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ACTION: REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

FUNDING OPPORTUNITY NUMBER (FON): EPA-R4-SFL-2017-01

CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE (CFDA) NO: 66.436

DATES: The closing date and time for submission of proposals through www.grants.gov is July 25, 2017, 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time (ET). No hard copies will be accepted for this RFP. Proposals received after July 25, 2017, 11:59 p.m. ET will not be considered for funding.

SUMMARY: This request for proposal announces the availability of funds and solicits proposals under a competitive announcement to fund projects for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Water Quality Protection Program and the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative. This announcement is an open competition for applicants to apply for funding on projects meeting the goals and objectives under these programs.

FUNDING/AWARDS: EPA Region 4 anticipates awarding approximately $100,000 to $250,000 in FY17 to fund one to three proposals with funding ranging from $25,000 – up to possibly $200,000 to address the R4 Priority Areas described in Section I of this announcement. The total amount of funding and awards that will be made under this announcement depends on funding availability, the quality of proposals received, and other applicable considerations. Unless pre-award costs are specifically approved by EPA, recipients should not incur project costs, including nonfederal match, until they receive an award offer from EPA.

CONTENTS BY SECTION

I. Funding Opportunity Description
II. Award Information
III. Eligibility Information
IV. Proposal Submission Information
V. Proposal Evaluation and Review Information
VI. Award Administration Information
VII. Agency Contacts
VIII. Other Information (Appendices)
Section I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. South Florida Program Background

The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) was created with the signing of Public Law 101-605, the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary and Protection Act of 1990. Included in the Sanctuary are 2,900 square nautical miles of nearshore waters extending from Biscayne Bay to the Dry Tortugas. Recognizing the critical role of water quality in maintaining Sanctuary resources, Congress directed the Environmental Protection Agency and the State of Florida, in cooperation with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), to develop a Water Quality Protection Program (WQPP) for the Sanctuary – the first of its kind in the nation. The Act directs the WQPP to develop corrective actions and compliance schedules to address point and nonpoint sources of pollution to maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the Sanctuary. This includes restoration and maintenance of a balance, indigenous population of corals, shellfish, fish and wildlife, and recreational activities in and on the water. In addition to corrective actions, the 1990 Act requires public participation developing and implementing the program.

In 1998, The U.S. Coral Reef Task Force (USCRTF) was established by Executive Order #13089 to lead U.S. efforts to restore and protect coral reef ecosystems. In October 2002, the USCRTF implemented a National Action Plan to conserve coral reefs. The Local Action Strategy for coral reef conservation and management in southeast Florida was finalized in 2004 and includes the following focus areas: land-based sources of pollution; fishing, diving and other uses; maritime industry and coastal construction impacts; and awareness and appreciation.

This funding opportunity is for Special Studies/Research and Public Education/Outreach for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Water Quality Protection Program for the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative. Examples of eligible Special Studies/Research program activities include: canal demonstration projects; stormwater demonstration projects; coral research; endocrine disruptor impacts to marine fauna and flora; identifying and understanding cause/effect relationships of pollutants; developing effective remediation techniques for aquatic resources; addressing specific management questions and concerns; predictive models and monitoring tools; and overall improvement of our understanding of South Florida ecosystems. Examples of eligible Public Education and Outreach projects include: development and distribution of best management practices (BMP) literature to increase public participation to protect water quality; citizen science; non-point source pollution education; and increasing awareness of South Florida aquatic resources.
B. Scope of Work to address the Region 4 Priority Action Items

There are **seven** Region 4 Priority Action Items identified below and all are addressed in the following report and web page: **Priority Action Items identified in Appendix 1 of The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) Water Quality Protection Program Report to Congress, September 2013.** (See pages 36-37 of the Report) and on the **Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative** web page. This report and web page are important for applicants to obtain additional information about the priority action items and the goals and objectives of the FKNMS.

The FKNMS Water Quality Protection Program Report to Congress objective is to identify and implement priority corrective actions within a compliance schedule to address point and nonpoint sources of pollution to maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the Sanctuary. The program is also to include the restoration and maintenance of a balanced, indigenous population of corals, shellfish, fish and wildlife, and recreational activities in and on the water.

The Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative web page provides the key goals and objectives of the Land Based Sources of Pollution (LBSP) Focus Team to characterize the existing condition of the coral reef ecosystem; quantify and characterize the land-based sources of pollution, identify how these sources of pollution impact the coral reef; develop strategies to reduce the impact of land-based sources of pollution; and increase public awareness and understanding of the effects of land-based sources of pollution on water quality and coral reefs.

The applicant’s proposal must address one or more of the priority action items(s) identified below:

1. **Improve Water Quality in Residential Canals – Activity W. 10**

   The Monroe County Canal Master Plan (CCMP) identified canals impaired due to low oxygen, high organic matter, and poor water clarity. Activities to improve canal water quality include: identify and implement pilot projects that demonstrate innovative technologies to restore water quality in the canal systems of the Florida Keys; update the CCMP; and effectively monitor to evaluate performance of canal restoration techniques.

   Any innovative technologies or management practices identified in this study must, at a minimum, comply with all applicable state and federal Clean Water Act regulations and water quality standards in effect in the Florida Keys

2. **Special Study: Protection of Seagrass Habitat in Florida Keys – Activity W. 33**

   Develop a preservation plan to protect the seagrass community from increasing inexperienced recreational boaters that are damaging seagrass beds by running aground and creating propeller scars. Plan may include boater education and outreach programs,
assessments to identify and focus regulatory programs in areas experiencing significant seagrass damage from boating activities, and identification of enforcement tools.

3. **Special Study: Investigate Coral Disease Occurrence in South Florida – Activity W.33**

Investigate pathogen(s), method of transmission, source(s), impacts and conditions contributing to the coral tissue disease ‘white blotch’ outbreak impacting the Florida Reef Tract from Martin County to the Upper Keys first identified near Key Biscayne in 2015. Identify and recommend creditable management activities to prevent future reoccurrence of outbreak.

4. **Special Study: Endocrine Disruptors Impacts on Aquatic Ecosystems – Activity W.23**

Investigate sources, distribution, concentration and effects of endocrine disruptors found in pharmaceuticals, personal care products, pesticides, wastewater, stormwater, household waste, and other sources that can adversely impact corals, fish, sponges, urchins, mollusks and other aquatic organisms found in South Florida surface waters. Provides information on the existing legal authorities and regulations that can be used to address the identified endocrine disruptor concerns and provide potential management strategies and techniques to minimize negative impacts to the marine environment.

5. **Public Education and Outreach – Activity W.14**

Increase awareness and involvement of the general public and government officials to implement Water Quality Protection Program corrective actions, restoration activities, education, program activities and projects designed to protect, maintain and restore the water quality and natural resources of the Florida Keys Ecosystem.

6. **Special Study: Stormwater Pollutants – Activity W.22**

Investigate stormwater impacts from roads and paved surfaces to near-shore waters and/or residential canal systems that contribute to impaired water quality and ecological impacts. Identify best management practices and cost-effective technologies available to improve stormwater management and treatment in the unique environment of the Florida Keys. The study shall include cost estimates for the construction and operation of the recommended best management practices and technologies. In addition, all the recommended best management practices and technologies must comply, at a minimum, with all applicable regulations and water quality standards.

7. **Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative (SEFCRI)**

The key goals and objectives are to characterize the existing condition of the coral reef ecosystem; quantify and characterize the land-based sources of pollution, identify how these sources of pollution impact the coral reef; develop strategies to reduce the impact of
land-based sources of pollution; and increase public awareness and understanding of the effects of land-based sources of pollution on water quality and coral reefs.

C. EPA Strategic Plan Linkage & Anticipated Outcomes, Outputs & Performance Measures

Pursuant to Section 6a of EPA Order 5700.7, “Environmental Results under EPA Assistance Agreements”, EPA must link proposed assistance agreements to the Agency’s Strategic Plan. EPA also requires that grant applicants and recipients adequately describe environmental outputs and outcomes to be achieved under assistance agreements (see EPA Order 5700.7, Environmental Results under Assistance Agreements.

