



United States
Environmental Protection Agency


EPA Document# 740-D-20-006

April 2020

Office of Chemical Safety and
Pollution Prevention

Draft Scope of the Risk Evaluation for 1,2-Dichloropropane

CASRN 78-87-5



April 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	5
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS.....	6
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	10
1 INTRODUCTION	13
2 SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION.....	13
2.1 Reasonably Available Information.....	13
2.1.1 Search of Gray Literature	14
2.1.2 Search of Literature from Publicly Available Databases (Peer-Reviewed Literature).....	15
2.1.3 Search of TSCA Submissions.....	20
2.2 Conditions of Use.....	21
2.2.1 Categories and Subcategories of Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation.....	21
2.2.2 Activities Excluded from the Scope of the Risk Evaluation	23
2.2.3 Production Volume.....	23
2.2.4 Overview of Conditions of Use and Lifecycle Diagram	23
2.3 Exposures	26
2.3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties	26
2.3.2 Environmental Fate and Transport	26
2.3.3 Releases to the Environment	26
2.3.4 Environmental Exposures.....	28
2.3.5 Occupational Exposures	28
2.3.6 Consumer Exposures	29
2.3.7 General Population Exposures.....	30
2.4 Hazards (Effects).....	30
2.4.1 Environmental Hazards	30
2.4.2 Human Health Hazards.....	30
2.5 Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulations	30
2.6 Conceptual Models.....	31
2.6.1 Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses	31
2.6.2 Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Potential Exposures and Hazards....	33
2.6.3 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay).....	35
2.6.3.1 Ambient Air Pathway	37
2.6.3.2 Drinking Water Pathway	37
2.6.3.3 Ambient Water Pathway.....	37
2.6.3.4 Disposal and Soil Pathways.....	38
2.6.4 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards	39
2.7 Analysis Plan.....	41
2.7.1 Physical and Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate	41
2.7.2 Exposure	42
2.7.2.1 Environmental Releases	42
2.7.2.2 Environmental Exposures.....	44

2.7.2.3 Occupational Exposures	45
2.7.2.4 Consumer Exposures	47
2.7.2.5 General Population	48
2.7.3 Hazards (Effects)	48
2.7.3.1 Environmental Hazards	48
2.7.3.2 Human Health Hazards.....	49
2.7.4 Summary of Risk Approaches for Characterization.....	52
2.8 Peer Review.....	52
REFERENCES.....	53
APPENDICES	62
Appendix A LIST OF GRAY LITERATURE SOURCES	62
Appendix B PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE ..	66
Appendix C ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSPORT PROPERTIES OF 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	68
Appendix D REGULATORY HISTORY	69
D.1 Federal Laws and Regulations	69
D.2 State Laws and Regulations	75
D.3 International Laws and Regulations.....	76
Appendix E PROCESS, RELEASE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE INFORMATION ..	79
E.1 Process Information.....	79
E.1.1 Manufacture (Including Import)	79
E.1.1.1 Manufacture.....	79
E.1.1.2 Import	79
E.1.2 Processing and Distribution.....	79
E.1.2.1 Processing as a Reactant.....	79
E.1.2.2 Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Product	80
E.1.3 Uses.....	80
E.1.3.1 Industrial Use – Non-Incorporative Activities	80
E.1.3.2 Commercial Laboratory Use	80
E.1.3.3 Commercial Cleaning and Furniture Care Products.....	80
E.1.4 Disposal	80
E.2 Sources Containing Potentially Relevant Data or Information.....	81
Appendix F SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AND USES	82
Appendix G SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR CONSUMER ACTIVITIES AND USES	86
Appendix H SUPPORTING INFORMATION – CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES AND WASTES.....	87

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Results of Title Screening of Submissions to EPA under Various Sections of TSCA ^a	21
Table 2-2. Categories and Subcategories of Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation	22
Table 2-3. Summary of 1,2-Dichloropropane TRI Production-Related Waste Managed in 2018	27
Table 2-4. Summary of Releases of 1,2-Dichloropropane to the Environment During 2018	27
Table 2-5. Potential Categories and Sources of Environmental Release Data	42
Table 2-6. Potential Sources of Occupational Exposure Data	45

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Gray Literature Tags by Discipline for 1,2-Dichloropropane.....	14
Figure 2-2. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Physical-Chemical Properties Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane	16
Figure 2-3. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Fate and Transport Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane	17
Figure 2-4. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Engineering Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane	18
Figure 2-5. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Exposure Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane	19
Figure 2-6. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Hazard Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane	20
Figure 2-7. 1,2-Dichloropropane Life Cycle Diagram	25
Figure 2-8. 1,2-Dichloropropane Occupational Exposure Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses: Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposures and Hazards	32
Figure 2-9. 1,2-Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Consumer Exposures and Hazards	34
Figure 2-10. Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental and General Population Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay) ..	36
Figure 2-11. 1,2-Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental Exposures and Hazards	40

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table_Apx A-1. List of Gray Literature Sources for 1,2-Dichloropropane	62
Table_Apx B-1. Physical and Chemical Properties of 1,2-Dichloropropane	66
Table_Apx C-1. Environmental Fate and Transport Properties of 1,2-Dichloropropane.....	68
Table_Apx D-1. Federal Laws and Regulations.....	69
Table_Apx D-2. State Laws and Regulations	75
Table_Apx D-3. Regulatory Actions by other Governments, Tribes, and International Agreements.....	76
Table_Apx E-1. Summary of Industry Sectors with 1,2-Dichloropropane Personal Monitoring Air Samples Obtained from OSHA Inspections Conducted since 1984	81
Table_Apx F-1. Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table ..	82
Table_Apx G-1. Consumer Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table	86
Table_Apx H-1. General Population and Environmental Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table	87

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT).

Acknowledgements

The OPPT Assessment Team gratefully acknowledges participation or input from Intra-agency reviewers that included multiple offices within EPA, Inter-agency reviewers that included multiple Federal agencies, and assistance from EPA contractors Abt Associates (Contract No. EP-W-16-009), ERG (Contract No. EP-W-12-006), GDIT (Contract No. HHSN316201200013W), ICF (Contract No. 68HERC19D0003), SRC (Contract No. 68HERH19F0213), and Versar (Contract No. EP-W-17-006). EPA also acknowledges the contributions of technical experts from EPA's Office of Research and Development.

Docket

Supporting information can be found in public docket: [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428](#).

Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the United States Government.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ADME	Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion
AMTIC	EPA Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center
AQS	Air Quality System
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BAF	Bioaccumulation Factor
BCF	Bioconcentration Factor
BMF	Biomagnification factor
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BP	Boiling Point
CAA	Clean Air Act
CalDPR	California Dept of Pesticide Regulation
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CASRN	Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number
CBI	Confidential Business Information
CDC	Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention
CDR	Chemical Data Reporting
CEHD	Chemical Exposure Health Data
CEM	Consumer Exposure Model
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ChemSTEER	Chemical Screening Tool for Occupational Exposures and Releases
CHRIP	Chemical Risk Information Platform
CITI	Chemicals Inspection Testing Institute Japan
COC	Concentration of Concern
CPCat	Chemical and Product Categories
CPDat	Consumer Product Database
CSCL	Chemical Substances Control Law
CWA	Clean Water Act
DCP	1,2-Dichloropropane
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
EC	Engineering Control(s)
ECHA	European Chemicals Agency
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
GACT	Generally Available Control Technology
ERG	Eastern Research Group
ESD	Emission Scenario Document
EU	European Union
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FR	Federal Register
FYI	For your information
GC	Gas Chromatography

GDIT	General Dynamics Information Technology
GESTIS	Substance Database contains information for the safe handling of hazardous substances and other chemical substances at work
GS	Generic Scenario
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutant
HERO	Health and Environmental Research Online
HLC	Henry's Law Constant
HMTA	Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Act
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HSDB	Hazardous Substances Data Bank
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IBCs	Intermediate Bulk Containers
ICF	ICF is a global consulting services company
IMAP	Inventory Multi-Tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (Australia)
ISHA	Industrial Safety and Health Act
Koc	Organic Carbon: Water Partition Coefficient
KOECT	Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology
Kow	Octanol: Water Partition Coefficient
LC _x	Lethal Concentration
LOAELs	Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
LOEC	Lowest Observed Effect Concentration
MACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry
MOA	Mode of Action
MP	Melting Point
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NATA	National-scale Air Toxics Assessment
NEI	National Emissions Inventory
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NICNAS	National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (Australia)
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NITE	National Institute of Technology and Evaluation
NLM	National Library of Medicine
NOAELs	No Observed Adverse Effect Level
NOEC	No Observed Effect Concentration
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPDWR	National Primary Drinking Water Regulation
NPL	National Priorities List
NPRI	National Pollutant Release Inventory
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OCSP	Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (California)

OELs	Occupational Exposure Limits
ONU	Occupational Non-User
OPPT	Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OW	EPA's Office of Water
P-chem	Physical-chemical
PBPK	Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic
PBT	Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic
PECO	Population, Exposure, Comparator, Outcome
PEL	Permissible Exposure Limit
PESS	Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulation
PODs	Points of Departure
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
QC	Quality Control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (European Union)
REL	Recommended Exposure Limit
RIVM	Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment
RQs	Risk Quotients
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SDS	Safety Data Sheet
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SIDS	Screening Information Dataset
SPIN	Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries
SRC	SRC Inc., formerly Syracuse Research Corporation
STEL	Short-term Exposure Limit
STORET	Storage and Retrieval for Water Quality Data; EPA's repository of water quality monitoring data
SYKE	The Finnish Environment Institute
TBD	To be determined
TERA	Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment
TG	Test Guideline
TIAB	Title and Abstract
TK	Toxicokinetics
TLV	Threshold Limit Value
TMF	Trophic Magnification Factors
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TTO	Total Toxic Organics
TURA	Toxic Use Reduction Act
TWA	Time-weighted average
UIC	Underground Injection Control
UCMR	Unregulated Contaminants Monitoring Rule
U.S. DOC	U.S. Department of Commerce
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound

VP	Vapor Pressure
WHO	World Health Organization
WQX	Water Quality Exchange
WS	Water Solubility
WWT	Wastewater Treatment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In December 20, 2019, EPA designated 1,2-dichloropropane (CASRN 78-87-5) as a high priority substance for risk evaluation following the prioritization process as required by section 6(b) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and implementing regulations ([40CFR Part 702](#)) (Docket ID: [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428](#)). The first step of the risk evaluation process is the development of the scope document and this document fulfills the TSCA requirement to issue a draft scope document as required in 40CFR 702.41(c)(7). The draft scope for 1,2-dichloropropane includes the following information: the reasonably available information, the conditions of use, hazards, exposures, potentially exposed susceptible subpopulations (PESS), conceptual models, analysis plans and science approaches, and plan for peer review. EPA is providing a 45-day comment period on the draft scope. Comments received on this draft scope document will help inform development of the final scope document and the risk evaluation.

General Information. 1,2-Dichloropropane (CASRN 78-87-5) is a colorless, flammable liquid with a characteristic (chloroform-like) odor. It is moderately soluble in water and readily evaporates into air. It does not occur naturally in the environment.

Reasonably Available Information. EPA leveraged the data and information sources already collected in the document supporting the High Priority Substance designation for 1,2-dichloropropane to inform development of this draft scope document. To further develop this draft scope document, EPA conducted a comprehensive search to identify and screen multiple evidence streams (i.e., chemistry, fate, release and engineering, exposure, hazard) and the search and screening results to date are provided in Section 2.1. EPA is seeking public comment on this draft scope document and will consider additional information identified following publication of this draft scope document, as appropriate, in developing the final scope document. EPA is using the systematic review process described in the [Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations](#) document (U.S. EPA, 2018a) to guide the process of searching for and screening reasonably available information, including information already in EPA's possession, for use and inclusion in the risk evaluation. EPA is applying these systematic review methods to collect reasonably available information regarding hazards, exposures, PESS, and conditions of use that will help inform the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane.

Conditions of Use. EPA plans to evaluate manufacturing, including importing; processing; distribution in commerce; industrial, commercial and consumer uses; and disposal of 1,2-dichloropropane in the risk evaluation. 1,2-Dichloropropane is manufactured within the U.S. as well as imported into the U.S. The chemical is processed as a reactant, incorporated into formulation, mixture, or reaction products, and used industrially in non-incorporative activities. Commercial and consumer uses were identified in laboratory chemicals and cleaning and furniture care products. EPA identified these conditions of use from information reported to EPA through Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) and Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) reporting, published literature, and consultation with stakeholders for both uses currently in production and uses whose production may have ceased. Section 2.2.1 provides details about the conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation.

Conceptual Models. The conceptual models for 1,2-dichloropropane are presented in Section 2.6. Conceptual models are graphical depictions of the actual or predicted relationships of conditions of use, exposure pathways (e.g., media), exposure routes (e.g., inhalation, dermal, oral), hazards and receptors throughout the life cycle of the chemical substance - from manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, storage, or use, to release or disposal. EPA plans to focus the risk evaluation for 1,2-

dichloropropane on the following exposures, hazards and receptors, however, EPA also plans to consider comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information when finalizing this scope document, and to adjust the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards included in the scope document as needed.

- *Exposures (Pathways and Routes), Receptors and PESS.* EPA plans to analyze both human and environmental exposures resulting from the conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. Exposures for 1,2-dichloropropane are discussed in Section 2.3. EPA identified environmental monitoring data reporting the presence of 1,2-dichloropropane in air, drinking water, ground water, sediment, soil, surface water and ecological tissue. 1,2-dichloropropane is subject to reporting to EPA's TRI and EPA plans using TRI information as reasonably available information to inform 1,2-dichloropropane's environmental release assessment. For the 2018 reporting year, 13 facilities reported to EPA releases of 1,2-dichloropropane to air, water, and via land disposal. Additional information identified through the results of systematic review searches will also inform expected exposures.

EPA's plan as to environmental exposure pathways in the draft scope document considers whether and how other EPA-administered statutes and regulatory programs address the presence of 1,2-dichloropropane in media pathways falling under the jurisdiction of those authorities. Section 2.6.3 discusses those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws. In Section 2.6.4, EPA presents the conceptual model describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of <chemical name> within the scope of the risk evaluation.

Preliminarily, EPA plans to evaluate the following human and environmental exposure pathways, routes, receptors and PESS in the scope of the risk evaluation. However, EPA plans to consider comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information when finalizing this scope document, and to adjust the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards included in the scope document as needed

- *Occupational exposure pathways associated with industrial and commercial conditions of use:* For industrial and commercial uses of 1,2-dichloropropane, EPA plans to analyze exposure to liquids for workers via the dermal route. In addition, EPA plans to analyze exposure to vapor and/or mist for workers and occupational non-users via the inhalation route.
- *Consumer and bystander exposure pathways associated with consumer conditions of use:* EPA plans to evaluate the inhalation and dermal exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane when consumers are handling cleaning and furniture care products.
- *Environmental exposures:* EPA plans to evaluate exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane for aquatic and terrestrial receptors.
- *Receptors and PESS:* EPA plans to include children, women of reproductive age (e.g., pregnant women per TSCA statute), workers and consumers as receptors and PESS in the risk evaluation.

