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- Processor Sector Emissions
- Processor Sector Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- Top Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)
- Selected PRO Overviews
- Discussion Questions
Natural Gas and Petroleum Industry Emissions

- Processing sector responsible for 36 Bcf of methane emissions annually

- Emissions

- Reductions

- Transmission & Storage: 96 Bcf
- Production: 149 Bcf
- Distribution: 77 Bcf
- Oil Downstream: 2 Bcf
- Processing: 36 Bcf
- Distribution: 77 Bcf
- Transmission & Storage: 96 Bcf
- Oil Downstream: 2 Bcf
- Production: 149 Bcf
- Processing: 36 Bcf

Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990 - 2002
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Processor Best Management Practices

- BMPs: The consensus best practices
  - BMP 1: Convert Gas Pneumatics to Instrument Air Systems
  - BMP 2: Install Flash Tank Separators on Glycol Dehydrators
  - BMP 3: Directed Inspection and Maintenance at Gas Processing Plants and Booster Stations
  - BMP 4: Partner Reported Opportunities
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86% of the processing sector reductions came from PROs.

Processor BMP

- Others: 37%
- Dehydrator Related: 1%
- Compressor Related: 1%
- Pipe Leak Related: 7%
- Storage Related: 10%
- BMP 1: Pneumatics: 14%
- BMP 2: Flash Tank Separators: 0%
- BMP 3: DI&M: < 1%
- Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment: 30%

Reducing Emissions, Increasing Efficiency, Maximizing Profits
BMP 1: Convert Gas Pneumatic Controls to Instrument Air

- What is the problem?
  - Gas pneumatic control bleeds at gathering boosting stations

- BMP
  - Convert natural gas powered pneumatic control systems to instrument air systems

- Methane savings
  - At a gas price of $3/Mcf and savings from reduced emissions at $360 per year per device

Methane Savings
20,000 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>$50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BMP 2: Install Flash Tank Separators in Glycol Dehydrators

- What is the problem?
  - Methane absorbed by glycol in the dehydration system is vented during regeneration

- BMP
  - Install flash tank Separator to capture approx. 90% of the methane from the glycol before regeneration

- Methane savings
  - Based on energy exchange pump circulating 150-450 gallons/hr

Methane Savings
250 - 7,500 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

| Project Cost | $5,000 - $14,000 |
| Payback      | < 1.5 yr         |
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BMP 3: DI&M at Gas Processing Plants and Booster Stations

- What is the problem?
  - Methane emissions from leaking compressors and other equipment components like valves, connectors, seals and OELs

- Partner solution
  - Baseline survey to identify and quantify leaks; subsequent surveys based on previous surveys

- Methane savings
  - Depends on facility size and types of repairs made

Methane Savings

| 45 - 128 MMcf/plant-yr |

Project Economics

| Project Cost | > $10,000 |
| Payback      | < 1.5 year |
BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

- **Partner**
  - Generated by Gas STAR Partners - your peers

- **Reported**
  - Submitted to EPA in Partners’ Annual Reports

- **Opportunities**
  - Peer-identified, cost-effective practices and technologies to reduce methane emissions
PRO Fact Sheets

- Additional valuable information
  - Facilitate technology transfer
  - One page
  - Easy to review

- 27 PROs apply to Processing sector
  - 11 focused on operating practices
  - 16 focused on technologies

- PRO Fact Sheets are derived from Annual Reports 1994-2002
  - Total 57 posted PROs at epa.gov/gasstar/pro/index.htm
Overview of PROs

- Sample of Processing PROs
  - Begin DI&M at Remote Facilities
  - Convert Engine Starting to Nitrogen
  - Convert Pneumatics To Mechanical Controls
  - Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment and/or Systems
  - Install Electric Starters
  - Pipe Glycol Dehydrator to VRU
  - Recycle Line Recovers Gas During Condensate Loading
  - Replace Ignition –Reduce False Starts
  - Use Inert Gases & Pigs to Perform Pipeline Purges
  - Use of Composite Wrap Repair
Operating Practice PROs

- Rerouting of glycol skimmer gas
- Pipe glycol dehydrator to vapor recovery unit
- Inspect and repair compressor station blowdown valves
- Begin DI&M at remote facilities
Rerouting of Glycol Skimmer Gas

- What is the problem?
  - Non-condensable gas from the condensate separator is vented

- Partner solution
  - Reroute the condensate separator gas to reboiler firebox for fuel use

- Methane savings
  - Based on a dehydrator having a gas entrainment rate of 3 cf/gallon of glycol and gas containing 95% methane

- Applicability
  - All dehydrators with vent condensers

Methane Savings

7,600 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost</td>
<td>&lt; $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>$100 - $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Pipe Glycol Dehydrator to Vapor Recovery Unit

- What is the problem?
  - Methane gas from wet glycol used to run gas assist pumps is vented to the atmosphere

- Partner solution
  - Reroute vented gas to Vapor Recovery Unit (VRU)

- Methane savings
  - Based on an electric or energy exchange circulation pump can recover 0.3 to 0.9 Mcf of methane per MMcf of gas processed

- Applicability
  - No limitations when the VRU discharges to a compressor suction

Methane Savings
3,300 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost</td>
<td>$1,000 - $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inspect & Repair Compressor Station Blowdown Valves

- **What is the problem?**
  - Pressure, thermal and mechanical stresses wear blowdown valves making them significant emission sources through inaccessible vent stacks