1. **Linkage to EPA Strategic Plan:** All proposals must support EPA Strategic Plan Goal 2 “Protecting America’s Waters, Objective 2.2 “Protect and Restore Watersheds and Aquatic Ecosystems”.

The special studies included in this announcement of the federal funding opportunity support EPA’s 2014-2018 Strategic Plan, Goal: “Protecting America’s Waters” and the FY17 National Water Program Guidance Strategies to Protect and Restore the Health of Communities and Large Aquatic - South Florida Ecosystem.

2. **Outputs:** The term “output” means an environmental activity, effort, and/or associated work product related to an environmental goal and objective that will be produced over a period of time or by a specific date. Outputs may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period.

Examples of outputs for projects include:

- Evaluation of the effectiveness of efforts to reduce or eliminate sources of pollution and to evaluate progress toward achieving and maintaining water quality and protecting and restoring coral reef habitat and other living resources.

- Characterization of sources and causes of water quality impairment within a watershed that will allow the development of management/action/restoration plan(s).

- Preparation and timely delivery of quarterly and draft/final project reports that document results of the special study project.

Progress reports and a final report will also be a required output, as specified in Section VI(C) of this announcement, “Reporting Requirement”.
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3. **Outcomes:** The term “outcome” means the result, effect, or consequence that will occur from carrying out an environmental program or activity that is related to an environmental or programmatic goal or objective. Outcomes may be qualitative and environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, but may be quantitative. They may not necessarily be achievable within an assistance agreement funding period.

Projects to be funded with this announcement are expected to produce outcomes related to the outputs identified in the proposal.

Examples of expected outcomes that may occur because of the technical/scientific information generated by the special studies projects associated with this announcement include:

- Increase knowledge of managers and elected officials concerning negative impacts of pollutants on water quality and living biological resources, which should lead to the development and implementation of action plans that will reduce pollutant loading and result in the conservation of natural resources.

- Maintenance and/or improvement of water quality.

- Maintenance of health of seagrass beds.

- Restoration and/or maintenance of coral reef habitat.

- Reduce pollutant loading to inland, nearshore and coastal waters.

4. **Performance Measures:** The applicant should also develop performance measures expected to be achieved through the proposed activities and they should be described in the proposal. These performance measures will help gather insights and will be the mechanism to track progress concerning successful process and output and outcome strategies and will provide the basis for developing lessons to inform future recipients.

**D. Supplementary Information**

The statutory authority for this action is the Clean Water Act (Section 104(b)(3), which authorizes the award of assistance agreements for Water Quality and Watershed Management: Studies, Surveys, and Investigations. This announcement supports the Water Quality Protection Program for the Florida National Marine Sanctuary and the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative.

Applicants should consider developing partnerships to achieve the goals under this program. Partnerships are **not mandatory or required**, however, they will be evaluated
in Section V of this solicitation as extra points. Under the criterion, EPA will consider
the mutual interests of each partner(s), how their involvement addresses the common
goals of South FL Project Action Items, how partnering with these entities will achieve
the goals of this project, and whether partners are contributing monetary and/or in-kind
for the project.

Below are examples of eligible projects under Studies/Research and Public Education and
Outreach:

- Examples of eligible Studies/Research include: identifying and understanding
  the cause and effects relationships of pollutants, transport pathways, and
  biological communities of the Sanctuary; developing effective remediation
  techniques for aquatic resources; addressing specific management questions
  and concerns; development of predictive models and monitoring tools; and
  overall improvement of our understanding of South FL ecosystems. Projects
  will be evaluated on scientific merit which includes: background of the
  project, statement of the purpose, significance of the study, research question
  and design, methodology, project assumptions/limitations and anticipated
  findings

- Examples of eligible Public Education and Outreach include: increases public
  awareness regarding residential canal remediation; impacts of non-point
  source pollution; increasing awareness of South FL aquatic resources; citizen
  monitoring; distribution of Best Management Practices literature; solicitation
  of public participation to assist with implementation of FKNMS Water
  Quality and Protection Program corrective actions.

Section II. Award Information

A. Funding Amount: The total estimated funding expected under this competitive
   announcement is approximately $100,000- $250,000. The total maximum grant funding
   available for a single award is $200,000.

B. Reject of Proposals: EPA reserves the right to reject all proposals and make no awards
   under this announcement or make fewer than expected.

C. Partial Funding: In appropriate circumstances, EPA reserves the right to partially fund
   proposals by funding discrete portions or phase of proposed projects. If EPA decides to
   partially fund a proposal, it will do so in a manner that does not prejudice any applicants
   or affect the basis upon which the proposal, or portion thereof, was evaluated and
   selected for award, and therefore, maintains the integrity of the competition and selection
   process.

D. Number of Awards: EPA anticipates awarding one to three assistance agreements under
   this announcement ranging in value from approximately $25,000 to $200,000, subject to
the availability of funds, quality of evaluated proposals, and other applicable considerations. In addition, EPA reserves the right to make additional awards under this announcement, consistent with Agency policy, if additional funding becomes available after the original selections. Any additional selections for awards will be made no later than six months from the date of the original selections.

E. **Project Period:** EPA anticipates that for awards made under this solicitation, projects will begin in October 2017. Proposed project/budget periods can range from one to three years for this funding announcement.

F. **Funding Type:** The funding for selected projects will be in the form of grants or cooperative agreements. The appropriate funding type and programmatic grant terms and conditions will be added to the grant award document. For most projects associated with the WQPP for the FKNMS, a cooperative agreement is the preferred funding mechanism. The principal purpose of the federal and non-federal relationship established by a cooperative agreement is the transfer of money to the recipient to accomplish a “public purpose” of support or stimulation; in addition, there will be substantial involvement between EPA R4, the FKNMS Water Quality Protection Program and the recipient during performance of the activity with coordination and collaboration to achieve the goals under this program. The successful applicant will be expected to participate and present project updates at the FKNMS Water Quality Protection Program meetings annually. If necessary, include and identify travel funds in the budget to attend these meetings.

G. **Additional Awards:** EPA reserves the right to make additional awards under this RFP, consistent with Agency policy and guidance, if additional funding becomes available after (or at the time) original selections are made. Any additional selections for awards will be made no later than six months after the original selection decisions.

**Section III. Eligibility Information**

A. **Eligibility Entities:** In accordance with CFDA 66.436, proposals will be accepted from States, local governments, territories, Indian Tribes, and possessions of the U.S. (including the District of Columbia); public and private universities and colleges; hospitals; laboratories; public or private nonprofit institutions; intertribal consortia; and individuals.

Non-profit organization, as defined by 2 CFR Part 200, means any corporation, trust, association, cooperative or other organization that: (1) is operated primarily for scientific, educational, service, charitable or similar purposes in the public interest; (2) is not organized primarily for profit; and (3) uses its net proceeds to maintain, improve and/or expand its operations. Note that 2 CFR Part 200 specifically excludes the following types of organizations from the definition of “non-profit organization” because they are separately defined in the regulation: (i) institutions of higher education; and (ii) state,
local and federally-recognized Indian tribal governments. While not considered to be a “non-profit organization(s)” as defined by 2 CFR Part 200, Institutions of Higher Education and state, local and federally-recognized Indian tribal governments are, nevertheless, eligible to submit applications under this RFP.

For-profit organizations are not an eligible entity for this funding opportunity. Additionally, non-profit organizations described in Section 501(s)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code that engage in lobbying activities as defined in Section 3 of the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995 are not eligible to apply.

B. Leveraging or Cost Sharing: No matching funds are required under this competition. Although cost sharing/matching is not required as a condition of eligibility under this competition, under Section V of this announcement EPA will evaluate proposals based on a leveraging criterion.

