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• Dr. Worth Calfee (EPA) was the Principal Investigator for this effort
Background

- EPA is responsible for remediation of land and public infrastructure following biological contamination involving *Bacillus anthracis*
  - Emergency Support Function #10 of National Response Framework
- Following a biological contamination incident, spatial extent of contamination should be determined using established sampling and analytical methods
- EPA and CDC have developed analytical methods and established sampling methods for Sponge-Sticks and vacuum filter cassettes (VFC)
- Collected and recovered real-world interferents (RWIs) may adversely impact quantification and identification of *B. anthracis* spores
Objective

• Assess the impact of RWIs collected on Sponge-Stick and VFC samples on the current EPA-developed culture and molecular methods for quantification and identification of viable *B. anthracis* spores in environmental samples.
Technical Approach - Overview

• Sampling campaign conducted in mid-town Manhattan (November 2017)
  ▪ Times Square
  ▪ Grand Central Station
• End-to-end assessment of *B. anthracis* spore recovery and detection from Sponge-Stick and VFC in the presence of RWIs
Technical Approach – Sponge-Sticks

- Eighteen surfaces, plus field blank
- Target Spore Loads of 0/30/300/3,000
- Replicates of 3/5/5/5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfaces Sampled</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor (Tile)</td>
<td>Metro Card Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor (Concrete)</td>
<td>Subway Car Filter Grille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps (w/Metal Grid)</td>
<td>Subway Car Filter Grille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Tile</td>
<td>Electrical Display Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Window</td>
<td>Crosswalk Signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Display Panel</td>
<td>Telephone Booth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Panel</td>
<td>Street Grating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluor Light Fixture</td>
<td>Crosswalk Painted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead Sign</td>
<td>Granite Bench</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Technical Approach – Vacuum Filter Cassette (VFC)

- Technical Approach
  - Vacuum Filter Cassette (VFC)

Surfaces Sampled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfaces Sampled</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floor (Concrete)</td>
<td>Subway Car HVAC Filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps (w/Metal Grid)</td>
<td>Carpet/Rug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk Concrete</td>
<td>Pavement (Asphalt)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Six surfaces, plus field blank
  - Floor, Steps and Sidewalk also sampled via Sponge-Sticks
- Target Spore Loads of 0/30/300/3,000
- Replicates of 3/5/5/5

Vacuum Filter Cassette
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Technical Approach – Process Flow
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Technical Approach – Spore Spiking; Spore Recovery; Split Sample

- **Spore Spiking (post-interferent collection)**
  - B. a. Sterne spores
  - Pipetted twenty (20) 5 µL droplets

- **Spore Recovery**
  - Stomacher or sonication with cold extraction buffers

- **Split recovered spores**
  - Culture
  - Rapid Viability-PCR (RV-PCR)
Technical Approach – Analytical Methods

Culture

- Trypticase Soy Agar with 5% Sheep Blood (SBA)
- Colony PCR confirmation
- Trypticase Soy Broth enrichment

RV-PCR

- Extract DNA from $T_0$ and $T_f$ aliquots
- Real-Time PCR
  - Chromosome and pXO1 targets
- ΔCt values reported
  - ΔCt ≥9 reported as positive result
Culture Results – Representative Recovery Efficiencies

- Higher standard deviation for nominal 15 spores available attributed to relatively few (<10) recovered colony forming units (CFU)
- Lower percent recovery for VFCs attributed to spores being retained on the MCE filter substrate
- Application of spore using droplets may have adverse impact on recovery
Culture Results – Background Flora/Grime Adversely Affected B. a. Sterne Quantification for Sponge-Stick

• A subset of colonies recovered were screened using real-time PCR assays targeting chromosomal and pXO1 gene targets
  ▪ Of 229 colonies screened from Sponge-Sticks, 93% were confirmed as correctly identified

• Overall, background flora interfered with identification of presumptive B. a. Sterne from Street Grate samples to a greater degree than the other surfaces
  ▪ All Street Grate samples had background flora counts of greater than 83 colonies