Hazards. Hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane are discussed in Section 2.4. EPA completed preliminary reviews of information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential environmental and human health hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane as part of the prioritization process. EPA identified environmental and human health hazard information during the prioritization process and information collected through systematic review methods and public comments may identify additional

environmental and human health hazards that warrant inclusion in the risk evaluation. Environmental hazard effects were identified for aquatic and terrestrial organisms.

EPA plans to use systematic review methods to evaluate the epidemiological and toxicological literature for 1,2-dichloropropane. Relevant mechanistic evidence will also be considered, if available, to inform the interpretation of findings related to potential human health effects and the dose-repose assessment. EPA considers all of the potential human health hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane identified during prioritization to be the most relevant for the risk evaluation and thus they remain within the scope of the evaluation. The broad health effect categories include reproductive and developmental, nervous system, dermal, and irritation effects. Studies were identified reporting observations from epidemiological and biomonitoring studies as well as information on genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME).

Analysis Plan. The analysis plan for 1,2-dichloropropane is presented in Section 2.7. The analysis plan outlines the general science approaches that EPA plans to use for the various information streams (i.e., chemistry, fate, release and engineering, exposure, hazard) supporting the risk evaluation. The analysis plan is based on EPA's knowledge of 1,2-dichloropropane data which includes a partial, but ongoing, review of identified information as described in Section 2.1. EPA plans to continue to consider new information submitted by the public. Should additional data or approaches become reasonably available, EPA may update its analysis plan in the final scope document.

EPA plans to seek public comments on the systematic review methods supporting the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane, including the methods for assessing the quality of data and information and the approach for evidence synthesis and evidence integration supporting the exposure and hazard assessments. The details will be provided in a supplemental document that EPA anticipates releasing for public comment prior to the finalization of the scope document.

Peer Review. The draft risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane will be peer reviewed. Peer review will be conducted in accordance with EPA's regulatory procedures for chemical risk evaluations, including using EPA's [Peer Review Handbook](#) and other methods consistent with Section 26 of TSCA (See [40 CFR 702.45](#)).

1 INTRODUCTION

This document presents for comment the scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted for 1,2-dichloropropane under the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act. The Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act amended the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) on June 22, 2016. The new law includes statutory requirements and deadlines for actions related to conducting risk evaluations of existing chemicals.

TSCA § 6(b) and 40 CFR Part 702, Subpart A require the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to designate chemical substances as high-priority substances for risk evaluation or low-priority substances for which risk evaluations are not warranted at the time, and upon designating a chemical substance as a high-priority substance, initiate a risk evaluation on the substance. TSCA § 6(b)(4) directs EPA, in conducting risk evaluations for existing chemicals, to "*determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant to the risk evaluation by the Administrator under the conditions of use.*"

TSCA § 6(b)(4)(D) and implementing regulations requires that EPA publish the scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted, including the hazards, exposures, conditions of use and potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations that the Administrator expects to consider, within 6 months after the initiation of a risk evaluation. In addition, a draft scope is to be published pursuant to 40 CFR 702.41. In December 2019, EPA published a list of 20 chemical substances that have been designated high priority substances for risk evaluations ([84 FR 71924](#)), as required by TSCA § 6(b)(2)(B), which initiated the risk evaluation process for those chemical substances. 1,2-Dichloropropane was also one of the chemicals designated as a high priority substance for risk evaluation.

2 SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION

2.1 Reasonably Available Information

EPA conducted a comprehensive search for reasonably available information¹ to support the development of this draft scope document for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA leveraged the data and information sources already collected in the documents supporting the high-priority substance designations. In addition, EPA searched for additional data and information on physical and chemical properties, environmental fate, engineering, exposure, environmental and human health hazards that could be obtained from in the following general categories of sources:

1. Databases containing publicly available, peer-reviewed literature;
2. Gray literature, which is defined as the broad category of data/information sources not found in standard, peer-reviewed literature databases.
3. Data and information submitted under TSCA Sections 4, 5, 8(e), and 8(d), as well as “for your information” (FYI) submissions.

¹ Reasonably available information means information that EPA possesses or can reasonably generate, obtain, and synthesize for use in risk evaluations, considering the deadlines specified in TSCA section 6(b)(4)(G) for completing such evaluation. Information that meets the terms of the preceding sentence is reasonably available information whether or not the information is confidential business information, that is protected from public disclosure under TSCA section 14 (40 CFR 702.33).

Following the comprehensive search, EPA performed a title and abstract screening to identify information potentially relevant for the risk evaluation process. This step also classified the references into useful categories or tags to facilitate the sorting of information through the systematic review process. The search and screening processes were conducted based on EPA's general expectations for the planning, execution and assessment activities outlined in the [Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations](#) document (U.S. EPA, 2018a). EPA will publish supplemental documentation on the systematic review methods supporting the 1,2-dichloropropane risk evaluation to explain the literature and screening process presented in this document in the form of literature inventory trees. Please note that EPA focuses on the data collection phase (consisting of data search, data screening, and data extraction) during the preparation of the TSCA scope document, whereas the data evaluation and integration stages will occur during the development of the draft risk evaluation and thus are not part of the scoping activities described in this document.

The subsequent sections summarize the data collection activities completed up to date for the general categories of sources and topic areas (or disciplines) using systematic review methods. EPA plans to seek public comments on the systematic review methods supporting the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane upon publication of the supplemental documentation of those methods.

2.1.1 Search of Gray Literature

EPA surveyed the gray literature² and identified 82 search results relevant to EPA's risk assessment needs for 1,2-dichloropropane. Appendix A lists the gray literature sources that yielded 82 discrete data or information sources relevant to 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA further categorized the data and information into the various topic areas (or disciplines) supporting the risk evaluation (e.g., physical chemistry, environmental fate, ecological hazard, human health hazard, exposure, engineering) and the breakdown is shown in Figure 2-1. EPA is currently identifying additional reasonably available information (e.g., public comments), and the reported numbers in Figure 2-1 may change.




Figure 2-1. Gray Literature Tags by Discipline for 1,2-Dichloropropane

The percentages across disciplines do not add up to 100%, as each source may provide data or information for various topic areas (or disciplines).

² Gray literature is defined as the broad category of data/information sources not found in standard, peer-reviewed literature databases (e.g., PubMed and Web of Science). Gray literature includes data/information sources such as white papers, conference proceedings, technical reports, reference books, dissertations, information on various stakeholder websites, and other databases.

2.1.2 Search of Literature from Publicly Available Databases (Peer-Reviewed Literature)

EPA is currently conducting a systematic review of the reasonably available literature. This includes performing a comprehensive search of the reasonably available peer review literature on physical-chemical properties, environmental fate and transport, engineering (environmental release and occupational exposure), exposure (environmental, general population and consumer) and environmental and human health hazards of 1,2-dichloropropane. Eligibility criteria were applied in the form of PECO (population, exposure, comparator, outcome) statements. Included references met the PECO criteria, whereas excluded references did not meet the criteria (i.e., not relevant), and supplemental material was considered as potentially relevant. EPA plans to analyze the reasonably available information identified for each discipline during the development of the risk evaluation. The literature inventory trees depicting the number of references that were captured and those that were included, excluded, or tagged as supplemental material during the screening process for each discipline area are shown in Figure 2-2 through Figure 2-6. “TIAB” in these figures refers to title and abstract screening. Note that the sum of the numbers for the various sub-categories may be larger than the broader category because some studies may be included under multiple sub-categories. In other cases, the sum of the various sub-categories may be smaller than the main category because some studies may not be depicted in the sub-categories if their relevance to the risk evaluation was unclear.




Figure 2-2. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Physical-Chemical Properties Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane




Figure 2-3. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Fate and Transport Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane




Figure 2-4. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Engineering Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane




Figure 2-5. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Exposure Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane




Figure 2-6. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Hazard Search Results for 1,2-Dichloropropane

2.1.3 Search of TSCA Submissions

Table 2-1 presents the results of screening the titles of data sources and reports submitted to EPA under various sections of TSCA, as amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act. EPA screened a total of 127 submissions using inclusion/ exclusion criteria specific to individual disciplines. EPA identified 105 submissions that met the inclusion criteria in these statements and identified 12 submissions with supplemental data. EPA excluded 10 submissions because the reports were identified as one of the following:

- Published report that would be identified via other peer or gray literature searches
- Preliminary or draft report of a final available submitted report
- Ecosystem modeling study
- Memo regarding meeting
- Environmental impact statement for proposed equipment
- Ranking of chemicals for proposed evaluation

EPA plans to conduct additional deduplication at later stages of the systematic review process (e.g., full text screening), when more information regarding the reports is available.

Table 2-1. Results of Title Screening of Submissions to EPA under Various Sections of TSCA^a

Discipline	Included	Supplemental ^b
Physicochemical Properties	2	0
Environmental Fate and Transport	11	0
Environmental and General Population Exposure	42	1
Occupational Exposure/Release Information	23	0
Environmental Hazard	7	1
Human Health Hazard	35	10

^a Individual submissions may be relevant to multiple disciplines.

^b Included submissions may contain supplemental data for other disciplines, which will be identified at full-text review.

2.2 Conditions of Use

As described in the [*Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation*](#) (U.S. EPA 2019b), EPA assembled information from the CDR and TRI programs to determine the conditions of use³ or significant changes in conditions of use of the chemical substance to determine conditions of use or significant changes in conditions of use of the chemical substance. EPA also consulted a variety of other sources to identify uses of 1,2-dichloropropane, including: published literature, company websites, and government and commercial trade databases and publications. To identify formulated products containing 1,2-dichloropropane, EPA searched for safety data sheets (SDS) using internet searches, EPA Chemical and Product Categories (CPCat) data, and other resources in which SDSs could be found. SDSs were cross-checked with company websites to make sure that each product SDS was current. In addition, and when applicable, EPA incorporated communications with companies, industry groups, environmental organizations, and public comments to supplement the use information.

EPA identified and described the categories and subcategories of conditions of use that will be included in the scope of the risk evaluation (Section 2.2.1; Table 2-2). The conditions of use included in the scope are those reflected in the life cycle diagrams and conceptual models.

After identifying and evaluating the conditions of use, EPA identified those categories or subcategories of use activities for 1,2-dichloropropane the Agency determined not to be conditions of use or will otherwise be excluded during scoping. These categories and subcategories are described in Section 2.2.2.

2.2.1 Categories and Subcategories of Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

Table 2-2 lists the conditions of use that are included in the scope of the risk evaluation. EPA is looking for more information to confirm the reports of 1,2-dichloropropane used in adhesives and sealants that are not currently included as conditions of use.

³ *Conditions of use* means the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.

Table 2-2. Categories and Subcategories of Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	References
Manufacturing	Domestic Manufacturing	Domestic Manufacturing	U.S. EPA (2019a)
	Import	Import	U.S. EPA (2019a)
Processing	As a reactant	Intermediate in all other basic organic chemical manufacturing	U.S. EPA (2019a)
	Incorporation into formulation, mixture, or reaction product	Intermediate in all other chemical product and preparation manufacturing	U.S. EPA (2019a)
Distribution in Commerce	Distribution in Commerce	Distribution in Commerce	
Industrial Use	Non-incorporative activities	Processing aids, not otherwise listed	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428-0015
Commercial use	Other use	Laboratory chemicals	Chem Service (2018)
	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose liquid spray cleaner	Tenax (2020a-c)
	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose waxes and polishes	Tenax (2015a-c) (2019)
	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose liquid cleaner/polish	Axson (2015)
Consumer use	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose liquid spray cleaner	Tenax (2020a-c)
	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose waxes and polishes	Tenax (2015a-c) (2019)
	Cleaning and furniture care product	All-purpose liquid cleaner/polish	Axson (2015)
Disposal	Disposal	Disposal	
Notes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Life Cycle Stage Use Definitions (40 CFR § 711.3) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Industrial use” means use at a site at which one or more chemicals or mixtures are manufactured (including imported) or processed. “Commercial use” means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article) in a commercial enterprise providing saleable goods or services. “Consumer use” means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article, such as furniture or clothing) when sold to or made available to consumers for their use. 			

2.2.2 Activities Excluded from the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

As explained in the final rule, *Procedures for Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act*, TSCA Section 6(b)(4)(D) requires EPA to identify the hazards, exposures, conditions of use, and the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations the Administrator expects to consider in a risk evaluation, suggesting that EPA may exclude certain activities that it determines to be conditions of use on a case-by-case basis (82 FR 33726, 33729; July 20, 2017). As a result, EPA does not plan to include in this scope or in the risk evaluation the activities described below that the Agency has concluded do not constitute conditions of use.

The National library of medicine's (NLM) hazardous substances data bank (HSDB) identifies use of 1,2-dichloropropane in insecticidal fumigant mixtures and former use as a soil fumigant for nematodes. NLM also identifies use of this chemical in DD mixture an obsolete soil fumigant mixture of three chemicals (a mixture of 1,2-dichloropropane, 1,3-dichloropropene and other chlorinated hydrocarbons) (NLM 2001). CalDPR lists 16 inactive registrants (companies) for use of 1,2-dichloropropane in pesticides (California Dept of Pesticide Regulation 2013). Ullmann's identifies use of 1,2-dichloropropane as an insecticidal and nematocidal fumigant, and in mixtures with other pesticides (Ullmann's 2008). This use meets the definition of "pesticide" in Section 136 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act 7 U.S.C. § 136 et seq, and is therefore outside the scope of the definition of chemical substance⁴ as regulated by TSCA.

2.2.3 Production Volume

EPA is withholding⁵ the production volume of 1,2-dichloropropane in 2015, as reported to EPA during the 2016 CDR reporting period, to protect CBI⁶ (U.S. EPA 2017). EPA also uses pre-2015 CDR production volume information, as detailed in the [*Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation*](#) (U.S. EPA 2019b) and will include future production volume information as it becomes available to support the exposure assessment.

2.2.4 Overview of Conditions of Use and Lifecycle Diagram

The life cycle diagram provided in Figure 2-7 depicts the conditions of use that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation for the various life cycle stages. This section provides a brief overview of the industrial, commercial and consumer use categories included in the life cycle diagram. Appendix E

⁴ *Chemical substance* means any organic or inorganic substance of a particular molecular identity, including any combination of such substances occurring in whole or in part as a result of a chemical reaction or occurring in nature, and any element or uncombined radical. Chemical substance does not include (1) any mixture; (2) any pesticide (as defined in the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act) when manufactured, processed, or distributed in commerce for use as a pesticide; (3) tobacco or any tobacco product; (4) any source material, special nuclear material, or byproduct material (as such terms are defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 and regulations issued under such Act); (5) any article the sale of which is subject to the tax imposed by section 4181 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (determined without regard to any exemptions from such tax provided by section 4182 or 4221 or any other provision of such Code), and; (6) any food, food additive, drug, cosmetic, or device (as such terms are defined in section 201 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act) when manufactured, processed, or distributed in commerce for use as a food, food additive, drug, cosmetic, or device.