1. Leveraging is generally when an applicant proposes to provide its own additional funds/resources or those from third party sources to support or complement the project they are awarded under the competition which are above and beyond the EPA grant funds awarded. Any leveraged funds/resources, and their source, must be identified in the proposal (See Section IV of the announcement). Leveraged funds and resources may take various forms as noted below.

2. Voluntary cost share is a form of leveraging. Voluntary cost sharing is when an applicant voluntarily proposes to legally commit to provide costs or contributions to support the project when a cost share is not required. Applicants who propose to use a voluntary cost share must include the costs or contributions for the voluntary cost share in the project budget on the SF-424. If an applicant proposes a voluntary cost share, the following apply:

   - A voluntary cost share is subject to the match provisions in the grant regulations 2 CFR Part 200 as applicable.
   - A voluntary costs share may only be met with eligible and allowable costs.
   - The recipient may not use other sources of federal funds to meet a voluntary cost share unless the statute authorizing the other federal funding provides that the federal funds may be used to meet a cost share requirement on a federal grant.
   - The recipient is legally obligated to meet any proposed voluntary cost share that is included in the approved project budget. If the proposed voluntary cost share does not materialize during grant performance, the EPA may reconsider the legitimacy of the award and/or take other appropriate action as authorized by 2 CFR Part 200 as applicable.

3. Other leveraged funding/resources that are not identified as a voluntary cost share. This form of leveraging may be met by funding from another federal grant, from an
applicant's own resources, or resources from other third party sources. This form of leveraging should not be included in the budget and the costs need not be eligible and allowable project costs under the EPA assistance agreement. While this form of leveraging should not be included in the budget, the grant workplan should include a statement indicating that the applicant is expected to produce the proposed leveraging consistent with the terms of the announcement and the applicant's proposal. If applicants propose to provide this form of leveraging, EPA expects them to make the effort to secure the leveraged resources described in their proposals. If the proposed leveraging does not materialize during grant performance, then EPA may reconsider the legitimacy of the award and/or take other appropriate action as authorized by 2 CFR 200.

C. Threshold Criteria

These are requirements that if not met by the time of proposal submission will result in elimination of the proposal from consideration for funding. Only proposals from eligible entities (see above) that meet all of these criteria will be evaluated against the ranking factors in Section V of this announcement. Applicants deemed ineligible for funding consideration as a result of the threshold eligibility review will be notified within 15 calendar days of the ineligibility determination.

1. Proposals must address one or more of the Priority Action Items listed in Section IB.

2. Proposals must substantially comply with the proposal/applicant and submission information instructions and requirements set forth in Sections IV of this announcement or else they will be rejected. However, where a page limit is expressed in Section IV with respect to the proposal, pages in excess of the page limitation will not be reviewed.

3. Proposals must be submitted through Grants.gov as stated in Section IV of this announcement (except in the limited circumstances where another mode of submission is specifically allowed for as explained in Section IV) on or before the proposal submission deadline published in Section IV of this announcement. Applicants are responsible for ensuring that their proposal is timely submitted.

4. Proposals received after the submission deadline will be considered late and deemed ineligible without further consideration unless the applicant can clearly demonstrate that it was late due to EPA mishandling or because of technical problems associated with Grants.gov or relevant SAM.gov system issues. An applicant’s failure to timely submit their proposal through Grants.gov because they did not timely or properly register in SAM.gov or Grants.gov will not be considered an acceptable reason to consider a late submission. Applicants should confirm receipt of their proposal with Ms. Jennifer Shadle as soon as possible after the submission deadline – failure to do so may result in your proposal not being reviewed.
5. Applicants may submit multiple proposals under this announcement but each one must be for a different project. If an applicant submits more than one proposal for the same project EPA will review the first one received and any additional proposals for the same project will be deemed ineligible.

Section IV. Proposal Submission Information

Applicants must explicitly address the following sections as part of their proposal package submittal.

A. Proposal Information

In order to simplify the review process, obtain the maximum degree of comparison, and provide a fair and equitable evaluation of proposals, reviewers will rely on the content of the full proposal for evaluation purposes. Therefore, it is important that all full proposals are complete and substantially comply with the standard format as described in detail in this Section. For completeness of your grant proposal, please refer to the Proposal Submission Checklist (Appendix A). Each proposal must consist of the following components, in addition to the forms listed in Section IV.B. below:

1. Proposal Cover Page (see Appendix B for a sample)

2. A one-page project proposal summary.

3. Proposal Workplan (16 page maximum double spaced)

B. Format of Proposal Workplan

The workplan should be readable in PDF or MS Word and consolidated into a single file and include the information below in paragraphs 1-13. Please note that there is a page limit for the workplan (see below). In addition to addressing the components listed below, applicants should ensure their proposal also addresses the evaluation criteria listed in Section V of this solicitation.

The proposal workplan must be no more than sixteen (16) double-spaced 8.5 x 11 inch pages (a page is one side of paper). The page limit does not include documents specifically excluded from the page limit as noted below. Pages should be consecutively numbered for ease of reading. It is recommended that applicants use a standard 12-point type with 1-inch margins and that applicants format their workplan as described below. Applicants are advised that readability is of paramount importance and should take precedence in selection of an appropriate font for use in the proposal workplan. Additional pages beyond the 16-page limit will not be reviewed. If a single-spaced proposal workplan is submitted, it will only be reviewed up to the equivalent of the 16-page double-spaced page limit for proposal workplans [eight (8) single-spaced pages is
the equivalent of the 16-page double-spaced proposal workplan page limit; any single-spaced pages in excess of 8 will not be reviewed]. Full proposals that do not substantially comply with the criteria above may be deemed ineligible.

1. Introduction for Addressing the Priority Action Items
   a. Situation, Need, and Previous Efforts - Discuss notable gaps in knowledge or capabilities, why the proposed project should be performed, review significant work by yourself or by others in the proposed area of interest (include reference citations).
   b. Objective(s) - State what is to be studied, measured, observed, or developed, and the anticipated results. State hypotheses that the proposed special study is designed to test.
   c. Applications, Benefits, and Importance - Describe how the anticipated results relate to the goals/objectives of the FKNMS Water Quality Protection Program or the Southeast Florida Coral Reef Initiative, the expected benefits, and their utility.

2. Methods and Approach
   d. Description of Major Tasks - Divide the proposed effort into a meaningful set of tasks that must be performed to accomplish the objective(s) and describe each task. State the tasks in the same order as the hypotheses they are designed to test. Experimental design must be described with statistical tests, if applicable, for hypotheses proposed.
   e. Environmental Impact - State and explain any possible impact that your project will have on the environment, including the type and duration of such changes. List in as much detail as possible the number of samples and species needed for your study. Document the need for sampling and objectively discuss potential impacts.
   f. Future Efforts - If there are future efforts that should be performed in order for your project to be meaningful, or of major significance, please describe briefly the type, extent, and timing of those efforts. Is this a multi-year project? If possible, the individual parts (i.e., each year's effort) should stand alone.

3. Project Management
   g. Administration - Describe the administrative responsibilities and authority of the Principal Investigator.
   h. Roles/Assignments and Participation Time - Describe the team composition (including names and affiliations of key individuals) and the assignments of team
members to major tasks. Provide specific estimates of the time (in hours, days, etc., not percent) that each member will work on the project.

4. Support Requirements and Conditions

   i. Cooperation from Other Organizations - If a clearance or permit(s) from any government agency is required for execution of the project please provide the name of the agency, the method of obtaining the clearance or permit, and the time required or state “none”.

   j. Date or Facility Access - If access is required to data or facilities held by another organization, please identify the data or facility, the nature and type of access required, the methods of obtaining such access, and the effect of being denied access or state “none”.