• 3 of 21 Sponge-Sticks that were TSB enriched were real-time PCR positive
  ▪ Isolated colonies from turbid broth were all negative despite B. a. Sterne morphology on SBA
Culture Results – Background Flora/Grime Adversely Affected B. a. Sterne Quantification for VFC

• A subset of colonies recovered were screened using real-time PCR assays targeting chromosomal and pXO1 gene targets
  ▪ Of 50 colonies screened from VFC, 68% were confirmed as correctly identified
  ▪ 16 presumptive B. a. Sterne colonies that were real-time PCR negative artificially inflated the percent recovery

• Subway Car Filters appeared (visually) to be the dirtiest of the VFC filters

• TSB broth enrichment was PCR positive at a lower spore loading level than RV-PCR positive, indicating spores are not being physically removed from the filter of VFC samples
  ▪ B. a. Sterne morphology was not isolated when turbid TSB broth was streaked onto SBA
RV-PCR Results – Representative ΔCt Values for Sponge-Sticks

- All RV-PCR Sponge-Sticks with a nominal 15 B. a. Sterne spores (CFU) available were positive except Street Grate and Painted Crosswalk
- Both chromosome and pXO1 gene targets in the RV-PCR assay yield comparable response
RV-PCR Results – Representative ΔCt Values for VFC

- All RV-PCR VFCs with a nominal 15 B. a. Sterne spores (CFU) available were negative
- Poor recovery efficiencies (< 10%) as determined by culture contributed to the low accuracy of RV-PCR detects
  - Field blank samples were non-detects for samples with 0, 15, and 150 B. a. Sterne spores nominally available
## Summary of Detection Accuracy – Sponge Sticks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Culture (SBA)</th>
<th>Molecular Response (RV-PCR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>77% (220 of 285)</td>
<td>97% (276 of 285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Negative</td>
<td>96% (55 of 57)</td>
<td>100% (57 of 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>3.4% (2 of 57)</td>
<td>0% (0 of 57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>23% (65 of 285)</td>
<td>3.2% (9 of 285)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Culture results
- Two false positives, one each from Telephone Booth and Sidewalk Concrete
- Surfaces with most false negatives: Street Grate (15), Crosswalk Painted (10), and Steps (8)

### RV-PCR results
- Zero false positives
- Two surfaces with more than 1 false negative: Street Grate (3) and Crosswalk Painted (2)
### Summary of Detection Accuracy – VFCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture (SBA)</th>
<th>Molecular Response (RV-PCR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>False Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Positive</td>
<td>True Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Negative</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Positive</td>
<td>False Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>False Negative</td>
<td>False Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True Positive</th>
<th>True Negative</th>
<th>False Positive</th>
<th>False Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54% (57 of 105)</td>
<td>90% (19 of 21)</td>
<td>10% (2 of 21)</td>
<td>46% (48 of 105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47% (49 of 105)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% (21 of 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0% (0 of 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52% (55 of 105)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Culture results**
  - Two false positives, one each from Floor Concrete and Carpet
  - Surfaces with most false negatives: Subway Car Filter (8), Field Blank (8), and Carpet (8)

- **RV-PCR results**
  - Zero false positives
  - Surfaces with most false negatives: Subway Car Filter (14), Field Blank (11), and Pavement (10)
Summary of Key Findings

• *B. anthracis* analysis methods were 77% (Culture) and 97% (RV-PCR) accurate in correctly identifying the presence of B. a. Sterne in Sponge-Stick samples that had previously collected material from real-world surfaces.

• Culture and RV-PCR analysis methods did not perform as well for VFCs.

• TSB enrichment of the VFC filter following spore recovery, was PCR positive at a lower loading level than RV-PCR:
  - Indicates B. a. Sterne spiked onto VFC membrane are not efficiently removed from the filter.
  - When TSB enrichment broth found to be positive by PCR was streaked onto SBA, B. a. Sterne was not isolated (except for field blank samples).

• RV-PCR can be used to positively identify viable *B. anthracis* in the presence of complex, dirty sample matrices from Sponge-Stick surface samples:
  - Samples with as few as 15 B. a. Sterne spores (CFU) were positively identified routinely.
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