⁵ The initial CDR data included national production volume (released in ranges), other manufacturing information, and processing and use information, except for information claimed by the submitter to be confidential business information (CBI) or information that EPA is withholding to protect claims of CBI.

⁶ Some specific production volumes may be claimed by CDR submitters as confidential business information (CBI) under section 14 of TSCA. In these cases, EPA has indicated that the information is CBI.

contains more detailed descriptions (e.g., process descriptions, worker activities) for each manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, use and disposal category based on preliminary information.

The information in the life cycle diagram is grouped according to the CDR processing codes and use categories (including functional use codes for industrial uses and product categories for industrial, commercial and consumer uses)⁷.

⁷ The descriptions are primarily based on the corresponding industrial function category and/or commercial and consumer product category descriptions and can be found in EPA's [Instructions for Reporting 2016 TSCA Chemical Data Reporting](#).




Figure 2-7. 1,2-Dichloropropane Life Cycle Diagram

Volume is not depicted in the life cycle diagram for processing and industrial, commercial, and consumer uses as specific production volume is claimed confidential business information (CBI) or withheld pursuant to TSCA Section § 14.

2.3 Exposures

For TSCA exposure assessments, EPA plans to analyze exposures and releases to the environment resulting from the conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane. Release pathways and routes will be described to characterize the relationship or connection between the conditions of use of the chemical and the exposure to human receptors, including potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations, and environmental receptors. EPA plans to take into account, where relevant, the duration, intensity (concentration), frequency and number of exposures in characterizing exposures to 1,2-dichloropropane.

2.3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties

Physical and chemical properties are essential for a thorough understanding or prediction of environmental fate (i.e., transport and transformation) and the eventual environmental concentrations. They can also inform the hazard assessment. EPA plans to use the physical and chemical properties described in the *[Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation](#)* (U.S. EPA 2019) to support the development of the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane. The values for the physical and chemical properties (Appendix B) may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods.

2.3.2 Environmental Fate and Transport

Understanding of environmental fate and transport processes assists in the determination of the specific exposure pathways and potential human and environmental receptors that need to be assessed in the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans to use the environmental fate characteristics described in the *[Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation](#)* (U.S. EPA 2019) to support the development of the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane. The values for the environmental fate properties (Appendix C) may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods.

2.3.3 Releases to the Environment

Releases to the environment from conditions of use are a component of potential exposure and may be derived from reported data that are obtained through direct measurement, calculations based on empirical data and/or assumptions and models.

A source of information that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation in evaluating exposure is data reported under the TRI program. EPA's TRI database contains information on chemical waste management activities that are disclosed by industrial and federal facilities, including quantities released into the environment (i.e., to air, water, and disposed of to land), treated, burned for energy, recycled, or transferred off-site to other facilities for these purposes.

Under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) Section 313, 1,2-dichloropropane is a TRI-reportable substance effective January 1, 1987 (40 CFR 372.65). For TRI reporting⁸, facilities in covered sectors in the United States are required to disclose releases and other waste management activity quantities of 1,2-dichloropropane under the CASRN 78-87-5 if they manufacture (including import) or process more than 25,000 pounds or otherwise use more than 10,000 pounds of the chemical in a given year by July 1 of the following year.

⁸ For TRI reporting criteria see <https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/basics-tri-reporting>.

Table 2-3 provides production-related waste management data for 1,2-dichloropropane reported by facilities to the TRI program for reporting year 2018.⁹ As shown in the table, 13 facilities reported a total of approximately 19.4 million pounds of 1,2-dichloropropane waste managed. Of this total, over 10 million pounds were burned for energy recovery, over 8.5 million pounds were recycled, nearly 755,000 pounds were treated, and nearly 18,000 pounds were released to the environment. Nearly all 1,2-dichloropropane burned for energy recovery was burned on site; only 3,100 pounds were sent off site for energy recovery. Similarly, most recycling and treatment occurred on site, with about 8 million pounds recycled on site and approximately 700,000 pounds treated on site. Overall, 96% of 1,2-dichloropropane waste managed was managed on site.

Table 2-3. Summary of 1,2-Dichloropropane TRI Production-Related Waste Managed in 2018

Year	Number of Facilities	Recycled (lbs)	Recovered for Energy (lbs)	Treated (lbs)	Released ^{a,b,c} (lbs)	Total Production Related Waste (lbs)
2018	13	8,546,388	10,034,130	754,885	17,973	19,353,377
Data source: U.S. EPA, 2020 (Updated November 2019)						
^a Terminology used in these columns may not match the more detailed data element names used in the TRI public data and analysis access points.						
^b Does not include releases due to one-time event not associated with production such as remedial actions or earthquakes.						
^c Counts all releases including release quantities transferred and release quantities disposed of by a receiving facility reporting to TRI.						

Table 2-4 provides a summary of 1,2-dichloropropane TRI releases to the environment for the same reporting year as Table 2-3.⁹ Nearly 18,000 pounds of 1,2-dichloropropane were released to the environment in 2018; the 16,725 pounds released to the air accounted for 93% of all releases. Point source and fugitive air releases contributed roughly equally to air emissions with about 8,100 and 8,600 pounds, respectively. All other contributions to releases were small, with roughly 300 pounds discharged to surface waters on site, and 861 pounds disposed of to land (481 pounds disposed on-site to landfills, and 380 pounds disposed off-site to landfills). Overall, 97% of 1,2-dichloropropane releases occurred on site.

Table 2-4. Summary of Releases of 1,2-Dichloropropane to the Environment During 2018

Table 2.11: Summary of Releases of 1,1-Dichloroethane to the Environment During 2018									
	Number of Facilities	Air Releases		Water Releases (lbs)	Land Disposal			Other Releases ^a (lbs)	Total Releases ^{b, c} (lbs)
		Stack Air Releases (lbs)	Fugitive Air Releases (lbs)		Class I Under-ground Injection (lbs)	RCRA Subtitle C Landfills (lbs)	All other Land Disposal ^a (lbs)		
Totals 2018	13	8,113	8,612	303.878	0	0	861	83.16	17,973
		16,725			861				
Data source: U.S. EPA, 2020 (Updated November 2019)									
^a Terminology used in these columns may not match the more detailed data element names used in the TRI public data and analysis access points.									
^b These release quantities do include releases due to one-time events not associated with production such as remedial actions or earthquakes.									
^c Counts release quantities once at final disposition, accounting for transfers to other TRI reporting facilities that ultimately dispose of the chemical waste.									

⁹ Reporting year 2018 is the most recent TRI data available. Data presented in Table 2-3 were queried using TRI Explorer and uses the 2018 National Analysis data set (released to the public in November 2019). This dataset includes revisions for the years 1988 to 2018 processed by EPA.

While production-related waste managed shown in Table 2-3 excludes any quantities reported as catastrophic or one-time releases (TRI Section 8 data), release quantities shown in Table 2-4 include both production-related and non-production-related quantities. For 1,2-dichloropropane the total release quantities shown in the two tables are the same, but for other TRI chemicals may differ slightly and may further reflect differences in TRI calculation methods for reported release range estimates ([U.S. EPA, 2017d](#)).

EPA plans to review these data in conducting the exposure assessment component of the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane.

2.3.4 Environmental Exposures

The manufacturing, processing, distribution, use and disposal of 1,2-dichloropropane can result in releases to the environment and exposure to aquatic and terrestrial receptors (biota). Environmental exposures to biota are informed by releases into the environment, overall persistence, degradation, and bioaccumulation, and partitioning across different media. Concentrations of chemical substances in biota provide evidence of exposure. EPA plans to review available environmental exposure data in biota in the risk evaluation. Monitoring data were identified in the EPA's data search for 1,2-dichloropropane and can be used in the exposure assessment. Relevant and reliable monitoring studies provide(s) information that can be used in an exposure assessment. Monitoring studies that measure environmental concentrations or concentrations of chemical substances in biota provide evidence of exposure.

EPA plans to review available environmental monitoring data in the risk evaluation. EPA's Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center Air Toxics database has identified 1,2-dichloropropane in air ([U.S. EPA 1990](#)). In addition, EPA's Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule has identified 1,2-dichloropropane in drinking water ([U.S. EPA 1996](#)). USGS's Monitoring Data – National Water Quality Monitoring Council has identified 1,2-dichloropropane in ground water, sediment, soil, surface water and ecological tissue (e.g., fish tissue concentrations) (USGS 1991a-g). Existing assessments reported that 1,2-dichloropropane appears to be stable and present in the air, soil, surface water, and groundwater ([RIVM 2007](#), [OECD 2006](#), [CalEPA 1999](#)).

In addition, 1,2-dichloropropane can be introduced to the environment as an impurity of 1,3-dichloropropane. Until the early 1980s, 1,3-dichloropropane was used as a fumigant in soil and in grain crops, where most of the chemical was released to the air or groundwater where breakdown is slow ([U.S. EPA 2016b](#), [ATSDR 1989](#)).

2.3.5 Occupational Exposures

EPA plans to analyze worker activities where there is a potential for exposure under the various conditions of use described in Section 2.2.1. In addition, EPA plans to analyze exposure to occupational non-users (i.e. workers, who do not directly handle the chemical but perform work in an area where the chemical is present). When data and information are available to support the analysis, EPA also plans to consider the effect(s) that engineering controls (EC) and/or personal protective equipment (PPE) have on occupational exposure levels.

Worker activities associated with conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane will be analyzed, including but not limited to:

- Unloading and transferring 1,2-dichloropropane to and from storage containers to process vessels;
- Handling, transporting and disposing of waste containing 1,2-dichloropropane;

- Cleaning and maintaining equipment;
- Sampling chemicals, formulations or products containing 1,2-dichloropropane for quality control; and
- Repackaging chemicals, formulations or products containing 1,2-dichloropropane.

1,2-Dichloropropane has a vapor pressure of 53.3 mmHg at 25°C (see Appendix B). Based on the chemical's high volatility, EPA anticipates that workers and occupational non-users (ONUs) will be exposed via the inhalation route. EPA plans to analyze inhalation exposure to vapor in occupational exposure scenarios where 1,2-dichloropropane is used and handled in open systems; the extent of exposure could vary from facility to facility depending on many factors including but not limited to EC, type of facility, and facility design. Based on the conditions of use presented in Section 2.2, EPA also plans to analyze inhalation exposure to mist in scenarios where products containing 1,2-dichloropropane is spray applied. 1,2-Dichloropropane has an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL)¹⁰ of 75 ppm or 350 mg/m³ over an 8-hour workday, time weighted average (TWA). This chemical also has an American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) sets the Threshold Limit Value (TLV) at 10 ppm TWA.

EPA plans to analyze worker exposure to liquids via the dermal route. EPA does not plan to analyze dermal exposure for occupational non-users because they do not directly handle 1,2-dichloropropane.

EPA generally does not evaluate occupational exposures through the oral route. Workers may inadvertently transfer chemicals from their hands to their mouths, ingest inhaled particles that deposit in the upper respiratory tract or consume contaminated food. The frequency and significance of this exposure route are dependent on several factors including the p-chem properties of the substance during expected worker activities, workers' awareness of the chemical hazards, the visibility of the chemicals on the hands while working, workplace practices, and personal hygiene that is difficult to predict (Cherrie et al., 2006). However, EPA will consider oral exposure on a case-by-case basis.

2.3.6 Consumer Exposures

No consumer conditions of use information for 1,2-dichloropropane was found in the 2012 or 2016 CDR. Material safety data sheets indicated that the 1,2-dichloropropane is found in cleaning and furniture care products, including all-purpose liquid spray cleaner, all-purposes waxes and polishes and all purpose liquid cleaners and polishes. In addition, the Consumer Product Database ([CPDat](#)) indicated that 1,2-dichloropropane is used as a consumer product in cleaners.

Consumers using or disposing of cleaning and furniture care products may be exposed to 1,2-dichloropropane through direct liquid contact which may lead to a dermal exposure, or through vapor emissions which may lead to inhalation exposure, given its high volatility at room temperature. Bystanders present during the consumer use of cleaning and furniture care products, or disposal of 1,2-dichloropropane may also be exposed to vapor emissions leading to an inhalation exposure.

Based on these potential sources and pathways of exposure, EPA plans to analyze inhalation and dermal routes of exposures to consumers that may result from the conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans not evaluate consumer exposures to 1,2-dichloropropane via the oral route as the exposure is not expected.

¹⁰ OSHA, 2009. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs). <https://www.osha.gov/dsg/annotated-pels/tablez-1.html>

2.3.7 General Population Exposures

Releases of 1,2-dichloropropane from certain conditions of use, such as manufacturing and processing activities, may result in general population exposure through breathing contaminated ambient air or consuming contaminated drinking water ([CalEPA 1999](#)). The general population may be exposed to 1,2-dichloropropane via inhalation of contaminated air and/or consumption of contaminated drinking water. Populations living near industrial wastewater treatment or incineration facilities may have higher exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane ([CalEPA 1999](#)).

The OECD monitoring database has identified human biomonitoring data for 1,2-dichloropropane (OECD 2018). However, blood concentrations of 1,2-dichloropropane were below the limit of detection in the 2,740 individuals who participated in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2012 subsample of the U.S. population (CDC, 2018).

2.4 Hazards (Effects)

2.4.1 Environmental Hazards

As described in the [Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation](#) (U.S. EPA 2019), EPA considered reasonably available information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential environmental hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA considers all the potential environmental hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane identified during prioritization to be relevant for the risk evaluation and thus they remain within the scope of the evaluation. EPA is in the process of identifying additional reasonably available information through systematic review methods and public comments, which may update the list of potential environmental hazards associated with 1,2-dichloropropane exposure. If necessary, EPA plans to update the list of potential hazards in the final scope document for 1,2-dichloropropane. Based on information identified during prioritization, environmental hazard effects were identified for aquatic and terrestrial organisms.

2.4.2 Human Health Hazards

As described in the [Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation](#) (U.S. EPA 2019), EPA considered reasonably available information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential human health hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans to evaluate all the potential human health hazards for 1,2-dichloropropane identified during prioritization. The health effect categories screened for during prioritization included acute toxicity, irritation/corrosion, dermal sensitization, respiratory sensitization, genetic toxicity, repeated dose toxicity, reproductive toxicity, developmental toxicity, immunotoxicity, neurotoxicity, carcinogenicity, epidemiological or biomonitoring studies and ADME. Though a numerical recommended exposure limit (REL) has not been assigned, NIOSH designated 1,2-dichloropropane as a potential occupational carcinogen, which indicates that the employers should reduce exposures to as low as feasible. EPA is in the process of identifying additional reasonably available information through systematic review methods and public input, which may update the list of potential human health hazards under the scope of the risk evaluation. If necessary, EPA plans to update the list of potential hazards in the final scope document of the 1,2-dichloropropane risk evaluation.