5. Results/Outputs and Deliverables

   Two types of reports are required from principal investigators.

   k. Bi-Annual Reports - The principal investigator shall provide bi-annual progress reports to the Project Officer on March 30th and September 30th of each year. These reports will consist of updates on progress toward work objectives, justification, approach, results to date, any problems encountered, actions taken to resolve problems, discussion of remaining tasks, and expenditures to date.

   l. Final Report - Principal investigators shall prepare a draft final report summarizing the objectives, methods, approach, results, and significance of the study. The draft final report will be reviewed by the Project Officer and returned with comments. The principal investigator will address the comments and submit the final report with revisions. The final report will be due within six months of the completion of the project.

   m. Deliverable Items and Schedule - Describe what items of data are to be delivered. State the format in which data will be presented. Provide a schedule for all deliverables.

6. Environmental Results – Outputs, Outcomes, and Results

   n. Outputs (project products) - List the outputs expected to be produced through the completion of the proposed project; Outcomes (project objectives) - List the outcomes of the project to be accomplished as a result of the project outputs; Link to EPA Strategic Plan - Describe how project products (outputs) and objectives (outcomes) support EPA’s 2014-2018 Strategic Plan, Goal: “Protecting America’s Waters” and National Water Program Guidance Strategies to Protect and
0. Tracking Outputs and Outcomes - Describe your approach for measuring and tracking your progress toward achieving the expected project output(s) and project outcome(s). Environmental Results-Applicants will be evaluated based on their plan and approach for measuring their progress towards achieving the expected project outcomes and outputs including those identified in Section I. B. 2. within this announcement.

7. Literature Cited

References used in the proposal narrative.

8. Budget Summary

This section of the workplan is a detailed description of the proposed budget and must include a detailed discussion of how EPA funds will be used. Applicants must itemize costs related to personnel, fringe benefits, travel, equipment, supplies, contractual costs, other direct costs, indirect costs, and total costs within this section of the scope.

Appendix C provides information on the individual cost categories. Provide a detailed budget narrative describing funding for personnel, fringe benefits, travel expenses, equipment, supplies, contractual if applicable and other expenses.

9. Biographies and Qualifications

Provide a brief biography for each team member that highlights education, experience, and publications related to the proposed project. Curriculum vitae must not exceed three pages each.

10. Programmatic Capability and Past Performance

Submit a list of federally funded assistance agreements (assistance agreements include Federal grants and cooperative agreements but not Federal contracts) similar in size, scope and relevance to the proposed project that your organization performed within the last five years (no more than 5 agreements, and preferably EPA agreements) and describe (i) whether, and how, you were able to successfully complete and manage those agreements and (ii) your history of meeting the reporting requirements under those agreements including whether you adequately and timely reported on your progress towards achieving the expected outputs and outcomes of those agreements (and if not, explain why not) and whether you submitted acceptable final technical reports under the agreements. In evaluating applicants under these factors in Section V, EPA will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information.
from other sources, including information from EPA files and from current/prior grantors (e.g., to verify and/or supplement the information provided by the applicant). If you do not have any relevant or available past performance or past reporting information, please indicate this in the proposal and you will receive a neutral score for these factors (a neutral score is half of the total points available in a subset of possible points). If you do not provide any response for these items, you may receive a score of 0 for these factors.

In addition, provide information on your organizational experience and plan for timely successfully achieving the objectives of the proposed project, and your staff expertise/qualifications, staff knowledge, and resources or the ability to obtain them, to successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project.

11. Voluntary cost share/match and other leveraged funds (See Section III.B also)

Demonstrate how you will leverage additional funds/resources beyond the grant funds awarded to support the proposed project activities and how these funds/resources will be used to contribute to the performance and success of the proposed project. This includes but is not limited to funds and other resources leveraged from businesses, labor organizations, non-profit organizations, education and training providers, and/or Federal, state, tribal, and local governments, as appropriate. Describe the amount and type of leveraged resources to be provided, how you will obtain the leveraged resources, the likelihood the leveraging will materialize during grant performance, the strength of the leveraging commitment, and the role the leveraged resources will play to support the proposed project activities. Selected applicants are expected to abide by their proposed leveraging commitments during grant performance and the failure to do so may affect the legitimacy of the award.

12. Partnerships (voluntary, not required) for extra points:

Explain how this project meets the mutual interests of each partner(s), how their involvement addresses the common goals of South FL Project Action Items, how partnering with these entities will achieve the goals of this project, and whether partners are contributing monetary and/or in-kind for the project. Letters of support will also meet the partnership requirement; however, the letters must address the mutual interests and involvement in the project.

13. Appendices (3 pages maximum are recommended; not included on the workplan 16 page limit)

Short appendices, not to exceed three total pages, may be used to provide technical backup material to the text, details of computation, and other pertinent information. Techniques or methodologies, if critical to the successful completion of the research, should be discussed in detail within the proposal text (twelve-page limit). Do not attach copies of any journal articles or other proposals to your submittal.
NOTE: Proposals that do not follow the required format may be deemed ineligible and not reviewed

C. Requirement to Submit Through Grants.gov and Limited Exception Procedures

Applicants, except as noted below, must apply electronically through Grants.gov under this funding opportunity based on the grants.gov instructions in this announcement. If an applicant does not have the technical capability to apply electronically through grants.gov because of limited or no internet access which prevents them from being able to upload the required application materials to Grants.gov, the applicant must contact OGDWaivers@epa.gov or the address listed below in writing (e.g., by hard copy, email) at least 15 calendar days prior to the submission deadline under this announcement to request approval to submit their application materials through an alternate method.

Mailing Address:
OGD Waivers
c/o Barbara Perkins
USEPA Headquarters
William Jefferson Clinton Building
1200 Pennsylvanian Ave., N. W.
Mail Code: 3903R
Washington, DC 20460

Courier Address:
OGD Waivers
c/o Barbara Perkins
Ronald Reagan Building
1300 Pennsylvanian Ave., N.W.
Rm # 51267
Washington, DC 20004

In the request, the applicant must include the following information:
Funding Opportunity Number (FON)
Organization Name and DUNS
Organization’s Contact Information (email address and phone number)
Explanation of how they lack the technical capability to apply electronically through Grants.gov because of 1) limited internet access or 2) no internet access which prevents them from being able to upload the required application materials through Grants.gov.

EPA will only consider alternate submission exception requests based on the two reasons stated above and will timely respond to the request -- all other requests will be denied. If an alternate submission method is approved, the applicant will receive documentation of this approval and further instructions on how to apply under this announcement.

Applicants will be required to submit the documentation of approval with any initial application submitted under the alternative method. In addition, any submittal through an alternative method must comply with all applicable requirements and deadlines in the
announcement including the submission deadline and requirements regarding proposal content and page limits (although the documentation of approval of an alternate submission method will not count against any page limits).

If an exception is granted, it is valid for submissions to EPA for the remainder of the entire calendar year in which the exception was approved and can be used to justify alternative submission methods for application submissions made through December 31 of the calendar year in which the exception was approved (e.g., if the exception was approved on March 1, 2017, it is valid for any competitive or non-competitive application submission to EPA through December 31, 2017). Applicants need only request an exception once in a calendar year and all exceptions will expire on December 31 of that calendar year. Applicants must request a new exception from required electronic submission through Grants.gov for submissions for any succeeding calendar year. For example, if there is a competitive opportunity issued on December 1, 2016 with a submission deadline of January 15, 2017, the applicant would need a new exception to submit through alternative methods beginning January 1, 2017.

Please note that the process described in this section is only for requesting alternate submission methods. All other inquiries about this announcement must be directed to the Agency Contact listed in Section VII of the announcement. Queries or requests submitted to the email address identified above for any reason other than to request an alternate submission method will not be acknowledged or answered.

D. Submission Instructions

The electronic submission of your application must be made by an official representative of your institution who is registered with Grants.gov and is authorized to sign applications for Federal assistance. For more information on the registration requirements that must be completed in order to submit an application through grants.gov, go to Grants.gov and click on “Applicants” on the top of the page and then go to the “Get Registered” link on the page. If your organization is not currently registered with Grants.gov, please encourage your office to designate an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and ask that individual to begin the registration process as soon as possible. Please note that the registration process also requires that your organization have a DUNS number and a current registration with the System for Award Management (SAM) and the process of obtaining both could take a month or more. Applicants must ensure that all registration requirements are met in order to apply for this opportunity through grants.gov and should ensure that all such requirements have been met well in advance of the submission deadline. Registration on grants.gov, SAM.gov, and DUNS number assignment is FREE.

