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Key Issues for Small Producers: Agenda

- What technologies work for your production?
- What are Natural Gas STAR Partners implementing?
- What economic barriers prevent you from implementing technologies and practices?
- Additional best management practices:
  - Pneumatic devices
  - Directed inspection and maintenance (DI&M)
Production in the Appalachians

- Conventional production
  - Describe what type of gas your company produces
  - What equipment is in operation at a typical wellhead?

- Unconventional production
  - Are you producing gas from any unconventional sources?
  - Coalbed methane?
  - Are you hydraulic fracturing reservoirs?
  - What are some of the differences between conventional and unconventional production?
    - Low pressure compressors?
    - Dehydration?

Where are your opportunities for emissions reductions?

- Common methane emission sources:
  - Wellhead venting
  - Pneumatic devices
  - Dehydrator vents
  - Storage tank vents
  - Compressor fugitives
  - Gathering pipeline fugitives

- Where should you focus your efforts?
What are Natural Gas STAR Partners implementing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Technologies and Practices</th>
<th>Number of Gas STAR Partners Reporting this Mitigation Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Install vapor recovery units (VRUs)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install flash tank separators on glycol dehydrators</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and replace high-bleed pneumatic devices</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform reduced emissions completions</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial lift: install plunger lifts</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convert to instrument air systems</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M: survey and repair leaks</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convert gas-driven chemical pumps to electric, mechanical, or solar pumps</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Install condensers on glycol dehydrators</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route casinghead gas to VRU or compressor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace gas starters with air</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce glycol circulation rates in dehydrators</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidate crude oil and water storage tanks</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What economic barriers prevent you from implementing technologies and practices?

- Wellhead gas price, price outlook?
- What payback criteria do you use to evaluate project feasibility?
- Have you looked into possible carbon credit projects?
Additional barriers to implementation

- What other barriers may prevent you from implementing emission reduction technologies and practices?
  - Man-power
  - Engaging management
  - Lack of information

Pneumatic Devices

Source: EnCana
What is the Problem?

- Pneumatic devices are major source of methane emissions from the natural gas industry
- Pneumatic devices used throughout the natural gas industry
  - Over 400,000 in production sector
  - About 13,000 in processing sector
  - Over 85,000 in transmission sector

1 - Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990 - 2004

Location of Pneumatic Devices at Production Sites

SOV = Shut-off Valve (Unit Isolation)
LC = Level Control (Separator, Contactor, Flash Tank Separator, TEG Regenerator)
TC = Temperature Control (Regenerator Fuel Gas)
FC = Flow Control (TEG Circulation, Compressor Bypass)
PC = Pressure Control (FTS Pressure, Compressor Suction/Discharge)
Methane Emissions

- As part of normal operations, pneumatic devices release natural gas to atmosphere
- High-bleed devices bleed in excess of 6 cf/hour
  - Equates to >50 Mcf/year
  - Typical high-bleed pneumatic devices bleed an average of 140 Mcf/year
- Actual bleed rate is largely dependent on device’s design

Pneumatic Device Schematic
How Can Methane Emissions be Recovered?

- Option 1: Replace high-bleed devices with low-bleed devices
- Option 2: Retrofit controller with bleed reduction kits
  - Field experience shows that up to 80% of all high-bleed devices can be replaced or retrofitted with low-bleed equipment
- Option 3: Maintenance aimed at reducing losses

Economics of Replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation¹</th>
<th>Replace at End of Life</th>
<th>Early Replacements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost ($)</td>
<td>Level Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 – 250²</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Gas Savings (Mcf)</td>
<td>50 – 200</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Value of Saved Gas ($)³</td>
<td>350 – 1400</td>
<td>1162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR (%)</td>
<td>138 – 933</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback (months)</td>
<td>2 – 9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ - All data based on partners’ experiences. See Lessons Learned for more information
² - Range of incremental costs of low-bleed over high bleed equipment
³ - Gas price is assumed to be $7/Mcf
Directed Inspection and Maintenance

What is the Problem?

- Methane gas leaks are invisible, unregulated, and go unnoticed

- Natural Gas STAR Partners find that valves, connectors, compressor seals, and open-ended lines (OELs) are major methane fugitive emission sources
  - In 2006, 3.59 Bcf of methane was emitted as fugitives by reciprocating compressor related components alone
  - Production and processing fugitive methane emissions depend on operating practices, equipment age, and maintenance
Sources of Methane Emissions

What is Directed Inspection and Maintenance?

- Directed Inspection and Maintenance (DI&M)
  - Cost-effective practice, by definition
  - Find and fix significant leaks
  - Choice of leak detection technologies
  - Strictly tailored to company’s needs
- DI&M is NOT the regulated volatile organic compound leak detection and repair (VOC LDAR) program

Source: Targa Resources
How Do You Implement DI&M?

CONDUCT baseline survey

SCREEN and MEASURE leaks

FIX on the spot leaks

ESTIMATE repair cost, fix to a payback criteria

DEVELOP a plan for future DI&M

[RECORD savings/REPORT to Natural Gas STAR]

How Do You Implement DI&M?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument/ Technique</th>
<th>Effectiveness</th>
<th>Approximate Capital Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soap Solution</td>
<td>★ ★</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Gas Detector</td>
<td>★</td>
<td>$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic Detector/ Ultrasound Detector</td>
<td>★ ★</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVA (Flame Ionization Detector)</td>
<td>★</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrated Bagging</td>
<td>★</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Volume Sampler</td>
<td>★ ★ ★</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotameter</td>
<td>★ ★</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared Leak Detection</td>
<td>★ ★ ★</td>
<td>$$$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EPA’s Lessons Learned

* - Least effective at screening/measurement  $ - Smallest capital cost
*** - Most effective at screening/measurement  $$$ - Largest capital cost
Infrared Methane Leak Detection

- Video recording of fugitive leaks detected by various infrared devices

Partner Experience - EnCana

- DI&M implemented as part of EnCana’s energy efficiency initiative in all US production and midstream facilities in 2007
- Surveyed components in 1,860 production sites and 35 compressor stations using FLIR camera and Hi Flow Sampler
- Identified leaking rates as high as 17 Mcf/day/station
- Annual methane emissions reduction of 358,000 Mcf/year
- Annual savings: $2,506,000/year (at $7/Mcf)

Source: EnCana