


Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

Draft Risk Evaluation for Carbon Tetrachloride

Systematic Review Supplemental File:

Data Quality Evaluation of Physical-Chemical Properties Studies

CASRN: 56-23-5



January 2020

Table of Contents

| Table 1. Physical Form Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride (1 of 2) | 3 |
|---|----|
| Table 2. Physical Form Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride (2 of 2) | 4 |
| Table 3. Melting Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 5 |
| Table 4. Boiling Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 6 |
| Table 5. Density Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 7 |
| Table 6. Vapor Pressure Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 8 |
| Table 7. Vapor Density Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 9 |
| Table 8. Water Solubility Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 10 |
| Table 9. Octanol-water Partition Coefficient Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 11 |
| Table 10. Henry's Law Constant Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 12 |
| Table 11. Flash Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 13 |
| Table 12. Viscosity Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 14 |
| Table 13. Refractive Index Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 15 |
| Table 14. Dielectric Constant Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride | 16 |

Table 1. Physical Form Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride (1 of 2)

| Study Reference: | CHRIS. (1984). CHRIS hazardous chemical data. US Coast Guard. Vol 2. Washington, DC. HERO ID: 17566 | | |
|--|---|--------|--|
| Note: | CHRIS (1984) was not available for review. Data from CHRIS (June 1999) was evaluated in its place. | | |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | Low | The information or data is from a recognized data collection. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Medium | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| (| Overall Quality Level | | Medium |

Table 2. Physical Form Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride (2 of 2)

| Study Reference: | O'Neil, M.J., ed. (1996). The Merck Index - An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals. 12th ed., Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co., Inc., p. 1054. HERO ID: 670297 | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| Note: | O'Neil (1996) reported the | physical form. | |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | Data cited as found in the literature. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information or data is from a recognized data collection. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| (| Overall Quality Level | | |

Table 3. Melting Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Lide DR. (1999). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics: A ready- reference book of chemical and physical data. 80th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. HERO ID: 3827230 | | |
|--|--|----------------|---|
| Note: | Lide (1999) reported the n | nelting point. | |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field and are broadly available to the public for review and use. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| (| Overall Quality Level | | High |

Table 4. Boiling Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Lide DR. (1999). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics: A ready- reference book of chemical and physical data. 80th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. HERO ID: 3827230 | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|
| Note: | Lide (1999) reported the boiling point. | | |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field and are broadly available to the public for review and use. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| C | Overall Quality Level | | |

Table 5. Density Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Lide DR. (1999). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics: A ready- reference book of chemical and physical data. 80th ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. HERO ID: 3827230 | | |
|--|--|-----------|---|
| Note: Domain/Metric | Lide (1999) reported density. Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] Comment | | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field and are broadly available to the public for review and use. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| (| Overall Quality Level | | High |

Table 6. Vapor Pressure Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Boublik T et al. 1984. The vapor pressures of pure substances: selected values of the temperature dependence of the vapour pressures of some pure substances in the normal and low pressure region. Vol. 17. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Sci. Publ. HERO ID: 194873 | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---|
| Note: | Boublik et al. (1984) repor | rted the vapor press | sure. |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection in which results have been selected by experts based on their quality and availability. References to the original sources are included. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| Overall Quality Level High | | | |

Table 7. Vapor Density Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Boublik T et al. 1984. The vapor pressures of pure substances: selected values of the temperature dependence of the vapour pressures of some pure substances in the normal and low-pressure region. Vol. 17. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Sci. Publ. HERO ID: 194873 | | |
|--|--|--------------------|---|
| Note: | Vapor density was calcula | ted from Boublik e | t al. (1984). |
| Domain/Metric | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was calculated for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field and are broadly available to the public for review and use. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| (| Overall Quality Level | | |

