

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

EPA Document # EPA-740-R1-8002 June 2018 Office of Chemical Safety and **Pollution Prevention**

Exposure and Use Assessment of Five Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic **Chemicals**

Peer Review Draft

June 2018

TAE	BLES		7
FIG	URES		7
1.	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	15
2.	BAC	CKGROUND	15
3.	APP	PROACH	17
4.	DEC	CABROMODIPHENYL ETHER (DECABDE)	21
4	ł.1. (Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties	21
2	1.2.	Uses	21
2	1.3. (Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	24
	1 4	Overview of Lifecycle and Detential Sources of Experies	25
2	+.4. (1 / /	UVERVIEW OF LITECYCLE and Potential Sources of Exposure	25
	ч.ч. ЛЛ	 Manufacturina 	26
	4.4. 4 4	3 Imported Articles	20
	4.4.	 Processina: Incorporated into Formulation. Mixture. or Reaction Products and Incorporation 	
	into	o Article Components	27
	4.4.	5. Processing: Recycling	28
	4.4.	6. Industrial/Commercial Use: Fabrics, Textiles and Apparel (textile manufacturing)	28
	4.4.	7. Industrial/Commercial Use: Incorporation into Plastic Articles (wire and cable coatings)	28
	4.4.	8. Industrial/Commercial Use Articles – Complex articles	28
	4.4.	9. Consumer Articles	29
	4.4.	10. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures	29
	15 1	Environmental Monitoring	20
-	۱ .J. ۱ ۸ ۲	1 Indeer Dust	29
	4.5.	 Indoor Dust Indoor Air 	25
	4.5.	 Induori An	
	4.J.	 Λ. Surface Water 	
	4.5.	5 Drinking Water	38
	4.5.	6 Soil	38
	4.5.	7 Sediment	40
	4.5.	8 Sludae/Biosolids	43
	4.5.	9 Influent/Effluent	ΔΔ
	4.5.	10 Landfill Leachate	44 44
	4.5.	11 Vegetation/Diet	44
	4.5.	12. Other	45
		Diamonitoring	10
4	+.0. I	Biomonitoring	40
	4.6.	1. Human blood (serum)	48
	4.b.	2. Tulliuli (Ulilei)	5U
	4.b.	 Aquatic invertebrates <i>a</i> Eich 	52
	4.0.	4. FISH	
	4.0. ЛС	 Ayuuuk mumana ayuu ayuu ayuu ayuu ayuu ayuu ayuu a	54 EA
	4.0. ЛС	0. TETTESTITUT ITVETTEDTUTES	54 EE
	4.0.	 DIIUS Torrostrial mammals 	
	4.0. ЛС	0. Terrestriui mummus	50 EC
	4.0.	<i>J.</i> U(1)(1)	

	4.7. Ir	ends in Monitoring Data	56
	4.7.1.	Indoor Dust	57
	4.7.2.	Ambient Air	57
	4.7.3.	Soils	58
	4.7.4.	Sediments	59
	4.7.5.	Biosolids	59
	4.7.6.	Humans	60
	4.7.7.	Aquatic Invertebrates	60
	4.7.8.	Fish	61
	4.7.9.	Birds	61
	4.8. M	odeled Intake and Dose Data	62
	4.9. Ov	erview of Existing Exposure Assessments	64
	4.10. Re	presentative Exposure Scenarios	68
	4 11 Su	mmary of Review Articles	70
	л 11 1	Duct	70
	4 11 7	Soil	71 71
	4.11.2	Surface Water and Sediments	71
	4.11.4	. Human Biomonitorina	
	4.11.5	. Dose	73
_			
5.	HEXA		73
	5.1. Ch	emistry and Physical-Chemical Properties	/3
	5.2. Us	es	74
	5.3. Ch	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	75 76
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov <i>5.4.1</i> .	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle	75 76 76
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov <i>5.4.1.</i> <i>5.4.2.</i>	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import	75 76 76 77
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3.	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate	75 76 76 77 77
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4.	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard	75 76 77 77 77
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5.	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel	75 76 77 77 77 77
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6.	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products	75 76 77 77 77 77 78 78
	5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7.	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5. En 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures	75 76 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5. En 5.5.1. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures Indoor Dust	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 79
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5. En 5.5.1. 5.5.2. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures Vironmental Monitoring Indoor Air	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 79 80 81
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5. En 5.5.1. 5.5.2. 5.5.3. 5.5.3. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. En 5.5.1. 5.5.2. 5.5.3. 5.5.4. 5.5.4. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures vironmental Monitoring Indoor Dust Indoor Dust Ambient Air Surface Water	75 76 76 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 79 80 81 81 82
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5. En 5.5.7. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.6. 5.5.6. 5.5.6. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures vironmental Monitoring Indoor Dust Indoor Air Ambient Air Surface Water Soil	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 79 80 81 81 81 81 82 83
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. En 5.5.6. 5.5.6. 5.5.7 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures Vironmental Monitoring Indoor Dust Indoor Air Ambient Air Surface Water Drinking Water Soil	75 76 76 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. En 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle Manufacturing and Import Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel Consumer Use: Consumer Products Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures Vironmental Monitoring Indoor Dust Indoor Dust Surface Water Drinking Water Soil Sediment	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 79 78 78 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. En 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	75 76 76 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 5.5.10 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 5.5.10 5.5.10 5.5.11 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 79 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 5.5.10 5.5.11 5.5.12 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75 76 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 79 80 81 81 81 81 81 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 87 87 87
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 5.5.10 5.5.10 5.5.11 5.5.12 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75 76 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 79
	 5.3. Ch 5.4. Ov 5.4.1. 5.4.2. 5.4.3. 5.4.4. 5.4.5. 5.4.6. 5.4.7. 5.5.5. 5.5.6. 5.5.7. 5.5.8. 5.5.9. 5.5.10 5.5.11 5.5.12 5.6. Bit 5.6. Bit 	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	75 76 76 77 77 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 79 80 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81

5.6.2.	Aquatic invertebrates	89
5.6.3.	Fish	90
5.6.4.	Aquatic mammals	91
5.6.5.	Terrestrial invertebrates	91
5.6.6.	Birds	91
5.6.7.	Terrestrial mammals	
5.7. Tre	ends in Monitoring Data	92
5.7.1.	Ambient Air	92
5.7.2.	Soils	93
5.7.3.	Sediments	94
5.7.4.	Influent/Effluents	
5.7.5.	Aquatic Invertebrates	
5.7.6.	Fish	
5.7.7.	Aquatic Mammals	
5.8. Mo	odeled Intake and Dose Data	
5.9. Ov	erview of Existing Exposure Assessments	103
5.10. Re	presentative Exposure Scenarios	
5.11. Su	mmary of Review Articles	105
6. PHENO	DL, ISOPROPYLATED, PHOSPHATE (3:1)-PIP (3:1)	106
6.1. Ch	emistry and Physical-Chemical Properties	
62 116	۵. ۵.	107
0.2. 03		
6.3. Ch	aracterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	
6.4. Ov	erview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	112
6.4.1.	Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle	
6.4.2.	Manufacturing	
6.4.3.	Processing: Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Products	
6.4.4.	Processing: Incorporation into Articles	
6.4.5.	Industrial Use: Hydraulic Fluid / Lubricants and Greases	
6.4.6.	Industrial/Commercial Use: Paints and Coatings / Adhesives and Sealants	
6.4.7.	Consumer Use: Complex Articles / Plastic Articles / Other	
6.4.8.	Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases for Releases and Occupational Exposures	
6.5. En	vironmental Monitoring	
6.5.1.	Indoor Dust	
6.5.2.	Indoor Air	
6.5.3.	Ambient Air	
6.5.4.	Soil	
6.5.5.	Sediment	
6.5.6.	Other	
6.6. Bio	omonitoring	
6.6.1.	Human blood (serum)	
6.6.2.	Human (other)	
6.6.3.	Birds	
6.6.4.	Terrestrial mammals	
6.6.5.	Other	
6.7. Tre	ends in Monitoring Data	

6.8	. Modeled Intake and Dose Data	123
6.9	Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments	125
6.1	0. Representative Exposure Scenarios	126
6.1	1. Summary of Review Articles	
7		127
· · ·		
7.1	. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties	
7.2	Uses	128
7.3	. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	131
7.4	Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	132
	7.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle.	
	7.4.2. Manufacturing and Processing as a Reactant/Chemical Intermediate	
;	7.4.3. Processing: Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Products	
2	7.4.4. Industrial, Commercial, and Consumer Use: Fuel and Related Products (fuel additives)	134
2	7.4.5. Industrial, Commercial, and Consumer Use: Motor Vehicle Repair, Lubricating Agents and	
/	Additives in the Transportation Sector (lubricating grease, cleaning/washing agents and other	
(additives)	134
2	7.4.6. Industrial/Commercial Use: Other Uses (e.g., laboratory research)	135
2	7.4.7. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases for Releases and Occupational Exposures	135
75	Environmental Monitoring	135
	7.5.1 Indoor Dust	135
-	7.5.1. Indoor Dust	137
-	7.5.2. Indoor An	137
-	7.5.5. Ambient An	128
-	7.5.4. Sulfuce Water	128
-	7.5.5. Scument/Effluent	138
-	7.5.0. Influency Egineent	130
,		
7.6	Biomonitoring	
2	7.6.1. Fish	140
2	7.6.2. Other	140
77	Trends in Monitoring Data	140
,.,	7 7 1 Surface Water	140
-	772 Fish	141
, ס ד	Medeled Intake and Dece Data	1 / 1
7.0		
7.9	Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments	142
7.1	0. Representative Exposure Scenarios	143
7.1	1. Summary of Review Articles	144
8. I	PENTACHLOROTHIOPHENOL (PCTP)	144
8.1	. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties	144
8.2	. Uses	144
8.3	Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning	146
8.4	Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure	147

 8.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle	147 148 149 149 149
8.5. Environmental Monitoring	150
8.6. Biomonitoring8.6.1. Human (other)8.6.2. Other	150 151 151
8.7. Trends in Monitoring Data	151
8.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data	151
8.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments	152
8.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios	152
8.11. Summary of Review Articles	152
9. REFERENCES	152

Tables

Table 3-1. Overview of Qualitative and Quantitative Exposure Information used in this Exposure	
Assessment	20
Table 4-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for DecaBDE	22
Table 4-2. CDR Production Volumes 2010-2015	27
Table 4-3. Summary of DecaBDE Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature	
Table 4-4. Summary of DecaBDE Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature and	
Monitoring Databases	46
Table 4-5. Total Adult Intake Estimates of DecaBDE (U.S. EPA, 2010), Sorted Highest to Lowest	65
Table 4-6. Intakes of DecaBDE by Children Estimated by Hays and Pyatt (2006)	66
Table 4-7. Estimated Exposure of the General Population to DecaBDE from Health Canada Assessment	
(Health Canada, 2012)	67
Table 5-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for HCBD	74
Table 5-2. Summary of HCBD Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature and Monitoring	
Databases	79
Table 5-3. Summary of HCBD Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature and Monitoring	
Databases	
Table 5-4. Estimated Exposure of the General Population to HCBD (Environment Canada and Health	
Canada (2000)	104
Table 6-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for PIP (3:1)	
Table 6-2. Production Volume of Phenol, Isopropylated, Phosphate (3:1)	113
Table 6-3. Summary of PIP (3:1) and TPP Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature	116
Table 6-4. Summary of TPP, a Surrogate for PIP (3:1), Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed	
Literature	121
Table 7-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for 2,4,6 TTBP	130
Table 7-2. Summary of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT Monitoring Data from Peer-Reviewed Literature	136
Table 7-3. Summary of 2,4,6 TTBP Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature and	
Monitoring Databases	139
Table 8-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for PCTP	146
Table 8-2. Summary of PCTP Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature	150

Figures

Figure 4-1. Lifecycle Diagram for DecaBDE	26
Figure 4-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained DecaBDE monitoring	21
Figure 4-3. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for commercial (2008 to 2017) and residential (2016 and 2017) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported	
central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within Figure 4-4. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations (2009 to 2016). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	32
Figure 4-5. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations (2007 and 2008) and vehicles (2008 to 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	34
Figure 4-6. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m ³) in indoor air for commercial locations (2012 to 2016), residential locations (2011 to 2016), vehicles (2008 to 2013), and modeled data (2014). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.	

The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	
Figure 4-7. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m ³) in ambient air for background locations (2001 to 2017), near facility locations (2007 to 2014) and particulate data (2016 and 2017). For each	
year the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
dark color within	
Figure 4-8. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m^3) in ambient air for particulate data (2014) and modeled	
data (2014 to 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the	
entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	37
Figure 4-9. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in surface water for background locations (2004 to	-
2016), near facility locations (2008 and 2013), and modeled data (2017). For each year,	
the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum	
and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark	
color within	37
Figure 4-10. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soil for background (2007 to 2017) and near facility	
(2014 to 2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the	
entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	38
Figure 4-11. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soil for near facility locations from 1979 to 2013. For	
each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
dark color within	39
Figure 4-12. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sediment for background locations from 2013 to	
2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the	
bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
separate dark color within.	40
Figure 4-13. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sediment for background (2006 to 2012) and near	
facility (2010 to 2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented	
by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central	41
Eigure 4.14. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in codiment for near facility locations from 2007 to	41
2010. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the	
bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
senarate dark color within	42
Figure 4-15 Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sludge/biosolids for near facility locations from 2004	
to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of	
the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown	
as a separate dark color within.	43
Figure 4-16. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in influent/effluent for near facility locations from 2004	
to 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of	
the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown	
as a separate dark color within	44
Figure 4-17. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in landfill leachate for near facility locations in 2013.	
For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.	
The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
separate dark color within	44
Figure 4-18. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in vegetation/diet for background (2008 to 2017) and	
near facility (2008 to 2014) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is	
presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported	
central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	45

Figure 4-19.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in incinerator waste for near facility locations in 2016. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	45
Figure 4-20.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in seawater for background locations in 2005 and 2012.	
	The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	45
Figure 4-21.	Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained DecaBDE	
	biomonitoring data.	47
Figure 4-22.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human blood (serum) for consumer (2008), general	
	(2007 to 2017), high-end (2006 to 2013), and occupational (2002 to 2017) populations,	
	as well as monitoring database results (MDI, 2002). For each year/database, the range of	
	values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within	48
Figure 4-23.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in human blood (serum) for the general population in	
	2014. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
	minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
	dark color within	49
Figure 4-24.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human (other) for general (2007 to 2016), high-end	
-	(2009 to 2015), and occupational (2012 to 2014) populations. For each year/database,	
	the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum	
	and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark	
	color within	
Figure 4-25	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human (other) for occupational populations in 2011	
inguie i 20.	as well as monitoring database results. For each year/database, the range of values	
	reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of	
	reported is presented by the endire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of	51
Figure 1-26	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/cm^2) in dermal wines for the general nonulation in 2017	
inguie 4-20.	The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown	51
Figure 4-27	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/wine) in dermal wines from a monitoring database (CTD)	
inguie 4-27.	The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the har	51
Figure 4-28	Concentration of DecaRDE (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates for background locations from	
inguie 4-20.	2007 to 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
	longth of the har. The minimum and maximum of reported control tendency estimates	
	are shown as a separate dark color within	E 2
Figure 4 20	Concentration of DecaPDE (pg/g) in fish for background locations from 2006 to 2017. For	
Figure 4-29.	concentration of DecabDe (hg/g) in his for background locations from 2000 to 2017. For	
	each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
	minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are snown as a separate	53
Figure 4 20	dark color within.	53
Figure 4-30.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in aquatic mammals for background locations in 2009.	
	The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.	54
Figure 4-31.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in terrestrial invertebrates for background locations in	
	2011 and 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
	length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates	
	are shown as a separate dark color within.	54
Figure 4-32.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in birds for background locations from 2007 to 2017.	
	For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.	
	The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
	separate dark color within.	55
Figure 4-33.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in terrestrial mammals for background locations from	
	2006 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
	length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates	
	are shown as a separate dark color within.	56
Figure 4-34.	Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in amphibians for background locations in 2011 and	
	2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the	

bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
separate dark color within.	56
Figure 4-35. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust from 2004 to 2010.	57
Figure 4-36. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m ³) in ambient air from 1997 to 1999	58
Figure 4-37. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soils from 2008 to 2009	58
Figure 4-38. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g dry weight) in sediments from 1974 to 2005	59
Figure 4-39. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m ³) in human blood from 1996 to 2010	60
Figure 4-40. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2004 to 2006. For each	
year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
color within (dark blue)	60
Figure 4-41. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in fish from 2000 to 2012. For each year, the range of	
values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within	
(dark blue)	61
Figure 4-42. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g lw) in bird eggs from 1974 to 2014	62
Figure 4-43. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of DecaBDE for inhalation (blue), ingestion	
(orange), dermal (grey), and total (gold) exposure. Data are presented for infants,	
toddlers, children, and adults. If available, information on the age range, exposure	
media, and location of exposure are provided in the x axis description. The study year	
and HERO ID (diagonal text below the year) are also provided	63
Figure 4-44. Estimated average intake (ng/day) of DecaBDE for inhalation (blue), ingestion (orange),	
dermal (grey), and total (gold) exposure. Data are presented for infants, toddlers,	
children, and adults. If available, information on the age range, exposure media, season,	
and location of exposure are provided in the x axis description. The study year and HERO	
ID (diagonal text below the year) are also provided	64
Figure 5-1. Lifecycle Diagram for HCBD	76
Figure 5-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained HCBD monitoring data	80
Figure 5-3. Concentration of HCBD (ng/m ³) in indoor air for residential locations (2004) and modeled	
data (2005). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length	
of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are	
shown as a separate dark color within.	81
Figure 5-4. Concentration of HCBD (ng/m ³) in ambient air for near facility locations (1976 to 2006),	
modeled data (1979), and from monitoring databases (EPA AMTIC). For each year, the	
range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
within	81
Figure 5-5. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in surface water for background locations (1983 to 2007),	
near facility locations (1984 to 1997), and from monitoring databases (IPCHEM). For each	
year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.	
The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
separate dark color within.	82
Figure 5-6. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in surface water from monitoring databases (IPCHEM,	
USGS). For each database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length	
of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are	
shown as a separate dark color within.	83
Figure 5-7. Concentration of HCBD (μ g/L) in drinking water for background locations (2013) and	
modeled data (1979). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the	
entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	83
Figure 5-8. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in soil for background locations (2003 and 2014), near	
facility locations (1976 to 2017), modeled data (1982), and from monitoring databases	
(USGS). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	

	length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	84
Figure 5-9. (Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediment for background locations (1983 to 2010, near facility locations (1985 to 2000) suspended sediments (1983 to 1997) and from	
	manitering databases (ICES_IDCHEM) For each year (database, the range of values	
	reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of	
	reported is presented by the entire length of the ball. The minimum and maximum of	05
Figure F 10	Concentration of LCDD (ng (g) in codiment from monitoring databases (IDCUEM, USC)	
Figure 5-10.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediment from monitoring databases (IPCHEIM, USGS,	
	EPA GLENDA). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the	
	entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
	estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	86
Figure 5-11.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sludge/biosolids for near facility locations in 2014. The	
	range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within.	86
Figure 5-12.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in influent/effluent from monitoring databases (EPA DMR).	
	For each database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the	
	bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	
	separate dark color within.	86
Figure 5-13.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in vegetation/diet for near facility locations in 1975. The	
-	range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.	87
Figure 5-14.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in seawater for near facility locations in 1975. The range of	
0	values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within	87
Figure 5-15	Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained HCBD biomonitoring	
inguic 5 15.	data	88
Figure 5-16	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates for background locations (1983 to	
	2004) and from monitoring databases (IPCHEM_ICES_LISGS). For each year/database	
	the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum	
	and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark	
	color within	20
Figuro E 17	Concentration of \square CPD (ng/g) in fich for background locations (1075 to 2014) and from	
Figure 5-17.	concentration of HCBD (lig/g) in tish for background locations (1975 to 2014) and from	
	monitoring databases (ICES, IPCHEW, USGS). For each year/database, the range of values	
	reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of	
	reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	90
Figure 5-18.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic mammals from one monitoring database (ICES).	
	The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum	
	and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark	
	color within.	91
Figure 5-19.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in terrestrial invertebrates for background locations from	
	1975 to 1987. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
	length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates	
	are shown as a separate dark color within	91
Figure 5-20.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in birds for background locations in 1975 and 2004. For	
	each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	91
Figure 5-21.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in terrestrial mammals for background locations in 1975	
	and 2004. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length	
	of the bar	92
Figure 5-22.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/m ³) in ambient air from 1990 to 2014. For each row of data.	
5	the entire length of the bar represents the range of values reported. The darker color	
	within the bar shows the minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
	estimates	93

Figure 5-23.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in soils from 1990 to 2015. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within (dark brown)	94
Figure 5-24.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 1985 to 2004. For each year, the range	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within	95
Figure 5-25.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 2004 to 2009. For each year, the range	
	of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	(darker color)	96
Figure 5-26.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 2009 to 2016. For each year, the range	
	of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within	97
Figure 5-27.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in influent/effluents from 2007 through 2017. For each	
	year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
	minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	00
Figure 5-28	Concentration of HCRD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2000 through 2011. For each	98
1 igul e 5-20.	vear the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
	minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
	dark color within.	99
Figure 5-29.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2011 through 2016. For each	
	year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
	minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
	dark color within.	100
Figure 5-30.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in fish from 1998 through 2012. For each year, the range	
	of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	within	101
Figure 5-31.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in fish from 2012 through 2016. For each year, the range	
	of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	
	within	102
Figure 5-32.	Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic mammals in 1999 and 2000. For each year, the	
	range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and	
	maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color	102
Figure 5-33	Fitimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of HCBD for dermal exposure. Data are	102
i igule 5-55.	presented for adults and two groups of children between 2-6 and 7-16 years of age	103
Figure 6-1. L	Lifecycle Diagram for PIP (3:1)	
Figure 6-2. F	Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained PIP (3:1) and TPP	
-	monitoring data	117
Figure 6-3. (Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in indoor dust for commercial locations 2012 to	
	2018), residential locations (2009 to 2018), and vehicles (2014 and 2017). For each year,	
	the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum	
	and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark	110
Figure 6-1 (Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/m ³) in indoor air for commercial (2007 to 2018)	118
i igui e 0-4. (and residential (2004 to 2014) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is	

presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported	
central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	119
Figure 6-5. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/m ³) in ambient air for background (2014) and	
occupational (2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented	
by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central	
tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	119
Figure 6-6. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in soil for near facility (1999 and 2015) locations	
For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	
The minimum and maximum of reported control tendency estimates are shown as a	
The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a	110
separate dark color within.	119
Figure 6-7. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in sediment for commercial (2018), near facility	
(2015), and residential (2018) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is	
presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported	
central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within	120
Figure 6-8. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained TPP, a surrogate for PIP	
(3:1), biomonitoring data	121
Figure 6-9. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in human blood (serum) for the	
general population in 2017. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
estimates are shown	122
Figure 6-10 Concentration of TPP (ng/L) a surrogate for PIP (3:1) in human (other) for the general	
nonulation in 2014 and 2015. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by	
population in 2014 and 2015. For each year, the range of values reported softened by	
the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	400
estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	
Figure 6-11. Concentration of TPP (ng/wipe), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in dermal wipes for the general	
(2017 and 2018) and occupational (2016) populations. For each year, the range of values	
reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of	
reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.	122
Figure 6-12. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in birds for background locations in	
2015. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	123
Figure 6-13. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in terrestrial mammals for	
background locations in 2017. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency	
estimates are shown.	
Figure 6-14. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of TPP, a closely related chemical to PIP (3:1).	
for inhalation (hlue) ingestion (orange) dermal (grev) and total (gold) exposure. Data	
are presented for infants toddlers children and adults If available information on the	
are presented for inflates, todalers, children, and address in available, information on the	
age range and location of exposure are provided in the x axis description. The study year	
and HERO ID (diagonal text below the year) are also provided. Error bars represent the	424
average daily dose estimated using maximum concentrations in dust samples.	124
Figure 6-15. Estimated average intake (ng/day) of IPP, a closely related chemical to PIP (3:1), for total	
exposure. Data are presented for workers, drivers, non-workers, and stay-at-home	
toddlers	125
Figure 7-1. Lifecycle Diagram for 2, 4, 6 TTBP	133
Figure 7-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained 2,4,6 TTBP monitoring	
data	136
Figure 7-3. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations in	
2017. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The	
minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate	
dark color within	
Figure 7-4. Concentration of 2.4.6 TTBP and BHT (ng/m ³) in indoor air for commercial locations in	
1989. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown	127
Figure 7-5 Concentration of 2.4.6 TTRP and BHT (ng/m^3) in ambient air for background locations in	
2010. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	107
2010. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the Dar	13/

Figure 7-6. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/L) in surface water for background locations in	
1999 and 2011. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
length of the bar	138
Figure 7-7. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/g) in sediment for background locations from	
2004 to 2010. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
length of the bar	138
Figure 7-8. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/L) in influent/effluent for near facility locations	
in 2012. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar	138
Figure 7-9. Concentration of 2,4,6-tris(tert-butyl) phenol (ng/g) in fish from one monitoring database	
(USGS). The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown	140
Figure 7-10. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP (ng/L) in surface water from 1994 to 1996.	141
Figure 7-11. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP (ng/g) in fish from 1998 through 2003. Only central	
tendencies (dark blue) were reported.	141
Figure 7-12. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of the sum of seven synthetic phenolic	
antioxidant analogues, which are used as a surrogate for 2,4,6 TTBP, for total exposure.	
Data are presented for children and adults, separated by urban and rural regions.	142
Figure 8-1. Lifecycle Diagram for PCTP	148
Figure 8-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained PCTP biomonitoring	
data	151
Figure 8-3. Concentration of PCTP (ng/L) in human (other) for the general (1992) and high-end (2000)	
populations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire	
length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates	
are shown as a separate dark color within.	151

Acknowledgement

This report was developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT). The OPPT Team acknowledges support and assistance from EPA contractors ICF (Contract No. EP-C-14-001), ERG (Contract No. EP-W-12-006), and SRC (Contract No. EP-W-17-008).

Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the U.S. Government.

1. Executive Summary

Section 6(h) of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA), as amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, directs the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to take expedited action to propose rules under TSCA with respect to chemicals identified in EPA's 2014 Update of the TSCA Work Plan for Chemical Assessments and meeting criteria relating to persistence, bioaccumulation and toxicity (PBT) and other factors. EPA must issue a proposed rule no later than June 22, 2019, with a final rule to follow no more than 18 months later.

EPA has developed this Exposure and Use Assessment for the five chemical substances it has identified for proposed action under TSCA section 6(h) ("PBT chemicals"). This Exposure and Use Assessment will be used by EPA in determining, under TSCA section 6(h)(1)(B), whether exposure to each identified PBT is likely.

EPA conducted a comprehensive literature review to identify, screen, extract, and evaluate exposure information for the five PBT chemicals addressed in this document. EPA also compiled physical-chemical properties and information on uses. Exposure information was categorized as core and/or supplemental. Core exposure data were defined as any environmental monitoring, biomonitoring, modeled environmental concentration, or modeled dose data. Supplemental exposure data were defined as any environmental monitorial exposure data were defined as any environmental fate or engineering data that provided information related to potential exposure sources and environmental pathways.

This document presents available exposure information and integrates the information by environmental media or biological matrix. EPA also provides some context for the sources and environmental pathways that may have contributed to concentrations detected in environmental and biological monitoring studies. EPA generated qualitative exposure scenarios for identified uses for these five PBT chemicals.

2. Background

Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), as amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act, EPA has new authorities to regulate existing chemical substances. Section 6(h) of TSCA directs EPA to take expedited regulatory action under section 6(a) for certain PBT chemicals.

Chemical substances subject to TSCA section 6(h) are those:

- Identified in the 2014 update of the TSCA Work Plan for Chemical Assessments;
- That the Administrator has a reasonable basis to conclude are toxic and that, with
 respect to persistence and bioaccumulation, score high for one and either high or
 moderate for the other, under the 2012 TSCA Work Plan Chemicals Methods Document
 (or a successor scoring system);
- That are not a metal or a metal compound;

- For which the Administrator has not completed Work Plan Problem Formulation, initiated a review under section 5 (new chemicals), or entered into a consent agreement under section 4 (testing), prior to June 22, 2016;
- Exposure to which under the conditions of use is likely to the general population, to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation, or the environment, on the basis of an exposure and use assessment; and
- That are not designated as a high priority substance by EPA and are not the subject of a manufacturer request for a risk evaluation.

Taking the above criteria into account, EPA has identified the following five PBT chemicals for proposed action under TSCA section 6(h):

- Decabromodiphenyl ether (DecaBDE) (CASRN 1163-19-5)
 - Scored high for hazard, high for persistence, and high for bioaccumulation on the 2014 update
- Hexachlorobutadiene (HCBD) (CASRN 87-68-3)
 - Scored high for hazard, high for persistence, and high for bioaccumulation on the 2014 update
- Phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1) (PIP (3:1)) (CASRN 68937-41-7)
 - Scored high for hazard, high for persistence, and high for bioaccumulation on the 2014 update
- 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol (2,4,6 TTBP) (CASRN 732-26-3)
 - Scored high for hazard, moderate for persistence, and high for bioaccumulation on the 2014 update
- Pentachlorothiophenol (PCTP) (CASRN 133-49-3)
 - Scored high for hazard, high for persistence, and high for bioaccumulation on the 2014 update

This assessment follows the publication of and public comment on use documents for each of the five chemicals. The use documents were published by EPA in August 2017 and provide an overview of the Agency's information on uses of each chemical at the time. Relevant information from those use documents and the public comments is presented in each chemical's section in this document. The use documents and the public comments in response are in each chemical's docket.

Since the publication of the Use Documents in August 2017, EPA received public comments on the Use Document and communicated with companies, industry groups, chemical users, and other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use for the five chemicals. These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for the five chemicals. The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate.

3. Approach

EPA used the following information for this exposure assessment. This information, where available, is presented for each of the five PBT chemicals:

- Chemistry and physical-chemical properties,
- Use descriptions,
- Expected environmental partitioning,
- Lifecycle and potential sources,
- Environmental monitoring,
- Biomonitoring,
- Modeled intake and doses,
- Trends,
- Information from completed exposure assessments and review articles, and
- Qualitative exposure scenarios.

This information helps to identify potential exposure scenarios which are the combination of sources/uses, environmental pathways, and receptors.

An exposure scenario is a set of facts, assumptions, and inferences about how exposure takes place that aids the exposure assessor in evaluating, estimating, or quantifying exposure <u>U.S.</u> <u>EPA (2016b)</u>. A scenario is made up of combinations of the following:

- Sources/Context of Use: Conditions of use, translated into specific lifecycle stage and use descriptors
- Environmental Pathway: Information about presence of a chemical within media, transport form source to receptor and the route of exposure

Receptor: Information about presence of a chemical within a receptor (e.g., human workers or general population) or environmental (e.g. aquatic or terrestrial) Questions that help refine exposure scenarios include:

- Sources/Context of Use: What specifically is being manufactured, produced or used and in what manner? Has this changed over time? Is it an ongoing use? Is it a use that is generally controlled by existing environmental regulations? Which lifecycle stage(s) does the use apply to and how does that information provide context about the location of who is exposed during that use?
- **Environmental Pathway/Media:** Within the context of the use, how does the chemical reach the receptor and enter the human body or organism? What environmental media are most likely to contribute to exposure? What are the associated routes of exposure (oral, inhalation, dermal) for these media?

Receptor: Who specifically may be exposed? Could the exposed receptor include specific susceptible subpopulations? How might the demographic or behavioral variability affect exposure?

An overview of the approach taken for each section is presented below.

Chemistry information was primarily obtained from EPA's Chemistry Dashboard (<u>U.S. EPA,</u> <u>2018a</u>). The CAS number, structure, molecular formula, and select synonyms are presented. Note, EPA used a broader array of chemical synonyms in its search strategy and those chemical synonyms are listed in the Supplemental Exposure document. Physical-chemical property information was obtained through a combination of measured and estimated data. EPA's EPI-Suite model was used for estimation, when empirical data was not available (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012</u>). EPA presents the following physical-chemical properties for each chemical substance: molecular weight, density, molar volume, octanol-water partition coefficient Log K_{OW}, octanol-air partition coefficient Log K_{OA}, octanol-carbon partition coefficient Log K_{OC}, vapor pressure, Henry's law constant, and water solubility.

EPA compiled preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use, and disposal for each of the five PBT chemicals in August 2017. Since that time, EPA reviewed public comments and engaged with many stakeholders which further informed EPA's understanding of uses. Use descriptions can be considered holistically to inform how a chemical is used in a given application across its lifecycle (manufacturing, processing, use, and disposal).

From these use descriptions, EPA developed lifecycle diagrams for each of the five PBT chemicals. EPA also completed a qualitative assessment describing relative potential for occupational exposure and relative potential for release to different media from industrial operations.

From available physical-chemical property information, EPA developed a qualitative assessment of expected environmental partitioning should a chemical be released to a given media. This section assumes that processes described in this section occur after release to all media. However, EPA notes that uses and processes for each of these five PBT chemicals are not expected to result in releases to all media.

EPA completed a comprehensive literature search and evaluation for environmental monitoring and biomonitoring data. Studies that contained primary, quantitative, readily extractable data in environmental media and in matrices of biological organisms were evaluated and integrated into this assessment. Note that for the five PBT chemicals found in air and water, no distinction was made during data extraction for chemical bound to particulate matter versus free chemical in air/water. For PIP (3:1) and 2,4,6 TTBP, few monitoring studies were reported. Consequently, EPA conducted a supplemental search on closely related chemicals with similar structures and physical-chemical properties. The list of closely related chemicals is provided in the Supplemental Exposure Document. Of this list, Triphenyl Phosphate (TPP) and Butyl Hydroxytoluene (BHT) were used as surrogate or read-across chemicals for PIP (3:1) and 2,4,6-TTBP, respectively. EPA also completed a comprehensive literature search for and evaluation of studies reporting modeled intake or dose. Studies that contained primary, quantitative, readily extractable, modeled estimates of intake (mass chemical per day) or dose (mass chemical per mass body weight per day) of the five PBT chemicals were evaluated and integrated into this assessment.

Articles that contained spatial or temporal trend data were also evaluated and integrated into this assessment. Natural language processing algorithms were run to identify those that contained the words "temporal, time trend, time-trend, time varying, time-varying, timedependent, time dependent, time activity, trend, spatiotemporal, or spatio-temporal" within either the title or abstract. These articles were then reviewed to determine if they contained spatial or temporal trend data. Additionally, various publicly available databases on environmental monitoring data previously identified by EPA were searched for data on the chemicals of interest; where this data was reported temporally, it was included with the extracted data identified in the systematic review. Note that some databases provided chemical concentrations by country. Monitoring data from developed countries with well-established and enforced environmental regulations may be more relevant for the U.S.

During the development of the literature search strategy, existing exposure and risk assessments were used as the basis for backwards searches to identify primary literature sources. Existing assessments were identified for four of the five PBT chemicals. The results from the assessments are summarized. Note, if these assessments summarized primary monitoring data, those data sources are not reported under existing assessments and are instead reported with other primary monitoring data. Secondary review articles were also identified during EPA's systematic review. Data presented for review articles are limited to those that reported additional information on potential sources and/or environmental pathways in addition to environmental concentrations or doses. Note, if these review articles summarized primary monitoring data, those data sources are not reported under review articles are instead reported with other primary monitoring data.

EPA presented qualitative exposure scenarios for this exposure assessment. These qualitative scenarios provide additional context for likely exposures. These exposure scenarios may have relatively higher exposure potential and may represent a broader range of exposure scenarios. However, they are not intended to be comprehensive of every possible exposure scenario for these chemicals.

The literature search provides information about which of the data types are available and usable for different sources, pathways, and receptors to inform generation of exposure scenarios. Different types of data are needed to characterize the variety of chemical-specific exposure scenarios. A range of qualitative and quantitative data was available and usable for the five PBT chemicals in this exposure assessments across uses, releases, concentrations, intakes, and doses. Quantitative data are summarized for components of exposure scenarios while qualitative exposure scenario descriptions are provided.

Sources/Context of Use	Environmental Pathway	Receptor	Exposure Scenarios
Chemistry and physical-chemical	Fate and transport	Intake and uptake	Qualitative
properties (quantitative)	(qualitative)	(quantitative)	
Use information (qualitative)	Environmental monitoring	Internal dose	
	data (quantitative)	(quantitative)	
Emission/release information	Modeled estimates	Biomonitoring data	
(qualitative)	(quantitative)	(quantitative)	

Table 3-1. Overview of Qualitative and Quantitative Exposure Information used in thisExposure Assessment

In addition, EPA notes overarching uncertainties for consideration below.

Reported monitoring data does not necessarily reflect current or future conditions; but rather the conditions that were present at the time when samples were collected. Even very recent studies represent conditions when samples were collected, generally months to years before the publication of the study. Monitoring data can be heterogeneous in its reporting of free versus particle bound chemical concentrations in surface water and air. Supplementary contextualizing data such as lipid content, sample location, and even level of detection are not uniformly reported. There is uncertainty in both direct comparisons between two different monitoring studies and overall comparison across all monitoring studies. There may be less uncertainty, however, when comparing trends within one monitoring study.

Reported modeling data reflects the underlying assumptions about releases, environmental transport, and uptake. Modeled data also provides evidence of exposures that can be tailored to reflect many past, current, or future possible conditions and scenarios. EPA references modeling conducted by others in this assessment, but did not conduct its own exposure modeling from identified sources. Instead, EPA presents qualitative exposure scenarios and separately presents monitored and estimated concentrations and doses.

There are different approaches to construct exposure scenarios. There is overlap between qualitative exposure scenarios described by EPA and scenarios presented in completed assessments for some chemicals. Comparison of exposure scenarios can involve source attribution. The relative complexity of source attribution varies depending on the continuum of available uses/sources and the media considered. For example, total dust concentrations in a residence represent contributions from multiple different sources. Similarly, the internal dose represents total exposure from multiple media and sources. This source attribution can be qualitative or quantitative. EPA used qualifiers (e.g. higher, lower potential for exposure) throughout the document to describe exposures. EPA focused on describing qualitative exposure scenarios with higher potential. However, uncertainty is acknowledged when describing relative comparisons across exposure scenarios.

Chemical Name	Decabromodiphenyl Ether			
CASRN	1163-19-5			
Synonyms	DecaBDE, Deca, BDE209			
Molecular Formula	C ₁₂ Br ₁₀ O			
Structure	Br Br Br Br Br Br Br Br			
MW	959.17			
Density (g/cm ³)	3.4 at 25°C (<u>RSC, 2013</u>)			
Molar Volume (cm ³ /mol)	282 [Calculated based on the molar mass and density]			
Log Kow	9.97 [(EU, 2002) citing (Watanabe and Tatsukawa, 1990)]			
Log K _{OA}	16 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]			
Log Koc	6.5 [Kow method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]			
Vapor Pressure (mm Hg)	3×10^{-8} [Extrapolated from (<u>RSC, 2013</u>)]			
Henry's Law atm-m ³ /mole	4.5 × 10 ⁻⁸ [Group Method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]			
Water Solubility (mg/L)	0.02 (Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute, 1992)			
Water Solubility (mol/L)	2.1×10^{-8} [Calculated based on water solubility and molecular weight]			

4.1. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties

4.2. Uses

Since the publication of the Use Document in August 2017 for decabromodiphenyl ether (DecaBDE), EPA received 12 public comments on the Use Document and communicated with dozens of companies, industry groups, chemical users, and other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use of DecaBDE (U.S. EPA, 2017b). These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for DecaBDE. The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate. Non-confidential public comments and stakeholder meeting summaries are available in EPA's docket at <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724</u>.

DecaBDE is one congener in a class of chemicals known as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs). PBDEs are a class of substances that contain an identical base structure but differ in the number of attached (1-10) bromine atoms. Commercial PBDEs are only used as flame retardants. PBDE flame retardants perform well in many products, preserving the durability and performance of the material while providing flame retardancy at a reasonable cost. These characteristics have resulted in their widespread use in hundreds of consumer products, including many plastics and textiles (upholstery). Flame retardants, in general, are incorporated into products in one of two ways. They are either chemically bound to the product matrix as "reactive" mixtures, or they are dissolved in the polymer materials as "additives." PBDEs are

additive flame retardants. Additive flame retardants are relatively unattached to the polymer matrix and may readily migrate from products to the surrounding environment during manufacture, normal use, and disposal (<u>Verslycke et al., 2005</u>). End of use for products containing PBDEs include disposal in landfills as well as recycling (<u>USGS, 2006</u>) or incineration (<u>Verslycke et al., 2005</u>).

DecaBDE specifically has a variety of uses as an additive flame retardant in plastic enclosures for televisions, computers, audio and video equipment, textiles and upholstered articles, wire and cables for communications and electronics, and other applications (U.S. EPA, 2017b). The primary use of DecaBDE is in high-impact polystyrene-based products and in the manufacture of textiles and plastics. The three major product categories in which DecaBDE has been used as a flame retardant are: textiles, electronic equipment, and building and construction materials (U.S. EPA, 2017b). DecaBDE is also used as a flame retardant for multiple applications in the aerospace and automotive industries, including replacement parts for cars and aircraft (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724)(U.S. EPA, 2017b).

The uses of DecaBDE that are considered within the scope of the exposure and use assessment during various life cycle stages (i.e., manufacturing, processing, use (industrial, commercial and consumer), distribution and disposal) are depicted in Table 4-1 and the life cycle diagram (Figure 4-1). The information is grouped according to Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) processing codes and internationally harmonized functional, product and article use categories from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in combination with other data sources (e.g., published literature and consultation with stakeholders), to provide an overview of the uses.

Use categories are drawn from CDR definitions laid out in Instructions for Reporting for the 2016 CDR (U.S. EPA, 2016c) . "Commercial use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article) in a commercial enterprise providing saleable goods or services. "Consumer use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article, such as furniture or clothing) when sold to or made available to consumers for their use.

