

those approved at (c)(33) and (c)(34). In addition, the applicability of the regulations was extended to cover St. Joseph and Elkhart Counties. USEPA is taking no action on changes to 325 IAC 8-1.1-2(f), Methods of Compliance, and the repeal of 325 IAC 8-5-6, Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning, because these exempt the compound perchloroethylene from control without the State justifying that such exemption is consistent with the Part D reasonably available control technology (RACT) requirements.

Note.—If Indiana allows use of a non-USEPA test method in the future, its use must be submitted to USEPA as a SIP revision.

(i) Incorporation by reference. (A) Indiana's Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) RACT I and II regulations, Title 325 Air Pollution Control Board:

(1) 325 IAC 8-1.1, Establishes Volatile Organic Compound Emission Limitations. State promulgated on June 21, 1984, and amended November 7, 1984.

Note.—325 IAC 8-1.1-4 Test methods and procedures. If Indiana allows use of a non-USEPA test method in the future, its use must be submitted to USEPA as a SIP revision.

(2) 325 IAC 8-2 Surface Coating Emission Limitations. State promulgated on June 21, 1984, and amended November 7, 1984.

(3) 325 IAC 8-3 Solvent Metal Cleaning Operating Requirements. State promulgated on October 15, 1984, and amended November 7, 1984.

(4) 325 IAC 8-4 Petroleum Sources. State promulgated on June 21, 1984, and amended November 7, 1984.

(5) 325 IAC 8-5 Miscellaneous Operations. State promulgated on June 21, 1984, and amended November 7, 1984.

3. Section 52.773 is amended by adding St. Joseph and Elkhart Counties to the areas approved in paragraph (f). Paragraph (f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 52.773 Approval status.

(f) The Administrator finds ozone strategies for Clark, Elkhart, Floyd, Lake, Marion, Porter, and St. Joseph Counties satisfy all requirements of Part D, Title I of the Clean Air Act that are required to be submitted by January 1, 1981, except as noted below.

4. Section 52.777 is amended by correcting typographical errors in Paragraph (c) and paragraph (c)(1) and adding St. Joseph and Elkhart Counties

to the list of conditionally approved Counties in paragraph (c). The introductory text of paragraphs (c) and (c)(1) are revised to read as follows:

§ 52.777 Control Strategy: Photochemical Oxidants (Hydrocarbons).

(c) Part D—Conditional approval—The Indiana plan for Clark, Floyd, Elkhart, Lake, Marion, Porter, and St. Joseph Counties is approved provided the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) The plan for stationary source volatile organic compound control must contain the following:

5. Section 52.777 is amended by revoking the reserving paragraphs (c)(1) (ii), (iii), (iv), (vi), (vii), and (viii).

[FR Doc. 86-2681 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 52

[A-7-FRL-2967-5; MO 1809]

Approval and Promulgation of Missouri State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Visibility New Source Review and Monitoring

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: EPA is today approving the visibility new source review and visibility monitoring portions of the Missouri SIP. This action was proposed for public comment in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 1985. No comments were received on the proposal.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 12, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the materials submitted by the State may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region VII, Air Branch, 726 Minnesota
Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101
Library, Office of the Federal Register,
1100 L Street NW., Room 8401,
Washington, DC

Missouri Department of Natural
Resources, Air Pollution Control
Program, 1101 Rear Southwest
Boulevard, Jefferson City, Missouri
65101

Public Information, Reference Unit, EPA,
401 U Street, SW., Washington, DC

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Dwayne E. Durst at the EPA Region VII
address listed above or (913) 236-2893,
FTS 757-2893.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 3, 1985, the State of Missouri submitted

a revision to its SIP containing provisions for visibility new source review and visibility monitoring. EPA reviewed the submittal and proposed to approve those portions of Missouri's visibility SIP in the **Federal Register** on November 26, 1985 (50 FR 48612). All other background information relating to the actions being taken may be found in that notice. No comments were received during the comment period on the November 26, 1985, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

The proposed rulemaking indicated that details of the visibility monitoring plan were being developed for Class I areas in Missouri.

These details are to be contained in a monitoring plan to be submitted as an appendix to Missouri's Visibility SIP. As presently drafted, these details are contained in agreements between the Federal Land Managers for each Class I area in the State and the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources. When finalized, a copy of the monitoring plans will be available at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Air Pollution Control Program, 1101 Rear Southwest Boulevard, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, and at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, Air Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Action

Based on the foregoing, EPA hereby approves Missouri's SIP as meeting the requirements of 40 CFR 51.305 and 40 CFR 51.307. This action becomes effective March 12, 1986.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 11, 1986. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Air pollution control, Incorporation by references, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter.

