

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

- - - - - x

PUBLIC HEARING: :
: TRANSCRIPT
RCRA PERMIT MODIFICATION : OF
DUPONT POMPTON LAKES : PROCEEDINGS
WORKS SITE :
POMPTON LAKES STUDY AREA :

- - - - - x

Monday, December 8, 2014
Carnevale Center
Pompton Lakes, New Jersey
Commencing at 7:06 p.m.

FOR THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

- WALTER MUGDAN, ESQ., Director
- PERRY KATZ, Remedial Project Manager
- JEFF JOSEPHSON, Section Chief, NJ Remediation Branch
- PAT SEPPI, Community Involvement Coordinator
- CLIFF NG, Project Manager

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC COMMENTING:

		PAGE
1		
2		
3		
4	Michael Gerbe	14
	Lisa Riggiola	15, 62
5	Joyce Fern	19
	Karen Dean	19, 61
6	Helen Martens	21
	Councilman Mike Serra	22
7	Steve Grayberg	23
	George Popov	25
8	Al Agnes	28
	Lorelle Pepper	29
9	Bill Pendexter	30
	Tom Quigley	31
10	Bill Wolfe	31
	Judith Zompano	44
11	Councilwoman Terri Reicher	45
	Maria Kent	46
12	Julie Doncoss	49
	MaryBeth Maldonado	49
13	Art Kaffka	49
	Jefferson LaSala	50
14	Mike Quigley	53
	John Soojian	54
15	Ed Merrill	56
	Michael A. Keough	62

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 MR. MUGDAN: We are going to get started. My
2 name is Walter Mugdan. I am director of the Emergency
3 and Remedial Response Division at the United States
4 Environmental Protection Agency Region 2.

5 We are here tonight for a more formal session
6 than we had about a month ago; we were here on November
7 12 and we had an informal question-and-answer session.
8 This evening's event is a little more formal. You see
9 we have a court reporter here. Everything that you
10 folks say to us will be transcribed and will become part
11 of the official record for this evening.

12 I'm going to be serving as the presiding officer
13 and I'm going to turn it over now to Perry Katz, the
14 project manager for this aspect of the work. He has
15 about a fifteen-minute opening statement and then we
16 will start to call on folks to come up and give their
17 statements in the order that you have been signing up.
18 So we will get to that after Perry's opening statement.

19 MR. KATZ: Folks, good evening, and thanks
20 for attending this public hearing. I will be reading
21 from a prepared statement so I won't be able to give eye
22 contact per se.

23 As Walter introduced me, I'm Perry Katz. I'm the
24 EPA Region 2 project manager for the environmental
25 cleanup of Pompton Lakes work site. EPA is present this

1 evening to gather public input on the cleanup of the
2 Pompton Lake Study Area, which includes the Acid Brook
3 Delta sediment, two areas of impacted sediment
4 identified in Pompton Lakes as well as the Acid Brook
5 Delta upland soil areas. The environmental cleanup is
6 being performing using EPA's authorities under the
7 Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, also
8 known as RCRA.

9 I also want to introduce you to my colleagues
10 from the EPA who are here tonight. Walter Mugdan, our
11 division director, previously introduced himself; he is
12 the presiding officer for this hearing. Also in
13 attendance are Jeff Josephson, Chief of New Jersey
14 Projects/State Coordination Section; from our Clean Air
15 and Sustainability Division, Cliff Ng, Project Manager
16 for RCRA Corrective Action; from our Public Affairs
17 Division, Mike McGowan is present, Chief of
18 Intergovernmental and Community Affairs Branch; David
19 Kluesner, Team Leader; and Pat Seppi, Community Liaison.

20 The purpose of our hearing is to take comments on
21 EPA's tentative determination to select corrective
22 action measures for the Pompton Lake study area, which
23 includes sediment and upland areas. These measures
24 would be implemented by DuPont through modification of
25 the EPA 1992 RCRA permit initially issued in 1992 for

1 the Pompton Lakes Works facility located at 2000
2 Cannonball Road.

3 As a brief background, the DuPont Pompton Lakes
4 Works facility is regulated under RCRA because DuPont
5 managed hazardous waste on site in regulated process
6 units at the time the regulations of the statute came
7 into effect in the early 1980's.

8 The statute was amended in 1984 to incorporate
9 what are called the Hazardous and Solid Waste
10 Amendments. Among these amendments is an obligation
11 that facilities that manage hazardous waste must take
12 actions to clean up their sites as necessary. The EPA
13 requires DuPont to clean up contamination from its
14 facility. The permit applies to all on-site and
15 off-site areas affected by operations at the DuPont
16 Pompton Lakes Works facility.

17 DuPont also has clean-up obligations under New
18 Jersey law resulting in the 1988 Administrative Consent
19 Order entered with the New Jersey Department of
20 Environmental Protection. EPA and the New Jersey
21 Department of Environmental Protection provide oversight
22 of the cleanup at this site.

23 The EPA has lead on the remediation of the
24 Pompton Lake Study Area, which as I had mentioned
25 earlier includes impact of sediment in the Acid Brook

1 Delta and two areas identified in Pompton Lakes as well
2 the upland soil areas. The Acid Brook Delta flows from
3 north to south through the eastern valley on the DuPont
4 property. The Acid Brook was remediated in the 1990's,
5 however, past discharges into the Acid Brook resulted in
6 contamination of sediment and upland soils.

7 The EPA hosted a public information session on
8 November 12, during which EPA presented the basic
9 technical considerations posed by the site contamination
10 as well as the proposed approaches to remediate the
11 contaminated sediments and soils associated with this
12 proposed RCRA permit modification. The presentation
13 from that meeting is available on the EPA website for
14 this project. That website is included in the hand-out
15 provided at the sign-in desk.

16 Before we begin, I would like to briefly
17 highlight the key activities and findings that form the
18 basis for EPA's proposed RCRA permit modification.

19 Under the RCRA permit, DuPont was required to
20 perform an investigation to determine the nature and
21 extent of contamination caused by facility operations.
22 DuPont performed these investigations and studies for
23 the Pompton Lake study area between 1995 and 2014.
24 DuPont looked at three major components: Sediment in
25 the Acid Brook Delta and Pompton Lake between the

1 Lakeside Avenue Bridge and Pompton Dam, the Acid Brook
2 upland soil areas and the shoreline properties. Under
3 the RCRA permit, DuPont is also required to use the
4 findings of its investigations, upon EPA and NJ DEP
5 approval, to propose and evaluate corrective action
6 measured -- measures, excuse me -- adhering to the
7 criteria prescribed in the permit.

8 For the sediment, mercury and lead were
9 determined to be the key site-related contaminants of
10 concern. When inorganic mercury is found in near-shore
11 shallow sediments, with organic carbon, anaerobic
12 conditions and bacteria, it can form methyl mercury,
13 which is a more toxic form of mercury. Methyl mercury
14 can accumulate in the smaller aquatic organisms and then
15 in fish and other animals up the food chain. Therefore,
16 the draft permit is focused on removal of sediments
17 contaminated with methyl mercury as well as inorganic
18 mercury that has a potential to form methyl mercury.
19 Also, mercury and lead are located in the same areas.
20 So cleaning up the mercury will also eliminate the lead.

21 Samples of bottom sediment were taken across the
22 Pompton Lake study area. Mercury concentrations were
23 determined at the top six inches, which is also the
24 layer most available to plants and animals and most
25 likely to result in the mercury entering the food chain.

1 Mercury concentrations were also measured from deeper
2 samples to assess the full extent of mercury
3 contamination. Additionally, biological samples from
4 local wildlife were selected for analysis, for example,
5 fish tissue, insect tissue, as well as other types of
6 testing, for example, sediment toxicity testing and
7 bathymetry to complete an assessment of the nature and
8 extent of contamination.

9 To address these ecological concerns, DuPont
10 proposed and evaluated remediation to reduce the
11 potential for methylation of mercury in near-shore
12 sediments, and to reduce the area of exposure of
13 ecological receptors to elevated concentrations of
14 mercury in the sediments. Based on our review of
15 DuPont's investigations, the EPA is proposing a final
16 remedy for the Acid Brook Delta and two areas of Pompton
17 Lake identified as Area A and the Island Area that
18 includes dredging approximately 128,400 cubic yards of
19 sediment from approximately 36 acres of the Acid Brook
20 Delta and approximately 3 acres in the two
21 additionally-identified areas in Pompton Lake.

22 The amount to be dredged was determined using
23 multiple lines of evidence approach based on data
24 obtained during Dupont's investigations. The lines of
25 evidence included sediment characterization sampling and

1 analysis, biota sampling, and analysis, bathymetry and
2 side scan sonar of the lake-bottom sediment, toxicity
3 testing of sediment and measuring surface water
4 concentrations of methyl mercury. Within the Acid Brook
5 Delta, contaminated sediment will be removed by dredging
6 down to at least the peat layer, which was present when
7 the delta was submerged following the Pompton Lake Dam
8 enlargement in 1908. Within the two areas identified in
9 Pompton Lake outside the Acid Brook Delta and between
10 the Lakeside Avenue Bridge and Pompton Dam, removal of
11 sediment will be down to the native cobble or gravel
12 layer.

13 The EPA also proposes excavation of approximately
14 3200 cubic yards of impacted soils in the Acid Brook
15 Delta upland soil areas. Excavation will be to the
16 lower of human health based direct contact standards or
17 ecological soil delineation criteria for mercury and
18 other metals in the upland soils component of the Acid
19 Brook Delta upland soil areas. Excavation will be to
20 depths defined as three feet below the final restoration
21 elevation or one foot below the water table elevation,
22 whichever is encountered first in the wetland/wetland
23 transition component of the Acid Brook Delta upland soil
24 areas.

25 The dredged sediment and excavated soil will be

1 disposed of at an authorized off-site disposal facility.
2 There will be a specific work plan submitted by DuPont
3 and approved by EPA and NJ DEP concerning the dredging
4 and disposal work.

5 Following dredging and removal, the areas will be
6 restored. In the Acid Brook Delta and identified areas
7 of Pompton Lake, the dredged areas will be restored by
8 placing a granular layer of sand over the dredged areas
9 to establish a zone for benthic community
10 re-colonization over time. Additionally, planting and
11 seeding of aquatic native vegetation in the Acid Brook
12 Delta will be performed. For the Acid Brook Delta
13 upland soil areas, a restoration plan will be prepared
14 and include regrading of the uplands to accommodate
15 planting with native vegetation and placement of park
16 amenities and pathways for public use.

17 An initial five-year monitoring program will be
18 developed and implemented in conjunction with the
19 completion of the remedial action. The long-term
20 monitoring program will be designed to establish
21 baseline conditions and conduct long-term monitoring of
22 the Pompton Lake study area. The long-term monitoring
23 program will be used to evaluate the Pompton Lake study
24 area ecosystem as a result of the removal of mercury
25 contaminated sediments with the greatest potential for

1 methylation.

2 The results of the five-year monitoring period
3 will be used to determine the scope of further remedial
4 action, if it is required, and/or any changes in the
5 monitoring. The long-term monitoring program contains a
6 significant portion of the ecological elements needed to
7 perform an ecological risk assessment, if the ecological
8 risk assessment is determined to be required to further
9 inform a decision about the possible for additional
10 remedial action.

11 It was also determined that the shoreline
12 properties were not impacted by delta sediments during
13 historic storms and no corrective action is being
14 proposed for those properties.

15 The proposed permit modification is limited to
16 the selection of the remedies that I previously
17 described. DuPont will also need to obtain state and
18 local permits for this work. Plans to clean up the
19 remaining areas of on- and off-site contamination at and
20 near the DuPont facility will be the subject of future
21 public meetings and public hearings on proposed permit
22 modifications when investigations have been completed,
23 the proposals have been drafted by DuPont and reviewed
24 by EPA and NJ DEP.

25 As an example, DuPont also performed

1 investigations related to the Pompton and Ramapo Rivers
2 from the Pompton Dam approximately three miles
3 downstream to Riverside Park in Wayne. The objective of
4 the work was to determine how far downstream mercury may
5 have migrated. The results of this work will be
6 analyzed and reported to EPA and NJ DEP by DuPont. The
7 extent of remedial work, if any, in this area may be
8 subject to a separate permit modification based on EPA
9 review.

10 We are here this evening to listen to you and
11 take your statements on this proposed permit
12 modification. This hearing is on the record and a
13 transcript will be publicly available, and be posted on
14 line. All the information that you need to submit
15 comments to EPA is contained in the hand-out given to
16 you at the sign-in area. We also have comment cards
17 available to those of you who wish to submit written
18 comments to us tonight. All comments will be
19 considered, but we ask you that keep your comments and
20 statements focused on the proposed permit modification.

21 Those wishing to make statements should have
22 signed in and received a number. The number of the
23 speaker will be called out. Please come to the
24 microphone to give your comments and please introduce
25 yourselves prior to speaking. I ask that you keep your

1 statements brief and try not to exceed three minutes.
2 This will allow everyone the opportunity to speak. If
3 you have a written copy of your comments, please provide
4 it to the hearing reporter who will prepare the
5 transcript.

6 As was mentioned earlier, Walter Mugdan will
7 monitor the time. For those who wish to make a
8 statement tonight who didn't sign up and receive a
9 number, please go back to the sign-in area and we will
10 assign you a number for you to speak.

11 Additional written comments may be submitted to
12 the EPA until the close of the public comment period on
13 February 2, 2015. After the close of the comment
14 period, EPA will review all comments, including those
15 made at this public hearing, and make a final
16 determination on the proposed permit modification under
17 consideration.

18 EPA will also prepare a written summary and
19 response to all relevant comments it receives. A notice
20 of EPA's final determination will be provided to the
21 public and to those on the facility mailing list.

22 People may also file appeals to the final
23 Regional determination on this permit modification, as
24 provided in the procedures for the decision making found
25 in 40 CFR Part 124. Any appeal must be filed with the

1 Environmental Appeals Board within 30 days after the
2 Region issues its final determination.

3 With that, we will get started and lucky number
4 one get started.

5 MR. MUGDAN: The first person I have signed
6 up, and I apologize in advance, Michael Gerbe.

7 MR. GERBE: Michael Gerbi, Pompton Lakes.
8 Thank you all for being here today. I will be brief.
9 First, I urge that you reject the plan and only because
10 I still believe that a full lake restoration and shore
11 fund cleanup should be done, not a piecemeal cleanup,
12 which is being done today.

13 Also, I checked also because there is no
14 community involvement in the actual negotiations and I
15 feel that the community should have a representative
16 sitting at the table with DuPont and EPA while the
17 discussions were going on and how this plan was put
18 together. So we really didn't have any input on how the
19 cleanup should be done. So I'm very disappointed about
20 that.

21 Also, this is a very complex document, I speak
22 only for myself, but I know there are other people here,
23 I am disappointed that the EPA didn't provide some sort
24 of technical assistance so we can sit down and actually
25 go through the document so we could be better prepared

1 for today, but I guess it is what it is for today.

2 As far as any questions, I know that U.S. Fish
3 and Wildlife submitted a 2012 letter. And I know it's
4 on the DEP website, but the response from EPA is not to
5 their specific questions. Is there anywhere that I
6 could find that so I could see that EPA's response was
7 to Fish and Wildlife's letter?

8 Also, I know this may be in the documentation,
9 what is the action level, for lack of a better word, for
10 a hotspot? It's not documented anywhere that I could
11 personally find and I appreciate if someone could give
12 me that information.

13 And also, what would be included in the final
14 permit?

15 Also, there is the ecological assessment
16 document; why is that not part of the actual plan
17 itself?

18 And that's it. Thank you.

19 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you. The next speaker
20 will be Lisa Riggiola.

21 MS. RIGGIOLA: Lucky number two. Lisa
22 Riggiola, 32 Orchard Street, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey.

23 I will begin my testimony tonight by stating that
24 the Pompton Lakes wants our toxic neighborhood and
25 contaminated waterways to be cleaned up. It is overdue

1 for the cleanup for all areas of concern so this will no
2 longer be a piecemeal effort, but a unified effort,
3 which should be given top priority to include an
4 expedited approach, to achieve a full cleanup of Pompton
5 Lake plume.

6 This entire site must be fully restored and not
7 to just code standards, but based on the close proximity
8 to the site and waterways to hundreds of Pompton Lakes
9 homes, a cleanup to residential standards is the only
10 way to ensure Pompton Lakes' families' safety. There
11 should be no partial cleanup of Pompton Lakes so late in
12 the contamination game, but a cleanup of all
13 contaminates upstream, down stream and within Pompton
14 Lakes.

15 The U.S. EPA must formally respond to the United
16 States Fish and Wildlife Services 2012 letter and make
17 the response readily available to the public.

18 The public should also be provided with a
19 side-by-side comparison of the previously revised EPA
20 clean-up plan for Pompton Lake, which was expanded to 40
21 acres to the current partial clean-up plan including a
22 complete inventory of every change that was made from
23 the previous plan to the clean-up plan. An ecological
24 risk assessment should be performed now, not later or if
25 at all.

1 Every comment made by the United States Fish and
2 Wildlife suggested from their 2012 and their 2014
3 comments should be adhered to by the U.S. EPA unless the
4 other sources of mercury upstream, as stated, can be
5 named properly, identified and shared with the public,
6 this reference to all mercury not being DuPont's mercury
7 shall be null and void from this point forward.

8 The updated conceptual site model is flawed. The
9 statement is wrong. The Acid Brook Delta is not the
10 only source of metals in Pompton Lakes. Mercury
11 fulminate was manufactured for countless decades without
12 any control of emissions from that process. Vapors from
13 dissolving mercury and strong acid were vented and their
14 air admissions would have included mercury, which could
15 have then entered right up into Pompton Lakes.

16 Has Dupont shown any valid proof that this did
17 not occur -- that this has not occurred? If not, then
18 in reality the fundamental basis that the mercury all
19 came from the Acid Brook Delta is totally wrong.

20 Public involvement in all aspects of this cleanup
21 is a must. From the onset of this process, there has
22 been no community group stakeholders invited to the
23 table to be involved in the planning and decision-making
24 process. As once discussed with the U.S. EPA Region 2
25 Administrator Enck, and our senior elected officials,

1 which is one of the very tools available for federal
2 superfund sites as a given, the Pompton Lakes Community
3 Advisory Group, the PLCAG of which I am a member of,
4 must be provided with a means to secure a technical
5 advisor to work side by side with the PLCAG and the
6 residents to oversee, review, and analyze complicated
7 technical documents such as those related to this
8 partial cleanup of Pompton Lakes.

