

USEPA Office of Research and Development HOMELAND SECURITY RESEARCH PROGRAM



PREMISE PLUMBING RESEARCH IN EPA'S HOMELAND SECURITY RESEARCH PROGRAM

Jeff Szabo, Helen Buse, John Hall and Jim Goodrich

December 11, 2018

Set EPA

Presentation Overview

- Brief overview of the Homeland Security Research Program (HSRP)
- How the HSRP conducts premise plumbing research
- Bench scale research
 - Legionella
- Field or full scale research
 - Chemical and microbial contaminants

Program Design: A Systems Approach to Incidents



EPA

SEPA Applied Research Solutions Approach



www.epa.gov/homeland-security-research

Sepa

Water Security Test Bed

Water Security Test Bed Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pQvsBC-U4a8







Triggered Flushing and Online Sensors



SEPA



7

Removable Coupons and Pipe Available for Decontamination Experiments



SEPA

Forty year old conveyance pipes (cement mortar lined ductile iron) servicing a decommissioned building was dug out of the ground at INL

8" ductile iron



28,000 Gallon Lagoon, Tanker Truck and Treatment System



SEPA

Water Security Test Bed Capability

Water Security Test Bed Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pQvsBC-U4a8







Set EPA

Plumbing System Spore Decontamination

- WSTB plumbing system was contaminated with *Bacillus globigii* (BG) spores
 - BG injected at 10⁶ cfu/ml in the bulk water phase
 - Injection occurred for 1 hr
- Disinfection and Flushing:
 - Amended bleach added to plumbing and allowed to sit for 1 hour
 - Cold water and refrigerator flushed for 20 min (hot water off)
 - Hot water heater drained, refilled, then hot water flushed for 75 min
 - The flushing process was repeated the next day



Plumbing Microbial Decontamination

 Decontamination with flushing and amended bleach

SEPA

- 2,300 mg/L in the plumbing and appliances, 660 mg/L in the hot water heater
- System then flushed: cold water for 20 min, hot water for 75 min (plus draining/refilling the hot water heater)
- 2-3 log reduction of spores on pipe materials
- 6-8 log reduction from flushing the water phase
 - More persistence in the appliances
- More flushing may be needed



14

Sepa

Bakken Oil Decontamination

- Injected the "subnatant" water layer from the mixture of oil and water
- One hour injection and flushing water through the premise plumbing and appliances
- Decontamination:
 - Flushing with cold water for 20 min
 - Drain water heater, refill and flush hot water plumbing for 75 min
 - Run appliances for one cycle
 - Next day, sampled and redid the decon procedure again



Bakken Oil Flushing Data

- Flushing was effective at removing BTEX
 - Benzene results shown
 - Similar results for toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes
- Total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) represents a broad range of organics in oil
 - Elevated TPH observed in the refrigerator water dispenser and dishwasher after flushing



Bakken Oil Flushing with Detergents

- Flushing conducted the same as before, with detergents:
 - Cold water and refrigerator flushed for 20 min with detergent (hot water off)
 - Hot water heater drained, refilled, then hot water flushed for 75 min, appliances run
 - The flushing process was repeated the next day
 - Dawn dishwashing liquid added to refrigerator water dispenser
 - Dishwasher operated with a Cascade dishwasher pod



Set EPA

Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF) Decontamination

- Injected the "subnatant" water layer from the mixture of oil and water with AFFF
- One hour injection and flushing water through the premise plumbing and appliances
- Decontamination:
 - Flushing with cold water for 20 min
 - Drain water heater, refill and flush hot water plumbing for 75 min
 - Run appliances for one cycle
 - Next day, sampled and redid the decon procedure again





AFFF Flushing Data

- Analyzed for a variety of Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)
 - PFOS, FPOA, PFBS, PFNA, etc.
- Flushing was effective at removing PFAS
 - Most PFAS compounds were not detectable after flushing (99.9+%)
 - PFOS and PFOA were detected at elevated levels in the refrigerator water and dishwasher



AFFF Flushing Data



Home Plumbing Decontamination Practical Flushing Considerations

- Simple flushing worked better for the washing machine, hot water heater, and utility sink.
- Detergents were not helpful and added additional flush out time.
- If attempting to decon appliances such as refrigerators or dishwashers, consider replacing the hoses and tubing (replacement kits and Youtube videos available).
- Hot water heater:
 - De-energize, disconnect, drain completely through drain valve, and refill with clean supply water separately and before hot and cold water supply lines.
 - Allow time for heating elements to cool before draining.
 - Hot water is supplied to the home hot water lines via a dip tube that draws from around the middle depth of the tank. The bottom 1/3 or so of the tank is dead space for hold up contaminant if the tank is not completely drained.
 - Replace gate valves with globe valve if necessary to allow for more thorough cleaning. Salt/ sediment buildup in the tank may make complete draining impractical and was not studied by these experiments.
- Remember that modern LEED low flow fixtures do not provide the same flow volumes as older or more common fixtures, and may require longer flushing times.