2.5 Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulations

TSCA requires EPA to determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk to “a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant to the risk evaluation.” TSCA §3(12) states that “*the term ‘potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation’ means a group of*

individuals within the general population identified by the Administrator who, due to either greater susceptibility or greater exposure, may be at greater risk than the general population of adverse health effects from exposure to a chemical substance or mixture, such as infants, children, pregnant women, workers, or the elderly.” General population is “*the total of individuals inhabiting an area or making up a whole group*” and refers here to the U.S. general population ([U.S. EPA, 2011](#)).

During the Prioritization process, EPA identified the following potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations based on CDR information and studies reporting developmental and reproductive effects: children, women of reproductive age (e.g., pregnant women per TSCA statute), workers and consumers (U.S. EPA 2019). EPA plans to evaluate these potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations in the risk evaluation.

In developing exposure scenarios, EPA plans to analyze available data to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may be exposed via exposure pathways that may be distinct to a particular subpopulation or life stage (e.g., children’s crawling, mouthing or hand-to-mouth behaviors) and whether some human receptor groups may have higher exposure via identified pathways of exposure due to unique characteristics (e.g., activities, duration or location of exposure) when compared with the general population ([U.S. EPA, 2006](#)). Likewise, EPA plans to evaluate available human health hazard information to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may have greater susceptibility than the general population to the chemical’s hazard(s).

2.6 Conceptual Models

In this section, EPA presents the conceptual models describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane. Pathways and routes of exposure associated with workers and occupational non-users are described in Section 2.6.1, and pathways and routes of exposure associated with consumers are described in Section 2.6.2. Pathways and routes of exposure associated with environmental releases and wastes, including those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws are discussed and depicted the conceptual model shown in Section 2.6.3. Pathways and routes of exposure associated with environmental releases and wastes, excluding those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws, are presented in the conceptual model shown in Section 2.6.4.

2.6.1 Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses

Figure 2-8 illustrates the conceptual model for the pathways of exposure from industrial and commercial activities and uses of 1,2-dichloropropane that EPA plans to include in the risk evaluation. There is potential for exposures to workers and/or occupational non-users via inhalation routes and exposures to workers via dermal routes. It is expected that inhalation exposure to vapors is the most likely exposure route. In addition, workers at waste management facilities may be exposed via inhalation or dermal routes from wastewater treatment, incineration or via other disposal methods. EPA plans to evaluate activities resulting in exposures associated with distribution in commerce (e.g., loading, unloading) throughout the various lifecycle stages and conditions of use (e.g., manufacturing, processing, industrial use, commercial use, and disposal) rather than a single distribution scenario. For each condition of use identified in Table 2-2, an initial determination was made as to whether or not each combination of exposure pathway, route, and receptor would be analyzed in the risk evaluation. The results of that analysis along with the supporting rationale are presented in Appendix F.




Figure 2-8. 1,2-Dichloropropane Occupational Exposure Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses: Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from industrial and commercial activities and uses of 1,2-dichloropropane.

2.6.2 Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Potential Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model in Figure 2-9 presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from consumer activities and uses of 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans inhalation to be the primary route of exposure and plans to analyze inhalation exposures to 1,2-dichloropropane for consumers and bystanders. There is potential for dermal exposures to 1,2-dichloropropane via direct contact with liquid during consumer uses. Bystanders are not expected to have direct dermal contact to 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans to evaluate direct dermal contact with liquid 1,2-dichloropropane for consumers using cleaning and furniture care products. The supporting rationale for consumer pathways considered for 1,2-dichloropropane are included in 2.8Appendix G.




Figure 2-9. 1,2-Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Consumer Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from consumer activities and uses of 1,2-dichloropropane. Note:

a) Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).

2.6.3 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay)

In this section, EPA presents the conceptual models describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane within the scope of the risk evaluation. It also discusses those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws.

In complying with TSCA, EPA plans to efficiently use Agency resources, avoid duplicating efforts taken pursuant to other Agency programs, maximize scientific and analytical efforts, and meet the statutory deadline for completing risk evaluations. OPPT is working closely with the offices within EPA that administer and implement the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), to identify how those statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,2-dichloropropane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

The conceptual model in Figure 2-10 presents the potential exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and waste streams associated with industrial and commercial uses of 1,2-dichloropropane. This figure includes overlays, labeled and shaded to depict the regulatory programs (e.g., CAA, SDWA, CWA-AWQC, RCRA) and associated pathways that EPA considered in developing this conceptual model for the draft scope document. The pathways are further described in Section 2.6.3.1 through Section 2.6.3.4.




Figure 2-10. Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental and General Population Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay)

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,2-dichloropropane including the environmental statutes covering those pathways. Notes:

- Industrial wastewater or liquid wastes may be treated on-site and then released to surface water (direct discharge), or pre-treated and released to Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (indirect discharge). For consumer uses, such wastes may be released directly to POTW. Drinking water will undergo further treatment in drinking water treatment plant. Ground water may also be a source of drinking water. Inhalation from drinking water may occur via showering
- Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).
- For regulation of hazardous and municipal waste incinerators and municipal waste landfills CAA and RCRA may have shared regulatory authority.

2.6.3.1 Ambient Air Pathway

The Clean Air Act (CAA) contains a list of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) and provides EPA with the authority to add to that list pollutants that present, or may present, a threat of adverse human health effects or adverse environmental effects. For stationary source categories emitting HAP, the CAA requires issuance of technology-based standards and, if necessary, additions or revisions to address developments in practices, processes, and control technologies, and to ensure the standards adequately protect public health and the environment. The CAA thereby provides EPA with comprehensive authority to regulate emissions to ambient air of any hazardous air pollutant.

1,2-Dichloropropane is a HAP. EPA has issued a number of technology-based standards for source categories that emit 1,2-Dichloropropane to ambient air and, as appropriate, has reviewed, or is in the process of reviewing remaining risks. Emission pathways to ambient air from commercial and industrial stationary sources and associated inhalation exposure of the general population or terrestrial species in this TSCA evaluation from stationary source releases of 1,2-dichloropropane to ambient air are covered under the jurisdiction of the CAA. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation and Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics will continue to work together to provide an understanding and analysis of the CAA regulatory analytical processes and to exchange information related to toxicity and occurrence data on chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

2.6.3.2 Drinking Water Pathway

EPA has promulgated National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs) under the Safe Drinking Water Act for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA has set an enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) as close as feasible to a health based, non-enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG). Feasibility refers to both the ability to treat water to meet the MCL and the ability to monitor water quality at the MCL, SDWA Section 1412(b)(4)(D), and public water systems are required to monitor for the regulated chemical based on a standardized monitoring schedule to ensure compliance with the MCL. The MCL for 1,2-Dichloropropane in water is 0.0005 mg/L.

The drinking water exposure pathway for 1,2-Dichloropropane is currently addressed in the SDWA regulatory analytical process for public water systems. EPA's Office of Water and Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics will continue to work together providing understanding and analysis of the SDWA regulatory analytical processes and to exchange information related to toxicity and occurrence data on chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

2.6.3.3 Ambient Water Pathway

EPA develops recommended water quality criteria under Section 304(a) of the CWA for pollutants in surface water that are protective of aquatic life or human health designated uses. EPA has developed recommended water quality criteria for protection of human health for 1,2-dichloropropane which are available for possible adoption into state water quality standards and are available for possible use by NPDES permitting authorities in deriving effluent limits to meet state narrative criteria. EPA's OW and OPPT will continue to work together providing understanding and analysis of the CWA water quality criteria development process and to exchange information related to toxicity of chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

EPA has developed CWA Section 304(a) recommended human health criteria for 122 chemicals and aquatic life criteria for 47 chemicals. A subset of these chemicals is identified as "priority pollutants" (103 human health and 27 aquatic life), including 1,2-dichloropropane. For pollutants with

recommended human health criteria, EPA regulations require that state criteria contain sufficient parameters and constituents to protect designated uses. Once states adopt criteria as water quality standards, the CWA requires that National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) discharge permits include effluent limits as stringent as necessary to meet standards CWA Section 301(b)(1)(C). This permit issuance process accounts for risk in accordance with the applicable ambient water exposure pathway (human health or aquatic life as applicable) for the designated water use and, therefore, the risk from the pathway can be considered assessed and managed.

EPA has not developed CWA Section 304(a) recommended water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life for 1,2-dichloropropane, so there are no national recommended criteria for this use available for adoption into state water quality standards and available for use in NPDES permits. As a result, this pathway will undergo aquatic life risk evaluation under TSCA. EPA may issue CWA Section 304(a) aquatic life criteria for 1,2-dichloropropane in the future if it is identified as a priority under the CWA..

2.6.3.4 Disposal and Soil Pathways

1,2-Dichloropropane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001 (40 CFR §§ 261.33) as a listed waste on the U083 list. The general standard in Section RCRA 3004(a) for the technical criteria that govern the management (treatment, storage, and disposal) of hazardous waste are those "*necessary to protect human health and the environment*," RCRA 3004(a). The regulatory criteria for identifying "characteristic" hazardous wastes and for "listing" a waste as hazardous also relate solely to the potential risks to human health or the environment (40 CFR §§ 261.11, 261.21-261.24). RCRA statutory criteria for identifying hazardous wastes require EPA to "*tak[e] into account toxicity, persistence, and degradability in nature, potential for accumulation in tissue, and other related factors such as flammability, corrosiveness, and other hazardous characteristics*." Subtitle C controls cover not only hazardous wastes that are landfilled, but also hazardous wastes that are incinerated (subject to joint control under RCRA Subtitle C and the Clean Air Act (CAA) hazardous waste combustion Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)) or injected into Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class I hazardous waste wells (subject to joint control under Subtitle C and the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA)).

Emissions to ambient air from municipal and industrial waste incineration and energy recovery units that form combustion by-products from incineration treatment of 1,2 dichloropropane wastes may be subject to regulations, as would 1,2-dichloropropane burned for energy recovery.

Based on 2018 TRI reporting, there were no releases reported for 1,2-dichloropropane to RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste landfills. Design standards for Subtitle C landfills require double liner, double leachate collection and removal systems, leak detection system, run on, runoff, and wind dispersal controls, and a construction quality assurance program. They are also subject to closure and post-closure care requirements including installing and maintaining a final cover, continuing operation of the leachate collection and removal system until leachate is no longer detected, maintaining and monitoring the leak detection and groundwater monitoring system. Bulk liquids may not be disposed in Subtitle C landfills. Subtitle C landfill operators are required to implement an analysis and testing program to ensure adequate knowledge of waste being managed, and to train personnel on routine and emergency operations at the facility. Hazardous waste being disposed in Subtitle C landfills must also meet RCRA waste treatment standards before disposal. Given these controls, general population exposure in groundwater from Subtitle C landfill leachate is not expected to be a significant pathway. Based on 2018 reporting, the majority of TRI land disposal is transferred to "other landfills" both on-site and off-site (861 pounds reported in 2018).

1,2-Dichloropropane is present in commercial and consumer products that may be disposed of in Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfills. Based on 2018 reporting, the majority of TRI land disposal is transferred to “other landfills” both on-site and off-site. On-site releases RCRA Subtitle D municipal solid waste landfills leading to exposures of the general population (including susceptible populations) or terrestrial species from such releases may be minimal based on current TRI releases (i.e., 861 lb in 2018) for 1,2-dichloropropane. While permitted and managed by the individual states, municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills are required by federal regulations to implement some of the same requirements as Subtitle C landfills. MSW landfills generally must have a liner system with leachate collection and conduct groundwater monitoring and corrective action when releases are detected. MSW landfills are also subject to closure and post-closure care requirements and must have financial assurance for funding of any needed corrective actions. MSW landfills have also been designed to allow for the small amounts of hazardous waste generated by households and very small quantity waste generators (less than 220 lb per month). Bulk liquids, such as free solvent, may not be disposed of at MSW landfills.

On-site releases to land may occur from industrial non-hazardous and construction/demolition waste landfills. Industrial non-hazardous and construction/demolition waste landfills are primarily regulated under authorized state regulatory programs. States must also implement limited federal regulatory requirements for siting, groundwater monitoring, and corrective action, and a prohibition on open dumping and disposal of bulk liquids. States may also establish additional requirements such as for liners, post-closure and financial assurance, but are not required to do so.

2.6.4 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards

As described in Section 2.6.3, some pathways in the conceptual models are covered under the jurisdiction of other environmental statutes administered by EPA. The conceptual model depicted in Figure 2-11 presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,2-dichloropropane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. The exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards presented in this conceptual model are subject to change in the final scope, in light of comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information. EPA continues to consider whether and how other EPA-administered statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,2-dichloropropane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

The diagram shown in Figure 2-11 includes releases from industrial, commercial and/or consumer uses to water/sediment; biosolids and soil, via direct and indirect discharges to water, that may lead to exposure to aquatic and terrestrial receptors. The supporting basis for environmental pathways considered for 1,2-dichloropropane are included in Appendix H.




Figure 2-11. 1,2-Dichloropropane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to and environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,2-dichloropropane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. Notes:

- Industrial wastewater or liquid wastes may be treated on-site and then released to surface water (direct discharge), or pre-treated and released to POTW (indirect discharge). For consumer uses, such wastes may be released directly to POTW.
- Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).

2.7 Analysis Plan

The analysis plan is based on EPA's knowledge of 1,2-dichloropropane to date which includes a partial, but not complete review of identified information as described in Section 2.1. EPA encourages submission of additional existing data, such as full study reports or workplace monitoring from industry sources, that may be relevant for evaluating conditions of use, exposures, hazards and potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations during risk evaluation. Further, EPA may consider any relevant CBI information in the risk evaluation in a manner that protects the confidentiality of the information from public disclosure. EPA plans to continue to consider new information submitted by the public. Should additional data or approaches become available, EPA may update its analysis plan in the final scope document. As discussed in the [Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations](#) document [EPA Document #740-P1-8001], targeted supplemental searches during the analysis phase may be necessary to identify additional information (e.g., commercial mixtures) for the risk evaluation of 1,2-dichloropropane.

2.7.1 Physical and Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate

EPA plans to analyze the physical and chemical (physical-chemical) properties and environmental fate and transport of 1,2-dichloropropane as follows:

- 1) **Review reasonably available measured or estimated physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoint data collected using systematic review procedures and, where available, environmental assessments conducted by other regulatory agencies.**

EPA plans to review data and information collected through the systematic review methods and public comments about the physical-chemical properties (Appendix B) and fate endpoints (Appendix C) previously summarized in the [Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation](#) (U.S. EPA 2019). All sources cited in EPA's analysis will be evaluated according to the procedures described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Where the systematic review process fails to identify experimentally measured chemical property values of sufficiently high quality, these values will be estimated using chemical parameter estimation models as appropriate. Model-estimated fate properties will be reviewed for applicability and quality.