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Manufacture	Domestic manufacture	Domestic manufacture	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u>
	Import	Import	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u>
Processing	Processing – incorporation into formulation, mixture, or reaction	 Flame retardant in: Plastic product manufacturing Textile, and apparel manufacturing 	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u>

Table 4-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for DecaBDE

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
	Processing- Incorporation into Article	 Flame retardant in: High impact polystyrene (HIP) – based products Textiles Electronic plastic casings Rubber (wire casings) Building and construction materials Multiple automotive and aerospace components including adhesives, plastics, and fabrics 	<u>U.S. EPA (2017b); EPA-</u> <u>HQ-OPPT-2016-0724</u>
	Recycling	Flame retardant in: • Recycled plastic pallets	<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-</u> <u>0724</u>
Commercial/Consumer Uses (includes imported articles)	Articles – Plastic articles (hard and soft, including HIP based products)	 Flame retardant in: Plastic electronic casings Toys intended for children's use 	<u>U.S. EPA (2017b); EPA-</u> <u>HQ-OPPT-2016-0724</u>
	Articles – Fabrics, textiles, and apparel	 Flame retardant in: Furniture and furnishings Curtains Construction and building materials 	<u>U.S. EPA (2017b)</u>
	Articles – Complex articles	 Flame retardant in: Vehicles (automotive and aerospace – includes replacement parts) 	<u>U.S. EPA (2017b);</u> <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-</u> <u>0724</u>
Distribution in commerce	Distribution	Distribution in commerce	<u>U.S. EPA (2017b)</u>
Disposal	Air Releases	Fugitive air emissions	<u>U.S. EPA (2017f)</u>
		Point source air emissions	
	Water Releases	Surface water discharge	<u>U.S. EPA (2017f)</u>
	Land releases	Solid wastes	<u>U.S. EPA (2017f)</u>
	Off-Site Releases	Transfers off-site	<u>U.S. EPA (2017f)</u>
	Recycling	Recycled plastics articles containing DecaBDE not intended for use as a flame retardant (toy's intended for children's use, electronic casings, HIPs) ^c	<u>ЕРА-HQ-OPPT-2016-</u> <u>0724</u>

^aThese categories of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, reflect CDR and OECD codes, and broadly represent the uses of decabromodiphenyl ether in commercial and/or consumer settings.

^bThese subcategories reflect more specific uses of decabromodiphenyl ether based on stakeholder outreach, and comments received on EPA's Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal published in August 2017.

^cEPA plans to analyze the use of DecaBDE in recycled plastic pallets, as the flame retardant properties of DecaBDE are still utilized for this particular use. EPA does not expect to consider recycled articles, where those articles do not have intended flame retardant applications.

Descriptions of the commercial or consumer use categories identified from the 2017 OECD Harmonized Use Codes are summarized below (<u>OECD, 2017b</u>):

The "Plastic articles" category encompasses consumer products made of both hard and soft plastics, which includes DecaBDE as a flame retardant, including furniture & furnishings, foam in furniture or mattresses, computer casings, and toys intended for children's products (such as play structures).

The "Fabrics, textiles, and apparel articles" category encompasses construction and building materials, furniture and furnishings, curtains, and other articles with routine direct contact during normal use.

The "Complex articles" category encompasses road vehicles and other vehicles for passengers and goods such as cars, trucks, and airplanes, and machinery, mechanical appliances, electrical and electronic articles such as computers and drills. It also encompasses replacement parts for both the automotive and aerospace industries.

4.3. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning

If released to air, based on its vapor pressure $(3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mm Hg})$ and Henry's law constant $(4.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ atm m}^3/\text{mole})$, DecaBDE will generally partition into water rather than the air. Further, DecaBDE will tend to partition to soil and airborne particulates rather than air due to its vapor pressure and octanol-air partition coefficient (log K_{OA} = 16). DecaBDE adsorbed to particulates in the air can be removed from the atmosphere via wet or dry deposition, but the presence of the compound at remote sites globally indicates that particulate-bound DecaBDE can undergo long-range transport in the atmosphere.

If released to water, DecaBDE in surface water is expected to partition to sediments and suspended particulates, based on its octanol-water partition coefficient (log K_{OW} = 9.97) and organic carbon partition coefficient (log K_{OC} = 6.5). Due to its vapor pressure and Henry's law constant, DecaBDE is not likely to partition from water into air.

In wastewater treatment, DecaBDE is likely to sorb to biosolids due to its log K_{OC} and water solubility (0.02 mg/L) and is unlikely to volatilize to the air due to its Henry's law constant. Due to its log K_{OC} , most DecaBDE in wastewater is expected to be removed by adsorption to biosolids, which may later be landfilled, land-applied, or incinerated. Release of free DecaBDE in effluent water is expected to be limited, although DecaBDE adsorbed to small particles may be present in effluent.

If released to soil, due to its Henry's law constant, vapor pressure, and log K_{OC}, DecaBDE is not expected to volatilize from moist or dry soil. Further, DecaBDE is not likely to be mobile in groundwater, soil pore water, or the aqueous phase in other subsurface environments based

on its log K_{oc} and water solubility, although DecaBDE sorbed to colloids or other particles may be transported in subsurface environments.

If released to landfill, based on its water solubility and log K_{OC}, migration of DecaBDE from landfills into leachate is expected to be limited and slow. Volatilization of DecaBDE from solid waste is not likely due to its vapor pressure.

DecaBDE also may partition to the tissues of organisms that live in water, soil, and sediment via dermal or gill exposure and ingestion. Exposure to water column organisms is also possible via resuspension of the chemical from the sediment to water either sorbed to particulates or part of the dissolved phase. The above characterization is meant to describe the primary behavior or movement of the chemical through a generic environment, not the complete exclusion of the chemical from a given media (e.g., water) or elimination of the possibility for more complex behavior in a particular location.

If released to the indoor environment, volatilization of DecaBDE from consumer products or articles, contaminated water, or other aqueous solutions is not likely due to its vapor pressure and Henry's law constant. Any potential emission to air would likely quickly partition to suspended dust. Due to its log K_{OA} and vapor pressure, DecaBDE is more likely emitted from solid articles through direct transfer to dust or abrasion, partitioning to dust and other particulates. The relationship between the initial concentration of DecaBDE in articles, emission of DecaBDE into indoor environments through various mechanisms and resulting indoor-dust levels, and the final concentration of DecaBDE in articles prior to disposal is not well characterized even though there is supporting data for some aspects of these processes for certain consumer articles.

4.4. Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure

4.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle

Flame retardants are incorporated into products in one of two manners. They are either chemically bound to the product matrix as "reactive" mixtures, or they are dissolved in the polymer materials as "additives." DecaBDE is an additive flame retardant. Additive flame retardants are not chemically bound and are relatively unattached to the polymer matrix. Therefore, they have the potential of migrating from products to the surrounding environment during manufacture, normal use, and disposal (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017b</u>).

DecaBDE's primary use is in high impact polystyrene-based products that are used in plastics, specifically in plastic enclosures for televisions, computers, audio and video equipment, and mobile phones. It is also used in textiles and upholstered articles (including carpets, upholstery fabric, back coatings, cushions, mattresses, and tents), wire and cables for communications and electronics, and other miscellaneous applications (U.S. EPA, 2017b; BSEF, 2007).



^aChemical Data Reporting (CDR) data for 2015; U.S. manufacturers and importers agreed to voluntarily phase out domestic manufacture and import of the chemical no later than December 31, 2013. Preliminary data for the 2016 CDR indicates the total in 2015 is less than 25,000 lbs. The total volume of DecaBDE manufactured (including imported) in the United States was 16,696,951 lbs in 2012, between 1,000,000 and 10,000,000 lbs in 2013, between 100,000 and 500,000 lbs in 2014, and less than 25,000 lbs in 2015. Actual production volume for years 2013 through 2015 is claimed as confidential business information. ^bAn unknown but significant quantity of DecaBDE is expected to be imported in articles.

Figure 4-1. Lifecycle Diagram for DecaBDE

4.4.2. Manufacturing

The commercial production of DecaBDE involves bromination of diphenyl oxide to varying degrees. The degree of bromination is controlled either through stoichiometry or through control of reaction kinetics. The product is dried following reaction and separation steps to form a solid powder {ATSDR, 2004, 1004954}. DecaBDE is not expected to be manufactured or handled as a liquid during these operations. Therefore, the most likely sources of releases and occupational exposures are associated with fugitive dust. These include air releases from transfer and packaging operations (fugitive dust to ambient air as well as dust that is collected and channeled through a dedicated point as a stack release) and solid waste from floor sweepings, disposal of used transfer containers containing residual DecaBDE, and liquid waste from equipment cleaning. Fugitive vapor air releases are not expected due to the low vapor pressure. Releases to land are possible when floor sweepings and other solid waste are collected and disposed in landfills. Similarly, the collection and disposal of liquid equipment cleaning solutions has the potential of generating liquid waste containing DecaBDE (aqueous waste to surface waters and sent to publicly owned treatment works, and organic waste collected and sent for other disposal or waste treatment such as incineration). Historical and recent TRI data confirm primary releases are to air, followed by landfill and water {U.S. EPA, 2016, 3479565}(TRI 2016). Occupational exposures from inhalation and dermal exposure to

dust are expected during transfer and packaging operations and from fugitive dust emissions from process operations. However, exposure to liquids is not anticipated.

CDR Reporting Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Production Volume (lb)	51,008,002	18,110,827	10,000,000– 50,000,000	1,000,000- 10,000,000	100,000– 500,000	<25,000

Table 4-2. CDR Production Volumes 2010-2015

4.4.3. Imported Articles

The 2016 CDR data indicate that DecaBDE is manufactured (including import) in quantities less than 25,000 lbs (U.S. EPA, 2017b). However, significant quantities are also imported as a component of articles, including: plastics in televisions, computers, audio and video equipment; textiles and upholstered articles such as carpets, upholstery fabric, cushions, mattresses, and tents; wire and cables for communications and electronics; and other miscellaneous applications (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724). The quantity of DecaBDE in these articles is unknown; however, it may be substantial. Potential releases from these articles may occur when DecaBDE migrates from the articles during use, disposal, and waste management. Occupational dermal exposures are expected to be minimal, but possible from handling and repackaging articles. Inhalation and dermal exposures are possible during recycling operations (e.g. recycling of plastics) (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724).

4.4.4. Processing: Incorporated into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Products and Incorporation into Article Components

DecaBDE is combined with other ingredients (e.g., monomers) and then molded, extruded, formed into final products, or applied to a finished article, where curing may occur (ACC, 2002). Releases to air, land, and water are expected from DecaBDE and DecaBDE flame retardant formulations (solids and liquids) as well as from off-spec products containing the additive flame retardant. Air releases (fugitive dust and dust collected and channeled to a stack) are expected from transfer operations. Releases to land may occur during disposal of transfer containers containing residual material, collection and disposal of floor sweepings, and disposal of off-spec product. Equipment and general area cleaning with aqueous cleaning materials may result in releases to water. Current and historical TRI data indicate the primary releases are to air, followed by landfill and water (U.S. EPA, 2016d). Occupational exposures from inhalation and dermal exposure of dust is expected during transfer and packaging operations and from fugitive dust emissions from process operations. Dermal exposure to liquids is possible from incidental contact of liquid flame retardant formulations containing DecaBDE during transfer, loading, and mixing operations. Occupational exposures are most likely to occur when the bags of flame retardant are emptied into a hopper prior to mixing. Once formulated, DecaBDE is encased in the polymer matrix and worker exposure is unlikely (ACC, 2002).

4.4.5. Processing: Recycling

DecaBDE is present in plastic that may be recycled and subsequently reused (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724</u>). Environmental releases from recycling facilities are expected from discarded material that cannot be recycled and reclaimed and is disposed in landfills. Releases to air and water are not expected. Limited occupational exposure to workers at recycling facilities is possible from dermal contact during handling of plastic material that is received and introduced into recycling operations, and from inhalation exposure to dust from grinding and shredding operations.

4.4.6. Industrial/Commercial Use: Fabrics, Textiles and Apparel (textile manufacturing)

DecaBDE is combined with other ingredients and incorporated into the back coating of various textiles via roll or dip coating processes. Releases are expected from disposal of transfer containers associated with DecaBDE formulations, waste from equipment and area cleaning, disposal of off-spec product, and bath dumps. Historical TRI data indicate most releases are associated with disposal to landfills, smaller quantities to air, and minimal releases to water. No releases to air or water from textile facilities reporting to TRI have occurred since 2013 (U.S. EPA, 2016d). Inhalation exposures may occur due to: fugitive dust generated from unloading and transfer of the solid flame retardant into mixing vessels; mist generated from the squeezing of the immersed fabric with rollers; from the roll coating application during back coating; and, after the coating operations are complete, during fabric cutting. Dermal exposures to solid and liquid DecaBDE mixtures in fabric finishing may occur from unloading operations, mixing finishing baths, equipment cleaning, and unintentional spilling (ERG, 2004).

4.4.7. Industrial/Commercial Use: Incorporation into Plastic Articles (wire and cable coatings)

DecaBDE is combined with other ingredients and then molded, extruded, formed into final products, or applied to wire or cable (ACC, 2002). Releases are expected from transfer operations, disposal of transfer containers, waste from equipment and area cleaning, and disposal of off-spec product. Historical TRI data indicate most releases are associated with disposal to landfills, smaller quantities to air, and minimal or no releases to water (U.S. EPA, 2016d). Inhalation exposure from fugitive dust that is generated from unloading and transfer of the flame retardant into mixing vessels may occur. Dermal exposure is most likely during formulation when the bags of flame retardant are emptied into a hopper prior to mixing. Once formulated, DecaBDE is encased in the cured coating and the potential for worker exposure is minimal.

4.4.8. Industrial/Commercial Use Articles – Complex articles

Article components containing DecaBDE such as fabrics and plastic parts are incorporated into finished products such as automobiles and aircraft. Releases to land are expected from disposal of off-spec products that contain DecaBDE. Releases to air and water are not expected.

Occupational exposure from dermal contact with article components during installation is possible. Inhalation exposure is not expected.

4.4.9. Consumer Articles

Articles treated with DecaBDE are used in the home, in business settings, and in the transportation sector. DecaBDE has also been found in children's products such as plastic play structures, and toys (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724). DecaBDE is also found in plastics used as components in electrical appliances and equipment such as stereos, computers, televisions, circuit boards, casings, and cable insulation. Other specified uses in the transportation and construction sector are in the fabrics of automobiles, aircrafts, and in wood used as building materials (U.S. EPA, 2017b). DecaBDE's primary use is in high impact polystyrene-based products that are used in plastics, specifically in plastic enclosures for televisions, computers, and audio and video equipment. It is also used in textiles and upholstered articles (including carpets, upholstery fabric, curtains, cushions, mattresses, and tents), wire and cables for communications and electronics, and other miscellaneous applications (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0724)(U.S. EPA, 2017b). The end-of-life disposal and waste handling options for products containing DecaBDE include disposal in landfills, recycling (USGS, 2006) and incineration (BSEF, 2007; Janssen, 2005).

4.4.10. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures

DecaBDE was historically used as the flame retardant of choice in many commercial and consumer products including a wide variety of plastics, textiles, and other uses. Releases to all media and corresponding occupational exposures associated with manufacturing, processing, and use were significant. However, due to potential human health and environmental risks, the principle domestic manufacturers and importers of commercially-available DecaBDE agreed to voluntarily phase out domestic manufacture and import of the chemical no later than December 31, 2013. This resulted in a steady decrease in domestic production volume from millions of pounds per year to less than 25,000 pounds in 2015 (U.S. EPA, 2017b) and (U.S. EPA, 2016a).

TRI data show a corresponding decrease in releases that are reported in each industry sector using DecaBDE. The number of manufacturing facilities, textile manufacturing facilities, wire and cable manufacturing facilities, and other facilities reporting TRI releases has decreased from several dozen to only one manufacturer and 23 other facilities. The total yearly releases to all media and quantities managed as waste have seen a similar decline from millions to thousands of pounds (U.S. EPA, 2016d).

4.5. Environmental Monitoring

Hundreds of studies show that DecaDBE has been detected in a wide variety of media. Table 4-3 summarizes the monitoring data for DecaBDE identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all media considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

The patterns in Table 4-3 are generally consistent with the fate summary and physical-chemical properties in that DecaBDE was detected at relatively higher concentrations in indoor dust, soil, sediment, and sludge/biosolids. DecaBDE was detected at relatively lower concentrations in indoor air, ambient air, and surface water. Detection in ambient air reflects releases to air, which is an important environmental pathway to surface water and sediment. In addition, DecaBDE was reported in influent/effluent and landfill leachate, reflecting releases to water and land.

Media	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection ^a
Indoor dust	Yes	75	96%
Indoor air	Yes	16	94%
Ambient air	Yes	34	94%
Surface/Ground water	Yes	11	100%
Drinking water	No	0	n/a
Soil	Yes	40	100%
Sediment	Yes	65	94%
Biosolids	Yes	19	98%
Wastewater (influent, effluent)	Yes	10	88%
Landfill leachate	Yes	2	97%
Vegetation/Diet	Yes	9	90%
Other	Yes	3	(varies by media)

Table 4-3. Summary of DecaBDE Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature

^aFrequency of detection for peer reviewed studies only

The following chart provides the number of studies reporting DecaBDE monitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 4-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained DecaBDE monitoring data.

All environmental monitoring data that passed EPA's evaluation criteria are presented graphically in the plots below. In short, EPA evaluated sampling methods, analytical approaches, quality assurance procedures, spatial and temporal representativeness, and clarity in reporting. These plots help visualize the data and are organized by study year and microenvironment, when reported. Note, some studies are discussed in 4.7, 4.9, and 4.11 as these studies integrate information on monitoring data and supplemental contextualizing information on uses, sources, and trends.





Figure 4-3. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for commercial (2008 to 2017) and residential (2016 and 2017) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-4. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations (2009 to 2016). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-5. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations (2007 and 2008) and vehicles (2008 to 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for indoor dust contain data for the following: (Al-Omran and Harrad, 2017; Besis et al., 2017a; Cowell et al., 2017; Dodson et al., 2017; Norrgran Engdahl et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2017; Abafe and Martincigh, 2016; Ali et al., 2016; Coelho et al., 2016; Cristale et al., 2016; Gevao et al., 2016; Harrad et al., 2016; Korcz et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2016; Venier et al., 2016; Canbaz et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Olukunle et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2016; Venier et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Abafe and Martincigh, 2014; Ali et al., 2014; Bennett et al., 2014; Besis et al., 2014; Cequier et al., 2014; Chao et al., 2014; Hassan and Shoeib, 2014; Jiang et al., 2014; Kefeni et al., 2014; Krol et al., 2014; Schreder and La Guardia, 2014; Shi et al., 2013; Tue et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2013; Tue et al., 2013; Ali et al., 2012b; Björklund et al., 2012; Dodson et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2010; Dirtu and Covaci, 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Muenhor et al., 2010; Cunha et al., 2010; Dirtu and Covaci, 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Muenhor et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010b; Imm et al., 2009; Lagalante et al., 2009; Allen et al., 2009; Allen et al., 2008a, b; Harrad et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2007)

4.5.2. Indoor Air



Figure 4-6. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m³) in indoor air for commercial locations (2012 to 2016), residential locations (2011 to 2016), vehicles (2008 to 2013), and modeled data (2014). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Ding et al., 2016</u>; <u>Sun et al., 2016</u>; <u>Tao et al., 2016</u>; <u>Venier et al., 2016</u>; <u>Cequier et al., 2014</u>; <u>Cousins et al., 2014</u>; <u>Allen et al., 2013</u>; <u>Bjorklund et al., 2012</u>; <u>Konoplev et al., 2012</u>; <u>Vorkamp et al., 2011</u>; <u>Abdallah and Harrad, 2010</u>; <u>Mandalakis et al., 2008</u>)





Figure 4-7. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m³) in ambient air for background locations (2001 to 2017), near facility locations (2007 to 2014), and particulate data (2016 and 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.


Figure 4-8. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m³) in ambient air for particulate data (2014) and modeled data (2014 to 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for ambient air contain data for the following: (Besis et al., 2017b; Zhang et al., 2017; Ding et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016d; Li et al., 2016c; Liu et al., 2016; Li et al., 2015b; Cousins et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013; Hearn et al., 2012; Konoplev et al., 2012; Moeller et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012a; Chen et al., 2011a; Möller et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2011b; Qiu et al., 2010; Han et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009; Cetin and Odabasi, 2008; Wilford et al., 2008; Buser et al., 2007; Cetin and Odabasi, 2007a, b; ter Schure et al., 2004; Strandberg et al., 2001)



4.5.4. Surface Water

Figure 4-9. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in surface water for background locations (2004 to 2016), near facility locations (2008 and 2013), and modeled data (2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Zhang et al., 2017</u>; <u>Cetin et al., 2016</u>; <u>Kirchgeorg et al., 2016</u>; <u>Zhang et al., 2015a</u>; <u>Wang et al., 2013</u>; <u>He et al., 2012</u>; <u>Zhang et al., 2009</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2008</u>; <u>Zarnadze and Rodenburg, 2008</u>; <u>Cetin and Odabasi, 2007a</u>; <u>ter Schure et al., 2004</u>)

4.5.5. Drinking Water

Of the studies searched, EPA did not identify any studies that reported extractable DecaBDE data in drinking water. DecaBDE is expected to adsorb to suspended particulates, based on its octanol-water partition coefficient (log K_{OW} = 9.97) and organic carbon partition coefficient (log K_{OC} = 6.5). As a result, DecaBDE is not expected to be present in drinking water.





Figure 4-10. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soil for background (2007 to 2017) and near facility (2014 to 2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-11. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soil for near facility locations from 1979 to 2013. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for soil contain data for the following: (Yadav et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016b; McGrath et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015a; Zhang et al., 2015b; Zhang et al., 2015a; Cetin, 2014; Jiang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014a; Tang et al., 2014a; Wang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014b; Tue et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2012a; Jiang et al., 2012; Ni et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2011; Ilyas et al., 2011a; Meng et al., 2011; Qin et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2011a; Zhang et al., 2011b; Duan et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2009; Li et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Zou et al., 2007; Malcolm Pirnie Inc, 1979)

4.5.7. Sediment



Figure 4-12. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sediment for background locations from 2013 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-13. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sediment for background (2006 to 2012) and near facility (2010 to 2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-14. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sediment for near facility locations from 2007 to 2010. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for sediment contain data for the following: (Du et al., 2017; Goto et al., 2017; Su et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017c; Wu et al., 2017a; Yin et al., 2017; Aghadadashi and Mehdinia, 2016; Crane and Hennes, 2016; Mathieu and Mccall, 2016; Piazza et al., 2016; Ruczyńska et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016b; Zhen et al., 2016; Cheng et al., 2015; Kukučka et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Peverly et al., 2015; Su et al., 2015b; Wang et al., 2015a; Wang et al., 2015c; Wang et al., 2015b; Zhang et al., 2015a; Ma et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014c; Barón et al., 2013; Richman et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013a; Dodder et al., 2012; He et al., 2012; La Guardia et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Grant et al., 2011; Ilyas et al., 2010; Ricklund et al., 2010; Roosens et al., 2010b; Vane et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009; Labandeira et al., 2007; Moon et al., 2007; Mang et al., 2007; Breivik et al., 2006b)

4.5.8. Sludge/Biosolids



Figure 4-15. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in sludge/biosolids for near facility locations from 2004 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Wu et al., 2017b</u>; <u>Li et al., 2016a</u>; <u>Deng et al., 2015</u>; <u>Guerra et al., 2015</u>; <u>Man et al., 2015</u>; <u>Stiborova et al., 2015</u>; <u>Lee et al., 2014a</u>; <u>Lee et al., 2014b</u>; <u>Gorga et al., 2013</u>; <u>Ilyas et al., 2013</u>; <u>Daso et al., 2012</u>; <u>Davis et al., 2012</u>; <u>Andrade et al., 2010</u>; <u>Mascolo et al., 2010</u>; <u>Ricklund et al., 2009</u>; <u>Sanchez-Brunete et al., 2009</u>; <u>Shi et al., 2009</u>; <u>Wang et al., 2007b</u>; <u>North, 2004</u>)

4.5.9. Influent/Effluent



Figure 4-16. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in influent/effluent for near facility locations from 2004 to 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Deng et al., 2016</u>; <u>Li et al., 2016</u>; <u>Deng et al., 2015</u>; <u>Man et al., 2015</u>; <u>Schreder and La Guardia, 2014</u>; <u>Wang et al., 2013</u>; <u>Daso et al., 2012</u>; <u>Hope et al., 2012</u>; <u>Ricklund et al., 2009</u>; <u>North, 2004</u>)

4.5.10. Landfill Leachate



Figure 4-17. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in landfill leachate for near facility locations in 2013. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Daso et al., 2013; Kwan et al., 2013)

4.5.11. Vegetation/Diet



Figure 4-18. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in vegetation/diet for background (2008 to 2017) and near facility (2008 to 2014) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Norrgran Engdahl et al., 2017</u>; <u>Shi et</u>

4.5.12. Other

Three studies were identified that reported DecaBDE concentrations in incinerator waste and in seawater.

4.5.12.1. Incinerator Waste

		near facility
McGrath et al. 2016		
	1 10	100
	Concentration (ng/g)	

Figure 4-19. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in incinerator waste for near facility locations in 2016. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (McGrath et al., 2016)

4.5.12.2. Seawater



Figure 4-20. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in seawater for background locations in 2005 and 2012. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Moeller et al., 2012; Oros et al., 2005)

4.6. Biomonitoring

Many studies show that DecaBDE has been detected in a wide variety of matrices. Table 4-4 summarizes the biomonitoring data for DecaBDE identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all matrices considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

DecaBDE was detected in all matrices, which is generally consistent with the fate summary and physical-chemical properties. Dietary exposure through the food-chain and trophic transfer may contribute to presence in biological matrices.

Matrix	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Human blood (serum)	Yes	30	66%
Human (other)	Yes	36	87%
Fish	Yes	20	91%
Birds	Yes	18	84%
Terrestrial invertebrates	Yes	2	86%
Aquatic invertebrates	Yes	10	90%
Terrestrial mammals	Yes	11	79%
Aquatic mammals	Yes	1	100%
Other	Yes	2	100%

Table 4-4. Summary of DecaBDE Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature andMonitoring Databases

The following chart provides the number of studies that have reported DecaBDE biomonitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 4-21. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained DecaBDE biomonitoring data.

All biomonitoring data that passed EPA's evaluation criteria are presented graphically in the plots below. These plots help visualize the data and are organized by study year and microenvironment, when reported. Note, some studies are discussed in Sections 4.7 and 4.11 as they pulled together information on monitoring data alongside supplemental contextualizing information on uses, sources, and trends.



4.6.1. Human blood (serum)

Figure 4-22. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human blood (serum) for consumer (2008), general (2007 to 2017), high-end (2006 to 2013), and occupational (2002 to 2017) populations, as well as monitoring database results (MDI, 2002). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Abou-Elwafa Abdallah et al., 2017</u>; <u>Bjermo et al.,</u> 2017; <u>Li et al., 2017</u>; <u>Meng et al., 2016a</u>; <u>Zhao et al., 2016b</u>; <u>Vorkamp et al., 2014</u>; <u>Zheng et al.,</u> 2014; <u>Zhou et al., 2014</u>; <u>Chen et al., 2013</u>; <u>Yang et al., 2013</u>; <u>Zhao et al., 2013b</u>; <u>Eguchi et al.,</u> 2012; <u>Kim et al., 2012</u>; <u>Liu et al., 2012b</u>; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2012b; <u>Zhang et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Antignac et al.,</u> 2011a}; <u>Xu et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2011a</u>

2009; Jin et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2009; Kawashiro et al., 2008; Thomsen et al., 2008; Gómara et al., 2007; Weiss et al., 2006; Jakobsson et al., 2002), (MDI, 2002)



Figure 4-23. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/L) in human blood (serum) for the general population in 2014. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Vorkamp et al., 2014)

4.6.2. Human (other)



Figure 4-24. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human (other) for general (2007 to 2016), high-end (2009 to 2015), and occupational (2012 to 2014) populations. For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 4-25. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in human (other) for occupational populations in 2011, as well as monitoring database results. For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for human (other) contain data for the following: (Antignac et al., 2016; Leonetti et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016b; Xu et al., 2015b; Abdallah and Harrad, 2014; Krol et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014; Malarvannan et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013b; Gascon et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012b; Eggesbø et al., 2011; Gómara et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2011; Koh et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010; Antignac et al., 2009; Frederiksen et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2009; Malarvannan et al., 2009; Polder et al., 2008; Sudaryanto et al., 2008; Gómara et al., 2007; Kumsue et al., 2007; She et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007),2 (Ma et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2011; MDI, 2002)

4.6.2.1. Dermal Wipes



Figure 4-26. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/cm²) in dermal wipes for the general population in 2017. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following: (Cowell et al., 2017)



Figure 4-27. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/wipe) in dermal wipes from a monitoring database (CTD). The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (MDI, 2002)

4.6.3. Aquatic invertebrates



Figure 4-28. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates for background locations from 2007 to 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Dosis et al., 2016</u>; <u>Pizzini et al., 2015</u>; <u>Poma et al., 2014</u>; <u>Koenig et al., 2013</u>; <u>Richman et al., 2013</u>; <u>La Guardia et al., 2012</u>; <u>Fu et al., 2011</u>; <u>Wang et al., 2009</u>; <u>Miyake et al., 2008</u>; <u>Moon et al., 2007b</u>)

4.6.4. Fish



Figure 4-29. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in fish for background locations from 2006 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Gandhi et al., 2017</u>; <u>Koenig et al., 2013</u>; <u>Chen et al., 2012</u>; <u>He et al., 2012</u>; <u>Mo et al., 2012</u>; <u>Zhang et al., 2011b</u>; <u>Kuo et al., 2010</u>; <u>Roosens et al., 2010</u>; <u>Roots et al., 2010</u>; <u>Shaw et al., 2009</u>; <u>Shi et al., 2009</u>; <u>Guo et al., 2008</u>; <u>Miyake et al., 2008</u>; <u>Wu et al., 2008</u>; <u>Bogdal et al., 2007</u>; <u>Guo et al., 2007</u>; <u>Meng et al., 2007</u>; <u>Munschy et al., 2007</u>; <u>Burreau et al., 2006</u>; Johnson et al., 2006)

4.6.5. Aquatic mammals



Figure 4-30. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in aquatic mammals for background locations in 2009. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following: (Shaw et al., 2009)

4.6.6. Terrestrial invertebrates



Figure 4-31. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in terrestrial invertebrates for background locations in 2011 and 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Yin et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2011a)

4.6.7. Birds



Figure 4-32. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in birds for background locations from 2007 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Fernie et al., 2017</u>; <u>Polder et al., 2017</u>; <u>Zhao et al., 2016</u>; <u>Gentes et al., 2015</u>; <u>Su et al., 2015</u>; <u>Tang et al., 2015</u>; <u>Spears and Isanhart, 2014</u>; <u>Chen et al., 2012</u>; <u>Mo et al., 2012</u>; <u>Muñoz-Arnanz et al., 2011</u>; <u>Sørmo et al., 2011</u>; <u>Yu et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Johansson et al., 2009</u>; <u>Park et al., 2009</u>; <u>Sagerup et al., 2009</u>; <u>Shi et al., 2009</u>; <u>Bustnes et al., 2007</u>)

4.6.8. Terrestrial mammals



Figure 4-33. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in terrestrial mammals for background locations from 2006 to 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Norrgran Engdahl et al., 2017</u>; <u>Zhao et al., 2016a</u>; <u>Chen et al., 2012c</u>; <u>Guo et al., 2012</u>; <u>Shaw et al., 2012</u>; <u>Yu et al., 2011a</u>; <u>Kunisue et al., 2008</u>; <u>Mariussen et al., 2008</u>; <u>Isobe et al., 2007</u>; <u>Sørmo et al., 2006</u>; <u>Voorspoels et al., 2006</u>)

4.6.9. Other

Two studies were identified that reported concentrations of DecaBDE in amphibians.

4.6.9.1. Amphibians



Figure 4-34. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in amphibians for background locations in 2011 and 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Zhao et al., 2016a; Liu et al., 2011)

4.7. Trends in Monitoring Data

Several studies reported temporal trends for DecaBDE in the following media:

• Indoor Dust

- Ambient Air
- Soils
- Sediments
- Biosolids
- Humans
- Aquatic Invertebrates
- Fish
- Birds

Those studies are summarized below.

4.7.1. Indoor Dust

Two studies reported DecaBDE levels in dust from 2004 to 2010 (<u>Whitehead et al., 2013</u>) and (<u>Yu et al., 2012</u>). The difference between the studies appears to be greater than any trends that are seen with time.



Figure 4-35. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in indoor dust from 2004 to 2010.

4.7.2. Ambient Air

One study (<u>Strandberg et al., 2001</u>) reported DecaBDE levels in ambient air across 4 locations and 3 years. Again, no strong temporal trends were observed.



Figure 4-36. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m³) in ambient air from 1997 to 1999.

4.7.3. Soils

One study measured DecaBDE in soils (<u>Yu et al., 2012</u>). Over the short, 2 year, time period of observation, levels did appear to be increasing.



Figure 4-37. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in soils from 2008 to 2009.

4.7.4. Sediments

Two studies reported DecaBDE concentrations in sediments from 1974 to 2005 <u>Kohler et al.</u> (2008) and <u>Chen et al. (2007)</u>. Within the second study, data were provided for three core samples. A general increasing trend was observed.



Figure 4-38. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g dry weight) in sediments from 1974 to 2005.

This is corroborated by Figure 1 in Yang et al. (Yang et al., 2016a) that presents DecaBDE monitoring data from lake sediment cores collected throughout the United Kingdom from 1955 through 2010. DecaBDE concentrations increased steadily from 1955 to 1990, followed by a greater rate of increase between 1990 and 2010. In addition to levels increasing with time, DecaBDE levels were also higher in more urban lake sediments.

Increasing DecaBDE levels with time was also seen in Figures 7 and 2 of <u>Mathieu and Mccall</u> (2016) and <u>Li et al. (2006a)</u>, respectively, for lake sediment cores collected throughout Washington state and the Great Lakes. Specifically, <u>Mathieu and Mccall (2016)</u> showed a sharp increase in DecaBDE levels from the 1990s through 2010 for sediment cores from three lakes. In <u>Li et al. (2006a)</u>, total DecaBDE annual load to the sediment of all the Great Lakes showed a steady increase from 1980 to 2005.

4.7.5. Biosolids

No studies were identified that could be extracted temporally. However, Figure 3 from <u>Andrade</u> <u>et al. (2015)</u> presents time trends of DecaBDE in biosolids collected in waste water treatment plants from the U.S. Over the time period of 2005 to 2011, levels in biosolids appear relatively stable.

4.7.6. Humans

One longitudinal study was identified (<u>Darnerud et al., 2015</u>), which reported human blood concentrations of DecaBDE from 1996 through 2010. Levels appear relatively stable, with a possible peak in the early 2000's.



Figure 4-39. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/m³) in human blood from 1996 to 2010.

4.7.7. Aquatic Invertebrates

One monitoring database (USGS) provided three years of data for DecaBDE concentrations in aquatic invertebrates from 2004 through 2006. No apparent trend was observed, with central tendency concentrations decreasing and then increasing through the 3-year period. The same lack of trend was observed for the minimum and maximum concentrations.



Figure 4-40. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2004 to 2006. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within (dark blue).

4.7.8. Fish

Figure 1 from <u>de Boer et al. (2004)</u> reported DecaBDE levels in Lake Ontario lake trout from 1979 through 2004. While levels appeared relatively stable until the late 1990's, a large increase was seen in 2004.

The large increase between 2000 and 2005 was corroborated by results from one monitoring database (USGS) which showed a similar increase after 2000 and a maximum DecaBDE concentration in 2004. DecaBDE levels in fish were stable between 2005 and 2007 with a decrease in 2010 and 2012.



Figure 4-41. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g) in fish from 2000 to 2012. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within (dark blue).

4.7.9. Birds

Two studies were identified that measured levels in 4 types of bird eggs over 30 years (<u>Baron et al., 2015</u>; <u>Johansson et al., 2011</u>). Dramatic increases in concentrations were seen from the beginning of study (1974) through the early 2000's, when levels appeared to peak.



Figure 4-42. Concentration of DecaBDE (ng/g lw) in bird eggs from 1974 to 2014.

This is corroborated by Figure 1 from <u>Ismail et al. (2009)</u> who studied DecaBDE levels in peregrine falcon eggs in the United Kingdom. Levels appeared to increase from 1980 until the late 1990's and then begin to decrease.

4.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data

Eleven studies that modeled DecaBDE dose were identified: (<u>Ali et al., 2016</u>; <u>Civan and Kara,</u> 2016; <u>Gou et al., 2016</u>; <u>Gou et al., 2016</u>; <u>Polder et al., 2016</u>; <u>Li et al., 2015</u>; <u>Chao et al., 2014</u>; <u>Asante et al., 2011</u>; <u>Trudel et al., 2011</u>; <u>Roosens et al., 2010a</u>; <u>Chen et al., 2009</u>). On average, estimated doses were below 5 ng/kg/day. The highest estimated average daily dose resulted from ingestion, followed by inhalation. Dermal exposure had a negligible contribution to estimated doses.



Figure 4-43. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of DecaBDE for inhalation (blue), ingestion (orange), dermal (grey), and total (gold) exposure. Data are presented for infants, toddlers, children, and adults. If available, information on the age range, exposure media, and location of exposure are provided in the x axis description. The study year and HERO ID (diagonal text below the year) are also provided.

In addition to modeled doses, 14 studies were identified that estimated intake of DecaBDE (Anh et al., 2017; Han et al., 2016; Harrad et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2016; Sahlström et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014b; de Wit et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2011b; D'Hollander et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2010; U.S. EPA, 2010; Covaci et al., 2009; Roosens et al., 2009). Similar to modeled doses, inhalation and ingestion exposures resulted in the highest estimates, with one toddler inhalation estimate (Jiang et al., 2014) exceptionally high at over 4,000 ng/day. The Vietnamese receptor group (Anh et al., 2017) showed higher ingestion estimates than other receptor groups from the UK, U.S., China, Belgium, and Sweden.



Figure 4-44. Estimated average intake (ng/day) of DecaBDE for inhalation (blue), ingestion (orange), dermal (grey), and total (gold) exposure. Data are presented for infants, toddlers, children, and adults. If available, information on the age range, exposure media, season, and location of exposure are provided in the x axis description. The study year and HERO ID (diagonal text below the year) are also provided.

4.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments

Multiple DecaBDE assessments have been conducted, including by EPA, other U.S. and international government agencies, and industry groups, and have been identified as authoritative sources.

EPA's Exposure Assessment of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) (U.S. EPA, 2010), which included DecaBDE, describes the PDBE exposure potential for adults, children, and infants considering the following pathways: house dust ingestion, house dust dermal contact, inhalation, breastmilk ingestion, milk ingestion, dairy ingestion, egg ingestion, beef ingestion, pork ingestion, poultry ingestion, other meat ingestion, freshwater/marine fin fish ingestion, and freshwater/marine shellfish ingestion. Adult and child exposures were dominated by dust ingestion. The next highest adult exposure pathways included milk ingestion, dairy ingestion, and inhalation of indoor air (Table 4-5). U.S. EPA (2010) estimated a total adult intake for DecaBDE of 1.4×10^2 ng/day, but reported only total PBDE intakes for children and infants; DecaBDE intakes were not reported. The assessment identified household consumer products as the main source of PBDEs in house dust.

Exposure Pathway	Estimate in ng/day	
House dust ingestion	1.0×10^{2}	
House dust dermal contact	2.5 × 10 ¹	
Dairy ingestion	6.3×10^{0}	
Milk ingestion	3.0×10^{0}	
Inhalation	1.5×10^{0}	
Egg ingestion	5.7 × 10 ⁻¹	
Pork ingestion	2.5×10^{-1}	
Other meats	1.9×10^{-1}	
Beef ingestion	1.5×10^{-1}	
Poultry ingestion	1.4×10^{-1}	
Fresh/marine finfish	9.0 × 10 ⁻²	
Water ingestion	6.0×10^{-2}	

Table -3 , Total Addit intake Estimates of Decadde (0.3, ETA, 2010), solited ingliest to cowest

In its 2010 exposure assessment, EPA discussed the American Chemistry Council's Brominated Flame Retardant Industry Panel's assessment of DecaBDE developed for the Voluntary Children's Chemical Evaluation Program (VCCEP). The VCCEP assessment estimated DecaBDE exposures for infants breastfed by a mother who worked in a DecaBDE formulation site, infants breastfed by a mother who is involved in the disassembling of electronic monitors, children mouthing DecaBDE-containing plastic electronic products, children inhaling DecaBDE particulates released from plastic electronic products, and children exposed to DecaBDE in the general environment [e.g., soil and dust, diet, ambient air, and water (Hays and Pyatt, 2006)]. See Table 4-6 for the estimated intakes calculated for the pathways addressed in the VCCEP assessment. The highest estimated exposure scenario in this assessment was the upper estimated aggregate estimated exposure for a breast-fed infant of a mother involved in the formulation of DecaBDE. The aggregate exposure for this scenario included the intake for ingestion of breast milk combined with ingestion from consumer electronic products, ingestion from mouthing fabric, and general exposures. EPA reviewed the VCCEP DecaBDE assessment in 2005 and expressed concern about dust ingestion by children via hand-to-mouth activity (U.S. EPA, 2005b).

Age (years) or Lifestage	Exposure Pathway Specific	Mid-Range Estimate (ng/kg/day)	Upper Estimate (ng/kg/day)
0 to 2	Ingesting breast milk from a mother who is involved in the formulation of DecaBDE (bagging operation)	1.9×10^4 (birth to 3 months)	3.4×10^5 (birth to 2 years)
0 to 2	Ingesting breast milk from a mother who is involved in the disassembling of electronics	3.3 × 10 ⁰ (birth to 3 months)	2.5×10^1 (birth to 2 years)
0 to 2	Mouthing DecaBDE-containing plastic electronic products	4.3×10^{0}	2.5×10^{2}
0 to 2	Inhaling DecaBDE particulates released from plastic electronic products	3.1 × 10 ⁻¹	6.3×10^{-1}
Children of all ages	Exposed to DecaBDE via the general environment (e.g., soil and dust, diet, ambient air, and water)	1.2 × 10 ³	3.9 × 10 ⁵
	Aggregate		
Infant	Intakes for ingestion of breast milk from a mother who is involved in the formulation of DecaBDE, plus ingestion from consumer electronic products, ingestion from mouthing fabric, and general exposures	4.6×10^{4}	7.6 × 10 ⁵
Infant	Intakes for ingestion of breast milk from a mother who is involved in disassembling DecaBDE-containing products, plus ingestion from consumer electronic products, ingestion from mouthing fabric, and general exposures	2.7 × 10 ⁴	4.1 × 10 ⁵
Child	Intake from general exposures	1.2×10^{3}	3.9 × 10 ⁵

Table 4-6. Intakes of DecaBDE by Children Estimated by Hays and Pyatt (2006)

Hays and Pyatt, in the VCCEP assessment (<u>Hays and Pyatt, 2006</u>), included estimates of occupational exposures for breast-feeding women. For the scenario involving disassembling electronic monitors, they used serum levels from a study of Swedish workers; the upper level for the analysis was 9.9 ng/g serum lipid and median level was 4.8 ng/g serum lipid (<u>Sjödin et al., 1999</u>). They used the air-serum level ratio from this study and (<u>Sjodin et al., 2001</u>) to estimate serum levels for the formulation scenario. This scenario, which was thought to be the highest occupational exposure, was for a woman engaged in bagging DecaBDE during manufacture or in emptying bags of DecaBDE into hoppers for formulators and compounders. <u>Hays and Pyatt (2006)</u> selected as the upper air concentration level the American Industrial Hygiene Association Workplace Environmental Exposure Level (WEEL) of 5 mg/m³ and a midrange estimate of 1 mg/m³ based on a European Union study that concluded that the majority of workplace air levels were below 1 mg/m³ (<u>ECB, 2007</u>).