Other Factors: DIY Decon or Replace

- Mechanical skills of the homeowner
- Installed shut off valves for appliances, hot water heater, and fixtures.
- Access to tools and supplies
- Access to floor drains for hot water heater tank decon.
- Insurance coverage
- Cost of appliance and fixture replacement
- Timing and availability of appliance replacements after a widespread event.
- Disposal options for contaminated appliances and fixtures.

WSTB Current and Future Experiments

Accomplished

- Persistence of *Bg* spores
- Efficacy of Chlorine dioxide
- Physical scouring of pipes
- Bakken crude oil flushing
- Premise plumbing decon
- Wash water treatment
- PFAS water treatment

Planned Experiments

- Additional Re-lining Technologies
- Challenge of Mobile Emergency Water Treatment Systems
- Premise Plumbing Low Pressure Contamination Injection
- Detection/Decontamination of radionuclides

SME Recommended Future Opportunities



- Build a larger distribution grid (2 or more city blocks)
- Evaluate other contaminants especially other types of crude oil
- Integrate cyber-security activities



Disinfection of Biofilm-Associated Legionella pneumophila

Office of Research and Development

National Homeland Security Research Center Water Infrastructure Protection Division

Legionnaires' Disease – A Public Health and Economic Burden



- Incidence rate up from 0.4 to 1.9 cases per 100,000 people; exposure to potable water responsible for the majority of outbreak cases
- Between 2004-2007, LD cases had associated healthcare costs of \$9.4 million (Medicaid and Medicare patients)

Legionella Environmental Distribution

<u>Treated water</u> - reclaimed (i.e. sewage treatment plant), ground, and surface



3 x 10³ – 8 x 10⁴ CFU L⁻¹

290 – 2.5 x 10³ CE L⁻¹

L. anisa
L. adelaidensis
L. bozemanii
L. donaldsonii
L. dumoffi
L. fairfieldensis
L. fallonii
LLAP 2, 3, 4, 7, 8
L. londiniensis

L. micdadei L. pneumophila L. quateirensis L. sterigerwaltii L. wadsworthii L. waltersii L. worsleiensis Distributed water - premise plumbing, e.g. residences, apartments, recreational facilities, hospitals, and cooling towers

- 43 6 x 10⁵ CFU L⁻¹
- $< 2 \times 10^{3} 8 \times 10^{5} \text{ GU L}^{-1}$
- 1.6 x 10⁵ 2 x 10⁶ CE L⁻¹
- L. anisa L. bozemanii L. cherrii L. dumoffi L. erythra L. feelii L. gormanii L. gresilensis L. hackeliae

L. longbeacheae
L. lytica
L. maceachernii
L. micdadei
L. pneumophila
L. rubrilucens
L. saintcrucis
L. sterigerwaltii

<u>Soil</u> – garden, compost, potting



10² - 10⁸ CFU g⁻¹ 10⁴ - 10⁶ GU g⁻¹

anisa	L. longbeacheae
birminghamensis	L. micdadei
bozemanii	L. nautarum
cincinnatiensis	L. oakridgensis
dumoffi	L. pneumophila
feelii	L. quinlivanii
gormanii	L. saintcrucis
gratiana	L sainthelensis
impletisoli	L. wadsworthii
jamestowniensis	L. yabuuchiae

Papadakis et al. 2018. Int J Environ Res Public Health Schalk et al. 2014. Int J Infect Dis.

van Heijnsbergen et al. 2016. Appl Environ Microbiol. van Heijnsbergen et al. 2014. J Appl Microbiol.

Adapted from Buse et al. 2012. Water Res 46: 921-933.

Legionella's Niche Within Premise Plumbing



€PA







low to absent residual



nutrients (metals, minerals, microbes, etc.)



volume ratios



eukaryotic hosts



water stagnation



Adapted from Petrova and Sauer. 2009. PLoS Pathogens. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000668 Adapted from P. Dirckx. 2003. Center for Biofilm Engineering – Montana State University, Bozeman, MT

- Biofilm formation: attachment, proliferation/formation of colonies, and detachment/downstream colonization
- Initial attachment (two stages):
 - Reversible attachment: dependent on physical forces (e.g. electrostatic charge, hydrophobicity, and van der Waals interactions)
 - Irreversible attachment: involves cellular and molecular interactions

Drinking Water Biofilm Development

<u>Project goal</u>: determine the disinfection efficacy of chlorine and monochloramine, on DW biofilm-associated *L. pneumophila* established on copper (Cu) and polyvinylchloride (PVC) surfaces



- Developed mature (1.5-2 yo) drinking water biofilms on Cu and PVC surfaces
- Conditions set to mimic private residential water flow conditions
- Monitor water quality: TOC, HPC, chlorine decay, pH, temperature, hardness, turbidity, organics, inorganics, metals, etc.

