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Processor Opportunities: Agenda

- Industry Emissions
- Processing Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Selected Methane Saving Opportunities
  - Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment
  - Composite Wrap
  - Leak Inspection & Maintenance
- Discussion Questions
Natural Gas and Petroleum Industry Emissions

- Processing plants responsible for 36 Bcf of methane emissions annually, and gathering/booster stations contribute >22 Bcf

Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990 - 2002

Reducing Emissions, Increasing Efficiency, Maximizing Profits
Best Management Practices

☆ BMP 1: Convert Gas Pneumatic Controls to Instrument Air
  ◆ Gas pneumatic controls bleed methane to the atmosphere

☆ BMP 2: Install Flash Tank Separators in Glycol Dehydrators
  ◆ Glycol regeneration vents methane

☆ BMP 3: DI&M at Gas Processing Plants and Booster Stations
  ◆ Equipment leaks cause methane emissions
BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

★ **Partner**
- Identified and practiced by Gas STAR partners - your peers

★ **Reported**
- Submitted to EPA in partners’ Annual Reports

★ **Opportunities**
- Peer-identified, cost-effective practices and technologies to reduce methane emissions
Processor BMPs

🌟 86% of the processing sector reductions came from PROs

- **BMP 1: Pneumatics** 14%
- **BMP 2: Flash Tank Separators** 0%
- **BMP 3: DI&M** < 1%
- **Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment** 30%
- **Storage Related** 10%
- **Pipe Leak Related** 7%
- **Compressor Related** 1%
- **Dehydrator Related** 1%
- **Others** 37%

Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment 30%
PRO Fact Sheets

- Additional valuable information
  - Facilitate technology transfer
  - One page
  - Easy to review

- 29 PROs apply to Processing sector
  - 17 focused on operating practices
  - 12 focused on technologies

- PRO Fact Sheets are derived Annual Reports 1994-2003
  - Total 63 posted PRO Fact Sheets at epa.gov/gasstar/pro/index.htm
Overview of PROs

Sample of Processing PROs

- Begin DI&M at Remote Facilities
- Convert Engine Starting to Nitrogen
- Convert Pneumatics To Mechanical Controls
- Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment and/or Systems
- Install Electric Starters
- Pipe Glycol Dehydrator to VRU
- Recycle Line Recovers Gas During Condensate Loading
- Replace Ignition – Reduce False Starts
- Use Inert Gases & Pigs to Perform Pipeline Purges
- Use of Composite Wrap Repair
Operating Practice PROs

- Eliminate unnecessary equipment and/or systems
- Rerouting of glycol skimmer gas
- Pipe glycol dehydrator to vapor recovery unit
- Inspect and repair compressor station blowdown valves
- Begin DI&M at remote facilities
Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment and/or Systems

★ What is the problem?
- As operating parameters change over time, partners have found that certain pieces of equipment initially crucial to operations have become superfluous.

★ Partner solution
- Take unnecessary equipment out of service.

★ Methane savings
- Based on removal of 10 separators and 3 glycol dehydrators.

★ Applicability
- Applies to all facilities that are operating well below design levels.

Methane Savings
- 5 to 130,000 Mcf/yr

Project Economics
- Project Cost: < $1,000
- Annual O&M Costs: < $100
- Payback: < 1 yr
Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment and/or Systems

- ExxonMobil
  - Replaced a 930 horsepower (Hp) compressor with 465 Hp at its Fresh Water Bayou facility in southern Vermilion Parish, Louisiana
    - Total project cost = $30,000
    - Emissions reductions = 1,556 Mcf/yr
    - Value Savings: $3/Mcf x 1556 Mcf = $4,668/yr
  - Took two satellite tanks out of service and began pumping directly to the tank battery
    - Total project cost = $120,000
    - Emissions reductions = 15,735 Mcf/yr
    - Value Savings: $3/Mcf x 15,735 Mcf = $47,205/yr
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Technology PROs

- Use of composite wrap repair
- Install pressurized storage of condensate
- Use ultrasound to identify leaks
- Recycle line recovers gas during condensate loading
- Convert gas-driven chemical pumps to instrument air
Use of Composite Wrap Repair

☆ What is the problem?
- Pipeline is shutdown and vented to cut and weld pipe segment in damaged areas