9 In closing, I reject this partial clean-up plan
10 for Pompton Lakes as it has been presented. I demand a
11 complete site cleanup, fully restored lake and
12 shorefront. The U.S. EPA is not doing the right thing
13 for the residents and has everything at their fingertips
14 to ensure this cleanup is done right, but the U.S. EPA
15 continues to allow DuPont to call the shots.

16 It's imperative this occur for the safety of
17 Pompton Lakes families, for the future of our
18 environment, natural resources, wildlife, and for the
19 overall wellness of our community. Generations have
20 been innocent victims to DePont's contamination as it
21 done through our soil and waterways; therefore, in
22 moving forward not one more child should ever have to
23 experience the public health risk, the stress and worry
24 that has become routine living in the toxic plume.

25 Thank you.

1 MR. MUGDAN: Next speaker, Joyce Fern.

2 MS. FERN: Hi, my name is Joyce Fern. 58
3 Mandeville Street, Pompton Lakes. I would like to share
4 some of my concerns with you, mainly regarding the air
5 quality and the air testing when the plans were
6 performed.

7 What type of air monitoring will be taking place
8 at the site? How many air monitoring stations will
9 there be? What are the radiants that are going to be
10 measured?

11 I'm concerned with the airborne mercury
12 contaminants in regards to the school and the
13 schoolchildren. Will the children be kept inside the
14 schools? Will all the windows have to be kept closed
15 throughout the whole process, which we don't know how
16 long that is?

17 What contaminants will the EPA be monitoring for?
18 Who will be monitoring the air, DuPont or EPA? And what
19 do you have in place in case something goes wrong,
20 should there be mercury in the air for the residents and
21 anyone in proximity?

22 And that's about it. Thank you.

23 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you.

24 The next speaker will be Karen Dean.

25 MS. DEAN: Hello, I'm Karen Dean. Thank you

1 for having us tonight.

2 I reject also the plan. We demand a full lake
3 cleanup and restore our lakefront and shorefront.

4 And when will the fish consumption advisory signs
5 be hung up at the lake? They need to cover all the
6 signs at the lake in plain sight.

7 Will there with 24-hour security on the site?
8 This must be provided by EPA not DuPont.

9 EPA monitoring on all dredging activity on the
10 site must be provided for the length of the plan of the
11 dredging.

12 Is there a health and safety plan for the site?

13 Also, when will the letters be sent to the
14 parents for the Lakeside School children?

15 Trailers on the site to ask for questions?

16 Will a notice be put in the paper to inform
17 everyone when the project will start and how long it
18 will last?

19 We believe all truck traffic should go out on
20 202, not through town. We know there is a local issue,
21 but it needs to be in the plan to make sure it is done
22 correctly. Traffic studies include public involvement
23 and needs to be reviewed at a public hearing.

24 What are the hours of operation on the site? It
25 must be included in the permit.

1 And, also, I just want to say: EPA you have the
2 power to do the right thing. All documents, past and
3 present, shall be had. We need this cleaned up
4 completely. We suffered long enough. Health, real
5 estate, loss of employment, and most of all the loss of
6 our beautiful lake.

7 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you.

8 Next we are going to hear from Helen Martens.

9 MS. MARTENS: Helen Martens.

10 I want to thank you for coming. I just want to
11 say that I'm not happy with the plan as it is. We would
12 like the complete site cleanup, fully restored lake and
13 shorefront. I feel the EPA is not doing the right
14 thing; they are allowing the polluter to call the shots.
15 The EPA needs to step up to the plate and do what is
16 right for the residents.

17 Mercury and lead are still on the site in the
18 soil and not fully remediated. There are still areas of
19 concern. Where is the proof and evidence that will not
20 end up in Acid Brook and the lake being recontaminated?
21 We need them to provide us actual technical proof.
22 Where is documentation of the history of the site's
23 contaminates? How will we know that they are getting it
24 all?

25 And we believe that air emissions are also a

1 source of contamination. Has that been explored and
2 researched? And, if not, why not? And when will it be
3 completed?

4 How and what is the source of the Acid Brook
5 contamination? Was it from the shooting pond or was
6 there a pipe that ran from the plant to Acid Brook? How
7 do we know what has been taken off of the site? The
8 corrective measure is based on the protection of the
9 ecological resources and specifically preventing the
10 methylation of mercury and reducing the exposure of
11 ecological receptors to mercury. This plan simply
12 assumes its traditional measures will prevent any human
13 exposure to contaminated settlement, but human exposure
14 was not considered in the selection of the CM for
15 sediment. Why?

16 Those are my questions for today. Thank you.

17 MR. MUGDAN: Our next speaker will be Mike
18 Serra.

19 COUNCILMAN SERRA: Hello. Mike Serra from
20 Pompton Lakes.

21 I'm here to support the permit process. Pompton
22 Lakes has waited long enough to have the lake cleaned
23 out. I appreciate the time and effort that has been put
24 back into going back in to discuss what has to be done
25 there. But at the end of the day, I'm hoping science is

1 talking here and science is taking the front of what is
2 going on. And the agreements that has been reached with
3 the EPA, DEP and DuPont were all done in science. And
4 you are the watchdog for our town and our community and
5 hopefully you are doing the right thing with the lake.
6 And I'm sure if something was to come up while you are
7 doing this process, it will be looked at also.

8 I think a lot of the residents have concerns with
9 the work plan that is going to take place. I would hope
10 we would have some say on the work plan as a resident or
11 as a town to put input on the residents or how they
12 feel. It could be minor things, but to some people it
13 is very important. So I am hoping we have a say on the
14 work plan that is put in place.

15 But I'm here to support the remediation plan.

16 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you. Our next speaker is
17 Steve Grayberg.

18 MR. GRAYBERG: Thank you. Steve Grayberg. I
19 am a lakefront resident of Pompton Lakes, and I am the
20 chair of the lake restoration committee. It is in that
21 capacity that I address you now.

22 The Pompton Lakes lake restoration committee is a
23 subcommittee of the Pompton Lakes flood advisory board.
24 We are comprised of borough resident volunteers who have
25 a vested interest in the health of Pompton Lake and the

1 recreational benefits that it brings to the community
2 currently and far into the future.

3 The lake restoration committee agrees with and
4 strongly supports the revised October 14, 2014 version
5 of the permit for DuPont to remove contaminated sediment
6 and soil from the Acid Brook Delta and from the extended
7 designated areas of Pompton Lakes. We recommend that
8 this permit remain focused on those areas and that any
9 remediation required south of the Pompton Lakes be
10 addressed by a separate permit.

11 For a number of years the lake restoration
12 committee has implemented cleanup with educational
13 treatment initiatives, recreational programs, and
14 overall community education of the importance of
15 maintaining our fragile waterways. We are now preparing
16 a formal lake management plan to introduce several
17 programs to ensure the long-term sustainability and use
18 of Pompton Lakes as a recreational water body.

19 The resolution of the current contamination in
20 the lake is critical to instituting any such long-term
21 program. We have closely followed the process of the
22 permit for the contamination cleanup and have noted
23 considerable public input and full appeals to prior
24 permits. We appreciate the fact that the EPA has made
25 all this information known. And, therefore, have

1 prepared a revised permit based on science, test results
2 and expert advice. The lake restoration committee of
3 Pompton Lakes supports this permit proposal and asks
4 that the process be moved forward without any additional
5 delay so that a viable lake management plan can be
6 implemented.

7 Thank you

8 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker will be George
9 Popov.

10 MR. POPOV: Good evening. George Popou,
11 Pompton Lakes.

12 I'm here to object to several of the basis that
13 are proposed. A lot of the facts that you are using for
14 your analysis and post work is based on the major flaw
15 of volume-weighted spacial averaging. You continue to
16 use this information although you know that it
17 mathematically lowers the numbers and is a dangerous
18 distortion of pollutant levels and toxic dangers. It
19 hides large toxic areas and hotspots.

20 Everyone knows, especially in your positions,
21 that depending on how large one makes the polygon,
22 volume-weighted spacial averaging can totally mask a
23 high toxic area by simply providing many different
24 polygons.

25 A couple of examples where polygon mercury levels

1 were reduced thirty to over fifty percent between the
2 80's Acid Brook Delta corrective measures and the
3 implementation plan of December 2010 and DuPont's
4 numbers presented on 10/19/2011, specifically, boring ID
5 No. 453, went from an average of 68.3 parts per million
6 mercury to a color hue indicating 2.220 parts per
7 mercury. Boring ID No. 480 went from an average of
8 558.5 parts per million, to a color hue of 2.220 parts
9 per million of mercury. One can cleanup the Acid Brook
10 Delta in the lake mathematically without lifting a
11 shovel. I believe you are being misled. Someone is
12 being disingenuous and using those facts in putting this
13 plan together.

14 Furthermore, the proposed work plan generally
15 proposes dredging, the proposed work is to scrape off
16 inches in most areas and cover it with inches of
17 material. What level of cleaning will this achieve?

18 The entire work plan, the current one, is talking
19 about a level of depth, not a level of clean. No one is
20 addressing how much contamination is there, how much was
21 put there by DuPont, and how much will be removed. At
22 the end of the day, how clean will it be? How safe will
23 it be? What is the metrics for that? It's not in your
24 plan. How can we feel comfortable that something will
25 be achieved?

1 Again, the dredging that is proposed is a
2 minimal start. It will not address the pollution along
3 the northeastern shores of Pompton Lake nor is it
4 addressing the Wayne shoreline. This has been a snag
5 area for pollution as well as contamination for years.
6 DePont's own report maximum 367 and 754 milligrams per
7 liter surface and subsurface mercury concentration
8 outside an 800-foot radius that is the proposed dredging
9 area of Pompton Lakes. This is in their investigation
10 report of June 2008. This is before averaging begins
11 and brings the numbers down. Both shorelines of the
12 lower Ramapo River need to be cleaned. You only
13 identified two areas, primarily, I think due to the fact
14 of the volume-weighted spatial averaging masking or
15 hiding hotspots.

16 DuPont itself doesn't believe the cap will hold.
17 And everyone is saying we will just cover it over with
18 fresh material that would require ongoing maintenance
19 and repair. What you are, in essence, proposing by not
20 cleaning it all up is that people, the residents of
21 Pompton Lakes, will suffer through this again in two to
22 four years. A few major storms, a few fish nets, a few
23 anchors, and you are be going to be meddling with that
24 mercury that wasn't removed this time around. You have
25 the information now; you have the opportunity to do it

1 right. Clean it up now.

2 As far as the Lakeside Middle School and the
3 parents being apprised, none of that is going on today.
4 It's unconscionable that the Lakeside Middle School will
5 be used during the dredging of the Acid Brook Delta. I
6 believe parents will revolt based on just a small
7 unscientific sampling that I had in the last couple of
8 weeks. I believe that the school board is being foolish
9 in not making plans to relocate the students.

10 The other part is about focusing on this
11 piecemeal approach. The DuPont worksite is still
12 contaminated. For some reason the cerebral power that
13 keeps work and nothing is going to flow down hill,
14 although, it has been doing this for 35 years.
15 Everything flows down hill.

16 Again, the entire effort is completely based on
17 DuPont's levels. Will we, the residents of Pompton
18 Lakes, be safe? We all want the contamination removed
19 in the entire area, not covered up. You have the
20 information and power to do the right thing. Clean it
21 up, don't cover it up. Make Pompton Lakes safe for all
22 future generations.

23 Thank you.

24 MR. MUGDAN: Next speaker will be Al Agnes.

25 MR. AGNES: I'll pass.

1 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker will be Lorelle
2 Pepper.

3 MS. PEPPER: Thank you. I just had a few
4 points I would like to bring up regarding the upland
5 soil clean-up standards.

6 First of all, what is the sediment standard and
7 how did you arrive at this level of standard? What is
8 the plan for soil cleanup on the banks of the lake? How
9 much is going to be cleaned up?

10 We want complete excavation of the area, and
11 after the process is done thoroughly, it needs to be
12 restored to a better level than it was before. This
13 should include involvement of a landscape architect, and
14 tree-planting requirements should be included in the
15 design plan and permit and paid for by DuPont.

16 The public needs to be involved in the actual
17 restoration plan, which includes the Rotary Park area.
18 We want a complete lake bank cleanup, totally safe
19 removal of the pollution caused by DuPont. Do not try
20 to cover it up with several inches of clean soil or
21 sand. Residents want a full cleanup; not a cover up,
22 but a total cleanup of the pollution and restoration.

23 Last, what is the plan for this procedure?

24 Thank you.

25 MR. MUGDAN: Our next speaker will be Bill

1 Pendexter.

2 DR. PENDEXTER: Good evening. Bill
3 Pendexter, 198 Hill Top Court in Pompton Lakes.

4 As someone who has worked in the environmental
5 business for well over twenty years it's obvious that
6 there has been a huge amount of investigative work
7 conducted in Pompton Lakes and a large amount of
8 negotiations that have gone on between the EPA and
9 DuPont and other regulatory bodies, whether it be Fish
10 and Wildlife or the DEP.

11 The remediation as it stands right now is going
12 to address the vast majority of the mercury that has
13 come out of Acid Brook. As anyone who works in the
14 business knows, you never get every single molecule,
15 that is never ever at all, you are allowed to leave
16 material behind. And you are also not responsible for
17 every bit of contaminant because there are other sources
18 around.

19 The plan as it stands right now is going to be
20 beneficial to Pompton Lakes to bury things to a high
21 degree and the monitoring that will occur afterwards is
22 going to be able to demonstrate whether that is
23 sufficient or not. This is an absolutely well-thought
24 out plan and it addresses what needs to be addressed.
25 It has been a very long time since we have seen action

1 and remediation, whether it be in the sediment for any
2 part of this DuPont plan. It's time for things to start
3 moving forward and try to get this stuff behind us.

4 Thank you.

5 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you.

6 Next speaker will be Tom Quigley.

7 MR. QUIGLEY: Good evening. Tom Quigley, 14
8 Henderson Court, Pompton Lakes.

9 First of all, I want to say exactly what Dr.
10 Pendexter just said about support. He is right on the
11 money. I'm tired of waiting. I want this lake to start
12 to be done now. I think the point of monitoring is so
13 if there are problems down the road, monitoring catches
14 it, and then you start the process again or you fix it
15 right then and there. I want this project started. I
16 lived in this town my whole life. I want this lake to
17 be started. I strongly support this.

18 Thank you very much.

19 MR. MUGDAN: Next speaker will be Bill Wolfe.

20 MR. WOLFE: Good evening. My name is Bill
21 Wolfe. I'm from Bordentown, New Jersey.

22 For the record, I beg your indulgence. I may
23 violate the three-minute guidance. But at the outset, I
24 would like to put two facts on the record: That in
25 1985, when the RCRA corrective action amendments were

1 passed that Mr. Katz referred to, I was the New Jersey
2 coordinator and my staff did the preliminary assessment
3 investigation work at the DuPont site as well as
4 preparing the sodium acid plan that was also a tool at
5 that time under the corrective action provisions that
6 looked at the DuPont site. So I have had fingerprints
7 on this site historically.

8 With that said, fast-forwarding on this site
9 historically, with that said, fast-forwarding to 2002, I
10 was with the DEP and part of the rule-making team that
11 incorporated what were then called wildlife criteria,
12 and there were certain water-quality standards for the
13 DEP. And those standards were based upon a 1999 Fish
14 and Wildlife Service biological opinion that found that
15 the State of New Jersey water quality standards were not
16 adequately protective for mercury, PCPs and DCE.

17 And the methodology that we used to arrive at
18 those standards is extremely and fundamentally different
19 that the EPA currently has applied in this clean-up plan
20 with respect to ecological impacts. And it deals with
21 an entire host and a full spectrum of the ecological
22 risk analysis, from the evaluation of the amount of
23 mercury present in the environment, who put it there,
24 through how it has transformed the environment and how
25 it is updating via biota.

1 And DuPont's analysis not only is substantially
2 inconsistent with the methodology that EPA directed the
3 State of New Jersey, and EPA served on a work team in
4 the State of New Jersey in 2002 to develop a water
5 quality standard for mercury based on the ecological and
6 wildlife facts. It's stunning. And that's one piece of
7 evidence I want to put on the record, to say that what
8 you are doing here has no scientific validity. And has
9 some serious scientific flaws.

10 The other evidence I want to put on the record
11 is that it's not just my opinion and it's not just the
12 DEP's work in that water quality standard process and
13 it's not just the EPA's own work that directed the DEP
14 to that work, which grew out of the Great Lakes
15 Initiative, which looked at how mercury behaved in the
16 environment and in a lake sediment in particular, but
17 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service -- a gentleman
18 earlier talked about the cleanup being driven by
19 science, and I agree it should be -- but the U.S. Fish
20 and Wildlife Services found in their February 2012
21 consulting letter with respect to the prior clean-up
22 plan, that that clean-up plan was based upon science
23 that was, as they described it at the time, I think the
24 word they used was antiquated.

25 And they lay out a series of specific flaws in

1 the methodology that DuPont relied upon to generate the
2 clean-up plan that you guys proposed last time. And
3 none of those comments have been addressed in subsequent
4 sampling and methodology that followed. And I will be
5 specific here. And this is where it gets extremely
6 curious, because in the course of challenging, DuPont
7 challenged -- what was it -- the December 2011 or
8 whenever you finalized that last permit action, DuPont
9 challenged that before the Environmental Appeals Board.

10 During the course of that pending challenge at
11 the Environmental Appeals Board there were a series of
12 three specific meetings that are referred to in
13 documents by DuPont and they were referring to the
14 Ecological Restoration Plan for the lake, the Lake
15 Ecological Study, I think it is called. There are those
16 things that are referred to. And it's not a paper trail
17 that is transparent that says here was U.S. Fish and
18 Wildlife that was concerned with respect to let's just
19 say biological contamination in raptors, fish-eating
20 birds that are known to have habitat and forging habitat
21 in open rivers and the lake region.

22 And DuPont disputes that fact. DuPont says that
23 those species are not present, that they don't forge
24 there. And yet Fish and Wildlife says they are present,
25 they do forge there. You cannot square those two. One

1 person saying A, another person saying B. And there is
2 no resolution by the EPA of that scientific dispute.

3 And this is just one issue. I could take all night.

4 I could spend an hour here talking about every
5 single inconsistency, and there are multiple
6 inconsistencies that are fundamental scientific
7 questions that deal with everything from the conceptual
8 site model to the lake ecological investigation and
9 curiously, not only is there no transparency as to how
10 these scientific disputes have been resolved, and not
11 only is there no paper trail to document how these
12 three meetings occurred that are referenced in one
13 DuPont document during the course of the legal gun being
14 put to the EPA's head appealing the final permit last
15 time.