<u>Health Canada (2012)</u> evaluated infant, child, and adult DecaBDE exposures via inhalation of ambient air, inhalation of indoor air, ingestion of drinking water, ingestion of food and beverages, ingestion of dust, infant ingestion of breastmilk, and children's oral exposure from mouthing of hard plastic toys. Infants and children had the highest total intakes among the age groups. <u>Health Canada (2012)</u> concluded that the predominant sources of exposure are breast milk for breast-fed infants, mouthing of hard plastic toys for children ages 0.5 to 4 years of age, and ingestion of indoor dust and food for all other age groups.

Age Group	Estimated Total Intake (ng/kg/bw per day)
0 to 0.5 years (breast milk fed)	5×10^{1} to 1.9×10^{2}
0 to 0.5 years (formula fed)	4.1×10^{1}
0 to 0.5 years (not formula fed)	7.9 × 10 ¹
0.5 to 4 years	8.9 × 10 ¹
5 to 11 years	3.6 × 10 ¹
12 to 19 years	1.3×10^{1}
20 to 59 years	9.3×10^{0}
60+ years	7.9×10^{0}

Table 4-7. Estimated Exposure of the General Population to DecaBDE from Health Canada
Assessment (Health Canada, 2012)

The National Academy of Sciences (NRC, 2000) developed "conservative" estimates of exposure to flame retardants, including DecaBDE, for comparison with a reference toxicity dose considering the following exposure pathways: adult dermal contact with DecaBDE on fabric, adult inhalation of DecaBDE particles from eroded upholstery, adult inhalation of DecaBDE vapor, and 1-year-old child oral exposure from repeated sucking on upholstery fabric treated with DecaBDE. In this assessment, NAS estimated two adult dermal absorbed doses using different assumptions regarding the absorption of DecaBDE. The first estimate of dermalabsorbed dose assumed immediate absorption of DecaBDE (9.8 × 10⁵ ng/kg-day), i.e., that the skin and clothing of the person sitting on the treated fabric would offer no barrier to movement of a non-ionic substance and there would be adequate water present (e.g., sweat) to dissolve the nonionic substance and transfer to the skin and into the body of the person. This estimate assumes that all of the substance that dissolves is immediately absorbed by the body. NAS calculated an alternative iteration of dermal exposure, which had the same assumptions as the first estimate, with the exception of the assumption of 100% immediate absorption, which was replaced with an estimate of the rate at which the substance could penetrate the skin and assuming the substance dissolved up to is solubility limit in water. The second dermal exposure estimate resulted in a considerably lower dose $(1.33 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ng/kg-day})$. NAS' estimate of particle time-averaged inhalation exposure concentration for a person was higher $(4.8 \times 10^2 \text{ ng/m}^3)$ compared to its vapor inhalation estimate $(3.8 \times 10^2 \text{ ng/m}^3)$. NAS also estimated a dose for children mouthing fabric back-coated with DecaBDE to be 2.6 × 10⁴ ng/kg-day. They calculated this dose based on the following exposure parameters: area density of the substance (i.e., the mass per unit surface area), area of the fabric sucked on each occasion, fractional rate (per unit time) of substance extraction by saliva, fraction of time a child sucks the treated fabric, and the average body weight of a 1-year-old child. This assessment did not report total intakes.

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) in its proposal for a restriction of DecaBDE (ECHA, 2014) concluded that the indoor environment is an important exposure pathway for consumers. This ECHA assessment stated that the main routes of human exposure to DecaBDE include exposure from food consumption, inhalation of particulate-bound DecaBDE in indoor and outdoor air, and through skin uptake. Children aged 1 to 3 years were identified as the age group with the highest exposures, and breastfed infants were also anticipated to be highly exposed on a body weight basis. The assessment said that fetuses are exposed to DecaBDE through transport across the placental barrier. That said, occupational exposures were found to be significantly higher than consumer exposures.

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee's risk profile of DecaBDE (<u>UNEP</u>, 2014) cited U.S. EPA's exposure assessment (<u>U.S. EPA</u>, 2010) to support their conclusion that ingestion and dermal contact with dust, inhalation of indoor air, and breastmilk are the dominant exposure pathways. It also noted food as a lesser but still important pathway based on the <u>Health Canada (2012)</u> analysis that identified food and dust as the main sources of exposure in adults.

4.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios

DecaBDE was produced and released at higher levels in the past, but continues to be released under current conditions of use. Across the lifecycle, while releases from manufacturing and processing may be declining over time, releases associated with use, disposal, and recycling are likely to increase over time until the stock of available materials with DecaBDE is depleted. This depletion may take several years because of how long articles are typically used before being disposed and/or recycled. Historical and recent TRI data confirm primary releases are to air, followed by landfill and water. When released to air, DecaBDE is likely to partition to particulates where it can be deposited to nearby waterbodies and catchments. A large number of monitoring studies frequently report DecaBDE in sediment.

Experimental product testing studies suggest that DecaBDE can be emitted from articles during use through abrasion and direct transfer to dust on surfaces (<u>Rauert and Harrad, 2015</u>; <u>Rauert et al., 2014a</u>; <u>Kemmlein et al., 2006</u>). There are a wide range of studies that have reported DecaBDE in dust (see Section 4.5.1). Only a subset of dust-monitoring studies considers potential indoor sources, which could contribute to levels reported in dust. However, some studies note associations between emission rates from articles and increased levels in dust (<u>Liagkouridis et al., 2016</u>; <u>Rauert et al., 2014b</u>).

Human exposure to DecaBDE has been documented. Several biomonitoring studies have reported levels in serum and breast milk (see Sections 4.6.1 and 4.6.2). Only a subset of these studies considers source attribution and includes exposure assessments. Those that do suggest that indoor dust and dietary exposures are primary exposure pathways. Based on its physical-chemical properties, ingestion is likely the primary exposure route. Inhalation would likely be comprised of particles which could be swallowed, and dermal absorption is likely low.

Exposure to ecological receptors has been documented. Several biomonitoring studies have reported levels in tissues of fish, birds, and invertebrates (see Section 4.6.3 through Section 4.6.9). Only a subset of these studies considers source attribution and includes exposure assessments. Those that do suggest that environmental and biological levels can be higher near point sources. However, DecaBDE has also been detected in remote areas far away from point sources indicating potential for long-range transport.

Representative Exposure Scenarios:

Ecological: Recycled electronics containing DecaBDE results in releases to air, which deposit to nearby waterbodies and catchments, leading to increased concentrations in sediment and uptake into organisms who ingest or reside within sediment.

Ecological: Direct releases to water and indirect releases to water (deposition from air) from industrial processing facilities is treated at a local wastewater treatment plant. Sludge containing elevated concentrations of DecaBDE is then land-applied where exposure to terrestrial organisms can occur.

Consumer: Residential homes contain several electronic and textile articles with DecaBDE. These articles can emit DecaBDE into indoor air and indoor dust through direct transfer, abrasion, and diffusion. Indoor dust is ingested by children and leads to increased internal dose of DecaBDE.

General Population: Air releases and deposition to soil from industrial facilities and land application of sludge to soil result in uptake to vegetation and other edible terrestrial food sources. Individuals who consume these dietary sources may have increased internal dose of DecaBDE.

General Population: Direct releases to water and indirect releases to water (deposition from air) from industrial facilities lead to elevated uptake and concentrations in edible fish species. Individuals who consume these fish (recreational fishers) may have increased internal dose of DecaBDE.

Occupational and General Population: Workers who are in direct contact with DecaBDE during industrial operations breathe occupational air with elevated concentrations of DecaBDE. These particlulates are primarily ingested after inhalation leading to elevated internal dose. Workers in this scenario who are breast-feeding may have elevated concentrations of DecaBDE in breast-milk which can be transferred to infants during breast-feeding.

Occupational: Manufacturing of DecaBDE results in particulates that are transferred to workplace air during transfer and packaging operations. Workers at the remaining manufacturing facility can inhale these particulates and the particles can settle on exposed skin. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: Processing of DecaBDE into plastic articles results in particulates that are transferred to workplace air when bags of solid (powder or granular) flame retardant are emptied into hoppers during process operations. Workers at plastic processing facilities can inhale these particulates and the particles can settle on exposed skin. Workers can also be exposed to liquid flame retardant formulations when small quantities of the liquid are spilled during transfer operations. Inhalation and dermal exposures are possible from solid DecaBDE formulations and dermal exposure is possible from liquid flame retardant formulations.

Occupational: Processing of DecaBDE into formulation, mixture, or reaction products and subsequent incorporation into article components results in particulates that are transferred to workplace air when bags of solid (powder or granular) flame retardant are emptied into hoppers during process operations. Workers can inhale these particulates and the particles can settle on exposed skin. Workers can also be exposed to liquid flame retardant formulations when small quantities of the liquid are spilled during transfer operations. Inhalation and dermal exposures are possible from solid DecaBDE formulations and dermal exposure is possible from liquid flame retardant formulations.

Occupational: Recycling of plastic articles containing DecaBDE results in particulates that are transferred to workplace air during grinding and shredding operations. Workers at recycling facilities can inhale these particulates and the particles can settle on exposed skin. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: Processing of DecaBDE into textiles results in mist generated from squeezing immersed fabric with rollers and from roll coating applications and results in particulates generated from transfer of solid DecaBDE flame retardant formulations into mixing vessels. Workers at these textile processing facilities can inhale these mists and particulates and droplets or particulates can settle on exposed skin. Both inhalation and dermal exposure are possible.

4.11. Summary of Review Articles

Many review articles for DecaBDE were identified, including several conducted in the past 2-3 years. One of the most recent reviews was a 2017 ATSDR toxicological review of PBDEs (<u>ATSDR</u>, 2017). ATSDR reported concentrations of DecaBDE in ambient air (<1.0 × 10^{-4} -0.9 ng/m³), airplane air (<detection limit-2,100 ng/m³), sediment (<0.51-16,000 ng/g), soil (geometric mean of 15.3 ng/g), indoor dust (up to 29,000 ng/g), food (meat with a mean of 0.05 ng/g wet weight and fish with a mean of 0.1 ng/g), sewage sludge (140-1,470 ng/g), human blood (<0.1-4.8 ng/g lipid weight) and breast milk (0.25-8.24 ng/g lipid weight). ATSDR also summarized the findings of existing exposure assessments on PBDEs, concluding that house dust (ingestion and dermal exposure) is the primary pathway of exposure in the U.S., with dietary exposure being more important in Europe.

4.11.1. Dust

<u>Bramwell et al. (2016)</u> conducted a systematic review of studies published between 2007 and 2015 on human exposure to PBDEs in dust and diet and internal dose. DecaBDE was not measured in any of the studies on dietary exposure to PBDEs, but DecaBDE was the predominant congener found in dust in almost of all the studies. The median dust concentration of DecaBDE in the studies ranged from 106-2,574 ng/g dry weight, with a maximum concentration of 310,000 ng/g dry weight. Serum measurements were sparse but ranged from below detect to 11 ng/g. However, none of the studies reported significant associations between DecaBDE in dust and internal dose. The authors stated that this may be due to recent advances in the ability of laboratories to more accurately measure DecaBDE, because DecaBDE adsorbs to a much greater extent than other PBDEs increasing the difficulty of quantification.

<u>Coelho et al. (2014)</u> also reviewed available published literature describing PBDE concentrations in indoor dust from different regions of the world and different locations from 2003 to 2013. Data from houses close to e-waste centers (high exposure) and airplanes (occasional exposure) were excluded as the authors aim was to describe normal exposure. The highest levels of DecaBDE were reported in car interiors (190,000 ng/g) and in the trunks of cars (2,700 ng/g) in the U.K. Also in the U.K., the highest median level of DecaBDE in house dust was observed at 10,000 ng/g. In general, median concentrations of 1,000 ng/g were observed. The authors also reviewed literature that matched indoor dust and human biological samples (ie serum, hair, breast milk, cord blood) which demonstrated that indoor dust is an important exposure pathway.

These findings are higher than those reported by <u>Akortia et al. (2016)</u> who report median concentrations of DecaBDE of 141-180 ng/g dry weight in dust, but similar to <u>ATSDR (2017)</u> who report dust levels up to 29,000 ng/g.

These findings are generally consistent with the monitoring data presented in Section 7.1.

4.11.2. Soil

<u>McGrath et al. (2017)</u> reviewed soil contamination data on PBDEs presented in English language peer-reviewed scientific literature published up until May 2017. PBDEs have been ubiquitously detected in soils across the world with DecaBDE as the most prevalent congener in all land-use categories, with concentrations ranging from 0.11-8,060 ng/g dry weight. Industrial contamination via production of PBDEs or PBDE-containing products was identified as having the strongest potential to contaminate surrounding soils, followed by disposal via landfill, dumping, incineration and recycling of Deca-BDE containing products. Electronic waste appears to be one of the greatest contributors to contamination in regions where the practice is widespread. High levels of contamination have been indicated in China and other parts of Asia and Africa where informal methods such as burning or acid-stripping of electrical components may enhance release of PBDEs. PBDEs have also been determined in almost all background soils assessed including remote areas of Antarctica and northern polar regions. <u>Akortia et al. (2016)</u> reports relatively lower levels with median measurements ranging from 10.8-28.6 ng/g dry weight in soil to 1,430 ng/g dry weight in soil.

In general, central tendency soil measurements ranged from 100 to 1,000 ng/g in the monitoring data presented in Section 4.5.

4.11.3. Surface Water and Sediments

Iqbal et al. (2017) reviewed available published literature describing DecaBDE levels in freshwater environments. Levels in riverine water are increasing, from below detection in the 1970's and 1980's to 2 to 200 ng/L in North American and European rivers in the 2000's. A recent study found levels of DecaBDE in two rivers in France ranging from 2.1-295 ng/L. DecaBDE is expected to be found bound to sediments, rather than in freshwater. Sediments concentrations worldwide vary greatly from below the limit of detection to 100's of ng/g. This is supported by the ATSDR findings of sediment concentrations from < 0.51-16,000 ng/g (ATSDR, 2017). DecaBDE was detected in aquatic sediments in a European river at 84 ng/g dry weight. A study in this river reported that DecaBDE represented almost 80% of the total BDEs in surface sediment samples. Temporally, DecaBDE concentrations have been steadily increasing in all media across the period studied. Temporally, higher levels were detected across all media near manufacturing sites and urban areas, but levels were also measured in remote and Arctic environments.

Lee and Kim (2015) reviewed the available literature on the occurrence of PBDEs in the marine environment and found concentrations ranging from 0.005-7,340 ng/g dry weight, much lower than the levels measured in fresh waters. The authors also noted low levels of DecaBDE in biota, and attribute this to its low potential to bioaccumulate versus to sorb to sediments.

<u>Akortia et al. (2016)</u> reports relatively lower levels with median sediment levels of 0.819-27,419 ng/g dry weight in fish levels of 0.01-8.2 ng/g lipid weight.

4.11.4. Human Biomonitoring

Tang and Zhai (2017) reviewed the published literature describing PBDE levels in placentas, cord blood, and breast milk from 1996 to 2016. They reported median concentrations of DecaBDE of up to: 45.6 ng/g lipid weight in breast milk, 27.11 ng/g lipid weight in cord blood, and 3.3 ng/g lipid weight in placentas in countries in North America, Asia, Europe, Oceania and Africa. The highest levels of PBDEs in human biological samples were detected at e-waste recycling sites in South China, East China and South Korea. This is supported by reviews aimed primarily at understanding impacts at e-waste facilities, including <u>Sepulveda et al. (2010)</u>. The authors also examined temporal trends and determined DecaBDE levels reached a peak in 2006 worldwide.

These findings are higher than those reported by other studies, including <u>Akortia et al. (2016)</u> who report median concentrations of DecaBDE of 0.06 ng/g lipid weight in breast milk and 0.90 ng/g lipid weight in human serum and <u>ATSDR (2017)</u> who report levels in human blood (<0.1-4.8 ng/g lipid weight) and breast milk (0.25-8.24 ng/g lipid weight).
4.11.5. Dose

<u>Malliari and Kalantzi (2017)</u> reviewed children's non-dietary exposure to brominated flame retardants in indoor environments by searching peer-reviewed literature published between 2002 and 2017. They identified spatial variability, with higher DecaBDE exposure via indoor dust in the U.S. and Europe (highest median concentration of 190,000 ng/g), and lower exposure in the Middle East, Australia, and Africa (highest median concentration of 1,540 ng/g). In Asia, exposure was highest near e-waste recycling areas (highest median concentration of 22,500 ng/g). By combining air and dust concentrations with accepted media intake rates, the authors report that dust ingestion was the dominant exposure pathway for PBDEs, followed by inhalation of indoor air. The authors reported mean daily intakes for ingestion from dust exposure for DecaBDE: these ranged from 0.092 ng/kg bw/day in Turkey to 610 ng/kg bw/day in the U.K. in homes, and from 0.0069 ng/kg bw/day in the U.S. to 28 ng/kg/ bw/day in the U.K. in early childhood facilities and schools. The authors also cite studies showing dermal contact and mouthing of toys also contribute to total exposure, however, at a lower rate than dust ingestion.

These estimates generally align with those of <u>U.S. EPA (2010)</u> and <u>Health Canada (2012)</u> who estimate daily intake from dust ingestion at 10 ng/day and 10-90 ng/kg/day.

5. Hexachlorobutadiene (HCBD)

Chemical Name	Hexachlorobutadiene		
CAS RN	87-68-3		
Synonyms	HCBD; Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene; 1,3-Butadiene, hexachloro-; 1,3-Butadiene, 1,1,2,3,4,4-hexachloro-; 1,1,2,3,4,4-Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene; 1,3- Hexachlorobutadiene; Perchlorobutadiene; Perchloro-1, 3-butadine; Perchlorobutadiene; 1,3-butadiene, hexachloro-; Hexachlorobuta-1,3-diene		
Molecular Formula	C4Cl6		
Structure			
Source: (<u>UNEP, 2012</u> ; <u>HSDB, 2005</u>)			
MW	260.76		
Density (g/cm ³)	1.556 at 25°C (<u>Haynes et al., 2014</u>)		
Molar Volume (cm ³ /mol)	168 [Calculated based on the molar mass and density]		
Log Kow	4.78 (<u>Hansch et al., 1995</u>)		
Log K _{OA}	5.2 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]		
Log Koc	4.1 [Kow method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]		
Vapor Pressure (mm Hg)	0.22 (Daubert and Danner, 1989)		
Henry's Law (atm-m ³ /mol)	0.01 at 20°C (<u>Warner et al., 1987</u>)		
Water Solubility (mg/L)	3.2 (<u>Banerjee et al., 1980</u>)		
Water Solubility (mol/L)	1.2×10^{-5} [Calculated based on water solubility and molecular weight]		

5.1. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties

5.2. Uses

Since the publication of the Use Document in August 2017 for HCBD, EPA received 11 public comments and communicated with several companies, industry groups, chemical users, and other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use of HCBD (U.S. EPA, 2017c). These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for HCBD. The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate. Non-confidential public comments and stakeholder meeting summaries can be found in EPA's docket at EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0738.

HCBD is primarily generated as a by-product of the manufacture of chlorinated hydrocarbons, particularly perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, and carbon tetrachloride, but it can also be produced during magnesium manufacturing via electrolysis (POPRC, 2013; U.S. EPA, 2003). According to recent reports to the UN Environmental Programme, HCBD does not appear to be intentionally manufactured in Europe, Japan, Canada, or the United States. Intentional production in Europe ceased as early as the late 1970s; in various other parts of the world, production of HCBD has been restricted or banned in subsequent years; however, the chemical continues to be manufactured as a byproduct of chemical manufacturing (Working Group of the Basel Convention, 2016).

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Manufacture	Domestic manufacture	Manufactured byproduct	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
Processing	Specialty chemical formulation and packaging	Specialty chemical formulation and packaging	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
	Recycling	Recycling	<u>U.S. EPA (2016d)</u>
Industrial, Commercial,	Clothing	Children's clothing	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
Consumer Uses	Solvents	Solvents used as analytical standards	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
	Waste fuel	Waste fuel for cement kilns	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
	Other	Manufacture of drywall or carbon spheres	<u>U.S. EPA (2017c)</u>
Releases and Waste	Emissions to air	Fugitive emissions	<u>U.S. EPA (2016d)</u>
Disposal		Point source emissions	<u>U.S. EPA (2016d)</u>
	Water Releases	Surface water discharge	<u>U.S. EPA (2016d); EPA-HQ-</u> OPPT-2016-0738
	Liquid Wastes		<u>U.S. EPA (2016d)</u>
	Solid Waste		<u>U.S. EPA (2016d)</u>

Table 5-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for HCBD

^aThese categories of conditions of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, broadly represent conditions of use of HCBD in commercial and/or consumer settings.

^bThese subcategories reflect more specific uses of HCBD based on stakeholder outreach, and comments received on EPA's Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal published in August 2017.

5.3. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning

If released to air, with a vapor pressure of 0.22 mm Hg, HCBD is expected to exist solely as a vapor in the ambient atmosphere.

If released to water, based on its log K_{OW} (4.78) and log K_{OC} (4.1), HCBD is likely to adsorb to sediments and suspended particulates. Its Henry's law constant of 0.01 atm m³/mole and vapor pressure of 0.22 mm Hg indicate HCBD may partition from water into air, although adsorption to organic matter in sediments and suspended particles may inhibit volatilization, based on the compound's log K_{OC} .

In wastewater treatment plants, the majority of HCBD in wastewater is expected to be removed through adsorption to sludge and volatilization to air based on its log K_{OC} and Henry's law constant, but some fraction of HCBD will likely remain in the wastewater treatment plant effluent. Biosolids containing adsorbed HCBD may be landfilled, applied to soil, or incinerated. Effluent is typically released to surface water, where HCBD may further partition to sediments or suspended particles or volatilize to air.

If released to soil, HCBD may volatilize from moist or dry soil due to its Henry's law constant and vapor pressure, but volatilization from soil may be limited by adsorption to organic matter based on the log K_{OC} of HCBD. Based on its log K_{OC} and water solubility (3.2 mg/L), most HCBD in soils and groundwater will adsorb to soil or particulate organic matter but free HCBD may be somewhat mobile in soil pore water or groundwater in subsurface environments.

If released to landfill, HCBD is expected to migrate slowly into landfill leachate based on its log K_{OW}, and may volatilize from solid waste based on its vapor pressure.

HBCD also may partition to the tissues of organisms that live in water, soil and sediment via dermal or gill exposure and ingestion. Exposure to water column organisms is also possible via resuspension of the chemical from the sediment to water either sorbed to particulates or part of the dissolved phase. The above characterization is meant to describe the primary behavior or movement of the chemical through a generic environment, not the complete exclusion of the chemical from a given media (e.g., water) or elimination of the possibility for more complex behavior in a particular location.

If released to indoor environment, HCBD in consumer products or articles, contaminated water, or other solutions is likely to volatilize based on its vapor pressure, Henry's law constant, and log K_{OA}. HCBD in indoor air is not likely to adsorb to dust or other particles due to its log K_{OA}.

Based on its water solubility and log K_{OC} , HCBD subjected to down-the-drain disposal is expected to enter wastewater treatment or surface water either adsorbed to organic matter or free in the water column.

5.4. Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure

5.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle

HCBD is an organic compound. It is a clear, colorless, oily liquid with a mild turpentine-like odor. It does not naturally occur. HCBD is poorly soluble in water. When released to the environment, it is expected to volatilize quickly. Further, its vapor pressure indicates that it will evaporate from surfaces.

HCBD is manufactured as a by-product (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017c</u>). It is processed as a chemical intermediate for products including plastic additives It is used as a waste fuel, as an analytical standard, and as a component of consumer products and drywall. The primary end-of-life disposal options for HCBD include combustion for energy recovery in cement kilns and incineration on-site.



^aNo data were submitted by manufacturers (including importers) under the CDR rule for the 2016 reporting period. HCBD is manufactured as an impurity or byproduct in the manufacture of plastic additives. It is also available for purchase from distributors based in the United States, Europe, and Asia and may be domestically manufactured in small quantities as a specialty chemical below the IUR reporting threshold (U.S. EPA, 2017c).

^bHCBD is produced as a waste byproduct during the manufacture of certain chemicals. Per 2016 TRI data, approximately 12 million pounds of HCBD was collected and managed on-site (300,000 pounds recycled and 11.7M pounds treated) during manufacturing and processing operations; and 27,000 pounds were collected and transferred off site as a waste fuel by cement kilns.

Figure 5-1. Lifecycle Diagram for HCBD

5.4.2. Manufacturing and Import

HCBD is primarily generated as a by-product of the manufacture of chlorinated hydrocarbons, particularly perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, and carbon tetrachloride, but it can also be produced during magnesium manufacturing via electrolysis. According to recent reports to the UN Environmental Programme, HCBD does not appear to be intentionally manufactured in Europe, Japan, Canada, or the United States. Intentional production in Europe ceased as early as the late 1970s; in various other parts of the world, production of HCBD has been restricted or banned in subsequent years; however, the chemical continues to be manufactured as a byproduct of chemical manufacturing.

Various methods for HCBD synthesis have been described in two patents. HCBD can be directly synthesized through the chlorination of butadiene or butane or produced as a by-product of chlorinated hydrocarbon manufacturing, including perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, and carbon tetrachloride. It appears that HCBD generated as a by-product during the synthesis of other compounds of interest may be recovered or recycled for commercial purposes.

Occupational dermal exposures are possible from liquid residue during transfers in process operations. Occupational inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors are possible.

5.4.3. Processing: Plastic Additive and Chemical Intermediate

HCBD may be processed as a plastic additive and as an intermediate for a variety of products. Releases and occupational exposures associated with intermediates are expected from unloading and loading operations and disposal of empty transfer containers. Releases and exposures after completion of reactions is limited to potential contact with low concentrations of unreacted HCBD from incomplete reactions. Specific release sources and exposure pathways to all intermediate uses of HCBD may be similar to those observed from additives in plastic compounding and finishing operations.

Releases of additives from plastic compounding and finishing operations are possible to water, air, and land. Releases to water can occur from the release of cooling water from forming and molding processes where water may have direct contact with plastics, and from equipment and general area cleaning when aqueous cleaning solutions are used (U.S. EPA, 2014a). Land releases are possible from the disposal of off-spec product and empty transfer containers. Air releases are expected to be minimal but are possible from fugitive releases from transfer operations. Occupational inhalation exposures from fugitive vapors and dermal exposure from incidental contact with liquids may occur from unloading and transfer operations when the HCBD is added to process equipment. Once incorporated into the plastic formulation, the potential for worker exposure is not expected.

5.4.4. Industrial/Commercial Use: Solvent as an Analytical Standard

HCBD is available for purchase from distributors in the U.S., Europe, and Asia. Laboratories are the only known direct U.S. consumers of high purity HCBD. Most distributors sell the product in

small quantities and specify its intended purpose as an analytical standard or reagent [ATSDR, 1995 as cited in the (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017c</u>)].

Potential releases to all media are possible from use and disposal of unused experimental reagents and laboratory equipment that may contain residual HCBD. However, releases directly to the environment are expected to be minimal due to handling and disposal requirements at laboratories. Similarly, inhalation and dermal exposure to laboratory personnel is possible from the handling of laboratory reagents; however, it is expected to be reduced by from the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment.

5.4.5. Industrial/Commercial Use: Waste Fuel

Waste containing HCBD is blended with conventional fuels and burned in cement kilns for energy recovery (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017c</u>, <u>2016d</u>). The destruction and removal efficiency of these kilns and incinerators is expected to be significant but not complete, resulting in air releases from incinerator flue gas and land releases from disposal of ash and slag. Minor water releases from equipment cleaning are possible. Current and historical TRI data and 2017 Discharge Monitoring Report data confirm the primary releases are to air, with minor releases to surface water and land (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017c</u>, <u>2016d</u>). Occupational exposures to HCBD at cement kilns and related incinerator facilities are expected to be minimal.

5.4.6. Consumer Use: Consumer Products

Reports from manufacturers to the State of Washington's Department of Ecology under the Children's Safe Product Act indicate that HCBD was detected in 5 of 88 consumer products (<u>WSDE, 2018a</u>). In testing completed by the State of Washington, HCBD was not detected above method reporting limits for 80 similar consumer products (<u>WSDE, 2018b</u>). Reports indicate that HCBD was detected in jewelry, surface coatings of headwear, homogenous mixtures (likely adhesive) in underwear, and surface coatings of dolls or soft toys (<u>WSDE, 2018a</u>). No function was identified for four of the five products, while protective coating was identified as a function for the headwear product. Manufacture of these products may lead to occupational exposures. For example, occupational exposures in the textile manufacturing industry (inhalation and dermal exposure to organic dust and chemicals) are expected during production and packaging operations. Use of these products, if HCBD is present, may lead to consumer exposures (inhalation and dermal exposure) when products are worn or used.

5.4.7. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases and Occupational Exposures

According to the U.N., emissions of HCBD from domestic chlor-alkali plants dropped by 93% from 1990 to 2005. Technological changes, more effective emission controls, and the end of HCBD use as a solvent to scrub chlorine from exhaust gasses contributed to the decline. Euro Chlor, a trade association representing the European Chlor-Alkali industry, stated that historically HCBD was used in agriculture (e.g., seed dressing and fungicide) as well as in the production of aluminum and graphite rods, but its use has "virtually ceased" in response to concerns about how persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic it might be (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017c</u>).

Data pertaining to occupational exposure have not been identified. However, TRI data confirm the number of reporting facilities and the total domestic release quantities to all media have remained relatively constant since 2000 (U.S. EPA, 2016d). These data show 14 facilities submitted reports for HCBD in 2016. Of these, 9 facilities reported manufacture in the United States, 0 reported import, 5 reported processing operations of some type, and 9 reported other uses. Most waste was treated on site, with significant amounts being recycled. Historical TRI data indicate that releases to air have remained the primary medium of release with minor releases to land, and quantities have remained constant for many years. Releases to surface water and quantities transferred to POTWs for wastewater treatment have decreased to minimal levels over time such that total releases to water were less than one pound in 2016 (U.S. EPA, 2016d).

5.5. Environmental Monitoring

Dozens of studies show that HCBD has been detected in a wide variety of media. Table 5-2 summarizes the monitoring data for HCBD identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all media found. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

Generally consistent with the fate summary and physical-chemical properties of HCBD, higher concentrations were reported in ambient air, surface water, soil, and sediment. Lower concentrations were reported in drinking water, indoor air, and sludge/biosolids. HCBD was not reported in indoor dust or landfill leachate. HCBD was reported in influent, effluent, and in ambient air. This is consistent with release patterns that show primary releases to air, minor releases to water, and no or limited indoor sources of HCBD. It is of particular note that the bulk of monitoring studies are older and represent exposures when HCBD was used more broadly or when past use as a byproduct in the chlor-alkali industry likely resulted in higher releases.

0				
Media	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection	
Indoor dust	No	0	n/a	
Indoor air	Yes	2	100%	
Ambient air	Yes	5	100%	
Surface/Ground water	Yes	45	83%	
Drinking water	Yes	2	100%	
Soil	Yes	8	75%	
Sediment	Yes	38	88%	
Biosolids	Yes	1	76%	
Wastewater (influent, effluent)	No	1	n/a	

Table 5-2. Summary of HCBD Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature andMonitoring Databases

Landfill leachate	No	0	n/a
Vegetation/Diet	Yes	1	60%
Other	Yes	1	n/a

The following chart provides the number of studies that have reported HCBD monitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 5-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained HCBD monitoring data.

All environmental monitoring data that passed EPA's evaluation criteria are presented graphically in the plots below. These plots help visualize the data and are organized by study year and microenvironment, when reported. Note, some studies are discussed in Sections 5.7 and 5.11 as they integrate information on monitoring data alongside supplemental contextualizing information on uses, sources, and trends.

5.5.1. Indoor Dust

EPA did not identify any studies with extractable HCBD data in indoor dust. There are not expected to be indoor sources of HCBD (e.g. consumer products or building materials). HCBD present in indoor air may be related to HCBD from outdoor air sources. HCBD in indoor air is not likely to adsorb to dust or other particles due to its log K_{OA}. As a result, HCBD is not expected to be present in indoor dust.

5.5.2. Indoor Air



Figure 5-3. Concentration of HCBD (ng/m³) in indoor air for residential locations (2004) and modeled data (2005). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (ATSDR, 2005b; Crump et al., 2004)



5.5.3. Ambient Air

Figure 5-4. Concentration of HCBD (ng/m³) in ambient air for near facility locations (1976 to 2006), modeled data (1979), and from monitoring databases (EPA AMTIC). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This chart contains data for the following: (<u>ATSDR, 2006</u>; <u>U.S. EPA, 1990</u>; <u>Pellizzari, 1982</u>; <u>Pellizzari et al., 1979</u>; <u>U.S. EPA, 1976</u>)



5.5.4. Surface Water

Figure 5-5. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in surface water for background locations (1983 to 2007), near facility locations (1984 to 1997), and from monitoring databases (IPCHEM). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 5-6. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in surface water from monitoring databases (IPCHEM, USGS). For each database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for surface water contain data for the following: (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>Fatta et al., 2007</u>; <u>Bart H L et al., 1998</u>; <u>Götz et al., 1998</u>; <u>Burkhard et al., 1997</u>; <u>Botta et al., 1996</u>; <u>Chan, 1993</u>; <u>Halfon and Poulton, 1992</u>; <u>Gomez-Belinchon et al., 1991</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>; <u>Oliver and Kaiser, 1986</u>; <u>van de Meent et al., 1986</u>; <u>Harris et al., 1984</u>; <u>Fox et al., 1983</u>)

5.5.5. Drinking Water



Figure 5-7. Concentration of HCBD (μ g/L) in drinking water for background locations (2013) and modeled data (1979). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (ATSDR, 2013; Pellizzari et al., 1979)

5.5.6. Soil



Figure 5-8. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in soil for background locations (2003 and 2014), near facility locations (1976 to 2017), modeled data (1982), and from monitoring databases (USGS). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Sun et al., 2017</u>; <u>Tang et al., 2016</u>; <u>Tang et al.,</u> <u>2014b</u>; <u>ATSDR, 2005a</u>, <u>2003</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>; <u>DOE, 1989</u>; <u>Naylor and Loehr, 1982</u>; <u>U.S. EPA, 1976</u>)

5.5.7. Sediment



Figure 5-9. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediment for background locations (1983 to 2010, near facility locations (1985 to 2000), suspended sediments (1983 to 1997), and from monitoring databases (ICES, IPCHEM). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 5-10. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediment from monitoring databases (IPCHEM, USGS, EPA GLENDA). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

The above figures for sediment contain data for the following: (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>U.S. EPA, 2018b</u>; <u>Richman and Milani, 2010</u>; <u>Lee et al., 2005</u>, <u>2000</u>; <u>Serdar et al., 2000</u>; <u>Bart H L et al., 1998</u>; <u>Burkhard et al., 1997</u>; <u>C-L and M-D, 1997</u>; <u>Koelmans et al., 1997</u>; <u>Norton, 1996</u>; <u>Chan, 1993</u>; <u>Mudroch et al., 1992</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>; <u>Kaiser K et al., 1990</u>; <u>Oliver, 1987</u>; <u>Oliver and Pugsley, 1986</u>; <u>Oliver and Kaiser, 1986</u>; <u>Malins et al., 1985</u>; <u>Durham and Oliver, 1983</u>; <u>Fox et al., 1983</u>)

5.5.8. Sludge/Biosolids



Figure 5-11. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sludge/biosolids for near facility locations in 2014. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Zhang et al., 2014a)

5.5.9. Influent/Effluent



Figure 5-12. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in influent/effluent from monitoring databases (EPA DMR). For each database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (U.S. EPA, 2007)

5.5.10. Landfill Leachate

Of the studies searched, we did not identify any studies with detectable levels of HCBD in landfill leachate.

5.5.11. Vegetation/Diet



Figure 5-13. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in vegetation/diet for near facility locations in 1975. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Pearson and Mcconnell, 1975)

5.5.12. Other

One study was identified that reported concentrations of HCBD in seawater.

5.5.12.1. Seawater



Figure 5-14. Concentration of HCBD (ng/L) in seawater for near facility locations in 1975. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Pearson and Mcconnell, 1975)

5.6. Biomonitoring

Dozens of studies show that HCBD has been detected in a wide variety of matrices. Table 5-3 summarizes the biomonitoring data for HCBD identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all matrices. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

Table 5-3. Summary of HCBD Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature andMonitoring Databases

Matrix	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Human blood (serum)	No	0	n/a
Human (other)	No	0	n/a
Fish	Yes	25	48%
Birds	Yes	2	27%
Terrestrial invertebrates	Yes	3	78%
Aquatic invertebrates	Yes	17	37%
Terrestrial mammals	Yes	2	66%
Aquatic mammals	No	1	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

The following chart provides the number of studies that reported HCBD biomonitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 5-15. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained HCBD biomonitoring data.

5.6.1. Human blood (serum)

EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of HCBD in human blood.

5.6.2. Aquatic invertebrates



Figure 5-16. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates for background locations (1983 to 2004) and from monitoring databases (IPCHEM, ICES, USGS). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>ICES, 2018</u>; <u>Vorkamp et al., 2004</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>; <u>Oliver, 1984</u>; <u>Fox et al., 1983</u>)

5.6.3. Fish



Figure 5-17. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in fish for background locations (1975 to 2014) and from monitoring databases (ICES, IPCHEM, USGS). For each year/database, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>ICES, 2018</u>; <u>Lava et al., 2014</u>; <u>Jürgens et al., 2013</u>; <u>Macgregor et al., 2010</u>; <u>Vorkamp et al., 2004</u>; <u>Bart H L et al., 1998</u>; <u>Kuehl et al., 1994</u>; <u>U.S. EPA, 1992</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>; <u>De Boer, 1989</u>; <u>Clark et al., 1984</u>; <u>Goldbach et al., 1976</u>; <u>Yip, 1976</u>; <u>Pearson and Mcconnell, 1975</u>)

5.6.4. Aquatic mammals

					MMDB
ICES-Dome-Denmark 1999-2000					
0.0	1	0.1		1	10
			Concentration (ng/g)		

Figure 5-18. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic mammals from one monitoring database (ICES). The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (ICES, 2018)

5.6.5. Terrestrial invertebrates



Figure 5-19. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in terrestrial invertebrates for background locations from 1975 to 1987. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Oliver, 1987</u>; <u>Goldbach et al., 1976</u>; <u>Pearson and</u> <u>Mcconnell, 1975</u>)

5.6.6. Birds



Figure 5-20. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in birds for background locations in 1975 and 2004. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Vorkamp et al., 2004; Pearson and Mcconnell, 1975)

5.6.7. Terrestrial mammals



Figure 5-21. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in terrestrial mammals for background locations in 1975 and 2004. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Vorkamp et al., 2004; Pearson and Mcconnell, 1975)

5.7. Trends in Monitoring Data

Several studies reported temporal trends for HCBD in the following media:

- Ambient Air
- Soils
- Sediments
- Influent/Effluents
- Aquatic Invertebrates
- Fish
- Aquatic Mammals

Those studies are summarized below.

5.7.1. Ambient Air

One monitoring database (EPA AMTIC) reported HCBD levels in ambient air from 1990 through 2014 (U.S. EPA, 1990). In general, HCBD concentrations spanned three orders of magnitude, from 10^{1} to 10^{4} ng/m³, with no strong temporal trends observed. From 2004 to 2006, greater variability was observed with a larger range of concentrations detected and/or higher maximum concentrations.



Figure 5-22. Concentration of HCBD (ng/m³) in ambient air from 1990 to 2014. For each row of data, the entire length of the bar represents the range of values reported. The darker color within the bar shows the minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates.

5.7.2. Soils

Eleven years of monitoring data were reported in the USGS database for HCBD concentrations in soils. From 1990 to 2015, no strong temporal trends were observed, with concentrations ranging over five orders of magnitude.



Figure 5-23. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in soils from 1990 to 2015. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within (dark brown).

5.7.3. Sediments

Four monitoring databases (ICES, IPCHEM, USGS, EPA GLENDA) reported concentrations of HCBD in sediments from 1985 through 2016 (EC, 2018; ICES, 2018; U.S. EPA, 2018b; USGS, 1991). Data presented in Figure 5-24 to Error! Reference source not found. were obtained by filtering by media and desired date range. No strong temporal trends were observed when all databases were considered together or when databases were individually considered. From 1985 through 2008, US sediments reported higher concentrations of HCBD than sediment concentrations from The Netherlands, Germany, Belgium, France, Malta, Spain, and Denmark. The latter group of seven countries are part of the European Union and subject to different regulations than the US, which may contribute to the differences observed.



Figure 5-24. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 1985 to 2004. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 5-25. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 2004 to 2009. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate color within (darker color).



Figure 5-26. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in sediments from 2009 to 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

5.7.4. Influent/Effluents

One monitoring database (EPA DMR) reported HCBD levels in the influent/effluents of wastewater from 2007 through 2017 (U.S. EPA, 2007). A decrease in concentration was observed between 2007 and 2011, with levels steady between 2011 and 2017.



Figure 5-27. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in influent/effluents from 2007 through 2017. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

5.7.5. Aquatic Invertebrates

Three monitoring databases (ICES, IPCHEM, USGS) reported concentrations of HCBD in aquatic invertebrates from 2000 through 2016 (EC, 2018; ICES, 2018; USGS, 1991). Data presented in Figure 5-28 and Figure 5-29 were obtained by filtering by media and desired date range. No strong temporal trends were observed when all databases were considered together or when databases were individually considered. Concentrations of HCBD in aquatic invertebrate were all lower than 100 ng/L with the exception of the IPCHEM results reported in 2012 from Slovenia, which had a median reported concentration of 15,000 ng/L.



Figure 5-28. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2000 through 2011. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 5-29. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic invertebrates from 2011 through 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

5.7.6. Fish

Three monitoring databases (USGS, ICES, IPCHEM) reported concentrations of HCBD in fish from 1999 through 2016 (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>ICES, 2018</u>; <u>USGS, 1991</u>). Data presented in Figure 5-30 and Figure 5-31 were obtained by filtering by media and desired date range. When considering the

median reported concentrations, HCBD concentrations were stable in fish from the U.S. from 1999 to 2003, followed by a decrease from 2005 to 2007. No other strong temporal trends were observed.



Figure 5-30. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in fish from 1998 through 2012. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.



Figure 5-31. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in fish from 2012 through 2016. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

5.7.7. Aquatic Mammals

Two monitoring databases (ICES and IPCHEM) reported concentrations of HCBD in aquatic mammals in Denmark for two years, 1999 and 2000 (<u>EC, 2018</u>; <u>ICES, 2018</u>). Results from both databases showed an increase from 1999 to 2000.



Figure 5-32. Concentration of HCBD (ng/g) in aquatic mammals in 1999 and 2000. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

5.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data

One study was identified that modeled dermal dose of HCBD (<u>HHS, 2005</u>). Adults were found to have a slightly higher estimated dose than children ages 2-16 although all estimates were 0.04 ng/kg/day or lower.