☆ Partner solution
- Use composite wrap, which consists of a filler material, a thin composite wrap and a special adhesive

☆ Methane savings
- Based on repair frequencies between 2 - 65 times per year

☆ Applicability
- Suitable for non-leaking defects on straight sections with up to 80% wall loss and no internal corrosion

Methane Savings
- 5,400 Mcf/yr

Project Economics
- Project Cost: > $10,000
- Annual O&M Costs: < $100
- Payback: Immediate
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Use of Composite Wrap Repair

★ Repairing non-leaking pipeline damage with composite wrap sleeves, such as Clock Spring®
  ◆ Eliminates venting emissions
  ◆ Inexpensive
  ◆ Can repair while operating

★ Non-leaking pipeline defects
  ◆ Corrosion
  ◆ Dents
  ◆ Gouges

Source: Clock Spring® Company L. P.
New PROs

- Broad dissemination of PROs is key to program success and effective peer-based technology transfer
  - Zero Emission Dehydrators
  - Recover Gas from Pipeline Pigging Operations
  - Nitrogen Rejection Unit Optimization
DI&M at Gas Processing Plants and Booster Stations

★ Gas leaks are **invisible, unregulated** and *go unnoticed*

★ Gas STAR Partners find that valves, connectors, compressor seals and open-ended lines (OELs) are major sources

◆ **24 Bcf of methane lost from processing plant fugitive emissions each year**

★ Fugitive methane emissions depend on operating practices, equipment age and maintenance
Sources of Emissions
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Distribution of Losses by Category

Leaking Components 53.1%
Combustion Equipment 9.9%
Amine Vents 0.5%
Vents and Flares 24.4%
Non-leaking Components 0.1%
NRU Vents 0.3%
Storage Tanks 11.8%

Source: Clearstone Engineering, 2002
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Distribution of Leaks by Component

- Control Valves: 4.0%
- Open-Ended Lines: 11.1%
- Pressure Relief Valves: 3.5%
- Orifice Meters: 0.1%
- Other Flow Meters: 0.2%
- Compressor Seals: 23.4%
- Crankcase Vents: 4.2%
- Pump Seals: 1.9%
- Pressure Regulators: 0.4%
- Valves: 26.0%
- Blowdowns: 0.8%
- Connectors: 24.4%

Source: Clearstone Engineering, 2002
Partner Experience

Success #1

- A leaking cylinder head was tightened, which reduced methane emissions from almost 64,000 Mcf/yr to 3,300 Mcf/yr. The repair required 9 man-hours of labor, and the annualized gas savings were approximately 60,700 Mscf/yr. The estimated value of the gas saved was $182,100/yr.

Success #2

- A one-inch pressure relief valve emitted almost 36,774 Mcf/yr. Five man-hours of labor and $125 of materials eliminated the leak. The annualized value of the gas saved was more than $110,300.

Gas values based on $3/Mcf
Success #3

- A blowdown valve leaked almost 14,500 Mcf/yr. Rather than replace the expensive valve, the Partner spent just $720 on labor and materials to reduce the emissions to approximately 100 Mscf/yr. The gas saved was approximately 14,400 Mcf/yr, worth $43,200.

Success #4

- A tube fitting leaked 4,121 Mcf/yr. A very quick repair requiring only five minutes reduced the leak rate to 10 Mcf/yr. The annualized value of the gas saved was approximately $12,300.
DI&M by Leak Imaging

★ Real-time visual image of gas leaks
  ◆ Quicker identification & repair of leaks
  ◆ Screen hundreds of components an hour
  ◆ Screen inaccessible areas simply by viewing them
  ◆ Aerial surveillance of flow lines
Infrared Gas Imaging Technology

- Active and passive IR technologies
- Shoulder- and/or tripod-mounted
  - Hand-held prototype 2005
- Aerial surveillance applications
- Require battery or power cord
- Most very large leaks (> 3cf/hr) clearly seen
Infrared Gas Imaging

☆ Video recording of fugitive leak found by infrared camera
Discussion Questions

- To what extent are you implementing any of these PROs?

- What are the barriers (technological, economic, lack of information, regulatory, etc.) that are preventing you from implementing any of these technologies?

- How often do you perform DI&M at your processing facility?