Applicants need to ensure that the AOR who submits the application through Grants.gov and whose DUNS number is listed on the application is an AOR for the applicant listed on the application. Additionally, the DUNS number listed on the application must be
registered to the applicant organization’s SAM account. If not, the application may be deemed ineligible.

To begin the application process under this grant announcement, go to Grants.gov and click on “Applicants” on the top of the page and then “Apply for Grants” from the dropdown menu and then follow the instructions accordingly. Please note: To apply through grants.gov, you must use Adobe Reader software and download the compatible Adobe Reader version. For more information about Adobe Reader, to verify compatibility, or to download the free software, please visit Adobe Reader Compatibility Information on Grants.gov.

You may also be able to access the application package for this announcement by searching for the opportunity on Grants.gov. Go to Grants.gov and then click on “Search Grants” at the top of the page and enter the Funding Opportunity Number, EPA-R4-SFL-2017-01, or the CFDA number that applies to the announcement (CFDA 66.436), in the appropriate field and click the Search button. Alternatively, you may be able to access the application package by clicking on the Package button at the top right of the synopsis page for the announcement on Grants.gov. To find the synopsis page, go to Grants.gov and click “Browse Agencies” in the middle of the page and then go to “Environmental Protection Agency” to find the EPA funding opportunities.

Please note that Grants.gov is strongly encouraging users to sign up for and use their “Workspace” feature when applying for opportunities. Grants.gov will be phasing out the “legacy” application process, so EPA recommends that all applicants begin using Workspace as soon as possible so they are prepared when the “legacy” application process is no longer available.

Application Submission Deadline: Your organization’s AOR must submit your complete application package electronically to EPA through Grants.gov no later than 11:59 p.m. ET on July 25, 2017. Please allow for enough time to successfully submit your application process and allow for unexpected errors that may require you to resubmit.

Please submit all of the application materials described below using the grants.gov application package that you downloaded using the instructions above. For additional instructions on completing and submitting the electronic application package, click on the “Show Instructions” tab that is accessible within the application package itself.

Application Materials

The following forms and documents are required under this announcement:

Mandatory Documents:

1. Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
2. Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A)
3. Assurances for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B)
4. Grants.gov Lobbying Form
5. EPA Key Contacts Form 5700-54
6. EPA Form 4700-4 – Pre-award Compliance Review Report
7. Narrative Proposal (Project Narrative Attachment Form)-prepared as described in Section IV A and B of the announcement

Optional Documents:
8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL), if applicable
9. Other Attachments, if applicable

Applications submitted through grants.gov will be time and date stamped electronically. If you have not received a confirmation of receipt from EPA (not from grants.gov) within 30 days of the application deadline, please contact Steve Blackburn, at (404) 562-9397 or blackburn.steven@epa.gov or Jennifer Shadle at shadle.jennifer@epa.gov. Failure to do so may result in your application not being reviewed.

E. Technical Issues with Submission

1. Once the application package has been completed, the “Submit” button should be enabled. If the “Submit” button is not active, please call Grants.gov for assistance at 1-800-518-4726. Applicants who are outside the U.S. at the time of submittal and are not able to access the toll-free number may reach a Grants.gov representative by calling 606-545-5035. Applicants should save the completed application package with two different file names before providing it to the AOR to avoid having to re-create the package should submission problems be experienced or a revised application needs to be submitted.

2. Submitting the application. The application package must be transferred to Grants.gov by an AOR. The AOR should close all other software before attempting to submit the application package. Click the “submit” button of the application package. Your Internet browser will launch and a sign-in page will appear. Note: Minor problems are not uncommon with transfers to Grants.gov. It is essential to allow sufficient time to ensure that your application is submitted to Grants.gov BEFORE the due date identified in Section IV of the solicitation. The Grants.gov support desk operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week, except Federal Holidays.

A successful transfer will end with an on-screen acknowledgement. For documentation purposes, print or screen capture this acknowledgement. If a submission problem occurs, reboot the computer – turning the power off may be necessary – and re-attempt the submission. Note: Grants.gov issues a “case number” upon a request for assistance.
3. Transmission Difficulties. If transmission difficulties that result in a late transmission, no transmission, or rejection of the transmitted application are experienced, and following the above instructions do not resolve the problem so that the application is submitted to Grants.gov by the deadline date and time, follow the guidance below. The Agency will make a decision concerning acceptance of each late submission on a case-by-case basis. All emails, as described below, are to be sent to blackburn.steven@epa.gov or shadle.jennifer@epa.gov with the FON in the subject line. If you are unable to email, contact Steven Blackburn at (404) 562-9397. Be aware that EPA will only consider accepting applications that were unable to transmit due to Grants.gov or relevant www.Sam.gov system issues or for unforeseen exigent circumstances, such as extreme weather interfering with internet access. Failure of an applicant to submit timely because they did not properly or timely register in SAM.gov or Grants.gov is not an acceptable reason to justify acceptance of a late submittal.

a. If you are experiencing problems resulting in an inability to upload the application to Grants.gov, it is essential to call Grants.gov for assistance at 1-800-518-4726 before the application deadline. Applicants who are outside the U.S. at the time of submittal and are not able to access the toll-free number may reach a Grants.gov representative by calling 606-545-5035. Be sure to obtain a case number from Grants.gov. If the problems stem from unforeseen exigent circumstances unrelated to Grants.gov, such as extreme weather interfering with internet access, contact Steve Blackburn at (404) 562-9436.

b. Unsuccessful transfer of the application package: If a successful transfer of the application cannot be accomplished even with assistance from Grants.gov due to electronic submission system issues or unforeseen exigent circumstances, and you have already attempted to resolve the issue by contacting Grants.gov, send an email message to shadle.jennifer@epa.gov prior to the application deadline. The email message must document the problem and include the Grants.gov case number as well as the entire application in PDF format as an attachment.

c. Grants.gov rejection of the application package: If a notification is received from Grants.gov stating that the application has been rejected for reasons other than late submittal and it is too late to reapply, promptly send an email to shadle.jennifer@epa.gov with the FON in the subject line within one business day of the closing date of this solicitation. The email should include any materials provided by Grants.gov and attach the entire application in PDF format.

Please note that successful submission through Grants.gov or via email does not necessarily mean your application is eligible for award.
F. Proposal Submission Deadline:

Your organization’s AOR must submit your complete application package electronically to EPA through Grants.gov no later than 11:59pm EST on July 25, 2017. Please allow for enough time to successfully submit your application process and allow for unexpected errors that may require you to resubmit. Please submit all of the application materials described below using the www.grants.gov application package that you downloaded using the instructions above. For additional instructions on completing and submitting the electronic application package, click on the “Show Instructions” tab that is accessible within the application package itself.

G. Additional Provisions for Applicants Incorporated into The Solicitation:

Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation, including but not limited to those related to confidential business information, contracts and subawards under grants, and proposal assistance and communications, can be found at EPA Solicitation Clauses. These, and the other provisions that can be found at the website link, are important, and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

Section V. Proposal Evaluation and Review Information

A. Merit Evaluation

Only eligible entities whose proposals meet the threshold criteria in Section III of this announcement will be reviewed according to the evaluation criteria set forth in Section V.B. below. All eligible proposals will be evaluated by reviewers using the following criteria to receive a score up to a total of 115 points:

B. Proposals will be evaluated by reviewers using the following criteria:

1. Timely Expenditure of Grant Funds - Expenditure of Awarded Grant Funds - Under this criterion, applicants will be evaluated based on their approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner. (5 points)

2. Rationale - how well the proposed project addresses one or more of the Priority Action Items listed in Section I (20 points).

