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Reduction Opportunities: Agenda

- Industry Emissions
- Selected Methane Saving Opportunities
  - Vapor Recovery Units
  - Pipeline Pigging
- Discussion
Industry Emissions: Production, Gathering, and Boosting


Note: Natural Gas STAR reductions from gathering and boosting operations are reflected in the production sector.
Vapor Recovery Units

- Methane Losses
- Methane Savings
- Is Recovery Profitable?
- Industry Experience
- Lessons Learned
Sources of Methane Losses

- A storage tank battery can vent 5,000 to 500,000 thousand cubic feet (Mcf) of natural gas and light hydrocarbon vapors to the atmosphere each year
  - Vapor losses are primarily a function of oil or condensate throughput, gravity, and gas-oil separator pressure
- Flash losses
  - Occur when crude oil or condensate is transferred from a gas-oil separator at higher pressure to a storage tank at atmospheric pressure
- Working losses
  - Occur when crude or condensate levels change and when liquid in tank is agitated
- Standing losses
  - Occur with daily and seasonal temperature and barometric pressure changes
Methane Savings: Vapor Recovery

- Vapor recovery can capture up to 95% of hydrocarbon vapors from tanks.
- Recovered vapors have higher heat content than pipeline quality natural gas.
- Recovered vapors are more valuable than natural gas and have multiple uses:
  - Re-inject into sales pipeline
  - Use as on-site fuel
  - Send to processing plants for recovering valuable natural gas liquids
Types of Vapor Recovery Units

- Conventional vapor recovery units (VRUs)
  - Use screw or vane compressor to suck vapors out of atmospheric pressure storage tanks
  - Scroll compressors are new to this market
  - Require electrical power or engine driver

- Venturi ejector vapor recovery units (EVRU™) or Vapor Jet
  - Use Venturi jet ejectors in place of rotary compressors
  - Contain no moving parts
  - EVRU™ requires a source of high pressure motive gas and intermediate pressure discharge system
  - Vapor Jet requires a high pressure water motive
Conventional Vapor Recovery Unit

Source: Evans & Nelson (1968)
Vapor Recovery Installations
Venturi Jet Ejector*

High-Pressure Motive Gas (~850 psig)

Flow Safety Valve

Suction Pressure (-0.05 to 0 psig)

Low-Pressure Vent Gas from Tanks (0.10 to 0.30 psig)

Discharge Gas (~40 psia)

Pressure Indicator

Temperature Indicator

*EVRU™ Patented by COMM Engineering

Adapted from SRI/USEPA-GHG-VR-19

psig = pound per square inch, gauge

psia = pounds per square inch, absolute
Vapor Recovery with Ejector

5,000 Mcf/day Gas
5,000 barrels/day Oil

5,000 Mcf/day Gas
5,000 barrels/day Oil

LP Separator

Compressor

6,200 Mcf/day

Gas to Sales
@ 1000 psig

281 Mcf/day
Net Recovery

900 Mcf/day

Ratio Motive / Vent = 3
= 900/300

300 Mcf/day Gas

40 psig

Ejector

(19 Mcf/day incremental
fuel)

900 Mcf/day

Oil & Gas Stock
Tank

Mcf = Thousand cubic feet

Oil to Sales
Vapor Jet System*

*Patented by Hy-Bon Engineering
Vapor Jet System*

- Utilizes produced water in closed loop system to effect gas gathering from tanks
- Small centrifugal pump forces water into Venturi jet, creating vacuum effect
- Limited to gas volumes of 77 Mcf/day and discharge pressure of 40 psig

*Patented by Hy-Bon Engineering
Criteria for Vapor Recovery Unit Locations

- Steady source and sufficient quantity of losses
  - Crude oil stock tank
  - Flash tank, heater/treater, water skimmer vents
  - Gas pneumatic controllers and pumps
  - Dehydrator still vent
  - Pig trap vent

- Outlet for recovered gas
  - Access to low pressure gas pipeline, compressor suction, or on-site fuel system

- Tank batteries not subject to air regulations
Quantify Volume of Losses

- Estimate losses from chart based on oil characteristics, pressure, and temperature at each location (± 50%)
- Estimate emissions using the E&P Tank Model (± 20%)
- Engineering Equations – Vasquez Beggs (± 20%)
- Measure losses using recording manometer and well tester or ultrasonic meter over several cycles (± 5%)
  - This is the best approach for facility design
Estimated Volume of Tank Vapors

Vapor Vented from Tanks, cubic foot / barrel
Gas/Oil Ratio

Pressure of Vessel Dumping to Tank (Psig)

API Gravities

- Under 30° API
- 30° API to 39° API
- 40° API and Over

°API = API gravity
Estimated Volume of Tank Vapors

Atmospheric tanks may emit large amounts of tank vapors at relatively low separator pressure.