Figure 5-33. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of HCBD for dermal exposure. Data are presented for adults and two groups of children between 2-6 and 7-16 years of age.

5.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments

EPA identified two risk assessments of HCBD. An assessment by Environment Canada and Health Canada (<u>Canada, 2000</u>), *Priority Substances List Assessment Report: Hexachlorobutadiene*, stated that food and air appear to be the major routes of general population exposure for HCBD. Sources of HCBD included releases during refuse combustion and transboundary movement from foreign sources. This Canadian assessment estimated child and adult exposures from air, drinking water, and food as well as the total intake from these exposures; see Table 5-4. Data were insufficient to estimate intake from soil. The assessment also identified a conservative environmental exposure value for pelagic organisms of 0.0027 μ g/L, which was the highest reported concentration of HCBD detected in the St. Clair River in 1994.

 Table 5-4. Estimated Exposure of the General Population to HCBD (Environment Canada and Health Canada (2000)

Age Group	Estimated Total Intake (ng/kg/bw per day)
0 to 0.5 years	5.0×10^{1} to 9.0×10^{1}
0.5 to 4 years	4.0×10^{1} to 2.0×10^{2}
5 to 11 years	3.0×10^{1} to 9.0×10^{1}
12 to 19 years	1×10^{1} to 5×10^{1}
20 to 70 years	1×10^{1} to 5×10^{1}

An assessment by <u>Euro Chlor (2002)</u> of risks to marine (North Sea) ecological receptors also identified food as being a potentially significant source of HCBD. This assessment did not provide unique concentrations or doses.

5.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios

HCBD is a highly regulated chemical. In tandem with increased regulation, releases of HCBD have declined over time. This is likely due to many factors including improved control technologies, increased use of processes that minimize waste, and required processing of waste at hazardous waste facilities which have more stringent control technologies to reduce emissions.

Human exposure to HCBD has limited documentation from one biomonitoring study. <u>Choudhary (1995)</u> provide a review of HCBD exposure to humans and notes potential for general population and occupational exposure at that time. The overall magnitude of exposures has likely decreased due to lower releases and control technologies within facilities. However, potential for human exposure remains. Based on its physical-chemical properties, inhalation is likely the primary exposure route although ingestion and dermal exposure are possible.

Exposure to ecological receptors has been documented. Several biomonitoring studies have reported levels in tissues of fish, birds, and invertebrates. Only a subset of these studies considers source attribution and includes exposure assessments. Those that do suggest that environmental and biological levels can be higher near point sources. In addition, the majority of these monitoring studies is older and represents conditions when HCBD was likely released to the environment in higher amounts. However, potential for ecological exposure remains as some current releases still occur as documented through reporting to TRI, DMR, and AMTIC databases.

Representative Exposure Scenarios:

Occupational: Byproduct generation of HCBD during manufacture of other chlorinated solvents results in fugitive vapors during processing operations. Workers at these manufacturing facilities can inhale these vapors. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: Processing of HCBD into plastic articles results in fugitive vapors during unloading and transfer operations when the formulations containing HCBD are added to process

equipment. Workers at these processing facilities can inhale these vapors. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: Industrial and commercial use of HCBD as a solvent in analytical standards results in fugitive vapors. Laboratory workers may inhale these vapors and incidental contact with exposed skin may occur from accidental spills during use of the standards. However, the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment is expected to reduce these exposures.

Occupational: Industrial and commercial use of waste derived fuel containing HCBD can result in fugitive vapors during loading and unloading operations. Workers can inhale these vapors and may be exposed due to accidental spills during transfer operations. However, these exposures are expected to be minimized due to small concentrations of HCBD in the fuel and when closed-system transfer operations are used.

Ecological: Releases to water from industrial operations during processing of HCBD into plastic articles leads to elevated concentrations of HCBD in surface water and sediment, where exposure to aquatic and terrestrial organisms can occur.

General Population: Releases of HBCD to air near industrial facilities from industrial and commercial use as a waste fuel can lead to elevated concentrations of HCBD in ambient air, where exposure to residents living near these facilities can occur.

5.11. Summary of Review Articles

Four review articles presented exposure and doses for HCBD. However, each of the review articles was relatively dated, with publication dates ranging from 1975 to 2001.

<u>Mumma and Lawless (1975)</u> conducted a study between June 1973 and October 1974 to identify possible sources and effects of a number of chemicals, including HCBD. At that time, HCBD was only imported to the U.S., primarily as by-product, contaminant, or component of waste materials from production of tetrachloride, perchloroethylene, and trichloroethylene. Due to its lack of degradation, the authors recommended disposal via incineration.

The International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS, 1994) identified emissions from waste and dispersive use as the main source of HCBD. Environmental transport occurs by volatilization, adsorption to particulate matter, and subsequent deposition or sedimentation. HCBD was predominantly found to be in sediment and biota. HCBD has been measured in urban air below 0.5 μ g/m³ and below 1 pg/m³ in remote areas. European freshwater concentrations were recorded up to 2 μ g/L with mean levels below 100 ng/L. In the Great Lakes area of Canada, much lower levels (around 1 ng/L) were measured; however, sediment levels were as high as 120 μ g/kg dry weight. Older sediment layers from around 1960 contained higher concentrations (up to 550 μ g/kg wet weight). Concentrations of HCBD in aquatic organisms, birds, and mammals indicate bioaccumulation but not biomagnification. The concentrations of HCBD in freshwater biota measured since 1980 generally do not exceed 100 μ g/kg fresh weight, but in a polluted area can reach 120 mg/kg in the lipid of fish. HCBD has also been detected in human urine, blood, and tissues. One study reported occupational exposures of 1.6-12.2 mg/m³ and urine levels of up to 20 mg/L. Exposure of the general public mainly occurs indirectly via ingestion of drinking water and food of high lipid content. Assuming a maximum concentration of 2.5 μ g/L in contaminated drinking-water and 10 μ g/kg wet weight in contaminated fatty food items (meat, fish, milk) and daily intakes of 2 L drinking-water, 0.3 kg meat, 0.2 kg fish and 0.5 kg milk, the authors noted a maximum total daily intake of 0.2 μ g/kg body weight can be calculated for a 70-kg person.

<u>Choudhary (1995)</u> reported HCBD in 72 ambient air samples collected from urban and other areas with expected high concentrations of HCBD at 3.6 ppt. HCBD was also detected in some surface waters, but the incidence of detection was low (12 of 593 ambient water samples in the EPA's STORET database). Additionally, HCBD was detected in some groundwater samples, coastal waters of the Gulf of Mexico, and fish samples. In addition, it was detected in drinking water at low levels and some foodstuffs in the UK and Germany, but not in the U.S. Exposures to HCBD are also likely from occupationally related use of this compound where inhalation and dermal contact are the most common routes of exposure.

Farrar (2001) described a study conducted by ICI (the chemical company) investigating the fate, transport, and potential health implications resulting from the migration of HCBD in homes near its sandstone quarries located on the bluff of the Mersey estuary close to Weston village in England. As part of an extensive indoor monitoring program conducted by ICI, indoor air in a small number of properties was shown to have HCBD levels greater than 0.6 ppb (24 hour time-weighted average, the proposed toxicity benchmark), but the vast majority of properties in the vicinity of the quarries were shown to be much lower.

More recently, Environment Canada and Health Canada (<u>Canada, 2000</u>), conducted an HCBD exposure assessment and estimated daily intakes on the order of 10¹ to 10² ng/kg/day.

6. Phenol, Isopropylated, Phosphate (3:1)-PIP (3:1)

Chemical Name	Phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1)		
CASRN	2502-15-0		
Synonyms	ITPP, PIP (3:1)		
Molecular Formula			

6.1. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties

Structure	$R^{x} \longrightarrow O \longrightarrow O \longrightarrow O$ Where R ^x = H or CH(CH ₃) ₂ and all three rings have at least one -CH(CH ₃) ₂ group.
W	452.53
Density (g/cm ³)	CAS 26967-76-0: 1.159 at 20°C (<u>Kirk, 1982</u>)
Molar Volume (cm ³ /mol)	390 [Calculated based on the molar mass and density]
Log K _{ow}	9.07 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Log K _{OA}	14 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Log K _{oc}	5.7 [K _{ow} method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Vapor Pressure (mm Hg)	2.1 × 10 ⁻⁸ [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012</u>)]
Henry's Law (atm-	2.9 × 10 ⁻⁷ [Bond Method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
m³/mole)	
Water Solubility (mg/L)	2.6 × 10 ⁻⁵ [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012</u>)]
Water Solubility (mol/L)	5.8×10^{-11} [Calculated based on water solubility and molecular weight, estimated
	using EPISuite v 4.11 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012</u>)]

6.2. Uses

Since the publication of the Use Document in August 2017 for PIP (3:1), EPA received 15 public comments on the Use Document and communicated with dozens of companies, industry groups, chemical users, and other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use of PIP (3:1) (U.S. EPA, 2017e). These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for PIP (3:1). The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate. Non-confidential public comments and stakeholder meeting summaries are available in EPA's docket at EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730.

As reported to the 2016 CDR, the types of processes using PIP (3:1) include incorporation into articles, use as a chemical processing or manufacturing aid, and incorporation into a formulation, mixture or reaction product. Because PIP (3:1) is a liquid, processing into lubricant products and liquid flame retardants involves adding it into formulated mixtures.

PIP (3:1) is included in various formulations, where it may serve a functional purpose as a flame retardant, plasticizer, anti-compressibility additive, anti-wear additive, or some combination of these functions. PIP (3:1) is in hydraulic fluid, both in aviation and industrial machinery (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730</u>). In these hydraulic fluids, PIP (3:1) acts as a flame retardant and as an anti-compressibility additive. It is also added to various lubricating oils, where it may act as a flame retardant or an anti-compressibility additive. In some cases, such as lubricating oils used in helicopter gear boxes and other circulating oils and grease for industrial equipment, its functional purpose is as an anti-wear additive (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730</u>).

PIP (3:1) is also added to various industrial products where it acts as both a plasticizer and flame retardant. This includes epoxy coatings on the decks of shipping vessels, coatings for pipes and insulation in construction, adhesives and sealants in insulation for pipes in chemical plants and other manufacturing facilities (U.S. EPA, 2017e)(EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730). PIP (3:1) has been generally identified, by commenters and others, as a possible component in plastic products and articles, including children's products, automotive, and aerospace products (U.S. EPA, 2017e)(EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730).

The uses of PIP (3:1) that are considered within the scope of the use and exposure assessment during various life cycle stages (i.e. manufacturing, processing, use (industrial, commercial and consumer), distribution and disposal) are depicted in Table 6-1 and the life cycle diagram (Figure 6-1). The information is grouped according to Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) processing codes and internationally harmonized functional, product and article use categories from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in combination with other data sources (e.g., published literature and consultation with stakeholders), to provide an overview of conditions of use.

Use categories are drawn from Instructions for Reporting for the 2016 CDR. "Industrial use" means use at a site at which one or more chemicals or mixtures are manufactured (including imported) or processed. "Commercial use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article) in a commercial enterprise providing saleable goods or services. "Consumer use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article, such as furniture or clothing) when sold to or made available to consumers for their use (U.S. EPA, 2016c).

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Manufacturing	Manufacturing	Domestic manufacturing	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u>
		Import	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u>
Processing	Incorporated into	Flame retardant in:	U.S. EPA (2016a); U.S. EPA
	formulation, mixture, or	 Plastic material and resin 	<u>(2017e)</u>
	reaction product	manufacturing	
		Plastics product manufacturing	
		Aviation hydraulic fluid	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e)</u> ; <u>EPA-HQ-</u>
			<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		Other industrial hydraulic fluid	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e); EPA-HQ-</u>
			<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		Petroleum lubricating oils and	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u> ; <u>EPA-HQ-</u>
		grease manufacturing	<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		Paints and coatings manufacturing	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a)</u> ; <u>EPA-HQ-</u>
		 – PIP 3:1 may act as a plasticizer, 	<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		flame retardant, or both	
		Adhesives and sealants	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e); U.S. EPA</u>
			(2016a); EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-
			0730

Table 6-1. Use	Categories and	Subcategories for F	PIP (3:1)
Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
---------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------
	Processing,	Flame retardant in	U.S. EPA (2016a); EPA-HQ-
	incorporation into an	 Adhesive manufacturing 	<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
	article	 Paint and coating 	
		manufacturing	
		 Plastic material resin 	
		manufacturing	
		 Transportation equipment 	
		(PIP 3:1 may serve multiple	
		functional uses in these	
		sectors, including as a	
		plasticizer)	
		Petroleum lubricating oil and	(2016_{2}) ; EPA (2017e); U.S. EPA
		grease manufacturing	(2016a); <u>EPA-HQ-OPP1-2016-</u>
		Synthetic rubber manufacturing	US ERA (2016a)
Distribution in commerce	Distribution	Distribution in commerce	U.S. EPA (2010a)
Distribution in commerce	Distribution	Distribution in commerce	(2016a)
Industrial and commercial	Hydraulic fluid	Aviation hydraulic fluid used in	U.S. FPA (2017e): U.S. FPA
use		airplanes	(2016a): EPA-HO-OPPT-2016-
			0730
		Hydraulic fluid for other industrial	U.S. EPA (2017e); CDR 2016,
		functions such as mining	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730
		equipment	
	Lubricants and grease	Liquid lubricants and greases, for	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e);</u> <u>U.S. EPA</u>
		example	(2016a); EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-
		– helicopter gear box oil	<u>0730</u>
	Paints and coatings	Solvent based paint, water based	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e)</u> ; <u>U.S. EPA</u>
		paint.	(2016a); EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-
		PIP (3-1) may be incorporated into	0730
		paints and coatings as a flame	
	Adhosivos and soalants	Single component adhesive such	LLS EDA (2017a): LLS EDA
	Autiesives and sealants	as	(2016a)
		– Vimasco industrial insulation	
		adhesive	
		– fasttack sealant spray	
Consumer Use	Complex articles	Road vehicles for passengers and	U.S. EPA (2017e); EPA-HQ-
		goods	<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		Other machinery, mechanical	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730
		appliances, electronic/electronic	
		articles	
	Plastic articles (hard and	Furniture & furnishings, including	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e); EPA-HQ-</u>
	soft)	furniture coverings	<u>OPPT-2016-0730</u>
		Toys intended for children's use	<u>U.S. EPA (2017e); EPA-HQ-</u>
	Othor	(and child dedicated articles)	<u>UPP1-2010-0730</u>
	other	alsowhere	<u>0.3. EPA (20178)</u> ; <u>EPA-HU-</u>
Disposal	Recycling	Incineration of lubricating oils	<u>FPΔ-HO-OPPT-2016-0730</u>
	neeyening	containing PIP (3:1) for base oil	<u></u>
		recovery	

^aThese categories of conditions of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, reflect CDR codes and OECD codes, and broadly represent conditions of use of PIP (3:1)ether in industrial and/or commercial settings. ^bThese subcategories reflect more specific uses of phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1) ether based on stakeholder outreach, and comments received on EPA's Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal published in August 2017.

Descriptions of the industrial, commercial, or consumer use categories identified from the 2017 OECD Harmonized Use Codes are summarized below (<u>OECD, 2017b</u>).

The "hydraulic fluid" category encompasses chemical substances, typically liquid, used for transmitting pressure and Extreme pressure (EP)-additives; and to transfer power in hydraulic machinery. This includes phosphate ester based hydraulic fluids for aircraft and other machinery.

The "lubricants and greases" category encompasses chemical substances used to reduce friction, heat generation and wear between solid surfaces. PIP (3:1) is in some lubricants and greases in small quantities as an anti-wear additive.

The "paints and coatings" category encompasses chemical substances used to paint or coat substrates. Phenol isopropylated phosphate is present in coatings in the insulation industry and marine industry as a plasticizer and flame retardant, and may be found in industrial coatings more widely. This includes paints that have been formulated with water or solvent as the main vehicle.

The "adhesives and sealants" category encompasses chemical substances used to fasten other materials together or prevent the passage of liquid or gas. PIP (3:1) is found as a flame retardant and plasticizer in adhesives and sealants in the insulation industry. This includes products that are single component adhesives and one component caulks which are premixed in their final product formulations.

The "complex articles" category encompasses road vehicles for passengers and goods such as cars and trucks, and machinery, mechanical appliances, electrical and electronic articles such as computers and drills.

The "plastic articles" category encompasses consumer products made of both hard and soft plastics, which include PIP (3:1) as a flame retardant or plasticizer, including toys intended for children's use, and furniture and furnishings, including furniture coverings such as computer casing and foam in furniture or mattresses.

The "other" category encompasses consumer articles not covered elsewhere, which contain PIP (3:1) as a flame retardant.

6.3. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning

If released to air, the Henry's law constant (2.9 x 10^{-7} atm m³/mole) and log K_{OA} (14) of PIP (3:1) indicate it is likely to partition from the air into water and soil or airborne particles,

respectively. Particulate-bound PIP (3:1) may be removed from the atmosphere via wet or dry deposition.

If released to water, its log K_{OW} (9.07) and log K_{OC} (5.7), indicate PIP (3:1) released to surface water will adsorb to sediments and particulates suspended in the water column. PIP (3:1) is not likely to volatilize from surface water due to its Henry's law constant.

Due to its log K_{OW} and log K_{OC} , PIP (3:1) in wastewater is likely to be removed via adsorption to biosolids, which may then be landfilled, land-applied, or incinerated. PIP (3:1) is not expected to be removed from wastewater by volatilization due to its Henry's law constant. Although release of free PIP (3:1) with wastewater treatment plant effluent is expected to be limited, some PIP (3:1) may be adsorbed to small particles present in effluent.

If released to soil, PIP (3:1) is not expected to volatilize from moist or dry soils due to its Henry's law constant and vapor pressure (2.1×10^{-8} mm Hg). Based on its log Koc and water solubility (2.6×10^{-5} mg/L), PIP (3:1) is likely to adsorb to soil and particulate organic matter. Free PIP (3:1) is not expected to be mobile in soil pore water or groundwater, but may be absorbed to colloids and other small particles which are mobile in subsurface environments.

If released to landfill, PIP (3:1) is expected to undergo limited, slow migration from solid waste into landfill leachate due to its log K_{OC} and water solubility. Based on its vapor pressure and log K_{OA} , PIP (3:1) is not likely to volatilize from solid waste.

PIP (3:1) also may partition to the tissues of organisms that live in water, soil, and sediment via dermal or gill exposure and ingestion. Exposure to water column organisms is also possible via resuspension of the chemical from the sediment to water either sorbed to particulates or part of the dissolved phase. The above characterization is meant to describe the primary behavior or movement of the chemical through a generic environment, not the complete exclusion of the chemical from a given media (e.g., water) or elimination of the possibility for more complex behavior in a particular location.

If released to the indoor environment, based on its vapor pressure and Henry's law constant, PIP (3:1) is not likely to volatilize from consumer products or articles, contaminated water, or other solutions. Any phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1) that is emitted to indoor air is expected to partition to organic matter including dust due to its log K_{OA}. PIP (3:1) is most likely to be emitted from consumer products via abrasion or direct partitioning to dust and other particulates.

PIP (3:1) that is disposed down the drain with wastewater is expected to be associated with organic matter in the wastewater based on its log K_{OC} , and concentrations of free PIP (3:1) in the water column will be limited.

6.4. Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure

6.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle

PIP (3:1) belongs to the group of triaryl phosphates and is a type of organophosphate ester. It is a mixture of as many as 50 unspecified isomers. PIP (3:1) is primarily incorporated into flexible polyurethane foam, plasticizers, and lubricants as a flame retardant. It is used as a component in consumer products such as furniture and furnishings. It is also used in a variety of industrial or commercial liquids such as hydraulic fluid, greases, paints, coatings, and adhesives. The end-of-life disposal options for products containing PIP (3:1) include disposal in landfills, recycling, and incineration (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730).



^aCDR data for 2015.

Figure 6-1. Lifecycle Diagram for PIP (3:1)

6.4.2. Manufacturing

Triaryl phosphates are manufactured from phosphorous oxychloride and phenol. The manufacturing process is carried out in closed reactors and the hydrogen chloride gas generated during the reaction absorbed in water. One manufacturer indicated the process has three stages of reaction followed by a distillation stage. From distillation, PIP (3:1) can be recycled back to the first reactor as needed. The product is sent through a batch washing step to remove small contaminants. Dehydration and filtration steps then remove water and any further solids to make a clear product. The final product is transferred to temporary storage until use or sale. This process occurs in continuous, closed operations except during the batch

washing step, which is performed under vacuum. Hydration and filtration is also a closed system.

Generally, there is no waste produced in the manufacture of the chemical with almost 100 percent of the material recycled back into the reactors. However, fugitive air releases from various process steps, water releases from separation and drying steps as well as equipment and area cleaning, and land releases from disposal of spent filters are expected (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730). Inhalation exposures from fugitive emissions during the reaction, drying, and transfer operations may occur. Incidental dermal exposure from product sampling and transfer operations may also occur (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730).

Table 6-2. Production Volume of Phenol, Isopropylated, Phosphate (3:1)

CDR Reporting Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Production Volume (lb)	12,362,683	14,932,040	3,191,017	2,968,861	5,632,272	5,951,318

6.4.3. Processing: Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Products

PIP (3:1) is used as a formulation component and incorporated into mixtures for a variety of products including plastic resins, hydraulic fluids, lubricating oils and grease, and adhesives and sealants. Releases to air, water, and land are expected from the associated unit operations. The primary sources of release include container residue, process equipment cleaning, and off-spec products (OECD, 2009). Although PIP (3:1) has a low vapor pressure, fugitive air emissions may occur from unloading, transfer into process equipment, and packaging of the final product. Releases to water may result from equipment and general area cleaning with aqueous cleaning materials. Land releases may occur from disposal of empty transport containers and off-spec product. Inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors is expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure, but inhalation exposure to plastic resins containing PIP (3:1) may occur during unloading, transfer, and processing. Dermal exposure is possible from incidental contact during unloading and transfer operations and from product packaging (OECD, 2009).

6.4.4. Processing: Incorporation into Articles

PIP (3:1) is an additive flame retardant that is used in a variety of articles including plastic resins, foam, and synthetic rubber. Flame retardants in general are incorporated into products in one of two manners. They are either chemically bound to the product matrix as "reactive" mixtures, or they are dissolved in the polymer materials as "additives." Additive flame retardants are not chemically bound and are relatively unattached to the polymer matrix. Therefore, they have the potential of migrating from products to the surrounding environment during manufacture, normal use, and disposal (<u>Verslycke et al., 2005</u>).

Flexible polyurethane foam is manufactured in "slabstock" or "molded" forms. The slabstock manufacturing process generates continuous slabs of foam or buns which are cut to shape for the finished product. Typically, buns cure for 24 hours before fabrication or shipping and off

gassing may occur. Fugitive and stack (or point) air releases of PIP (3:1) may occur from gasses generated from this and other processes. Releases to land are expected from disposal of waste foam generated from cutting operations, floor sweepings, and disposal of empty transport containers. Releases to water are possible from equipment and general area cleaning operations. Inhalation exposure to volatile emissions from curing and unloading operations may occur. Inhalation and dermal exposure to particulates may also occur during the cutting and finishing steps that can generate fugitive dust. Molded foam is produced when the polymerization occurs in a closed mold resembling the shape of the final product. Similar releases and occupational exposures are expected (U.S. EPA, 2005a).

Releases of additives from rubber manufacturing are possible to water, air, and land. Water releases are expected to be most prevalent. Sources include processed wastewater from cooling or heating medium and vulcanization, where water has direct contact with the rubber mixture. Releases to water can also occur from equipment and general area cleaning with aqueous cleaning solutions (OECD, 2004). Land releases are possible from the disposal of off-spec product and empty transfer containers. Air releases are expected to be minimal; however, fugitive air releases are possible. Occupational inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors is possible, and incidental dermal exposure is expected during unloading and transfer operations when the PIP (3:1) is added to process equipment. Once incorporated into the rubber formulation and reacted, worker exposure is not expected (OECD, 2004).

6.4.5. Industrial Use: Hydraulic Fluid / Lubricants and Greases

Organophosphate esters are among the most widely used classes of synthetic compounds in hydraulic fluids, in part because they impart better fire resistance than mineral oils and are better lubricants than water. PIP (3:1) is used in aviation and industrial machinery hydraulic fluid <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730</u>. In these hydraulic fluids, PIP (3:1) acts as a flame retardant and as an anti-compressibility additive. After the useful life of the hydraulic oils, the used oil is recovered for reuse or incinerated. PIP (3:1) is also added to various lubricating oils, where again it may act as a flame retardant or an anti-compressibility additive. In some cases, such as lubricating oils used in helicopter gear boxes and other circulating oils and grease for industrial equipment, its functional purpose is as an anti-wear additive (Akin Gump; Shell).

PIP (3:1)is added to formulations during the manufacture of hydraulic fluids, lubricants, and greases in batch blending processes and incorporated into equipment for use (e.g., aircraft and automotive) (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730). Fugitive air releases of PIP (3:1) are expected to be minimal due to its low vapor pressure. Water and land releases are not expected from waste hydraulic fluids and greases because used fluids and grease are typically collected for reuse or incineration (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730). Dermal exposure to PIP (3:1) (full hand immersion, splashing, or spraying) is expected from handling hydraulic fluids, lubricants and greases. Inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors is expected to be minimal, but possible; inhalation exposure to mist is possible if the fluid is spray-applied. Transportation workers aside from those who regularly handle these fluids can also be exposed to hydraulic fluid vapor; for example, airline crews exposed to hydraulic or engine oil smoke or fumes (Austrian Federal Ministry of Agriculture, 2013).

6.4.6. Industrial/Commercial Use: Paints and Coatings / Adhesives and Sealants

PIP (3:1) is added to coatings, adhesives, and sealants for a variety of industrial uses. This includes epoxy coatings on the decks of shipping vessels, coatings for pipes and insulation in construction, and adhesives and sealants in pipe insulation in chemical plants and other manufacturing facilities (Akzo Nobel; Vimasco). Potential application methods of these coatings to industrial substrates may include roll, dip, and spray processes. The quantity of releases and level of occupational exposures varies with each process; however, each presents possible releases to all media (air, water, land) and exposure to all routes (inhalation to vapors or mists and dermal exposure to liquids). Release sources include: fugitive air emissions of vapors from transfer operations and the application process, and overspray if spray application is used; water releases from equipment cleaning with aqueous cleaning solutions and waste from bath dumps; and land releases from empty transfer containers and substrate trimming or other finishing processes. Inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors from transfer operations, equipment cleaning, and application processes may occur. Inhalation exposure to mists is expected if a spray or roll coating application process is used. Each of these processes is also expected to result in dermal exposure (<u>OECD, 2009</u>).

6.4.7. Consumer Use: Complex Articles / Plastic Articles / Other

PIP (3:1) has been generally identified by commenters and others as a possible component in plastic products and articles, including children's, automotive, and aerospace products (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730</u>).

6.4.8. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases for Releases and Occupational Exposures

PIP (3:1) is not reported to the Toxics Release Inventory and no release data over time were identified. However, the production and use of PIP (3:1) may have increased since the flame retardant pentabromodiphenylether was banned and phased out of production in 2013. Since that time, a prominent flame retardant formulation with PIP (3:1) as a component began to be used in increasing quantities in upholstered furniture, infant products, and other items, such that it became one of the most commonly detected flame retardants in the U.S. (NTP, 2013). Releases to various media of this flame retardant may have increased proportionally with an increase in production and use volume. Conversely, some products identified in August 2017, including intumescent firestop and asbestos abatement products, are no longer in commerce, or no longer include PIP (3:1) in their formulations (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0730</u>).

6.5. Environmental Monitoring

PIP (3:1) was detected in relatively few environmental monitoring studies. A supplemental search for PIP (3:1) was conducted to determine if any studies co-reported information on aryl phosphate chemicals. A few studies reported PIP (3:1) itself in environmental media. The chemical most reported in environmental media from the supplemental search is Triphenyl

Phosphate (TPP). TPP and PIP (3:1) can be found in the same mixture, formulation, or article. Instead, Table 6-3 provides a summary of the monitoring data for PIP (3:1) and TPP identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all media considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

This is generally consistent with the fate summary and reported physical-chemical properties in that PIP (3:1) was detected in indoor dust, soil, ambient air, and sediment in higher concentrations and was not reported in other media. However, reported uses indicate higher likelihood of release to water and no detections in water were found.

Media	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Indoor dust	Yes	29	95%
Indoor air	Yes	9	62%
Ambient air	Yes	2	87%
Surface/Ground water	No	0	n/a
Drinking water	No	0	n/a
Soil	Yes	2	38%
Sediment	Yes	3	66%
Biosolids	No	0	n/a
Wastewater (influent, effluent)	No	0	n/a
Landfill leachate	No	0	n/a
Vegetation/Diet	No	0	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

Table 6-3. Summary of PIP (3:1) and TPP Monitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature

The following chart provides the number of studies that have reported PIP (3:1) monitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 6-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained PIP (3:1) and TPP monitoring data.

All environmental monitoring data that passed EPA's evaluation criteria are presented graphically in the plots below. These plots help visualize the data and are organized by study year and microenvironment, when reported. Note, some studies are discussed in Sections 6.7 and 6.11 as they pulled together information on monitoring data alongside supplemental contextualizing information on uses, sources, and trends.



6.5.1. Indoor Dust

Figure 6-3. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in indoor dust for commercial locations 2012 to 2018), residential locations (2009 to 2018), and vehicles (2014 and 2017). For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Larsson et al., 2018; Muenhor et al., 2018; Persson et al., 2018; Björnsdotter et al., 2017; Sugeng et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Ballesteros-Gómez et al., 2016; Coelho et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016; He et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015a; Araki et al., 2014; Brandsma et al., 2014; Cequier et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2013; Ali et al., 2012b; Ali et al., 2012a; Bergh et al., 2011; Kanazawa et al., 2010; Meeker and Stapleton, 2010; Stapleton et al., 2009)

6.5.2. Indoor Air



Figure 6-4. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/m³) in indoor air for commercial (2007 to 2018) and residential (2004 to 2014) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Persson et al., 2018</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2016</u>; <u>Cequier et al., 2014</u>; <u>Fromme et al., 2014</u>; <u>Bergh et al., 2011</u>; <u>Kanazawa et al., 2010</u>; <u>Saito et al., 2007</u>; <u>Otake et al., 2004</u>)

6.5.3. Ambient Air



Figure 6-5. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/m³) in ambient air for background (2014) and occupational (2016) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Xu et al., 2016; Salamova et al., 2014)

6.5.4. Soil



Figure 6-6. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in soil for near facility (1999 and 2015) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the

bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Matsukami et al., 2015; David and Seiber, 1999)

6.5.5. Sediment



Figure 6-7. Concentration of PIP (3:1) and TPP (ng/g) in sediment for commercial (2018), near facility (2015), and residential (2018) locations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Muenhor et al., 2018; Matsukami et al., 2015)

6.5.6. Other

EPA did not identify any studies with extractable PIP (3:1) nor TPP data in surface water, drinking water, wastewater treatment plants influent or effluent, or landfill leachate. PIP (3:1) is not expected to be present in these media due to the following:

- For surface water, PIP (3:1) is expected to adsorb to sediments and particulates suspended in the water column based on its log K_{OW} (9.07) and log K_{OC} (5.7).
- For drinking water, PIP (3:1) is expected to adsorb to suspended particulates based on its log K_{OW} (9.07) and log K_{OC} (5.7).
- For wastewater treatment plants influent or effluent, due to its log K_{OW} and log K_{OC}, PIP (3:1) in wastewater is likely to be removed via adsorption to biosolids, which may then be landfilled, land-applied, or incinerated.
- For landfill leachate, PIP (3:1) is expected to undergo limited, slow migration from solid waste into landfill leachate due to its log K_{oc} and water solubility.

Of the studies searched, EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of PIP (3:1) nor TPP in sludge/biosolids or vegetation/diet.

6.6. Biomonitoring

A small number of studies show PIP (3:1) detected in any biological matrix. No monitoring data were identified for PIP (3:1). Instead, Table 6-4 summarizes the biomonitoring data for TPP identified in the peer reviewed literature across all matrices considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported

measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

PIP (3:1) was detected in matrices where it was expected due to physical-chemical properties; however, for many matrices, PIP (3:1) data has not been collected.

Table 6-4. Summary of TPP, a Surrogate for PIP (3:1), Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature

Matrix	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Human blood (serum)	Yes	1	100%
Human (other)	Yes	5	85%
Fish	No	0	n/a
Birds	Yes	1	84%
Terrestrial invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Aquatic invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Terrestrial mammals	Yes	1	100%
Aquatic mammals	No	0	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

The following chart provides the number of studies that reported TPP biomonitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 6-8. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained TPP, a surrogate for PIP (3:1), biomonitoring data.

6.6.1. Human blood (serum)

					general	
Henríquez-Hernández et al. 2017	7					
	10	12	14	16	18	20
			Concentration (ng/g)			

Figure 6-9. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in human blood (serum) for the general population in 2017. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following: (Henríquez-Hernández et al., 2017)

6.6.2. Human (other)



Figure 6-10. Concentration of TPP (ng/L), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in human (other) for the general population in 2014 and 2015. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Cequier et al., 2015; Fromme et al., 2014)

6.6.2.1. Dermal Wipes



Figure 6-11. Concentration of TPP (ng/wipe), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in dermal wipes for the general (2017 and 2018) and occupational (2016) populations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Larsson et al., 2018</u>; <u>Sugeng et al., 2017</u>; <u>Xu et al., 2016</u>)

6.6.3. Birds



Figure 6-12. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in birds for background locations in 2015. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Zheng et al., 2015b)

6.6.4. Terrestrial mammals



Figure 6-13. Concentration of TPP (ng/g), a surrogate for PIP (3:1), in terrestrial mammals for background locations in 2017. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following: (Henríquez-Hernández et al., 2017)

6.6.5. Other

EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of PIP (3:1) nor TPP in aquatic invertebrates, fish, aquatic mammals, or terrestrial invertebrates.

6.7. Trends in Monitoring Data

EPA did not identify any studies that reported trends for PIP (3:1) nor closely-related chemicals. Of the monitoring databases searched, no monitoring data was available for PIP (3:1).

6.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data

Five studies modeled the average daily dose for TPP, a closely-related chemical to PIP (3:1) (Larsson et al., 2018; Muenhor et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2017; Coelho et al., 2016; He et al., 2015). Estimated doses were generally less than 2 ng/kg/day, with a few exceptions seen. For He et al. (2015), the average daily dose calculated using the average concentration in dust is presented in the figure below. The error bars represent the daily dose corresponding to maximum concentrations in dust samples.





In addition to modeled doses, one study estimated intake of TPP, a closely related chemical to PIP (3:1) (<u>Björnsdotter et al., 2017</u>). An additional scenario described as a worst case scenario was not plotted in the figure below. The worst case scenario assumed high dust ingestion and maximum concentration in dust and resulted in estimated doses of 157, 428, 191, and 3100 ng/day for workers, drivers, non-workers, and stay-at-home toddlers, respectively.



Figure 6-15. Estimated average intake (ng/day) of TPP, a closely related chemical to PIP (3:1), for total exposure. Data are presented for workers, drivers, non-workers, and stay-at-home toddlers.

6.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments

A human health and environmental risk evaluation of PIP (3:1) was sponsored by the Environment Agency of the United Kingdom and Wales (and referred to as isopropylated triphenyl phosphate) (<u>European Environment Agency, 2009</u>). This assessment calculated predicted concentrations in air, soil, drinking water, food (fish, root crops, leaf crops, meat, and milk) and reported total daily human intake from regional sources and 22 production or application scenarios. These scenarios were based on OECD emission scenario methods for plastic additives (i.e., polyvinyl chlorine additive), lubricants, and scenarios developed under the UK Existing Substances Regulation for other substances with similar uses, e.g., thermoplastics and polyurethane, textile coating, pigment dispersions, paints, and adhesives. The scenarios predicted to have the highest total daily intakes were two polyvinyl chloride-related scenarios—compounding and combined compounding and conversion (1.1×10^5 and 1.2×10^5 ng/kg bw/day, respectively) and textile/fabric coating—combined compounding and application of coating (1.0×10^5 ng/kg bw/day). This assessment predicted total intake from regional sources to be much lower than these industrial scenarios (3.0×10^2 ng/kg bw/day).

6.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios

PIP (3:1) has a wide variety of uses with potential for release and exposure. However, there is limited data for PIP (3:1) itself to document these exposures. Human exposure to PIP (3:1) has limited documentation from one completed assessment, and read-across monitoring data from TPP and other aryl phosphates. Based on its physical-chemical properties, ingestion is likely the primary exposure route. Inhalation would likely be comprised of particles which could be swallowed, and dermal absorption is likely low. There is also limited documentation for exposure to ecological receptors from one completed assessment, and read-across monitoring data from data from TPP and other aryl phosphates.

Representative Exposure Scenarios:

Occupational: Manufacturing of PIP (3:1) results in fugitive emissions from reaction, drying, and transfer operations. Workers can inhale these emissions and incidental dermal contact during unloading and transfer operations can occur. Inhalation and dermal exposure to workers in manufacturing facilities is possible.

Occupational: Processing of PIP (3:1) into articles such as plastic resins, foam, and synthetic rubber results in generation of fugitive vapors from liquid formulations containing PIP (3:1) and from curing steps. Fugitive dust from cutting and finishing operations can also be generated. Workers can inhale these vapors and dusts, and particles can settle on exposed skin. Workers can also be exposed to liquid formulations when small quantities of the liquid are spilled during transfer operations. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: Processing of PIP (3:1) into hydraulic fluids, lubricating oils, and grease results in incidental dermal contact during unloading and transfer operations. Dermal exposure to workers in these processing facilities is possible.

Occupational: Use of PIP (3:1) in hydraulic fluids, lubricating oils, and grease results in full hand immersion, splashing, or spraying during handling. Dermal exposure to workers who use these products is possible. Inhalation and dermal exposure to mist from spray application of these products is also possible.

Occupational: Use of PIP (3:1) in industrial coatings. Application methods that include spray or roll coating result in generation of mist. Inhalation of mists for workers in these facilities performing these tasks is possible. Dermal exposure is also possible.

Ecological: Fugitive releases from manufacturing result in releases to air, which deposit to nearby waterbodies and catchments, leading to increased concentrations in sediment and soil and potential uptake into organisms who ingest or reside within sediment and soil.

General Population: As reported in the UK assessment, releases to air and water from processing and use facilities leads to presence in air, soil, drinking water, and dietary sources which contribute to intake to the general population.

Consumer: Residential homes may contain several articles with PIP (3:1). These articles can emit PIP (3:1) into indoor air and indoor dust through direct transfer, abrasion, and diffusion. Indoor air is inhaled and indoor dust is ingested by children and may lead to increased internal dose of PIP (3:1).

6.11. Summary of Review Articles

No review articles were identified that presented exposure estimates or doses for PIP (3:1) other than the authoritative sources presented in Section 6.9. For PIP (3:1), the United Kingdom Environmental Agency conducted a human health and environmental risk assessment for PIP (3:1) and predicted occupational exposures on the order of 0.1 mg/kg/day and general population exposures on the order of 3×10^{-4} mg/kg/day driven by consumption of contaminated fish (European Environment Agency, 2009).

From Section 6.6, human biomonitoring studies report TPP serum levels in the range of 2 ng/g.

7. 2, 4, 6-Tris(tert-butyl) Phenol (2, 4, 6-TTBP)

Chemical Name	2,4,6-Tris(-tert-butyl)phenol					
CASRN	732-26-3					
Synonyms	2,4,6 TTBP, 2,4,6-TRIS, 2,4,6-tritert-butylphenol; 2,4,6-Tri(tert-butyl)phenol; Phenol, 2,4,6-tris(1,1-dimethylethyl); 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl)phenol; 2,4,6-Tri(Tert-Butyl)Phenol; 2,4,6-Tri-tert-butylphenol; 2,4,6-Tris(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol; Phenol, 2,4,6-tri-tert-butyl-; Polyolefin alkyl					
Molecular Formula	C ₁₈ H ₃₀ O					
Structure						
MW	262.43					
Density (g/cm ³)	0.864 at 27°C (<u>Haynes et al., 2014</u>)					
Molar Volume	304 [Calculated based on the molar mass and density]					
(cm³/mol)						
Log Kow	6.06 (Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute, 1992)					
Log KOA	9.5 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]					
Log Koc	4.4 [K _{ow} method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]					
Vapor Pressure	6.6×10^{-4} [Extrapolated from (Liley, 1984)]					
(mm Hg)						

7.1. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties

Henry's Law	6.5x10 ⁻⁶ [Calculated based on VP/WS, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
(atm-m ³ /mole)	
Water Solubility	35 (Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute, 1992)
(mg/L)	
Water Solubility	1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ [Calculated based on water solubility and molecular weight]
(mol/L) ^c	

7.2. Uses

Use of 2,4,6 TTBP is prohibited by engineering standards of some large businesses in the U.S. For example, Toyota's North America construction site management handbook prohibits 2,4,6 TTBP due to its ban in Japan (Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing, 2007). GE's Design Requirements for Regulated Materials and Chemicals lists 2,4,6-Tris(-tert-butyl)phenol as prohibited from use in parts, products, or other chemical substances (General Electric Company, 1995). In addition, IBM's Engineering Specification 46G3772 environmental requirements prohibits lubricants containing the chemical (IBM, 2018).

Since the publication of the Use Document in August 2017 for 2,4,6 Tris(tert-butyl)phenol, EPA received 12 public comments and communicated with several companies, industry groups, chemical users, and other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use of 2,4,6 TTBP (U.S. EPA, 2017a). These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for 2,4,6 TTBP. The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate. Non-confidential public comments and stakeholder meeting summaries can be found in EPA's docket at EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0734.

The primary use of 2,4,6 TTBP in the U.S. is as an intermediate and reactant in chemical processing (U.S. EPA, 2014b). It is also used as a component of both industrial and commercial fuel additives and lubricant additives. 2,4,6 TTBP is found in a variety of end-use products as an antioxidant in the automotive sector including fuel and lubricant additives (U.S. EPA, 2014b). However, it has also been identified for possible use industrially as a reactant in the production of other organic chemicals, plastics, and resins (U.S. EPA, 2014b).

The EU's OSPAR Commission identified five potential uses of 2,4,6 TTBP in Europe: a chemical intermediate in the production of antioxidants used in rubber and plastic; a lubricating agent in the transportation sector; a by-product in production of 4-tert-butylphenol, an additive for gasoline and fuel oil distillate; and use in the offshore sector (<u>OSPAR, 2006</u>). The OSPAR commission also indicated that 2,4,6 TTBP is listed as an impurity in 2,6 di-tert-butylphenol at concentration of 0.003%. However, the only use reported by industry in the EU was as a chemical intermediate used in the production of antioxidants used in rubber and plastic; the other potential uses were not confirmed (<u>OSPAR, 2006</u>). The chemical's only use in Canada is as a fuel additive (<u>Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008</u>), but it has also been used as a lubricant additive in Canada in the past, as well as in the Netherlands (<u>SKF, 2017</u>; <u>Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008</u>). Industrial use of 2,4,6 TTBP is prohibited in Japan under the Chemical Substances Control Law (<u>NICNAS, 2017</u>).