Table 8. Water Solubility Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Horvath AL. (1982) Halogenated hydrocarbons: solubility-miscibility with water. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc. HERO ID: 194749 | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Note: | Horvath (1982) reported the water solubility. | | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a data collection where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field and are broadly available to the public for review and use. Original sources are also referenced. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | High | Methodology for producing the information is designed to answer a specific question, and the methodology's objective is clear. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | High | Data are obtained by accepted standard analytic methods. |
| C | Overall Quality Level | | High |

Table 9. Octanol-water Partition Coefficient Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | eference: Hansch, C., Leo, A., D. Hoekman. (1995). Exploring QSAR - Hydrophobic, Electronic, and Steric Constants. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society. HERO ID: 51424 | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Note: | Hansch et al. (1995) rep | orted the log Kow | 7. | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. | |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. | |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information is from a recognized data collection that has been compiled by experts and includes references to the original sources. The original source for this value is a peer-reviewed journal. | |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. | |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. | |
| 0 | verall Quality Level | | High | |

Table 10. Henry's Law Constant Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Leighton DT, Calo JM. (1981). Distribution coefficients of chlorinated hydrocarbons in dilute air-water systems for groundwater contamination applications. J Chem Eng Data. 26 (4): 382-85. HERO ID: 194928 | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Note: | Leighton and Calo (198 dimensionless value and | | enry's Law constant as a erted to atm-m³/mol. | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The value was measured for the subject chemical substance. | |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. | |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The source is a peer-reviewed journal. | |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | High | The method for producing this value is not biased towards a particular outcome. | |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | High | The analytical method used to measure this value is an accepted standard method. | |
| 0 | verall Quality Level | | High | |

Table 11. Flash Point Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | CHRIS. (1984). CHRIS hazardous chemical data. US Coast Guard. Vol 2. Washington, DC. HERO ID: 5348366 | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Note: | CHRIS (1984) was not available; however, a more recent version CHRIS (1999) reporting flash point was reviewed. | | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance. |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information or data is from a recognized data collection. |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. |
| Overall Quality Level | | | High |

Table 12. Viscosity Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Daubert, T.E., R.P. Danner. (1989). Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Chemicals Data Compilation. Washington, DC: Taylor and Francis. HERO ID: 3827242 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Note: | Daubert and Danner (1989) reported the viscosity. | | | | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment | | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | Data are measured for the subject chemical substance. | | |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | Measured data are consistent with the subject chemical substance structural features. | | |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information or data is from a recognized data collection collection/repository where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field, are broadly available to the public for review and use and include references to the original sources. | | |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | High | Methodology for producing the information is designed to answer a specific question, and the methodology's objective is clear. | | |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Analytical method is not reported. | | |
| 0 | verall Quality Level | | High | | |

Table 13. Refractive Index Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | O'Neil, M.J., ed. (1996). The Merck Index - An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals. 12th ed., Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck and Co., Inc., p. 1054. HERO ID: 670297 | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Note: | O'Neil (1996) reported the refractive index. | | | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | The data was measured for the substance of interest. | |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | Data cited as found in the literature. | |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information or data is from a recognized data collection. | |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. | |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. | |
| Overall Quality Level | | | High | |

Table 14. Dielectric Constant Study Summary for Carbon Tetrachloride

| Study Reference: | Norbert, AL; Dean, JA. (1967). Lange's Handbook of Chemistry. McGraw-Hill NY, NY. HERO ID: 3836460 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Note: | Norbert and Dean (1967) reported the dielectric constant. | | | | |
| Domain/Metric | Description/ Definition | Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated] | Comment | | |
| Representativeness | The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type. | High | Data are measured for the subject chemical substance. | | |
| Appropriateness | The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors. | High | Measured data are consistent with the subject chemical substance structural features. | | |
| Evaluation/Review | The information or data reported has reliable review. | High | The information or data is from a recognized data collection. | | |
| Reliability/Unbiased (Method Objectivity) | The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome. | Not rated | Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated. | | |
| Reliability/Analytic Method | The information or data reported is from a reliable method. | Low | Data source does not provide information regarding the analytical method. | | |
| Overall Quality Level | | | High | | |