Vasquez-Beggs Equation

\[
GOR = A \times (G_{\text{flash gas}}) \times (P_{\text{sep}} + 14.7)^B \times \exp\left(\frac{C \times G_{\text{oil}}}{T_{\text{sep}} + 460}\right)
\]

where,

- GOR = Ratio of flash gas production to standard stock tank barrels of oil produced, in scf/bbl oil (barrels of oil corrected to 60°F)
- \(G_{\text{flash gas}}\) = Specific gravity of the tank flash gas, where air = 1. A suggested default value for \(G_{\text{flash gas}}\) is 1.22 (TNRCC; Vasquez, 1980)
- \(G_{\text{oil}}\) = API gravity of stock tank oil at 60°F
- \(P_{\text{sep}}\) = Pressure in separator, in psig
- \(T_{\text{sep}}\) = Temperature in separator, °F

For \(G_{\text{oil}} \leq 30°\text{API}\):

- \(A = 0.0362;\ B = 1.0937;\ \text{and}\ C = 25.724\)

For \(G_{\text{oil}} > 30°\text{API}\):

- \(A = 0.0178;\ B = 1.187;\ \text{and}\ C = 23.931\)

psig – pounds per square inch, gauge
scf – standard cubic feet
bbl – barrels
What is the Recovered Gas Worth?

- Value depends on heat content of gas
- Value depends on how gas is used
  - On-site fuel
    - Valued in terms of fuel that is replaced
  - Natural gas pipeline
    - Measured by the higher price for rich (higher heat content) gas
  - Gas processing plant
    - Measured by value of natural gas liquids and methane, which can be separated

Gross revenue per year = (Q x P x 365) + NGL
- Q = Rate of vapor recovery (Mcf per day)
- P = Price of natural gas
- NGL = Value of natural gas liquids
# Value of Natural Gas Liquids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Btu/gallon</td>
<td>MMBtu/gallon</td>
<td>$/gallon</td>
<td>$/MMBtu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>59,755</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>74,010</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>21.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>91,740</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>22.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n Butane</td>
<td>103,787</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>24.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso Butane</td>
<td>100,176</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanes+*</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Btu/cf</td>
<td>MMBtu/Mcf</td>
<td>$/Mcf (=4*6)</td>
<td>$/MMBtu</td>
<td>Vapor Composition</td>
<td>Mixture (MMBtu/Mcf)</td>
<td>Value ($/Mcf) (=8*10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>1,012</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>$7.22</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>$5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>$38.18</td>
<td>21.57</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>$3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>2,524</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>$55.71</td>
<td>22.11</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n Butane</td>
<td>3,271</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>$81.09</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>$2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso Butane</td>
<td>3,261</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>$80.90</td>
<td>24.90</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>$0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentanes+*</td>
<td>4,380</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>$122.64</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>$2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$16.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 – Natural Gas Price assumed at $7.15/MMBtu as on Mar 16, 2006 at Henry Hub
2 – Prices of Individual NGL components are from Platts Oilgram for Mont Belvieu, TX July 11, 2008
## Cost of a Conventional VRU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacity (Mcf/day)</th>
<th>Compressor Horsepower</th>
<th>Capital Costs ($)</th>
<th>Installation Costs ($)</th>
<th>O&amp;M Costs ($/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5 to 10</td>
<td>20,421</td>
<td>10,207 to 20,421</td>
<td>7,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10 to 15</td>
<td>26,327</td>
<td>13,164 to 26,327</td>
<td>8,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>15 to 25</td>
<td>31,728</td>
<td>15,864 to 31,728</td>
<td>10,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>30 to 50</td>
<td>42,529</td>
<td>21,264 to 42,529</td>
<td>11,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>60 to 80</td>
<td>59,405</td>
<td>29,703 to 59,405</td>
<td>16,839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost information provided by United States Natural Gas STAR companies and VRU manufacturers, 2006 basis.
Is Recovery Profitable?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak Capacity (Mcf/day)</th>
<th>Installation &amp; Capital Costs¹ ($)</th>
<th>O&amp;M Costs ($/year)</th>
<th>Value of Gas² ($/year)</th>
<th>Annual Savings ($)</th>
<th>Simple Payback (months)</th>
<th>Internal Rate of Return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$35,738</td>
<td>$7,367</td>
<td>$77,106</td>
<td>$69,739</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>121%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$46,073</td>
<td>$8,419</td>
<td>$154,213</td>
<td>$145,794</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>204%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$55,524</td>
<td>$10,103</td>
<td>$308,425</td>
<td>$298,322</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>352%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>$74,425</td>
<td>$11,787</td>
<td>$616,850</td>
<td>$605,063</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>537%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>$103,959</td>
<td>$16,839</td>
<td>$1,542,125</td>
<td>$1,525,286</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>974%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 – Unit cost plus estimated installation of 75% of unit cost
2 – $16.90 x ½ peak capacity x 365, Assumed price includes Btu enriched gas (1.289 MMBtu/Mcf)
Industry Experience: EnCana Oil & Gas

- Vapor recovery unit installed in Frenchie Draw, WY
- Captures vapors from
  - Separators
  - Crude oil storage tank
  - Non-condensable dehydrator still gas
- VRU designed to handle 500 Mcf/day
  - Additional capacity over the estimated 284 Mcf/day of total gas from all emission sources
Industry Experience: EnCana Oil & Gas