Use of 2,4,6 TTBP is prohibited by engineering standards of some large businesses in the US. For example, Toyota's North America construction site management handbook prohibits 2,4,6 TTBP due to its ban in Japan (Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing, 2007). GE's Design Requirements for Regulated Materials and Chemicals lists 2,4,6 TTBP as prohibited from use in parts, products, or other chemical substances (General Electric Company, 1995). In addition, IBM's Engineering Specification 46G3772 environmental requirements prohibit lubricants containing the chemical (IBM, 2018).

In the 2012 Chemical Data Reporting, 2,4,6 TTBP was reported to be used industrially as an intermediate and reactant for processing in plastics and resin manufacturing and other organic chemical manufacturing, and as a fuel additive in petroleum and coal products manufacturing (U.S. EPA, 2014b). 2,4,6 TTBP also had reported uses in the fuels and related products category. The chemical is an ingredient in automobile fuel injector cleaners, as well as in lubricant additives and fuel additives (as an antioxidant) in gasoline and jet fuel.

A variety of commercial fuel additives were also found to contain 2,4,6 TTBP, all at levels below 3 percent by weight (U.S. EPA, 2017a). Champion Brands' Fuel Stabilizer is marketed for use in 2-cycle, 4-cycle, gas, and diesel engine fuel systems to deter development of residue during periods of non-use (Champion Brands, 2014). Cyclo Industries' Fuel Stabilizer is similarly marketed for 2- and 4-cycle engines to prevent gum and varnish build-up (Cyclo Industries, 2018). Champion Brands' Engine Oil Additive (also sold as Engine Oil Treatment and Engine Protectant Oil Treatment) is marketed for engine wear protection in cars or light trucks during extreme cold or heat to maintain engine performance (Champion Brands, 2014).

At least two fuel injector cleaners sold in the US also contain 2,4,6 TTBP. The fuel injectors in a vehicle supply a fixed amount of gasoline to the engine (<u>Ryan, 2011</u>). Over time, components of fuel may oxidize and form residue that builds up on fuel injectors, negatively impacting engine performance and fuel efficiency (<u>Cole, 2011</u>). Products for fuel injector cleaning that contain 2,4,6 TTBP include Arctic Cat's Fuel Injector Cleaner (also sold as Fuel Injector and Carburetor Cleaner), which is recommended for use in stored sleds, Rislone's Fuel Injector Cleaner, which is recommended as an all-around fuel additive for gasoline and diesel engines, and Hy-Per Lube Corporation's Total Fuel System Cleaner, which is recommended for use in all cars, trucks, and marine engines (<u>Arctic Cat, 2018</u>; <u>Hy-per Lube, 2018</u>; <u>Rislone, 2018</u>).

A 2008 Environment Canada Screening Assessment of 2,4,6 TTBP identified that the substance is not naturally produced in the environment, has historically been used as a lubricant additive, and currently used in Canada as a fuel, oil, and gasoline additive (U.S. EPA, 2017a). Although use as a fuel additive is the only known use for 2,4,6 TTBP in Canada, several additional use pattern codes and corresponding applications were noted in the 2001 and 2007 survey, including: feedstock fuels, chemical intermediates, pesticides, fertilizers, salt for deicing, solvents, cutting fluids, aerosol propellants, hydraulic fluids, lubricants and additives, cleaning/washing agents and additives, plant protection products, agricultural products, explosives, antioxidants, corrosion inhibitors, tarnish inhibitors, scavengers, and anti-scaling agents (U.S. EPA, 2017a).

The categories of use that are considered within the scope of the use and exposure assessment during various life cycle stages including manufacturing, processing, use (industrial, commercial and consumer), distribution and disposal are depicted in Table 7-1 and the life cycle diagram (Figure 7-1). The information is grouped according to Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) processing codes and internationally harmonized functional, product and article use categories from the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) in combination with other data sources (e.g., published literature and consultation with stakeholders), to provide an overview of the uses.

Use categories are drawn from Instructions for Reporting for the 2016 CDR. "Industrial use" means use at a site at which one or more chemical substances or mixtures are manufactured (including imported) or processed. "Commercial use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article) in a commercial enterprise providing saleable goods or services. "Consumer use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article, such as furniture or clothing) when sold to or made available to consumers for their use (U.S. EPA, 2016c).

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Manufacture	Domestic manufacture	Domestic manufacture	(<u>U.S. EPA, 2016a</u>); <u>U.S. EPA</u> (2017a); <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-</u> 2016-0734
Processing	Processing – reactant/chemical intermediate	Intermediate	(<u>U.S. EPA, 2016a</u>); <u>U.S. EPA</u> (2017a); <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-</u> 2016-0734
	Processing – incorporation into formulation, mixture or reaction product	Fuels and fuel additives/Antioxidant	<u>U.S. EPA (2016a); U.S. EPA</u> (2017a); <u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-</u> 2016-0734
	Repackaging	Fuels and fuel additives/Antioxidant	<u>U.S. EPA (2017a); EPA-HQ-</u> OPPT-2016-0734
Industrial, Commercial,	Fuels and related products	Fuel additive/fuel injector cleaner/Antioxidant	<u>U.S. EPA (2017a); EPA-HQ-</u> OPPT-2016-0734
Consumer Uses	Maintenance, manufacture, and repair of motor vehicles/machinery	Wholesale and retail trade and repair of motor vehicles	<u>U.S. EPA (2017a)</u>
	Lubricating agent/additive in the transportation sector	Liquid lubricants and grease [additive/antioxidant]	<u>U.S. EPA (2017a)</u>
	Other uses	Fuel oil	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0734
	Emissions to air		

Table 7-1. Use Categories and Subcategories for 2,4,6 TTBP

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Releases and	Wastewater		
Waste Disposal	Liquid wastes		
	Solid wastes		

^aThese categories appear in the Life Cycle Diagram and broadly represent the uses of 2,4,6 TTBP in commercial and/or consumer settings.

^bThese subcategories reflect CDR and OECD codes and more specific uses of 2,4,6 TTBP based on stakeholder outreach, and comments received on EPA's Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal published in August 2017.

Descriptions of the commercial or consumer use subcategories identified from the 2017 OECD Harmonized Use Codes are summarized below (<u>OECD, 2017b</u>):

The "antioxidant" functional use category includes chemical substances that retard oxidation, rancidity, deterioration, and gum formation. Used to maintain the quality, integrity, and safety of finished products by inhibiting the oxidative degradation of the ingredients in the formulation.

The "fuel additives" product use subcategory covers products added to fuels to improve properties such as stability, corrosion, oxygenation, and octane rating.

The "Liquid lubricants and greases" product use subcategory is defined as liquids that reduce friction, heat generation and wear between surfaces.

7.3. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning

If released to air, the vapor pressure and Henry's law constant of 2, 4, 6 TTBP indicate that some fraction of 2, 4, 6 TTBP will partition out of the air to water, airborne particulates, or soil, while some remains in the vapor phase. Particulate-bound 2, 4, 6 TTBP may be removed from the air through dry or wet deposition.

If released to water, 2, 4, 6 TTBP is expected to adsorb to suspended and settled sediments. It would be expected to accumulate in sediments via partitioning and settling, but also undergo transport downstream in both the aqueous phase and as part of suspended solids based on its partitioning parameters and water solubility. Volatilization to the air may occur based on its Henry's law constant, but would likely be negligible in most environments due to adsorption to sediments.

Releases to waste water treatment plants should result in significant partitioning to biosolids along with some release of particulate bound 2, 4, 6 TTBP to surface water due to release of particulates in the effluent. The portion of the chemical bound to biosolids could then be either landfilled or applied to soil.

If released to soil, 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol is unlikely to undergo volatilization from dry soil based on its organic carbon partitioning and volatility. In moist soil, its Henry's law constant

indicates that volatilization from the aqueous phase in soils may occur but will be limited by adsorption to soil organic matter. 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol will largely be associated with soil organic matter due to its log K_{OC} but may have some mobility in soil due to its relatively high water solubility.

If released to landfill, 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol should migrate slowly into leachate and will only migrate slowly to other environments. Volatilization is likely to be small based on its partitioning parameters.

2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol also may partition to the tissues of organisms that live in water, soil and sediment via dermal or gill exposure and ingestion. Exposure to water column organisms is also possible via resuspension of the chemical from the sediment to water either sorbed to particulates or part of the dissolved phase. The above characterization is meant to describe the primary behavior or movement of the chemical through a generic environment, not the complete exclusion of the chemical from a given media (e.g., water) or elimination of the possibility for more complex behavior in a particular location.

If released to the indoor environment, 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol will tend to partition to particulates and dust in the indoor environment based on its affinity for organic carbon relative to air. If the chemical enters the home via tap water, volatilization will not be a significant removal process in most cases. If released down the drain, it is likely it would arrive at nearby wastewater treatment plants due to relative mobility in water due to high water solubility and low K_{OC}.

7.4. Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure

7.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle.

2,4,6 TTBP is domestically manufactured at one known U.S. facility and is not imported by any facilities above the CDR reporting threshold. It is a yellow solid that dissolves in many organic solvents, but not in aqueous or alcoholic alkaline solutions (Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008). 2,4,6 TTBP is primarily used as a site-limited intermediate that is destroyed in the production of other chemicals that are used as fuel additives. The majority of the remaining production volume is formulated and sold to customers who also use it as a fuel additive (containing unreacted 2,4,6 TTBP). A secondary use is in various formulations used in motor vehicle maintenance operations. Minor uses include: as a laboratory agent (analytical standard); as lubricating grease, cleaning/washing agents and additives for automobile servicing. Other miscellaneous uses include a component of fuel oil (U.S. EPA, 2017a).



^aFor the 2016 CDR, one company reported the manufacture and/or import of 2, 4, 6-TTBP in the U.S. above the reporting threshold. The production volume of was claimed as confidential business information. The CDR production was also CBI in 2012. PubChem and EPA have reported historical production ranges (e.g., in 2006 production ranged between 10 and 50 million pounds). 94% of the production volume is reported to be used as a reactant/intermediate, destroyed in the manufacture of other chemicals. The remaining portion of the production volume is incorporated into formulations or mixtures.

Figure 7-1. Lifecycle Diagram for 2, 4, 6 TTBP

7.4.2. Manufacturing and Processing as a Reactant/Chemical Intermediate

2,4,6 TTBP is manufactured as a solid powder at ambient temperature (Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008) that is transferred to temporary storage vessels for use as a sitelimited intermediate for the manufacture of other products (U.S. EPA, 2017a). Releases to air, water, and land are possible from the associated unit operations and transfer and on-site storage steps prior to the chemical being consumed in reaction. The primary sources of release include fugitive dust emissions, disposal and release of transfer-container cleaning solutions and disposal of empty containers, process equipment cleaning, and off-spec product. Fugitive and stack air (dust) emissions are expected from transfer of 2,4,6 TTBP into temporary storage and subsequent unloading and transfer into process equipment for final production of the final product. Release to water may result from equipment and general area cleaning with if aqueous cleaning materials are used. However, due to the low water solubility, cleaning with organic solvents is more likely and would be expected to be collected for incineration. Land releases may occur from disposal of empty storage containers and floor sweepings. Inhalation exposure to fugitive dust may occur during unloading, transfer, and processing steps. Dermal exposure is possible from contact during unloading and transfer operations.

7.4.3. Processing: Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Products

2,4,6 TTBP is manufactured as a solid powder (Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008) and formulated into products such as fuels and fuel additives at the U.S. manufacturing facility for sale to domestic customers (U.S. EPA, 2017a). Releases to air, land, and water are expected from 2,4,6 TTBP and 2,4,6 TTBP formulations (solids and liquids). Air releases (fugitive dust and dust collected and channeled to a stack) are expected from transfer operations. Releases to land may occur during disposal of transfer containers containing residual material, collection and disposal of floor sweepings, and disposal of off-spec product. Equipment and general area cleaning with liquid cleaning materials may result in releases to water, although waste cleaning solutions from cleaning with organic solvents are more likely to be collected for incineration. Occupational exposures from inhalation of fugitive dust and dermal exposure to dust may occur during transfer and packaging operations and from fugitive dust emissions from process operations. Dermal exposure to liquids is possible from incidental contact of liquid 2,4,6 TTBP formulations during transfer, loading, and mixing operations (<u>OECD, 2017a</u>).

7.4.4. Industrial, Commercial, and Consumer Use: Fuel and Related Products (fuel additives)

Fuel additive formulations containing 2,4,6 TTBP in solution may be shipped to end users in a variety of container types. Fugitive air releases of 2,4,6 TTBP are expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure, but are possible from unloading and transfer operations. It is expected that the majority of 2,4,6 TTBP is destroyed (burned) as the fuel is consumed/used. Land releases may occur from disposal of empty transport containers and waste absorbents used to clean regular spills and leaks from loading operations. Waste from equipment cleaning with organic cleaning solutions is anticipated to be collected for incineration. Water releases are possible from equipment and general area cleaning with aqueous cleaning solutions. Dermal exposure to 2,4,6 TTBP may occur from transfer and fuel loading operations. Inhalation exposure to fugitive air releases are expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure, but are possible.

7.4.5. Industrial, Commercial, and Consumer Use: Motor Vehicle Repair, Lubricating Agents and Additives in the Transportation Sector (lubricating grease, cleaning/washing agents and other additives)

Automobile lubricants, greases, and other additives containing 2,4,6 TTBP are expected to be shipped to end users as liquids in a variety of packaging container types. Fugitive air releases of 2,4,6 TTBP are expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure and they are expected to be in liquid formulation. Water releases are not expected from waste lubricants because waste material is usually incinerated (OECD, 2017a). However, land releases may occur from disposal of empty transport containers and other waste that is not incinerated (OECD, 2017a; Environment Canada and Health Canada, 2008). Dermal exposure to 2,4,6 TTBP (full hand immersion, splashing, or spraying) is expected from handling lubricants. Inhalation exposure to

fugitive vapors is not likely, but is possible; however, inhalation exposure to mist may occur if spray application processes are used (<u>OECD, 2017a</u>).

7.4.6. Industrial/Commercial Use: Other Uses (e.g., laboratory research)

Small quantities of 2,4,6 TTBP are used in laboratories as an analytical standard. Potential releases to all media are possible from use and disposal of unused experimental reagents and laboratory equipment that may contain residual 2,4,6 TTBP. However, releases directly to the environment are expected to be minimal due to handling and disposal requirements in laboratory settings. Similarly, inhalation and dermal exposure to laboratory personnel is possible from the handling of laboratory reagents; however, it is expected to be minimal due to the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment.

7.4.7. Qualitative Trends Over Time for Releases for Releases and Occupational Exposures

2,4,6 TTBP is not reported to the Toxics Release Inventory and no release data over time were identified.

7.5. Environmental Monitoring

2,4,6 TTBP was detected in relatively few environmental monitoring studies. A supplemental search was conducted for 2,4,6 TTBP to determine if any studies co-reported information on aromatic phenol chemicals. The chemical most reported in environmental media from the supplemental search is Butyl Hydroxytoluene (BHT) BHT. BHT and 2,4,6 TTBP are structurally similar, have similar physical-chemical properties, but different uses. BHT is an antioxidant and food additive, whereas the uses of 2,4,6 TTBP are narrower. It may be possible that BHT could degrade to 2,4,6 TTBP in the environment. Table 7-2 provides a summary of the monitoring data for 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all media considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

This is generally consistent with the fate summary and reported physical-chemical properties for in that 2,4,6 TTBP was detected in surface water, influent/effluent, air, and dust. It is also notable that releases are primarily expected to air and water.

Media	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Indoor dust	Yes	1	100%
Indoor air	Yes	1	100%
Ambient air	Yes	1	3%
Surface/Ground water	Yes	2	97%
Drinking water	No	0	n/a
Soil	No	0	n/a
Sediment	Yes	3	4%
Biosolids	No	0	n/a
Wastewater (influent, effluent)	Yes	1	17%
Landfill leachate	No	0	n/a
Vegetation/Diet	No	0	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

Table 7-2. Summary of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT Monitoring Data from Peer-Reviewed Literature

The following chart provides the number of studies that reported 2,4,6 TTBP monitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 7-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained 2,4,6 TTBP monitoring data.

All environmental monitoring data that passed EPA's evaluation criteria are presented graphically in the plots below. These plots help visualize the data and are organized by study year and microenvironment, when reported. Note, some studies are discussed in Sections 7.7

and 7.11 as they pulled together information on monitoring data alongside supplemental contextualizing information on uses, sources, and trends.

7.5.1. Indoor Dust



Figure 7-3. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/g) in indoor dust for residential locations in 2017. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This figure contains data for the following: (Liu et al., 2017)

7.5.2. Indoor Air

		commercial
Kosaka et al. 1989		
10	4 10	10^6
	Concentra	tion (ng/m3)

Figure 7-4. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/m³) in indoor air for commercial locations in 1989. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following: (Kosaka et al., 1989)

7.5.3. Ambient Air



Figure 7-5. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/m³) in ambient air for background locations in 2010. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (Japanese Ministry of Environment, 2010)

7.5.4. Surface Water



Figure 7-6. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/L) in surface water for background locations in 1999 and 2011. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Calderón-Preciado et al., 2011</u>; <u>Davi and Gnudi,</u> <u>1999</u>)

7.5.5. Sediment



Figure 7-7. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/g) in sediment for background locations from 2004 to 2010. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (<u>Japanese Ministry of Environment, 2010</u>, <u>2005</u>, <u>2004</u>)

7.5.6. Influent/Effluent



Figure 7-8. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP and BHT (ng/L) in influent/effluent for near facility locations in 2012. The range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar.

This figure contains data for the following: (USGS, 2012)

7.5.7. Other

EPA did not identify any studies with extractable 2,4,6 TTBP nor BHT data in drinking water or landfill leachate. 2,4,6 TTBP is not expected to be present in these media due to the following:

- For drinking water, 2,4,6 TTBP is expected to adsorb to suspended and settled sediments.
- For landfill leachate, 2,4,6 TTBP should migrate slowly into leachate.

EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of 2,4,6 TTBP nor BHT in soil, sludge/biosolids, or vegetation/diet.

7.6. Biomonitoring

2,4,6 TTBP has a handful of reports of biomonitoring data. There are only a small handful of studies that show 2,4,6 TTBP detected in any biological matrix. A supplemental search was also performed on BHT, a surrogate for 2,4,6 TTBP; however no studies were identified with extractable biomonitoring data. Table 7-3 provides a summary of the biomonitoring data for 2,4,6 TTBP identified in the peer reviewed literature across all matrices considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

This is generally consistent with the fate summary in that 2,4,6 TTBP was detected in matrices where it was expected due to physical-chemical properties; however, for many matrices, 2,4,6 TTBP data have not been collected.

Matrix	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Human blood (serum)	No	0	n/a
Human (other)	No	0	n/a
Fish	Yes	1	n/a
Birds	No	0	n/a
Terrestrial invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Aquatic invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Terrestrial mammals	No	0	n/a
Aquatic mammals	No	0	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

Table 7-3. Summary of 2,4,6 TTBP Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature and	d
Monitoring Databases	

The following chart provides the number of studies that reported 2,4,6 TTBP biomonitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior

to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.

7.6.1. Fish



Figure 7-9. Concentration of 2,4,6-tris(tert-butyl) phenol (ng/g) in fish from one monitoring database (USGS). The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown.

This figure contains data for the following HERO IDs: (USGS, 1991)

7.6.2. Other

EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of 2,4,6 TTBP in human blood (serum), human (other), aquatic invertebrates, aquatic mammals, terrestrial invertebrates, birds, or terrestrial mammals.

7.7. Trends in Monitoring Data

EPA identified one study (<u>Davi and Gnudi, 1999</u>) that reported trends for 2,4,6 TTBP in surface water. No studies were identified that reported trends for closely-related chemicals. Only one monitoring database (USGS) reported data for concentrations of 2,4,6 TTBP in fish (<u>USGS</u>, <u>1991</u>).

7.7.1. Surface Water

One study reported in surface water from 1994 to 1996 (<u>Davi and Gnudi, 1999</u>). A steady decrease in 2,4,6, TTBP was observed with time.



Figure 7-10. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP (ng/L) in surface water from 1994 to 1996.

7.7.2. Fish

One monitoring database (USGS) reported 2,4,6 TTBP concentrations in fish from 1999 through 2003 and showed no change in concentration during that period (<u>USGS, 1991</u>).



Figure 7-11. Concentration of 2,4,6 TTBP (ng/g) in fish from 1998 through 2003. Only central tendencies (dark blue) were reported.

7.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data

One study (<u>Liu et al., 2017</u>) was identified that modeled the average daily dose for the sum of seven synthetic phenolic antioxidant analogues and was used as a surrogate for 2,4,6 TTBP. Urban environments resulted in higher dose estimates than rural environments, and children also had higher dose estimates than adults.



Figure 7-12. Estimated average daily dose (ng/kg/day) of the sum of seven synthetic phenolic antioxidant analogues, which are used as a surrogate for 2,4,6 TTBP, for total exposure. Data are presented for children and adults, separated by urban and rural regions.

7.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments

Environment Canada and Health Canada (2008) prepared a screening assessment of 2,4,6 TTBP for ecological concerns related to persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity criteria. It stated that 2,4,6 TTBP is expected to adsorb strongly to soil and sediment, partition to lipids, and persist in water, soil, and sediments, and potentially biomagnify in food chains. The assessment also noted that the known use of 2,4,6 TTBP in Canada is as a fuel additive based on a 2007 survey, and most of the 2,4,6 TTBP is destroyed during combustion of the fuel. The European Union (European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), 2008) assessed risks of p-tert-butylphenol and stated that formation of 2,4,6 TTBP during the production of p-tert-butylphenol was theoretically possible but the material is not detected in the final product (detection limit of 2 ppm).

The Australian Government Department of Health (<u>NICNAS, 2013</u>) also evaluated persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity of 2,4,6 TTBP. It similarly concluded that the chemical would be expected to be combusted in the fuels to which it was added. This assessment expected that 2,4,6 TTBP releases to water would partition mainly to sediment, and releases to sewage treatment would partition to biosolids, which might be applied to agriculture soils.

No reported estimated exposure intake or dose estimates were presented in the authoritative sources for 2,4,6 TTBP.

7.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios

2,4,6 TTBP has a narrow set of uses. Each of these uses has potential for release and exposure. However, there is limited monitoring data for 2,4,6 TTBP itself to document these exposures. Based on its physical-chemical properties, ingestion is likely the primary exposure route. Inhalation would likely be comprised of particles which could be swallowed, and dermal absorption is likely low.

Occupational: Manufacturing of 2,4,6 TTBP as a solid powder results in particulates that are transferred to workplace air during transfer and packaging operations. Workers at manufacturing facilities can inhale these particulates and the particles can settle on exposed skin. Both inhalation and dermal exposures are possible.

Occupational: 2,4,6 TTBP that is manufactured as a solid powder and subsequently formulated into products such as fuels and fuel additives results in particulates in workplace air during transfer operations. Inhalation and dermal exposures to workers from particulates in facilities formulating 2,4,6 TTBP are possible.

Occupational: Use of 2,4,6 TTBP in fuel additives may result in dermal exposure from incidental contact during transfer and fuel loading operations.

Occupational: Use of 2,4,6 TTBP in lubricants results in full hand immersion, splashing, or spraying during handling. Dermal exposure to workers who use these products is possible. Inhalation and dermal exposure to mist from spray application of these products is also possible.

Occupational: Industrial and commercial use of 2,4,6 TTBP as an analytical standard may result in generation of particulates in workplace air. Laboratory workers may inhale these particulates and incidental contact with exposed skin may occur from accidental spills during use of the standards. However, the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment is expected to reduce these exposures.

General Population: Manufacturing of 2,4,6 TTBP results in fugitive air releases of particulates which may lead to elevated air concentrations for residents living near these facilities.

Ecological: Cleaning of equipment during use of industrial, commercial, and consumer use of fuels leads to releases to water and elevated concentrations in surface water where aquatic organisms may be exposed.

Consumer: Use of 2,4,6 TTBP in lubricants results in full hand immersion, splashing, or spraying during handling. Dermal exposure to consumers who use these products is possible. Inhalation and dermal exposure to mist from spray application of these products is also possible.

7.11. Summary of Review Articles

No review articles were identified that presented exposure estimates or doses for 2,4,6 TTBP, other than the authoritative sources presented in Section 7.9. For 2,4,6 TTBP, Environment Canada and Health Canada conducted an ecological screening assessment in 2008, and determined the potential for 2,4,6 TTBP contamination of soils and sediments after incomplete combustion as a fuel additive, but reported that 2,4,6 TTBP was not measured above 2 ppm in fuel additives. Minimal exposure data were reported in 2008 for this assessment.

8. Pentachlorothiophenol (PCTP)

Chemical Name	Pentachlorothiophenol
CASRN	133-49-3
Synonyms	PCTP; Benzenethiol, 2,3,4,5,6-pentachloro-; Benzenethiol, pentachloro-; Benzenethiol, pentachloro-; Pentachlorobenzenethiol; Pentachloro-benzenethiol; Pentachlorothiophenol; Pentachlorothio-phenol I; Pentachlorthiofenol; Pentachlorobenzene thiol
Molecular Formula	C₀HCl₅O
Structure	
Source: (<u>NLM, 2018</u>)	
MW	282.40
Density (g/cm ³)	1.745 (Estimated by ACD/Labs in Chemistry Dashboard, 2017)
Molar Volume	162 [Calculated based on the molar mass and density]
(cm³/mol)	
Log K _{ow}	5.91 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Log Koa	8.2 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Log Koc	4.3 [Kow method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
Vapor Pressure	5.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
(mm Hg)	
Henry's Law (atm-	1.5 × 10 ⁻⁴ [Group Method, estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
m³/mole)	
Water Solubility	0.0048 [Estimated using EPISuite v 4.11 (U.S. EPA, 2012)]
(mg/L)	
Water Solubility	1.7×10^{-8} [Calculated based on water solubility and molecular weight, estimated using
(mol/L)	EPISuite v 4.11 (<u>U.S. EPA, 2012)]</u>

8.1. Chemistry and Physical-Chemical Properties

8.2. Uses

Since the publication of the Use Document in August 2017 for PCTP, EPA received 9 public comments and communicated with several companies, industry groups, chemical users, and
other stakeholders to aid in identifying and verifying conditions of use of PCTP (U.S. EPA, 2017d). These interactions and comments further informed EPA's understanding of the uses for PCTP. The information and input received from the public comments and stakeholder engagement has been incorporated into this document to the extent appropriate. Non-confidential public comments and stakeholder meeting summaries can be found in EPA's docket at EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0739.

PCTP is obtained from hexachlorobenzene (a fungicide not used in the U.S. since 1984) (by treatment with sodium sulfide and sulfur in methanol, or with sodium hydrogensulfide (U.S. EPA, 2017d). Additionally, PCTP may be obtained with a "reaction of hydrogen sulfide with pentachlorophenol in the presence of an acidic catalyst, e.g., aluminum chloride or boron trifluoride" (U.S. EPA, 2017d).

No company has reported manufacture and/or import of PCTP (PCTP) in the U.S. above the reporting threshold of the Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) Rule for 2016 (U.S. EPA, 2016c). Only one company reported manufacture and/or import of PCTP in the U.S. in 2012 (U.S. EPA, 2017d).

Historically, PCTP (or its zinc salt) was used as the preferred peptizer for natural rubber. However the National Institutes of Health (NIH) reports that PCTP is banned in most parts of the world because it forms several teratogenic decomposition products (<u>HSDB, 2015</u>). According to Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, PCTP has been replaced by 2,2'dibenzamidodiphenyldisulfide (DBD), which reacts similarly, but is less toxic (<u>HSDB, 2015</u>).

PCTP is primarily used in the rubber manufacturing industry. According to Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, PCTP is used as a mastication agent in the rubber industry and more specifically, a peptizing agent for natural rubber viscosity reduction in the early stages of rubber manufacturing (HSDB, 2015). Mastication and peptization are processing stages during which the viscosity of rubber is reduced to a level facilitating further processing (Struktol, 2018). It is possible to reduce the viscosity of natural and synthetic rubbers through solely mechanical efforts, but peptizers allow this process to be less sensitive to varying time and temperature, which improves the uniformity between batches (HSDB, 2015).

Although PCTP is reportedly largely replaced by 2,2'-dibenzamidodiphenyldisulfide (DBD) as the preferred peptizing agent for natural rubber, the predominant use of PCTP remains as a peptizer (HSDB, 2015). PCTP is primarily used in the peptization process of natural rubber. There is little data, however, on the types of end-use products that contain PCTP. A search of several product data bases including EPA's Chemical and Product Categories (CPCat) database, the National Library of Medicine's Household Products Database, and the Consumer Product Information Data Base (CPID), returned no product Safety Data Sheets (SDS). A Google search of PCTP returned no SDS' containing that chemical. A search of the website of the chemical processor, Struktol, returned five general technical data sheets for rubber peptizers, however none of them mention specific chemicals.

The Swedish Chemicals Agency KEMI Commodity Guide suggests that PCTP may be found in butadiene rubber, isoprene rubber, natural rubber, and other rubber materials (U.S. EPA, 2017d). It is possible that imported products containing these materials could contain PCTP. However, a letter to EPA from the Rubber Manufacturers Association, dated Feb. 22, 2017, indicates that its members "do not currently use … PCTP to manufacture tires produced in the U.S. or imported into the U.S." (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0739).

Material	Content in Material,%	
Butadiene rubber (BR)	15–20	
Isoprene rubber (IR)	15–20	
Natural rubber (NR)	15–20	
Other rubber materials	15–20	

Source: (Keml, 2007)

Research has shown PCTP to be a breakdown product of pentachloronitrobenzene, a fungicide, and hexachlorobenzene (HCB), a fungicide that has not been used in the U.S. since 1984 (U.S. <u>EPA, 2017d</u>). HCB is listed as POP under the Stockholm Convention (<u>UNEP, 2008</u>). However, no program that monitors PCTP across various media has been identified.

Life Cycle Stage	Category ^a	Subcategory ^b	References
Manufacture	Manufacture	Manufacture	<u>U.S. EPA (2017d)</u>
Processing	Incorporation into rubber	Cross-linking agent used in	U.S. EPA (2017d)
		rubber manufacturing	
Industrial, Commercial,	Incorporation into articles	Golf ball manufacturing	<u>U.S. EPA (2017d)</u>
Consumer Uses	Other uses	Laboratory research	<u>U.S. EPA (2017d)</u>
Releases and Waste	Emissions to air		
Disposal	Wastewater		
	Liquid wastes		
	Solid wastes		

^aThese categories of conditions of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, broadly represent conditions of use of PCTP in commercial and/or consumer settings.

^bThese subcategories reflect more specific uses of PCTP based on stakeholder outreach, and comments received on EPA's Preliminary Information on Manufacturing, Processing, Distribution, Use, and Disposal published in August 2017.

8.3. Characterization of Expected Environmental Partitioning

If released to air, PCTP in the atmosphere is expected to remain in part in the vapor phase, while some fraction will partition from air into water due to its Henry's law constant (1.5×10^{-4} atm m³/mole), or from air into soil and airborne particulates due to its vapor pressure (5.1×10^{-6} mm Hg) and log K_{OA} (8.2). Particulate-bound PCTP may be removed from the atmosphere through wet or dry deposition.

If released to water, based on its log K_{OW} (5.91) and log K_{OC} (4.3), PCTP in surface water is expected to adsorb to sediments and particulates suspended in the water column. PCTP may

volatilize from water due to its Henry's law constant, although volatilization is expected to be limited by adsorption to particulates.

PCTP is likely to be removed from wastewater in treatment plants via adsorption to biosolids, which may then be landfilled, applied to soil, or incinerated, based on its log K_{OC} and log K_{OW}. Volatilization of PCTP from wastewater is expected to be limited due to its Henry's law constant. Release of free PCTP with wastewater treatment plant effluent is expected to be limited, although PCTP adsorbed to small particles may be released with effluent.

If released to soil, due to its log K_{OC} and water solubility (4.8 x 10⁻³ mg/L), PCTP released to soil is expected to adsorb to organic matter. PCTP is not likely to volatilize from dry soil based on its vapor pressure. Based on its Henry's law constant, PCTP may volatilize from moist soil, but volatilization will be limited by adsorption to soil organic matter. Mobility of PCTP in soil pore water and groundwater is expected to be limited due to its log K_{OC} and water solubility, although PCTP may adsorb to colloids or other small particulates which are mobile in subsurface environments.

If released to landfill, migration of PCTP to landfill leachate is expected to be slow and limited due to its log K_{OC} and water solubility, although PCTP bound to small particulates may migrate into landfill leachate more rapidly. PCTP is not likely to volatilize from solid waste due to its vapor pressure and log K_{OA} .

PCTP also may partition to the tissues of organisms that live in water, soil and sediment via dermal or gill exposure and ingestion. Exposure to water column organisms is also possible via resuspension of the chemical from the sediment to water either sorbed to particulates or part of the dissolved phase. The above characterization is meant to describe the primary behavior or movement of the chemical through a generic environment, not the complete exclusion of the chemical (e.g., water) or elimination of the possibility for more complex behavior in a particular location.

If released to the indoor environment, based on its log K_{OA} , vapor pressure, and Henry's law constant, PCTP is not likely to volatilize from consumer products or articles, contaminated water, or other solutions. PCTP is more likely to be emitted from consumer products via abrasion or direct partitioning to dust. If it is present in the indoor air, PCTP is likely to deposit in dust or other organic matter due to its log K_{OA} .

PCTP released down-the-drain to wastewater is expected to adsorb to organic matter in the wastewater due to its log K_{OC} and log K_{OW} .

8.4. Overview of Lifecycle and Potential Sources of Exposure

8.4.1. Background and Brief Description of Lifecycle

No U.S. companies currently domestically manufacture or import PCTP above the CDR reporting threshold. However, two domestic uses of PCTP have been identified. In the primary use, PCTP





^aNo company has reported manufacture and/or import of pentachlorothiophenol (PCTP) in the U.S. above the reporting threshold of the CDR Rule for 2016. Only one company reported manufacture and/or import of PCTP in the U.S. in 2012. The production volume of PCTP was claimed as confidential business information (CBI). ^bPCTP is mentioned in over 2,100 patents.

*A letter to EPA from the Rubber Manufacturers Association, dated Feb. 22, 2017, indicates that its members "do not currently use PCTP to manufacture tires produced in the U.S. or imported into the U.S."

Figure 8-1. Lifecycle Diagram for PCTP

8.4.2. Manufacturing and Import

Small quantities of PCTP, a dry powder, may be domestically manufactured and imported (<u>U.S.</u> <u>EPA, 2017d</u>). It can be manufactured by treatment of hexachlorobenzene (a fungicide not used in the U.S. since 1984) with sodium sulfide and sulfur in methanol, or with sodium hydrogensulfide. Additionally, PCTP may be created with a "reaction of hydrogen sulfide with pentachlorophenol in the presence of an acidic catalyst, e.g., aluminum chloride or boron trifluoride" (<u>U.S. EPA, 2017d</u>).

Because the product is a dry powder, the most likely sources of releases and occupational exposures from manufacturing processes are associated with fugitive dust. These include air releases from transfer and packaging operations (fugitive dust to ambient air as well as dust that is collected and channeled through a dedicated point as a stack release) and solid waste from floor sweepings, disposal of used transfer containers containing residual PCTP, and liquid waste from equipment cleaning. Fugitive vapor air releases are not expected due to the low vapor pressure. Releases to land are possible when floor sweepings and other solid waste are

collected and disposed in landfills. Similarly, the collection and disposal of liquid equipment cleaning solutions has the potential of generating liquid waste containing PCTP (aqueous waste to surface waters and sent to publicly owned treatment works, and organic waste collected and sent for other disposal or waste treatment such as incineration). Occupational exposures from inhalation of fugitive dust and dermal exposure to dust from transfer and packaging operations and from fugitive dust emissions from process operations is possible. However, dermal exposure to liquids is not anticipated. Similarly, inhalation exposure to fugitive vapors is not expected due to PCTP's low vapor pressure.

8.4.3. Processing: Cross-linking Agent for Rubber Manufacturing

PCTP is used as an additive in the rubber manufacturing industry, specifically as a peptizer to make rubber more pliable (U.S. EPA, 2017d). Although releases of PCTP after cross-linking occurs are expected to be minimal, releases of additives such as cross-linking agents from rubber manufacturing are possible to water, air, and land (predominantly prior to reaction processes are complete). Water releases are expected to be most prevalent. Sources include process wastewater from cooling or heating medium and vulcanization, where water has direct contact with the rubber mixture. Releases to water can also occur from equipment and general area cleaning (OECD, 2004). Land releases are possible from the disposal of off-spec product and empty transfer containers. Air releases are expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure of PCTP. Occupational inhalation and dermal exposure to dust is possible from unloading and transfer operations when the PCTP mixture is added to process equipment. Once incorporated into the rubber formulation, the potential for worker exposure is not expected.

8.4.4. Industrial/Commercial Use: Golf Equipment Manufacturing (golf balls)

PCTP is used as an additive in the manufacture of golf balls (<u>EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0739</u>). Releases to all media are possible. Land releases may occur from the disposal of off-spec product and empty transfer containers. Water releases may occur from process wastewater or from equipment and general area cleaning with aqueous cleaning solutions. Air releases are expected to be minimal due to the low vapor pressure of PCTP. Occupational inhalation and dermal exposure to dust may occur from unloading and transfer operations when the PCTP mixture is added to process equipment. Once incorporated into the product, the potential for worker exposure is not expected.

8.4.5. Industrial/Commercial Use: Other Uses (e.g. laboratory research)

Small quantities of PCTP are used as a laboratory reagent. Potential releases to all media are possible from use and disposal of unused experimental reagents and laboratory equipment that may contain residual PCTP. However, releases directly to the environment are expected to be minimal due to handling and disposal requirements at laboratories. Similarly, inhalation and dermal exposure to laboratory personnel is possible from the handling of laboratory reagents;

however, it is expected to be minimized by the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment.

8.5. Environmental Monitoring

No studies were identified that reported extractable PCTP data in environmental media. Therefore, no summary charts or graphs are presented here.

8.6. Biomonitoring

Very few detections of PCTP in biomonitoring matrices are reported. This is potentially caused by a lack of monitoring data for PCTP, rather than an absence of PCTP in biomonitoring media.

Table 8-2 summarizes the biomonitoring data for PCTP identified in the peer-reviewed literature across all matrices considered. Also included in the count are available monitoring database sources. Only studies or databases that reported measurements of the chemical of interest above the limit of detection were extracted and included in the "# of studies" count. The frequency of detection is provided as a measure, across all samples in all extracted studies, of the frequency that the chemical was measured above the limit of detection. Note, the frequency of detection is reported only for peer-reviewed sources, unless the only data sources available were database sources.

Matrix	Presence	No. of Datasets	Frequency of Detection
Human blood (serum)	No	0	n/a
Human (other)	Yes	2	100%
Fish	No	0	n/a
Birds	No	0	n/a
Terrestrial invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Aquatic invertebrates	No	0	n/a
Terrestrial mammals	No	0	n/a
Aquatic mammals	No	0	n/a
Other	No	0	n/a

Table 8-2. Summary of PCTP Biomonitoring Data from the Peer-Reviewed Literature

The following chart provides the number of studies that reported PCTP biomonitoring data over time. For this chart, the year the study is published rather than the sampling timeframe is used as a proxy, though for most studies, samples were collected a few years prior to publication. Note, EPA recognizes that the sampling dates, rather than the publication date, would be a better reflection of temporal trends.



Figure 8-2. Frequency of peer-reviewed publications identified that contained PCTP biomonitoring data.

8.6.1. Human (other)



Figure 8-3. Concentration of PCTP (ng/L) in human (other) for the general (1992) and high-end (2000) populations. For each year, the range of values reported is presented by the entire length of the bar. The minimum and maximum of reported central tendency estimates are shown as a separate dark color within.

This chart contains data for the following: (To-Figueras et al., 2000; To-Figueras et al., 1992)

8.6.2. Other

Of the studies searched, EPA did not identify any studies with detectable levels of PCTP in human blood (serum), aquatic invertebrates, fish, aquatic mammals, terrestrial invertebrates, birds, or terrestrial mammals.

8.7. Trends in Monitoring Data

Of the studies searched, EPA did not identify any studies that reported trends for PCTP nor closely-related chemicals. Of the monitoring databases searched, no monitoring data was available for PCTP.

8.8. Modeled Intake and Dose Data

Of the studies searched, EPA did not identify any studies that reported modeled dose or intake data for PCTP.

8.9. Overview of Existing Exposure Assessments

EPA did not identify existing assessments of PCTP.

8.10. Representative Exposure Scenarios

PCTP has narrowly defined uses. Each of these uses has some potential for release and exposure. However, there is limited monitoring data for PCTP to document these exposures. Based on its physical-chemical properties, ingestion is likely the primary exposure route. Inhalation would likely be comprised of particles which could be swallowed, and dermal absorption is likely low.

Occupational: Manufacture of PCTP as a dry powder results in particulates in workplace air during transfer and packaging operations. Inhalation and dermal exposures to workers in these manufacturing facilities are possible.

Occupational: Processing of PCTP into rubber results in particulates in workplace air during unloading and transfer operations when PCTP mixture is added to processing equipment. Inhalation and dermal exposures to workers in these processing facilities are possible.

Occupational: Use of PCTP in the manufacture of golf balls results in particulates in workplace air during unloading and transfer operations. Inhalation and dermal exposures to workers in these facilities are possible

Occupational: Industrial and commercial use of PCTP as laboratory reagent may result in generation of particulates in workplace air. Laboratory workers may inhale these particulates and incidental contact with exposed skin may occur from accidental spills during use of the reagent. However, the use of engineering controls such as fume hoods and personal protective equipment is expected to reduce these exposures.

General Population: Rubber manufacturing is expected to result in water releases which could result in bioaccumulation to fish and subsequent ingestion by recreational fisherman.

Ecological: Rubber manufacturing and golf equipment manufacture are expected to result in releases to water which could result in exposures to aquatic organisms.

8.11. Summary of Review Articles

No review articles were identified that presented exposure estimates or doses for PCTP

Serum data are not available for PCTP and minimal exposure data are available.

9. References

<u>Abafe, OA; Martincigh, BS.</u> (2014). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls in indoor dust in Durban, South Africa. Indoor Air. 25(5): 547-556.