16 Again, the EPA is in a very compromising position
17 having a very weak legal case, having DuPont's attorneys
18 and technical people pressuring the agency to compromise
19 the plan. Not only does that exist, but the documents
20 that DuPont, DuPont themselves not EPA consultants, but
21 DuPont prepared, and there are two of them, one is the
22 conceptual site model and the other one is the
23 ecological investigation of the lake, are both
24 highlighted on the EPA website with multiple answers as
25 draft documents.

1 So the public, myself included, who reads the
2 documents can never find the scientific basis under
3 which this actual clean-up plan is developed. And that
4 to me is totally wrong. It's the exact opposite of how
5 you should proceed. And there should be a paper trail
6 saying DuPont alleged A, Fish and Wildlife said B, EPA
7 people said C, and you came together.

8 And when you, Perry, in your intro said the
9 weight of evidence-based approach, that is the very big
10 umbrella. All right?

11 So how that manifests in this cleanup that we are
12 seeing right now is that there is a 22.5 parts per
13 million standard that DuPont derived, it is a numeric
14 standard. It's for the upland soil area. It is based
15 upon certain assumption about effect of acidic soils and
16 the ability for mercury to methylate.

17 And there is Fish and Wildlife's extreme
18 objection to that. Saying, uh-uh, you can't do that.
19 It leaves quote -- this is their words -- quote,
20 significant amounts of mercury behind and, therefore,
21 because it leaves so much mercury behind, we want two
22 feet of cap to keep that stuff contained. And we all
23 know, in our lifetimes it might be contained, but we
24 know in the long run, it is not going to be contained.
25 And we know this. It is not even a question. There is

1 erosion issues, there are people that come in and drop
2 anchors, there is a whole bunch of things that result
3 both physically and biochemically in that mercury
4 becoming bioavailable. And you know that, too.

5 And there is nowhere in your documents, and I
6 read both the draft permit, and the statement of basis
7 and the fact sheets. And none of that, none of that
8 scientific dispute is in any way transparent, and in any
9 way resolved with either some form of consensus or some
10 kind of dissension agreement where one scientist says A
11 and one scientist says B and they agree to disagree, but
12 they do so credibly and with integrity and
13 transparently. That's not in the documents.

14 The second point is with respect to the earlier
15 testimony on actually how both the lake dredges areas
16 were delineated and how the remedial requirements were
17 established. Now the prior agreement, my understanding
18 was that prior agreement derived of a site specific
19 ecological grade criteria of two parts per million for
20 lake sediment. And that has been rejected. You are no
21 longer standing with that two parts per million number
22 and I don't even know what your basis is for the lake
23 dredging. It's not just hotspots, it's the entire basis
24 of the lake dredge. And Perry referred to that, again,
25 with his magic wand, which regulators like to use called

1 the weighted evidence. And I just don't agree that you
2 have the ability to do that, you have to make a
3 determination, you have to say what it is, you have to
4 say why it is and have you to apply the scientific
5 rationale for it. And we have deeply conflicting
6 scientific rationales between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
7 Service reviews both in terms of their 2012 comments,
8 which I have already mentioned, their 2014 comment
9 letter, and in their preliminary screening advice for
10 national resource damage assessment.

11 If you look at those and particularly the latter
12 document, the natural resources damages document, it
13 goes out of its way to explain why the EPA cleanup plan
14 will leave significant -- that's their words --
15 significant amounts of mercury both on the shore in the
16 lake and in downstream sediments. And then you have
17 DePont's ecological evaluation of the lake saying:
18 Number one, there has been no sediment transported
19 downstream; number two, we don't have to do any
20 downstream analysis; number three, we have not have a
21 significant impact on Fish and Wildlife. That's DuPont
22 position in their written document on the website and
23 asterisked with draft.

24 And you have a competing federal agency, your
25 sister agency, who has the ecological expertise, saying

1 that's all bullshit.

2 Excuse my French, people, I am assuming you know
3 it; I'm assuming you know it.

4 So what I am saying is you can't get away with
5 this.

6 MR. MUGDAN: Want to you try to wrap it up?

7 MR. WOLFE: That's just the ecological
8 standards and ecological session issues. There are a
9 whole bunch of other issues that, again, I left my
10 laptop home, I had a prepared outline. Unfortunately, I
11 have it handwritten on my list here.

12 But there was a man who spoke earlier from the
13 lake restoration committee, that lake is impaired, it's
14 impaired for dissolved oxygen, it's eutrophic. It's
15 impaired for fish consumption. If you are doing a
16 dredging operation, you can kill two birds with one
17 stone. And you can base it upon the rationale that
18 DuPont is a part of a major corridor for purposes of
19 servicing the surface of the watershed and historically
20 has put a ton of sediment in that lake, and a ton of
21 nutrients in that lake.

22 So they are on the hook in your clean-up plan,
23 not just for mercury remediation, but for restoring the
24 lake to its full compliance to the Clean Water Act. And
25 you have not in any shape or form even mentioned the

1 Clean Water Act, which is applicable here. And you
2 can't do anything on a regulatory basis that does not
3 meet a regulatory requirement. And they have not even
4 acknowledged it.

5 MR. MUGDAN: Can you wrap it up?

6 MR. WOLFE: I'm trying, Walter, I am trying
7 my best.

8 MR. MUGDAN: Try a little harder.

9 MR. WOLFE: I am going to try just a little
10 bit harder because I will make another point that is
11 again very informative --

12 MR. MUGDAN: Make one more point, okay?

13 MR. WOLFE: This is my penalty point. The
14 DEP did a study in 2004 and I will be submitting it in
15 writing for the record, which looked at the sediment in
16 regional lakes and looked at this issue in regional
17 lakes and tried to determine whether there were local
18 hotspots and local emission sources. And we all know
19 that there is a regional transport and there is a
20 regional background level of mercury in the environment,
21 but the DEP, the State DEP, where I used to work, a guy
22 named Gary Buchanan did a study and I urge you to call
23 Gary, and get his specific input on this, but he
24 determined that the sediments in Wood Cliff Lake were
25 higher than, significantly higher than the regional

1 background level that would result from air transport,
2 ordinary air transport. And he determined there was an
3 upwind source to the west that was local. And who is
4 the only major source of mercury atmospheric twelve
5 miles directly to the west? And that's DuPont.

6 And I reviewed the record permit for DuPont; and
7 number one, they had authorization on that record permit
8 for open burning of five hundred pounds of mercury
9 bearing waste a day. That's pallets, that smocks from
10 lab assistants, that's wipe-up rags when there were
11 mercury spills on the floor. Five hundred pounds a day.
12 If merely one percent of that mercury was burned, that's
13 a significant atmospheric emission. There were areas of
14 the --

15 MR. MUGDAN: One more point now. Let's get
16 to the last one now --

17 MR. WOLFE: On the air emission issue, there
18 were areas of concern --

19 MR. MUGDAN: I got the air emission --

20 MR. WOLFE: That dealt with the mercury
21 fulminate building the flumes that were injecting
22 mercury in the air, so there clearly were atmospheric
23 emissions that have not evaluated anywhere here. And
24 the conceptual site model that DuPont has offered up
25 explicitly says that the exclusive and sole basis for

1 mercury in the lake and anywhere else is Acid Brook.
2 And that is just fundamentally wrong because, A, there
3 were air emission, but there were also resurface runoffs
4 that did not got to the lake through Acid Brook. So all
5 those things have not been evaluated.

6 And my final point will be, again, coming at this
7 in 1985, supervising the staff and giving them guidance
8 and value at the time on ordinary site investigation,
9 one of the first things we did was to look at the site's
10 operational history. And to qualify, in a
11 back-of-the-envelope way, not a rigorous way, but a
12 back-of-the-envelope calculation as to how much mercury
13 use was at that site over the 95-year period.

14 And that would give you an indication of what
15 they estimated from the Acid Brook, what they are
16 proposing to estimate from the delta and the lake
17 sediments as to whether that constituted ten percent of
18 the amount of mercury they put in the environment over a
19 95-year period that they were operational versus maybe
20 90 percent. And I, as an expert, can't tell from your
21 documents whether DuPont is cleaning up 90 percent of
22 the mercury or 95 percent of the mercury or every
23 molecule, which they are obligated under law to clean up
24 every molecule and the legal standard is strict.

25 MR. MUGDAN: Address the court reporter,

1 please.

2 MR. WOLFE: Well, I need to respond because
3 there is testimony --

4 MR. MUGDAN: Address the court reporter,
5 please.

6 MR. WOLFE: Look, Walter, you are a CERCLA
7 guy. You know as well as I do that CERCLA is strict
8 joint and several liability, that means that the
9 gentleman's previous statements are not legally correct.
10 I need to correct that on the record.

11 MR. MUGDAN: Correct it to us, the record is
12 us.

13 MR. WOLFE: But I'm talking to the audience,
14 as well, because this is a public record, this is a
15 public event.

16 So getting back, again, I got derailed a little
17 bit on that point. So here's the deal: Tell me in
18 writing based upon some evidence what DuPont did from
19 production standpoint. Did they manufacture mercury
20 fulminate at that site? Did they import it? How did
21 the mercury get released to the environment? Was it
22 liquid mercury discharged through a pipe to the Acid
23 Brook? Was it runoff from the shooting pond? Was it
24 air emission? What was it?

25 MR. MUGDAN: I got it. I am going to ask you

1 to wrap it now, okay, Bill?

2 MR. WOLFE: Let me close with this -- like I
3 said I have about ten other points.

4 MR. MUGDAN: No. No. That was your ultimate
5 point. I think we are going to ask you to -- if we have
6 time afterwards we will ask you to come back up.

7 MR. WOLFE: We are making a record here,
8 Walter. We are making a legal record.

9 MR. MUGDAN: I understand. I will call you
10 back at the end.

11 MR. WOLFE: My last point will be none of the
12 questions and concerns I put on the record in the prior
13 public record, which are in the transcript, none of them
14 were responded to in writing by the agency. And that
15 doesn't meet the response of the standards of the
16 administrative procedures.

17 Thank you.

18 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you. Our next speaker
19 will be Judith Zompano.

20 MS. ZOMPANO: Hi, I'm Judith Zompano. I live
21 at 44 Pompton Place in Pompton Lakes.

22 All I can say is the day I bought my house -- the
23 day after I brought my house, I found out about a
24 problem in Pompton Lakes. Never knew nothing about it.

25 AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's me too, over here.

1 MS. ZOMPANO: After that, I had my house
2 remodeled and the day after I signed the building
3 permit, there was another problem in Pompton Lakes.
4 This has been going on and on and on and nothing gets
5 settled. Now you are going to do a partial this and a
6 partial that. I don't know what all that means, but I
7 know one thing. This lake should be cleaned. It should
8 be cleaned once and for all instead of fooling around
9 with a little of this and a little of that. It's
10 ridiculous. Clean it up and be done with it. And we
11 will enjoy it and the rest of society will enjoy it,
12 too.

13 Thank you.

14 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker will be Terri
15 Reicher.

16 MS. REICHER: It's Terry Reicher.

17 MR. MUGDAN: Sorry.

18 COUNCILWOMAN REICHER. That's all right.

19 I am a member of the Pompton Lakes Borough
20 Council and I also own property in the impacted area.

21 I really come to you with very short remarks
22 indicating support for the granting of the permit. We
23 have a ready, willing, and able corporate entity who is
24 prepared to commence the cleanup of the lake. I implore
25 the EPA to grant the permit swiftly and allow the

1 cleanup to begin. And please do not be swayed by any
2 further delaying tactics.

3 Thank you.

4 MR. MUGDAN: Our next speaker will be Maria
5 Kent.

6 MS. KENT: Good evening. Maria Kent, 17 Glen
7 Court. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the
8 DuPont lake cleanup permit. This is a complex project,
9 it's a complex permit. There has been a lot of input.
10 I think everyone just wants this to go away one way or
11 the other.

12 This process have been a thirty-year process and
13 it's long overdue. The fact that DuPont applied for
14 this permit three years ago, the Federal EPA, the Fish
15 and Wildlife group from the federal government, all had
16 ample time to review the data to review the signage and
17 to make a decision and I am of the mind that that was
18 done and that is why this permit exists as it is today.
19 I am going on faith that the EPA is going to do the
20 right thing for Pompton Lakes. And I met with Perry
21 Katz and Pat Seppi, and they were here weekly for six to
22 eight months. And they listened to everyone.

23 So now I'm asking that we follow our Councilman
24 Serra's format and say that you stick with the science
25 and get this thing going. To delay this permit is

1 unacceptable to me. EPA must grant the permit
2 application. And you must approve a legal permit -- a
3 legal path for DuPont to clean up what they put in the
4 lake. They admitted it. They agreed to it. They have
5 an obligation on the records to get this cleanup going
6 and I am asking that they meet that obligation by doing
7 that.

8 I am asking that they remove the mercury and the
9 lead contamination from Pompton Lake and the lake
10 bottom. It's very important to the residents that that
11 happen. It's extremely important to finish this job,
12 not only for the borough or the residents, but also for
13 the surrounding neighborhoods because the bottom of the
14 lake is owned by Pompton Lakes, by the borough. It's
15 deeded to the borough.

16 It is absolutely critical that the EPA ensures
17 that the proper financial insurance from DuPont for the
18 completion of this project be put in place. If for any
19 reason DuPont fails to complete this project, the
20 borough and the residents should not in any way bear the
21 completion cost. This is a necessary plan on the record
22 and I believe that should be strictly adhered to.

23 The project should hopefully start in early
24 spring. And it's my understanding it's going to take
25 around two years. And I would be very happy in that two

1 years if you come back and tell us exactly what has been
2 done. It should, in fact, clean up this lake so that
3 future residents and future generations should enjoy
4 this lake, should be able to swim in this lake, should
5 be able to fish in this lake. That is what this cleanup
6 should do.

7 The cost of the project must be paid by the PRP.
8 I can't say that enough. It's all the part of this
9 process.

10 EPA and other federal agencies had over thirty
11 years to study the floor of the pond, the policies,
12 their contamination levels. And I believe that we are
13 ready to finalize this permit. I'm grateful to the EPA
14 and DuPont for continuing to work with the local
15 agencies, with the local environment commissions, the
16 local environmental groups, so they cover all concerns.
17 And it's now time to complete the project.

18 And on another note, people have been here many,
19 many years. Thirty years is a long time to stay in
20 town. I have been here thirty years. My children were
21 tested here when they were babies for lead and mercury.
22 Thank God they were clean. We lived in the DuPont area
23 and they were tested. It's my recollection is that
24 there were no children were sick. So let's just keep
25 moving on those whole thing. Let's get past this.

1 I don't want to be held hostage here anymore. If
2 I want to move -- I don't want to move and give a
3 disclaimer on my property: Well, if you move here, you
4 might have a problem. That should not happen. That
5 should not happen. And that is something that this
6 permit, I believe, and getting the absolute cleanup will
7 take the negative picture of what Pompton Lakes is
8 about, that should take that away. And that's what this
9 permit should do, has to do.

10 And I thank you for your time.

11 MR. MUGDAN: Our next speaker will be Julie
12 Doncoss.

13 MS. DONCOSS: Good evening. Julie Doncoss,
14 Pompton Lakes resident.

15 I agree with many things that Maria said and I,
16 too, feel that Pompton Lakes has been held hostage
17 through the process. We are at this point in time now
18 and I urge that there are no further delays. I want EPA
19 to allow the permitting process to go forward and let
20 DuPont clean up the lake and let's move forward.

21 Thank you.

22 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you. The next speaker is
23 Mary Beth Maldonado.

24 MS. MALDONADO: I pass.

25 MR. MUGDAN: Pass. Next we have Art Kaffka.

1 MR. KAFFKA: Art Kaffka. I'm a resident of
2 Pompton Lakes on Ramapo Avenue. I have been part of in
3 town since 1978 when we moved here with our children.
4 It is a fantastic place to have children grow up. The
5 lake was always something that I used with my children.
6 Now they have children and they live in the town. I
7 would like to see it cleaned up. And I would like to
8 see this permit approved this time around with no
9 further delays.

10 Thank you.

11 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker is Jefferson
12 LaSala.

13 MR. LaSALA: My name is Jefferson LaSale and
14 I am a resident of Pompton Lakes.

15 I am speaking on behalf of the Pompton Lakes
16 Residents For Environmental Integrity, which includes
17 Regina Sisco, Karen Magee, Mary DeMarco, Michele
18 BelFiore, Jeff Winkler, and myself.

19 And just a few questions. Can the contaminated
20 soil from the hydraulic dredger be directed via the Acid
21 Brook to the DuPont property for processing to take
22 place there? And then if it could be transported off
23 their property via the railroad system, this would
24 alleviate the seemingly disruption to the community from
25 trucks full of contaminated soil, road closings, heavy

1 traffic, the diesel fuel emissions in a residential
2 areas, as well as minimizing potential exposure to the
3 toxic contaminates by residents.

4 Regarding public representation, we reiterate our
5 objection to the lack of resident input in the creation
6 of this permit modification.

7 We formally request that the EPA send
8 notifications to all residents of Pompton Lakes via the
9 United States Postal Service regarding this permit
10 application. These notices must be sent well in advance
11 of the February deadline to give all residents a chance
12 to voice their concern on this matter. At the very
13 least all residents must receive advance notice of the
14 dredging operations before they begin. To assume a
15 notice in the newspaper or an e-mail to a short list of
16 residents who have attended a prior meeting is enough
17 leaves out the vast majority of Pompton Lakes residents.

18 All residents and people traveling in town and
19 through Pompton Lakes will be affected by the traffic,
20 noise, any potential airborne contaminates and odors.

21 The EPA stated at the public information session
22 on November 12 that they are the final authority on the
23 lake dredging and we request therefore that the EPA post
24 official signs on the bridge and both sides of the lake
25 warning people not to eat the fish, touch or swim in the

1 water, stating that these signs are property of the
2 federal government and not to be removed. We believe
3 that these signs should be prominent and we request that
4 they be posted immediately especially in light of the
5 proposed dredging.

6 I would like to make one point and that is we
7 were discussing mercury methylation and the downstream
8 area of the river and now there are additional hotspots
9 that are shown that need to be dredged. In the my
10 recollection of the earlier meetings with the EPA, we
11 were told that mercury would not methylate and would not
12 be transported by storms or other means to that area of
13 the lake.