3. Scientific Merit - How well the project advances the state of science and knowledge within the South Florida marine ecosystems to assist the management decision making process. Components of the scientific merit review includes: background of
the project, statement of the purpose, significance of the study, research question and design, methodology, project assumptions/limitations and anticipated findings (20 points).

4. Feasibility - ability of the project to be successfully completed within the time frames discussed in this announcement (10 points).

5. Environmental Results: Outputs, Outcomes and Tracking – proposals will be evaluated based on how well the proposal demonstrates the following: (5 points each, total of 15 points).
   a. Outputs (products) expected to be achieved by this project.
   b. Outcomes (objectives) expected to be achieved by this project.
   c. That their proposed project’s objectives/outcomes are related to both the EPA’s 2014-2018 Strategic Plan, Goal: “Protecting America’s Waters” and the FY17 National Water Program Guidance Strategies to “Protect and Restore the Health of Communities and Large Aquatic - South Florida Ecosystem”.

6. Relevance to Resource Management - degree to which the proposal’s approach to addressing one of the Priority Action Items produces results/outputs that can be applied to identifying and solving problems within the South Florida ecosystem (10 points)

7. Programmatic Capability and Past Performance Criteria
   (15 Points (3.75 per subcriterion))
   Under this criterion, applicants will be evaluated based on their ability to successfully complete and manage the proposed project taking into account the applicant’s:
   a. past performance in successfully completing and managing the assistance agreements identified in the narrative proposal as described in Section IV of this announcement,
   b. history of meeting the reporting requirements under the assistance agreements identified in response to Section IV of the announcement including whether the applicant submitted acceptable final technical reports under those agreements and the extent to which the applicant adequately and timely reported on their progress towards achieving the expected outputs and outcomes under those agreements and if such progress was not being made whether the applicant adequately reported why not,
   c. organizational experience and plan for timely and successfully achieving the objectives of the proposed project, and
   d. staff expertise/qualifications, staff knowledge, and resources or the ability to obtain them, to successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project.
Note: In evaluating applicants under items a. and b. of this criterion, the Agency will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information from other sources including agency files and prior/current grantors (e.g., to verify and/or supplement the information supplied by the applicant). If you do not have any relevant or available past performance or past reporting information, please indicate this in the proposal and you will receive a neutral score for these subfactors (items a and b above-a neutral score is half of the total points available in a subset of possible points). If you do not provide any response for these items, you may receive a score of 0 for these factors.

8. Budget - whether the proposed budget is reasonable and adequate to carry out proposed project (5 points).

9. Leveraging – Under this criterion, applicants will be evaluated based on the extent you demonstrate that you will leverage additional funds/resources beyond the grant funds awarded to support proposed project activities and, how these funds/resources will be used to contribute to the performance and success of the proposed project. This includes but is not limited to funds and other resources leveraged from businesses, labor organizations, non-profit organizations, education and training providers, and/or Federal, state, tribal, and local governments, as appropriate. Applicants will also be evaluated based on the amount and type of leveraged resources to be provided, how you will obtain the leveraged resources, the likelihood the leveraging will materialize during grant performance, the strength of the leveraging commitment, and the role the leveraged funds/resources will play to support the proposed project activities. (5 points)

10. Partnerships – applications will be evaluated based on partnerships with other governmental or non-governmental agencies or groups. This will include the mutual interests of each partner(s), how their involvement addresses the common goals of South FL Priority Action Items, how partnering with these entities will achieve the goals of this project, and whether partners are contributing monetary and/or in-kind for the project. Partnerships can include letters of support. Applicants that do not plan on partnering with other agencies or groups will be evaluated based on the extent to which they demonstrate how they will be able to effectively perform and complete the project without such partnerships. (10 extra points)

B. Review and Selection Process

The eligible proposals will be reviewed by a panel of EPA staff and given a numerical score. The panel will provide a final numerical score to the EPA South Florida Coordinator and management based on the cumulative average of all the individual panel members’ scores per proposal. The South Florida Coordinator will provide recommendations, based on cumulative scores and funding available, for the EPA Water Protection Division Management for approval.
Final funding decisions will be made by the Water Protection Division Director/Approving Official based on the rankings and recommendations of the EPA evaluation teams’ scores and ranking. In making the final selections, the Approving Official may also consider programmatic priorities. It is intended that federal assistance agreements will be awarded during the fourth quarter of FY 2017 with project and budget periods to begin on the first day of FY 2018 (October 1, 2017) or as soon as possible thereafter.

C. Additional Provisions Incorporated by Reference

Additional Provisions For Applicants Incorporated Into The Solicitation:
Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation including the clause on Reporting and Use of Information Concerning Recipient Integrity and Performance can be found on the EPA Solicitation Clauses page. These, and the other provisions that can be found at the website link, are important, and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

Section VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notice

If a proposal is selected for funding, EPA anticipates notification to successful applicants will be made via electronic mail or letter no later than July 25, 2017. The notification will be sent to the original signer of the proposal or the project contact listed in the proposal. This notification, which informs the applicant that its proposal has been selected and is being recommended for award, is not an authorization to begin work. The official notification of an award will be made by Region 4 Grants Management Office through an official Award Document.

Applicants are cautioned that only a grants officer is authorized to bind the Government to the expenditure of funds; selection does not guarantee an award will be made. For example, statutory authorization, funding or other issues discovered during the award process may affect the ability of EPA to make an award to an applicant. The award document, signed by an EPA grants officer, is the authorized document and will be provided through electronic format to the principal contact. The successful applicant may need to prepare and submit additional documents and forms (e.g. workplan), which must be approved by EPA, before the grant can officially be awarded. The time between notification of selection and award of a grant can take up to 90 days or longer.

EPA anticipates notification to unsuccessful applicant(s) will be made via letter and sent to the original signer of the grant application.
B. Disputes

Assistance agreement competition-related disputes will be resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution procedures published in 70 FR (Federal Register) 3629, 3630 (January 26, 2005) which can be found at Dispute Resolution Procedures. Copies of these procedures may also be requested by contacting the person listed in Section VII of the announcement.

C. Administrative and National Policy Requirement

Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs, may be applicable to awards resulting from this announcement. Applicants selected for funding may be required to provide a copy of their application to their Point of Contact (POC) for review, pursuant to Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs. This review is not required with the Initial Application and not all applicants require such a review. A listing of POCs may be viewed at: www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants/spoc.html.

D. Additional Provisions for Applicants Incorporated into The Solicitation:

Additional provisions that apply to this solicitation and/or awards made under this solicitation, including but not limited to those related to DUNS, SAM, copyrights, disputes, and administrative capability, can be found at EPA Solicitation Clauses. These, and the other provisions that can be found at the website link, are important, and applicants must review them when preparing proposals for this solicitation. If you are unable to access these provisions electronically at the website above, please communicate with the EPA contact listed in this solicitation to obtain the provisions.

E. Reporting Requirements

Bi-annual reporting will be required for special studies. The federal assistance agreement recipient shall provide quarterly reports to the EPA project officer. The reports shall consist of updates on progress toward work objectives, justification, approach, results to date, any problems encountered, actions taken to resolve problems, discussion of remaining tasks, and expenditures to date. Bi-annual reports will be due March 30th and September 30th of each year during the project period.

Recipients of federal assistance agreements will be required to submit a draft final report that summarizes the objectives, methods, approach, results, and significance of each project or study. The draft final report will be reviewed by the EPA project officer and returned with comments. The recipient of the federal assistance agreement will address the comments and submit a final report to the EPA project officer with revisions. The final report will be due within 90 days of the project period end date.
F. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Recipients of federal assistance agreements will be required to develop and submit a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) to the EPA project officer. Approval of the QAPP is required before work can begin or any data can be collected. Through the plan, the recipient explicitly commits to incorporating procedures that will reduce and maintain random and systematic errors within specified tolerable limits. In addition, the recipient of a federal assistance agreement will document quality control procedures and evaluate the quality of the data being produced. Plans should include or refer to a description of safety, training and equipment maintenance. Data quality objectives will be developed to ensure the utility of data for the applications. The QAPP will be prepared according to the format prescribed in “EPA requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Data Operations, EPA OA/R-5”. The recipient should develop the QAPP in close coordination with the EPA Region 4 QA/QC Officer and the EPA project officer. If requested, EPA will provide a QAPP on file with Region 4 to assist recipients with preparation of their QAPPs.