- 2) **Using measured data and/or modeling, determine the influence of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoints (e.g., persistence, bioaccumulation, partitioning, transport) on exposure pathways and routes of exposure to human and environmental receptors.**

Measured data and, where necessary, model predictions of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoints will be used to characterize the persistence and movement of 1,2-dichloropropane within and across environmental media. The fate endpoints of interest include volatilization, sorption to organic matter in soil and sediments, water solubility, aqueous and atmospheric photolysis rates, aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation rates, and potential bioconcentration and bioaccumulation. These endpoints will be used in exposure calculations.

3) Conduct a weight-of-evidence evaluation of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate data, including qualitative and quantitative sources of information.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the physical-chemical properties and environmental fate evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

2.7.2 Exposure

EPA plans to analyze exposure levels for indoor air, surface water, sediment, soil, aquatic biota, and terrestrial biota associated to exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA has not yet determined the exposure levels in these media or how they may be used in the risk evaluation. Exposure scenarios are combinations of sources (uses), exposure pathways, and exposed receptors. Draft release/exposure scenarios corresponding to various conditions of use for 1,2-dichloropropane are presented in Appendix F, Appendix G, and Appendix H. EPA plans to analyze scenario-specific exposures.

Based on their physical-chemical properties, expected sources, and transport and transformation within the outdoor and indoor environment, chemical substances are more likely to be present in some media and less likely to be present in others. Exposure level(s) can be characterized through a combination of available monitoring data and modeling approaches.

2.7.2.1 Environmental Releases

EPA plans to analyze releases to environmental media as follows:

1) Review reasonably available published literature and other reasonably available information on processes and activities associated with the conditions of use to analyze the types of releases and wastes generated.

EPA has reviewed some key data sources containing information on processes and activities resulting in releases, and the information found is described in Appendix E. EPA plans to continue to review additional data sources identified during risk evaluation using the evaluation strategy in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Potential sources of environmental release data are summarized in Table 2-5 below:

Table 2-5. Potential Categories and Sources of Environmental Release Data

U.S. EPA TRI Data
U.S. EPA Generic Scenarios
OECD Emission Scenario Documents
Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) surface water discharge data for 1,2-dichloropropane from NPDES-permitted facilities

2) Review reasonably available chemical-specific release data, including measured or estimated release data (e.g., data from risk assessments by other environmental agencies).

EPA has reviewed key release data sources including the TRI, and the data from this source is summarized in Section 2.3.3. EPA plans to continue to review relevant data sources as identified during risk evaluation. EPA plans to match identified data to applicable conditions of use and identify data gaps where no data are found for particular conditions of use. EPA plans to address

data gaps identified as described in steps 3 and 4 below by considering potential surrogate data and models.

Additionally, for conditions of use where no measured data on releases are available, EPA may use a variety of methods including release estimation approaches and assumptions in the Chemical Screening Tool for Occupational Exposures and Releases [ChemSTEER \(U.S. EPA, 2013\)](#)a.

3) Review reasonably available measured or estimated release data for surrogate chemicals that have similar uses and physical properties.

If surrogate data are identified, these data will be matched with applicable conditions of use for potentially filling data gaps. Measured or estimated release data for other chlorinated solvents may be considered as surrogates for 1,2-dichloropropane.

4) Review reasonably available data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to the particular risk evaluation.

This item will be performed after completion of #2 and #3 above. EPA plans to evaluate relevant data to determine whether the data can be used to develop, adapt or apply models for specific conditions of use (and corresponding release scenarios). EPA has identified information from various EPA statutes (including, for example, regulatory limits, reporting thresholds or disposal requirements) that may be relevant to release estimation. EPA plans to consider relevant regulatory requirements in estimating releases during risk evaluation.

5) Review and determine applicability of OECD Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs) and EPA Generic Scenarios to estimation of environmental releases.

EPA has identified potentially relevant OECD Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs) and EPA Generic Scenarios (GS) that correspond to some conditions of use; for example, the [2011 ESD on the Chemical Industry](#) (OECD, 2011) may be useful. EPA plans to critically review these generic scenarios and ESDs to determine their applicability to the conditions of use assessed.

EPA Generic Scenarios are available at the following: <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/using-predictive-methods-assess-exposure-and-fate-under-tsca#fate>.

OECD Emission Scenario Documents are available at the following:
<http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/risk-assessment/emissionsceniordocuments.htm>

EPA may also need to perform targeted research for applicable models and associated parameters that EPA may use to estimate releases for certain conditions of use. If ESDs and GSs are not available, other methods may be considered. Additionally, for conditions of use where no measured data on releases are available, EPA may use a variety of methods including the application of default assumptions such as standard loss fractions associated with drum cleaning (3%) or single process vessel cleanout (1%).

6) Map or group each condition of use to a release assessment scenario(s).

EPA has identified release scenarios and mapped (i.e. grouped) them to relevant conditions of use as shown in Appendix F. EPA may refine the mapping/grouping of release scenarios based on factors (e.g., process equipment and handling, magnitude of production volume used, and

exposure/release sources) corresponding to conditions of use as additional information is identified during risk evaluation.

7) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of environmental release data.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. The data integration strategy will be designed to be fit-for-purpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.2 Environmental Exposures

EPA plans to analyze the following in developing its environmental exposure assessment of 1,2-dichloropropane:

1) Review available environmental and biological monitoring data for all media relevant to environmental exposure.

For 1,2-dichloropropane, environmental media which will be analyzed are sediment, soil, and surface water.

2) Review reasonably available information on releases to determine how modeled estimates of concentrations near industrial point sources compare with available monitoring data.

Available environmental exposure models that meet the TSCA Science Standards and that estimate surface water, sediment, and soil concentrations will be analyzed and considered alongside available surface water, sediment, and soil monitoring data to characterize environmental exposures. Modeling approaches to estimate surface water concentrations, sediment concentrations and soil concentrations generally consider the following inputs: direct release into surface water, sediment, or soil, indirect release into surface water, sediment, or soil (i.e., air deposition), fate and transport (partitioning within media) and characteristics of the environment (e.g., river flow, volume of lake, meteorological data).

3) Determine applicability of existing additional contextualizing information for any monitored data or modeled estimates during risk evaluation.

Monitoring data or modeled estimates will be reviewed to determine how use patterns have changed over recent years and will determine how representative environmental concentrations are of ongoing use patterns.

Any studies which relate levels of 1,2-dichloropropane in the environment or biota with specific sources or groups of sources will be evaluated.

4) Group each condition(s) of use to environmental assessment scenario(s).

Refine and finalize exposure scenarios for environmental receptors by considering combinations of sources (use descriptors), exposure pathways including routes, and populations exposed. For 1,2-dichloropropane, the following are noteworthy considerations in constructing exposure scenarios for environmental receptors:

- Estimates of surface water concentrations, sediment concentrations and soil concentrations near industrial point sources based on available monitoring data.

- Generally, consider the following modeling inputs: release into the media of interest, fate and transport and characteristics of the environment.
- Reasonably available biomonitoring data. Monitoring data could be used to compare with species or taxa-specific toxicological benchmarks.
- Applicability of existing additional contextualizing information for any monitored data or modeled estimates during risk evaluation. Review and characterize the spatial and temporal variability, to the extent that data are available, and characterize exposed aquatic and terrestrial populations.
- Weight of the scientific evidence of environmental occurrence data and modeled estimates

5) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of environmental occurrence data and modeled estimates.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

2.7.2.3 Occupational Exposures

EPA plans to analyze both worker and occupational non-user exposures as follows:

1) Review reasonably available exposure monitoring data for specific condition(s) of use.

EPA plans to review exposure data including workplace monitoring data collected by government agencies such as OSHA and NIOSH, and monitoring data found in published literature. These workplace monitoring data include personal exposure monitoring data (direct exposures) and area monitoring data (indirect exposures).

EPA has preliminarily reviewed available monitoring data collected by OSHA and NIOSH and plans to match these data to applicable conditions of use. EPA has also identified additional data sources that may contain relevant monitoring data for the various conditions of use. EPA plans to review these sources and extract relevant data for consideration and analysis during risk evaluation.

EPA plans to consider the influence of applicable regulatory limits and recommended exposure guidelines on occupational exposures in the occupational exposure assessment. The following are some data sources identified thus far:

Table 2-6. Potential Sources of Occupational Exposure Data

2019 ATSDR Toxicological Profile for 1,2-dichloropropane
U.S. OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data (CEHD) program data

2) Review reasonably available exposure data for surrogate chemicals that have uses, volatility and chemical and physical properties similar to 1,2-dichloropropane.

EPA plans to review literature sources identified and if surrogate data are found, these data will be matched to applicable conditions of use for potentially filling data gaps. For several conditions of use, EPA believes data for other chlorinated solvents may serve as surrogate for 1,2-dichloropropane.

3) For conditions of use where data are limited or not available, review existing exposure models that may be applicable in estimating exposure levels.

EPA has identified potentially relevant OECD emission scenario documents (ESDs) and EPA generic scenarios (GSs) corresponding to some conditions of use. For example, the [April 2015 ESD on Industrial Use of Industrial Cleaners](#) (OECD, 2015) may be used to estimate occupational exposures. EPA plans to critically review these generic scenarios and ESDs to determine their applicability to the conditions of use assessed. EPA was not able to identify ESDs or GSs corresponding to several conditions of use, including the use of 1,2-dichloropropane as a laboratory chemical. EPA plans to perform additional targeted research in order to better understand those conditions of use, which may inform identification of exposure scenarios. EPA may also need to perform targeted research to identify applicable models that EPA may use to estimate exposures for certain conditions of use.

4) Review reasonably available data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to a particular risk evaluation scenario.

This step will be performed after Steps #2 and #3 are completed. Based on information developed from Steps #2 and #3, EPA plans to evaluate relevant data to determine whether the data can be used to develop, adapt, or apply models for specific conditions of use (and corresponding exposure scenarios). EPA may utilize existing, peer-reviewed exposure models developed by EPA/OPPT, other government agencies, or available in the scientific literature, or EPA may elect to develop additional models to assess specific condition(s) of use. Inhalation exposure models may be simple box models or two-zone (near-field/far-field) models. In two-zone models, the near-field exposure represents potential inhalation exposures to workers, and the far-field exposure represents potential inhalation exposures to occupational non-users.

5) Consider and incorporate applicable engineering controls (EC) and/or personal protective equipment into exposure scenarios.

EPA plans to review potentially relevant data sources on EC and personal protective equipment as identified in Appendix E to determine their applicability and incorporation into exposure scenarios during risk evaluation. EPA plans to assess worker exposure pre- and post-implementation of EC, using reasonably available information on available control technologies and control effectiveness. For example, EPA may assess worker exposure in industrial use scenarios before and after implementation of local exhaust ventilation.

6) Map or group each condition of use to occupational exposure assessment scenario(s).

EPA has identified occupational exposure scenarios and mapped them to relevant conditions of use (see Appendix F). EPA was not able to identify occupational scenarios corresponding to some conditions of use (e.g. recycling, construction and demolition). EPA may further refine the mapping/grouping of occupational exposure scenarios based on factors (e.g., process equipment and handling, magnitude of production volume used, and exposure/release sources) corresponding to conditions of use as additional information is identified during risk evaluation.

7) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of occupational exposure data, which may include qualitative and quantitative sources of information.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. EPA plans to rely on the weight of

the scientific evidence when evaluating and integrating occupational data. The data integration strategy will be designed to be fit-for-purpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.4 Consumer Exposures

EPA plans to analyze both consumers using a consumer product and bystanders associated with the consumer using the product as follows:

1) Group each condition of use to consumer exposure assessment scenario(s).

Refine and finalize exposure scenarios for consumers by considering combinations of sources (ongoing consumer uses), exposure pathways including routes, and exposed populations.

For 1,2-dichloropropane, the following are noteworthy considerations in constructing consumer exposure scenarios:

- Conditions of use and type of consumer product
- Duration, frequency and magnitude of exposure
- Weight fraction of chemical in products
- Amount of chemical used

2) Evaluate the relative potential of indoor exposure pathways based on available data.

Indoor exposure pathways expected to be relatively higher include dermal absorption of liquid, and inhalation and dermal absorption of vapor from adhesives and sealants. The data sources associated with these respective pathways have not been comprehensively evaluated, therefore quantitative comparisons across exposure pathways or in relation to toxicity thresholds are not yet available.

3) Review existing indoor exposure models that may be applicable in estimating indoor air concentrations.

Indoor exposure models that estimate emissions from consumer products are available. These models generally consider physical-chemical properties (e.g., vapor pressure, molecular weight), product specific properties (e.g., weight fraction of the chemical in the product), use patterns (e.g., duration and frequency of use), user environment (e.g., room of use, ventilation rates), and receptor characteristics (e.g., exposure factors, activity patterns). The OPPT's Consumer Exposure Model (CEM) and other similar models can be used to estimate indoor air exposures from consumer products.

4) Review reasonably available empirical data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to a particular risk evaluation scenario. For example, existing models developed for a chemical assessment may be applicable to another chemical assessment if model parameter data are available.

To the extent other organizations have already modeled a 1,2-dichloropropane consumer exposure scenario that is relevant to the OPPT's assessment, EPA plans to evaluate those modeled estimates. In addition, if other chemicals similar to 1,2-dichloropropane have been modeled for similar uses, those modeled estimates will also be evaluated. The underlying parameters and assumptions of the models will also be evaluated.

- 5) **Review reasonably available consumer product-specific sources to determine how those exposure estimates compare with each other and with indoor monitoring data reporting 1,2-dichloropropane in specific media (e.g., dust or indoor air).**

The availability of 1,2-dichloropropane concentration for various ongoing uses will be evaluated. This data provides the source term for any subsequent indoor modeling. Source attribution between overall indoor air and dust levels and various indoor sources will be analyzed.

- 6) **Review reasonably available population- or subpopulation-specific exposure factors and activity patterns to determine if potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations need to be further refined.**

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

- 7) **Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of consumer exposure estimates based on different approaches.**

EPA plans to rely on the weight of the scientific evidence when evaluating and integrating data related to consumer exposure. The weight of the scientific evidence may include qualitative and quantitative sources of information. The data integration strategy will be designed to be fit-for-purpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.5 General Population

EPA does not expect to analyze general population exposures, based on a review of exposure pathways as described in Section 2.6.3. EPA does not expect to include in the risk evaluation pathways under programs of other environmental statutes, administered by EPA, for which long-standing regulatory and analytical processes already exist.

2.7.3 Hazards (Effects)

2.7.3.1 Environmental Hazards

EPA plans to conduct an environmental hazard assessment of 1,2-dichloropropane as follows:

- 1) **Review reasonably available environmental hazard data, including data from alternative test methods (e.g., computational toxicology and bioinformatics; high-throughput screening methods; data on categories and read-across; *in vitro* studies).**

EPA plans to analyze the hazards of 1,2-dichloropropane to aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms, including plants, invertebrates (e.g., insects, arachnids, mollusks, crustaceans), and vertebrates (e.g., mammals, birds, amphibians, fish, reptiles) across exposure durations and conditions if potential environmental hazards are identified through systematic review results and public comments. Additional types of environmental hazard information will also be considered (e.g., analogue and read-across data) when characterizing the potential hazards of 1,2-dichloropropane to aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms.