14 So I would just like to point out because
15 everybody is talking about the empirical value here,
16 that it took us a long time to get to the point where
17 people were getting to that fact that this mercury very
18 likely did move downstream. I agree with the statements
19 made by Bill Wolfe and Lisa Riggiola and I think that it
20 is -- excuse me -- one person mentioned delaying tactics
21 on this permit modification, I don't think it's a
22 delaying tactic to formally request that the entire lake
23 be cleaned up to the standards of the Clean Air Act.
24 And I don't think that anyone is trying to delay this;
25 we want this done as soon as possible, but we want it

1 want it done right. So I agree with my fellow residents
2 who are calling for a full cleanup of the lake and not a
3 partial one.

4 MR. MUGDAN: Mike Quigley.

5 MR. QUIGLEY: Good evening, Mike Quigley, 316
6 Van Ave.

7 First, I'm a little startled there is a lack of
8 input or technical guidance when a couple of times I
9 have gone over on Thursday to the municipal building
10 where the EPA has had Perry and Pat readily available to
11 answer questions or get back to us if we had any, not
12 that I ever stumped them. But I really appreciate the
13 cooperation that you have given Pompton Lakes. And
14 meetings like this, which over the course of time we
15 have all had a lot of input to it.

16 So for that part, for the EPA, I thank you and I
17 thank you for negotiating with DuPont, who I also
18 recognize as a valued corporate customer here. They
19 have always stood up. I am sure they weren't happy they
20 did this, that a hundred years ago we probably all would
21 have done the same thing. Now they are being a good
22 neighbor, as they have throughout the rest of the United
23 States. They are trying to do the right thing. All we
24 can do is free the path to allow them to do that, try to
25 help the whole town of Pompton Lakes, who really comes

1 to you in a larger voice than you will ever hear,
2 because, again they don't all come out and they expect
3 our elected officials to represent us, as we do. And
4 that's why those polls often represent that.

5 I would like to also give DuPont the opportunity
6 to get the most expedited cleanup we can get. DuPont
7 pays taxes close to a million dollars a year; gets
8 virtually no return. Allow them access to our roads.
9 Get this over as soon as possible. Do it the right way,
10 but at one time we say the residents of Wayne, poor
11 Wayne, the other times we say send the trucks through
12 Wayne, the fastest way to get this done. To me is the
13 best way they should have the access for the roads as
14 long as it's safe, which I assume it is, or it wouldn't
15 be for the Wayne residents either.

16 So whatever you can do to expedite this process,
17 I would appreciate that. I thank you for your time and
18 look forward to the things to come.

19 As a sixty-year resident of Pompton Lakes my time
20 is waning, I would like to see this over.

21 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker will be John
22 Soojian.

23 MR. SOOJIAN: John Soojian, 421 Lakeside
24 Avenue.

25 I would also like to strongly urge the EPA to

1 grant the permits expeditiously at this point. I firmly
2 believe that the team of scientists both public and
3 private, meaning the folks that were hired by DuPont as
4 well as the folks who work for the EPA are qualified to
5 come up with a program that will clean the lake to the
6 best of its ability.

7 I think it needs to be noted, I missed some of
8 the earlier presentation, but it should be noted that of
9 the 36 acres that are going to be cleaned up, I did hear
10 it mentioned that they were going down to below the peat
11 levels. The peat levels were from the time that the
12 lake bottom was a field. It was a corn field. It was
13 dammed in 1902 or '06, I believe, which was long before
14 DuPont started working in that valley. So any sediment
15 above that -- or below that would not have mercury in
16 it. The cleanup in that 36 acres as I understand it has
17 been designed to get below any mercury levels. The
18 testing was zero when you got down to those depths for
19 mercury.

20 Once again, and covered is not a cap in that
21 area, it is covered to the excavation that is being done
22 or the dredging. I think I heard some discussion this
23 evening that was confusing, uplands and soil sediments
24 -- rather upland soil with soil sediment in the lake, as
25 well as the Clean Water standards, the remediation of

1 mercury in the lake in the sediment, the remediation
2 uplands in the shoreline area, that's to soil standards.

3 As far as the restoration, DuPont held an exposé
4 in the high school two years ago. They have a plan that
5 was developed with input from folks in the community as
6 well as professionals that will be restoring the
7 shoreline from the Acid Brook right through the Lakeside
8 Park on one side and creating an ecological field from
9 the Acid Brook to, I believe to Lenox Avenue or Pompton
10 Lakes Avenue on the other end.

11 Once again, thank you for what you have done. I
12 would like to echo Mike Quigley's sentiment that Perry
13 and Pat have been available to us on a weekly basis.
14 They have been willing to take our questions to
15 technical people when necessary. And we would just like
16 to see this happen at this point.

17 Thank you.

18 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you.

19 Next speaker will be Ed Merrill.

20 MR. MERRILL: Good evening. My name is Ed
21 Merrill. I am the environmental officer of Pompton
22 Lakes. I have been studying this aspect of
23 contamination of the lake since its discovery. I
24 continue to monitor and study the plans that have been
25 proposed. I look forward to hearing more about the

1 ecological study that has been proposed moving forward.

2 I am certainly interested in the idea of the
3 possibility of natural resource damage assessment. I
4 would like to see in this particular case that it be
5 linked to a lake restoration/lake management plan rather
6 than simply disheveled money. I look forward to
7 continuing my research on this project and certainly
8 include the range of comments that have been made here
9 tonight by all parties involved. As in the past, I plan
10 to submit additional comments at a future date.

11 Thank you.

12 MR. MUGDAN: The next speaker is Michael
13 Keough.

14 MR. KEOUGH: Good evening, Mike Keough.
15 Pompton Lakes Avenue, Pompton Lakes.

16 I have been in this town since 1967. I appraise
17 real estate. I see what is going on in the plume. I
18 was here for the last hearing. And there was some
19 troubling comments that people made that want this done.
20 I want it done, too. And three, four years ago at a
21 public meeting I brought up the fact that I believed
22 there was a lot of mercury in the Acid Brook area at
23 Lakeside school. And I was taken a little back at the
24 time, certain individuals who were supposed to be
25 experts told me that it can't travel that far. It's too

1 heavy. How did it? Did it get beamed over there? It
2 is there, correct?

3 I heard a comment that Wayne does not care. I
4 don't believe that. I think Wayne should be involved.
5 I think they should be totally involved. Half of that
6 lake is part of Wayne. I heard a comment come out of
7 the audience at the last hearing they don't care if they
8 did this half hearted, to use another word, donkey wise.
9 And I asked the question what the fragrance would be, if
10 they use a fragrance enhancer, and I was told I don't
11 know. I would like to know to know what that enhancer
12 will be, if it's harmful to people, if it's not harmful
13 to people.

14 But we all should all know exactly what the
15 fragrance will be and any side effects that would be
16 negative at all. I think like everybody else that said
17 there should be a thorough job done, not a half-hearted
18 or a half-donkey job, but a thorough job. It really
19 troubles me that someone in public stood up and said I
20 don't care if it's half -- I will say half ass, I want
21 it done. That attitude is terrible. That will not get
22 us anywhere and we will be here twenty years from now
23 complaining about this again. And rightly so.

24 And thank you.

25 MR. MUGDAN: We have Bill Wolfe who has asked

1 to come up for round two, but before you do, Bill, let
2 me just see if there anybody else who has not signed up
3 and would like to make a comment, if not then I will --

4 MS. DEAN: One little comment.

5 MR. MUGDAN: Let me go to Bill first. You
6 were up earlier.

7 Anybody who hasn't spoken at all yet who would
8 like to make a comment?

9 I am now going to ask Bill to come up for round
10 two.

11 MR. WOLFE: I just want to clarify something,
12 if I may. Just to clarify comments made previously, the
13 town should know that the cleanup objectives says DuPont
14 has designed, and DuPont has designed the cleanup
15 objectives, do not include bringing the lake into the
16 compliance with the Clean Water Act. That means it will
17 by definition not be fishable, and that the design
18 objectives, in contrast to the design objections in the
19 Hudson River, where GE was held to clean-up standards
20 and sediment excavation standards that required that
21 over some period of time, the fish -- they were actually
22 going to have to have fish concentration that make them
23 safe to eat. That this cleanup does not include that
24 objective. It explicitly said, and DuPont's documents
25 explicitly say that the lake will not be fishable, that

1 the fish from the lake will not be edible. I don't
2 think the town understands that.

3 The second point, is with respect to the lake
4 restoration plan, which I completely agree is a good
5 idea to try to get EPA to require. DuPont has said in
6 writing they will not do that, that the lake will
7 continue to fail Clean Water Act objectives and
8 standards for dissolved oxygen content, for bacteria and
9 for what is called aquatic life support.

10 So after this whole enterprise is done, according
11 to DePont's own documents and the DEP draft permit, we
12 will not in Pompton Lakes have a lake where you can have
13 and have what is called direct contact recreation, go
14 with a row boat, go out with a kayak or even wade in
15 with open wounds because you will still have violations
16 of those health based standards for direct contact
17 recreation. You will not be able to eat the fish, as
18 they will continue to have excessively high mercury
19 levels, they will be posted in perpetuity. There is no
20 deadline in this clean-up plan that says the fish tissue
21 concentration will meet some acceptable level where the
22 fish will be edible. It's in perpetuity concentration
23 of fish tissue. That is very important to know.

24 And the last thing is that the lake will always
25 continue to be scummy during the summer and green. And

1 you will not have a healthy lake as a result. And these
2 all put in -- they are not my words; it's all DuPont.
3 They put it in writing, and they told the EPA to shove
4 it where the sun don't shine and the EPA has got to step
5 up and enforce the Clean Water Act as part of this plan.

6 MR. MUGDAN: Anybody else. State your name
7 again for the...

8 MS. DEAN: Sure. Karen Dean.

9 I forgot to say this before. I think we had a
10 lake restoration or whatever it was at the municipal
11 building, there was a meeting to show how the lake was
12 going to be cleaned up. And DuPont was all there. And
13 I was sitting in the back of this little building.

14 And I didn't know there were DuPont
15 representatives next to me. And all of a sudden I heard
16 them laughing amongst themselves. And they said: Do
17 they actually think we were going to clean this whole
18 lake? Like it was a joke. I grabbed the mayor and
19 pulled her out of there. She was astonished.

20 And the next day I got a phone call from Mr. Epps
21 telling me how sorry he was that those comments were
22 said. I just want you to be aware of that. I don't
23 think it was a funny thing to say.

24 And there were something else that I wanted to
25 say and I forget.

1 MR. MUGDAN: Of course you will have an
2 opportunity to file comments up until February 2.

3 MS. DEAN: I have a whole list of them.

4 MR. MUGDAN: Anybody else who has not had a
5 chance to speak the first time? Okay. We are going
6 through round two now. Ms. Riggiola. State your name
7 again for the reporter, please.

8 MS. RIGGIOLA: Lisa Riggiola, Pompton Lakes;
9 I just wanted to say no one here wants to stop to
10 cleanup as some may be applying as stop tactics. We
11 want the lake cleaned up, but we want it fully cleaned
12 up of all mercury. We want the upstream area cleaned
13 up, which is the Ramapo River. We want downstream
14 cleaned up, which I believe is the Pequannock River. We
15 want the spots all the way three miles down into the
16 township of Wayne cleaned up because our neighbors
17 should not have to suffer because DuPont's poisons, for
18 lack of a better word, were allowed to remain in our
19 community for all these years.

20 And we want it cleaned up. It's not that we
21 don't. We just want it done right and we want it done
22 right once.

23 Thank you.

24 MR. MUGDAN: State your name again for the
25 reporter.

1 MS. MARTENS: Sure, Helen Martens. I'm
2 making a comment on behalf of somebody else who couldn't
3 show up tonight and I don't know exactly the technical
4 term. But he was saying when DuPont puts these pilings
5 in the ground to do the work, they are going to puncture
6 the aquifer that is under the lake.

7 What is the plan for DuPont to close them up when
8 they take the pilings out so that the aquifer doesn't
9 get contaminated or whatever?

10 I'm not sure of all of that, if I got it right,
11 but I know he'll submit something in writing.

12 But I just want to say, I don't want to tie this
13 lake up any further here, I want it done. But I want it
14 done so we don't have to come back and do it again. I
15 want it to be complete. And I feel that we responded
16 and had to deal with DuPont's plans, DuPont's
17 suggestion, DuPont's this, DePont's. I want to know
18 where we get the input of what is clean and what we
19 want, because after all, we live here. We were not the
20 ones that did this mess. DuPont.

21 And when they say a responsible party and a
22 good-hearted party and they didn't know what they were
23 doing. If that was the case, what took them thirty
24 years to clean this? And they still haven't done it.
25 And we have to live over it. The lake people just have

1 to look at it. We have to live over these contaminants
2 are we are not getting any results yet. We just have to
3 sit here. So this is my comment to you.

4 Thank you.

5 MR. MUGDAN: Thank you. And I want to thank
6 everybody for coming this evening and sharing your
7 thoughts with us. All of these will be on the official
8 administrative record. We will, when we make our final
9 permit decision, we will also include a responsiveness
10 summary that will address all the points that were made.
11 There were a number of very specific questions that were
12 asked along the way.

13 If you want to come and speak with Perry Katz or
14 with Pat Seppi on the days that they are here and get
15 responses to those specific questions in advance, you,
16 of course, are welcome to do so. But they will be
17 responded to in the responsiveness summary.

18 So thank you very much. And with that I am
19 calling the hearing to a close. I appreciate your time.

20 (Hearing concluded 8:34
21 p.m.)

22

23

24

25

0	202 20:20	7:06 1:10	actions 5:12
06 55:13	21 2:6	8	activities 6:17
1	22 2:6	8 1:8	activity 20:9
10/19/2011 26:4	22.5 36:12	80's 26:2	actual 14:14 15:16 21:21 29:16 36:3
12 3:7 6:8 51:22	22nd 65:16	800 27:8	additional 11:9 13:11 25:4 52:8 57:10
124 13:25	23 2:7	9	additionally 8:3,21 10:10
128,400 8:18	24 20:7	90 42:20,21	address 8:9 23:21 27:2 30:12 42:25 43:4 64:10
13 65:21	25 2:7	95 42:13,19,22	addressed 24:10 30:24 34:3
14 2:4 24:4 31:7	28 2:8	a	addresses 30:24
15,62 2:4	29 2:8	ability 36:16 38:2 55:6	addressing 26:20 27:4
17 46:6	3	able 3:21 30:22 45:23 48:4,5 60:17	adequately 32:16
19 2:5	3 8:20	absolute 49:6	adhered 17:3 47:22
19,61 2:5	30 2:9 14:1	absolutely 30:23 47:16	adhering 7:6
1902 55:13	31 2:9,10	acceptable 60:21	administrative 5:18 44:16 64:8
1908 9:8	316 53:5	access 54:8,13	administrator 17:25
1967 57:16	32 15:22	accommodate 10:14	admissions 17:14
1976 4:7	3200 9:14	accumulate 7:14	admitted 47:4
1978 50:3	35 28:14	achieve 16:4 26:17	advance 14:6 51:10 51:13 64:15
198 30:3	36 8:19 55:9,16	achieved 26:25	advice 25:2 38:9
1980's 5:7	367 27:6	acid 4:2,4 5:25 6:2,4 6:5,25 7:1 8:16,19 9:4,9,14,18,23 10:6 10:11,12 17:9,13,19 21:20 22:4,6 24:6 26:2,9 28:5 30:13 32:4 42:1,4,15 43:22 50:20 56:7,9 57:22	advisor 18:5
1984 5:8	4	acidic 36:15	advisory 18:3 20:4 23:23
1985 31:25 42:7	40 13:25 16:20	acknowledged 40:4	affairs 4:16,18
1988 5:18	421 54:23	acquaro 65:6,20	agencies 48:10,15
1990's 6:4	44 2:10 44:21	acres 8:19,20 16:21 55:9,16	agency 1:1,12 3:4 35:18 38:24,25 44:14
1992 4:25,25	45 2:11	act 4:7 39:24 40:1 52:23 59:16 60:7 61:5	agnes 2:8 28:24,25
1995 6:23	453 26:5	action 4:16,22 7:5 10:19 11:4,10,13 15:9 30:25 31:25 32:5 34:8 65:12	ago 3:6 46:14 53:20 56:4 57:20
1999 32:13	46 2:11		agree 33:19 37:11 38:1 49:15 52:18 53:1 60:4
2	480 26:7		agreed 47:4
2 3:4,24 13:13 17:24 62:2	49 2:12,12,13		
2.220 26:6,8	5		
2000 5:1	50 2:13		
2002 32:9 33:4	53 2:14		
2004 40:14	54 2:14		
2008 27:10	558.5 26:8		
2010 26:3	56 2:15		
2011 34:7	58 19:2		
2012 15:3 16:16 17:2 33:20 38:7	6		
2014 1:8 6:23 17:2 24:4 38:8 65:16	62 2:15		
2015 13:13 65:21	68.3 26:5		
	7		
	754 27:6		

