ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 471]

[OW-FRL-3491-2]

Nonferrous Metals Forming and Metal Powders Point Source Category Effluent Limitations Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards and New Source Performance Standards

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final regulation.

SUMMARY: EPA is promulgating amendments to 40 CFR Part 471 which limits discharges to waters of the United States and the introduction of pollutants into public waters by industries whose principal treatment works by existing and new sources in the nonferrous metals forming and metals powders point source category. Today's amendments only affect facilities that conduct tube reducing operations in the nickel-cobalt forming subcategory (Subpart C) and in the zirconium-hafnium forming subcategory (Subpart I). EPA agreed to propose these amendments in a settlement agreement with General Electric Company and INCO Alloys International. The agreement settles a dispute between these companies and EPA that was the subject of petitions for review of the final nonferrous metals forming regulation promulgated by EPA on August 23, 1985 (50 FR 34242). The proposed amendments were published in the Federal Register on July 11, 1988, (53 FR 21774). EPA is today finalizing the amendments as proposed, except for corrections of typographical errors.

The final amendments include: (1) Certain modifications of the effluent limitations for "best practicable technology" (BPT), "best available technology economically achievable" (BAT), and "new source performance standards" (NSPS) for direct discharges; and (2) certain modifications to the pretreatment standards for new and existing indirect discharges (PSNS and PSSES).


The basis for this amendment is detailed in the record. The record for the final rule will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2404 (Rear) (EPA Library) 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC. The EPA information regulation (40 CFR Part 2) provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding this notice may be addressed to Mr. Ernst P. Hall at (202) 382–7126.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Organization of this notice:

I. Legal authority
II. Background
A. Rulemaking
B. Settlement Agreement for Nonferrous Metals Forming
III. Amendments to the Nonferrous Metals Forming and Metal Powders Regulation
IV. Environmental Impact of the Amendments to the Nonferrous Metals Forming Regulation
V. Economic Impact of the Amendments
VI. Public Participation and Response to Major Comments
VII. Executive Order 12291
VIII. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
IX. OMB Review

I. Legal Authority


II. Background

A. Rulemaking

On March 5, 1984, EPA proposed a regulation to establish Beat Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT) and Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT) effluent limitation guidelines, New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), Pretreatment Standards for Existing Sources (PSSES), and Pretreatment Standards for New Sources (PSNS) for the nonferrous metals forming and metal powders (nonferrous metals forming) point source category (49 FR 8112). The final regulation for the nonferrous metals forming point source category was promulgated on August 23, 1985 (50 FR 34242) and established effluent limitations guidelines and standards to control specific toxic, nonconventional and conventional pollutants for ten subcategories in the nonferrous metals forming and metal powders point source category. Only two of these subcategories, the nickel-cobalt forming subcategory (Subpart C) and the zirconium-hafnium forming subcategory (Subpart I), are affected by today's promulgation.

The subcategories are comprised of various elements or building blocks which are used to calculate the final effluent limits for each discharger. Today's promulgation only affects the tube reducing building blocks in subparts C and I. Tube reducing is a forming operation which may combine amines and nitrates or nitrates in the coolant-lubricant with the high energy input of the process to generate nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharge. In sampling conducted by the Agency, nitrosamines have been found in tube reducing process wastewaters. Accordingly, in the final regulation the Agency required that there be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants from tube reducing operations in Subparts C and I. The Agency costed contract hauling as the model treatment technology for these subcategories.

B. Settlement Agreement for Nonferrous Metals Forming

After publication of the nonferrous metals forming regulation, Inco Alloys International, General Electric Co., Oregon Metallurgical Corporation, Teledyne WaChang, AMAX Inc. and Westinghouse Corp. filed petitions for review of the regulation. Oregon Metallurgical Corp., Westinghouse and Teledyne WaChang subsequently dismissed their petitions for review of the regulation. AMAX Inc. entered into a settlement agreement with EPA on June 29, 1987. That agreement is not the subject of this promulgation. The two remaining petitioners, INCO Alloys International and General Electric Company entered into a settlement agreement with the Agency on November 5, 1989 which resolved all issues raised by these petitioners. That agreement, which is the subject of this rulemaking, only affects the nickel-cobalt forming subcategory (Subpart C) and the zirconium-hafnium forming subcategory (Subpart I). Copies of the Settlement Agreement have been sent to all EPA Regional Offices and to applicable State permitting authorities.