Quantify the volume of vapor emissions

Total Emissions- 284 MSCFD

Source: EnCana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc.
# EnCana Oil & Gas: Project Costs

## Determine the cost of VRU project

### Installation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRU Unit (500 Mcfd)</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent Header</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$400,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### O & M

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRU Unit (500 Mcfd)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$73,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$106,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EnCana Oil & Gas: Project Economics

Evaluate VRU economics

- Capacity: 500 Mcfd
- Installation Cost: $400,000
- O&M: $106,000/year
- Value of Gas*: $788,400/year
- Payback: 7 months
- Return on Investment: 170%

*Gas price assumed to be $7.60 by Encana
Industry Experience: Anadarko

- Vapor Recover Tower (VRT)
  - Add separation vessel between heater treater or low pressure separator and storage tanks that operates at or near atmospheric pressure
    - Operating pressure range: 1 psi to 5 psi
  - Compressor (VRU) is used to capture gas from VRT
  - Oil/Condensate gravity flows from VRT to storage tanks
    - VRT insulates the VRU from gas surges with stock tank level changes
    - VRT more tolerant to higher and lower pressures
    - Stable pressure allows better operating factor for VRU
Industry Experience: Anadarko

VRTX reduces pressure drop from approximately 50 psig to 1-5 psig
- Reduces flashing losses
- Captures more product for sales
- Anadarko netted between $7 to $8 million from 1993 to 1999 by utilizing VRT/VRU configuration

Equipment Capital Cost: $11,000

Standard size VRTs available based on oil production rate
- 20” x 35’
- 48” x 35’

Anadarko has installed over 300 VRT/VRUs since 1993 and continues on an as needed basis
VRT/VRU Photos

Courtesy of Anadarko
Lessons Learned

- Vapor recovery can yield generous returns when there are market outlets for recovered gas
  - Recovered high heat content gas has extra value
  - Vapor recovery technology can be highly cost-effective in most general applications
  - Venturi jet models work well in certain niche applications, with reduced operating and maintenance costs
- Potential for reduced compliance costs can be considered when evaluating economics of VRU, EVRU™, or Vapor Jet
Lessons Learned (continued)

- VRU should be sized for maximum volume expected from storage tanks (rule-of-thumb is to double daily average volume)
- Rotary vane, screw or scroll type compressors recommended for VRUs where Venturi ejector jet designs are not applicable
- EVRU™ recommended where there is a high pressure gas compressor with excess capacity
- Vapor Jet recommended where there is produced water, less than 75 Mcf per day gas and discharge pressures below 40 psig
Methane Losses from Pipeline Pigging

- Gas lost when launching and receiving a pig
- Fugitive emissions from pig launcher/receiver valves
- Gas lost from storage tanks receiving condensate removed by pigging
- Gas vented from pipeline blowdowns
Pigging Pipelines

- Hydrocarbons and water condense inside pipelines, causing pressure drop and reducing gas flow
- Periodic line pigging removes liquids and debris to improve gas flow
  - Also inspect pipeline integrity
- Efficient pigging:
  - Keeps pipeline running continuously
  - Keeps pipeline near maximum throughput by removing debris
  - Minimizes product losses during launch/capture

Source: www.girardind.com/
How Does Pigging Vent Methane?

- Pig launchers have isolation valves for loading pigs, pressurizing pigs, and launching pigs with gas bypassed from the pipeline.
- Launcher pressuring/depressuring loses methane out the vent valve.

Source: www.girardind.com/
Pigging Vents Methane *Twice*

- Methane lost through vent valve on the launcher and again through vent valve on the receiver
  - Once receiver is isolated from the line, it must be depressured to remove the pig
  - Liquids ahead of the pig drain to a vessel or tank

- *MORE than twice*: isolation valve leaks may cause excessive venting to depressure

Source: www.girardind.com/
Methane Recovery

- Pipeline maintenance requires pipe section blowdown before work can begin.
- Gas in pipeline is usually vented to the atmosphere.
- Use inert gas and pig.
- Route vent to vapor recovery system or fuel gas.
  - One Partner reported connecting pig receiver vent to fuel gas to recover gas while working a tight isolation.
Is Recovery Profitable?

- One partner pigged gathering lines 30 to 40 times per year, collecting several thousand barrels of condensate per application.
- Partner reported saving 21,400 Mcf/year from recovering flash gases.
- Dedicated vapor recovery unit (VRU) was installed with an electric compressor at an installation cost of $24,000 and an annual operating cost of $40,000 mostly for electricity.
- Large gas savings and increasing gas prices will offset costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Price ($/Mcf)</th>
<th>$7.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas Saved (Mcf/year)</td>
<td>21,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Savings ($/year)</td>
<td>$149,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installed Cost</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Cost</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback Period (years)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Industry experience applying these technologies and practices

Limitations on application of these technologies and practices

Actual costs and benefits