<p>agreement 37:10,17 37:18</p> <p>agreements 23:2</p> <p>agrees 24:3</p> <p>air 4:14 17:14 19:4 19:5,7,8,18,20 21:25 41:1,2,17,19 41:22 42:3 43:24 52:23</p> <p>airborne 19:11 51:20</p> <p>al 2:8 28:24</p> <p>alleged 36:6</p> <p>alleviate 50:24</p> <p>allow 13:2 18:15 45:25 49:19 53:24 54:8</p> <p>allowed 30:15 62:18</p> <p>allowing 21:14</p> <p>amended 5:8</p> <p>amendments 5:10 5:10 31:25</p> <p>amenities 10:16</p> <p>amount 8:22 30:6,7 32:22 42:18</p> <p>amounts 36:20 38:15</p> <p>ample 46:16</p> <p>anaerobic 7:11</p> <p>analysis 8:4 9:1,1 25:14 32:22 33:1 38:20</p> <p>analyze 18:6</p> <p>analyzed 12:6</p> <p>anchors 27:23 37:2</p> <p>animals 7:15,24</p> <p>answer 3:7 53:11</p> <p>answers 35:24</p> <p>antiquated 33:24</p> <p>anybody 59:2,7 61:6 62:4</p> <p>anymore 49:1</p> <p>apologize 14:6</p> <p>appeal 13:25</p>	<p>appealing 35:14</p> <p>appeals 13:22 14:1 24:23 34:9,11</p> <p>applicable 40:1</p> <p>application 47:2 51:10</p> <p>applied 32:19 46:13</p> <p>applies 5:14</p> <p>apply 38:4 65:23</p> <p>applying 62:10</p> <p>appraise 57:16</p> <p>appreciate 15:11 22:23 24:24 53:12 54:17 64:19</p> <p>apprised 28:3</p> <p>approach 8:23 16:4 28:11 36:9</p> <p>approaches 6:10</p> <p>approval 7:5</p> <p>approve 47:2</p> <p>approved 10:3 50:8</p> <p>approximately 8:18 8:19,20 9:13 12:2</p> <p>aquatic 7:14 10:11 60:9</p> <p>aquifer 63:6,8</p> <p>architect 29:13</p> <p>area 1:6 4:2,22 5:24 6:23 7:22 8:12,17 8:17 10:22,24 12:7 12:16 13:9 25:23 27:5,9 28:19 29:10 29:17 36:14 45:20 48:22 52:8,12 55:21 56:2 57:22 62:12</p> <p>areas 4:3,5,23 5:15 6:1,2 7:2,19 8:16,21 9:8,15,19,24 10:5,6 10:7,8,13 11:19 16:1 21:18 24:7,8 25:19 26:16 27:13 37:15 41:13,18 51:2</p> <p>arrive 29:7 32:17</p> <p>art 2:13 49:25 50:1</p>	<p>asked 58:9,25 64:12</p> <p>asking 46:23 47:6,8</p> <p>asks 25:3</p> <p>aspect 3:14 56:22</p> <p>aspects 17:20</p> <p>ass 58:20</p> <p>assess 8:2</p> <p>assessment 8:7 11:7 11:8 15:15 16:24 32:2 38:10 57:3</p> <p>assign 13:10</p> <p>assistance 14:24</p> <p>assistants 41:10</p> <p>associated 6:11</p> <p>assume 51:14 54:14</p> <p>assumes 22:12</p> <p>assuming 39:2,3</p> <p>assumption 36:15</p> <p>asterisked 38:23</p> <p>astonished 61:19</p> <p>atmospheric 41:4 41:13,22</p> <p>attendance 4:13</p> <p>attended 51:16</p> <p>attending 3:20</p> <p>attitude 58:21</p> <p>attorneys 35:17</p> <p>audience 43:13 44:25 58:7</p> <p>authorities 4:6</p> <p>authority 51:22</p> <p>authorization 41:7</p> <p>authorized 10:1</p> <p>available 6:13 7:24 12:13,17 16:17 18:1 53:10 56:13</p> <p>ave 53:6</p> <p>avenue 7:1 9:10 50:2 54:24 56:9,10 57:15</p> <p>average 26:5,7</p> <p>averaging 25:15,22 27:10,14</p> <p>aware 61:22</p>	<p>b</p> <p>b 35:1 36:6 37:11</p> <p>babies 48:21</p> <p>back 13:9 22:24,24 42:11,12 43:16 44:6 44:10 48:1 53:11 57:23 61:13 63:14</p> <p>background 5:3 40:20 41:1</p> <p>bacteria 7:12 60:8</p> <p>bank 29:18</p> <p>banks 29:8</p> <p>base 39:17</p> <p>based 8:14,23 9:16 12:8 16:7 22:8 25:1 25:14 28:6,16 32:13 33:5,22 36:9,14 43:18 60:16</p> <p>baseline 10:21</p> <p>basic 6:8</p> <p>basis 6:18 17:18 25:12 36:2 37:6,22 37:23 40:2 41:25 56:13</p> <p>bathymetry 8:7 9:1</p> <p>beamed 58:1</p> <p>bear 47:20</p> <p>bearing 41:9</p> <p>beautiful 21:6</p> <p>becoming 37:4</p> <p>beg 31:22</p> <p>begins 27:10</p> <p>behalf 50:15 63:2</p> <p>behaved 33:15</p> <p>belfiore 50:18</p> <p>believe 14:10 20:19 21:25 26:11 27:16 28:6,8 47:22 48:12 49:6 52:2 55:2,13 56:9 58:4 62:14</p> <p>believed 57:21</p> <p>beneficial 30:20</p> <p>benefits 24:1</p>
--	---	---	--

<p>benthic 10:9 best 40:7 54:13 55:6 beth 49:23 better 14:25 15:9 29:12 62:18 big 36:9 bill 2:9,10 29:25 30:2 31:19,20 44:1 52:19 58:25 59:1,5 59:9 bioavailable 37:4 biochemically 37:3 biological 8:3 32:14 34:19</p>	<p>26:2,9 28:5 30:13 42:1,4,15 43:23 50:21 56:7,9 57:22 brought 44:23 57:21 buchanan 40:22 building 41:21 45:2 53:9 61:11,13 bullshit 39:1 bunch 37:2 39:9 burned 41:12 burning 41:8 bury 30:20 business 30:5,14</p>	<p>certifying 65:24 cfr 13:25 chain 7:15,25 chair 23:20 challenge 34:10 challenged 34:7,9 challenging 34:6 chance 51:11 62:5 change 16:22 changes 11:4 characterization 8:25 checked 14:13 chief 1:15 4:13,17 child 18:22 children 19:13 20:14 48:20,24 50:3 50:4,5,6 clarify 59:11,12 clean 4:14 5:12,13 5:17 11:18 16:20,21 16:23 18:9 26:19,22 28:1,20 29:5,20 32:19 33:21,22 34:2 36:3 39:22,24 40:1 42:23 45:10 47:3 48:2,22 49:20 52:23 55:5,25 59:16,19 60:7,20 61:5,17 63:18,24 cleaned 15:25 21:3 22:22 27:12 29:9 45:7,8 50:7 52:23 55:9 61:12 62:11,11 62:12,14,16,20 cleaning 7:20 26:17 27:20 42:21 cleanup 3:25 4:1,5 5:22 14:11,11,19 16:1,4,9,11,12 17:20 18:8,11,14 20:3 21:12 24:12,22 26:9 29:8,18,21,22 33:18 36:11 38:13 45:24 46:1,8 47:5</p>	<p>48:5 49:6 53:2 54:6 55:16 59:13,14,23 62:10 clearly 41:22 cliff 1:16 4:15 40:24 close 13:12,13 16:7 44:2 54:7 63:7 64:19 closed 19:14 closely 24:21 closing 18:9 closings 50:25 cm 22:14 cobble 9:11 code 16:7 colleagues 4:9 colonization 10:10 color 26:6,8 come 3:16 12:23 23:6 30:13 37:1 44:6 45:21 48:1 54:2,18 55:5 58:6 59:1,9 63:14 64:13 comes 53:25 comfortable 26:24 coming 21:10 42:6 64:6 commence 45:24 commencing 1:10 comment 12:16 13:12,13 17:1 38:8 46:7 58:3,6 59:3,4,8 63:2 64:3 commenting 2:1 comments 4:20 12:15,18,18,19,24 13:3,11,14,19 17:3 34:3 38:7 57:8,10 57:19 59:12 61:21 62:2 commission 65:21 commissions 48:15 committee 23:20,22 24:3,12 25:2 39:13</p>
<p>biota 9:1 32:25 birds 34:20 39:16 bit 30:17 40:10 43:17 blood 65:12 board 14:1 23:23 28:8 34:9,11 boat 60:14 bodies 30:9 body 24:18 bordentown 31:21 boring 26:4,7 borough 23:24 45:19 47:12,14,15 47:20 bottom 7:21 9:2 47:10,13 55:12 bought 44:22 branch 1:15 4:18 bridge 7:1 9:10 51:24 brief 5:3 13:1 14:8 briefly 6:16 bring 29:4 bringing 59:15 brings 24:1 27:11 brook 4:2,4 5:25 6:2 6:4,5,25 7:1 8:16,19 9:4,9,14,19,23 10:6 10:11,12 17:9,19 21:20 22:4,6 24:6</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">c</p> <p>c 36:7 65:1,1 calculation 42:12 call 3:16 18:15 21:14 40:22 44:9 61:20 called 5:9 12:23 32:11 34:15 37:25 60:9,13 calling 53:2 64:19 cannonball 5:2 cap 27:16 36:22 55:20 capacity 23:21 carbon 7:11 cards 12:16 care 58:3,7,20 carnevale 1:9 case 19:19 35:17 57:4 63:23 catches 31:13 caused 6:21 29:19 center 1:9 cercla 43:6,7 cerebral 28:12 certain 32:12 36:15 57:24 certainly 57:2,7 certification 65:23 certify 65:7,11</p>		

<p>community 1:15 4:18,19 10:9 14:14 14:15 17:22 18:2,19 23:4 24:1,14 50:24 56:5 62:19 comparison 16:19 competing 38:24 complaining 58:23 complete 8:7 16:22 18:11 21:12 29:10 29:18 47:19 48:17 63:15 completed 11:22 22:3 completely 21:4 28:16 60:4 completion 10:19 47:18,21 complex 14:21 46:8 46:9 compliance 39:24 59:16 complicated 18:6 component 9:18,23 components 6:24 comprised 23:24 compromise 35:18 compromising 35:16 concentration 27:7 59:22 60:21,22 concentrations 7:22 8:1,13 9:4 conceptual 17:8 35:7,22 41:24 concern 7:10 16:1 21:19 41:18 51:12 concerned 19:11 34:18 concerning 10:3 concerns 8:9 19:4 23:8 44:12 48:16 concluded 64:20 conditions 7:12 10:21</p>	<p>conduct 10:21 conducted 30:7 conflicting 38:5 confusing 55:23 conjunction 10:18 consensus 37:9 consent 5:18 conservation 4:7 considerable 24:23 consideration 13:17 considerations 6:9 considered 12:19 22:14 constituted 42:17 consultants 35:20 consulting 33:21 consumption 20:4 39:15 contact 3:22 9:16 60:13,16 contained 12:15 36:22,23,24 contains 11:5 contaminant 30:17 contaminants 19:12 19:17 64:1 contaminated 6:11 7:17 9:5 10:25 15:25 22:13 24:5 28:12 50:19,25 63:9 contaminates 7:9 16:13 21:23 51:3,20 contamination 5:13 6:6,9,21 8:3,8 11:19 16:12 18:20 22:1,5 24:19,22 26:20 27:5 28:18 34:19 47:9 48:12 56:23 content 60:8 continue 25:15 56:24 60:7,18,25 continues 18:15 continuing 48:14 57:7</p>	<p>contrast 59:18 control 17:12 65:24 cooperation 53:13 coordination 4:14 coordinator 1:15 32:2 copy 13:3 corn 55:12 corporate 45:23 53:18 correct 43:9,10,11 58:2 corrective 4:16,21 7:5 11:13 22:8 26:2 31:25 32:5 correctly 20:22 corridor 39:18 cost 47:21 48:7 council 45:20 councilman 2:6 22:19 46:23 councilwoman 2:11 45:18 countless 17:11 county 65:4 couple 25:25 28:7 53:8 course 34:6,10 35:13 53:14 62:1 64:16 court 3:9 30:3 31:8 42:25 43:4 46:7 65:20 cover 20:5 26:16 27:17 28:21 29:20 29:21 48:16 covered 28:19 55:20 55:21 creating 56:8 creation 51:5 credibly 37:12 criteria 7:7 9:17 32:11 37:19 critical 24:20 47:16</p>	<p>cubic 8:18 9:14 curious 34:6 curiously 35:9 current 16:21 24:19 26:18 currently 24:2 32:19 customer 53:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">d</p> <p>dam 7:1 9:7,10 12:2 damage 38:10 57:3 damages 38:12 dammed 55:13 dangerous 25:17 dangers 25:18 data 8:23 46:16 date 57:10 david 4:18 day 22:25 26:22 41:9,11 44:22,23 45:2 61:20 65:16 days 14:1 64:14 dce 32:16 deadline 51:11 60:20 deal 35:7 43:17 63:16 deals 32:20 dealt 41:20 dean 2:5 19:24,25 19:25 59:4 61:8,8 62:3 decades 17:11 december 1:8 26:3 34:7 65:16 decision 11:9 13:24 17:23 46:17 64:9 deeded 47:15 deeper 8:1 deeply 38:5 defined 9:20 definition 59:17 degree 30:21</p>
---	---	--	---

<p>delay 25:5 46:25 52:24</p> <p>delaying 46:2 52:20 52:22</p> <p>delays 49:18 50:9</p> <p>delineated 37:16</p> <p>delineation 9:17</p> <p>delta 4:3,5 6:1,2,25 8:16,20 9:5,7,9,15 9:19,23 10:6,12,12 11:12 17:9,19 24:6 26:2,10 28:5 42:16</p> <p>demand 18:10 20:2</p> <p>demarco 50:17</p> <p>demonstrate 30:22</p> <p>dep 7:4 10:3 11:24 12:6 15:4 23:3 30:10 32:10,13 33:13 40:14,21,21 60:11</p> <p>dep's 33:12</p> <p>department 5:19,21</p> <p>depending 25:21</p> <p>depont's 18:20 27:6 38:17 60:11 63:17</p> <p>depth 26:19</p> <p>depths 9:20 55:18</p> <p>derailed 43:16</p> <p>derived 36:13 37:18</p> <p>described 11:17 33:23</p> <p>design 29:15 59:17 59:18</p> <p>designated 24:7</p> <p>designed 10:20 55:17 59:14,14</p> <p>desk 6:15</p> <p>determination 4:21 13:16,20,23 14:2 38:3</p> <p>determine 6:20 11:3 12:4 40:17</p> <p>determined 7:9,23 8:22 11:8,11 40:24 41:2</p>	<p>develop 33:4</p> <p>developed 10:18 36:3 56:5</p> <p>diesel 51:1</p> <p>different 25:23 32:18</p> <p>direct 9:16 60:13,16 65:24</p> <p>directed 33:2,13 50:20</p> <p>directly 41:5</p> <p>director 1:14 3:2 4:11</p> <p>disagree 37:11</p> <p>disappointed 14:19 14:23</p> <p>discharged 43:22</p> <p>discharges 6:5</p> <p>disclaimer 49:3</p> <p>discovery 56:23</p> <p>discuss 22:24</p> <p>discussed 17:24</p> <p>discussing 52:7</p> <p>discussion 55:22</p> <p>discussions 14:17</p> <p>disheveled 57:6</p> <p>disingenuous 26:12</p> <p>disposal 10:1,4</p> <p>disposed 10:1</p> <p>dispute 35:2 37:8</p> <p>disputes 34:22 35:10</p> <p>disruption 50:24</p> <p>dissension 37:10</p> <p>dissolved 39:14 60:8</p> <p>dissolving 17:13</p> <p>distortion 25:18</p> <p>division 3:3 4:11,15 4:17</p> <p>document 14:21,25 15:16 35:13 38:12 38:12,22</p> <p>documentation 15:8 21:22</p>	<p>documente 35:11</p> <p>documented 15:10</p> <p>documents 18:7 21:2 34:13 35:19,25 36:2 37:5,13 42:21 59:24 60:11</p> <p>doing 18:12 21:13 23:5,7 28:14 33:8 39:15 47:6 63:23</p> <p>dollars 54:7</p> <p>doncoss 2:12 49:12 49:13,13</p> <p>donkey 58:8,18</p> <p>downstream 12:3,4 38:16,19,20 52:7,18 62:13</p> <p>dr 30:2 31:9</p> <p>draft 7:16 35:25 37:6 38:23 60:11</p> <p>drafted 11:23</p> <p>dredge 37:24</p> <p>dredged 8:22 9:25 10:7,8 52:9</p> <p>dredger 50:20</p> <p>dredges 37:15</p> <p>dredging 8:18 9:5 10:3,5 20:9,11 26:15 27:1,8 28:5 37:23 39:16 51:14 51:23 52:5 55:22</p> <p>driven 33:18</p> <p>drop 37:1</p> <p>due 27:13</p> <p>dupont 1:5 4:24 5:3 5:4,13,15,17 6:3,19 6:22,24 7:3 8:9 10:2 11:17,20,23,25 12:6 14:16 17:16 18:15 19:18 20:8 23:3 24:5 26:21 27:16 28:11 29:15,19 30:9 31:2 32:3,6 34:1,6,8 34:13,22,22 35:13 35:20,20,21 36:6,13 38:21 39:18 41:5,6</p>	<p>41:24 42:21 43:18 46:8,13 47:3,17,19 48:14,22 49:20 50:21 53:17 54:5,6 55:3,14 56:3 59:13 59:14 60:5 61:2,12 61:14 63:4,7,20</p> <p>dupont's 8:15,24 17:6 26:3 28:17 33:1 35:17 59:24 62:17 63:16,16,17</p> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p> <p>e 51:15 65:1</p> <p>earlier 5:25 13:6 33:18 37:14 39:12 52:10 55:8 59:6</p> <p>early 5:7 47:23</p> <p>eastern 6:3</p> <p>eat 51:25 59:23 60:17</p> <p>eating 34:19</p> <p>echo 56:12</p> <p>ecological 8:9,13 9:17 11:6,7,7 15:15 16:23 22:9,11 32:20 32:21 33:5 34:14,15 35:8,23 37:19 38:17 38:25 39:7,8 56:8 57:1</p> <p>ecosystem 10:24</p> <p>ed 2:15 56:19,20</p> <p>edible 60:1,22</p> <p>education 24:14</p> <p>educational 24:12</p> <p>effect 5:7 36:15</p> <p>effects 58:15</p> <p>effort 16:2,2 22:23 28:16</p> <p>eight 46:22</p> <p>either 37:9 54:15</p> <p>elected 17:25 54:3</p> <p>elements 11:6</p> <p>elevated 8:13</p>
---	---	--	---