Incorporation by reference of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Missouri was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on July 1, 1982.

Dated: February 4, 1986.

Lee M. Thomas,
Administrator.

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Subpart AA—Missouri

Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter I, Part 52 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

2. Section 52.1320 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(56) as follows:

§ 52.1320 Identification of plan.

* * * * *
(c) The plan revisions listed below were submitted on the dates specified.
* * * * *

(56) The Missouri Department of Natural Resources submitted the Protection of Visibility Plan, 1985, on May 3, 1985.

(i) Incorporation by reference.

(A) Amendments to Missouri Rule 10 CSR 10-6.020, Definitions, and Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060, Permits Required. These Amendments were adopted by the Missouri Air Conservation Commission and became effective on May 11, 1985.

(ii) Additional material.

(A) Narrative description of visibility new source review program for Class I areas in Missouri.

(B) Visibility monitoring plan for Class I areas in Missouri.

[FR Doc. 86-2832 Filed 2-7-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 81

[A-9-FRL-2967-7]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Redesignation To Attainment of San Manuel, Arizona for Total Suspended Particulates (TSP)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The State of Arizona has requested a redesignation to attainment of the San Manuel portion of Pinal County, Arizona for total suspended particulates on October 1, 1984. EPA has evaluated the dispersion modeling using site-specific meteorological data and has determined that no violations could have occurred in the area. EPA approves

the redesignation since it is consistent with EPA policy and Section 107 of the Clean Air Act. The intended effect is to update the attainment status for TSP.

DATES: This action will be effective on April 11, 1986 unless notice is received within 30 days that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments. Such notice may be submitted to James C. Breitlow at the EPA Regional Office address listed below.

ADDRESSES: Copies of EPA's technical support document for this action and the dispersion modeling analysis submitted to EPA by Arizona are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the EPA Region 9 office in San Francisco.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James C. Breitlow, Chief, State Implementation Plan Section, Air Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 974-7641, FTS: 454-7641.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 3, 1978 [43 FR 8967] EPA promulgated attainment status designations for Arizona under section 107 of the Clean Air Act and designated all of Pinal County as nonattainment for both the primary and secondary TSP National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

On April 10, 1979 [44 FR 21261], EPA redesignated San Manuel, Pinal County to unclassified because screening model results indicated minimal violations of the secondary 24-hour standard as a result of background and stack emissions from the major stationary source in San Manuel.

The State submitted a redesignation to attainment of San Manuel for total suspended particulates on October 1, 1984. This unclassified area was bounded by:

Township 10 south, Range 16 east and Township 10 south, Range 17 east, of the San Bernardino Base Line and Meridian.

Section 123 of the Clean Air Act does not allow credit for improved air quality resulting from intermittent or supplementary control systems (SCS) which take advantage of meteorological variations in order to avoid NAAQS violations. Also, the area to be redesignated to attainment cannot have had a measured or modeled violation for the most recent two years for which data are available.

EPA Evaluation

EPA has evaluated the State of Arizona's request that the San Manuel portion of Pinal County be redesignated to attainment from unclassified for TSP and has determined that it should be approved. EPA is basing its decision mainly on the recent results of a sophisticated dispersion model (Complex I). This model was run using EPA approved site-specific meteorological data, and assumed that intermittent controls (SCS) were not used. The modeling is consistent with EPA modeling guidelines and the July 8, 1985 revised stack height regulations. It indicated no violations of the TSP NAAQS. EPA modeled the major source at full production with installed controls. The emissions out of the stacks, grandfathered under the stack height regulations, cause no ambient air violations. EPA is basing the redesignation on both the eight years of violation free ambient data and the modeling results.

EPA is publishing this action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. This action will be effective 60 days from the date of this Federal Register unless, within 30 days of its publication, notice is received that adverse or critical comments will be submitted.

If such notice is received, this action will be withdrawn before the effective date by publishing two subsequent notices. One notice will withdraw the final action and another will begin a new action by announcing a proposal of the action and establishing a comment period. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this action will be effective April 11, 1986.

Final Action

EPA approves the redesignation since it is consistent with EPA policy and section 107 of the Clean Air Act.

Regulatory Process

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 11, 1986. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see 307(b)(2)).

Under 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I certify that this redesignation will not have a