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DNA methylation as a mediator

adverse outcomes in childhood (and across the lifecourse)

http://www.niehs.nih.gov/exposurebiology/
http://www.bodyandsoulkc.com/
2 sets of hypotheses

- A longitudinal birth cohort is a reasonable study design
- Cord blood among the first (easily) accessible tissue
- Many groups are using the Infinium450K array to measure DNA methylation in stored cord blood
Anticipated effect size

HCC tumor and adjacent normal tissue

40% difference in HCC tumor vs. adjacent normal

[Shen et al. 2012]
Anticipated effect size

15% difference in smokers vs. non-smokers

Cord blood methylation in maternal smokers vs. non-smokers

[Joubert et al. 2013]
Some strategies to improve detection of small effects

• Increase the sample size: consortium efforts
  ➢ e.g., Prenatal and Childhood Epigenetics (PACE) consortium

• Improve the technical aspects of the measurements: reduce “noise”
  ➢ e.g., normalization procedures

• Control for confounders using either statistics or design
  ➢ e.g., twin or sibling studies (design)
  ➢ e.g., stratification/adjustment (statistical)
  ➢ Confounding by cell type distribution
Methylation varies between cells of different types

• Because DNA methylation is tissue and cell-type specific, methylation measured in unsorted peripheral blood may be an important source of confounding.

Unsupervised clustering of average beta values in sorted blood

[Accomando et al. Genome Biology 2014]
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Methylation varies between cells of different types

- Because DNA methylation is tissue and cell-type specific, methylation measured in unsorted peripheral blood may be an important source of confounding.

- In an epidemiological study, target tissue may inaccessible.
  - Neurodevelopment: brain tissue
  - Obesity: adipose tissue

- Two possible strategies:
  1) Compare DNA methylation to another (accessible) biomarker associated with disease or exposure status
  2) Compare DNA methylation in a tissue type that is a closer surrogate to target tissue

[Accomando et al. Genome Biology 2014]

Unsupervised clustering of average beta values in sorted blood
Problem:

• Failure to account for the cell distribution can confound hypothesized exposure-to-methylation associations, leading to spurious results or failure to detect true relationships.
Problem:

- Failure to account for the cell distribution can confound hypothesized exposure-to-methylation associations, leading to spurious results or failure to detect true relationships.

Possible solutions:

1. **Restrict**: only measure DNA methylation in homogenous blood samples
2. **Stratify**: analyze DNA methylation in cell-type-specific strata
3. **Adjust** for cellular composition using multivariate regression:
   - Count cellular composition
   - *Use methylation at specific CpG sites to infer cellular composition*
Use methylation at specific CpG sites to predict cellular composition

- **Why does this work?**
  Because expression cellular surface protein markers that distinguish cell types (e.g., CD4+ T cells that become Th1 vs. Th2) are controlled epigenetically

- **What do you need to know to make this prediction?**
  You need to know the methylation patterns that distinguish one cell type from another: reference set

[Janson et al. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 2009]
Using the Houseman method to infer underlying cell type mixture (in brief)

**Step 1:** Create a reference set using Infinium array in homogenous cell samples

**Step 2:** Fit Validation Model - using a sample where the underlying cell mixture is known (reference set), model estimates of cell counts using methylation values, save coefficients

**Step 3:** Fit Target Model - using the most significant coefficients, estimate the effect of different covariates on the underlying cell mixture to predict the cell mixture for each individual in a target sample
An example:

[Image of two scatter plots showing the relationship between Log10 Arsenic concentration and p-value for different studies.]

[Kile et al. 2014]
An example:

[Kile et al. 2014]
The reference sets: 1) Houseman

- Blood was purchased from AllCells®, LLC (Emeryville, CA); analyzed using 27K array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B cells</td>
<td>CD19+ B-lymphocytes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulocytes</td>
<td>CD15+ granulocytes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>CD14+ monocytes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>CD56+ Natural Killer (NK) cells</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells (CD4+)(^{1,2})</td>
<td>CD3+CD4+ T-lymphocytes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells (CD8+)(^{1,3})</td>
<td>CD3+CD8+ T-lymphocytes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells (NKT)(^{1})</td>
<td>CD3+CD56+ natural killer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells (other)(^{1})</td>
<td>CD3+ T-lymphocytes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Houseman et al. 2012]
The reference sets: 2) Reinius

- Blood was collected from 6 Swedish males; analyzed using 450K array

[Reinius et al. 2012]
Differences between cord and adult peripheral blood

shift in cellular distribution by age

• Within a cell-type, is methylation different?

Martino et al. Epigenetics 2011
Generating the reference set from cord blood

Anonymous Cord Blood → cell sort using flow cytometry

- CD4 (T cells/Lymphocytes), n=5
- CD14 (Monocytes), n=4
- CD15 (Neutrophils), n=5
- CD8 (T cells/Lymphocytes), n=5
- TWBC (unsorted aliquot), n=5

Infinium 450K array
Many groups are using stored (whole) cord blood from birth cohorts to examine how DNA methylation might mediate prenatal exposure-to-disease relationships.

Magnitude of the change in DNA methylation associated with exposure is likely to be small; therefore, strategies to improve detection are important
  - increase sample size, improve measurement, control confounding

Because cell type distribution may confound associations between exposure and methylation, statistical adjustment is often necessary to improve CpG detection.

Two reference sets necessary for adjustment exist but both are from adult blood; we created a cord-derived reference using the 450K array.

The cord-derived CD4 cells and adult-derived CD4 cells ‘look’ different; the cord-derived reference set seems to predict cell distribution from cord blood better than the adult reference.

**Next step:** Validate the cord reference in an external population where cell distribution is known: PROGRESS cohort (in collaboration with Allan Just, Bob Wright, and Andrea Baccarelli)
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