<p>elevation 9:21,21 eliminate 7:20 emergency 3:2 emission 40:18 41:13,17,19 42:3 43:24 emissions 17:12 21:25 41:23 51:1 empirical 52:15 employment 21:5 enck 17:25 encountered 9:22 enforce 61:5 enhancer 58:10,11 enjoy 45:11,11 48:3 enlargement 9:8 ensure 16:10 18:14 24:17 ensures 47:16 entered 5:19 17:15 entering 7:25 enterprise 60:10 entire 16:6 26:18 28:16,19 32:21 37:23 52:22 entity 45:23 envelope 42:11,12 environment 18:18 32:23,24 33:16 40:20 42:18 43:21 48:15 environmental 1:1 1:12 3:4,24 4:5 5:20 5:21 14:1 30:4 34:9 34:11 48:16 50:16 56:21 epa 3:24,25 4:10,25 5:12,20,23 6:7,8,13 7:4 8:15 9:13 10:3 11:24 12:6,8,15 13:12,14,18 14:16 14:23 15:4 16:15,19 17:3,24 18:12,14 19:17,18 20:8,9 21:1,13,15 23:3</p>	<p>24:24 30:8 32:19 33:2,3 35:2,16,20 35:24 36:6 38:13 45:25 46:14,19 47:1 47:16 48:10,13 49:18 51:7,21,23 52:10 53:10,16 54:25 55:4 60:5 61:3,4 epa's 4:6,21 6:18 13:20 15:6 33:13 35:14 epps 61:20 erosion 37:1 especially 25:20 52:4 esq 1:14 essence 27:19 establish 10:9,20 established 37:17 estate 21:5 57:17 estimate 42:16 estimated 42:15 eutrophic 39:14 evaluate 7:5 10:23 evaluated 8:10 41:23 42:5 evaluation 32:22 38:17 evening 3:11,19 4:1 12:10 25:10 30:2 31:7,20 46:6 49:13 53:5 55:23 56:20 57:14 64:6 evening's 3:8 event 3:8 43:15 everybody 52:15 58:16 64:6 evidence 8:23,25 21:19 33:7,10 36:9 38:1 43:18 exact 36:4 exactly 31:9 48:1 58:14 63:3</p>	<p>example 8:4,6 11:25 examples 25:25 excavated 9:25 excavation 9:13,15 9:19 29:10 55:21 59:20 exceed 13:1 excessively 60:18 exclusive 41:25 excuse 7:6 39:2 52:20 exist 35:19 exists 46:18 expanded 16:20 expect 54:2 expedite 54:16 expedited 16:4 54:6 expeditiously 55:1 experience 18:23 expert 25:2 42:20 expertise 38:25 experts 57:25 expires 65:21 explain 38:13 explicitly 41:25 59:24,25 explored 22:1 exposure 8:12 22:10 22:13,13 51:2 exposé 56:3 extended 24:6 extent 6:21 8:2,8 12:7 extreme 36:17 extremely 32:18 34:5 47:11 eye 3:21</p>	<p>fact 24:24 27:13 34:22 37:7 46:13 48:2 52:17 57:21 facts 25:13 26:12 31:24 33:6 fail 60:7 fails 47:19 faith 46:19 families 16:10 18:17 fantastic 50:4 far 12:4 15:2 24:2 28:2 56:3 57:25 fast 32:8,9 fastest 54:12 february 13:13 33:20 51:11 62:2 65:21 federal 18:1 38:24 46:14,15 48:10 52:2 feel 14:15 21:13 23:12 26:24 49:16 63:15 feet 9:20 36:22 fellow 53:1 fern 2:5 19:1,2,2 field 55:12,12 56:8 fifteen 3:15 fifty 26:1 file 13:22 62:2 filed 13:25 final 8:15 9:20 13:15,20,22 14:2 15:13 35:14 42:6 51:22 64:8 finalize 48:13 finalized 34:8 financial 47:17 find 15:6,11 36:2 findings 6:17 7:4 fingerprints 32:6 fingertips 18:13 finish 47:11 firmly 55:1 first 9:22 14:5,9 29:6 31:9 42:9 53:7</p>
		<p>f</p>	
		<p>f 65:1 facilities 5:11 facility 5:1,4,14,16 6:21 10:1 11:20 13:21</p>	

<p>59:5 62:5 fish 7:15 8:5 15:2,7 16:16 17:1 20:4 27:22 30:9 32:13 33:17,19 34:17,19 34:24 36:6,17 38:6 38:21 39:15 46:14 48:5 51:25 59:21,22 60:1,17,20,22,23 fishable 59:17,25 five 10:17 11:2 41:8 41:11 fix 31:14 flaw 25:14 flawed 17:8 flaws 33:9,25 flood 23:23 floor 41:11 48:11 flow 28:13 flows 6:2 28:15 flumes 41:21 focused 7:16 12:20 24:8 focusing 28:10 folks 3:10,16,19 55:3,4 56:5 follow 46:23 followed 24:21 34:4 following 9:7 10:5 food 7:15,25 fooling 45:8 foolish 28:8 foot 9:21 27:8 foregoing 65:23 forge 34:23,25 forget 61:25 forging 34:20 forgot 61:9 form 6:17 7:12,13 7:18 37:9 39:25 formal 3:5,8 24:16 formally 16:15 51:7 52:22 format 46:24</p>	<p>forth 65:9 forward 17:7 18:22 25:4 31:3 49:19,20 54:18 56:25 57:1,6 forwarding 32:8,9 found 7:10 13:24 32:14 33:20 44:23 four 27:22 57:20 fragile 24:15 fragrance 58:9,10 58:15 free 53:24 french 39:2 fresh 27:18 front 23:1 fuel 51:1 full 8:2 14:10 16:4 20:2 24:23 29:21 32:21 39:24 50:25 53:2 fully 16:6 18:11 21:12,18 62:11 fulminate 17:11 41:21 43:20 fund 14:11 fundamental 17:18 35:6 fundamentally 32:18 42:2 funny 61:23 further 11:3,8 46:2 49:18 50:9 63:13 65:11 furthermore 26:14 future 11:20 18:17 24:2 28:22 48:3,3 57:10</p>	<p>generate 34:1 generations 18:19 28:22 48:3 gentleman 33:17 gentleman's 43:9 george 2:7 25:8,10 gerbe 2:4 14:6,7 gerbi 14:7 getting 21:23 43:16 49:6 52:17 64:2 give 3:16,21 12:24 15:11 42:14 49:2 51:11 54:5 given 12:15 16:3 18:2 53:13 65:10 giving 42:7 glen 46:6 go 13:9 14:25 20:19 46:10 49:19 59:5 60:13,14 god 48:22 goes 19:19 38:13 going 3:1,12,13 14:17 19:9 21:8 22:24 23:2,9 27:23 28:3,13 29:9 30:11 30:19,22 36:24 40:9 43:25 44:5 45:4,5 46:19,19,25 47:5,24 55:9,10 57:17 59:9 59:22 61:12,17 62:5 63:5 good 3:19 25:10 30:2 31:7,20 46:6 49:13 53:5,21 56:20 57:14 60:4 63:22 government 46:15 52:2</p>	<p>grateful 48:13 gravel 9:11 grayberg 2:7 23:17 23:18,18 great 33:14 greatest 10:25 green 60:25 grew 33:14 ground 63:5 group 17:22 18:3 46:15 groups 48:16 grow 50:4 guess 15:1 guidance 31:23 42:7 53:8 gun 35:13 guy 40:21 43:7 guys 34:2</p>	
		h		
		<p>god 48:22 goes 19:19 38:13 going 3:1,12,13 14:17 19:9 21:8 22:24 23:2,9 27:23 28:3,13 29:9 30:11 30:19,22 36:24 40:9 43:25 44:5 45:4,5 46:19,19,25 47:5,24 55:9,10 57:17 59:9 59:22 61:12,17 62:5 63:5 good 3:19 25:10 30:2 31:7,20 46:6 49:13 53:5,21 56:20 57:14 60:4 63:22 government 46:15 52:2</p>	<p>habitat 34:20,20 half 58:5,8,17,18,20 58:20 hand 6:14 12:15 65:16 handwritten 39:11 happen 47:11 49:4,5 56:16 happy 21:11 47:25 53:19 harder 40:8,10 harmful 58:12,12 hazardous 5:5,9,11 he'll 63:11 head 35:14 health 9:16 18:23 20:12 21:4 23:25 60:16 healthy 61:1 hear 21:8 54:1 55:9 heard 55:22 58:3,6 61:15 hearing 1:4 3:20 4:12,20 12:12 13:4</p>	
		g		
<p>game 16:12 gary 40:22,23 gather 4:1 ge 59:19 generally 26:14</p>	<p>game 16:12 gary 40:22,23 gather 4:1 ge 59:19 generally 26:14</p>	<p>grabbed 61:18 grade 37:19 grant 45:25 47:1 55:1 granting 45:22 granular 10:8</p>		

<p>13:15 20:23 56:25 57:18 58:7 64:19,20</p> <p>hearings 11:21</p> <p>hearted 58:8,17 63:22</p> <p>heavy 50:25 58:1</p> <p>held 49:1,16 56:3 59:19</p> <p>helen 2:6 21:8,9 63:1</p> <p>hello 19:25 22:19</p> <p>help 53:25</p> <p>henderson 31:8</p> <p>hereinbefore 65:9</p> <p>hereunto 65:15</p> <p>hi 19:2 44:20</p> <p>hides 25:19</p> <p>hiding 27:15</p> <p>high 25:23 30:20 56:4 60:18</p> <p>higher 40:25,25</p> <p>highlight 6:17</p> <p>highlighted 35:24</p> <p>hill 28:13,15 30:3</p> <p>hired 55:3</p> <p>historic 11:13</p> <p>historically 32:7,9 39:19</p> <p>history 21:22 42:10</p> <p>hold 27:16</p> <p>home 39:10</p> <p>homes 16:9</p> <p>hook 39:22</p> <p>hope 23:9</p> <p>hopefully 23:5 47:23</p> <p>hoping 22:25 23:13</p> <p>host 32:21</p> <p>hostage 49:1,16</p> <p>hosted 6:7</p> <p>hotspot 15:10</p> <p>hotspots 25:19 27:15 37:23 40:18 52:8</p>	<p>hour 20:7 35:4</p> <p>hours 20:24</p> <p>house 44:22,23 45:1</p> <p>hudson 59:19</p> <p>hue 26:6,8</p> <p>huge 30:6</p> <p>human 9:16 22:12 22:13</p> <p>hundred 41:8,11 53:20</p> <p>hundreds 16:8</p> <p>hung 20:5</p> <p>hydraulic 50:20</p> <p style="text-align: center;">i</p> <p>idea 57:2 60:5</p> <p>identified 4:4 6:1 8:17,21 9:8 10:6 17:5 27:13</p> <p>immediately 52:4</p> <p>impact 5:25 38:21</p> <p>impacted 4:3 9:14 11:12 45:20</p> <p>impacts 32:20</p> <p>impaired 39:13,14 39:15</p> <p>imperative 18:16</p> <p>implementation 26:3</p> <p>implemented 4:24 10:18 24:12 25:6</p> <p>implore 45:24</p> <p>import 43:20</p> <p>importance 24:14</p> <p>important 23:13 47:10,11 60:23</p> <p>inches 7:23 26:16,16 29:20</p> <p>include 10:14 16:3 20:22 29:13 57:8 59:15,23 64:9</p> <p>included 6:14 8:25 15:13 17:14 20:25 29:14 36:1</p>	<p>includes 4:2,23 5:25 8:18 29:17 50:16</p> <p>including 13:14 16:21</p> <p>inconsistencies 35:6</p> <p>inconsistency 35:5</p> <p>inconsistent 33:2</p> <p>incorporate 5:8</p> <p>incorporated 32:11</p> <p>indicating 26:6 45:22</p> <p>indication 42:14</p> <p>individuals 57:24</p> <p>indulgence 31:22</p> <p>inform 11:9 20:16</p> <p>informal 3:7</p> <p>information 6:7 12:14 15:12 24:25 25:16 27:25 28:20 51:21</p> <p>informative 40:11</p> <p>initial 10:17</p> <p>initially 4:25</p> <p>initiative 33:15</p> <p>initiatives 24:13</p> <p>injecting 41:21</p> <p>innocent 18:20</p> <p>inorganic 7:10,17</p> <p>input 4:1 14:18 23:11 24:23 40:23 46:9 51:5 53:8,15 56:5 63:18</p> <p>insect 8:5</p> <p>inside 19:13</p> <p>instituting 24:20</p> <p>insurance 47:17</p> <p>integrity 37:12 50:16</p> <p>interest 23:25</p> <p>interested 57:2 65:13</p> <p>intergovernmental 4:18</p> <p>intro 36:8</p>	<p>introduce 4:9 12:24 24:16</p> <p>introduced 3:23 4:11</p> <p>inventory 16:22</p> <p>investigation 6:20 27:9 32:3 35:8,23 42:8</p> <p>investigations 6:22 7:4 8:15,24 11:22 12:1</p> <p>investigative 30:6</p> <p>invited 17:22</p> <p>involved 17:23 29:16 57:9 58:4,5</p> <p>involvement 1:15 14:14 17:20 20:22 29:13</p> <p>island 8:17</p> <p>issue 20:20 35:3 40:16 41:17</p> <p>issued 4:25</p> <p>issues 14:2 37:1 39:8,9</p> <p style="text-align: center;">j</p> <p>jeff 1:15 4:13 50:18</p> <p>jefferson 2:13 50:11 50:13</p> <p>jersey 1:9 4:13 5:18 5:19,20 15:22 31:21 32:1,15 33:3,4 65:3 65:7,21</p> <p>job 47:11 58:17,18 58:18</p> <p>john 2:14 54:21,23</p> <p>joint 43:8</p> <p>joke 61:18</p> <p>josephson 1:15 4:13</p> <p>joyce 2:5 19:1,2</p> <p>judith 2:10 44:19,20</p> <p>julie 2:12 49:11,13</p> <p>june 27:10</p>
--	---	--	---

<p>k</p> <p>kaffka 2:13 49:25 50:1,1</p> <p>karen 2:5 19:24,25 50:17 61:8</p> <p>katz 1:14 3:13,19,23 32:1 46:21 64:13</p> <p>kayak 60:14</p> <p>keep 12:19,25 36:22 48:24</p> <p>keeps 28:13</p> <p>kent 2:11 46:5,6,6</p> <p>keough 2:15 57:13 57:14,14</p> <p>kept 19:13,14</p> <p>key 6:17 7:9</p> <p>kill 39:16</p> <p>kind 37:10</p> <p>kluesner 4:19</p> <p>knew 44:24</p> <p>know 14:22 15:2,3,8 19:15 20:20 21:23 22:7 25:16 36:23,24 36:25 37:4,22 39:2 39:3 40:18 43:7 45:6,7 58:11,11,11 58:14 59:13 60:23 61:14 63:3,11,17,22</p> <p>known 4:8 24:25 34:20</p> <p>knows 25:20 30:14</p>	<p>31:11,16 33:16 34:14,14,21 35:8,23 37:15,20,22,24 38:16,17 39:13,13 39:20,21,24 40:24 42:1,4,16 45:7,24 46:8 47:4,9,9,14 48:2,4,4,5 49:20 50:5 51:23,24 52:13 52:22 53:2 55:5,12 55:24 56:1,23 57:5 57:5 58:6 59:15,25 60:1,3,6,12,24 61:1 61:10,11,18 62:11 63:6,13,25</p> <p>lakefront 20:3 23:19</p> <p>lakes 1:5,6,9 3:25 4:4 5:1,3,16 6:1 14:7 15:22,24 16:8 16:10,11,14 17:10 17:15 18:2,8,10,17 19:3 22:20,22 23:19 23:22,23 24:7,9,18 25:3,11 27:9,21 28:18,21 30:3,7,20 31:8 33:14 40:16,17 44:21,24 45:3,19 46:20 47:14 49:7,14 49:16 50:2,14,15 51:8,17,19 53:13,25 54:19 56:10,22 57:15,15 60:12 62:8</p>	<p>lay 33:25</p> <p>layer 7:24 9:6,12 10:8</p> <p>lead 5:23 7:8,19,20 21:17 47:9 48:21</p> <p>leader 4:19</p> <p>leave 30:15 38:14</p> <p>leaves 36:19,21 51:17</p> <p>left 39:9</p> <p>legal 35:13,17 42:24 44:8 47:2,3</p> <p>legally 43:9</p> <p>length 20:10</p> <p>lenox 56:9</p> <p>letter 15:3,7 16:16 33:21 38:9</p> <p>letters 20:13</p> <p>level 15:9 26:17,19 26:19 29:7,12 40:20 41:1 60:21</p> <p>levels 25:18,25 28:17 48:12 55:11 55:11,17 60:19</p> <p>liability 43:8</p> <p>liaison 4:19</p> <p>life 31:16 60:9</p> <p>lifetimes 36:23</p> <p>lifting 26:10</p> <p>light 52:4</p> <p>limited 11:15</p> <p>line 12:14</p> <p>lines 8:23,24</p> <p>linked 57:5</p> <p>liquid 43:22</p> <p>lisa 2:4 15:20,21 52:19 62:8</p> <p>list 13:21 39:11 51:15 62:3</p> <p>listen 12:10</p> <p>listened 46:22</p> <p>liter 27:7</p> <p>little 3:8 40:8,9 43:16 45:9,9 53:7 57:23 59:4 61:13</p>	<p>live 44:20 50:6 63:19,25 64:1</p> <p>lived 31:16 48:22</p> <p>living 18:24</p> <p>local 8:4 11:18 20:20 40:17,18 41:3 48:14,15,16</p> <p>located 5:1 7:19</p> <p>long 10:19,21,22 11:5 19:16 20:17 21:4 22:22 24:17,20 30:25 36:24 46:13 48:19 52:16 55:13</p> <p>longer 16:2 37:21</p> <p>look 38:11 42:9 43:6 54:18 56:25 57:6 64:1</p> <p>looked 6:24 23:7 32:6 33:15 40:15,16</p> <p>lorelle 2:8 29:1</p> <p>loss 21:5,5</p> <p>lot 23:8 25:13 46:9 53:15 57:22</p> <p>lower 9:16 27:12</p> <p>lowers 25:17</p> <p>lucky 14:3 15:21</p>
<p>l</p> <p>lab 41:10</p> <p>lack 15:9 51:5 53:7 62:18</p> <p>lake 4:2,22 5:24 6:23,25 7:22 8:17 8:21 9:2,7,9 10:7,22 10:23 14:10 16:5,20 18:11 20:2,5,6 21:6 21:12,20 22:22 23:5 23:20,22,25 24:3,11 24:16,20 25:2,5 26:10 27:3 29:8,18</p>	<p>lakeside 7:1 9:10 20:14 28:2,4 54:23 56:7 57:23</p> <p>landscape 29:13</p> <p>laptop 39:10</p> <p>large 25:19,21 30:7</p> <p>larger 54:1</p> <p>lasala 2:13 50:12,13</p> <p>lasale 50:13</p> <p>late 16:11</p> <p>laughing 61:16</p> <p>law 5:18 42:23</p>	<p>liability 43:8</p> <p>liaison 4:19</p> <p>life 31:16 60:9</p> <p>lifetimes 36:23</p> <p>lifting 26:10</p> <p>light 52:4</p> <p>limited 11:15</p> <p>line 12:14</p> <p>lines 8:23,24</p> <p>linked 57:5</p> <p>liquid 43:22</p> <p>lisa 2:4 15:20,21 52:19 62:8</p> <p>list 13:21 39:11 51:15 62:3</p> <p>listen 12:10</p> <p>listened 46:22</p> <p>liter 27:7</p> <p>little 3:8 40:8,9 43:16 45:9,9 53:7 57:23 59:4 61:13</p>	<p>m</p> <p>magee 50:17</p> <p>magic 37:25</p> <p>mail 51:15</p> <p>mailing 13:21</p> <p>maintaining 24:15</p> <p>maintenance 27:18</p> <p>major 6:24 25:14 27:22 39:18 41:4</p> <p>majority 30:12 51:17</p> <p>making 13:24 17:23 28:9 32:10 44:7,8 63:2</p> <p>maldonado 2:12 49:23,24</p> <p>man 39:12</p>