G. Data Management

Under cooperative agreements with EPA Region 4 and NOAA/FKNMS, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FWRI) manage a data management plan and data management system for the monitoring and special studies programs associated with the FKNMS. Each recipient of a federal assistance agreement for monitoring and special studies projects will work with the designated data management entity to define data entry conventions and issues. All original and ancillary data produced under the monitoring and special studies programs will be generated, processed, stored and archived in a manner that provides detailed documentation of the procedures used during all stages of data collection, reduction, processing, analysis, and storage.

H. Publications

Recipients of federal assistance agreements for special studies are expected to submit manuscripts on the funded projects to appropriate scientific journals within one year of the completion of the final report. Recipients of federal assistance agreements for monitoring projects are expected to submit manuscripts to appropriate scientific journals at an appropriate time during and/or after the completion of the project. The appropriate time for submission of manuscripts will be negotiated with the EPA project officer. Authors are expected to cite support from the specific sponsor of their project or study in all publications resulting wholly or partially from sponsored activities. For example, an appropriate acknowledgment would be as follows:

“This project/study was funded by a federal assistance agreement from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to assistance Number EPA-R4-SFL-2017-01.
Reprints of any abstract, article or other publication that result from this sponsored project/study should be sent to the EPA project officer.

I. Subaward and Executive Compensation Reporting

Applicants must ensure that they have the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the sub-award and executive total compensation reporting requirements established under OMB guidance at 2 CFR Part 170, unless they qualify for an exception from the requirements, should they be selected for funding.

J. Unliquidated Obligations

An applicant that receives an award under this announcement is expected to manage assistance agreement funds efficiently and effectively and make sufficient progress towards completing the project activities described in the work-plan in a timely manner. The assistance agreement will include terms/conditions implementing this requirement.

K. Animal Welfare:


* This clause applies if a research facility (defined as any school (except elementary or secondary), institution, organization or person) receives funds under a grant from a federal agency for the purpose of carrying out research, tests, or experiments involving animals.

L. Nonprofit Administrative Capability Clause

Non-profit applicants that are recommended for funding under this announcement are subject to pre-award administrative capability reviews consistent with Section 8b, 8c and 9d of EPA Order 5700.8 - Policy on Assessing Capabilities of Non-Profit Applicants for Managing Assistance Awards. In addition, non-profit applicants that qualify for funding may, depending on the size of the award, be required to fill out and submit to the Grants Management Office the Administrative Capabilities Form with supporting documents contained in Appendix A of EPA Order 5700.8.

M. Public Relations

Official press releases on the special studies projects may be prepared by EPA Region 4 and/or FKNMS staff to be used by all recipients of federal assistance agreements for distribution to the news media. Principal investigators are not prohibited from discussing their projects with news media; however, principal investigators should notify their EPA
project officer of any contacts with the news media regarding special studies projects funded via federal assistance agreements.

N. Confidentiality Statement

Per the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2017, Public Law 115-31, and prior applicable appropriation acts, awards made under this announcement are subject to the provisions contained in Division E, Title VII, Section 743. This provision prohibits EPA from awarding funds made available by the act (and applicable prior acts) to an entity that requires employees or contractors of such entity seeking to report fraud, waste, or abuse to sign internal confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting such employees or contractors from lawfully reporting such waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

O. Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern:

Projects awarded under this solicitation that involve life sciences research as defined below are subject to the requirements of the United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern (iDURC Policy), provided that the recipient is an institution within the United States that receives funding through this agreement, or from any other source, to conduct or sponsor research involving any of the agents or toxins identified in Section 6.2.1 of the iDURC Policy. If the recipient is an institution outside the United States that receives funding through this agreement to conduct or sponsor research involving any of those same agents or toxins, it will also be subject to requirements of the iDURC Policy. The iDURC Policy is available for reference.

“Life Sciences Research,” for purposes of this clause, and based on the definition of research in 40 CFR §26.102(d), is a systematic investigation designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge involving living organisms (e.g., microbes, human beings, animals, and plants) and their products. EPA does not consider the following activities to be research: routine product testing, quality control, mapping, collection of general-purpose statistics, routine monitoring and evaluation of an operational program, observational studies, and the training of scientific and technical personnel. [Note: This is consistent with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-11.]

P. EPA Financial Assistance Conflict of Interest Policy:

The Applicant’s Conflict of Interest (COI) Point of Contact as defined in EPA’s Conflict of Interest Policy must notify the EPA contact identified in Section VII of this solicitation of any actual or potential conflict of interest that they are aware of that may provide the Applicant with an unfair competitive advantage in competing for EPA financial
assistance awards within 10 calendar days of becoming aware of the conflict of interest. Examples of an unfair competitive advantage include but are not limited to situations in which an EPA employee reviewed and commented on or drafted all or part of an applicant’s proposal. Note that EPA does not generally consider receiving information from an EPA employee limited to whether the applicant or the applicant’s proposed project is eligible to compete for funding to confer an unfair competitive advantage. In addition, assistance agreements made under this solicitation will include a term and condition notifying recipients of their COI disclosure obligations and responsibilities under the award including the need to have systems in place to address, resolve and disclose COIs to EPA.

Q. Mandatory Disclosures:

As required by 2 CFR § 200.113, non-federal entities or applicants for a Federal award must disclose, in a timely manner, in writing to the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR § 200.338 including suspension and debarment.

R. Copyrights:

In accordance with 2 CFR 200.315, EPA reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable right to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use, and to authorize others to use, for Federal Government purposes, copyrighted works developed under a grant, subgrant or contract under a grant or subgrant. Examples of federal purpose include but are not limited to: (1) Use by EPA and other federal employees for official Government purposes; (2) Use by federal contractors performing specific tasks for the Government; (3) Publication in EPA documents provided the document does not disclose trade secrets (e.g. software codes) and the work is properly attributed to the recipient through citation or otherwise; (4) Reproduction of documents for inclusion in federal depositories; (5) Use by state, tribal and local governments that carry out delegated federal environmental programs as “co-regulators” or act as official partners with EPA to carry out a national environmental program within their jurisdiction; and (6) Limited use by other grantees to carry out federal grants provided the use is consistent with the terms of EPA’s authorization to the grantee to use the copyrighted material.

S. Exchange Network

The Environmental Information Exchange Network (Exchange Network, Network or EN) is a partner-inspired, developed, implemented and governed information network. It facilitates environmental data sharing among EPA, states, tribes and territories. The EN uses a standards-based approach that allows partners to exchange data over the Internet regardless of the specific information technology used. This replaces the need to perform complex and expensive data transformations to move data between systems or to share data on paper, discs or other media or via email. Partners share electronic data more
easily and at a lower cost, and environmental decision makers are able to use the data to make timely decisions. States, tribes and territories exchanging data with each other or with EPA, should make the Exchange Network and the agency's connection to it, the Central Data Exchange (CDX), the standard way they exchange data and should phase out any legacy methods they have been using. More information available on the 
Exchange Network.

T. Website References in Solicitations

Any non-federal websites or website links included in this solicitation are provided for proposal preparation and/or informational purposes only. U.S. EPA does not endorse any of these entities or their services. In addition, EPA does not guarantee that any linked, external websites referenced in this solicitation comply with Section 508 (Accessibility Requirements) of the Rehabilitation Act.