As part of this Settlement Agreement, on November 5, 1986 the parties jointly requested the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit to stay the effectiveness of those portions of 40 CFR Part 471 which EPA proposed to amend, pending final action by EPA on the proposed amendments. On February 17, 1987, the Court entered an order staying the following sections of the regulation promulgated on August 23, 1985: 40 CFR 471.31(d); 471.32(d); 471.33(d); 471.34(d); 471.35(d); 471.91(g); 471.92(g); 471.93(g); 471.94(g); and 471.95(g). All limitations...
and standards contained in the final nonferrous metals forming regulation published on August 23, 1985, which are not specifically listed in today's amendments, are not affected by today's rulemaking and are unchanged.

The Agency proposed the amendments based on the settlement agreement on July 11, 1988, (53 FR 21774). EPA is now finalizing those amendments as proposed (except typographical corrections). Under the settlement agreement, the petitioners have the obligation to seek voluntary dismissal of their petitions for review. The petitioners have also agreed not to seek judicial review of any of these amendments as they are consistent with the Settlement Agreement.

III. Amendments to the Nonferrous Metals Forming and Metal Powders Regulation

The regulation promulgated in 1985 stated that there shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants from tube reducing spent lubricants. Because EPA found a nitrosamine compound (N-nitrosodiphenylamine) in a sample taken from a tube reducing spent lubricant used at one facility at a concentration of 28.2 mg/1, EPA established a zero discharge requirement to prevent the release of nitrosamine compounds into the environment from tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater. GE and Inco expressed concern that this regulation would require contract hauling of the process waste stream even in cases where there are no measurable amounts of nitrosamines. EPA is amending the regulation to allow the discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant in the nickel-cobalt and zirconium-hafnium forming subcategories as an alternative limitation, provided that the spent lubricant process wastewater is analyzed once per month and found not to contain concentrations of three nitrosamines in excess of the method detection limits established for EPA's approved test method 1625 (40 CFR Part 130). These nitrosamines and corresponding limits of 0.050 mg/1 of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/1 of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/1 of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine. These three nitrosamines are members of the family of nitrosamine compounds that are referenced in Section 307(a) of the Clean Water Act of 1977. The Agency believes that demonstrating the presence or absence of these three nitrosamine compounds should indicate the presence or absence of the other nitrosamine compounds because they all are formed from the same precursors, i.e., nitrates or nitrites and amines. If, after six months of sampling, none of the samples of the tube reducing lubricant wastewater is found to contain nitrosamines above the concentrations stated above, the frequency of sampling may be reduced to once per quarter. Under the alternative limitation there is no mass allowance for any pollutant discharged.

Nitrosamines can be formed in the presence of precursors under the conditions created by the tube reducing process. If nitrosamines are found in tube reducing spent lubricant, the best method to remove them is to change the tube reducing lubricant to a new formulation in which the precursors are not present; if the precursors are absent, then nitrosamines are not likely to be formed. The Agency believes this control method is technically feasible and should not result in any economic impact beyond that expected under the original regulation. The cost of the new tube reducing lubricant should not be significantly more than the cost of the old tube reducing lubricant. In any case, the facility retains the option of complying with the current regulation (i.e., no discharge of process wastewater pollutants), which as stated earlier, is based on contract hauling. EPA determined that this technology was economically achievable during the initial nonferrous metals forming rulemaking. For all these reasons, EPA finds that this amendment is economically achievable. This alternative limitation should result in similar effluent reduction benefits as the previously promulgated limitation because plants will be prevented from discharging measurable amounts of nitrosamines. The amendment has the additional advantage of creating an incentive to avoid the formation of nitrosamine compounds in the tube reducing process. All other relevant findings made in the original rulemaking are incorporated by reference into this amendment.