<p>manage 5:11 managed 5:5 management 24:16 25:5 57:5 manager 1:14,16 3:14,24 4:15 mandeville 19:3 manifests 36:11 manufacture 43:19 manufactured 17:11 maria 2:11 46:4,6 49:15 marriage 65:13 martens 2:6 21:8,9 21:9 63:1,1 mary 49:23 50:17 marybeth 2:12 mask 25:22 masking 27:14 material 26:17 27:18 30:16 mathematically 25:17 26:10 matter 51:12 65:14 maximum 27:6 mayor 61:18 mcgowan 4:17 meaning 55:3 means 18:4 43:8 45:6 52:12 59:16 65:23 measure 22:8 measured 7:6 8:1 19:10 measures 4:22,23 7:6 22:12 26:2 measuring 9:3 meddling 27:23 meet 40:3 44:15 47:6 60:21 meeting 6:13 51:16 57:21 61:11 meetings 11:21 34:12 35:12 52:10</p>	<p>53:14 member 18:3 44:25 45:19 members 2:1 mentioned 5:24 13:6 38:8 39:25 52:20 55:10 mercury 7:8,10,12 7:13,13,17,18,18,19 7:20,22,25 8:1,2,11 8:14 9:4,17 10:24 12:4 17:4,6,6,10,13 17:14,18 19:11,20 21:17 22:10,11 25:25 26:6,7,9 27:7 27:24 30:12 32:16 32:23 33:5,15 36:16 36:20,21 37:3 38:15 39:23 40:20 41:4,8 41:11,12,20,22 42:1 42:12,18,22,22 43:19,21,22 47:8 48:21 52:7,11,17 55:15,17,19 56:1 57:22 60:18 62:12 merely 41:12 merrill 2:15 56:19 56:20,21 mess 63:20 met 46:20 metals 9:18 17:10 methodology 32:17 33:2 34:1,4 methyl 7:12,13,17 7:18 9:4 methylate 36:16 52:11 methylation 8:11 11:1 22:10 52:7 metrics 26:23 michael 2:4,15 14:6 14:7 57:12 michele 50:17 microphone 12:24</p>	<p>middle 28:2,4 migrated 12:5 mike 2:6,14 4:17 22:17,19 53:4,5 56:12 57:14 miles 12:2 41:5 62:15 milligrams 27:6 million 26:5,8,9 36:13 37:19,21 54:7 mind 46:17 minimal 27:2 minimizing 51:2 minor 23:12 minute 3:15 31:23 minutes 13:1 misled 26:11 missed 55:7 model 17:8 35:8,22 41:24 modification 1:5 4:24 6:12,18 11:15 12:8,12,20 13:16,23 51:6 52:21 modifications 11:22 molecule 30:14 42:23,24 monday 1:8 money 31:11 57:6 monitor 13:7 56:24 monitoring 10:17 10:20,21,22 11:2,5 11:5 19:7,8,17,18 20:9 30:21 31:12,13 month 3:6 months 46:22 move 49:2,2,3,20 52:18 moved 25:4 50:3 moving 18:22 31:3 48:25 57:1 mugdan 1:14 3:1,2 4:10 13:6 14:5 15:19 19:1,23 21:7 22:17 23:16 25:8</p>	<p>28:24 29:1,25 31:5 31:19 39:6 40:5,8 40:12 41:15,19 42:25 43:4,11,25 44:4,9,18 45:14,17 46:4 49:11,22,25 50:11 53:4 54:21 56:18 57:12 58:25 59:5 61:6 62:1,4,24 64:5 multiple 8:23 35:5 35:24 municipal 53:9 61:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">n</p> <hr/> <p>n 65:1 name 3:2 19:2 31:20 50:13 56:20 61:6 62:6,24 named 17:5 40:22 national 38:10 native 9:11 10:11,15 natural 18:18 38:12 57:3 nature 6:20 8:7 near 7:10 8:11 11:20 necessary 5:12 47:21 56:15 need 11:17 12:14 20:5 21:3,21 27:12 43:2,10 52:9 needed 11:6 needs 20:21,23 21:15 29:11,16 30:24 55:7 negative 49:7 58:16 negotiating 53:17 negotiations 14:14 30:8 neighbor 53:22 neighborhood 15:24 neighborhoods 47:13</p>
---	---	---	--

<p>neighbors 62:16 nets 27:22 never 30:14,15 36:2 44:24 new 1:9 4:13 5:17 5:19,20 15:22 31:21 32:1,15 33:3,4 65:3 65:7,21 newspaper 51:15 ng 1:16 4:15 night 35:3 nj 1:15 7:4 10:3 11:24 12:6 noise 51:20 north 6:3 northeastern 27:3 notary 65:6,21 note 48:18 noted 24:22 55:7,8 notice 13:19 20:16 51:13,15 notices 51:10 notifications 51:8 november 3:6 6:8 51:22 null 17:7 number 12:22,22 13:9,10 14:3 15:21 24:11 37:21 38:18 38:19,20 41:7 64:11 numbers 25:17 26:4 27:11 numeric 36:13 nutrients 39:21</p>	<p>obligation 5:10 47:5 47:6 obligations 5:17 obtain 11:17 obtained 8:24 obvious 30:5 occur 17:17 18:16 30:21 occurred 17:17 35:12 october 24:4 odors 51:20 offered 41:24 officer 3:12 4:12 56:21 official 3:11 51:24 64:7 officials 17:25 54:3 okay 40:12 44:1 62:5 once 17:24 45:8 55:20 56:11 62:22 ones 63:20 ongoing 27:18 onset 17:21 open 34:21 41:8 60:15 opening 3:15,18 operation 20:24 39:16 operational 42:10 42:19 operations 5:15 6:21 51:14 opinion 32:14 33:11 opportunity 13:2 27:25 46:7 54:5 62:2 opposite 36:4 orchard 15:22 order 3:17 5:19 ordinary 41:2 42:8 organic 7:11 organisms 7:14</p>	<p>outcome 65:14 outline 39:10 outset 31:23 outside 9:9 27:8 overall 18:19 24:14 overdue 15:25 46:13 oversee 18:6 oversight 5:21 owned 47:14 oxygen 39:14 60:8</p>	<p>peat 9:6 55:10,11 penalty 40:13 pendexter 2:9 30:1 30:2,3 31:10 pending 34:10 people 13:22 14:22 23:12 27:20 35:18 36:7 37:1 39:2 48:18 51:18,25 52:17 56:15 57:19 58:12,13 63:25</p>
<p>o</p>	<p>o 65:1 object 25:12 objection 36:18 51:5 objections 59:18 objective 12:3 59:24 objectives 59:13,15 59:18 60:7 obligated 42:23</p>	<p>p</p> <p>p.m. 1:10 64:21 page 2:3 paid 29:15 48:7 pallets 41:9 paper 20:16 34:16 35:11 36:5 parents 20:14 28:3,6 park 10:15 12:3 29:17 56:8 part 3:10 13:25 15:16 28:10 31:2 32:10 39:18 48:8 50:2 53:16 58:6 61:5 partial 16:11,21 18:8,9 45:5,6 53:3 particular 33:16 57:4 particularly 38:11 parties 57:9 65:12 parts 26:5,6,8,8 36:12 37:19,21 party 63:21,22 pass 28:25 49:24,25 passaic 65:4 passed 32:1 pat 1:15 4:19 46:21 53:10 56:13 64:14 path 47:3 53:24 pathways 10:16 pays 54:7 pcps 32:16</p>	<p>pepper 2:8 29:2,3 pequannock 62:14 percent 26:1 41:12 42:17,20,21,22 perform 6:20 11:7 performed 6:22 10:12 11:25 16:24 19:6 performing 4:6 period 11:2 13:12 13:14 42:13,19 59:21 permit 1:5 4:25 5:14 6:12,18,19 7:3,7,16 11:15,21 12:8,11,20 13:16,23 15:14 20:25 22:21 24:5,8 24:10,22 25:1,3 29:15 34:8 35:14 37:6 41:6,7 45:3,22 45:25 46:8,9,14,18 46:25 47:1,2 48:13 49:6,9 50:8 51:6,9 52:21 60:11 64:9 permits 11:18 24:24 55:1 permitting 49:19 perpetuity 60:19,22 perry 1:14 3:13,23 36:8 37:24 46:20 53:10 56:12 64:13 perry's 3:18 person 14:5 35:1,1 52:20</p>

<p>personally 15:11</p> <p>phone 61:20</p> <p>physically 37:3</p> <p>picture 49:7</p> <p>piece 33:6</p> <p>piecemeal 14:11 16:2 28:11</p> <p>pilings 63:4,8</p> <p>pipe 22:6 43:22</p> <p>place 19:7,19 23:9 23:14 44:21 47:18 50:4,22</p> <p>placement 10:15</p> <p>placing 10:8</p> <p>plain 20:6</p> <p>plan 10:2,13 14:9,17 15:16 16:20,21,23 16:23 18:9 20:2,10 20:12,21 21:11 22:11 23:9,10,14,15 24:16 25:5 26:3,13 26:14,18,24 29:8,15 29:17,23 30:19,24 31:2 32:4,19 33:22 33:22 34:2,14 35:19 36:3 38:13 39:22 47:21 56:4 57:5,9 60:4,20 61:5 63:7</p> <p>planning 17:23</p> <p>plans 11:18 19:5 28:9 56:24 63:16</p> <p>plant 22:6</p> <p>planting 10:10,15 29:14</p> <p>plants 7:24</p> <p>plate 21:15</p> <p>plcag 18:3,5</p> <p>please 12:23,24 13:3 13:9 43:1,5 46:1 62:7</p> <p>plume 16:5 18:24 57:17</p> <p>point 17:7 31:12 37:14 40:10,12,13 41:15 42:6 43:17</p>	<p>44:5,11 49:17 52:6 52:14,16 55:1 56:16 60:3</p> <p>points 29:4 44:3 64:10</p> <p>poisons 62:17</p> <p>policies 48:11</p> <p>polls 54:4</p> <p>pollutant 25:18</p> <p>polluter 21:14</p> <p>pollution 27:2,5 29:19,22</p> <p>polygon 25:21,25</p> <p>polygons 25:24</p> <p>pompton 1:5,6,9 3:25 4:2,4,22 5:1,3 5:16,24 6:1,23,25 7:1,22 8:16,21 9:7,9 9:10 10:7,22,23 12:1,2 14:7 15:22 15:24 16:4,8,10,11 16:13,20 17:10,15 18:2,8,10,17 19:3 22:20,21 23:19,22 23:23,25 24:7,9,18 25:3,11 27:3,9,21 28:17,21 30:3,7,20 31:8 44:21,21,24 45:3,19 46:20 47:9 47:14 49:7,14,16 50:2,14,15 51:8,17 51:19 53:13,25 54:19 56:9,21 57:15 57:15 60:12 62:8</p> <p>pond 22:5 43:23 48:11</p> <p>poor 54:10</p> <p>popou 25:10</p> <p>popov 2:7 25:9,10</p> <p>portion 11:6</p> <p>posed 6:9</p> <p>position 35:16 38:22</p> <p>positions 25:20</p> <p>possibility 57:3</p>	<p>possible 11:9 52:25 54:9</p> <p>post 25:14 51:23</p> <p>postal 51:9</p> <p>posted 12:13 52:4 60:19</p> <p>potential 7:18 8:11 10:25 51:2,20</p> <p>pounds 41:8,11</p> <p>power 21:2 28:12,20</p> <p>preliminary 32:2 38:9</p> <p>prepare 13:4,18</p> <p>prepared 3:21 10:13 14:25 25:1 35:21 39:10 45:24</p> <p>preparing 24:15 32:4</p> <p>prescribed 7:7</p> <p>present 3:25 4:17 9:6 21:3 32:23 34:23,24</p> <p>presentation 6:12 55:8</p> <p>presented 6:8 18:10 26:4</p> <p>presiding 3:12 4:12</p> <p>pressuring 35:18</p> <p>prevent 22:12</p> <p>preventing 22:9</p> <p>previous 16:23 43:9</p> <p>previously 4:11 11:16 16:19 59:12</p> <p>primarily 27:13</p> <p>prior 12:25 24:23 33:21 37:17,18 44:12 51:16</p> <p>priority 16:3</p> <p>private 55:3</p> <p>probably 53:20</p> <p>problem 44:24 45:3 49:4</p> <p>problems 31:13</p> <p>procedure 29:23</p>	<p>procedures 13:24 44:16</p> <p>proceed 36:5</p> <p>proceedings 1:5</p> <p>process 5:5 17:12,21 17:24 19:15 22:21 23:7 24:21 25:4 29:11 31:14 33:12 46:12,12 48:9 49:17 49:19 54:16</p> <p>processing 50:21</p> <p>production 43:19</p> <p>professional 65:20</p> <p>professionals 56:6</p> <p>program 10:17,20 10:23 11:5 24:21 55:5</p> <p>programs 24:13,17</p> <p>project 1:14,16 3:14 3:24 4:15 6:14 20:17 31:15 46:8 47:18,19,23 48:7,17 57:7</p> <p>projects 4:14</p> <p>prominent 52:3</p> <p>proof 17:16 21:19 21:21</p> <p>proper 47:17</p> <p>properly 17:5</p> <p>properties 7:2 11:12 11:14</p> <p>property 6:4 45:20 49:3 50:21,23 52:1</p> <p>proposal 25:3</p> <p>proposals 11:23</p> <p>propose 7:5</p> <p>proposed 6:10,12,18 8:10 11:14,15,21 12:11,20 13:16 25:13 26:14,15 27:1 27:8 34:2 52:5 56:25 57:1</p> <p>proposes 9:13 26:15</p> <p>proposing 8:15 27:19 42:16</p>
--	--	--	---

<p>protection 1:1,12 3:4 5:20,21 22:8 protective 32:16 provide 5:21 13:3 14:23 21:21 provided 6:15 13:20 13:24 16:18 18:4 20:8,10 providing 25:23 provisions 32:5 proximity 16:7 19:21 prp 48:7 public 1:4 2:1 3:20 4:1,16 6:7 10:16 11:21,21 13:12,15 13:21 16:17,18 17:5 17:20 18:23 20:22 20:23 24:23 29:16 36:1 43:14,15 44:13 51:4,21 55:2 57:21 58:19 65:6 publicly 12:13 pulled 61:19 puncture 63:5 purpose 4:20 purposes 39:18 put 14:17 20:16 22:23 23:11,14 26:21 31:24 32:23 33:7,10 35:14 39:20 42:18 44:12 47:3,18 61:2,3 puts 63:4 putting 26:12</p>	<p>44:12 50:19 53:11 56:14 64:11,15 quigley 2:9,14 31:6 31:7,7 53:4,5,5 quigley's 56:12 quote 36:19,19</p>	<p>record 3:11 12:12 31:22,24 33:7,10 40:15 41:6,7 43:10 43:11,14 44:7,8,12 44:13 47:21 64:8 65:9 records 47:5 recovery 4:7 recreation 60:13,17 recreational 24:1,13 24:18 reduce 8:10,12 reduced 26:1 reducing 22:10 reference 17:6 referenced 35:12 referred 32:1 34:12 34:16 37:24 referring 34:13 regarding 19:4 29:4 51:4,9 regards 19:12 regina 50:17 region 3:4,24 14:2 17:24 34:21 regional 13:23 40:16,16,19,20,25 regrading 10:14 regulated 5:4,5 regulations 5:6 regulators 37:25 regulatory 30:9 40:2,3 reicher 2:11 45:15 45:16,16,18 reiterate 51:4 reject 14:9 18:9 20:2 rejected 37:20 related 7:9 12:1 18:7 65:11 released 43:21 relevant 13:19 relied 34:1 relocate 28:9</p>	<p>remain 24:8 62:18 remaining 11:19 remarks 45:21 remedial 1:14 3:3 10:19 11:3,10 12:7 37:16 remediate 6:10 remediated 6:4 21:18 remediation 1:15 5:23 8:10 23:15 24:9 30:11 31:1 39:23 55:25 56:1 remedies 11:16 remedy 8:16 remodeled 45:2 removal 7:16 9:10 10:5,24 29:19 remove 24:5 47:8 removed 9:5 26:21 27:24 28:18 52:2 repair 27:19 report 27:6,10 reported 12:6 reporter 3:9 13:4 42:25 43:4 62:7,25 65:20,24 represent 54:3,4 representation 51:4 representative 14:15 representatives 61:15 reproduction 65:23 request 51:7,23 52:3 52:22 require 27:18 60:5 required 6:19 7:3 11:4,8 24:9 59:20 requirement 40:3 requirements 29:14 37:16 requires 5:13 research 57:7</p>
<p>q</p>	<p>r 65:1 radiants 19:9 radius 27:8 rags 41:10 railroad 50:23 ramapo 12:1 27:12 50:2 62:13 ran 22:6 range 57:8 raptors 34:19 rationale 38:5 39:17 rationales 38:6 rcra 1:5 4:8,16,25 5:4 6:12,18,19 7:3 31:25 reached 23:2 read 37:6 readily 16:17 53:10 reading 3:20 reads 36:1 ready 45:23 48:13 real 21:4 57:17 reality 17:18 really 14:18 45:21 53:12,25 58:18 reason 28:12 47:19 receive 13:8 51:13 received 12:22 receives 13:19 receptors 8:13 22:11 recognize 53:18 recollection 48:23 52:10 recommend 24:7 recontaminated 21:20</p>	<p>record 3:11 12:12 31:22,24 33:7,10 40:15 41:6,7 43:10 43:11,14 44:7,8,12 44:13 47:21 64:8 65:9 records 47:5 recovery 4:7 recreation 60:13,17 recreational 24:1,13 24:18 reduce 8:10,12 reduced 26:1 reducing 22:10 reference 17:6 referenced 35:12 referred 32:1 34:12 34:16 37:24 referring 34:13 regarding 19:4 29:4 51:4,9 regards 19:12 regina 50:17 region 3:4,24 14:2 17:24 34:21 regional 13:23 40:16,16,19,20,25 regrading 10:14 regulated 5:4,5 regulations 5:6 regulators 37:25 regulatory 30:9 40:2,3 reicher 2:11 45:15 45:16,16,18 reiterate 51:4 reject 14:9 18:9 20:2 rejected 37:20 related 7:9 12:1 18:7 65:11 released 43:21 relevant 13:19 relied 34:1 relocate 28:9</p>	<p>remain 24:8 62:18 remaining 11:19 remarks 45:21 remedial 1:14 3:3 10:19 11:3,10 12:7 37:16 remediate 6:10 remediated 6:4 21:18 remediation 1:15 5:23 8:10 23:15 24:9 30:11 31:1 39:23 55:25 56:1 remedies 11:16 remedy 8:16 remodeled 45:2 removal 7:16 9:10 10:5,24 29:19 remove 24:5 47:8 removed 9:5 26:21 27:24 28:18 52:2 repair 27:19 report 27:6,10 reported 12:6 reporter 3:9 13:4 42:25 43:4 62:7,25 65:20,24 represent 54:3,4 representation 51:4 representative 14:15 representatives 61:15 reproduction 65:23 request 51:7,23 52:3 52:22 require 27:18 60:5 required 6:19 7:3 11:4,8 24:9 59:20 requirement 40:3 requirements 29:14 37:16 requires 5:13 research 57:7</p>

