UIC Enforcement

Presented By: Roger Reinhart U.S. EPA Region III June, 2018





Identifying UIC Violations

 How Do We Assess Compliance (Identify Violations)?

Deep Wells (Class I, II, III & VI) vs. Shallow Wells (Class IV & V)

Deep Wells (I, II & III)

- Known Universe of Facilities. Operators Required to Apply for Permit
- Routine Inspections for mechanical integrity, construction, plugging, reworks, etc.
- Annual & Quarterly Reports submitted by operators
- Self-reporting of violations by operators
- Routine Compliance / File Reviews
- State inspector report
- Citizen / employee report

Deep Well Violations

High Priority (SNC)

Unauthorized Injection (no permit, injection of unauthorized fluid) MI Failure – Endangering USDW Continued Operation without Mechanical Integrity Operating at Excessive Pressure (over MIP) Failure to Report a Violation Extremely Negligent Operation

Deep Well Violations (cont.)

Lower Priority (non SNC)

MI Failure – no USDW endangerment Overdue MIT (short term) Late or Incomplete Report Financial Responsibility – short term delay or insufficient funds.

Shallow Wells (IV & V)

- Universe of facilities not completely established. Few facilities inventory wells (less than 25%).
- Random inspections of targeted industrial sectors known to generate wastewater of concern. Focus on unsewered areas where on-site wastewater treatment and disposal are typically used.
- Search for and inspect facilities, identify violations, address violations, confirm compliance and move on. (once and done)

Shallow Well Violations

High Priority (SNC)

- Injection of RCRA hazardous waste (Class IV injection well.)
- Operation of "endangering" Class V injection well.
- Confirmed environmental impact.
- Extremely negligent operation

Shallow Well Violations

Low Priority (non SNC)

- Operation of "potentially endangering" injection well (a judgment call).
- Discharge of "marginally endangering" wastewater (gray water).
- Failure to inventory

Informal Enforcement Actions and Related Activities

- Not specified in SDWA
- No penalty authorities
- Used for less serious violations



Types of Informal Enforcement Actions & Activities

- Telephone call document with telephone log for file
- Notice of violation (NOV)
 - Letter to operator *citing violation(s)*, specifying *measures needed for compliance* with *time frame* (mail certified return)
 - Efficient and effective way to address low and medium priority violations which can be resolved quickly (90-95 percent compliance rate)



Informal Enforcement Activities (continued)

- Meeting with operator
 - Can be used alone or in conjunction with other enforcement actions taken
 - Encouraged whenever operator expresses interest to meet with regulators
 - Promotes cooperative spirit and communication
- Field inspection of facility



Often conducted in follow-up to initial inspection for additional information or to document compliance



Informal Enforcement Actions (continued)

 Letter of Commitment (LOC)
Operator of facility commits in writing to adhere to specific conditions and restrictions in order to reduce or eliminate risk of endangerment. A best management practices (BMP) approach.

Formal Enforcement Actions (EPA)

Specified in Section 1423 of SDWA

Penalty authorities

Types of Formal Enforcement Actions

- Administrative Order
- Civil Referral to Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Criminal Referral

Administrative Order

- Administrative Order (AO) EPA's own administrative judicial enforcement action
 - AOs for *injunctive relief* and/or *penalty*
 - \$10,957 / day / well / violation Class II wells
 - \$21,916 / day / well / violation other wells
 - \$273,945 maximum / Order
 - 30-day *public notice* on EPA website or in local newspaper

Administrative Order

- Operator has opportunity for *hearing*
- AOs used by EPA Regions for violations warranting *penalty assessments* and/or when long *compliance schedules* are used (> 90 days)
- More resource intensive than informal actions
- Fairly high rate of compliance (~ 90 percent)

Civil Referral



- **Civil Referral** referral to Department of Justice for civil litigation through the U.S. court system
 - Penalties up to \$54,789 / day / well / violation all well classes
 - No limit on maximum penalty assessment
 - Much more resource intensive than Administrative Order
 - Reserved for most serious violations, often involving environmental harm or a long history of violations usually at large facilities, or multiple facilities operated by the single corporation.

UIC Penalty Policy (EPA)

- Method for calculating penalties for UIC violations (AOs and referrals)
 - Economic Benefit resulting from violation (use BEN model if appropriate). Penalty should never be less than the economic benefit.
 - Gravity of Violation (violation type, environmental impact, length of violation, number wells in violation.

Gravity Adjustment Factors

- History of Violations
- Good-faith efforts to comply
- Economic Impact on violator



Penalty = Economic Benefit + (Gravity) (Adjustment) (-30 to +150 %)

Criminal Referral



- Criminal Referral cases investigated and pursued by EPA through the Department of Justice
 - Violation determined to be *willful* or *extremely negligent*.
 - Violations resulting in *endangerment* to human health or environment
 - Documentation of *fraudulent activity* or statement
 - EPA programs work with Criminal Investigation Division (CID)

Improving Enforcement Efficiency

- Use of model documents for addressing various violations
- Address multiple facilities under same operator with single action (Class V)
 - Oil companies
 - Auto repair chains
 - State DOT facilities
 - Trucking companies
 - Transportation companies



Summary

- Develop procedure for assessing compliance & identifying violations.
- Prioritize violations based on severity.
- Develop both formal and informal enforcement tools to address violations.
- Enforcement action should be both appropriate and consistent

"Action should fit the violation"



Emergency Authority Under Section 1431 of SDWA

- 1. A contaminant is *present in* or *likely to enter* a PWS or USDW, **and**
- 2. the contaminant may present an *"imminent and substantial endangerment"* to *human health*, **and**
- 3. The appropriate State and Local authorities have not acted to protect public health

Emergency Authority Under Section 1431 of SDWA

 If all three conditions are met, the Administrator may take such actions as deemed necessary to protect the health of persons

Definitions

- Contaminant Section 1401(6) defines contaminant very broadly
 - Includes "any physical, chemical, biological, or radiological substance or matter in water"
- Likely to Enter Not limited to existing contamination of PWS or USDW
 - Section 1431 used for prevention of the potential hazard

Definitions (continued)

- Underground Sources of Drinking Water -Not limited to protection of PWSs
 - Includes USDWs that are used for private water supplies.
- Not Limited to UIC Activities Authority can be used against any activity meeting above criteria. Confirmation of violation not needed.



1431 Cases in Region 3

- Class IIR facility contaminating private water supplies with oil and brine.
- SW runoff from salt pile contaminating private water supplies.
- Teflon manufacturing discharges of C8 to air, surface water and GW contaminating public and private water supplies.

1431 Cases (continued)

- Poultry Farms contributing to high nitrates in USDW serving nearby private water supplies.
- LUST & SF sites contaminating nearby private water supplies.
- Failing septic systems endangering public and private water supplies.
- NPDES discharge endangering PWS intake.

Region III UIC Enforcement Program Average Annual Outputs

- Conduct approximately 1,500 inspections (900 Class V, 600 Class II) in PA and VA
- 120 (8%) require follow-up of some kind (steadily decreasing over last several years)
- 50 information requests issued
- 60 75 NOVs
- 10 25 letters of commitment for best management practices
- 4 12 AOs (Section 1423)
- 1 4 Emergency Orders (Section 1431)

