#### **UIC Enforcement**

Presented By: Roger Reinhart U.S. EPA Region III June, 2018





### **Identifying UIC Violations**

 How Do We Assess Compliance (Identify Violations)?

Deep Wells ( Class I, II, III & VI) vs. Shallow Wells (Class IV & V)

### Deep Wells (I, II & III)

- Known Universe of Facilities. Operators Required to Apply for Permit
- Routine Inspections for mechanical integrity, construction, plugging, reworks, etc.
- Annual & Quarterly Reports submitted by operators
- Self-reporting of violations by operators
- Routine Compliance / File Reviews
- State inspector report
- Citizen / employee report

#### **Deep Well Violations**

#### High Priority (SNC)

Unauthorized Injection (no permit, injection of unauthorized fluid) MI Failure – Endangering USDW Continued Operation without Mechanical Integrity Operating at Excessive Pressure (over MIP) Failure to Report a Violation Extremely Negligent Operation

#### **Deep Well Violations (cont.)**

Lower Priority (non SNC)

MI Failure – no USDW endangerment Overdue MIT (short term) Late or Incomplete Report Financial Responsibility – short term delay or insufficient funds.

#### Shallow Wells (IV & V)

- Universe of facilities not completely established. Few facilities inventory wells (less than 25%).
- Random inspections of targeted industrial sectors known to generate wastewater of concern. Focus on unsewered areas where on-site wastewater treatment and disposal are typically used.
- Search for and inspect facilities, identify violations, address violations, confirm compliance and move on. (once and done)

#### **Shallow Well Violations**

#### High Priority (SNC)

- Injection of RCRA hazardous waste (Class IV injection well.)
- Operation of "endangering" Class V injection well.
- Confirmed environmental impact.
- Extremely negligent operation

#### **Shallow Well Violations**

#### Low Priority (non SNC)

- Operation of "potentially endangering" injection well (a judgment call).
- Discharge of "marginally endangering" wastewater (gray water).
- Failure to inventory

Informal Enforcement Actions and Related Activities

- Not specified in SDWA
- No penalty authorities
- Used for less serious violations



#### Types of Informal Enforcement Actions & Activities

- Telephone call document with telephone log for file
- Notice of violation (NOV)
  - Letter to operator *citing violation(s)*, specifying *measures needed for compliance* with *time frame* (mail certified return)
  - Efficient and effective way to address low and medium priority violations which can be resolved quickly (90-95 percent compliance rate)



Informal Enforcement Activities (continued)

- Meeting with operator
  - Can be used alone or in conjunction with other enforcement actions taken
  - Encouraged whenever operator expresses interest to meet with regulators
  - Promotes cooperative spirit and communication
- Field inspection of facility



Often conducted in follow-up to initial inspection for additional information or to document compliance



# Informal Enforcement Actions (continued)

 Letter of Commitment (LOC)
Operator of facility commits in writing to adhere to specific conditions and restrictions in order to reduce or eliminate risk of endangerment. A best management practices (BMP) approach.

#### **Formal Enforcement Actions (EPA)**

Specified in Section 1423 of SDWA

Penalty authorities

### Types of Formal Enforcement Actions

- Administrative Order
- Civil Referral to Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Criminal Referral

#### **Administrative Order**

- Administrative Order (AO) EPA's own administrative judicial enforcement action
  - AOs for *injunctive relief* and/or *penalty*
    - \$10,957 / day / well / violation Class II wells
    - \$21,916 / day / well / violation other wells
    - \$273,945 maximum / Order
  - 30-day *public notice* on EPA website or in local newspaper

#### **Administrative Order**

- Operator has opportunity for *hearing*
- AOs used by EPA Regions for violations warranting *penalty assessments* and/or when long *compliance schedules* are used (> 90 days)
- More resource intensive than informal actions
- Fairly high rate of compliance (~ 90 percent)

### **Civil Referral**



- **Civil Referral** referral to Department of Justice for civil litigation through the U.S. court system
  - Penalties up to \$54,789 / day / well / violation all well classes
  - No limit on maximum penalty assessment
  - Much more resource intensive than Administrative Order
  - Reserved for most serious violations, often involving environmental harm or a long history of violations usually at large facilities, or multiple facilities operated by the single corporation.

## **UIC Penalty Policy (EPA)**

- Method for calculating penalties for UIC violations (AOs and referrals)
  - Economic Benefit resulting from violation (use BEN model if appropriate). Penalty should never be less than the economic benefit.
  - Gravity of Violation (violation type, environmental impact, length of violation, number wells in violation.

#### Gravity Adjustment Factors

- History of Violations
- Good-faith efforts to comply
- Economic Impact on violator



Penalty = Economic Benefit + (Gravity) (Adjustment) (-30 to +150 %)

#### **Criminal Referral**



- Criminal Referral cases investigated and pursued by EPA through the Department of Justice
  - Violation determined to be *willful* or *extremely negligent*.
  - Violations resulting in *endangerment* to human health or environment
  - Documentation of *fraudulent activity* or statement
  - EPA programs work with Criminal Investigation Division (CID)

#### Improving Enforcement Efficiency

- Use of model documents for addressing various violations
- Address multiple facilities under same operator with single action (Class V)
  - Oil companies
  - Auto repair chains
  - State DOT facilities
  - Trucking companies
  - Transportation companies



#### Summary

- Develop procedure for assessing compliance & identifying violations.
- Prioritize violations based on severity.
- Develop both formal and informal enforcement tools to address violations.
- Enforcement action should be both appropriate and consistent

"Action should fit the violation"



#### **Emergency Authority Under Section 1431 of SDWA**

- 1. A contaminant is *present in* or *likely to enter* a PWS or USDW, **and**
- 2. the contaminant may present an *"imminent and substantial endangerment"* to *human health*, **and**
- 3. The appropriate State and Local authorities have not acted to protect public health

#### Emergency Authority Under Section 1431 of SDWA

 If all three conditions are met, the Administrator may take such actions as deemed necessary to protect the health of persons

#### Definitions

- Contaminant Section 1401(6) defines contaminant very broadly
  - Includes "any physical, chemical, biological, or radiological substance or matter in water"
- Likely to Enter Not limited to existing contamination of PWS or USDW
  - Section 1431 used for prevention of the potential hazard

### **Definitions (continued)**

- Underground Sources of Drinking Water -Not limited to protection of PWSs
  - Includes USDWs that are used for private water supplies.
- Not Limited to UIC Activities Authority can be used against any activity meeting above criteria. Confirmation of violation not needed.



### 1431 Cases in Region 3

- Class IIR facility contaminating private water supplies with oil and brine.
- SW runoff from salt pile contaminating private water supplies.
- Teflon manufacturing discharges of C8 to air, surface water and GW contaminating public and private water supplies.

### 1431 Cases (continued)

- Poultry Farms contributing to high nitrates in USDW serving nearby private water supplies.
- LUST & SF sites contaminating nearby private water supplies.
- Failing septic systems endangering public and private water supplies.
- NPDES discharge endangering PWS intake.

#### Region III UIC Enforcement Program Average Annual Outputs

- Conduct approximately 1,500 inspections (900 Class V, 600 Class II) in PA and VA
- 120 (8%) require follow-up of some kind (steadily decreasing over last several years)
- 50 information requests issued
- 60 75 NOVs
- 10 25 letters of commitment for best management practices
- 4 12 AOs (Section 1423)
- 1 4 Emergency Orders (Section 1431)