- <u>Abafe, OA; Martincigh, BS.</u> (2016). Determination and human exposure assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and tetrabromobisphenol A in indoor dust in South Africa. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(7): 7038-7049.
- Abdallah, MAE; Harrad, S. (2010). Modification and Calibration of a Passive Air Sampler for Monitoring Vapor and Particulate Phase Brominated Flame Retardants in Indoor Air: Application to Car Interiors. Environ Sci Technol. 44(8): 3059-3065.
- <u>Abdallah, MAE; Harrad, S.</u> (2014). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in UK human milk: Implications for infant exposure and relationship to external exposure. Environ Int. 63: 130-136.
- Abou-Elwafa Abdallah, M; Zaky, AH; Covaci, A. (2017). Levels and profiles of organohalogenated contaminants in human blood from Egypt. Chemosphere. 176: 266-272.
- ACC (American Chemistry Council). (2002). Voluntary Children's Chemical Evaluation Program (VCCEP) Data summary: decabromodiphenyl ether (a.k.a. decabromodiphenyl oxide, dbdpo). cas # 1163-19-5. Arlington,VA: Brominated Flame Retardant Industry Panel (BFRIP). <u>https://www.tera.org/Peer/VCCEP/DECA/DBDP0%20Assessment.pdf</u>.
- <u>Aghadadashi, V; Mehdinia, A.</u> (2016). Occurrence, spatial deposition and footprint of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in surficial sediments of Bushehr peninsula, the Persian Gulf. Mar Pollut Bull. 112(1-2): 211-217.
- Akortia, E; Okonkwo, JO; Lupankwa, M; Osae, SD; Daso, AP; Olukunle, OI; Chaudhary, A. (2016). A review of sources, levels, and toxicity of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and their transformation and transport in various environmental compartments. Environ Rev. 24(3): 253-273.
- <u>Al-Omran, LS; Harrad, S.</u> (2017). Influence of sampling approach on concentrations of legacy and "novel" brominated flame retardants in indoor dust. Chemosphere. 178: 51-58.
- Ali, N; Dirtu, AC; Van Den Eede, N; Goosey, E; Harrad, S; Neels, H; 'T Mannetje, A; Coakley, J; Douwes, J; Covaci, A. (2012a). Occurrence of alternative flame retardants in indoor dust from New Zealand: indoor sources and human exposure assessment. Chemosphere. 88(11): 1276-1282.
- Ali, N; Eqani, SA; Ismail, IM; Malarvannan, G; Kadi, MW; Albar, HM; Rehan, M; Covaci, A. (2016). Brominated and organophosphate flame retardants in indoor dust of Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: Implications for human exposure. Sci Total Environ. 569-570: 269-277.
- <u>Ali, N; Mehdi, T; Malik, RN; Eqani, SA; Kamal, A; Dirtu, AC; Neels, H; Covaci, A.</u> (2014). Levels and profile of several classes of organic contaminants in matched indoor dust and serum samples from occupational settings of Pakistan. Environ Pollut. 193: 269-276.
- <u>Ali, N; Van den Eede, N; Dirtu, AC; Neels, H; Covaci, A.</u> (2012b). Assessment of human exposure to indoor organic contaminants via dust ingestion in Pakistan. Indoor Air. 22(3): 200-211.
- Allen, JG; Mcclean, MD; Stapleton, HM; Webster, TF. (2008a). Critical factors in assessing exposure to PBDEs via house dust. Environ Int. 34(8): 1085-1091.
- Allen, JG; Mcclean, MD; Stapleton, HM; Webster, TF. (2008b). Linking PBDEs in House Dust to Consumer Products using X-ray Fluorescence. Environ Sci Technol. 42(11): 4222-4228.

- Allen, JG; Sumner, A; Nishioka, MG; Vallarino, J; Turner, DJ; Saltman, HK; Spengler, JD. (2013). Air concentrations of PBDEs on in-flight airplanes and assessment of flight crew inhalation exposure. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 23(4): 337-342.
- Andrade, NA; Lozano, N; Mcconnell, LL; Torrents, A; Rice, CP; Ramirez, M. (2015). Long-term trends of PBDEs, triclosan, and triclocarban in biosolids from a wastewater treatment plant in the Mid-Atlantic region of the US. J Hazard Mater. 282: 68-74.
- Andrade, NA; Mcconnell, LL; Torrents, A; Ramirez, M. (2010). Persistence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in agricultural soils after biosolids applications. J Agric Food Chem. 58(5): 3077-3084.
- Anh, HQ; Nam, VD; Tri, TM; Ha, NM; Ngoc, NT; Mai, PT; Anh, DH; Minh, NH; Tuan, NA; Minh, TB. (2017). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in plastic products, indoor dust, sediment and fish from informal e-waste recycling sites in Vietnam: a comprehensive assessment of contamination, accumulation pattern, emissions, and human exposure. Environ Geochem Health. 39(4): 935-954.
- Antignac, JP; Cariou, R; Zalko, D; Berrebi, A; Cravedi, JP; Maume, D; Marchand, P; Monteau, F; <u>Riu, A; Andre, F; Le Bizec, B.</u> (2009). Exposure assessment of French women and their newborn to brominated flame retardants: Determination of tri- to decapolybromodiphenylethers (PBDE) in maternal adipose tissue, serum, breast milk and cord serum. Environ Pollut. 157(1): 164-173.
- Antignac, JP; Main, KM; Virtanen, HE; Boquien, CY; Marchand, P; Venisseau, A; Guiffard, I; Bichon, E; Wohlfahrt-Veje, C; Legrand, A; Boscher, C; Skakkebæk, NE; Toppari, J; Le Bizec, B. (2016). Country-specific chemical signatures of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in breast milk of French, Danish and Finnish women. Environ Pollut. 218: 728-738.
- <u>Araki, A; Saito, I; Kanazawa, A; Morimoto, K; Nakayama, K; Shibata, E; Tanaka, M; Takigawa, T;</u> <u>Yoshimura, T; Chikara, H; Saijo, Y; Kishi, R.</u> (2014). Phosphorus flame retardants in indoor dust and their relation to asthma and allergies of. Indoor Air. 24(1): 3-15.
- Arctic Cat. (2018). Fuel-injector and carburetor cleaner. Available online at http://store.arcticcat.com/product/451726/0436-904/ /Fuel-Injector And Carburetor Cleaner (accessed
- Asante, KA; Adu-Kumi, S; Nakahiro, K; Takahashi, S; Isobe, T; Sudaryanto, A; Devanathan, G; <u>Clarke, E; Ansa-Asare, OD; Dapaah-Siakwan, S; Tanabe, S.</u> (2011). Human exposure to PCBs, PBDEs and HBCDs in Ghana: Temporal variation, sources of exposure and estimation of daily intakes by infants. Environ Int. 37(5): 921-928.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2003). Health consultation: Southside High School, Elmira, Chemung County, New York. Elmira, Chemung County, New York: US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Division of Health Assessment and Consultation.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2005a). Health consultation: Detco Industries, Incorporated, Conway, Faulkner County, Arkansas, EPA facility ID: ARR000012955. Conway, Faulkner Conty, Arkansas: US Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Division of Health Assessment and Consultation.

- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2005b). Health consultation: State of Arizona Silver Creek subdivision: Tucson, Pima County, Arizona. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2006). Public health assessment: Loudon County Hazardous Air Pollutants, Loudon County, Tennessee (Appendices). Loudon, Loudon County, Tennessee.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2013). Public health assessment: Kalamazoo River/Enbridge Spill: Evaluation of crude oil release to Talmadge Creek and Kalamazoo River on residential drinking water wells in nearby communities (Calhoun and Kalamazoo Counties, Michigan). Calhoun and Kalamazoo Counties, Michigan: Michigan Department of Community Health.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2017). Toxicological profile for Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.

https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/TP.asp?id=901&tid=183.

Austrian Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry,, Environment and Water Management, . (2013). Proposal for identification of a substance as a CMR CAT 1A or 1B, PBT, vPvB or a substance of an equivalent level of concern, annex XV - identification of trixylylphosphate (TXP) as SVHC. Helsinki, Finland: European Chemicals Agency. <u>https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13640/ec_246-677-</u>

8 trixylylphosphate annex-xv svhc en.pdf.

- Ballesteros-Gómez, A; Aragón, Á; Van den Eede, N; de Boer, J; Covaci, A. (2016). Impurities of Resorcinol Bis(diphenyl phosphate) in Plastics and Dust Collected on Electric/Electronic Material. Environ Sci Technol. 50(4): 1934-1940.
- Banerjee, S; Yalkowsky, SH; Valvani, SC. (1980). WATER SOLUBILITY AND OCTANOL-WATER PARTITION-COEFFICIENTS OF ORGANICS - LIMITATIONS OF THE SOLUBILITY-PARTITION COEFFICIENT CORRELATION. Environ Sci Technol. 14(10): 1227-1229. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es60170a013</u>.
- Baron, E; Bosch, C; Manez, M; Andreu, A; Sergio, F; Hiraldo, F; Eljarrat, E; Barcelo, D. (2015).
 Temporal trends in classical and alternative flame retardants in bird eggs from Donana Natural Space and surrounding areas (south-western Spain) between 1999 and 2013. Chemosphere. 138: 316-323.
- Barón, E; Gago-Ferrero, P; Gorga, M; Rudolph, I; Mendoza, G; Zapata, AM; Díaz-Cruz, S; Barra,
 <u>R; Ocampo-Duque, W; Páez, M; Darbra, RM; Eljarrat, E; Barceló, D.</u> (2013). Occurrence of hydrophobic organic pollutants (BFRs and UV-filters) in sediments from South America. Chemosphere. 92(3): 309-316.
- Bart H L, J. R.; Martinat, PJ; Abdelghani, A; Tchounwou, PB; Taylor S, L. (1998). Influence of taxonomy, ecology, and seasonality in river stage on fish contamination risks in floodplain swamps of the lower Mississippi river. Ecotoxicology. 7(6): 325-334.
- Batterman, S; Godwin, C; Chernyak, S; Jia, C; Charles, S. (2010). Brominated flame retardants in offices in Michigan, USA. Environ Int. 36(6): 548-556.
- Bennett, DH; Moran, RE; Wu, XM; Tulve, NS; Clifton, MS; Colón, M; Weathers, W; Sjödin, A; Jones, R; Hertz-Picciotto, I. (2014). Polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) concentrations and resulting exposure in homes in California: relationships among

passive air, surface wipe and dust concentrations, and temporal variability. Indoor Air. 25(2): 220-229.

- Bergh, C; Torgrip, R; Emenius, G; Ostman, C. (2011). Organophosphate and phthalate esters in air and settled dust a multi-location indoor study. Indoor Air. 21(1): 67-76.
- Besis, A; Christia, C; Poma, G; Covaci, A; Samara, C. (2017a). Legacy and novel brominated flame retardants in interior car dust Implications for human exposure. Environ Pollut. 230: 871-881.
- Besis, A; Katsoyiannis, A; Botsaropoulou, E; Samara, C. (2014). Concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in central air-conditioner filter dust and relevance of non-dietary exposure in occupational indoor environments in Greece. Environ Pollut. 188: 64-70.
- Besis, A; Lammel, G; Kukučka, P; Samara, C; Sofuoglu, A; Dumanoglu, Y; Eleftheriadis, K;
 Kouvarakis, G; Sofuoglu, SC; Vassilatou, V; Voutsa, D. (2017b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in background air around the Aegean: implications for phase partitioning and size distribution. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 24(36): 28102-28120.
- Bjermo, H; Aune, M; Cantillana, T; Glynn, A; Lind, PM; Ridefelt, P; Darnerud, PO. (2017). Serum levels of brominated flame retardants (BFRs: PBDE, HBCD) and influence of dietary factors in a population-based study on Swedish adults. Chemosphere. 167: 485-491.
- <u>Björklund, JA; Sellström, U; de Wit, CA; Aune, M; Lignell, S; Darnerud, PO.</u> (2012). Comparisons of polybrominated diphenyl ether and hexabromocyclododecane concentrations in dust collected with two sampling methods and matched breast milk samples. Indoor Air. 22(4): 279-288.
- Bjorklund, JA; Thuresson, K, aj; Cousins, AP; Sellstrom, U; Emenius, G; de Wit, CA. (2012). Indoor air is a significant source of tri-decabrominated diphenyl ethers to outdoor air via ventilation systems. Environ Sci Technol. 46(11): 5876-5884.
- Björnsdotter, MK; Romera-García, E; Borrull, J; de Boer, J; Rubio, S; Ballesteros-Gómez, A.

(2017). Presence of diphenyl phosphate and aryl-phosphate flame retardants in indoor dust from different microenvironments in Spain and the Netherlands and estimation of human exposure. Environ Int. 112: 59-67.

- Bogdal, C; Kohler, M; Schmid, P. (2007). Partitioning of polybrominated diphenyl ethers between air, water, sediment and fish in Lake Thun (Switzerland). Organohalogen Compd. 69(105).
- Botta, D; Dancelli, E; Mantica, E. (1996). A case history of contamination by polychloro-1,3butadiene congeners. Environ Sci Technol. 30(2): 453-462.
- Bramwell, L; Glinianaia, SV; Rankin, J; Rose, M; Fernandes, A; Harrad, S; Pless-Mulolli, T. (2016). Associations between human exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants via diet and indoor dust, and internal dose: A systematic review [Review]. Environ Int. 92-93: 680-694.
- Brandsma, SH; de Boer, J; van Velzen, MJ; Leonards, PE. (2014). Organophosphorus flame retardants (PFRs) and plasticizers in house and car dust and the influence of electronic equipment. Chemosphere. 116(Supplement C): 3-9.
- Breivik, K; Wania, F; Muir, DC; Alaee, M; Backus, S; Pacepavicius, G. (2006). Empirical and modeling evidence of the long-range atmospheric transport of decabromodiphenyl ether. Environ Sci Technol. 40(15): 4612-4618.

- <u>BSEF</u> (Bromine Science and Environmental Forum). (2007). Fact sheet: Brominated flame retardant Deca-BDE (Decabromodiphenyl Ether). Brussels, Belgium. <u>http://iclip.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/230_45_FR1210_BSEF_factsheet_Deca-BDE_oct07.pdf</u>.
- Burkhard, LP; Sheedy, BR; Mccauley, DJ; Degraeve, GM. (1997). Bioaccumulation factors for chlorinated benzenes, chlorinated butadienes and hexachloroethane. Environ Toxicol Chem. 16(8): 1677-1686.
- Burreau, S; Zebuhr, Y; Broman, D, ag; Ishaq, R. (2006). Biomagnification of PBDEs and PCBs in food webs from the Baltic Sea and the northern Atlantic ocean. Sci Total Environ. 366(2-3): 659-672.
- Buser, A; Morf, L; Taverna, R; Bader, H; Scheidegger, R. (2007). Comparison of BDE-209 concentrations modelled in a dynamic substance flow analysis for Switzerland and field data. Organohalogen Compd. 69: 2748-2751.
- Bustnes, JO; Yoccoz, NG; Bangjord, G; Polder, A; Skaare, JU. (2007). Temporal trends (1986-2004) of organochlorines and brominated flame retardants in tawny owl eggs from northern Europe. Environ Sci Technol. 41(24): 8491-8497.
- <u>C-L, L; M-D, F.</u> (1997). Sources and distribution of chlorobenzenes and hexachlorobutadiene in surficial sediments along the coast of Southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere. 35(9): 2039-2050.
- <u>Calderón-Preciado, D; Matamoros, V; Bayona, JM.</u> (2011). Occurrence and potential crop uptake of emerging contaminants and related compounds in an agricultural irrigation network. Sci Total Environ. 412-413: 14-19.
- <u>Canada, E.</u> (2000). Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999. Priority substances list assessment report. Hexachlorobutadiene. Gatineau, Quebec: Environment Canada/Health Canada.
- Canbaz, D; van Velzen, MJ; Hallner, E; Zwinderman, AH; Wickman, M; Leonards, PE; van Ree, R; van Rijt, LS. (2015). Exposure to organophosphate and polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants via indoor dust and childhood asthma. Indoor Air. 26(3): 403-413.
- Cao, Z; Yu, G; Chen, Y; Liu, C; Liu, K; Zhang, T; Wang, B; Deng, S; Huang, J. (2013). Mechanisms influencing the BFR distribution patterns in office dust and implications for estimating human exposure. J Hazard Mater. 252-253: 11-18.
- <u>Cequier, E; Ionas, AC; Covaci, A; Marcé, RM; Becher, G; Thomsen, C.</u> (2014). Occurrence of a broad range of legacy and emerging flame retardants in indoor environments in Norway. Environ Sci Technol. 48(12): 6827-6835.
- <u>Cequier, E; Sakhi, AK; Marcé, RM; Becher, G; Thomsen, C.</u> (2015). Human exposure pathways to organophosphate triesters A biomonitoring study of mother–child pairs. Environ Int. 75(Supplement C): 159-165.
- <u>Cetin, B.</u> (2014). Soil concentrations and source apportionment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and trace elements around a heavily industrialized area in Kocaeli, Turkey. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 21(13): 8284-8293.
- <u>Cetin, B; Odabasi, M.</u> (2007a). Air-water exchange and dry deposition of polybrominated diphenyl ethers at a coastal site in Izmir Bay, Turkey. Environ Sci Technol. 41(3): 785-791.

- Cetin, B; Odabasi, M. (2007b). Particle-phase dry deposition and air-soil gas-exchange of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Izmir, Turkey. Environ Sci Technol. 41(14): 4986-4992.
- Cetin, B; Odabasi, M. (2008). Atmospheric concentrations and phase partitioning of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Izmir, Turkey. Chemosphere. 71(6): 1067-1078.
- Cetin, B; Odabasi, M; Bayram, A. (2016). Wet deposition of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in Izmir, Turkey. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(9): 9227-9236.
- Champion Brands. (2014). Safety Data Sheet: Champion Fuel Stabilizer. Champion Brands.
- <u>Chan, CH.</u> (1993). St. Clair River head and mouth water quality monitoring, 1987-89 (pp. 451-471). (ISSN 0197-9140
- BIOSIS/94/04299). Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker (GDCh) Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance (BUA).
- <u>Chao, H, owRan; Shy, CG; Huang, HL, in; Koh, TW, ai; Tok, T, eS; Chen, SCC; Chiang, B, aoAn;</u>
 <u>Kuo, Y, iM; Chen, KC; Chang-Chien, G, ouP.</u> (2014). Particle-Size Dust Concentrations of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Southern Taiwanese Houses and Assessment of the PBDE Daily Intakes in Toddlers and Adults. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 14(4): 1299-1309.
- <u>Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute.</u> (1992). Biodegradation and bioaccumulation data of existing chemicals based on the CSCL Japan (pp. 2-9). Tokyo, Japan: Chemical Industry Ecology-Toxicology and Information Center.
- <u>Chen, A; Park, J; Linderholm, L; Rhee, A; Petreas, M; Defranco, EA; Dietrich, K; Ho, S.</u> (2013). Hydroxylated Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Paired Maternal and Cord Sera. Environ Sci Technol. 47(8): 3902-3908.
- <u>Chen, C; Zhao, H; Chen, J; Qiao, X; Xie, Q; Zhang, Y.</u> (2012a). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soils of the modern Yellow River Delta, China: Occurrence, distribution and inventory. Chemosphere. 88(7): 791-797.
- <u>Chen, D; Bi, X; Liu, M; Huang, B, o; Sheng, G; Fu, J.</u> (2011a). Phase partitioning, concentration variation and risk assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the atmosphere of an e-waste recycling site. Chemosphere. 82(9): 1246-1252.
- Chen, D; Letcher, RJ; Burgess, NM; Champoux, L; Elliott, JE; Hebert, CE; Martin, P; Wayland, M; Chip Weseloh, DV; Wilson, L. (2012b). Flame retardants in eggs of four gull species (Laridae) from breeding sites spanning Atlantic to Pacific Canada [Review]. Environ Pollut. 168(Supplement C): 1-9.
- <u>Chen, L; Huang, Y; Xu, Z; Wen, L; Peng, X; Ye, Z; Zhang, S; Meng, XZ.</u> (2011b). Human Exposure to PBDEs Via House Dust Ingestion in Guangzhou, South China. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 60(3): 556-564.
- <u>Chen, M; Wang, L, ee; Chi, Y; Mao, C; Lung, SCC; Mao, IF.</u> (2012c). Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Foodstuffs from Taiwan: Level and Human Dietary Exposure Assessment. Sci Total Environ. 431: 183-187.
- <u>Chen, SJ; Luo, XJ; Lin, Z; Luo, Y; Li, KC; Peng, XZ; Mai, BX; Ran, Y; Zeng, EY.</u> (2007). Time trends of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in sediment cores from the Pearl River Estuary, South China. Environ Sci Technol. 41(16): 5595-5600.

- <u>Chen, SJ; Ma, YJ; Wang, J; Chen, D; Luo, XJ; Mai, BX.</u> (2009). Brominated Flame Retardants in Children's Toys: Concentration, Composition, and Children's Exposure and Risk Assessment. Environ Sci Technol. 43(11): 4200-4206.
- <u>Cheng, Z; Lin, T; Xu, W; Xu, Y; Li, J; Luo, C; Zhang, G.</u> (2015). A preliminary assessment of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in deep-sea sediments from the Indian Ocean. Mar Pollut Bull. 94(1-2): 323-328.
- <u>Choudhary, G.</u> (1995). Human health perspectives on environmental exposure to hexachlorobutadiene: A review [Review]. J Environ Sci Health C Environ Carcinog Ecotoxicol Rev. 13(2): 179-203.
- <u>Civan, MY; Kara, UM.</u> (2016). Risk assessment of PBDEs and PAHs in house dust in Kocaeli, Turkey: levels and sources. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(23): 23369-23384.
- <u>Clark, JR; Devault, D; Bowden, RJ; Weishaar, JA.</u> (1984). Contaminant analysis of fillets from Great Lakes coho salmon, 1980. J Great Lakes Res. 10: 38-47.
- <u>Coelho, SD; Sousa, A; Isobe, T; Tanabe, S; Nogueira, AJA.</u> (2014). Flame retardants in indoor dust A review on the levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecanes. Curr Org Chem. 18(17): 2218-2230.
- Coelho, SD; Sousa, AC; Isobe, T; Kim, JW; Kunisue, T; Nogueira, AJ; Tanabe, S. (2016). Brominated, chlorinated and phosphate organic contaminants in house dust from Portugal. Sci Total Environ. 569-570: 442-449.
- <u>Cole, C.</u> (2011). Should I Use Fuel Injector Cleaner? AutoGuide.com. <u>http://www.autoguide.com/auto-news/2015/04/should-i-use-fuel-injector-cleaner-</u> <u>.html</u>.
- <u>Cousins, AP; Holmgren, T; Remberger, M.</u> (2014). Emissions of two phthalate esters and BDE 209 to indoor air and their impact on urban air quality. Sci Total Environ. 470-471: 527-535.
- <u>Covaci, A; Roosens, L; Dirtu, AC; Waegeneers, N; Van Overmeire, I; Neels, H; Goeyens, L.</u> (2009). Brominated flame retardants in Belgian home-produced eggs: levels and contamination sources. Sci Total Environ. 407(15): 4387-4396.
- <u>Cowell, WJ; Stapleton, HM; Holmes, D; Calero, L; Tobon, C; Perzanowski, M; Herbstman, JB.</u> (2017). Prevalence of historical and replacement brominated flame retardant chemicals in New York City homes. 3(1): 32-39.
- <u>Crane, JL; Hennes, S.</u> (2016). Ambient sediment quality conditions in Minnesota. Minnesota, USA: Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.
- <u>Cristale, J; Hurtado, A; Gómez-Canela, C; Lacorte, S.</u> (2016). Occurrence and sources of brominated and organophosphorus flame retardants in dust from different indoor environments in Barcelona, Spain. Environ Res. 149: 66-76.
- Crump, D; Brown, V; Rowley, J; Squire, R. (2004). Reducing ingress of organic vapours into homes situated on contaminated land. Environ Technol. 25(4): 443-450.
- Cunha, SC; Kalachova, K; Pulkrabova, J; Fernandes, JO; Oliveira, MBP, P; Alves, A; Hajslova, J. (2010). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) contents in house and car dust of Portugal by pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Chemosphere. 78(10): 1263-1271.
- Cyclo Industries. (2018). Safety Data Sheet: Fuel Stabilizer. <u>http://cyclo.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/C290-C292_SDS_April2018-1.pdf</u>.

D'Hollander, W; Roosens, L; Covaci, A; Cornelis, C; Reynders, H; Campenhout, KV; Voogt, P, d; Bervoets, L. (2010). Brominated flame retardants and perfluorinated compounds in indoor dust from homes and offices in Flanders, Belgium. Chemosphere. 81(4): 478-487.

- Darnerud, P; Lignell, S; Aune, M; Isaksson, M; Cantillana, T; Redeby, J; Glynn, A. (2015). Time trends of polybrominated diphenylether (PBDE) congeners in serum of Swedish mothers and comparisons to breast milk data. Environ Res. 138: 352-360.
- Daso, AP; Fatoki, OS; Odendaal, JP; Olujimi, OO. (2012). Occurrence of selected polybrominated diphenyl ethers and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB-153) in sewage sludge and effluent samples of a wastewater-treatment plant in Cape Town, South Africa. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 62(3): 391-402.
- Daso, AP; Fatoki, OS; Odendaal, JP; Olujimi, OO. (2013). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromobiphenyl (BB-153) in landfill leachate in Cape Town, South Africa. Environ Monit Assess. 185(1): 431-439.
- Daubert, TE; Danner, RP. (1989). Physical and thermodynamic properties of pure chemicals: Data compilation. Washington, DC: Taylor & Francis.
- Davi, ML; Gnudi, F. (1999). Phenolic compounds in surface water. Water Res. 33(14): 3213-3219.
- David, M; Seiber, J. (1999). Analysis of organophosphate hydraulic fluids in US Air Force base soils. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 36(3): 235-241.
- Davis, EF; Klosterhaus, SL; Stapleton, HM. (2012). Measurement of flame retardants and triclosan in municipal sewage sludge and biosolids. Environ Int. 40: 1-7.
- <u>De Boer, J.</u> (1989). Organochlorine compounds and bromodiphenylethers in livers of atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) from the North Sea 1977-1987. Chemosphere. 18(11-12): 2131-2140.
- de Boer, J; Leslie, HA; Leonards, PE; Bersuder, P; Morris, S; Allchin, CR. (2004). Screening and time trend study of decabromodiphenylether and hexabromocyclododecane in birds (pp. 4). de Boer, J; Leslie, HA; Leonards, PE; Bersuder, P; Morris, S; Allchin, CR.
- <u>de Wit, CA; Björklund, JA; Thuresson, K.</u> (2012). Tri-decabrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in indoor air and dust from Stockholm microenvironments 2: indoor sources and human exposure. Environ Int. 39(1): 141-147.
- Deng, C; Chen, Y; Li, J; Li, Y; Li, H. (2016). Environmental pollution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers from industrial plants in China: a preliminary investigation. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(7): 7012-7021.
- Deng, D; Chen, H; Tam, NF. (2015). Temporal and spatial contamination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in wastewater treatment plants in Hong Kong. Sci Total Environ. 502: 133-142.
- Ding, N, an; Wang, T, ao; Chen, S; Yu, M, ei; Zhu, Z; Tian, M, i; Luo, X; Mai, B, iX. (2016). Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in indoor and outdoor air in a community in Guangzhou, a megacity of southern China. Environ Pollut. 212: 457-463.
- Dirtu, AC; Covaci, A. (2010). Estimation of daily intake of organohalogenated contaminants from food consumption and indoor dust ingestion in Romania. Environ Sci Technol. 44(16): 6297-6304.

- Dodder, NG; Maruya, KA; Lauenstein, GG; Ramirez, J; Ritter, KJ; Schiff, KC. (2012). Distribution and sources of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the Southern California Bight. Environ Toxicol Chem. 31(10): 2239-2245.
- Dodson, RE; Perovich, LJ; Covaci, A; Van den Eede, N; Ionas, AC; Dirtu, AC; Brody, JG; Rudel, RA. (2012). After the PBDE phase-out: a broad suite of flame retardants in repeat house dust samples from California. Environ Sci Technol. 46(24): 13056-13066.
- Dodson, RE; Rodgers, KM; Carey, G; Cedeno Laurent, JG; Covaci, A; Poma, G; Malarvannan, G; Spengler, JD; Rudel, RA; Allen, JG. (2017). Flame retardant chemicals in college dormitories: Flammability standards influence dust concentrations. Environ Sci Technol. 51(9): 4860-4869.
- DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). (1989). Groundwater resources consultants inc -Investigation of soil and shallow groundwater conditions Santa Susana field laboratory area IV. Chatsworth, California: Rockwell International Corporation, Rocketdyne Division.
- Dosis, I; Athanassiadis, I; Karamanlis, X. (2016). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in mussels from cultures and natural population. Mar Pollut Bull. 107(1): 92-101.
- Dosis, I; Kamarianos, A; Athanasiadou, M; Athanassiadis, I; Karamanlis, X. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in marine sediment of Thermaikos Gulf, Greece. Int J Environ Anal Chem. 91(12): 1151-1165.
- Du, X; Chang, H; Zhou, Y; Qiu, Y; Wu, Y, an; Lin, Z; Zhu, Z; Zhao, J. (2017). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and its methoxylated analogues in biota and sediment samples from two freshwater lakes in Yangtze River delta. Environ Earth Sci. 76(4).
- Duan, Y; Meng, XZ; Yang, C; Pan, ZY, u; Chen, L; Yu, R, an; Li, FT. (2010). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in background surface soils from the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), China: occurrence, sources, and inventory. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 17(4): 948-956.
- Durham, RW; Oliver, BG. (1983). History of Lake Ontario (Canada, USA) contamination from the Niagara river by sediment radiodating and chlorinated hydrocarbon analysis. J Great Lakes Res. 9(2): 160-168.
- EC. (2018). Information Platform for Chemical Monitoring Data [Database]. Retrieved from https://ipchem.jrc.ec.europa.eu/RDSIdiscovery/ipchem/index.html
- <u>ECB</u> (European Chemicals Bureau). (2007). Update of the risk assessment of bis(pentabromophenyl) ether: Final draft of October 2007. (R013_0710_env_hh). France/United Kingdom.
- ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). (2014). Annex XV Restriction Report: Proposal for a Restriction [Bis(pentabromophenyl) ether].
- Eggesbø, M; Thomsen, C; Jørgensen, JV; Becher, G; Odland, JØ; Longnecker, MP. (2011). Associations between brominated flame retardants in human milk and thyroidstimulating hormone (TSH) in neonates. Environ Res. 111(6): 737-743.
- Eguchi, A; Nomiyama, K; Devanathan, G; Subramanian, A; Bulbule, KA; Parthasarathy, P; <u>Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S.</u> (2012). Different profiles of anthropogenic and naturally produced organohalogen compounds in serum from residents living near a coastal area and e-waste recycling workers in India. Environ Int. 47: 8-16.

- Environment Canada and Health Canada. (2008). Screening assessment for the challenge: Phenol, 2,4,6-tris(1,1-dimethylethyl)-(2,4,6-tri-tert-butylphenol) casrn: 732-26-3. Gatineau, QC, Canada: Environment and Climate Change Canada.
- ERG (Eastern Research Group Inc.). (2004). Proposed significant new use rule for flame retardants in residential upholstered furniture: evaluation of releases and occupational exposures.
- <u>EU</u> (European Union). (2002). European Union risk assessment report: Bis(pentabromophenyl) ether. In 1st Priority List (pp. 1-282). (EUR 20402 EN). Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Euro Chlor. (2002). Euro Chlor risk assessment for the marine environment OSPARCOM region -North sea: Hexachlorobutadiene.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). (2008). European Union risk assessment report: P-Tert-Butylphenol. CAS No: 98-54-4. EINECS No: 202-679-0. (R404 1208ENV_HH). Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- European Environment Agency. (2009). Environmental risk evaluation report: Isopropylated triphenyl phosphate (CAS nos. 28108-99-8, 26967-76-0 & 68937-41-7). In Using Science to Create a Better Place. Bristol, United Kingdom: Environment Agency.
- <u>Farrar, DG.</u> (2001). The Weston Village incident A case study of the public health implications of low level exposure to hexachlorobutadiene. Toxicology. 168(1): 43-44.
- Fatta, D; Michael, C; Canna-Michaehdou, S, t; Christodoulidou, M; Kythreotou, N; Vasquez, M. (2007). Pesticides, volatile and semivolatile organic compounds in the inland surface waters of Cyprus. Desalination. 215(1-3): 223-236.
- Fernie, KJ; Chabot, D; Champoux, L; Brimble, S; Alaee, M; Marteinson, S; Chen, D; Palace, V; Bird, DM; Letcher, RJ. (2017). Spatiotemporal patterns and relationships among the diet, biochemistry, and exposure to flame retardants in an apex avian predator, the peregrine falcon. Environ Res. 158: 43-53.
- Fox, ME; Carey, JH; Oliver, BG. (1983). Compartmental distribution of organochlorine contaminants in the Niagara River and the western basin of Lake Ontario (USA, Canada).
 J Great Lakes Res. 9(2): 287-294.
- Frederiksen, M; Thomsen, M; Vorkamp, K; Knudsen, LE. (2009). Patterns and concentration levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in placental tissue of women in Denmark. Chemosphere. 76(11): 1464-1469.
- Fromme, H; Lahrz, T; Kraft, M; Fembacher, L; Mach, C; Dietrich, S; Burkardt, R; Völkel, W; Göen, T. (2014). Organophosphate flame retardants and plasticizers in the air and dust in German daycare centers and human biomonitoring in visiting children (LUPE 3). Environ Int. 71(Supplement C): 158-163.
- Fu, J; Wang, Y; Zhang, A; Zhang, Q; Zhao, Z; Wang, T; Jiang, G. (2011). Spatial distribution of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated biphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in an ewaste dismantling region in Southeast China: Use of apple snail (Ampullariidae) as a bioindicator. Chemosphere. 82(5): 648-655.
- Gandhi, N; Gewurtz, SB; Drouillard, KG; Kolic, T; Macpherson, K; Reiner, EJ; Bhavsar, SP. (2017). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in Great Lakes fish: Levels, patterns, trends and implications for human exposure. Sci Total Environ. 576: 907-916.

- Gao, S; Hong, J; Yu, Z; Wang, J; Yang, G; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in surface soils from e-waste recycling areas and industrial areas in South China: concentration levels, congener profile, and inventory. Environ Toxicol Chem. 30(12): 2688-2696.
- Gascon, M; Fort, M; Martinez, D; Carsin, AE; Forns, J; Grimalt, JO; Santa Marina, L; Lertxundi, N; Sunyer, J; Vrijheid, M. (2012). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in breast milk and neuropsychological development in infants. Environ Health Perspect. 120(12): 1760-1765.
- <u>General Electric Company.</u> (1995). Design requirements for regulated materials and chemicals. GE Power & Water, Materials and Processes Engineering.
- <u>Gentes, ML; Mazerolle, MJ; Giroux, JF; Patenaude-Monette, M; Verreault, J.</u> (2015). Tracking the sources of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in birds: foraging in waste management facilities results in higher DecaBDE exposure in males. Environ Res. 138: 361-371.
- <u>Gevao, B; Shammari, F; Ali, LN.</u> (2016). Polybrominated diphenyl ether levels in dust collected from cars in Kuwait: Implications for human exposure. Indoor Built Environ. 25(1): 106-113.
- <u>Goldbach, RW; van Genderen, H; Leeuwangh, P.</u> (1976). Hexachlorobutadiene residues in aquatic fauna from surface water fed by the river Rhine. Sci Total Environ. 6(1): 31-40.
- <u>Gómara, B; Herrero, L; Pacepavicius, G; Ohta, S; Alaee, M; González, MJ.</u> (2011). Occurrence of co-planar polybrominated/chlorinated biphenyls (PXBs), polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in breast milk of women from Spain. Chemosphere. 83(6): 799-805.
- <u>Gómara, B; Herrero, L; Ramos, JJ; Mateo, JR; Fernández, MA; García, JF; González, MJ.</u> (2007). Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human umbilical cord serum, paternal serum, maternal serum, placentas, and breast milk from Madrid population, Spain. Environ Sci Technol. 41(20): 6961-6968.
- <u>Gomez-Belinchon, JI; Grimalt, JO; Albaiges, J.</u> (1991). Volatile organic compounds in two polluted rivers in Barcelona (Catalonia, Spain). Water Res. 25(5): 577-589.
- <u>Gorga, M; Martínez, E; Ginebreda, A; Eljarrat, E; Barceló, D.</u> (2013). Determination of PBDEs, HBB, PBEB, DBDPE, HBCD, TBBPA and related compounds in sewage sludge from Catalonia (Spain). Sci Total Environ. 444: 51-59.
- <u>Goto, A; Tue, NM; Someya, M; Isobe, T; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S; Kunisue, T.</u> (2017). Spatiotemporal trends of polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in archived sediments from Tokyo Bay, Japan. Sci Total Environ. 599-600: 340-347.
- <u>Götz, R; Bauer, O; Friesel, P; Roch, K.</u> (1998). Organic trace compounds in the water of the River Elbe near Hamburg: Part I. Chemosphere. 36(9): 2085-2101.
- Gou, Y, anYou; Hsu, Y, iC; Chao, H, owRan; Que, DE; Tayo, LL; Lin, CH; Huang, SM; Tsai, CH; Shih, SI. (2016a). Pollution Characteristics and Diurnal Variations in Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Indoor and Outdoor Air from Vehicle Dismantler Factories in Southern Taiwan. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 16(8): 1931-1941.

Gou, YY; Que, DE; Chuang, CY; Chao, HR; Shy, CG; Hsu, YC; Lin, CW; Chuang, KP; Tsai, CC; Tayo,

LL. (2016b). Dust levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins/furans (PBDD/Fs) in the Taiwanese elementary school classrooms: Assessment of the risk to school-age children. Sci Total Environ. 572: 734-741.

- <u>Grant, PB; Johannessen, SC; Macdonald, RW; Yunker, MB; Sanborn, M; Dangerfield, N; Wright,</u> <u>C; Ross, PS.</u> (2011). Environmental fractionation of PCBs and PBDEs during particle transport as recorded by sediments in coastal waters. Environ Toxicol Chem. 30(7): 1522-1532.
- <u>Guerra, P; Eljarrat, E; Barcelo, D.</u> (2010). Analysis and occurrence of emerging brominated flame retardants in the Llobregat River basin. J Hydrol. 383(1-2): 39-43.
- <u>Guerra, P; Kleywegt, S; Payne, M; Svoboda, ML; Lee, HB; Reiner, E; Kolic, T; Metcalfe, C; Smyth,</u> <u>SA.</u> (2015). Occurrence and Fate of Trace Contaminants during Aerobic and Anaerobic Sludge Digestion and Dewatering. J Environ Qual. 44(4): 1193-1200.
- Guo, JY; Wu, FC; Mai, B, iX; Luo, X; Zeng, EY. (2007). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in seafood products of south china. J Agric Food Chem. 55(22): 9152-9158.
- <u>Guo, W; Park, JS; Wang, Y; Gardner, S; Baek, C; Petreas, M; Hooper, K.</u> (2012). High polybrominated diphenyl ether levels in California house cats: house dust a primary source? Environ Toxicol Chem. 31(2): 301-306.
- Guo, Y; Meng, XZ; Tang, H; Mai, B, iX; Zeng, EY. (2008). Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in fish tissues from the Pearl River Delta, China: Levels, compositions, and potential sources. Environ Toxicol Chem. 27(3): 576-582.
- Halfon, E; Poulton, D. (1992). Distribution of chlorobenzenes, pesticides and PCB congeners in Lake Ontario near the Toronto waterfront (pp. 751-772). (ISSN 0197-9140 BIOSIS/93/28318). Halfon, E; Poulton, D.
- Han, W; Fan, T, ao; Xu, B; Feng, J; Zhang, G, an; Wu, M; Yu, Y; Fu, J. (2016). Passive sampling of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in indoor and outdoor air in Shanghai, China: seasonal variations, sources, and inhalation exposure. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(6): 5771-5781.
- Han, W; Feng, J; Gu, Z; Chen, D; Wu, M; Fu, J. (2009). Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in the Atmosphere of Taizhou, a Major E-Waste Dismantling Area in China. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 83(6): 783-788.
- Hansch, C; Leo, A; Hoekman, D. (1995). Exploring QSAR: Hydrophobic, electronic, and steric constants. In C Hansch; A Leo; DH Hoekman (Eds.), Exploring QSAR: Hydrophobic, Electronic, and Steric Constants. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society.
- <u>Harrad, S; Abdallah, MA.</u> (2011). Brominated flame retardants in dust from UK cars--withinvehicle spatial variability, evidence for degradation and exposure implications. Chemosphere. 82(9): 1240-1245.
- Harrad, S; Abdallah, MA; Oluseyi, T. (2016). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls in dust from cars, homes, and offices in Lagos, Nigeria. Chemosphere. 146: 346-353.
- Harrad, S; Ibarra, C; Abdallah, MAE; Boon, R; Neels, H; Covaci, A. (2008). Concentrations of brominated flame retardants in dust from United Kingdom cars, homes, and offices: causes of variability and implications for human exposure. Environ Int. 34(8): 1170-1175.
- Harris, RH; Highland, JH; Rodricks, JV; SS, P. (1984). Adverse health effects at a Tennessee hazardous waste disposal site. 1(2): 183-204.
- <u>Hassan, Y; Shoeib, T.</u> (2014). Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and novel flame retardants in microenvironment dust from Egypt: An assessment of human exposure. Sci Total Environ. 505C: 47-55.

- Haynes, WM; Lide, DR; Bruno, TJ. (2014). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics. In WM Haynes; DR Lide; TJ Bruno (Eds.), (95th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- <u>Hays, SM; Pyatt, DW.</u> (2006). Risk assessment for children exposed to decabromodiphenyl (oxide) ether (Deca) in the United States. Integr Environ Assess Manag. 2(1): 2-12.
- <u>He, MJ; Luo, XJ; Chen, MY; Sun, YX; Chen, SJ; Mai, BX.</u> (2012). Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenyl ethane in fish from a river system in a highly industrialized area, South China. Sci Total Environ. 419(Supplement C): 109-115.
- He, R; Li, Y; Xiang, P; Li, C; Zhou, C; Zhang, S; Cui, X; Ma, LQ. (2015). Organophosphorus flame retardants and phthalate esters in indoor dust from different microenvironments: Bioaccessibility and risk assessment. Chemosphere. 150: 528-535.
- <u>Health Canada.</u> (2012). Health State of the science report on decabromodiphenyl ether (decaBDE). Health Canada.
- Hearn, LK; Hawker, DW; Mueller, JF. (2012). Dispersal patterns of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the vicinity of an automotive shredding and metal recycling facility. Atmos Pollut Res. 3(3): 317-324.
- Henríquez-Hernández, LA; Carretón, E; Camacho, M; Montoya-Alonso, JA; Boada, LD; Bernal Martín, V; Falcón Cordón, Y; Falcón Cordón, S; Zumbado, M; Luzardo, OP. (2017).
 Potential Role of Pet Cats As a Sentinel Species for Human Exposure to Flame Retardants. 4: 79.
- <u>HHS</u> (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services). (2005). Public Health Assessment for Big John Salvage - Hoult Road Site, Fairmont, Marion County, West Virginia, March 14, 2005. EPA Facility ID: WVD054827944. (NTIS/02933083).
- Hope, BK; Pillsbury, L; Boling, B. (2012). A state-wide survey in Oregon (USA) of trace metals and organic chemicals in municipal effluent. Sci Total Environ. 417-418: 263-272.
- <u>HSDB</u> (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). (2005). Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene. Bethesda, MD: U.S. National Library of Medcine. <u>http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-</u> bin/sis/search2/r?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+2870.
- HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). (2015). Pentachlorothiophenol . CASRN: 133-49-3. Washington D.C. <u>http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search2/r?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+6124</u>.
- Hu, G; Xu, Z; Dai, J; Mai, B; Cao, H; Wang, J; Shi, Z; Xu, M. (2010). Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenylethane in surface sediments from Fuhe River and Baiyangdian Lake, North China. J Environ Sci. 22(12): 1833-1839.
- Huang, Y; Chen, L; Peng, X; Xu, Z; Ye, Z. (2010). PBDEs in indoor dust in South-Central China: characteristics and implications. Chemosphere. 78(2): 169-174.
- <u>Hy-per Lube.</u> (2018). Total fuel system cleaner. Available online at <u>https://www.hyperlube.com/ca/product/total-fuel-system-cleaner/</u> (accessed
- IBM. (2018). IBM Engineering Specification 46G3772. Baseline Environmental Requirements For Supplier Deliverables to IBM. IBM Systems Development. https://www.ibm.com/ibm/environment/products/46g3772.pdf.
- ICES. (2018). ICES-Dome [Database]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.ices.dk/marine-data/data-portals/Pages/DOME.aspx</u>

<u>Ilyas, M; Sudaryanto, A; Setiawan, IE; Riyadi, AS; Isobe, T; Ogawa, S; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S.</u> (2011a). Characterization of polychlorinated biphenyls and brominated flame retardants in surface soils from Surabaya, Indonesia. Chemosphere. 83(6): 783-791.