Subparagraph (5) of the amendment specifies that the concentration limits for the three nitrosamine compounds listed in subparagraph (2) apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. This provision is intended to make clear that the discharge limits of subparagraph (2), which are expressed in terms of concentration, are not to be met merely by means of dilution. It does not mean, however, that the wastewater must be sampled at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. Nor does it imply that the wastewater must be sampled before being treated or commingled with other wastewater.

To the contrary, because of difficulties in analyzing for nitrosamines at very low concentration levels in an oily matrix, it may very well be necessary to treat the tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater before sampling to determine compliance with subparagraph (2). And, in order to treat the wastewater in an economical manner, it may very well be necessary to commingle it with other wastewater before treatment. Subparagraph (5) allows commingling or treatment of the tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater before sampling. However, if commingling occurs before sampling, any dilution caused by the other wastewater must be taken into account in determining compliance with the concentration limits of subparagraph (2).

This would mean that the concentrations of the three nitrosamine compounds in the commingled wastewater would have to be sufficiently lower than the discharge concentrations specified in subparagraph (2), so that, after back-calculating to account for the dilution, it can be determined that the concentrations of the three nitrosamine compounds at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process did not exceed the concentration limits set forth in subparagraph (2). Subparagraph (5) provides that, where sampling occurs after commingling, the analytical method used must be sensitive enough to measure the three nitrosamine compounds at levels sufficiently low as to permit a back-calculation to account for the dilution.

IV. Environmental Impact of the Amendments to the Nonferrous Metals Forming Regulation

The amendment described above may affect 58 facilities in the nickel-cobalt forming and zirconium-hafnium forming subcategories. Under this amendment, tube-reducing operations would be allowed to discharge wastewater under controlled conditions but would not be allowed to increase that mass of pollutants allowed to be discharged by the August 1985 regulation. Therefore, this regulation should not have any significant environmental impact.

V. Economic Impact of the Amendments

The amendments do not alter the model technologies for complying with the nonferrous metals forming regulation. The Agency considered the economic impact of the regulation when the final regulation was promulgated (see 50 FR 34242). EPA concluded at that time that the regulation was economically achievable. Because
today's amendments are based on the same model technologies, EPA's conclusions as to economic impact and achievability are unaffected.

VI. Public Participation and Response to Major Comments

Since proposal of these amendments two commenters have submitted comments on the proposal. These commenters are General Electric Company and Inco International Alloys. The most significant of these comments are summarized below:

1. Both commenters generally supported the amendments proposed by the Agency and recommended that these amendments be promulgated.

2. Both commenters pointed out typographical errors in the proposal. The Agency has made these corrections.

VII. Executive Order 12291

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to the requirement of a Regulatory Impact Analysis. Major rules are defined as rules that impose an annual cost to the economy of $100 million or more, or meet other economic criteria. This proposed regulation, which modestly reduces regulatory requirements, is not a major rule.

VIII. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Pub. L. 96-354 requires that EPA prepare a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis for regulations that have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. In the preamble to the August 23, 1985 final nonferrous metals forming regulation, the Agency concluded that there would not be a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities (49 FR 8775). For the reason, the Agency determined that a formal regulatory flexibility analysis was not required. That conclusion is equally applicable to these amendments, because the amendments slightly reduce the regulatory requirements.

IX. OMB Review

This regulation was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review as required by Executive Order 12291. Any comments from OMB to EPA and any EPA response to those comments are available for public inspection at Room M2404, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460 from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 471

Metals, nonferrous metals forming, water pollution control, waste treatment and disposal.

Dated: March 9, 1989.

William K. Reilly.
Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the preamble EPA amends Title 40 CFR Part 471 as follows:

PART 471—NONFERROUS METALS FORMING AND METAL POWDERS POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

1. The authority citation for Part 471 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 301, 304 (b), (c), (c), and (g), 306 (b) and (c), 307, 308, and 501 of the Clean Water Act (the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendment of 1972, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977) (the "Act") 33 U.S.C. 1311, 1314 (b), (c), (e), (g), 1316 (b) and (c), and 1361; 66 Stat. 818. Pub. L. 92–500; 91 Stat. 1567, Pub. L. 95–217.