<p>researched 22:2 resident 23:10,19,24 49:14 50:1,14 51:5 54:19 residential 16:9 51:1 residents 18:6,13 19:20 21:16 23:8,11 27:20 28:17 29:21 47:10,12,20 48:3 50:16 51:3,8,11,13 51:16,17,18 53:1 54:10,15 resolution 24:19 35:2 resolved 35:10 37:9 resource 4:7 38:10 57:3 resources 18:18 22:9 38:12 respect 32:20 33:21 34:18 37:14 60:3 respond 16:15 43:2 responded 44:14 63:15 64:17 response 3:3 13:19 15:4,6 16:17 44:15 responses 64:15 responsible 30:16 63:21 responsiveness 64:9 64:17 rest 45:11 53:22 restoration 9:20 10:13 14:10 23:20 23:22 24:3,11 25:2 29:17,22 34:14 39:13 56:3 57:5 60:4 61:10 restore 20:3 restored 10:6,7 16:6 18:11 21:12 29:12 restoring 39:23 56:6 result 7:25 10:24 37:2 41:1 61:1</p>	<p>resulted 6:5 resulting 5:18 results 11:2 12:5 25:1 64:2 resurface 42:3 return 54:8 review 8:14 12:9 13:14 18:6 46:16,16 reviewed 11:23 20:23 41:6 reviews 38:7 revised 16:19 24:4 25:1 revolt 28:6 ridiculous 45:10 riggiola 2:4 15:20 15:21,22 52:19 62:6 62:8,8 right 17:15 18:12,14 21:2,13,16 23:5 28:1,20 30:11,19 31:10,15 36:10,12 45:18 46:20 53:1,23 54:9 56:7 62:21,22 63:10 rightly 58:23 rigorous 42:11 risk 11:7,8 16:24 18:23 32:22 river 27:12 52:8 59:19 62:13,14 rivers 12:1 34:21 riverside 12:3 road 5:2 31:13 50:25 roads 54:8,13 rotary 29:17 round 59:1,9 62:6 routine 18:24 row 60:14 rule 32:10 run 36:24 runoff 43:23 runoffs 42:3</p>	<p>s safe 26:22 28:18,21 29:18 54:14 59:23 safety 16:10 18:16 20:12 samples 7:21 8:2,3 sampling 8:25 9:1 28:7 34:4 sand 10:8 29:21 saying 27:17 35:1,1 36:6,18 38:17,25 39:4 63:4 says 34:17,22,24 37:10,11 41:25 59:13 60:20 scan 9:2 school 19:12 20:14 28:2,4,8 56:4 57:23 schoolchildren 19:13 schools 19:14 science 22:25 23:1,3 25:1 33:19,22 46:24 scientific 33:8,9 35:2,6,10 36:2 37:8 38:4,6 scientist 37:10,11 scientists 55:2 scope 11:3 scrape 26:15 screening 38:9 scummy 60:25 se 3:22 second 37:14 60:3 section 1:15 4:14 secure 18:4 security 20:7 sediment 4:3,3,23 5:25 6:6,24 7:8,21 8:6,19,25 9:2,3,5,11 9:25 22:15 24:5 29:6 31:1 33:16 37:20 38:18 39:20 40:15 55:14,24 56:1</p>	<p>59:20 sediments 6:11 7:11 7:16 8:12,14 10:25 11:12 38:16 40:24 42:17 55:23 see 3:8 15:6 50:7,8 54:20 56:16 57:4,17 59:2 seeding 10:11 seeing 36:12 seemingly 50:24 seen 30:25 select 4:21 selected 8:4 selection 11:16 22:14 send 51:7 54:11 senior 17:25 sent 20:13 51:10 sentiment 56:12 separate 12:8 24:10 seppi 1:15 4:19 46:21 64:14 series 33:25 34:11 serious 33:9 serra 2:6 22:18,19 22:19 serra's 46:24 served 33:3 service 32:14 33:17 38:7 51:9 services 16:16 33:20 servicing 39:19 serving 3:12 session 3:5,7 6:7 39:8 51:21 set 65:9,15 settled 45:5 settlement 22:13 shallow 7:11 shape 39:25 share 19:3 shared 17:5 sharing 64:6</p>
---	--	--	--

sheets 37:7 shine 61:4 shooting 22:5 43:23 shore 7:10 8:11 14:10 38:15 shorefront 18:12 20:3 21:13 shoreline 7:2 11:11 27:4 56:2,7 shorelines 27:11 shores 27:3 short 45:21 51:15 shots 18:15 21:14 shove 61:3 shovel 26:11 show 61:11 63:3 shown 17:16 52:9 sick 48:24 side 9:2 16:19,19 18:5,5 56:8 58:15 sides 51:24 sight 20:6 sign 6:15 12:16 13:8 13:9 signage 46:16 signed 12:22 14:5 45:2 59:2 significant 11:6 36:20 38:14,15,21 41:13 significantly 40:25 signing 3:17 signs 20:4,6 51:24 52:1,3 simply 22:11 25:23 57:6 single 30:14 35:5 sisco 50:17 sister 38:25 sit 14:24 64:3 site 1:6 3:25 5:5,14 5:15,22 6:9 7:9 10:1 11:19 16:6,8 17:8 18:11 19:8 20:7,10 20:12,15,24 21:12	21:17 22:7 32:3,6,7 32:8 35:8,22 37:18 41:24 42:8,13 43:20 site's 21:22 42:9 sites 5:12 18:2 sitting 14:16 61:13 six 7:23 46:21 sixty 54:19 small 28:6 smaller 7:14 smocks 41:9 snag 27:4 society 45:11 sodium 32:4 soil 4:5 6:2 7:2 9:15 9:17,19,23,25 10:13 18:21 21:18 24:6 29:5,8,20 36:14 50:20,25 55:23,24 55:24 56:2 soils 6:6,11 9:14,18 36:15 sole 41:25 solid 5:9 somebody 63:2 sonar 9:2 soojian 2:14 54:22 54:23,23 soon 52:25 54:9 sorry 45:17 61:21 sort 14:23 source 17:10 22:1,4 41:3,4 sources 17:4 30:17 40:18 south 6:3 24:9 spacial 25:15,22 spatial 27:14 speak 13:2,10 14:21 62:5 64:13 speaker 12:23 15:19 19:1,24 22:17 23:16 25:8 28:24 29:1,25 31:6,19 44:18 45:14 46:4 49:11,22 50:11	54:21 56:19 57:12 65:10 speakers 65:8 speaking 12:25 50:15 species 34:23 specific 10:2 15:5 33:25 34:5,12 37:18 40:23 64:11,15 specifically 22:9 26:4 spectrum 32:21 spend 35:4 spills 41:11 spoke 39:12 spoken 59:7 spots 62:15 spring 47:24 square 34:25 ss 65:3 staff 32:2 42:7 stakeholders 17:22 standard 29:6,7 33:5,12 36:13,14 42:24 standards 9:16 16:7 16:9 29:5 32:12,13 32:15,18 39:8 44:15 52:23 55:25 56:2 59:19,20 60:8,16 standing 37:21 standpoint 43:19 stands 30:11,19 start 3:16 20:17 27:2 31:2,11,14 47:23 started 3:1 14:3,4 31:15,17 55:14 startled 53:7 state 4:14 11:17 32:15 33:3,4 40:21 61:6 62:6,24 65:3,7 stated 17:4 51:21 statement 3:15,18 3:21 13:8 17:9 37:6	statements 3:17 12:11,20,21 13:1 43:9 52:18 states 1:1,12 3:3 16:16 17:1 51:9 53:23 stating 15:23 52:1 stations 19:8 statute 5:6,8 stay 48:19 step 21:15 61:4 steve 2:7 23:17,18 stick 46:24 stone 39:17 stood 53:19 58:19 stop 62:9,10 storms 11:13 27:22 52:12 stream 16:13 street 15:22 19:3 stress 18:23 strict 42:24 43:7 strictly 47:22 strong 17:13 strongly 24:4 31:17 54:25 students 28:9 studies 6:22 20:22 study 1:6 4:2,22 5:24 6:23 7:22 10:22,23 34:15 40:14,22 48:11 56:24 57:1 studying 56:22 stuff 31:3 36:22 stumped 53:12 stunning 33:6 subcommittee 23:23 subject 11:20 12:8 submerged 9:7 submit 12:14,17 57:10 63:11 submitted 10:2 13:11 15:3
--	--	---	---

<p>submitting 40:14 subsequent 34:3 substantially 33:1 subsurface 27:7 sudden 61:15 suffer 27:21 62:17 suffered 21:4 sufficient 30:23 suggested 17:2 suggestion 63:17 summary 13:18 64:10,17 summer 60:25 sun 61:4 superfund 18:2 supervising 42:7 supervision 65:24 support 22:21 23:15 31:10,17 45:22 60:9 supports 24:4 25:3 supposed 57:24 sure 20:21 23:6 53:19 61:8 63:1,10 surface 9:3 27:7 39:19 surrounding 47:13 sustainability 4:15 24:17 swayed 46:1 swiftly 45:25 swim 48:4 51:25 system 50:23</p>	<p>taken 7:21 22:7 57:23 talked 33:18 talking 23:1 26:18 35:4 43:13 52:15 taxes 54:7 team 4:19 32:10 33:3 55:2 technical 6:9 14:24 18:4,7 21:21 35:18 53:8 56:15 63:3 tell 42:20 43:17 48:1 telling 61:21 ten 42:17 44:3 tentative 4:21 term 10:19,21,22 11:5 24:17,20 63:4 terms 38:7 terri 2:11 45:14 terrible 58:21 terry 45:16 test 25:1 tested 48:21,23 testimony 15:23 37:15 43:3 65:8,9 testing 8:6,6 9:3 19:5 55:18 thank 14:8 15:18,19 18:25 19:22,23,25 21:7,10 22:16 23:16 23:18 25:7 28:23 29:3,24 31:4,5,18 44:17,18 45:13 46:3 46:7 48:22 49:10,21 49:22 50:10 53:16 53:17 54:17 56:11 56:17,18 57:11 58:24 62:23 64:4,5 64:5,18 thanks 3:19 thing 18:12 21:2,14 23:5 28:20 45:7 46:20,25 48:25 53:21,23 60:24 61:23</p>	<p>things 23:12 30:20 31:2 34:16 37:2 42:5,9 49:15 54:18 think 23:8 27:13 31:12 33:23 34:15 44:5 46:10 52:19,21 52:24 55:7,22 58:4 58:5,16 60:2 61:9 61:17,23 thirty 26:1 46:12 48:10,19,20 63:23 thorough 58:17,18 thoroughly 29:11 thought 30:23 thoughts 64:7 three 6:24 9:20 12:2 13:1 31:23 34:12 35:12 38:20 46:14 57:20 62:15 thursday 53:9 tie 63:12 time 5:6 10:10 13:7 22:23 27:24 30:25 31:2 32:5 33:23 34:2 35:15 42:8 44:6 46:16 48:17,19 49:10,17 50:8 52:16 53:14 54:10,17,19 55:11 57:24 59:21 62:5 64:19 times 53:8 54:11 tired 31:11 tissue 8:5,5 60:20,23 today 14:8,12 15:1,1 22:16 28:3 46:18 told 52:11 57:25 58:10 61:3 tom 2:9 31:6,7 ton 39:20,20 toniann 65:6,20 tonight 3:5 4:10 12:18 13:8 15:23 20:1 57:9 63:3 tool 32:4</p>	<p>tools 18:1 top 7:23 16:3 30:3 total 29:22 totally 17:19 25:22 29:18 36:4 58:5 touch 51:25 town 20:20 23:4,11 31:16 48:20 50:3,6 51:18 53:25 57:16 59:13 60:2 township 62:16 toxic 7:13 15:24 18:24 25:18,19,23 51:3 toxicity 8:6 9:2 traditional 22:12 traffic 20:19,22 51:1 51:19 trail 34:16 35:11 36:5 trailers 20:15 transcribed 3:10 transcript 1:4 12:13 13:5 44:13 65:23 transformed 32:24 transition 9:23 transparency 35:9 transparent 34:17 37:8 transparently 37:13 transport 40:19 41:1,2 transported 38:18 50:22 52:12 travel 57:25 traveling 51:18 treatment 24:13 tree 29:14 tried 40:17 troubles 58:19 troubling 57:19 truck 20:19 trucks 50:25 54:11 true 65:9</p>
<p>t</p>			
<p>t 65:1,1 table 9:21 14:16 17:23 tactic 52:22 tactics 46:2 52:20 62:10 take 4:20 5:11 12:11 23:9 35:3 47:24 49:7,8 50:21 56:14 63:8</p>			

<p>try 13:1 29:19 31:3 39:6 40:8,9 53:24 60:5 trying 40:6,6 52:24 53:23 turn 3:13 twelve 41:4 twenty 30:5 58:22 two 4:3 6:1 8:16,20 9:8 15:21 27:13,21 31:24 34:25 35:21 36:21 37:19,21 38:19 39:16 47:25 47:25 56:4 59:1,10 62:6 type 19:7 types 8:5</p>	<p>uplandd 36:14 uplands 10:14 55:23 56:2 upstream 16:13 17:4 62:12 upwind 41:3 urge 14:9 40:22 49:18 54:25 use 7:3 10:16 24:17 25:16 37:25 42:13 58:8,10</p>	<p>waning 54:20 want 4:9 21:1,10,10 28:18 29:10,18,21 31:9,11,15,16 33:7 33:10 36:21 39:6 49:1,2,2,18 52:25 52:25 53:1 57:19,20 58:20 59:11 61:22 62:11,11,12,13,15 62:20,21,21 63:12 63:12,13,13,15,17 63:19 64:5,13</p>	<p>went 26:5,7 west 41:3,5 wetland 9:22,22 whereof 65:15 whichever 9:22 wildlife 8:4 15:3 16:16 17:2 18:18 30:10 32:11,14 33:6 33:17,20 34:18,24 36:6 38:6,21 46:15 wildlife's 15:7 36:17 willing 45:23 56:14 windows 19:14 winkler 50:18 wipe 41:10 wise 58:8 wish 12:17 13:7 wishing 12:21 witness 65:15 wolfe 2:10 31:19,20 31:21 39:7 40:6,9 40:13 41:17,20 43:2 43:6,13 44:2,7,11 52:19 58:25 59:11 wood 40:24 word 15:9 33:24 58:8 62:18 words 36:19 38:14 61:2 work 3:14,25 10:2,4 11:18 12:4,5,7 18:5 23:9,10,14 25:14 26:14,15,18 28:13 30:6 32:3 33:3,12 33:13,14 40:21 48:14 55:4 63:5 worked 30:4 working 55:14 works 1:6 5:1,4,16 30:13 worksite 28:11 worry 18:23 wounds 60:15 wrap 39:6 40:5 44:1</p>
<p>u</p>	<p>v</p>	<p>wanted 61:24 62:9 wants 15:24 46:10 62:9 warning 51:25 waste 5:5,9,11 41:9 watchdog 23:4 water 9:3,21 24:18 32:12,15 33:4,12 39:24 40:1 52:1 55:25 59:16 60:7 61:5 watershed 39:19 waterways 15:25 16:8 18:21 24:15 way 16:10 37:8,9 38:13 42:11,11 46:10 47:20 54:9,12 54:13 62:15 64:12 65:13 wayne 12:3 27:4 54:10,11,12,15 58:3 58:4,6 62:16 weak 35:17 website 6:13,14 15:4 35:24 38:22 weekly 46:21 56:13 weeks 28:8 weight 36:9 weighted 25:15,22 27:14 38:1 welcome 64:16 wellness 18:19</p>	
<p>u.s. 15:2 16:15 17:3 17:24 18:12,14 33:17,19 34:17 38:6 uh 36:18,18 ultimate 44:4 umbrella 36:10 unacceptable 47:1 unconscionable 28:4 understand 44:9 55:16 understanding 37:17 47:24 understands 60:2 unfortunately 39:10 unified 16:2 united 1:1,12 3:3 16:15 17:1 51:9 53:22 units 5:6 unscientific 28:7 updated 17:8 updating 32:25 upland 4:5,23 6:2,6 7:2 9:15,18,19,23 10:13 29:4 55:24</p>	<p>valid 17:16 validity 33:8 valley 6:3 55:14 value 42:8 52:15 valued 53:18 van 53:6 vapors 17:12 vast 30:12 51:17 vegetation 10:11,15 vented 17:13 version 24:4 versus 42:19 vested 23:25 viable 25:5 victims 18:20 violate 31:23 violations 60:15 virtually 54:8 voice 51:12 54:1 void 17:7 volume 25:15,22 27:14 volunteers 23:24</p>	<p>w</p>	

writing 40:15 43:18 44:14 60:6 61:3 63:11 written 12:17 13:3 13:11,18 38:22 wrong 17:9,19 19:19 36:4 42:2
x
x 1:3,7
y
yards 8:18 9:14 year 10:17 11:2 42:13,19 46:12 54:7 54:19 years 24:11 27:5,22 28:14 30:5 46:14 47:25 48:1,11,19,19 48:20 53:20 56:4 57:20 58:22 62:19 63:24
z
zero 55:18 zompano 2:10 44:19 44:20,20 45:1 zone 10:9