- **Partner solution**
  - Annually inspect and repair leaking blowdown valves at compressor stations

- **Methane savings**
  - Based on EPA emission factor for transmission compressor station blowdown valves

- **Applicability**
  - Applicable to all sites

---

**Methane Savings**

- 2,000 Mcf/yr

**Project Economics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual O&amp;M Costs</strong></td>
<td>$100 - $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payback</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Begin DI&M at Remote Facilities

- What is the problem?
  - Fluctuations in pressure, temperature and mechanical stresses on pipeline components (such as valves and seals) cause methane leakage

- Partner solution
  - Extend DI&M program to remote facilities

- Methane savings
  - Based on valve stem leak range 1-24 Mcf/yr and open ended blowdown valve average leak of 350 Mcf/yr

- Applicability
  - All gathering/boosting facilities

Methane Savings

362 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>$100 - $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>1-3 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eliminate Unnecessary Equipment and/or Systems

- ExxonMobil
  - Replaced a 930 horsepower (Hp) compressor with 465 Hp at its Fresh Water Bayou facility in southern Vermilion Parish, Louisiana
    - Total project cost = $30,000
    - Emissions reductions = 1,556 Mcf/yr
    - Value Savings: $3/Mcf x 1556 Mcf = $4,668/yr
  - Took two satellite tanks out of service and began pumping directly to the tank battery
    - Total project cost = $120,000
    - Emissions reductions = 15,735 Mcf/yr
    - Value Savings: $3/Mcf x 15,735 Mcf = $47,205/yr
Technology PROs

- Install pressurized storage of condensate
- Use of composite wrap repair
- Use ultrasound to identify leaks
- Recycle line recovers gas during condensate loading
- Convert gas-driven chemical pumps to instrument air
Install Pressurized Storage of Condensate

- What is the problem?
  - Condensate from pigging gathering lines flashes methane to atmosphere when transferred to atmospheric tanks

- Partner solution
  - Pressurized storage and transport of condensate for economic methane recovery

- Methane savings
  - Based on estimate of condensate production of 0.01 barrel per Mscf of gas production and methane emissions of 0.25 Mcf/barrel

- Applicability
  - All gathering/boosting stations and processing plants

Methane Savings
- 7,000 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>Annual O&amp;M Costs</th>
<th>Payback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; $10,000</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
<td>1-3 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reducing Emissions, Increasing Efficiency, Maximizing Profits

Page 19
Use of Composite Wrap Repair

- Repairing non-leaking pipeline damage with composite wrap sleeves, such as Clock Spring®
  - Eliminates venting emissions
  - Inexpensive
  - Can repair while operating

- Non-leaking pipeline defects
  - Corrosion
  - Dents
  - Gouges

Source: Clock Spring® Company
L. P.
Use of Composite Wrap Repair

- What is the problem?
  - Pipeline is shutdown and vented to cut and weld pipe segment in damaged areas

- Partner solution
  - Use composite wrap, which consists of a filler material, a thin composite wrap and a special adhesive

- Methane savings
  - Based on repair frequencies between 2 - 65 times per year

- Applicability
  - Suitable for non-leaking defects on straight sections with up to 80% wall loss and no internal corrosion

Methane Savings
- 5,400 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost</td>
<td>&gt; $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>&lt; $100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use Ultrasound to Identify Leaks

- What is the problem?
  - Leakage through shut-off valves in open-ended lines cannot be easily detected due to inaccessible vents

- Partner solution
  - Use ultrasonic leak detectors which can detect internal leaks

- Methane savings
  - Assumption that 100 leaks can be found with an emission rate averaging 20 Mcf/yr/valve

- Applicability
  - All in-service valves that shut-off gas vent to the atmosphere

Methane Savings
- 2,000 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>&lt; $1,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>&gt; $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>1 - 3 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recycle Line Recovers Gas During Condensate Loading

- **What is the problem?**
  - Pigged condensate when transferred from storage into tank trucks generates methane vapor that is vented.

- **Partner solution**
  - Connect tank truck vent to condensate storage tank.

- **Methane savings**
  - Based on assumption of 100 loading transfers per year and methane emission from evaporation of 50% of total volume of tank filled.

- **Applicability**
  - All processing facilities that use tank trucks for transportation of pigged condensate.

**Methane Savings**
- 100 Mcf/yr

**Project Economics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>$1,000-$10,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>$100-$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>3 – 10 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Convert Gas-Driven Chemical Pumps to Instrument Air

What is the problem?
- Pressurized gas used to drive chemical transfer/glycol dehydration unit pumps is vented to the atmosphere

Partner solution
- Use instrument air to drive the pumps

Methane savings
- Based on methane emissions reduction rate of 2 cf per gallon of glycol circulated

Applicability
- All sites with available electric power

Methane Savings
2,500 Mcf/yr

Project Economics

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost</td>
<td>$1,000-$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>$100 - $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payback</td>
<td>&lt; 1 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Future: PROs

- Broad dissemination of PROs is key to program success and effective peer-based technology transfer

  Promising PRO Fact Sheets

  - Recover gas produced during rich gas field pigging operations
  - Zero emission dehydrators

New for 2004
Discussion Questions

- To what extent are you implementing any of these PROs?

- What are the barriers (technological, economic, lack of information, regulatory, etc.) that are preventing you from implementing any of these technologies?