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Vapor Recovery Units: Agenda

- Methane losses
- Methane savings
- Is recovery profitable?
- Industry experience
- Discussion questions
Tank Operations

As the oil resides in the tanks, it gives off vapors, thereby increasing the pressure inside the tank.
Sources of Methane Losses from Tanks

- A storage tank battery can vent 5 to 500 thousand cubic feet (Mcf) of natural gas and light hydrocarbon vapors to the atmosphere each day.
  - Vapor losses are primarily a function of oil or condensate throughput, gravity, and gas-oil separator pressure.

- Flash losses
  - Occur when crude oil or condensate is transferred from a gas-oil separator at higher pressure to a storage tank at atmospheric pressure.

- Working losses
  - Occur when crude or condensate levels change.

- Standing losses
  - Occur with daily and seasonal temperature and barometric pressure changes.

Why Let Money Escape into the Air?

Besides being an environmental hazard, escaping vapors result in the loss of a major revenue source for the oil company. Hundreds of oil companies have added significant money to their bottom line by capturing this valuable gas stream.
Methane Savings: Vapor Recovery

- Vapor recovery can capture up to 95% of hydrocarbon vapors from tanks
- Recovered vapors have higher heat content than pipeline quality natural gas
- Recovered vapors are more valuable than natural gas and have multiple uses
  - Re-inject into sales pipeline
  - Use as on-site fuel
  - Send to processing plants for recovering valuable natural gas liquids
Types of Vapor Recovery Units

- Conventional vapor recovery units (VRUs)
  - Use specially designed packages configured to capture low pressure, wet gas streams with no oxygen ingress
  - Use rotary screw or rotary vane compressor for wet gas
  - Scroll compressors are new to this market & also work well
  - Require electrical power or engine driver

- Venturi ejector vapor recovery units (EVRU™) or vapor jet
  - Use Venturi jet ejectors in place of rotary compressors
  - Contain no moving parts
  - EVRU™ requires a source of high pressure motive gas and intermediate pressure discharge system
  - Vapor jet requires high pressure motive water
Conventional VRU

Source: Evans & Nelson (1968)

Rotary Vane VRUs

Rock Springs, Wyoming
Rotary vane VRU installation
Used in VRU service for 50+ years
Photos Courtesy of Hy-bon Engineering
Rotary Screw VRUs

Eni installed vapor recovery systems in their Dacion East and West facilities in Venezuela, each designed to move 1.4 MMcf/day of gas at pressures to 230 psig.

Eni Oil & Gas Dacion Field,
Venezuela; 2004
Rotary screws used in VRU service for 15+ years

Project Overview – Eni Dacion (Venezuela)

- Rotary screw vapor recovery units were installed to capture up to 1.4 MMcf/day per site
- White paper was written shortly after installation on the economic success of the project; denoting economic payback of less than 12 months
- A highly valuable 70 API gravity condensate was recovered from the gas stream and used to blend with the primary low API gravity oil production – at an approximate daily rate of 100 to 150 barrels of condensate per unit.
Scroll VRUs

- Scroll compressors first used in VRUs in 2004
- Over 70 now installed in U.S. in VRU service
- Devon case study using scrolls in Gas STAR website

---

Venturi Jet Ejector*

- Pressure Indicator
- Temperature Indicator
- High-Pressure Motive Gas (~850 psig)
- Flow Safety Valve
- Discharge Gas (~40 psia)
- Suction Pressure (-0.05 to 0 psig)
- Low-Pressure Vent Gas from Tanks (0.10 to 0.30 psig)

*EVRU™ Patented by COMM Engineering
Adapted from SRI/USEPA-GHG-VR-19
psig = pound per square inch, gauge
psia = pounds per square inch, absolute
Vapor Recovery with Ejector
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Vapor Jet System*

*Patented by Hy-Bon Engineering
Vapor Jet System*

*Patented by Hy-Bon Engineering

- Utilizes produced water in closed loop system to effect gas gathering from tanks
- Small centrifugal pump forces water into Venturi jet, creating vacuum effect
- Limited to gas volumes of 77 Mcf/day and discharge pressure of 40 psig

Criteria for Vapor Recovery

Unit Locations

- Steady source and sufficient quantity of losses
  - Crude oil stock tank
  - Flash tank, heater/treater, water skimmer vents
  - Gas pneumatic controllers and pumps
  - Dehydrator still vent
  - Pig trap vent

- Outlet for recovered gas
  - Access to low pressure gas pipeline, compressor suction, or on-site fuel system
Quantify Volume of Losses

- Estimate losses from chart based on oil characteristics, pressure, and temperature at each location (± 50%)
- Estimate emissions using the E&P Tank Model (± 20%)
- Engineering Equations – Vasquez Beggs (± 20%)
- Measure losses using recording manometer, turbine meter or ultrasonic meter over several cycles (± 5%)
  - This is the best approach for facility design

Estimated Volume of Tank Vapors

![Graph showing estimated volume of tank vapors](image.png)

- Vapor Vented from Tanks, Cubic foot / barrel
- Gas/Oil Ratio
- Pressure of Vessel Dumping to Tank (psig)

API Gravities

- Under 30° API
- 30° API to 40° API
- 40° API and Over

°API = API gravity
What is the Recovered Gas Worth?