♥EPA

DW Biofilms on Cu and PVC surfaces



€PA





L. pneumophila Inoculation Method



- slides removed from reactors
- suspended in beakers with 10⁶ CFU mL⁻¹ Lp sg 1 in 0.22 μm filtered, UV dechlorinated (5 d) drinking water (dfH₂O)
- batch mode for 5 d
- slides rinsed twice in 250mL dfH₂O
- incubated for additional 24 h in dfH₂O

SEPA





Disinfectant Inactivation of Microbes

Concentration x Time (Ct) values =

[disinfectant concentration, mg L^{-1}] x [contact time, min] = mg min L^{-1}

- Used for determining inactivation (disinfection) credit during drinking water treatment
- Log reduction/removal:
 - e.g. SWTR, IESWTR, LT1ESWTR, LT2ESWTR 99.9% (3-log) removal and/or inactivation of *Giardia lamblia* cysts, 99.99% (4-log) removal of viruses, and 99% (2-log) removal of *Cryptosporidium*
- Free chlorine and monochloramine inactivation using 2 mg L⁻¹
 - WHO¹: 5 mg L⁻¹ for chlorine and 3 mg L⁻¹ for monochloramine
 - ≤ 1.5 mg L⁻¹ shown to be inadequate for microbial control^{2, 3, 4}
 - Microbial protection from biofilms and disinfectant penetration (50μm):
 - SS biofilms⁵: 2.5 mg L⁻¹ FC exposure (30 min); 70% (~1.8 mg L⁻¹)
 - PC biofilms⁶: 2.6 mg L⁻¹ FC and MA exposure: 8% FC (0.2 mg L⁻¹) and 15% MA (0.4 mg L⁻¹)

Log Reduction	Reduction percentage		
0	0		
1	90		
2	99 99.9		
3			
4	99.99		
5	99.999		
6	99.9999		

¹WHO. 2017. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, 4th Edition.
²LeChevallier et al. 1987. Appl Environ Microbiol 53: 2714-24.
³Neden et al. 1992. J AWWA 84: 80-88.
⁴Wolfe et al. 1990. Appl Environ Microbiol 56: 451-462.
⁵De Beer et al. 1994. Appl Environ Microbiol 60: 4339-44.
⁴⁶Lee et al. 2011. Environ Sci Technol 45:1412-19.





Inactivation of Lp-Colonized Cu and PVC DW Biofilms



3 FPA



Ct values for Planktonic- and Biofilm-Lp inactivation

Inactivation -	Planktonic Lp		Drinking Water Biofilm-associated Lp			
Ct value for:	Free chlorine	Monochloramine	\leftarrow Lower Ct		Higher $Ct \rightarrow$	
2-log ₁₀	-	5.35	Free chlorine PVC 8.86	Free chlorine Cu 13.18	Monochloramine PVC 17.16	Monochloramine Cu 34.86
3-log ₁₀	0.11	6.58	Free chlorine PVC 36.11	Free chlorine Cu 50.83	Monochloramine Cu 55.38	Monochloramine PVC 62.8
4-log ₁₀	0.30	7.81	Free chlorine PVC 63.67	Monochloramine 75.90	Free chlorine 88.48	Monochloramine PVC 108.44

• 2- and 3-log reduction biofilm-Lp: free chlorine more effective at inactivation

- For 4-log reduction: Effectiveness seemed to be substratum and disinfectant dependent
 - Biofilm penetration? (Cu vs. PVC biofilm structures)
 - Chemical interactions between disinfectant and substratum?

♦ EPA

I6S rRNA Gene and Transcript Levels During Inactivation





15

30

5

Time (min)

0

Lp 16S rRNA gene:

- Δ: total DNA levels
- O: transcript levels
 (total RNA → cDNA)
 - gene levels from total
 DNA were higher than
 transcript levels
- Free chlorine treatment of biofilm-Lp statistically impacted gene and transcript levels

Transcript levels
 lower in Cu biofilms
 compared to PVC biofilms
 (t = 0 min, similar CFU
 levels)



SEBA

Summary Points

- For planktonic *L. pneumophila*, free chlorine (FC) was more effective at inactivation compared to monochloramine (MA) treatment
- For biofilm-associated *L. pneumophila* and 4-log inactivation levels, MA was more effective on Cu biofilms, while FC was more effective on PVC biofilms



• Free chlorine treatment negatively impacted 16S rRNA gene transcript levels and may act synergistically with Cu surfaces to further reduce their levels



Acknowledgements

Biofilm work



US EPA Jeff Szabo* PhD, NHSRC David Wahman, PhD, NRMRL



Aptim/PTSI Brian Morris* Ian Struewing*



*Co-authors

<u>WSTB</u>

Idaho National Lab Stephen Reese Michael Carpenter Travis McLing

Aptim Greg Meiners Sue Witt Sri Panguluri



Disclaimer: This presentation has been subjected to the Agency's review and has been approved for public presentation. The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the Agency. Mention of trade names, commercial products, and/or services does not imply an endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. Government or EPA.