Environmental hazard data will be evaluated using the environmental toxicity data quality criteria outlined in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. The study evaluation results will be documented in the risk

evaluation phase and data from suitable studies will be extracted and integrated in the risk evaluation process.

Hazard endpoints (e.g., mortality, growth, immobility, reproduction) will be evaluated, while considering data availability, relevance, and quality.

2) Derive hazard thresholds for aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms.

Depending on the robustness of the evaluated data for a particular organism or taxa (e.g., aquatic invertebrates), environmental hazard values (e.g., EC_x, LC_x, NOEC, LOEC) may be derived and used to further understand the hazard characteristics of 1,2-dichloropropane to aquatic and/or terrestrial species. Identified environmental hazard thresholds may be used to derive concentrations of concern (COC), based on endpoints that may affect populations of organisms or taxa analyzed.

3) Evaluate the weight of scientific evidence of environmental hazard data.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the environmental hazard evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

4) Consider the route(s) of exposure, based on available monitoring and modeling data and other available approaches to integrate exposure and hazard assessments.

EPA plans to consider aquatic (e.g., water and sediment exposures) and terrestrial pathways in the 1,2-dichloropropane conceptual model. These organisms may be exposed to 1,2-dichloropropane via a number of environmental pathways (e.g., surface water, sediment, soil, diet).

5) Conduct an environmental risk characterization of 1,2-dichloropropane.

EPA plans to conduct a risk characterization of 1,2-dichloropropane to identify if there are risks to the aquatic and/or terrestrial environments from the measured and/or predicted concentrations of 1,2-dichloropropane in environmental media (i.e., water, sediment, soil). Risk quotients (RQs) may be derived by the application of hazard and exposure benchmarks to characterize environmental risk ([U.S. EPA, 1998](#); [Barnthouse et al., 1982](#)).

6) Consider a Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) Assessment of 1,2-Dichloropropane.

EPA plans to consider the persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxic (PBT) potential of 1,2-dichloropropane after reviewing relevant physical-chemical properties and exposure pathways. EPA plans to assess the available studies collected from the systematic review process relating to bioaccumulation and bioconcentration (e.g., BAF, BCF) of 1,2-dichloropropane. In addition, EPA plans to integrate traditional environmental hazard endpoint values (e.g., LC₅₀, LOEC) and exposure concentrations (e.g., surface water concentrations, tissue concentrations) for 1,2-dichloropropane with the fate parameters (e.g., BAF, BCF, BMF, TMF).

2.7.3.2 Human Health Hazards

EPA plans to analyze human health hazards as follows:

- 1) **Review reasonably available human health hazard data, including data from alternative test methods (e.g., computational toxicology and bioinformatics; high-throughput screening methods; data on categories and read-across; *in vitro* studies; systems biology).**

EPA plans to use systematic review methods to evaluate the epidemiological and toxicological literature for 1,2-dichloropropane. EPA plans to publish the systematic review documentation prior to finalizing the scope document.

Relevant mechanistic evidence will also be considered, if available, to inform the interpretation of findings related to potential human health effects and the dose-repose assessment. Mechanistic data may include analyses of alternative test data such as novel *in vitro* test methods and high throughput screening. The association between acute and chronic exposure scenarios to the agent and each health outcome will also be integrated. Study results will be extracted and presented in evidence tables or another appropriate format by organ/system.

- 2) **In evaluating reasonably available data, determine whether particular human receptor groups may have greater susceptibility to the chemical's hazard(s) than the general population.**

Reasonably available human health hazard data will be evaluated to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may have greater susceptibility than the general population to 1,2-dichloropropane hazard(s). Susceptibility of particular human receptor groups to 1,2-dichloropropane will be determined by evaluating information on factors that influence susceptibility.

EPA has reviewed some sources containing hazard information associated with susceptible populations and lifestages such as pregnant women and infants. Pregnancy (i.e., gestation) and childhood are potential susceptible lifestages for 1,2-dichloropropane exposure. EPA plans to review the current state of the literature in order to potentially quantify these differences for risk evaluation purposes.

- 3) **Conduct hazard identification (the qualitative process of identifying non-cancer and cancer endpoints) and dose-response assessment (the quantitative relationship between hazard and exposure) for identified human health hazard endpoints.**

Human health hazards from acute and chronic exposures will be identified by evaluating the human and animal data that meet the systematic review data quality criteria described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Hazards identified by studies meeting data quality criteria will be grouped by routes of exposure relevant to humans (e.g., oral, dermal, inhalation) and by cancer and noncancer endpoints.

Dose-response assessment will be performed in accordance with EPA guidance ([U.S. EPA, 2012a, 2011, 1994](#)). Dose-response analyses may be used if the data meet data quality criteria and if additional information on the identified hazard endpoints are not available or would not alter the analysis.

The cancer mode of action (MOA) determines how cancer risks can be quantitatively evaluated. If cancer hazard is determined to be applicable to 1,2-dichloropropane, EPA plans to evaluate information on genotoxicity and the mode of action for all cancer endpoints to determine the

appropriate approach for quantitative cancer assessment in accordance with the U.S. EPA Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment ([U.S. EPA, 2005](#)).

4) Derive points of departure (PODs) where appropriate; conduct benchmark dose modeling depending on the available data. Adjust the PODs as appropriate to conform (e.g., adjust for duration of exposure) to the specific exposure scenarios evaluated.

Hazard data will be evaluated to determine the type of dose-response modeling that is applicable. Where modeling is feasible, a set of dose-response models that are consistent with a variety of potentially underlying biological processes will be applied to empirically model the dose-response relationships in the range of the observed data consistent with EPA's *Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance Document*. Where dose-response modeling is not feasible, NOAELs or LOAELs will be identified. Non-quantitative data will also be evaluated for contribution to weight of the scientific evidence or for evaluation of qualitative endpoints that are not appropriate for dose-response assessment.

EPA plans to evaluate whether the available PBPK and empirical kinetic models are adequate for route-to-route and interspecies extrapolation of the POD, or for extrapolation of the POD to standard exposure durations (e.g., lifetime continuous exposure). If application of the PBPK model is not possible, oral PODs may be adjusted by $BW^{3/4}$ scaling in accordance with [U.S. EPA \(2011\)](#), and inhalation PODs may be adjusted by exposure duration and chemical properties in accordance with [U.S. EPA \(1994\)](#).

5) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of human health hazard data.

Human health hazards from acute and chronic exposures will be identified by evaluating the human and animal data that meet the systematic review data quality criteria described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Hazards identified by studies meeting data quality criteria will be grouped by routes of exposure relevant to humans (e.g., oral, dermal, inhalation) and by cancer and noncancer endpoints.

6) Consider the route(s) of exposure (oral, inhalation, dermal), available route-to-route extrapolation approaches, available biomonitoring data and available approaches to correlate internal and external exposures to integrate exposure and hazard assessment.

Following systematic review, EPA plans to conduct a dose-response analysis and/or benchmark dose modeling for the oral route of exposure based on the results. This may include using route-to-route extrapolation methods where appropriate. EPA also plans to evaluate any potential human health hazards following dermal and inhalation exposure to 1,2-dichloropropane, which could be important for worker, consumer, and general population risk analysis. Available data will be assessed to determine whether or not a point of departure can be identified for the dermal and inhalation routes.

If sufficient toxicity studies are not identified in the literature search to assess risks from dermal and inhalation exposures, then a route-to-route extrapolation from oral toxicity studies would be needed to assess systemic risks from dermal or inhalation exposures. Without an adequate PBPK model, the approaches described in the EPA guidance document *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment)* ([U.S. EPA, 2004](#)) could be applied to extrapolate from oral to dermal

exposure. These approaches may be able to further inform the relative importance of dermal exposures compared with other routes of exposure. Similar methodology may also be used for assessing inhalation exposures

2.7.4 Summary of Risk Approaches for Characterization

Risk characterization is an integral component of the risk assessment process for both environmental and human health risks. EPA plans to derive the risk characterization in accordance with EPA's *Risk Characterization Handbook* ([U.S. EPA, 2000](#)). As defined in EPA's [Risk Characterization Policy](#), "*the risk characterization integrates information from the preceding components of the risk evaluation and synthesizes an overall conclusion about risk that is complete, informative and useful for decision makers.*" Risk characterization is considered to be a conscious and deliberate process to bring all important considerations about risk, not only the likelihood of the risk but also the strengths and limitations of the assessment, and a description of how others have assessed the risk into an integrated picture.

The level of information contained in each risk characterization varies according to the type of assessment for which the characterization is written. Regardless of the level of complexity or information, the risk characterization for TSCA risk evaluations will be prepared in a manner that is transparent, clear, consistent, and reasonable ([U.S. EPA, 2000](#)) and consistent with the requirements of the *Procedures for Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act* ([82 FR 33726](#)). For instance, in the risk characterization summary, EPA plans to further carry out the obligations under TSCA Section 26; for example, by identifying and assessing uncertainty and variability in each step of the risk evaluation, discussing considerations of data quality such as the reliability, relevance and whether the methods utilized were reasonable and consistent, explaining any assumptions used, and discussing information generated from independent peer review.

The risk characterization will also be guided by EPA's Information Quality Guidelines ([U.S. EPA, 2002](#)) as it provides guidance for presenting risk information. Consistent with those guidelines, EPA plans to identify in the risk characterization the following: (1) Each population addressed by an estimate of applicable risk effects; (2) The expected risk or central estimate of risk for the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations affected; (3) Each appropriate upper-bound or lower-bound estimate of risk; (4) Each significant uncertainty identified in the process of the assessment of risk effects and the studies that would assist in resolving the uncertainty; and (5) Peer reviewed studies known to the Agency that support, are directly relevant to, or fail to support any estimate of risk effects and the methodology used to reconcile inconsistencies in the scientific information.

2.8 Peer Review

Peer review will be conducted in accordance with EPA's regulatory procedures for chemical risk evaluations, including using EPA's [Peer Review Handbook](#) and other methods consistent with section 26 of TSCA (See [40 CFR 702.45](#)). As explained in the Risk Evaluation Rule, the purpose of peer review is for the independent review of the science underlying the risk assessment. Peer review will therefore address aspects of the underlying science as outlined in the charge to the peer review panel such as hazard assessment, assessment of dose-response, exposure assessment, and risk characterization. The draft risk evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane will be peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (1989). Toxicological profile for 1,2-dichloropropane. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Public Health Service. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp134.pdf>. HERO ID: 5160134
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (1996). Toxicological profile for 1,2-dichloroethane [ATSDR Tox Profile]. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp87.pdf>. HERO ID: 723873
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2019). Toxicological profile for 1,2-dichloropropane: Draft for public comment. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp134.pdf>. HERO ID: 6303053
- Axson USA. (2015). Safety data sheet: W&S Ultra Premium Sealer. https://www.woodandstoneglue.com/v/SDS/SDS_W&S_Ultra_Premium_Sealer_-_USA2EN.PDF. HERO ID: 6183646
- Barnthouse, LW;DeAngelis, DL;Gardner, RH;O'Neill, RV;Suter, GW;Vaughan, DS. (1982). Methodology for environmental risk analysis. (ORNL/TM-8167). Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory. HERO ID: 4417716
- Budavari, S;O'Neil, MJ;Smith, A;Heckelman, PE. (1989). The Merck Index: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals (11th ed., pp. 1247). Rahway, NJ: Merck Research Laboratories Division of Merck & Co., Inc. HERO ID: 5185257
- CalEPA (California Environmental Protection Agency). (1999). Public health goal for 1,2-dichloropropane in drinking water. California: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section. <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/water/chemicals/phg/12dcpf.pdf>. HERO ID: 5155640
- California Department of Pesticide Regulation. (2013). DPR Databases Chemical Ingredient Application. <https://www.cdpr.ca.gov/dprdatabase.htm> HERO ID: 6303054
- Chem Service. (2018). 1,2-Dichloropropane. <http://cdn.chemservice.com/product/msdsnew/External/English/N-10156%20English%20SDS%20US.pdf>. HERO ID: 6303050
- Cherrie, JW., Semple, S. Christopher, Y., Saleem, A., Hughson, G.W., Phillips, A. (2006). How important is inadvertent ingestion of hazardous substances at work? *Ann Occup Hyg.* 50(7):693-704. HERO ID: 460308
- Chiou, CT;Peters, LJ;Freed, VH. (1979). A physical concept of soil-water equilibria for nonionic organic compounds. *Science* 206: 831-832. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.206.4420.831>. HERO ID: 18016
- CITI (Chemicals Inspection Testing Institute Japan). (1992). Biodegradation and bioaccumulation data of existing chemicals based on the CSCL Japan (pp. 2-18). Tokyo, Japan: Chemical Industry Ecology-Toxicology and Information Center. HERO ID: 195117

Cohen SZ;Creeger SM;Carsel RF;Enfield CG. (1984). Potential for pesticide contamination of groundwater resulting from agricultural uses. In Krueger RF;Seiber JN (Eds.), Treatment and disposal of pesticide wastes: American Chemical Society Symposium Series (pp. 297-325). Washington D.C.: American Chemical Society. HERO ID: 5185237

Connecticut Department of Public Health. (2019). 19 Conn. Agencies. Regs. 19-13-B102: Standards for quality of public drinking water. (Connecticut State Agencies Regulation Title 19 Section 19-13-102B). Connecticut Department of Public Health.
https://eregulations.ct.gov/eRegsPortal/Browse/RCSA/Title_19Subtitle_19-13Section_19-13-b102/.
HERO ID: 6305853

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). (2019). Registration dossier: 1,2-Dichloropropane.
<https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/13586/3/1/4>. HERO ID: 6194337

Hartwig, JS, H; Müller, F. (2008). Nematicides. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/14356007.a17_125.pub2. HERO ID: 6193542

Howard, PH. (1990). Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals: Volume II: Solvents. Syracuse, NY: CRC Press. HERO ID: 82190

HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). (2019). 1,2-Dichloropropane (CASRN: 78-87-5). Bethesda, MD: U.S. National Library of Medicine. <https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search/a?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+1102>. HERO ID: 5178594

ICL-IP (ICL Industrial Products). (2011). Material safety data sheet: Fyrol 38 (Product ID 7007F). Revision date 5/12/2011. https://www.tri-iso.com/documents/ICL_Fyrol_38_MSDS.pdf. HERO ID: 6191367

IFA (Institut für Arbeitsschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung). (2017). GESTIS - International limit values for chemical agents (Occupational exposure limits, OELs).
<http://www.dguv.de/ifa/gestis/gestis-internationale-grenzwerte-fuer-chemische-substanzen-limit-values-for-chemical-agents/index-2.jsp>. HERO ID: 3827334

IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety). (1993). Environmental health criteria 146. 1,3-Dichloropropene, 1,2-dichloropropane and mixtures.
<http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc146.htm>. HERO ID: 6305322