Ilyas, M; Sudaryanto, A; Setiawan, IE; Riyadi, AS; Isobe, T; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S. (2011b).

- Characterization of polychlorinated biphenyls and brominated flame retardants in sediments from riverine and coastal waters of Surabaya, Indonesia. Mar Pollut Bull. 62(1): 89-98.
- <u>Ilyas, M; Sudaryanto, A; Setiawan, IE; Riyadi, AS; Isobe, T; Tanabe, S.</u> (2013). Characterization of polychlorinated biphenyls and brominated flame retardants in sludge, sediment and fish from municipal dumpsite at Surabaya, Indonesia. Chemosphere. 93(8): 1500-1510.
- Imm, P; Knobeloch, L; Buelow, C; Anderson, HA. (2009). Household exposures to polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in a Wisconsin Cohort. Environ Health Perspect. 117(12): 1890-1895.
- <u>IPCS</u> (International Programme on Chemical Safety). (1994). Environmental health criteria 156 -Hexachlorobutadiene. Geneva, Switzerland: United Nations Environment Programme, International Labour Organisation, World Health Organization.
- Iqbal, M; Syed, JH; Katsoyiannis, A; Malik, RN; Farooqi, A; Butt, A; Li, J; Zhang, G; Cincinelli, A; Jones, KC. (2017). Legacy and emerging flame retardants (FRs) in the freshwater ecosystem: A review [Review]. Environ Res. 152: 26-42.
- Ismail, N; Gewurtz, SB; Pleskach, K; Whittle, DM; Helm, PA; Marvin, CH; Tomy, GT. (2009). Brominated and chlorinated flame retardants in Lake Ontario, Canada, lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush) between 1979 and 2004 and possible influences of food-web changes. Environ Toxicol Chem. 28(5): 910-920.
- Isobe, T; Takayanagi, N; Kunisue, T; Nakatsu, S; Tsubota, T; Okumoto, K; Bushisue, S; Shindo, K; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S. (2007). Brominated flame retardant in raccoon dogs from Japan – a monitoring survey of wild terrestrial mammals. Organohalogen Compd. 69: 2732-2735.
- Jakobsson, K; Thuresson, K; Rylander, L; Sjödin, A; Hagmar, L; Bergman, A. (2002). Exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers and tetrabromobisphenol A among computer technicians. Chemosphere. 46(5): 709-716.
- <u>Janssen, S.</u> (2005). Brominated flame retardants: Rising levels of concern. Arlington, VA: Health Care Without Harm. <u>https://noharm-uscanada.org/sites/default/files/documents-files/28/BFRs_Rising_Concern.pdf</u>.
- <u>Japanese Ministry of Environment.</u> (2004). Chemicals in the environment, report on environmental survey and wildlife monitoring of chemicals in FY2002. Tokyo, Japan: Ministry of the Environment, Government of Japan.
- <u>Japanese Ministry of Environment.</u> (2005). Chemicals in the environment, report on environmental survey and monitoring of chemicals in FY2003. Tokyo, Japan: Ministry of the Environment, Government of Japan.
- <u>Japanese Ministry of Environment.</u> (2010). Chemicals in the Environment (FY2009): Chapter 3. Tokyo, Japan.
- Jiang, H; Lin, Z; Wu, Y; Chen, X; Hu, Y; Li, Y; Huang, C; Dong, Q. (2014). Daily intake of polybrominated diphenyl ethers via dust and diet from an e-waste recycling area in China. J Hazard Mater. 276: 35-42.

- Jiang, JJ; Lee, CL; Fang, MD; Ko, FC; Baker, JE. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls in sediments of southwest Taiwan: regional characteristics and potential sources. Mar Pollut Bull. 62(4): 815-823.
- Jiang, Y; Wang, X; Zhu, K, un; Wu, M; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2010). Occurrence, compositional profiles and possible sources of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in urban soils of Shanghai, China. Chemosphere. 80(2): 131-136.
- Jiang, Y; Wang, X; Zhu, K, un; Wu, M; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2012). Occurrence, compositional patterns, and possible sources of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in agricultural soil of Shanghai, China. Chemosphere. 89(8): 936-943.
- Jin, J, un; Wang, Y; Yang, C; Hu, J; Liu, W; Cui, J; Tang, X. (2009). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the serum and breast milk of the resident population from production area, China. Environ Int. 35(7): 1048-1052.
- Jin, J; Wang, Y; Yang, C; Hu, J; Liu, W; Cui, J. (2010). Human exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers at production area, China. Environ Toxicol Chem. 29(5): 1031-1035.
- Johansson, AK; Sellström, U; Lindberg, P; Bignert, A; de Wit, CA. (2011). Temporal trends of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in Swedish Peregrine Falcon (Falco peregrinus peregrinus) eggs. Environ Int. 37(4): 678-686.
- <u>Johansson, AK; Sellström, U; Lindberg, P; Bignert, A; De Witt, CA.</u> (2009). Polybrominated diphenyl ether congener patterns, hexabromocyclododecane, and brominated biphenyl 153 in eggs of peregrine falcons (Falco peregrinus) breeding in Sweden. Environ Toxicol Chem. 28(1): 9-17.
- Johnson, A; Seiders, K; Deligeannis, C; Kinney, K; Sandvik, P; Era-Miller, B; Alkire, D. (2006). PBDEs Flame Retardants in Washington Rivers and Lakes: Concentrations in Fish and Water, 2005-06. (06-03-027). Johnson, A; Seiders, K; Deligeannis, C; Kinney, K; Sandvik, P; Era-Miller, B; Alkire, D.
- Johnson, PI; Stapleton, HM; Mukherjee, B; Hauser, R; Meeker, JD. (2013). Associations between brominated flame retardants in house dust and hormone levels in men. Sci Total Environ. 445-446(Supplement C): 177-184.
- <u>Jürgens, MD; Johnson, AC; Jones, KC; Hughes, D; Lawlor, AJ.</u> (2013). The presence of EU priority substances mercury, hexachlorobenzene, hexachlorobutadiene and PBDEs in wild fish from four English rivers. Sci Total Environ. 461-462: 441-452.
- Kaiser K, LE; Oliver, BG; Charlton, MN; Nichol, KD; Comba, ME. (1990). Polychlorinated biphenyls in St. Lawrence River sediments. Sci Total Environ. 97-98(0): 495-506.
- Kanazawa, A; Saito, I; Araki, A; Takeda, M; Ma, M; Saijo, Y; Kishi, R. (2010). Association between indoor exposure to semi-volatile organic compounds and building-related symptoms among the occupants of residential dwellings. Indoor Air. 20(1): 72-84.
- Kawashiro, Y; Fukata, H; Omori-Inoue, M; Kubonoya, K; Jotaki, T; Takigami, H; Sakai, S; Mori, C. (2008). Perinatal exposure to brominated flame retardants and polychlorinated biphenyls in Japan. Endocr J. 55(6): 1071-1084.
- Kefeni, KK; Okonkwo, JO; Botha, B. (2014). Concentrations of polybromobiphenyls and polybromodiphenyl ethers in home dust: Relevance to socio-economic status and human exposure rate. Sci Total Environ. 470: 1250-1256.

Keml (Swedish Chemicals Agency). (2007). Commodity groups and materials that may contain a certain substance (Pentachlorothiophenol).

http://webapps.kemi.se/varuguiden/About.aspx.

- <u>Kemmlein, S; Bergmann, M; Jann, O.</u> (2006). Emission test chamber study: Specific emission rates of PBDE from selected materials under various conditions. Organohalogen Compd. 68: 488-491.
- <u>Kim, J; Isobe, T; Sudaryanto, A; Malarvannan, G; Chang, KH; Muto, M; Prudente, M; Tanabe, S.</u>
 (2013). Organophosphorus flame retardants in house dust from the Philippines:
 occurrence and assessment of human exposure. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 20(2 (Feb 2013)): 812.
- Kim, J; Kang, JH, o; Park, H; Baek, S; Kim, YH, o; Chang, YS. (2012). Assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in serum from the Korean general population. Environ Pollut. 164: 46-52.
- Kirchgeorg, T; Dreyer, A; Gabrielli, P; Gabrieli, J; Thompson, LG; Barbante, C; Ebinghaus, R.
 - (2016). Seasonal accumulation of persistent organic pollutants on a high altitude glacier in the Eastern Alps. Environ Pollut. 218: 804-812.
- <u>Kirk, RE.</u> (1982). Plant-Growth Substances to Potassium Compounds. In Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd Edition. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.
- Koelmans, AA; Gillissen, F; Makatita, W; Van Den BERG, M. (1997). Organic carbon normalisation of PCB, PAH and pesticide concentrations in suspended solids. Water Res. 31(3): 461-470.
- Koenig, S; Huertas, D; Fernández, P. (2013). Legacy and emergent persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in NW Mediterranean deep-sea organisms. Sci Total Environ. 443: 358-366.
- Koh, T; Chen, SCC; Chang-Chien, G; Lin, D; Chen, F; Chao, H. (2010). Breast-milk levels of polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants in relation to women's age and prepregnant body mass index. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 213(1): 59-65.
- <u>Kohler, M; Zennegg, M; Bogdal, C; Gerecke, AC; Schmid, P; Heeb, NV; Sturm, M; Vonmont, H;</u>
 <u>Kohler, HP; Giger, W.</u> (2008). Temporal trends, congener patterns, and sources of octa-, nona-, and decabromodiphenyl ethers (PBDE) and hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCD) in Swiss lake sediments. Environ Sci Technol. 42(17): 6378-6384.
- Konoplev, AV; Volkova, EF; Kochetkov, AI; Pervunina, RI; Samsonov, DP. (2012). Monitoring of persistent organic pollutants in the ambient air as an element of implementation of the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants. Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry B, Focus on Physics. 6(5): 652-658.
- Korcz, W; Góralczyk, K; Struciński, P; Hernik, A; Łyczewska, M; Matuszak, M; Czaja, K; Minorczyk, M; Ludwicki, JK. (2016). Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in house dust in central Poland. Indoor Air. 27(1): 128-135.
- Kosaka, M; Ueda, T; Yoshida, M; Hara, I. (1989). Urinary metabolite levels in workers handling p-tert-butylphenol as an index of personal exposure. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 61(7): 451-455.
- <u>Krol, S; Namiesnik, J; Zabiegala, B.</u> (2014). Occurrence and levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in house dust and hair samples from Northern Poland; an assessment of human exposure. Chemosphere. 110: 91-96.

- Kuang, J; Ma, Y; Harrad, S. (2016). Concentrations of "legacy" and novel brominated flame retardants in matched samples of UK kitchen and living room/bedroom dust. Chemosphere. 149: 224-230.
- Kuehl, DW; Butterworth, B; Marquis, PJ. (1994). A national study of chemical residues in fish. III: Study results. Chemosphere. 29(3): 523-535.
- Kukučka, P; Audy, O; Kohoutek, J; Holt, E; Kalábová, T; Holoubek, I; Klánová, J. (2015). Source identification, spatio-temporal distribution and ecological risk of persistent organic pollutants in sediments from the upper Danube catchment. Chemosphere. 138: 777-783.
- Kumsue, T; Takayanagi, N; Isobe, T; Takahashi, S; Nose, M; Yamada, T; Komori, H; Arita, N; <u>Ueda, N; Tanabe, S.</u> (2007). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and persistent organochlorines in Japanese human adipose tissues. Environ Int. 33(8): 1048-1056.
- Kunisue, T; Takayanagi, N; Isobe, T; Takahashi, S; Nakatsu, S; Tsubota, T; Okumoto, K; Bushisue, S; Shindo, K; Tanabe, S. (2008). Regional trend and tissue distribution of brominated
 - flame retardants and persistent organochlorines in raccoon dogs (Nyctereutes procyonoides) from Japan. Environ Sci Technol. 42(3): 685-691.
- Kuo, YM; Sepúlveda, MS; Hua, I; Ochoa-Acuña, HG; Sutton, TM. (2010). Bioaccumulation and biomagnification of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in a food web of Lake Michigan. Ecotoxicology. 19(4): 623-634.
- Kwan, CS; Takada, H; Mizukawa, K; Torii, M; Koike, T; Yamashita, R; Rinawati, R; Saha, M; Santiago, EC. (2013). PBDEs in leachates from municipal solid waste dumping sites in tropical Asian countries: phase distribution and debromination. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 20(6): 4188-4204.
- La Guardia, MJ; Hale, RC; Harvey, E; Mainor, TM; Ciparis, S. (2012). In situ accumulation of HBCD, PBDEs, and several alternative flame-retardants in the bivalve (Corbicula fluminea) and gastropod (Elimia proxima). Environ Sci Technol. 46(11): 5798-5805.
- Labandeira, A; Eljarrat, E; Barceló, D. (2007). Congener distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in feral carp (Cyprinus carpio) from the Llobregat River, Spain. Environ Pollut. 146(1): 188-195.
- Lagalante, AF; Oswald, TD; Calvosa, FC. (2009). Polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) levels in dust from previously owned automobiles at United States dealerships. Environ Int. 35(3): 539-544.
- Larsson, K; de Wit, CA; Sellström, U; Sahlström, L; Lindh, CH; Berglund, M. (2018). Brominated Flame Retardants and Organophosphate Esters in Preschool Dust and Children's Hand Wipes. Environ Sci Technol.
- Lava, R; Majoros, LI; Dosis, I; Ricci, M. (2014). A practical example of the challenges of biota monitoring under the Water Framework Directive. Trends Analyt Chem. 59: 103-111.
- Lee, CL; Song, HJ; Fang, MD. (2000). Concentrations of chlorobenzenes, hexachlorobutadiene and heavy metals in surficial sediments of Kaohsiung coast, Taiwan. Chemosphere. 41(6): 889-899.
- Lee, CL; Song, HJ; Fang, MD. (2005). Pollution topography of chlorobenzenes and hexachlorobutadiene in sediments along the Kaohsiung coast, Taiwan--a comparison of two consecutive years' survey with statistical interpretation. Chemosphere. 58(11): 1503-1516.

- Lee, H; Kim, G, iB. (2015). An overview of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the marine environment. Ocean Sci J. 50(2): 119-142.
- Lee, HJ; Kim, CJ; Hong, GH; Hong, SH; Shim, WJ; Kim, GB. (2014a). Congener-specific accumulation and environmental risk assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in diverse Korean sewage sludge types. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 21(12): 7480-7488.
- Lee, IS; Kang, HH; Kim, UJ; Oh, JE. (2015). Brominated flame retardants in Korean river sediments, including changes in polybrominated diphenyl ether concentrations between 2006 and 2009. Chemosphere. 126: 18-24.
- Lee, S; Kannan, K; Moon, H. (2013). Assessment of exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) via seafood consumption and dust ingestion in Korea. Sci Total Environ. 443: 24-30.
- Lee, S; Song, GJ; Kannan, K; Moon, HB. (2014b). Occurrence of PBDEs and other alternative brominated flame retardants in sludge from wastewater treatment plants in Korea. Sci Total Environ. 470-471: 1422-1429.
- Leonetti, C; Butt, CM; Hoffman, K; Hammel, SC; Miranda, ML; Stapleton, HM. (2016). Brominated flame retardants in placental tissues: associations with infant sex and thyroid hormone endpoints. Environ Health. 15(1): 113.
- Li, A; Rockne, KJ; Sturchio, N; Song, W; Ford, JC; Buckley, DR; Mills, WJ. (2006a). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the sediments of the Great Lakes. 4. Influencing factors, trends, and implications. Environ Sci Technol. 40(24): 7528-7534.
- Li, A; Rockne, KJ; Sturchio, NC; Mills, WJ; Song, W; Ford, JC; Buckley, DR. (2006b). Chronology of PBDE air deposition in the Great Lakes from sedimentary records. Chicago, IL: Submitted to Great Lake Atmospheric Deposition Program, Office of Air and Radiation Division, U.S. EPA Region V.
- Li, B; Sun, SJ; Huo, CY; Li, WL; Zhu, NZ; Qi, H; Kong, LJ; Li, YF; Ma, WL. (2016a). Occurrence and fate of PBDEs and novel brominated flame retardants in a wastewater treatment plant in Harbin, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(19): 19246-19256.
- Li, J; Chen, T; Wang, Y; Shi, Z; Zhou, X; Sun, Z; Wang, D; Wu, Y. (2017). Simple and fast analysis of tetrabromobisphenol A, hexabromocyclododecane isomers, and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in serum using solid-phase extraction or QuEChERS extraction followed by tandem mass spectrometry coupled to HPLC and GC. J Sep Sci. 40(3): 709-716.
- Li, K; Fu, S; Yang, ZZ; Xu, XB. (2008). Composition, Distribution and Characterization of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in the Soil in Taiyuan, China. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 81(6): 588-593.
- Li, K; Fu, S; Yang, ZZ; Xu, XB. (2009). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the soil of typical industrial city. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 83(6): 926-930.
- Li, P; Wu, H; Li, Q; Jin, J; Wang, Y. (2015a). Brominated flame retardants in food and environmental samples from a production area in China: concentrations and human exposure assessment. Environ Monit Assess. 187(11): 719.
- Li, W; Liu, L; Zhang, Z, iF; Song, W; Huo, C; Qiao, L; Ma, W; Li, Y. (2016b). Brominated flame retardants in the surrounding soil of two manufacturing plants in China: Occurrence, composition profiles and spatial distribution. Environ Pollut. 213: 1-7.

- Li, WL; Huo, CY; Liu, LY; Song, WW; Zhang, ZF; Ma, WL; Qiao, LN; Li, YF. (2016c). Multi-year air monitoring of legacy and current-use brominated flame retardants in an urban center in northeastern China. Sci Total Environ. 571: 633-642.
- Li, Y; Lin, T; Chen, Y; Hu, L; Guo, Z; Zhang, G, an. (2012). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in sediments of the coastal East China Sea: Occurrence, distribution and mass inventory. Environ Pollut. 171: 155-161.
- Li, Y; Lin, T; Wang, F; Ji, T; Guo, Z. (2015b). Seasonal variation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in PM2.5 aerosols over the East China Sea. Chemosphere. 119: 675-681.
- Li, Y, ue; Chen, L; Wen, Z; Duan, Y; Lu, Z; Meng, XZ; Zhang, W, en. (2015c). Characterizing distribution, sources, and potential health risk of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in office environment. Environ Pollut. 198: 25-31.
- Li, YF; Qiao, LN; Ren, NQ; Sverko, E; Mackay, D; Macdonald, RW. (2016d). Decabrominated Diphenyl Ethers (BDE-209) in Chinese and Global Air: Levels, Gas/Particle Partitioning, and Long-Range Transport: Is Long-Range Transport of BDE-209 Really Governed by the Movement of Particles? Environ Sci Technol. 51(2): 1035-1042.
- Liagkouridis, I; Cequier, E; Lazarov, B; Palm Cousins, A; Thomsen, C; Stranger, M; Cousins, IT. (2016). Relationships between estimated flame retardant emissions and levels in indoor air and house dust. Indoor Air. 27(3): 650-657.
- Liley, PE, Reid, R. C., Buck, E. (1984). Physical and chemical data. In Perry's chemical engineers' handbook. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.
- Liu, D; Ma, J; Qiu, X; Zhao, Y; Lin, Y; Yang, Q; Gao, Z; Zhu, T. (2014a). Gridded field observations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soils of North China. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 66(4): 482-490.
- Liu, L; Li, H; Wang, Z; Liu, R; Zhang, Y; Lin, K. (2015). Insights into spatially and temporally cooccurring polybrominated diphenyl ethers in sediments of the East China Sea. Chemosphere. 123: 55-63.
- Liu, LY; Salamova, A; Hites, RA. (2014b). Halogenated flame retardants in baby food from the United States and from China and the estimated dietary intakes by infants. Environ Sci Technol. 48(16): 9812-9818.
- Liu, LY; Venier, M; Salamova, A; Hites, RA. (2016). A Novel Flame Retardant in the Great Lakes Atmosphere: 3,3 ',5,5 '-Tetrabromobisphenol A Bis(2,3-dibromopropyl) Ether. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 3(5): 194-199.
- Liu, LY; Wang, J, iZ; Qiu, J; Liang, Y, an; Zeng, EY. (2012a). Persistent organic pollutants in coastal sediment off South China in relation to the importance of anthropogenic inputs. Environ Toxicol Chem. 31(6): 1194-1201.
- Liu, P; Zhao, Y, aX; Zhu, Y, iY; Qin, Z; Ruan, XL, i; Zhang, YC; Chen, B; Li, Y, an; Yan, S; Qin, X; Fu, S; Xu, X. (2012b). Determination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human semen. Environ Int. 42: 132-137.
- Liu, PY; Du, GD; Zhao, YX; Mu, YS; Zhang, AQ; Qin, ZF; Zhang, XY; Yan, SS; Li, Y; Wei, RG; Qin, XF; Yang, YJ. (2011). Bioaccumulation, maternal transfer and elimination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in wild frogs. Chemosphere. 84(7): 972-978.
- Liu, R; Lin, Y; Ruan, T; Jiang, G. (2017). Occurrence of synthetic phenolic antioxidants and transformation products in urban and rural indoor dust. Environ Pollut. 221: 227-233.

- Luo, P; Bao, LJ; Wu, FC; Li, SM; Zeng, EY. (2014). Health risk characterization for resident inhalation exposure to particle-bound halogenated flame retardants in a typical e-waste recycling zone. Environ Sci Technol. 48(15): 8815-8822.
- Luo, Y; Luo, XJ; Lin, Z; Chen, SJ; Liu, J; Mai, BX; Yang, ZY. (2009). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in road and farmland soils from an e-waste recycling region in Southern China: Concentrations, source profiles, and potential dispersion and deposition. Sci Total Environ. 407(3): 1105-1113.
- Ma, J; Cheng, J; Wang, W; Kunisue, T; Wu, M; Kannan, K. (2011). Elevated concentrations of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in hair from workers at an electronic waste recycling facility in eastern China. J Hazard Mater. 186(2-3): 1966-1971.
- Ma, S; Yu, Z; Zhang, X; Ren, G; Peng, P; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2012). Levels and congener profiles of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in breast milk from Shanghai: Implication for exposure route of higher brominated BDEs. Environ Int. 42: 72-77.
- Ma, Y; Xie, Z; Halsall, C; Möller, A; Yang, H; Zhong, G; Cai, M; Ebinghaus, R. (2014). The spatial distribution of organochlorine pesticides and halogenated flame retardants in the surface sediments of an Arctic fjord: The influence of ocean currents vs. glacial runoff. Chemosphere. 119C: 953-960.
- Macgregor, K; Oliver, IW; Harris, L; Ridgway, IM. (2010). Persistent organic pollutants (PCB, DDT, HCH, HCB & amp; BDE) in eels (Anguilla anguilla) in Scotland: current levels and temporal trends. Environ Pollut. 158(7): 2402-2411.
- Malarvannan, G; Isobe, T; Covaci, A; Prudente, M; Tanabe, S. (2013). Accumulation of brominated flame retardants and polychlorinated biphenyls in human breast milk and scalp hair from the Philippines: levels, distribution and profiles. Sci Total Environ. 442: 366-379.
- Malarvannan, G; Kunisue, T; Isobe, T; Sudaryanto, A; Takahashi, S; Prudente, M; Subramanian, A; Tanabe, S. (2009). Organohalogen compounds in human breast milk from mothers living in Payatas and Malate, the Philippines: Levels, accumulation kinetics and infant health risk. Environ Pollut. 157(6): 1924-1932.
- Malcolm Pirnie Inc. (1979). Hudson county utilities authority: 201 Wastewater facilities plan: Planning are 2: Bayonne: Volume one regional inventory: January. (Tierra-D-012001). Hudson County, New Jersey: Hudson County Utilities Authority.
- Malins, DC; Krahn, MM; Myers, MS; Rhodes, LD; Brown, DW; Krone, CA; Mccain, BB; S-L, C. (1985). TOXIC CHEMICALS IN SEDIMENTS AND BIOTA FROM A CREOSOTE-POLLUTED HARBOR RELATIONSHIPS WITH HEPATIC NEOPLASMS AND OTHER HEPATIC LESIONS IN ENGLISH SOLE PAROPHRYS-VETULUS. Carcinogenesis. LOND(LOND): 1463-1470.
- Malliari, E; Kalantzi, OI. (2017). Children's exposure to brominated flame retardants in indoor environments A review [Review]. Environ Int. 108: 146-169.
- Man, Y; Chow, K; Man, M; Lam, J; Lau, F; Fung, WC; Wong, MH. (2015). Profiles and removal efficiency of polybrominated diphenyl ethers by two different types of sewage treatment work in Hong Kong. Sci Total Environ. 505: 261-268.
- Mandalakis, M; Stephanou, EG; Horii, Y; Kannan, K. (2008). Emerging contaminants in car interiors: Evaluating the impact of airborne PBDEs and PBDD/Fs. Environ Sci Technol. 42(17): 6431-6436.

- Mariussen, E; Steinnes, E; Breivik, K; Nygård, T; Schlabach, M; Kålås, JA. (2008). Spatial patterns of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in mosses, herbivores and a carnivore from the Norwegian terrestrial biota. Sci Total Environ. 404(1): 162-170.
- Mascolo, G; Locaputo, V; Mininni, G. (2010). New perspective on the determination of flame retardants in sewage sludge by using ultrahigh pressure liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with different ion sources. J Chromatogr A. 1217(27): 4601-4611.
- Mathieu, C; Mccall, M. (2016). PBT Chemical Trends Determined from Age-Dated Lake Sediment Cores, 2015 Results. (16-03-046). Mathieu, C; Mccall, M.
- Matsukami, H; Tue, NM; Suzuki, G, o; Someya, M; Tuyen, LH; Viet, PH; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S; <u>Takigami, H.</u> (2015). Flame retardant emission from e-waste recycling operation in northern Vietnam: Environmental occurrence of emerging organophosphorus esters used as alternatives for PBDEs. Sci Total Environ. 514: 492-499.
- McGrath, TJ; Ball, AS; Clarke, BO. (2017). Critical review of soil contamination by polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and novel brominated flame retardants (NBFRs); concentrations, sources and congener profiles [Review]. Environ Pollut. 230: 741-757. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.009</u>.
- McGrath, TJ; Morrison, PD; Sandiford, CJ; Ball, AS; Clarke, BO. (2016). Widespread polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) contamination of urban soils in Melbourne, Australia. Chemosphere. 164: 225-232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.08.017.
- MDI. (2002). Comparitive Toxicogenomics Database [Database]. Retrieved from http://ctdbase.org
- Meeker, JD; Stapleton, HM. (2010). House dust concentrations of organophosphate flame retardants in relation to hormone levels and semen quality parameters. Environ Health Perspect. 118(3): 318-323.
- Meng, G; Feng, Y; Nie, Z; Wu, X; Wei, H; Wu, S; Yin, Y; Wang, Y. (2016a). Internal exposure levels of typical POPs and their associations with childhood asthma in Shanghai, China. Environ Res. 146: 125-135.
- Meng, G; Nie, Z; Feng, Y; Wu, X; Yin, Y; Wang, Y. (2016b). Typical halogenated persistent organic pollutants in indoor dust and the associations with childhood asthma in Shanghai, China. Environ Pollut. 211: 389-398.
- Meng, XZ; Pan, ZY, u; Wu, J; Qiu, Y; Chen, L; Li, GM. (2011). Occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soil from the central Loess Plateau, China: Role of regional range atmospheric transport. Chemosphere. 83(10): 1391-1397.
- Meng, XZ; Zeng, EY; Yu, L, iP; Guo, Y; Mai, B, iX. (2007). Assessment of human exposure to polybrominated diphenyl ethers in China via fish consumption and inhalation. Environ Sci Technol. 41(14): 4882-4887.
- Miyake, Y; Jiang, Q; Yuan, W; Hanari, N; Okazawa, T; Wyrzykowska, B; So, M; Lam, PKS;
 Yamashita, N. (2008). Preliminary health risk assessment for polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polybrominated dibenzo-p-dioxins/furans in seafood from Guangzhou and Zhoushan, China. Mar Pollut Bull. 57(6-12): 357-364.
- Mo, L; Wu, JP; Luo, XJ; Zou, FS; Mai, BX. (2012). Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, decabromodiphenyl ethane, and 1,2-bis(2,4,6-tribromophenoxy) ethane flame

retardants in kingfishers (Alcedo atthis) from an electronic waste-recycling site in South China. Environ Toxicol Chem. 31(9): 2153-2158.

- Moeller, A; Xie, Z; Caba, A; Sturm, R; Ebinghaus, R. (2012). Occurrence and air-seawater exchange of brominated flame retardants and Dechlorane Plus in the North Sea. Atmos Environ. 46: 346-353.
- Möller, A; Xie, Z; Cai, M; Zhong, G; Huang, P; Cai, M; Sturm, R; He, J; Ebinghaus, R. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers vs alternate brominated flame retardants and Dechloranes from East Asia to the Arctic. Environ Sci Technol. 45(16): 6793-6799.
- Moon, H; Kannan, K; Choi, M; Choi, H. (2007a). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in marine sediments from industrialized bays of Korea. Mar Pollut Bull. 54(9): 1402-1412.
- Moon, H; Kannan, K; Lee, S, uJ; Choi, M. (2007b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in sediment and bivalves from Korean coastal waters. Chemosphere. 66(2): 243-251.
- Moon, HK; Choi. (2007). Polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDEs) in marine sediments from industrialised bays of Korea. Organohalogen Compd. 69: 2698-2701.
- Mudroch, A; Allan, RJ; Joshi, S. R. (1992). GEOCHEMISTRY AND ORGANIC CONTAMINANTS IN THE SEDIMENTS OF GREAT SLAVE LAKE, NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, CANADA. Arctic. 45(1): 10-19.
- Muenhor, D; Harrad, S; Ali, N; Covaci, A. (2010). Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) in air and dust from electronic waste storage facilities in Thailand. Environ Int. 36(7): 690-698.
- Muenhor, D; Moon, HB; Lee, S; Goosey, E. (2018). Organophosphorus flame retardants (PFRs) and phthalates in floor and road dust from a manual e-waste dismantling facility and adjacent communities in Thailand. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 53(1): 79-90. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2017.1369813</u>.
- Mumma, CE; Lawless, EW. (1975). Survey of industrial processing data: Task 1 Hexachlorobenzene and hexachlorobutadiene pollution from chlorocarbon processing.
 In Available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield VA 22161 as
 PB-243 641, Price codes: A09 in paper copy, A01 in microfiche Report No EPA 560/3-75-003, June 1975 186 p 28 fig , 26 tab, 50 ref, 3 append EPA 68-01-2105 (pp. 243-641).
 (EPA 560/3-75-003). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Muñoz-Arnanz, J; Sáez, M; Aguirre, JI; Hiraldo, F; Baos, R; Pacepavicius, G; Alaee, M; Jiménez, B. (2011). Predominance of BDE-209 and other higher brominated diphenyl ethers in eggs of white stork (Ciconia ciconia) colonies from Spain. Environ Int. 37(3): 572-576.
- Munschy, C; Heas-Moisan, K; Loizeau, V; Tixier, C; Tronczynski, J; Alaee, M; Pacepavicius, G. (2007). Identification of past and novel brominated flame retardants in common sole (Solea solea L.) from coastal areas in France. Organohalogen Compd. 69: 2681-2685.
- <u>Naylor, LM; Loehr, RC.</u> (1982). PRIORITY POLLUTANTS IN MUNICIPAL SEWAGE SLUDGE 2. Biocycle. 23(6): 37-41.
- <u>Ni, HG; Ding, C; Lu, S; Yin, XL; Samuel, SO.</u> (2012). Food as a main route of adult exposure to PBDEs in Shenzhen, China. Sci Total Environ. 437: 10-14.
- NICNAS (National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme). (2013). Inventory Multi-tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (IMAP) Framework. Sydney, Australia: Australian Government Department of Health. <u>https://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemicalinformation/imap-assessments/imap-assessments/tier-ii-environment-assessments/2-4-6-tri-tert-butylphenol#EnvironmentalExposure</u>.

- <u>NICNAS</u> (National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme). (2017). Environmental tier II assessment for Phenol, 2,4,6-trs(1,1-dimethylethyl). Available online at <u>https://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemical-information/imap-assessments/imap-assessments/tier-ii-environment-assessments/2-4-6-tri-tert-butylphenol</u> (accessed
- NLM (National Institutes of Health, National Library of Medicine). (2018). ChemIDplus: Pentachlorothiophenol. Available online at

https://chem.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/rn/133-49-3 (accessed

- Norrgran Engdahl, J; Bignert, A; Jones, B; Athanassiadis, I; Bergman, Å; Weiss, JM. (2017). Cats' Internal Exposure to Selected Brominated Flame Retardants and Organochlorines Correlated to House Dust and Cat Food. Environ Sci Technol. 51(5): 3012-3020.
- North, KD. (2004). Tracking polybrominated diphenyl ether releases in a wastewater treatment plant effluent, Palo Alto, California. Environ Sci Technol. 38(17): 4484-4488.
- <u>Norton, D.</u> (1996). Commencement Bay Sediment Trap Monitoring Program. (96-315). Norton, D.
- <u>NRC</u> (National Research Council). (2000). Toxicological risks of selected flame-retardant chemicals. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/9841</u>.
- <u>NTP</u> (National Toxicology Program). (2013). Nomination summary for Firemaster 550 (N21305). Available online at <u>https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/testing/noms/search/summary/nm-n21305.html</u> (accessed
- <u>OECD</u> (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2004). Emission scenario document on additives in rubber industry. In OECD Series On Emission Scenario Documents. (ENV/JM/MONO(2004)11). Paris, France. <u>http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mon</u> <u>o(2004)11&doclanguage=en</u>.
- <u>OECD</u> (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2009). Emission scenario document on adhesive formulation. (JT03263583). Paris, France.
- <u>OECD</u> (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2017a). Emission scenario document on chemical additives used in automotive lubricants: Revised draft. In OECD Series On Emission Scenario Documents. Paris, France.
- <u>OECD</u> (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2017b). Internationally harmonised functional, product and article use categories. In Series on Testing & Assessment No 262. (ENV/JM/MONO(2017)14). Paris , France.
- <u>Oliver, BG.</u> (1984). Uptake of chlorinated organics from anthropogenically contaminated sediments by oligochaete worms. Can J Fish Aquat Sci. 41(6): 878-883.
- Oliver, BG. (1987). BIOUPTAKE OF CHLORINATED HYDROCARBONS FROM LABORATORY-SPIKED AND FIELD SEDIMENTS BY OLIGOCHAETE WORMS. Environ Sci Technol. 21(8): 785-790.
- <u>Oliver, BG; Kaiser, KLE.</u> (1986). Chlorinated organics in nearshore waters and tributaries of the St. Clair River. Water Pollut Res J Can. 21(3): 344-350.
- <u>Oliver, BG; Pugsley, CW.</u> (1986). Chlorinated contaminants in St. Clair River sediments. 21(3): 368-379.
- <u>Olukunle, OI; Okonkwo, OJ; Wase, AG; Sha'ato, R.</u> (2015). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in car dust in Nigeria: Concentrations and implications for non-dietary human exposure. Microchem J. 123: 99-104.

- Oros, DR; Hoover, D; Rodigari, F; Crane, D; Sericano, J. (2005). Levels and distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in water, surface sediments, and bivalves from the San Francisco Estuary. Environ Sci Technol. 39(1): 33-41.
- OSPAR (OSPAR Commission). (2006). OSPAR Background Document on 2,4,6-tri-tertbutylphenol. In Hazardous Substances Series. (Publication Number: 274/2006). https://www.ospar.org/documents?v=6977.
- Otake, T; Yoshinaga, J; Yanagisawa, Y. (2004). Exposure to phthalate esters from indoor environment. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol. 14(7): 524-528.
- Pan, X; Tang, J; Li, J, un; Zhong, G; Chen, Y; Zhang, G, an. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the riverine and marine sediments of the Laizhou Bay area, North China. J Environ Monit. 13(4): 886-893.
- Park, JS; Holden, A; Chu, V; Kim, M; Rhee, A; Patel, P; Shi, Y; Linthicum, J; Walton, BJ; Mckeown, K; Jewell, NP; Hooper, K. (2009). Time-trends and congener profiles of PBDEs and PCBs in California peregrine falcons (Falco peregrinus). Environ Sci Technol. 43(23): 8744-8751.
- Pearson, CR; Mcconnell, G. (1975). Chlorinated C1 and C2 hydrocarbons in the marine environment. Proc Biol Sci. 189(1096): 305-332.
- Pellizzari, ED. (1982). Analysis for organic vapor emissions near industrial and chemical waste disposal sites. Environ Sci Technol. 16(11): 781-785.
- <u>Pellizzari, ED; Erickson, MD; Zweidinger, RA.</u> (1979). Formulation of a preliminary assessment of halogenated organic compounds in man and environmental media [EPA Report]. (EPA-560/13-79-006). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Persson, J; Wang, T; Hagberg, J. (2018). Organophosphate flame retardants and plasticizers in indoor dust, air and window wipes in newly built low-energy preschools. Sci Total Environ. 628-629: 159-168.
- <u>Peverly, AA; O'Sullivan, C; Liu, LY; Venier, M; Martinez, A; Hornbuckle, KC; Hites, RA.</u> (2015). Chicago's Sanitary and Ship Canal sediment: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, brominated flame retardants, and organophosphate esters. Chemosphere. 134: 380-386.
- <u>Piazza, R; Bellucci, LG; Giuliani, S; Romano, S; Frignani, M; Pizzini, S; Polo, FP; Vecchiato, M;</u>
 <u>Zambon, S; El Moumni, B.</u> (2016). Can PBDE natural formation and degradation processes interfere with the identification of anthropogenic trends and sources?
 Evidences from sediments of the Nador Lagoon (Morocco). Mar Pollut Bull. 108(1-2): 15-23.
- Pizzini, S; Marchiori, E; Piazza, R; Cozzi, G; Barbante, C. (2015). Determination by HRGC/HRMS of PBDE levels in edible Mediterranean bivalves collected from north-western Adriatic coasts. Microchem J. 121: 184-191.
- Polder, A; Gabrielsen, GW; Odland, JØ; Savinova, TN; Tkachev, A; Løken, KB; Skaare, JU. (2008). Spatial and temporal changes of chlorinated pesticides, PCBs, dioxins (PCDDs/PCDFs) and brominated flame retardants in human breast milk from Northern Russia. Sci Total Environ. 391(1): 41-54.
- Polder, A; Ju, S; H, B. (2017). POLYBROMINATED DIPHENYL ETHERS (PBDEs) IN BIRD EGGS FROM SOUTH AFRICA.

- Polder, A; Muller, MB; Brynildsrud, OB; de Boer, J; Hamers, T; Kamstra, JH; Lie, E; Mdegela, RH; <u>Moberg, H; Nonga, HE; Sandvik, M; Skaare, JU; Lyche, JL.</u> (2016). Dioxins, PCBs, chlorinated pesticides and brominated flame retardants in free-range chicken eggs from peri-urban areas in Arusha, Tanzania: Levels and implications for human health. Sci Total Environ. 551: 656-667.
- Poma, G; Binelli, A; Volta, P; Roscioli, C; Guzzella, L. (2014). Evaluation of spatial distribution and accumulation of novel brominated flame retardants, HBCD and PBDEs in an Italian subalpine lake using zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha). Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 21(16): 9655-9664.
- POPRC (Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee (POPRC)). (2013). Risk Management Evaluation on Hexachlorobutadiene. In Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee Ninth meeting Rome, 14–18 October 2013. (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.9/13/Add.2). UNEP. <u>http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/POPsReviewCommittee/Reports/tabid/2301/Defau</u> <u>lt.aspx</u>.
- Qin, PH; Ni, HG; Liu, YS; Shi, YH; Zeng, H. (2011). Occurrence, distribution, and source of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soil and leaves from Shenzhen Special Economic Zone, China. Environ Monit Assess. 174(1-4): 259-270.
- Qiu, X; Marvin, CH; Hites, RA. (2007). Dechlorane plus and other flame retardants in a sediment core from Lake Ontario. Environ Sci Technol. 41(17): 6014-6019.
- Qiu, X; Zhu, T; Hu, J. (2010). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and other flame retardants in the atmosphere and water from Taihu Lake, East China. Chemosphere. 80(10): 1207-1212.
- Ramu, K; Isobe, T; Takahashi, S; Kim, EY; Min, BY; We, SU; Tanabe, S. (2010). Spatial distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecanes in sediments from coastal waters of Korea. Chemosphere. 79(7): 713-719.
- Rauert, C; Harrad, S. (2015). Mass transfer of PBDEs from plastic TV casing to indoor dust via three migration pathways A test chamber investigation. Sci Total Environ. 536(Supplement C): 568-574.
- Rauert, C; Harrad, S; Suzuki, G; Takigami, H; Uchida, N; Takata, K. (2014a). Test chamber and forensic microscopy investigation of the transfer of brominated flame retardants into indoor dust via abrasion of source materials. Sci Total Environ. 493(Supplement C): 639-648.
- Rauert, C; Lazarov, B; Harrad, S; Covaci, A; Stranger, M. (2014b). A review of chamber experiments for determining specific emission rates and investigating migration pathways of flame retardants. Atmos Environ. 82(Supplement C): 44-55.
- Ren, G; Yu, Z; Ma, S; Li, H; Peng, P; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2009). Determination of Dechlorane Plus in Serum from Electronics Dismantling Workers in South China. Environ Sci Technol. 43(24): 9453-9457.
- <u>Richman, L; Milani, D.</u> (2010). Temporal trends in near-shore sediment contaminant concentrations in the St. Clair River and potential long-term implications for fish tissue concentrations. J Great Lakes Res. 36(4): 722-735.
- Richman, LA; Kolic, T; Macpherson, K; Fayez, L; Reiner, E. (2013). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in sediment and caged mussels (Elliptio complanata) deployed in the Niagara River. Chemosphere. 92(7): 778-786.

