each of the 3 days prior to that sample date, as measured by the nearest weather station submitting data to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s Quality Controlled Local Climatological Data (QCLCD). Our results suggest a strong connection at the national, state, county, and beach scale between increased rainfall events and beach exceedance occurrences. For example, at the national level, the failure rate increased from 9% to 21% when a rainfall event greater than 0.5 inches was observed within 10 miles within 1 day. Other states and counties observed disproportionate changes in exceedance failure rates. Our model aims to significantly increase our understanding of rainfall influences on beach water quality throughout the United States, improve water quality sampling frequencies and planning, and examine the effectiveness of implementing watershed pollution reduction strategies.
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Question & Answer Session

Comment 1
(Unknown): For Lance [Larson]. Good database and good work—I am glad you put in the lag times which are so important in rivers and runoff, and also the saturation of the soil which affects the effect of rainfall. When you have a 0.9-inch rain event, we consider the storm surge as well as the amount. It’s interesting to take that into account.

Answer 1
Lance Larson: This work raises many more questions than answers. We can use it to build in other things like that.

Question 2
(Unknown): For Lance [Larson]. How did you make sure the rainfall is in the right area and not in another watershed?

Answer 2
Lance Larson: You could decrease that distance, so 10 miles would be your threshold. Within that, it picks it up. It’s the threshold cutoff. If we can find the nearest location, we do. We can run them again at different locations. We did a sensitivity analysis as well.

Answer 2 (follow-up)
Adam Mednick: You said the magic word, “tide,” which is very important for incorporating into models. Also exceedance. In the best practices document we are putting out it’s about probability. One use for VB [Virtual Beach] and modeling is figuring out when whether and how to test. Glad Jay [Fleisher; made that point during his presentation.

Answer 2 (follow-up)
Mike Cyterski: In terms of Virtual Beach, I’d like to add some other tools, like logistical regressions and neural nets, and lasso regression (where you minimize the number of variables that you use in your regression).