- Value depends on heat content of gas
- Value depends on how gas is used
  - On-site fuel
    - Valued in terms of fuel that is replaced
  - Natural gas pipeline
    - Measured by the higher price for rich (higher heat content) gas
  - Gas processing plant
    - Measured by value of natural gas liquids and methane, which can be separated
- Gross revenue per year = (Q x P x 365) + NGL
  - Q = Rate of vapor recovery (MMBtu per day)
  - P = Price of natural gas ($/MMBtu)
  - NGL = Value of natural gas liquids
Value of Natural Gas Liquids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Btu/gallon</th>
<th>MMBtu/gallon</th>
<th>$/gallon</th>
<th>$/MMBtu</th>
<th>Btu/cf</th>
<th>MMBtu/Mcf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>59.755</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>74.010</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>91.740</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butane</td>
<td>103.787</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso-Butane</td>
<td>100.176</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanes+</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 – Natural gas price assumed at $7/Mcf
2 – Prices of Individual NGL components are from Platts Oilgram for Mont Belvieu, TX February 17, 2009

Is Recovery Profitable?

Financial Analysis for a conventional VRU project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Mcf/day)</th>
<th>Installation and Capital Costs</th>
<th>Operating and Maintenance</th>
<th>Value of Gas²</th>
<th>Payback</th>
<th>Internal Rate of Return (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>35,738</td>
<td>7,367</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>46,073</td>
<td>8,419</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>152%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>55,524</td>
<td>10,103</td>
<td>158,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>266%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>74,425</td>
<td>11,787</td>
<td>317,000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>410%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>103,959</td>
<td>16,839</td>
<td>793,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>747%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 - All costs and revenues are represented in U.S. economics
2 - Unit cost plus estimated installation at 75% of unit cost
3 - $9.15/Mcf x 1/2 capacity x 365 x 95%
Mcf = thousand cubic feet
Industry Experience: Anadarko

Vapor Recover Tower (VRT)

- Add separation vessel between heater treater or low pressure separator and storage tanks that operates at or near atmospheric pressure
  - Operating pressure range: 1 – 5 psig
- Compressor (VRU) is used to capture gas from VRT
- Oil/Condensate gravity flows from VRT to storage tanks
  - VRT insulates the VRU from gas surges with stock tank level changes
  - VRT more tolerant to higher and lower pressures
  - Stable pressure allows better operating factor for VRU

VRT/VRU Photos

Courtesy of Anadarko
Industry Experience: Anadarko

- VRT reduces pressure drop from approximately 50 psi to 1 – 5 psi
  - Reduces flashing losses
  - Captures more product for sales
  - Anadarko netted between U.S.$7 to U.S.$8 million from 1993 to 1999 by utilizing VRT/VRU configuration

- Equipment Capital Cost: $11,000 (VRT cost only)
- Standard size VRTs available based on oil production rate
  - 20” x 35’
  - 48” x 35’
- Anadarko has installed over 300 VRT/VRUs since 1993 and continues on an as needed basis

Industry Experience: ConocoPhillips

- Vapor recovery units installed in Baker, MT
- Anticipated multiple sites, so detailed technical review of options, was conducted
- Volumes per site ranged from 30 Mcf/day to 300 Mcf/day
- Pipeline pressure ranged from 20 to 40 psig
- Captures vapors from
  - Crude oil storage tanks
  - Produced water tanks
  - All manifolded together in closed loop system
  - Gas blanket system used to backfill tanks
Industry Experience: ConocoPhillips

- Evaluated rotary screw, rotary vane, vapor jet and EVRU™
- Selected rotary vane VRU’s due to wide range of volumes of gas and low discharge pressure across the sites
- Pilot project on 3 locations, then added 6 additional sites
- Designed for optimum gas capture
  - Pressure transmitter on the tanks
  - Sloping lines to the VRU
  - Package specifically designed for vapor recovery service
  - Automated liquid handling and bypass systems
Baker, MT ConocoPhillips VRU installation; Picture Courtesy of Hy-bon Engineering
Industry Experience: ConocoPhillips

- Payback economics – project for 9 tank batteries
  - Purchase price for 9 VRUs $475,000
  - Estimate install cost $237,500
  - Total capital costs $712,500

- Approx Gas Revenue
  - 1,050 Mcf/day x $6/Mcf x 30 days = $189,000/ month
  - Payback on capital investment < 4 months
  - Installed in 2005 & early 2006 – all locations continue to generate incremental revenue and meet environmental compliance goals today
Lessons Learned

- Vapor recovery can yield generous returns when there are market outlets for recovered gas
  - Recovered high heat content gas has extra value
  - Vapor recovery technology can be highly cost-effective in most general applications
  - Venturi jet models work well in certain niche applications, with reduced operating and maintenance costs

- Potential for reduced compliance costs can be considered when evaluating economics of VRU, EVRU™, or Vapor Jet

Lessons Learned (continued)

- VRU should be sized for maximum volume expected from storage tanks (rule-of-thumb is to double daily average volume)
- Rotary vane, screw or scroll type compressors recommended for VRUs where Venturi ejector jet designs are not applicable
- EVRU™ recommended where there is a high pressure gas compressor with excess capacity
- Vapor Jet recommended where there is produced water, less than 75 Mcf/day gas and discharge pressures below 40 psig.
Discussion

- Industry experience applying these technologies and practices
- Limitations on application of these technologies and practices
- Actual costs and benefits
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