Section VII. Agency Contact

If you have any questions concerning this announcement of opportunity for federal funding and proposals, please contact Ms. Jennifer Shadle, email at shadle.jennifer@epa.gov or Mr. Steven Blackburn at (404) 562-9397, email at blackburn.steven@epa.gov.
APPENDIX A
PROPOSAL SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Please note that this is to be used at the applicant’s convenience in preparing their application. It is not required to be submitted.

Respondent:________________________________________________________________

_____ 1. Proposal Checklist

_____ 2. Proposal Cover Page along with one-page summary

_____ 3. Proposal Workplan (16 page double spaced maximum), with all required elements as outlined in Section IV.

Mandatory Documents:

1. Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
2. Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A)
3. Assurances for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B)
4. Grants.gov Lobbying Form
5. EPA Key Contacts Form 5700-54
6. EPA Form 4700-4 – Pre-award Compliance Review Report
7. Narrative Proposal (Project Narrative Attachment Form)-prepared as described in Section IV A and B of the announcement

Optional Documents:

8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL), if applicable
9. Other Attachments, if applicable
APPENDIX B
PROPOSAL COVER PAGE SAMPLE

A proposal submitted for projects in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary or Southeast Florida:

Project Title:

Principal Investigator(s):

Date Submitted: _______________________ Proposed Start Date: ______________

We, the undersigned, certify that, in the event this proposal is accepted whole or in part, our signatures on this proposal constitute intended acceptance of and compliance with applicable policy, rules, and regulations of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ENDORSEMENTS:
Submitted by: Approved by:
Principal Investigator Institutional Representative

___________________________________   ____________________________
Signature                                            Signature

___________________________________   ______________________________
Typed Name                                                    Typed Name

__________________________________    ______________________________
Title                                                    Title

__________________________________    ______________________________
Address                                                                        Address

__________________________________    ______________________________
_____________________________                      _____________________________
Phone                            Fax                                         Phone                       Fax

___________________________________    ______________________________
E-mail             E- mail
For Administrative Detail, Please Contact:

Name: ___________________________________________

Address: _________________________________________

_________________________________________________

Phone                                      Fax         E-mail
APPENDIX C
BUDGET SUMMARY INFORMATION

Budget Summary

This section of the workplan is a detailed description of the budget found in the SF-424A, and must include a detailed discussion of how EPA funds will be used. Applicants must itemize costs related to personnel, fringe benefits, travel, equipment, supplies, contractual costs, other direct costs, indirect costs, and total costs.

If the project budget includes cost-share, then the Budget Summary within the narrative proposal must include a detailed description of how the applicant will obtain the cost-share and how the cost-share funding will be used. If EPA accepts an offer for a voluntary cost-share, applicants must meet their sharing commitment as a legal condition of receiving EPA funding. If the proposed cost-share is to be provided by a third-party, a letter of commitment is required. Any form of cost-share included in the Budget Detail must also be included on the SF424 and SF-424A forms. Please see Section III. B. of this RFP for more detailed information on cost-share.

Applicant should use the following instructions and budget object class descriptions to complete the Budget Summary section of the workplan.

**Personnel** – This category includes only direct costs for salaries. Give annual salary, percentage of time assigned to the project, and the total costs for the budget period. This category includes only direct costs for the salaries of those individuals who will perform work directly for the project (generally, paid employees of the applicant organization). If the applicant organization is including staff time (in-kind services) as a cost share, this should be included as Personnel costs. Personnel costs do not include: (1) costs for services of consultants, contractors, consortia members, or other partner organizations, which are included in the “Contractual” category; (2) costs for employees of subrecipients under subawards, which are included in the “Other” category; or (3) effect that is not directly in support of the proposed project, which may be covered by the organization’s negotiated indirect cost rate. The budget detail must identify the personnel category type by Full Time Equivalent (FTE), including percentage of FTE for part-time employees, number of personnel proposed for each category, and the estimated funding amounts.

**Fringe Benefits** – Identify the percentage used, the basis for its computation, and the types of benefits included. Fringe benefits are allowances and services provided by employees to their employers as compensation in addition to regular salaries and wages. Fringe benefits include, but are not limited to the cost of leave, employee insurance, pensions and unemployment benefit plans.

**Travel** – Specify the mileage, per diem, estimated number of trips in-State and out-of-State, number of travelers, and other costs for each type of travel. Travel may be integral to the
purpose of the proposed project (e.g. inspections) or related to the proposed project activities (e.g. attendance at meetings). Travel costs do not include: (1) costs for travel of consultants, contractors, consortia members, or other partner organizations, which are included in the “Contractual” category; (2) travel costs for employees of subrecipients under subawards, which are included in the “Other” category.

**Equipment** – Identify each item to be purchased which has an estimated acquisition cost of $5,000 or more per unit and a useful life of more than one year. Equipment also includes accessories necessary to make the equipment operational. Equipment does not include: (1) equipment planned to be leased/rented including lease/purchase agreement; or (2) equipment service or maintenance contracts. These types of proposed costs should be included in the “Other” category. Items with a unit cost of less than $5,000 should be categorized as supplies, pursuant to 2 CFR 200. The budget detail must include an itemized listing of all equipment proposed under the project.

**Supplies** – Tangible personal property other than “equipment.” The budget detail should identify categories of supplies to be procured (e.g. laboratory supplies or office supplies). Non-tangible goods and services associated with supplies, such as printing service, photocopy services, and rental costs should be included in the “Other” category.

**Contractual** – Identify each proposed contract and specify its purpose and estimated costs. Contractual/consultant services are those services to be carried out by an individual or organization, other than the applicant, in the form of a procurement relationship. Leased or rented goods (equipment or supplies) should be included in the “Other” category. The applicant should list the proposed contract activities along with a brief description of the scope of work or services to be provided, proposed duration, and proposed procurement method (competitive or non-competitive), if known.

**Other** – This category should include only those types of direct costs that do not fit in any of the other budget categories. List each item in sufficient detail for EPA to determine the reasonableness and allowability of its cost. Examples of costs that may be in this category are: insurance, rental/lease of equipment or supplies, equipment service or maintenance contracts, printing or photocopying, rebates, and subaward costs. Subawards (e.g. subgrants) area a distinct type of cost under this category. The term “subaward” means an award of financial assistance (money or property) by any legal agreement made by the recipient to an eligible subrecipient. This term does not include procurement purchases, technical assistance in the form of services instead of money, or other assistance in the form or revenue sharing, loans, loan guarantees, interest subsidies, insurance, or direct appropriations. Subcontracts are not subawards and belong in the contractual category. Applicants must provide the aggregate amount they propose to issue as subaward work and a description of the types of activities to be supported.

**Indirect Charges** – If indirect charges are budgeted, indicate the approved rate and base. Indirect costs are those incurred by the grantee for a common or joint purpose that benefit more
than one cost objective or project, and are not readily assignable to specific cost objectives or projects as a direct cost. In order for indirect costs to be allowable, the applicant must have a federal or state negotiated indirect cost rate (e.g. fixed, predetermined, final or provisional), or must have submitted a proposal to the cognizant Federal or State agency. Examples of Indirect Cost Rate calculations are shown below:

- Personnel (Indirect Rate x Personnel = Indirect Costs)
- Personnel and Fringe Benefits (Indirect Rate x Personnel & Fringe = Indirect Costs)
- Total Direct Costs (Indirect Rate x Total Direct Costs = Indirect Costs)
- Direct Costs minus distorting or other factors such as contracts and equipment (Indirect Rate x (total direct – distorting factors) = Indirect Costs

**Note:** When formulating budgets for proposals, applicants must not include management fees or similar charges in excess of the direct costs and indirect costs at the rate approved by the applicant’s cognizant Federal audit agency, or at the rate provided for by the terms of this agreement negotiated with EPA. The term “management fees or similar charges” refers to expenses added to the direct costs in order to accumulate and reserve funds for ongoing business expenses, unforeseen liabilities, or for other similar costs that are not allowable under EPA assistance agreements. Management fees or similar charges cannot be used to improve or expand the project funded under this agreement, except to the extent authorized as a direct cost or carrying out the workplan.