§ 471.31 [Amended]

2. Section 471.31 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

(d) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart C—BPT.

1. There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

2. Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

3. The demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is no further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this section; or

(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the NPDES issuing authority that such source has been eliminated.

§ 471.32 [Amended]

3. Section 471.32 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

(d) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart C—BAT.

1. There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

2. Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater samples has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

3. If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is no further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this section; or

(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the NPDES issuing authority that such source has been eliminated.
The demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (d)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (d)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under subparagraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is not further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this section; or

(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (d)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (d)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

The demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (d)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it
has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(4) If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is not further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this section; or

(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the POTW control authority that such source has been eliminated.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and

(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.

§ 471.91 [Amended]

6. Section 471.91 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

(d) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart C—PSNS.

(1) There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

(2) Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

(3) The demonstration required under subparagraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (d)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (d)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(4) If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (d)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is no further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section; or

(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (d)(3) of this section; or

(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in subparagraph (2) above and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the POTW control authority that such source has been eliminated.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (d)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and

(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.
(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the NPDES issuing authority that such source has been eliminated.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and

(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.

§ 471.92 [Amended]

8. Section 471.92 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

(g) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart I—BAT:

(1) There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(2) Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

(3) The demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(4) If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and

(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.

§ 471.93 [Amended]

9. Section 471.93 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

(g) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart I—NSPS:

(1) There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(2) Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

(3) The demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.
§471.94 [Amended]

10. Section 471.94 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

(g) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart I—PSNS.
(1) There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(2) Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

(3) The demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (g)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(4) If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (g)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is no further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section; or
(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (g)(3) of this section; or
(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the POTW control authority that such source has been eliminated.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and
(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.

§471.95 [Amended]

11. Section 471.95 is amended by revising paragraph (g) to read as follows:

(g) Tube Reducing Spent Lubricant—Subpart I—PSNS.
(1) There shall be no discharge of process wastewater pollutants except as provided under paragraph (g)(2) of this section.

(2) Process wastewater pollutants may be discharged, with no allowance for any pollutants discharged, provided the facility owner or operator demonstrates, on the basis of analytical methods set forth in or approved pursuant to 40 CFR Part 136, that the concentrations of nitrosamine compounds in the wastewater discharged from the tube reducing process do not exceed 0.050 mg/l of N-nitrosodimethylamine, 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodiphenylamine, and 0.020 mg/l of N-nitrosodi-n-propylamine.

(3) The demonstration required under subparagraph (g)(2) of this section shall be made once per month until the demonstration has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months, after which time the demonstration may be made once per quarter. If a sample is found to contain any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (g)(2) of this section, the actions described in paragraph (g)(4) of this section shall be taken, and the demonstration required under paragraph (g)(2) shall be made once per month until it has been made for all three nitrosamine compounds for six consecutive months.

(4) If sampling results show that any of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds is present in the process wastewater at concentrations greater than those specified in subparagraph (g)(2) of this section, the facility owner or operator shall ensure that, within thirty days of receiving written notification of the sampling results, there is no further discharge of tube reducing spent lubricant wastewater until the owner or operator:

(i) Performs a subsequent analysis which demonstrates that the concentrations of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds do not exceed the levels specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section; or
(ii) Substitutes a new tube reducing lubricant and thereafter complies with the requirements of paragraph (g)(3) of this section; or
(iii) Determines the source of the pollutant whose concentration exceeded the level specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the POTW control authority that such source has been eliminated.

(5) The concentration limits specified in paragraph (g)(2) of this section apply at the point of discharge from the tube reducing process. However, sampling after the tube reducing wastewater has been commingled with other wastewaters is permitted if:

(i) Any dilution caused by the other wastewaters is taken into account in determining the appropriate (i.e., lower) allowable discharge concentration; and
(ii) An analytical method of sufficient sensitivity is used to measure the levels of each of the foregoing nitrosamine compounds in the wastewaters being sampled.