- <u>Ricklund, N; Kierkegaard, A; Mclachlan, MS.</u> (2010). Levels and potential sources of decabromodiphenyl ethane (DBDPE) and decabromodiphenyl ether (DecaBDE) in lake and marine sediments in Sweden. Environ Sci Technol. 44(6): 1987-1991.
- <u>Ricklund, N; Kierkegaard, A; Mclachlan, MS; Wahlberg, C.</u> (2009). Mass balance of decabromodiphenyl ethane and decabromodiphenyl ether in a WWTP. Chemosphere. 74(3): 389-394.
- <u>Rislone.</u> (2018). Rislone Fuel Injector Cleaner. Available online at <u>https://rislone.com/product/fuel-injector-cleaner-6-oz/</u> (accessed
- Roosens, L; Abdallah, MAE; Harrad, S; Neels, H; Covaci, A. (2009). Factors Influencing Concentrations of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Students from Antwerp, Belgium. Environ Sci Technol. 43(10): 3535-3541.
- Roosens, L; Cornelis, C; D'Hollander, W; Bervoets, L; Reynders, H; Van Campenhout, K; Van Den <u>Heuvel, R; Neels, H; Covaci, A.</u> (2010a). Exposure of the Flemish population to brominated flame retardants: model and risk assessment. Environ Int. 36(4): 368-376.
- Roosens, L; Geeraerts, C; Belpaire, C; Van Pelt, I; Neels, H; Covaci, A. (2010b). Spatial variations in the levels and isomeric patterns of PBDEs and HBCDs in the European eel in Flanders. Environ Int. 36(5): 415-423.
- Roots, O; Zitko, V; Kiviranta, H; Rantakokko, P; Ruokojarvi, P. (2010). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in Baltic herring from Estonian waters, 2006-2008. Russian Journal of General Chemistry. 80(13): 2721-2730.
- RSC. (2013). The Merck index: An encyclopedia of chemicals, drugs, and biologicals. In MJ O'Neill; PE Heckelman; PH Dobbelaar; KJ Roman; CM Kenney; LS Karaffa (Eds.), (15th ed.). Cambridge, UK.
- <u>Ruczyńska, W; Szlinder-Richert, J; Drgas, A.</u> (2016). The occurrence of endocrine disrupting compounds in off-shore sediments from the southern Baltic Sea. Environ Sci Process Impacts. 18(9): 1193-1207.
- <u>Ryan, N.</u> (2011). NASCAR sets fuel injection for '12 but keeping restrictor plates. Ryan, N. <u>http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/sports/motor/nascar/2011-02-11-nascar-fuel-injection_N.htm</u>.
- Sagerup, K; Helgason, LB; Polder, A; Strøm, H; Josefsen, TD; Skåre, JU; Gabrielsen, GW. (2009). Persistent organic pollutants and mercury in dead and dying glaucous gulls (Larus hyperboreus) at Bjørnøya (Svalbard). Sci Total Environ. 407(23): 6009-6016.
- Sahlström, LM; Sellström, U; de Wit, CA; Lignell, S; Darnerud, PO. (2015). Estimated intakes of brominated flame retardants via diet and dust compared to internal concentrations in a Swedish mother-toddler cohort. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 218(4): 422-432.
- Saito, I; Onuki, A; Seto, H. (2007). Indoor organophosphate and polybrominated flame retardants in Tokyo. Indoor Air. 17(1): 28-36.
- Salamova, A; Hermanson, MH; Hites, RA. (2014). Organophosphate and halogenated flame retardants in atmospheric particles from a European Arctic site. Environ Sci Technol. 48(11): 6133-6140.
- Sanchez-Brunete, C; Miguel, E; Tadeo, JL. (2009). Analysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in sewage sludge by matrix solid-phase dispersion and isotope dilution GC-MS. J Sep Sci. 32(1): 109-117.

- Schreder, ED; La Guardia, MJ. (2014). Flame retardant transfers from u.s. Households (dust and laundry wastewater) to the aquatic environment. Environ Sci Technol. 48(19): 11575-11583.
- Sepulveda, A; Schluep, M; Renaud, FG; Streicher, M; Kuehr, R; Hageluken, C; Gerecke, AC.
 (2010). A review of the environmental fate and effects of hazardous substances released from electrical and electronic equipments during recycling: Examples from China and India [Review]. Environ Impact Assess Rev. 30(1): 28-41.
- Serdar, D; Cubbage, J; Rogowski, D. (2000). Concentrations of Chemical Contaminants and Bioassay Response to Sediments in Salmon Bay, Seattle: Results of Phase III Sampling. (00-03-053). Serdar, D; Cubbage, J; Rogowski, D.
- <u>Shaw, SD; Berger, ML; Brenner, D; Kannan, K; Lohmann, N; Päpke, O.</u> (2009). Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in the northwest Atlantic marine food web. Sci Total Environ. 407(10): 3323-3329.
- Shaw, SD; Berger, ML; Weijs, L; Covaci, A. (2012). Tissue-specific accumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) including Deca-BDE and hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) in harbor seals from the northwest Atlantic. Environ Int. 44: 1-6.
- <u>She, J; Holden, A; Sharp, M; Tanner, M; Williams-Derry, C; Hooper, K.</u> (2007). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in breast milk from the Pacific Northwest. Chemosphere. 67(9): S307-S317.
- Shen, B; Whitehead, TP; Gill, R; Dhaliwal, J; Brown, FR; Petreas, M; Patton, S; Hammond, SK. (2017). Organophosphate flame retardants in dust collected from United States fire stations. Environ Int. 112: 41-48.
- Shen, B; Whitehead, TP; McNeel, S; Brown, FR; Dhaliwal, J; Das, R; Israel, L; Park, JS; Petreas, M. (2015). High levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in vacuum cleaner dust from California fire stations. Environ Sci Technol. 49(8): 4988-4994.
- Shi, S; Dong, L; Yang, W; Zhou, L, i; Zhang, L; Zhang, X; Huang, Y. (2014). Monitoring of Airborne Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in the Urban Area by Means of Road Dust and Camphor Tree Barks. Aerosol Air Qual Res. 14(4): 1106-1113.
- Shi, T; Chen, SJ; Luo, XJ; Zhang, XL; Tang, CM; Luo, Y; Ma, YJ; Wu, JP; Peng, XZ; Mai, BX. (2009).
 Occurrence of brominated flame retardants other than polybrominated diphenyl ethers in environmental and biota samples from southern China. Chemosphere. 74(7): 910-916.
- Shi, Z; Zhang, L; Zhao, Y; Sun, Z; Zhou, X; Li, J; Wu, Y. (2017). Dietary exposure assessment of Chinese population to tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and decabrominated diphenyl ether: Results of the 5th Chinese Total Diet Study. Environ Pollut. 229: 539-547.
- Sjodin, A; Carlsson, H; Thuresson, K, aj; Sjolin, S; Bergman, A, ke; Ostman, C. (2001). Flame Retardants in Indoor Air at an Electronics Recycling Plant and Other Work Environments. Environ Sci Technol. 35(3 (Feb 1): 448.

Sjödin, A; Hagmar, L; Klasson-Wehler, E; Kronholm-Diab, K; Jakobsson, E; Bergman, A. (1999).

Flame retardant exposure: polybrominated diphenyl ethers in blood from Swedish workers. Environ Health Perspect. 107(8): 643-648.

SKF. (2017). Safety data sheet: LGMT 2. http://www.skf.com/binary/12-18927/LGMT2 EN.pdf.

- <u>Sørmo, EG; Lie, E; Ruus, A; Gaustad, H; Skaare, JU; Jenssen, BM.</u> (2011). Trophic level determines levels of brominated flame-retardants in coastal herring gulls. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 74(7): 2091-2098.
- Sørmo, EG; Salmer, MP; Jenssen, BM; Hop, H; Baek, K; Kovacs, KM; Lydersen, C; Falk-Petersen, S; Gabrielsen, GW; Lie, E; Skaare, JU. (2006). Biomagnification of polybrominated diphenyl ether and hexabromocyclododecane flame retardants in the polar bear food chain in Svalbard, Norway. Environ Toxicol Chem. 25(9): 2502-2511.
- Spears, BL; Isanhart, J. (2014). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in bald (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) and golden (Aquila chrysaetos) eagles from Washington and Idaho, USA. Environ Toxicol Chem. 33(12): 2795-2801.
- Stapleton, HM; Klosterhaus, S; Eagle, S; Fuh, J; Meeker, JD; Blum, A; Webster, TF. (2009). Detection of organophosphate flame retardants in furniture foam and U.S. house dust. Environ Sci Technol. 43(19): 7490-7495.
- Stiborova, H; Vrkoslavova, J; Lovecka, P; Pulkrabova, J; Hradkova, P; Hajslova, J; Demnerova, K. (2015). Aerobic biodegradation of selected polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in wastewater sewage sludge. Chemosphere. 118: 315-321.
- Strandberg, B; Dodder, NG; Basu, I; Hites, RA. (2001). Concentrations and spatial variations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and other organohalogen compounds in Great Lakes air. Environ Sci Technol. 35(6): 1078-1083.

<u>Struktol.</u> (2018). Physical and Chemical Peptizers (pp. 26-34). Struktol. <u>http://www.struktol.com/pdfs/Pg%2026-34%20-</u> %20Physical%20and%20Chemical%20Peptizers.pdf.

Su, G; Letcher, RJ; Moore, JN; Williams, LL; Martin, PA; de Solla, SR; Bowerman, WW. (2015a). Spatial and temporal comparisons of legacy and emerging flame retardants in herring gull eggs from colonies spanning the Laurentian Great Lakes of Canada and United States. Environ Res. 142: 720-730.

Su, J; Lu, Y; Liu, Z; Gao, S; Zeng, X; Yu, Z; Sheng, G; Fu, JM. (2015b). Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and HBCD in sediments of the Hunhe River in Northeast China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 22(21): 16781-16790.

Su, X; Li, Q; Feng, J; Guo, L; Sun, J. (2017). Legacy and emerging halogenated flame retardants in the middle and lower stream of the Yellow River. Sci Total Environ. 601-602: 1619-1627.

<u>Sudaryanto, A.</u> (2007). Assessment of brominated flame retardants in sediments from Asia: Levels, profiles and temporal trends. Organohalogen Compd. 69: 2744-2747.

Sudaryanto, A; Kajiwara, N; Tsydenova, OV; Isobe, T; Yu, H; Takahashi, S; Tanabe, S. (2008). Levels and congener specific profiles of PBDEs in human breast milk from China: Implication on exposure sources and pathways. Chemosphere. 73(10): 1661-1668.

Sugeng, EJ; Leonards, PEG; van de Bor, M. (2017). Brominated and organophosphorus flame retardants in body wipes and house dust, and an estimation of house dust handloadings in Dutch toddlers. Environ Res. 158: 789-797.

Sun, J; Pan, L; Zhan, Y; Zhu, L. (2017). Spatial distributions of hexachlorobutadiene in agricultural soils from the Yangtze River Delta region of China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.

Sun, J; Wang, Q; Zhuang, S; Zhang, A. (2016). Occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in indoor air and dust in Hangzhou, China: Level, role of electric appliances, and human exposure. Environ Pollut. 218: 942-949.
- Sun, JL; Chen, ZX; Ni, HG; Zeng, H. (2013). PBDEs as indicator chemicals of urbanization along an urban/rural gradient in South China. Chemosphere. 92(4): 471-476.
- Sun, K; Zhao, Y; Gao, B; Liu, X; Zhang, Z; Xing, B. (2009). Organochlorine pesticides and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in irrigated soils of Beijing, China: Levels, inventory and fate. Chemosphere. 77(9): 1199-1205.
- Sun, S; Zhao, J; Leng, J; Wang, P; Wang, Y; Fukatsu, H; Liu, D; Liu, X; Kayama, F. (2010). Levels of dioxins and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human milk from three regions of northern China and potential dietary risk factors. Chemosphere. 80(10): 1151-1159.
- Sun, Y; Yuan, GL; Li, J; Li, JC; Wang, GH. (2015). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in surface soils near the Changwengluozha Glacier of Central Tibetan Plateau, China. Sci Total Environ. 511: 399-406.
- Tang, J; Zhai, JX. (2017). Distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in breast milk, cord blood and placentas: a systematic review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 24(27): 21548-21573.
- Tang, L; Lei, B; Xu, G; Ma, J; Lei, JQ; Jin, SQ; Hu, GY; Wu, MH. (2013). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human hair from the college environment: comparison with indoor dust. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 91(4): 377-381.

Tang, WB; Huang, K; Zhao, JH; Zhang, Z; Liang, S; Liu, L; Zhang, W; Lin, KF. (2015).

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in resident Eurasian Tree Sparrow from Shanghai: geographical distribution and implication for potential sources. Chemosphere. 126: 25-31.

- Tang, X; Zeng, B; Hashmi, MZ; Long, D; Yu, B; Ullah, N; Shen, C; Chen, Y. (2014a). PBDEs and PCDD/Fs in surface soil taken from the Taizhou e-waste recycling area, China. Chem Ecol. 30(3): 245-251.
- Tang, Z; Huang, Q; Cheng, J; Qu, D; Yang, Y; Guo, W. (2014b). Distribution and accumulation of hexachlorobutadiene in soils and terrestrial organisms from an agricultural area, East China. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 108: 329-334.
- <u>Tang, Z; Huang, Q; Nie, Z; Yang, Y; Yang, J, un; Qu, D, an; Cheng, J.</u> (2016). Levels and distribution of organochlorine pesticides and hexachlorobutadiene in soils and terrestrial organisms from a former pesticide-producing area in Southwest China. Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess. 30(4): 1249-1262.
- Tao, F; Abdallah, MA; Harrad, S. (2016). Emerging and legacy flame retardants in UK indoor air and dust: Evidence for replacement of PBDEs by emerging flame retardants? Environ Sci Technol. 50(23): 13052-13061.
- ter Schure, AF; Larsson, P; Agrell, C; Boon, JP. (2004). Atmospheric transport of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and polychlorinated biphenyls to the Baltic Sea. Environ Sci Technol. 38(5): 1282-1287.
- <u>Thomsen, C; Knutsen, HK; Liane, VH; Frøshaug, M; Kvalem, HE; Haugen, M; Meltzer, HM;</u> <u>Alexander, J; Becher, G.</u> (2008). Consumption of fish from a contaminated lake strongly affects the concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecane in serum. Mol Nutr Food Res. 52(2): 228-237.
- <u>Tian, M, i; Chen, S; Wang, J; Luo, Y; Luo, X; Mai, B, iX.</u> (2012). Plant Uptake of Atmospheric Brominated Flame Retardants at an E-Waste Site in Southern China. Environ Sci Technol. 46(5): 2708-2714.

To-Figueras, J; Barrot, C; Sala, M; Otero, R; Silva, M; Ozalla, MD; Herrero, C; Corbella, J; Grimalt, J; Sunyer, J. (2000). Excretion of hexachlorobenzene and metabolites in feces in a highly exposed human population. Environ Health Perspect. 108(7): 595-598.

To-Figueras, J; Gómez-Catalán, J; Rodamilans, M; Corbella, J. (1992). Sulphur derivative of hexachlorobenzene in human urine. Hum Exp Toxicol. 11(4): 271-273.

Toms, LM; Mazaheri, M; Brommer, S; Clifford, S; Drage, D; Mueller, JF; Thai, P; Harrad, S; Morawska, L; Harden, FA. (2015). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in dust from primary schools in South East Queensland, Australia. Environ Res. 142: 135-140.

<u>Toyota Motor Engineering & Manufacturing.</u> (2007). Construction site/ Construction project environmental management handbook. (EMS REF-4 (LB000077)). <u>https://toyotasupplier.com/sup_guide/LB000077_EMS_REF-</u> <u>4_TEMA_Construction_ENV_Handbook_rev_04-2010.pdf</u>.

Trudel, D; Tlustos, C; Von Goetz, N; Scheringer, M; Hungerbühler, K. (2011). PBDE exposure from food in Ireland: optimising data exploitation in probabilistic exposure modelling. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 21(6): 565-575.

- Tue, NM; Takahashi, S; Suzuki, G; Isobe, T; Viet, PH; Kobara, Y; Seike, N; Zhang, G; Sudaryanto, A; Tanabe, S. (2013). Contamination of indoor dust and air by polychlorinated biphenyls and brominated flame retardants and relevance of non-dietary exposure in Vietnamese informal e-waste recycling sites. Environ Int. 51: 160-167.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1976). Sampling and analysis of selected toxic substances: Task IB Hexachlorobutadiene. (EPA 560/6-76-015). Washington, DC.
- U.S. EPA. (1990). EPA Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center: Air toxics data [Database]. Retrieved from <u>https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/toxdat.html</u>
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1992). National study of chemical residues in fish volume I. (EPA 823-R-92-008a). Washington, DC.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2003). Health effects support document for hexachlorobutadiene. (EPA 822-R-03-002). Washington, DC: Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005a). Furniture flame retardancy partnership: Environmental profiles of chemical flame-retardant alternatives for lowdensity polyurethane foam: Volume 1 [EPA Report]. (EPA-742/R-05-002A). http://www.epa.gov/dfe/pubs/flameret/altrep-v1/altrepv1-f1c.pdf.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005b). Voluntary Childrens Chemical Evaluation Program (VCCEP): Data needs decision document of decabromodiphenyl ether (DBDPO). U.S. EPA, Office of Pollution Prevention & Toxics.
- U.S. EPA. (2007). EPA Discharge Monitoring Report Data [Database]. Retrieved from https://cfpub.epa.gov/dmr/
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2010). An exposure assessment of polybrominated diphenyl ethers [EPA Report] (pp. 378). (EPA/600/R-08/086F). Washington, DC.
- U.S. EPA. (2012). Estimation Programs Interface Suite[™] for Microsoft[®] Windows, v 4.11 [Computer Program]. Washington, DC. Retrieved from <u>https://www.epa.gov/tsca-</u> <u>screening-tools/epi-suitetm-estimation-program-interface</u>

- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014a). Generic scenario on the use of additives in the thermoplastics converting industry: Draft. Washington, DC.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014b). Non-Confidential 2012 Chemical Data Reporting (CDR). Available online at <u>http://www.epa.gov/cdr/</u> (accessed
- U.S. EPA. (2016a). 2016 Chemical Data Reporting Results. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting/2016-chemical-data-reporting-results
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016b). EPA ExpoBox (A toolbox for exposure assessors). Available online at <u>https://www.epa.gov/expobox</u> (accessed
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016c). Instructions for reporting 2016 TSCA chemical data reporting. Washington, DC: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. <u>https://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting/instructions-reporting-2016-tsca-chemical-data-reporting</u>.
- U.S. EPA. (2016d). TRI explorer (2014 dataset released March 2016). Washington, D.C. Retrieved from <u>https://www.epa.gov/triexplorer</u>
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016e). TSCA chemical data reporting. Fact sheet: Importers [Fact Sheet]. Washington, DC: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-</u> 12/documents/cdr fact sheet importers final dec2015 0.pdf.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017a). Preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use and disposal: 2,4,6-tris(tert-butyl)phenol. Washington, DC. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-08/documents/246-ttbp-use_information___8-7-17-clean.pdf</u>.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017b). Preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use, and disposal: decabromodiphenyl ether. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.
- <u>U.S. EPA</u> (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017c). Preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use, and disposal: Hexachlorobutadiene. Washington, DC. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-</u> <u>08/documents/hcbd - use information - 8-7-17-clean.pdf</u>.
- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017d). Preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use, and disposal: Pentachlorothiophenol. (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0739). Washington, DC. https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0739-0003.
- <u>U.S. EPA</u> (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017e). Preliminary information on manufacturing, processing, distribution, use, and disposal: phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1). Washington, DC. <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-</u>

08/documents/pip3-1 - use information 8-10-17.pdf.

- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017f). TRI national analysis 2015: Pollution prevention and waste management.
- U.S. EPA. (2018a). Chemistry Dashboard. Washington, DC. Retrieved from https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard

- U.S. EPA. (2018b). Great Lakes Environmental Database [Database]. Retrieved from <u>https://www.epa.gov/great-lakes-monitoring/great-lakes-fish-monitoring-surveillance-program-data</u>
- <u>UNEP</u> (United Nations Environment Programme). (2008). All POPs Listed in the Stockholm Convention: Annex A (Elimination). Stockholm Convention. <u>http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/AllPOPs/tabid/2509/Default.aspx</u>.
- <u>UNEP</u> (United Nations Environment Programme). (2012). Risk profile on hexachlorobutadiene. In Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.8/3). Report of the Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee on the work of its eith meeting. <u>http://chm.pops.int/Default.aspx?tabid=2301</u>.
- <u>UNEP</u> (United Nations Environment Programme). (2014). Report of the Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee on the Work of Its Tenth Meeting. Addendum. Risk profile on decabromodiphenyl ether (commercial mixture, c decaBDE). In Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.10/10/Add.2). Geneva, Switzerland.
- USGS. (1991). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council [Database]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/</u>
- <u>USGS.</u> (2006). Electronic Waste: Potential Sources of Toxic Chemical Contaminants?
- <u>USGS.</u> (2012). Reconnaissance of contaminants in selected wastewater-treatment-plant effluent and stormwater runoff entering the Columbia River, Columbia River Basin, Washington and Oregon, 2008–10.
- van de Meent, D; Den Hollander, HA; Pool, WG; Vredenbregt, MJ; HAM, vO; de Greef, E; Luijten, J, a. (1986). Organic micropollutants in Dutch coastal waters. Water Science and Technology. 18: 73-81.
- Vane, CH; Ma, Y; Chen, S; Mai, B, iX. (2010). Increasing polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) contamination in sediment cores from the inner Clyde Estuary, UK. Environ Geochem Health. 32(1): 13-21.
- <u>Venier, M; Audy, O; Vojta, Š; Bečanová, J; Romanak, K; Melymuk, L; Krátká, M; Kukučka, P;</u> <u>Okeme, J; Saini, A; Diamond, ML; Klánová, J.</u> (2016). Brominated flame retardants in the indoor environment - Comparative study of indoor contamination from three countries. Environ Int. 94: 150-160.
- Verslycke, TA; Vethaak, AD; Arijs, K; Janssen, CR. (2005). Flame retardants, surfactants and organotins in sediment and mysid shrimp of the Scheldt estuary (The Netherlands). Environ Pollut. 136(1): 19-31.
- Voorspoels, S; Covaci, A; Lepom, P; Escutenaire, S; Schepens, P. (2006). Remarkable findings concerning PBDEs in the terrestrial top-predator red fox (Vulpes vulpes). Environ Sci Technol. 40(9): 2937-2943.
- Vorkamp, K; Nielsen, F; Kyhl, HB; Husby, S; Nielsen, LB, o; Barington, T; Andersson, AM; Jensen, <u>TK.</u> (2014). Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers and Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Serum of Pregnant Women: Levels, Correlations, and Potential Health Implications. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 67(1): 9-20.
- Vorkamp, K; Riget, F; Glasius, M; Pecseli, M; Lebeuf, M; Muir, D. (2004). Chlorobenzenes,
 - chlorinated pesticides, coplanar chlorobiphenyls and other organochlorine compounds in Greenland biota. Sci Total Environ. 331(1-3): 157-175.

- Vorkamp, K; Thomsen, M; Frederiksen, M; Pedersen, M; Knudsen, LE. (2011). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the indoor environment and associations with prenatal exposure. Environ Int. 37(1): 1-10.
- Wang, C; Li, W, ei; Chen, J; Wang, H; Li, T; Shen, G; Shen, H; Huang, Y, e; Wang, R; Wang, B, in;
 Zhang, Y; Tang, J; Liu, W; Wang, X; Tao, S, hu. (2012a). Summer atmospheric polybrominated diphenyl ethers in urban and rural areas of northern China. Environ Pollut. 171: 234-240.
- Wang, G; Peng, J; Yang, D; Zhang, D; Li, X. (2015a). Current levels, composition profiles, source identification and potentially ecological risks of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the surface sediments from Bohai Sea. Mar Pollut Bull. 101(2): 834-844.
- Wang, G; Peng, J; Zhang, D; Li, X. (2016a). Characterizing distributions, composition profiles, sources and potential health risk of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the coastal sediments from East China Sea. Environ Pollut. 213: 468-481.
- Wang, J; Jia, X; Gao, S; Zeng, X; Li, H; Zhou, Z; Sheng, G; Yu, Z. (2016b). Levels and distributions of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hexabromocyclododecane, and tetrabromobisphenol A in sediments from Taihu Lake, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 23(11): 10361-10370.
- Wang, JZ; Liu, LY; Zhang, K; Liang, B; Li, GL; Chen, TH. (2012b). Halogenated organic contaminants (HOCs) in sediment from a highly eutrophicated lake, China: occurrence, distribution and mass inventories. Chemosphere. 89(8): 1003-1008.
- Wang, L; Ge, W; Chai, C; Xia, B; Jiang, T. (2017). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in marine sediments of Sanggou Bay in east China. Mar Pollut Bull. 115(1-2): 459-464.
- Wang, S; Zhang, S; Huang, H; Niu, Z; Han, W. (2014). Characterization of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and hydroxylated and methoxylated PBDEs in soils and plants from an e-waste area, China. Environ Pollut. 184: 405-413.
- Wang, T; Li, S; Zhang, C; Li, Y, u. (2015b). Quantities, sources and adsorption of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in components of surficial sediments collected in Songhua River (Jilin City), China. Chemosphere. 119: 1208-1216.
- Wang, X; Chen, L, ei; Wang, X; Zhang, Y; Zhou, J, un; Xu, S; Sun, Y; Wu, MH. (2015c). Occurrence, profiles, and ecological risks of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in river sediments of Shanghai, China. Chemosphere. 133: 22-30.
- Wang, X; Xi, B; Huo, S; Sun, W; Pan, H; Zhang, J; Ren, Y; Liu, H. (2013). Characterization, treatment and releases of PBDEs and PAHs in a typical municipal sewage treatment plant situated beside an urban river, East China. J Environ Sci. 25(7): 1281-1290.
- Wang, Y; Li, X; Li, A; Wang, T; Zhang, Q; Wang, P; Fu, J; Jiang, G. (2007a). Effect of municipal sewage treatment plant effluent on bioaccumulation of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the recipient water. Environ Sci Technol. 41(17): 6026-6032.
- Wang, Y; Zhang, Q; Lv, J; Li, A; Liu, H; Li, G; Jiang, G. (2007b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and organochlorine pesticides in sewage sludge of wastewater treatment plants in China. Chemosphere. 68(9): 1683-1691.

- Wang, Z; Ma, X; Lin, Z; Na, G; Yao, Z. (2009). Congener specific distributions of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in sediment and mussel (Mytilus edulis) of the Bo Sea, China. Chemosphere. 74(7): 896-901.
- Ward, MH; Colt, JS; Deziel, NC; Whitehead, TP; Reynolds, P; Gunier, RB; Nishioka, M; Dahl, GV;
 Rappaport, SM; Buffler, PA; Metayer, C. (2014). Residential levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and risk of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in california. Environ Health Perspect. 122(10): 1110-1116.
- Warner, H; Cohen, J; Ireland, J. (1987). Determination of Henry's Law constants of selected priority pollutants. (EPA/600/D-87/229 (NTIS PB87212684)). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Watanabe, I; Tatsukawa, R. (1990). Anthropogenic brominated aromatics in the Japanese environment (pp. 63–71). Skokloster (SE): Watanabe, I; Tatsukawa, R.
- Wei, YL; Bao, LJ; Wu, CC; Zeng, EY. (2016). Characterization of anthropogenic impacts in a large urban center by examining the spatial distribution of halogenated flame retardants. Environ Pollut. 215: 187-194.
- Weiss, J; Wallin, E; Axmon, A; Jönsson, BA; Akesson, H; Janák, K; Hagmar, L; Bergman, A. (2006). Hydroxy-PCBs, PBDEs, and HBCDDs in serum from an elderly population of Swedish fishermen's wives and associations with bone density. Environ Sci Technol. 40(20): 6282-6289.
- <u>Whitehead, TP; Brown, FR; Metayer, C; Park, JS; Does, M; Petreas, MX; Buffler, PA; Rappaport,</u> <u>SM.</u> (2013). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in residential dust: sources of variability. Environ Int. 57-58(Supplement C): 11-24.
- Wilford, BH; Thomas, GO; Jones, KC; Davison, B; Hurst, DK. (2008). Decabromodiphenyl ether (deca-BDE) commercial mixture components, and other PBDEs, in airborne particles at a UK site. Environ Int. 34(3): 412-419.
- Working Group of the Basel Convention. (2016). Draft technical guidelines on the environmentally sound management of wastes consisting of, containing or contaminated with hexachlorobutadiene. In Open-ended Working Group of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal Tenth meeting Nairobi, 30 May–2 June 2016 Matters related to the work programme of the Open-ended Working Group for 2016–2017: scientific and technical matters: technical guidelines: technical guidelines on the environmentally sound management of wastes consisting of, containing or contaminated with persistent organic pollutants. (UNEP/CHW/OEWG.10/INF/18). UNEP.

http://www.basel.int/TheConvention/OpenendedWorkingGroup(OEWG)/Meetings/OE WG10/Overview/tabid/4626/mctl/ViewDetails/EventModID/8295/EventID/560/xmid/1 4062/Default.aspx.

- <u>WSDE.</u> (2018a). Children's Safe Product Act reported data. Hexachlorobutadiene. Retrieved from <u>https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/cspareporting/</u>
- <u>WSDE.</u> (2018b). Product Testing Data: Lab results filtered by Hexachlorobutadiene. Retrieved from <u>https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/ptdbpublicreporting/</u>
- Wu, F; Guo, J; Chang, H; Liao, H; Zhao, X; Mai, B; Xing, B. (2012). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and decabromodiphenylethane in sediments from twelve lakes in China. Environ Pollut. 162: 262-268.

- Wu, JP; Luo, XJ; Zhang, Y; Luo, Y; Chen, SJ; Mai, BX; Yang, ZY. (2008). Bioaccumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in wild aquatic species from an electronic waste (e-waste) recycling site in South China. Environ Int. 34(8): 1109-1113.
- Wu, K; Xu, X; Liu, J; Guo, Y; Li, Y, an; Huo, X, ia. (2010a). Polyhrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Umbilical Cord Blood and Relevant Factors in Neonates from Guiyu, China. Environ Sci Technol. 44(2): 813-819.
- Wu, MH; Pei, JC; Zheng, M; Tang, L; Bao, YY; Xu, BT; Sun, R; Sun, YF; Xu, G; Lei, JQ. (2015). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in soil and outdoor dust from a multifunctional area of Shanghai: Levels, compositional profiles and interrelationships. Chemosphere. 118: 87-95.
- Wu, MH; Tang, L; Xu, G; Ma, J; Liu, N; Wang, L; Lei, J. (2013). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in surface sediments from principal watersheds of Shanghai, China: levels, distribution, influencing factors, and risk assessment. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 20(4): 2651-2660.
- <u>Wu, MH; Xu, BT; Xu, G; Wang, MN; Ma, J; Pan, CY; Sun, R; Han, T; Tang, L.</u> (2017a). Occurrence and profiles of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in riverine sediments of Shanghai: a combinative study with human serum from the locals. Environ Geochem Health. 39(4): 729-738.
- Wu, N; Herrmann, T; Paepke, O; Tickner, J; Hale, R; Harvey, E; La Guardia, M; Mcclean, MD;
 Webster, TF. (2007). Human Exposure to PBDEs: Associations of PBDE Body Burdens with Food Consumption and House Dust Concentrations. Environ Sci Technol. 41(5): 1584-1589.
- Wu, Q; Baek, SY; Fang, M; Chang, YS. (2010b). Distribution and fate of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in indoor environments of elementary schools. Indoor Air. 20(3): 263-270.
- Wu, Q; Li, H; Kuo, DT; Chen, S; Mai, B; Li, H; Liu, Z; Deng, M; Zhang, H; Hu, X; Geng, X; Chen, Y.
 (2017b). Occurrence of PBDEs and alternative halogenated flame retardants in sewage sludge from the industrial city of Guangzhou, China. Environ Pollut. 220(Pt A): 63-71.
- Wu, Q; Liu, X; Liang, C; Leung, JYS; Li, H; Chen, S; Mai, B; Miao, S; Chen, Y; Wu, Z; Chen, Z.
 (2017c). Historical trends and ecological risks of polybrominated diphenyl ethers
 (PBDEs) and alternative halogenated flame retardants (AHFRs) in a mangrove in South China. Sci Total Environ. 599-600: 181-187.
- Xiang, C; Luo, X; Chen, S; Yu, M, ei; Mai, B, iX; Zeng, EY. (2007). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in biota and sediments of the Pearl River Estuary, South China. Environ Toxicol Chem. 26(4): 616-623.
- Xu, F; Giovanoulis, G; van Waes, S; Padilla-Sanchez, JA; Papadopoulou, E; Magnér, J; Haug, LS;
 <u>Neels, H; Covaci, A.</u> (2016). Comprehensive study of human external exposure to organophosphate flame retardants via air, dust, and hand wipes: The importance of sampling and assessment strategy. Environ Sci Technol. 50(14): 7752-7760.
- Xu, F; Zhang, G; Wang, J; Zhang, W; Liu, L; Lin, K. (2015a). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in air and fallouts from an e-waste polluted region in southeast China: insight into levels, compositional profiles, and seasonal variation. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 22(24): 19676-19686.

- Xu, L; Huo, X, ia; Zhang, Y; Li, W; Zhang, J; Xu, X. (2015b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in human placenta associated with neonatal physiological development at a typical e-waste recycling area in China. Environ Pollut. 196: 414-422.
- Yadav, IC; Devi, NL; Li, J; Zhang, G. (2017). Environmental concentration and atmospheric deposition of halogenated flame retardants in soil from Nepal: Source apportionment and soil-air partitioning. Environ Pollut. 233: 642-654.
- Yang, C; Rose, NL; Turner, SD; Yang, H; Goldsmith, B; Losada, S; Barber, JL; Harrad, S. (2016a). Hexabromocyclododecanes, polybrominated diphenyl ethers, and polychlorinated biphenyls in radiometrically dated sediment cores from English lakes, ~1950-present. Sci Total Environ. 541: 721-728.
- Yang, L; Lu, Y; Wang, L; Chang, F; Zhang, J; Liu, Y. (2016b). Levels and Profiles of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Breast Milk During Different Nursing Durations. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 97(4): 510-516.
- Yang, Q; Qiu, X; Li, R; Liu, S; Li, K; Wang, F; Zhu, P; Li, G; Zhu, T. (2013). Exposure to typical persistent organic pollutants from an electronic waste recycling site in Northern China. Chemosphere. 91(2): 205-211.
- Yang, ZZ; Zhao, XR; Zhao, Q; Qin, ZF; Qin, XF; Xu, XB; Jin, ZX; Xu, CX. (2008). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in leaves and soil from typical electronic waste polluted area in South China. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 80(4): 340-344.
- Yin, G; Zhou, Y; Strid, A; Zheng, Z; Bignert, A; Ma, T; Athanassiadis, I; Qiu, Y. (2017). Spatial distribution and bioaccumulation of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in snails (Bellamya aeruginosa) and sediments from Taihu Lake area, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 24(8): 7740-7751.
- Yip, G. (1976). Survey for hexachloro-1,3-butadiene in fish, eggs, milk, and vegetables. J Assoc Offic Anal Chem. 59(3): 559-561.
- Yu, LH; Luo, XJ; Wu, JP; Liu, LY; Song, J; Sun, QH; Zhang, XL; Chen, D; Mai, BX. (2011a).
 - Biomagnification of higher brominated PBDE congeners in an urban terrestrial food web in north China based on field observation of prey deliveries. Environ Sci Technol. 45(12): 5125-5131.
- Yu, Y; Pang, Y, uP; Li, C; Li, J; Zhang, X; Yu, Z; Feng, J; Wu, MH; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2012).
 - Concentrations and seasonal variations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in inand out-house dust and human daily intake via dust ingestion corrected with bioaccessibility of PBDEs. Environ Int. 42: 124-131.
- Yu, Z; Liao, R; Li, H; Mo, L; Zeng, X; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2011b). Particle-bound Dechlorane Plus and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in ambient air around Shanghai, China. Environ Pollut. 159(10): 2982-2988.
- Zarnadze, A; Rodenburg, LA. (2008). Water-column concentrations and partitioning of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the New York/New Jersey Harbor, USA. Environ Toxicol Chem. 27(8): 1636-1642.
- Zhang, B; Zhao, B; Yu, M; Zhang, J. (2017). Emission inventory and environmental distribution of decabromodiphenyl ether in China. Sci Total Environ. 599-600: 1073-1081.
- Zhang, BZ; Guan, YF; Li, SM; Zeng, EY. (2009). Occurrence of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Air and Precipitation of the Pearl River Delta, South China: Annual Washout Ratios and Depositional Rates. Environ Sci Technol. 43(24): 9142-9147.

- Zhang, H; Wang, Y; Sun, C; Yu, M; Gao, Y; Wang, T; Liu, J; Jiang, G. (2014a). Levels and distributions of hexachlorobutadiene and three chlorobenzenes in biosolids from wastewater treatment plants and in soils within and surrounding a chemical plant in China. Environ Sci Technol. 48(3): 1525-1531.
- Zhang, L; Zhang, T; Dong, L; Shi, S; Zhou, L; Huang, Y. (2013). Assessment of halogenated POPs and PAHs in three cities in the Yangtze River Delta using high-volume samplers. Sci Total Environ. 454-455: 619-626.
- Zhang, Q; Zhang, Z; Jia, X. (2015a). Levels, Bioaccumulation, and Environmental Risk of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers around Industry Park in South China. Pol J Environ Stud. 24(4): 1837-1843.
- Zhang, S; Xu, X; Wu, Y; Ge, J; Li, W; Huo, X, ia. (2014b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in residential and agricultural soils from an electronic waste polluted region in South China: Distribution, compositional profile, and sources. Chemosphere. 102: 55-60.
- Zhang, T; Yang, WL; Chen, SJ; Shi, DL; Zhao, H; Ding, Y; Huang, YR; Li, N; Ren, Y; Mai, BX. (2014c). Occurrence, sources, and ecological risks of PBDEs, PCBs, OCPs, and PAHs in surface sediments of the Yangtze River Delta city cluster, China. Environ Monit Assess. 186(8): 5285-5295.
- Zhang, W; Lu, Y; Gao, S; Jia, X; Yu, Z; Zeng, X; Sheng, G; Fu, J. (2015b). Determination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soils and sediment of Hanfeng Lake, Three Gorges. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 50(13): 1316-1323.
- Zhang, X; Ruan, X; Yan, M; Zhao, Y; Wei, W; Qin, Z; Yang, Y; Xu, H; Li, Y, an. (2011a). Polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) in blood from children (age 9-12) in Taizhou, China. J Environ Sci. 23(7): 1199-1204.
- Zhang, Y; Wu, JP; Luo, XJ; Sun, YX; Mo, L; Chen, SJ; Mai, BX. (2011b). Biota-sediment accumulation factors for Dechlorane Plus in bottom fish from an electronic waste recycling site, South China. Environ Int. 37(8): 1357-1361.
- Zhao, G; Li, K; Zhou, H; Liu, X; Zhang, P; Wen, W; Yu, Y; Yuan, H. (2013a). Polyhalogenated aromatic hydrocarbons in surface sediments from Three Gorges Reservoir. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 48(2): 136-144.
- Zhao, Y; Li, Y; Qin, X; Lou, Q; Qin, Z. (2016a). Accumulation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the brain compared with the levels in other tissues among different vertebrates from an e-waste recycling site. Environ Pollut. 218: 1334-1341.
- Zhao, Y; Liu, P; Wang, J; Xiao, X; Meng, X; Zhang, Y. (2016b). Umbilical cord blood PBDEs concentrations are associated with placental DNA methylation. Environ Int. 97: 1-6.
- Zhao, Y; Ruan, X; Li, Y; Yan, M; Qin, Z. (2013b). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in aborted human fetuses and placental transfer during the first trimester of pregnancy. Environ Sci Technol. 47(11): 5939-5946.
- Zhen, X; Tang, J; Xie, Z; Wang, R; Huang, G; Zheng, Q; Zhang, K; Sun, Y; Tian, C; Pan, X; Li, J; Zhang, G. (2016). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and alternative brominated flame retardants (aBFRs) in sediments from four bays of the Yellow Sea, North China. Environ Pollut. 213: 386-394.
- Zheng, J; Chen, KH; Luo, XJ; Yan, X; He, CT; Yu, YJ; Hu, GC; Peng, XW; Ren, MZ; Yang, ZY; Mai, BX. (2014). Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in paired human hair and serum from

e-waste recycling workers: Source apportionment of hair PBDEs and relationship between hair and serum. Environ Sci Technol. 48(1): 791-796.

- Zheng, X; Qiao, L; Covaci, A; Sun, R; Guo, H; Zheng, J; Luo, X; Xie, Q; Mai, B. (2017). Brominated and phosphate flame retardants (FRs) in indoor dust from different microenvironments: Implications for human exposure via dust ingestion and dermal contact. Chemosphere. 184: 185-191.
- Zheng, X; Xu, F; Chen, K; Zeng, Y; Luo, X; Chen, S; Mai, B; Covaci, A. (2015a). Flame retardants and organochlorines in indoor dust from several e-waste recycling sites in South China: Composition variations and implications for human exposure. Environ Int. 78: 1-7.
- Zheng, X; Xu, F; Luo, X; Mai, B; Covaci, A. (2015b). Phosphate flame retardants and novel brominated flame retardants in home-produced eggs from an e-waste recycling region in China. Chemosphere. 150: 545-550.
- Zhou, SN; Buchar, A; Siddique, S; Takser, L; Abdelouahab, N; Zhu, J. (2014). Measurements of Selected Brominated Flame Retardants in Nursing Women: Implications for Human Exposure. Environ Sci Technol. 48(15): 8873-8880.
- Zhu, L; Ma, B; Hites, RA. (2009). Brominated flame retardants in serum from the general population in northern China. Environ Sci Technol. 43(18): 6963-6968.
- Zhu, NZ; Liu, LY; Ma, WL; Li, WL; Song, WW; Qi, H; Li, YF. (2015). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in the indoor dust in China: Levels, spatial distribution and human exposure. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 111: 1-8.
- Zou, MY; Ran, Y; Gong, J; Mai, BX; Zeng, EY. (2007). Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in watershed soils of the Pearl River Delta, China: Occurrence, inventory, and fate. Environ Sci Technol. 41(24): 8262-8267.