Langer, R. (1986). Chlorinated hydrocarbons. 4. Chloropropanes. 4.2 1,2-dichloropropane. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry Vol A6, Fifth ed. Weinheim, Germany: Verlag Chemie. HERO ID: 5348645

Larranaga, MD;Lewis, RJ, Sr.;Lewis, RA. (2016). Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary. In LRJLRA Larranaga Md (Ed.), (16th ed.). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. HERO ID: 5333260

Lewis, RJ. (1997). Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary (13 ed., pp. 213). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. HERO ID: 224609

Mackay, D;Shiu, WY;Ma, KC. (1993). Illustrated Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals. Volume III. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers. HERO ID: 5185256

NBOS (National Bureau of Standards). (1951). Table of dielectric constants of pure liquids. U. S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards.
<https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a278956.pdf>. HERO ID: 5178596

NICNAS (National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme). (2017). Ethane, 1,1-dichloro-: Human health tier II assessment. HERO ID: 6305189

NITE (National Institute of Technology and Evaluation). (2015). Chemical Risk Information Platform (CHRIIP). Japan. http://www.safe.nite.go.jp/english/sougou/view/ComprehensiveInfoDisplay_en.faces. HERO ID: 2991050

NLM (U.S. National Library of Medicine). (2001). Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB): A TOXNET Database: 1,2-Dichloropropane. <https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/search2/r?dbs+hsdb:@term+@rn+@rel+78-87-5> (HERO ID: 6303045)

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2006). SIDS initial assessment report: 1,2-Dichloropropane (CASRN: 78-87-5) (pp. 219). Paris, France: United Nations Environment Programme, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
<https://hvpchemicals.oecd.org/ui/handler.axd?id=E6CE93C6-9DD5-41DF-ADE6-86ECABD0F533>. HERO ID: 5160041

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2018). OECD Monitoring Database [Database]. <http://oecd.org>. HERO ID: 4571754

OEHHA (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). (2020). Cal Code Regs. Title 27, § 27001: Chemicals known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity. (California Code of Regulations Title 27 Section 27001).
<https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I54B9D2B0D45011DEA95CA4428EC25FA0?contextData=%28sc.Default%29&transitionType=Default>. HERO ID: 6305878

OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration). (2009). Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limits (PELs). <https://www.osha.gov/dsg/annotated-pels/tablez-1.html>. HERO ID: 6302805

OSHA. (2019). Permissible exposure limits: OSHA annotated table Z-1
<https://www.osha.gov/dsg/annotated-pels/tablez-1.html>. HERO ID: 5353123

PHMSA (Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration). (2005). Final rule. Harmonization with the United Nations recommendations, International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code, and International Civil Aviation Organization's Technical Instruction. Correction. Fed Reg 70: 34381-34399. HERO ID: 6305320

RIVM (Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment). (2007). Ecotoxicologically based environmental risk limits for several volatile aliphatic hydrocarbons (pp. 217). (601782002/2007). Bilthoven, Netherlands: National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM).
<https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/601782002.pdf>. HERO ID: 5159900

Rumble, JR. (2018). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics. In JR Rumble (Ed.), (99 ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. HERO ID: 5349252

SPIN. (2019). 1,2-Dichloropropan. Substances in Preparations in Nordic Countries.
<http://www.spin2000.net/spinmyphp/>. HERO ID: 6183586

Steele, W.V., Chirico, R.D., Knipmeyer, S.E. Nguyen, A. (1997). Vapor pressure, heat capacity, and density along the saturation line, measurements for cyclohexanol, 2-cyclohexen-1-one, 1,2-dichloropropane, 1,4-di-tert-butylbenzene, (+/-)-2-ethylhexanoic acid, 2-(methylamino)ethanol, perfluoro-n-heptane, and sulfolane. J Chem Eng. 42(6):1021-1036. HERO ID: 4881153

SYKE (Finnish Environment Institute). (2018). Data bank of environmental properties of chemicals 1,2-dichloropropane. The Finnish Environment Institute.
http://www.ymparisto.fi/scripts/Kemrek/Kemrek_uk.asp?Method=MAKECHEMdetailsform&txtChemId=544. HERO ID: 5178597

Tenax USA. (2015)a. Cera Distaccante safety data sheet.
https://www.tenax4you.com/v/SDS/US_CERA%20DISTACCANTE_GHS_USA.pdf. HERO ID: 6303046

Tenax Spa. (2015)b. Safety data sheet: Cera Tewax. Revision no. 4.
https://www.tenax4you.com/v/SDS/US_TEWAX_GHS_USA.pdf. HERO ID: 6191173

Tenax Spa. (2015)c. Safety data sheet: Lustro Italiano Stone Polish. Revision no. 1.
<https://www.lustroitaliano.com/v/sds/us-lustro-spray-stone-sealer-ghs-usa.pdf>. HERO ID: 6184099

Tenax Spa. (2019). Safety data sheet: Cera Fluida. Revision no. 1.
https://www.tenax4you.com/v/SDS/EN_CERA%20FLUIDA-DCP_GHS_USA.pdf. HERO ID: 6303049

Tenax USA. (2020a). Cera Fluida Liquid Was 1QT. <https://www.tenax4you.com/Liquid-Wax-1-QT-New-p/1maa00bg60.htm>. HERO ID: 6303055

Tenax USA. (2020b). Lustro Italiano Stone Cleaner. <https://www.lustroitaliano.com/natural-stone-cleaner-p/lustroclean.htm>. HERO ID: 6303047

Tenax USA. (2020c). Tenax Was Paste Clear 1QT (TeWax). <https://www.tenax4you.com/Wax-Paste-Clear-1-QT-p/1mca00bg50.htm>Cera%20Distaccante. HERO ID: 6303048

Tomer, A;Kane, J. (2015). The great port mismatch. U.S. goods trade and international transportation. The Global Cities Initiative. A joint project of Brookings and JPMorgon Chase.
<https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/brgkssrvygcifreightnetworks.pdf>. HERO ID: 5018559

U. S. Code. (2011). 42 U.S.C. 7412: Hazardous air pollutants. U.S. Code.
<https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2011-title42/USCODE-2011-title42-chap85-subchapI-partA-sec7412>. HERO ID: 6305257

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1979). Water-related environmental fate of 129 priority pollutants: Volume II: Halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons, halogenated ethers, monocyclic aromatics, phthalate esters, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, nitrosamines, and miscellaneous compounds [EPA Report]. (EPA-440/4-79-029b). Washington, DC.
<http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=2000K6JL.txt>. HERO ID: 59187

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1990). EPA Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center (AMTIC): Air toxics data [Database]. <https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/toxdat.html>. HERO ID: 6296435

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1994). Methods for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/8-90/066F). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office. <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=71993&CFID=51174829&CFTOKEN=25006317>. HERO ID: 6488

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1995). Premanufacture notification. Revisions of premanufacture notification regulations. Final rule. Fed Reg 60: 16298-16311. HERO ID: 6305252

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1996). EPA Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) Database [Database]. <https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr>. HERO ID: 4571553

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1998). Guidelines for ecological risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA/630/R-95/002F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. <https://www.epa.gov/risk/guidelines-ecological-risk-assessment>. HERO ID: 42805

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2000). Science policy council handbook: Risk characterization [EPA Report]. (EPA/100/B-00/002). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Science Policy Council. <https://www.epa.gov/risk/risk-characterization-handbook>. HERO ID: 52149

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2002). Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information disseminated by the Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA/260/R-02/008). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information. <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-03/documents/epa-info-quality-guidelines.pdf>. HERO ID: 635281

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005). Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA/630/P-03/001F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-09/documents/cancer_guidelines_final_3-25-05.pdf. HERO ID: 86237

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006). A framework for assessing health risk of environmental exposures to children (pp. 1-145). (EPA/600/R-05/093F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment. <http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=158363>. HERO ID: 194567

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). 40 CFR § 261.11: Criteria for listing hazardous waste. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 261.11). Washington, D.C. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&n=pt40.28.261&r=PART&ty=HTML#se40.28.261_111. HERO ID: 6305524

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). 40 CFR § 261.21: Characteristic of ignitability. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 261.21). Washington, D.C.
https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&n=pt40.28.261&r=PART&ty=HTML#se40.28.261_121. HERO ID: 6305532

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). 40 CFR § 261.22: Characteristic of corrosivity. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 261.22). Washington, D.C.
https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&n=pt40.28.261&r=PART&ty=HTML#se40.28.261_122. HERO ID: 6305534

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). 40 CFR § 261.23: Characteristic of reactivity. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 261.23). Washington, D.C.
https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&n=pt40.28.261&r=PART&ty=HTML#se40.28.261_123. HERO ID: 6305537

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). Exposure factors handbook: 2011 edition [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-090/052F). Washington, DC.
<http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recorddisplay.cfm?deid=236252>. HERO ID: 786546

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). Final rule. TSCA inventory update modifications: Chemical data reporting. Fed Reg 76: 50815-50879. HERO ID: 3808939

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012). Benchmark dose technical guidance. (EPA/100/R-12/001). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. <https://www.epa.gov/risk/benchmark-dose-technical-guidance>. HERO ID: 1239433

U.S. EPA (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012a). Estimation Programs Interface Suite for Microsoft Windows, v. 4.11 [Computer Program]. Washington, DC. <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/download-epi-suitetm-estimation-program-interface-v411>. HERO ID: 4492009

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012). Non-confidential 2012 Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) database [Database]. Washington, DC. <http://www.epa.gov/cdr/>. HERO ID: 3809133

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2013). 1986-2002 Inventory Update Reporting Rule data (non-confidential production volume in pounds). Washington, DC: Washington, DC. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. HERO ID: 6114854

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2013)a. ChemSTEER user guide - Chemical screening tool for exposures and environmental releases. Washington, D.C.
https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-05/documents/user_guide.pdf. HERO ID: 3809033

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014). 40 CFR 372.65: Toxic chemical release reporting: Community right-to-know. Washington, DC. <http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-id?SID=b158171a5134cffd39c8ab183e07670a&mc=true&node=pt40.30.372&rgn=div5>. HERO ID: 3808937

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014). 2012 Chemical data reporting results. <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/cdr/index.html>. HERO ID: 2347043

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016). 2016 chemical data reporting results [Website]. <https://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting/2016-chemical-data-reporting-results>. HERO ID: 4579837

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016a). Instructions for reporting 2016 TSCA chemical data reporting. (EPA/600/R-09/052F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. <https://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting/instructions-reporting-2016-tsca-chemical-data-reporting>. HERO ID: 5079121

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016b). Provisional peer-review toxicity values for 1,2-dichloropropane (CASRN 78-87-5). Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center. https://hhpprtv.onrl.gov/issue_papers/Dichloropropane12.pdf. HERO ID: 5113352

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2016c). Non-confidential 2016 Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) Database. <http://www.epa.gov/cdr/>. HERO ID: 5079142

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017). Procedures for chemical risk evaluation under the amended Toxic Substances Control Act. Final Rule Federal Registrar 82: 33726-33753. Fed Reg 82. HERO ID: 6128248

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). 40 CFR 702.45: Procedures for Chemical Substance Risk Evaluations: Peer review. Washington, DC. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2018-title40-vol33/xml/CFR-2018-title40-vol33-part702.xml#seqnum702.45>. HERO ID: 6302780

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). Basics of TRI reporting. <https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/basics-tri-reporting>. HERO ID: 6181671

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), reporting year 2017. <https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/tri-data-and-tools>. HERO ID: 5883036

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428-0015. <https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428-0015>.

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) (2019a). Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 CBI CDR database). Washington, DC. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. (accessed April 25, 2019). HERO ID: 6301193

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 1,2-Dichloropropane. TSCA review. Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428. <https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428>. HERO ID: 6310978

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). CPCat: Chemical and product categories. Chemical: 1, 2-dichloropropane. CASRN: 78-87-5. <https://actor.epa.gov/cpcat/faces/chemicalUse.xhtml?casrn=78-87-5>. HERO ID: 6305057

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR 60 Subpart VV: Standards of performance for equipment leaks of VOC in the synthetic organic chemicals manufacturing industry for which construction, reconstruction, or modification commenced after January 5, 1981, and on or Before

November 7, 2006. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2019-title40-vol7/xml/CFR-2019-title40-vol7-part60.xml>. HERO ID: 6305255

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR 261.24: Toxicity characteristic. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 261.24). Washington, D.C. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&node=se40.28.261_124&rgn=div8. HERO ID: 5176427

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR 261.33: Discarded commercial chemical products, off-specification species, container residues, and spill residues thereof. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Part 261.33). Washington, D.C. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=ab13936bdc4faeba7b691105e22f4564&mc=true&n=pt40.28.261&r=PART&ty=HTML#se40.28.261_133. HERO ID: 5176428

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR § 116.4: Designation of hazardous substances. <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2019-title40-vol24/CFR-2019-title40-vol24-sec116-4>. HERO ID: 6305425

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR § 302.4: Designation of hazardous substances. <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2019-title40-vol30/CFR-2019-title40-vol30-sec302-4>. HERO ID: 6305426

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). 40 CFR § 413.02: General definitions. <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2019-title40-vol31/CFR-2019-title40-vol31-sec413-02>. HERO ID: 6305319

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). Chemical and Products Database (CPDat). <https://www.epa.gov/chemical-research/chemical-and-products-database-cpdat>. HERO ID: 5184834

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). ChemView [Database]. <https://chemview.epa.gov/chemview>. HERO ID: 2991004

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). CompTox Database Dashboard. <https://comptox.epa.gov/dashboard>. HERO ID: 5794424

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). CPCat: Chemical and product categories. <http://actor.epa.gov/cpcat>. HERO ID: 6276340

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). High-priority substance designations under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and initiation of risk evaluation on high-priority substances; notice of availability. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/12/30/2019-28225/high-priority-substance-designations-under-the-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca-and-initiation-of>. HERO ID: 6302804

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). National emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP). <https://www.epa.gov/stationary-sources-air-pollution/national-emission-standards-hazardous-air-pollutants-neshap-9>. HERO ID: 6305207

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). Notice. High-priority substance designations under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and initiation of risk evaluation on high-priority substances; notice of availability. 84: 71924-71935. HERO ID: 6305232

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019). Proposed designation of 1,2-dichloropropane (CASRN 78-87-5) as a high-priority substance for risk evaluation. Washington, DC. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-08/documents/12-dichloropropane_78-87-5_high-priority_proposal_designation_082219.pdf. HERO ID: 6303052

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2020). TRI Explorer (2018 National Analysis Dataset (released November 12, 2019)) [Database]. <https://enviro.epa.gov/triexplorer/>. HERO ID: 6305039

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991a). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council [Database]. <http://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/>. HERO ID: 4571753

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991b). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Air [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Air&mimeType=csv>. HERO ID: 6120444

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991c). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Groundwater [Database] [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#siteType=Aggregate%20groundwater%20use&sampleMedia=Water&mimeType=csv&dataProfile=activityAll>. HERO ID: 6120028

