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Replaced Old Gas Stretford Processing facility with Sulfa Treat System

**Issue:** Inefficient processing facility for changes in gas production.

**Answer:** Replace with Sulfa Treat System, for current and project gas production.
Replaced Old Gas Stretford Processing facility with Sulfa Treat System

- What did we do: Shut-in 11 “1920s” vintage ICEs
- Benefit: Removed old engines with inefficient combustion process that had a high blow-by of un-combusted fuel. (>100 tons/year);
- Technical Issues: New process and how do we manage the gas at the new facilities;
- Additional Benefits: Provided clean, efficient and reliable system
Consolidate Tank Facilities

**Issue:** Neighboring facilities were now under common ownership, duplication of facilities existed.

**Answer:** Consolidate facilities accordingly for current and project production needs.
Consolidate Tank Facilities

• What did we do: Combined production at 7 tank facilities into 2;

• Benefit: Eliminated over 80 processing tanks and associated equipment containing Methane;
Consolidate Tank Facilities

- Technical issues: How do we get the production there and accommodate unique production issues (hot fluids from steam fluid);

- Additional Benefits: Reductions in staffing needed to run facilities and reduction in maintenance, reduced other liabilities.
Consolidated and Electrified hydraulic pump operations

**Issue:** Multiple small (50 –120 bhp) old internal combustion engines driving power oil pumps;

**Answer:** Replace with electric motors
Consolidated and Electrified hydraulic pump operations

• What did we do: Reduced over 30 ICE powered Kobe hydraulic pumps to 12 electric motor powered units;

• Benefits: Removed old engines with inefficient combustion process that had a high blow-by of un-combusted fuel.
Consolidated and Electrified hydraulic pump operations

• Technical Issues: How do you make best use of existing equipment;

• Additional Benefits: Reductions in staffing needed to run facilities and reduction in maintenance, reduced other liabilities.
**Issue:** Desire to take non-merchantable gas and make utility spec gas instead of flaring gas.

**Answer:** Install Acid Gas Removal system.
Installation of Molecular Gate® CO₂ Removal system.

- What did we do: Installed Molecular Gate CO2 Removal system;
- Benefits: Created merchantable gas instead of flaring;
- Technical issues: New technology: 1st Commercial unit to be installed in the world;
- Additional Benefits: Increase in revenue.
Molecular Gate™ Technology for Tidelands Oil Production Company
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Methane Recovery - Molecular Gate®
CO₂ Removal

- Adsorbs acid gas (CO₂ and H₂S) in fixed bed
- Molecular sieve application selectively adsorbs acid gas molecules of smaller diameter than methane
- Bed regenerated by depressuring
  - ~10% of feed methane lost in “tail gas” depressuring
  - Route tail gas to fuel
Molecular Gate® Applicability

- Lean gas
  - Gas wells, Coal bed methane

- Associated gas
  - Tidelands Oil Production Company
    - 1.4 MMcf/day
    - 18% to 40% CO₂
    - Water saturated, rich gas
  - Design options for C₄+ in tail gas stream
    - Heavy hydrocarbon recovery before Molecular Gate®
    - Recover heavies from tail gas in adsorber bed
    - Use as fuel for process equipment

Coal Bed Methane System in Illinois
www.moleculargate.com
Molecular Gate® CO₂ Removal

High Pressure Feed
- C₁
- C₂
- C₃
- C₄+
- CO₂
- H₂S
- H₂O

Optional
- Enriched C₁
- Recycle

Pressure Swing Adsorption

10 psi pressure drop

Product
- 90 - 95% of C₁
- 80 - 90% of C₂
- 50% of C₃

Tail Gas
- 5 - 10% of C₁
- 10 - 20% of C₂
- 50% of C₃
- C₄+
- CO₂
- H₂S
- H₂O

C₄+ Recovery

Dehydration

Vacuum Compressor
Industry Experience - Tidelands Molecular Gate® Unit

- First commercial unit started in May 2002
- Process up to 1.4 MMcf/day
- No glycol system is required
- Heavy hydrocarbons and water removed with CO₂
- Tail gas used for fuel is a key optimization: No process venting
- 18% to 40% CO₂ removed to pipeline specifications (2%)
- Eliminated flaring
Molecular Gate Performance at Tidelands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Design Feed</th>
<th>Actual Feed</th>
<th>Design Product</th>
<th>Actual Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow, MM SCFD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure, psig</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, F</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>60-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition, Mol %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>71.25</td>
<td>48.35</td>
<td>95.09</td>
<td>94.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>400 ppm</td>
<td>800 ppm</td>
<td>700 ppm</td>
<td>1500 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6+</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is Recovery Profitable?

- Molecular Gate® costs are 20% less than amine process
  - 9 to 35 ¢ / Mcf product depending on scale
- Fixed-bed tail gas vent can be used as supplemental fuel
  - Eliminates venting from acid gas removal
- Other Benefits
  - Allows wells with high acid gas content to produce (alternative is shut-in)
  - Can dehydrate and remove acid gas to pipeline specs in one step
  - Less operator attention
Other Molecular Gate Applications

- Nitrogen removal from natural gas
- Dew Point control by heavy hydrocarbon and water removal
- Removal of C2 (<6%), C3+ (<3%) and C6+ (<0.2%) for CARB CNG
- Removal of heavy hydrocarbons from CO2 in amine plant vents to eliminate flaring
Tidelands
CO2 Removal System

1.4 MM SCFD
38% CO2 Removed to <2%
Start-up May 2002
Use of Ultra Efficient ICEs

Issue: Desire to use non-merchantable gas, instead of flaring gas, to run ICEs instead of electric motors.

Answer: Install large ICEs capable of using gas to drive water injection pumps.
Use of Ultra Efficient ICEs

• What did we do: Designed ICEs to allow the use of non-merchantable gas to be used as fuel.
• Benefits: Significantly reduced flaring (800 mscf/day)
• Technical Issues: How do you control emissions with varying quality gas;
• Additional Benefits: Reduction in electrical cost ($3,000/day/unit)
Air Emissions

Methane is higher in FY03/04 because SCAQMD changed method for calculating methane emissions.