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991d). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Sediment [Database] [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Sediment&mimeType=csv>. HERO ID: 6120597

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991e). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Soil [Database] [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Soil&mimeType=csv>. HERO ID: 6120844

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991f). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Surface Water [Database] [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#siteType=Aggregate%20surface-water-use&sampleMedia=Water&mimeType=csv>. HERO ID: 6120448

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991g). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Tissue [Database] [Database]. <https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Tissue&mimeType=csv>. HERO ID: 6120843

Van Dijk, H. (1980). Dissipation rates in soil of 1,2-dichloropropane and 1,3- and 2,3-dichloropropenes. *Pesticide Science* 11: 625-632. HERO ID: 2799279

APPENDICES

Appendix A LIST OF GRAY LITERATURE SOURCES

Table_Apx A-1. List of Gray Literature Sources for 1,2-Dichloropropane

Source/Agency	Source Name	Source Type	Source Category
ATSDR	ATSDR Tox Profile Updates and Addendums	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
ATSDR	ATSDR Toxicological Profiles (original publication)	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Australian Government – Department of Health	NICNAS Assessments (human health, Tier I, II or III)	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CAL EPA	Technical Support Documents for regulations: Proposition 65, Cancer	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CAL EPA	Technical Support Documents for regulations: Drinking Water Public Health Goals	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CDC	CDC Biomonitoring Tables	Other US Agency Resources	Database
ECHA	ECHA Documents	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
ECHA	European Union Risk Assessment Report	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Env Canada	Chemicals at a Glance (fact sheets)	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Office of Water: STORET and WQX	US EPA Resources	Database

Source/Agency	Source Name	Source Type	Source Category
EPA	EPA Office of Water: Ambient Water Quality Criteria documents	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Office of Air: TRI	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Office of Air: AQS, Annual	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	TSCA Hazard Characterizations	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Included in 2011 NATA	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Office of Air: National Emissions Inventory (NEI) - National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Data (2014, 2011, 2008)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	TRI: Envirofacts Toxics Release Inventory 2017 Updated Dataset	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 non-CBI CDR database)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 CBI CDR database)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	EPA Discharge Monitoring Report Data	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Office of Water: CFRs	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
EPA	Office of Water: Drinking Water Standards Health Effects Support Documents	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
EPA	Office of Air: CFRs and Dockets	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
IARC	IARC Monograph	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Japan	Japanese Ministry of the Environment Assessments - Environmental Risk	International Resources	Regulatory Document or List

Source/Agency	Source Name	Source Type	Source Category
	Assessments (Class I Designated Chemical Substances Summary Table)		
KOECT	Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology Journal Article	Other Resource	Encyclopedia
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Occupational Health Guideline Documents	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Pocket Guides	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NLM	National Library of Medicine's Hazardous Substance Databank	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NLM	National Library of Medicine's HazMap	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NLM	National Library of Medicine's PubChem	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NTP	Technical Reports	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
NTP	Additional NTP Reports	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD SIDS	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD Emission Scenario Documents	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD: General Site	International Resources	General Search
OSHA	OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data	Other US Agency Resources	Database

Source/Agency	Source Name	Source Type	Source Category
OSHA	U.S. OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data (CEHD) program data [ERG]	Other US Agency Resources	Database
RIVM	RIVM Reports: Risk Assessments	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
TERA	Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment	Other Resources	Assessment or Related Document

Appendix B PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE

This appendix provides p-chem information and data found in preliminary data gathering for 1,2-dichloropropane. Table_Apx B-1 summarizes the p-chem property values preliminarily selected for use in the risk evaluation from among the range of reported values collected as of March 2020. This table differs from that presented in the [*Proposed Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane \(CASRN 78-87-5\) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation*](#) (U.S. EPA 2019) and may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods. All p-chem property values that were extracted and evaluated as of March 2020 are presented in the supplemental file *Data Extraction and Data Evaluation Tables for Physical Chemical Property Studies* ([EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428](#)).

Table_Apx B-1. Physical and Chemical Properties of 1,2-Dichloropropane

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference	Data Quality Rating
Molecular formula	C ₃ H ₆ Cl ₂	NA	NA
Molecular weight	112.99 g/mol	NA	NA
Physical state	Liquid	RSC, 2019	High
Physical properties	Colorless liquid, chloroform-like odor	NLM, 2019	High
Melting point	-100.53°C	Rumble, 2018	High
Boiling point	96.4°C	Rumble, 2018	High
Density	1.159 g/cm ³ at 25°C	NLM, 2019	High
Vapor pressure	40.00 at 20.5°C (99.95 mol%)	Steele, 1997	High
Vapor density	3.9 (air = 1)	NLM, 2019	High
Water solubility	2800 mg/L at 25°C	NLM, 2019	High
Log Octanol/water partition coefficient (Log Kow)	1.99 at 25°C	Elsevier, 2019	High
Henry's Law constant	0.00282 atm·m ³ /mol at 25°C	U.S. EPA, 2019	High
Flash point	21°C (ASTM open cup)	O'Neil, 2013	High
Auto flammability	Not available		

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference	Data Quality Rating
Viscosity	Not available		
Refractive index	1.43656 at 25°C	Sen, 2009	High
Dielectric constant	8.92	Elsevier, 2019	High

^a Measured unless otherwise noted.

NA = Not applicable

Appendix C ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSPORT PROPERTIES OF 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE

Table_Apx C-1. Environmental Fate and Transport Properties of 1,2-Dichloropropane

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference
Direct Photodegradation	Not expected to be susceptible to direct photolysis by sunlight because the chemical structure of 1,2-dichloropropane does not contain chromophores that absorb at wavelengths >290 nm	HSDB (2019) citing U.S. EPA (1979)
	Vapor-phase photolysis under simulated sunlight did not occur after prolonged exposure	HSDB (2019) citing Cohen et al. (1984)
Indirect Photodegradation	$t_{1/2} = 24$ days (based on $\cdot\text{OH}$ reaction rate constant of $4.4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule}\cdot\text{second}$ at 25 °C and an OH concentration of $1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ OH}/\text{cm}^3$; estimated) ^b	U.S. EPA (2012a)
Hydrolysis	$t_{1/2} = 15.8$ years (rate constant of $5.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ hours}^{-1}$ at pH 7–9 and 25 °C)	OECD (2006) citing Mackay et al. (1993)
Biodegradation (Aerobic)	0%/14 days based on biological oxygen demand (Japanese MITI test)	HSDB (2019) citing CITI (1992)
	$t_{1/2} = 52$ days in a closed system with fresh soil at 15 °C	HSDB (2019) citing van Dijk (1980)
Wastewater Treatment	59% total removal (12% by biodegradation, 1.1 by sludge and 46% by volatilization to air; estimated) ^b	U.S. EPA (2012a)
Bioconcentration Factor	1.2–3.2 (<i>Cyprinus caprio</i> at 0.4 mg/L test substance concentration); 0.5–6.9 (<i>C. caprio</i> at 0.04 mg/L test substance concentration)	SYKE (2018); OECD (2006) citing Howard (1990); Mackay et al. (1993)
Bioaccumulation Factor	7.1 (estimated) ^b	U.S. EPA (2012a)
Soil Organic Carbon:Water Partition Coefficient (Log K _{oc})	1.67 (K _{oc} = 47) measured in silt loam	HSDB (2019) citing Chiou et al. (1979)

Notes: ^a Measured unless otherwise noted; ^bEPI Suite™ physical property inputs: Log K_{ow} = 1.98, BP = 95.5 °C, MP = –100 °C, VP = 53.3 mm Hg at 25 °C, WS = 2,800 mg/L, HLC = $2.82 \times 10^{-3} \text{ atm}\cdot\text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ at 25 °C, BioP 120, BioA 30, BioS 30, SMILES C1CC(Cl)C; $\cdot\text{OH}$ = hydroxyl radical; OECD = Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development; TG = test guideline; GC = gas chromatography; MITI = Ministry of International Trade and Industry; BCF = bioaccumulation factor; BOD = biochemical oxygen demand; HPLC = high performance liquid chromatography

Appendix D REGULATORY HISTORY

The chemical substance, 1,2-dichloropropane, is subject to federal and state laws and regulations in the United States (Table_Apx D-1 and Table_Apx D-2). Regulatory actions by other governments, tribes and international agreements applicable to 1,2-dichloropropane are listed in Table_Apx D-3.

D.1 Federal Laws and Regulations

Table_Apx D-1. Federal Laws and Regulations

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
EPA Regulations		
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 6(b)	EPA is directed to identify high-priority chemical substances for risk evaluation; and conduct risk evaluations on at least 20 high priority substances no later than three and one-half years after the date of enactment of the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act.	1,2-dichloropropane is one of the 20 chemicals EPA designated as a High-Priority Substance for risk evaluation under TSCA (84 FR 71924, December 30, 2019). Designation of 1,2-Dichloropropane as high-priority substance constitutes the initiation of the risk evaluation on the chemical.
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(a)	The TSCA section 8(a) CDR Rule requires manufacturers (including importers) to give EPA basic exposure-related information on the types, quantities and uses of chemical substances produced domestically and imported into the United States.	1,2-Dichloropropane manufacturing (including importing), processing and use information is reported under the CDR rule (76 FR 50816, August 16, 2011).
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(b)	EPA must compile, keep current and publish a list (the TSCA Inventory) of each chemical substance manufactured (including imported), or processed, in the United States.	1,2-Dichloropropane was on the initial TSCA Inventory and therefore was not subject to EPA's new chemicals review process under TSCA section 5 (60 FR 16309, March 29, 1995).
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(e)	Manufacturers (including importers), processors, and distributors must immediately notify EPA if they obtain information that supports the conclusion that a chemical substance or mixture presents a substantial risk of injury to health or the environment.	One risk report received for 1, 2-Dichloropropane (1 year when the submissions were received: 1993 (U.S. EPA, ChemView. Accessed [April 11, 2019]).

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 4	Provides EPA with authority to issue rules and orders requiring manufacturers (including importers) and processors to test chemical substances and mixtures.	<p>Eleven chemical data submissions from test rules were received for 1,2-Dichloropropane: Acute aquatic plant toxicity (2), Acute aquatic toxicity (1), Chronic aquatic toxicity (2), Developmental toxicity (2), Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics (3), Mutagenicity/Genetic toxicity (1), Neurological toxicity (2), Reproductive toxicity (1).</p> <p>(Submissions were received between 1988-2005) (U.S. EPA, ChemView. Accessed April 18, 2019).</p>
Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act (EPCRA) – Section 313	Requires annual reporting from facilities in specific industry sectors that employ 10 or more full-time equivalent employees and that manufacture, process or otherwise use a TRI-listed chemical in quantities above threshold levels. A facility that meets reporting requirements must submit a reporting form for each chemical for which it triggered reporting, providing data across a variety of categories, including activities and uses of the chemical, releases and other waste management (e.g., quantities recycled, treated, combusted) and pollution prevention activities (under section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act). These data include on- and off-site data as well as multimedia data (i.e., air, land and water)	1,2-Dichloropropane is a listed substance subject to reporting requirements under 40 CFR 372.65 effective as of January 01, 1987.
Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) - Sections 3 and 6	FIFRA governs the sale, distribution and use of pesticides. Section 3 of FIFRA generally requires that pesticide products be registered by EPA prior to distribution or sale. Pesticides may only be registered if,	<p>1,2-Dichloropropane was registered as a conventional chemical in April 23, 1984.</p> <p>EPA removed 1,2-Dichloropropane from its list of</p>

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
	among other things, they do not cause “ <i>unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.</i> ” Section 6 of FIFRA provides EPA with the authority to cancel pesticide registrations if either (1) the pesticide, labeling, or other material does not comply with FIFRA; or (2) when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide generally causes unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.	pesticide product inert ingredients used in pesticide products on June 24, 1998, allowed as impurity only. (Pesticides Chemical Search, OPP, Accessed 4/16/19)
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 111(b)	Requires EPA to establish new source performance standards (NSPS) for any category of new or modified stationary sources that EPA determines causes, or contributes significantly to, air pollution, which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. The standards are based on the degree of emission limitation achievable through the application of the best system of emission reduction (BSER) which (taking into account the cost of achieving reductions and environmental impacts and energy requirements) EPA determines has been adequately demonstrated.	1,2-Dichloropropane is subject to the NSPS for equipment leaks of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the synthetic organic chemicals manufacturing industry for which construction, reconstruction or modification began after 1/5/1981 (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart VV).
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 112(b)	Defines the original list of 189 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). Under 112(c) of the CAA, EPA must identify and list source categories that emit HAP and then set emission standards for those listed source categories under CAA section 112(d). CAA section 112(b)(3)(A) specifies that any person may petition the Administrator to modify the list of HAP by adding or deleting a substance. Since 1990, EPA has removed two pollutants from the original list leaving 187 at present.	1,2-Dichloropropane is listed as a HAP (42 U.S. Code section 7412)

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 112(d)	Directs EPA to establish, by rule, NESHAPs for each category or subcategory of listed major sources and area sources of HAPs (listed pursuant to Section 112(c)). For major sources, the standards must require the maximum degree of emission reduction that EPA determines is achievable by each particular source category. This is generally referred to as maximum achievable control technology (MACT). For area sources, the standards must require generally achievable control technology (GACT) though may require MACT.	EPA has established NESHAPs for a number of source categories that emit 1,2-dichloropropane to air. (See https://www.epa.gov/stationary-sources-air-pollution/national-emission-standards-hazardous-air-pollutants-neshap-9)
Clean Water Act (CWA) - Section 304(a)(1)	Requires EPA to develop and publish ambient water quality criteria (AWQC) reflecting the latest scientific knowledge on the effects on human health that may be expected from the presence of pollutants in any body of water.	In 2015, EPA published updated AWQC for 1,2-Dichloropropane, including a recommendation of 0.90 (µg/L) for “Human Health for the consumption of Water + Organism” and 31 (µg/L) for “Human Health for the consumption of Organism Only” for states and authorized tribes to consider when adopting criteria into their water quality standards.
Clean Water Act (CWA) – Section 301, 304, 306, 307, and 402	Clean Water Act Section 307(a) establishes a list of toxic pollutants or combination of pollutants under the CWA. The statute specifies a list of families of toxic pollutants also listed in the Code of Federal Regulations at 40 CFR Part 401.15. The “priority pollutants” specified by those families are listed in 40 CFR Part 423 Appendix A. These are pollutants for which best available technology effluent limitations must be established on either a national basis through rules (Sections 301(b), 304(b), 307(b), 306) or on a case-by-case best professional judgement basis in NPDES permits,	1,2-Dichloropropane is designated as a priority pollutant under section 307(a)(1) of the CWA and as such is subject to effluent limitations. Under CWA section 304, 1,2-Dichloropropane is included in the list of total toxic organics (TTO) (40 CFR 413.02(i)).

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
	see Section 402(a)(1)(B). EPA identifies the best available technology that is economically achievable for that industry after considering statutorily prescribed factors and sets regulatory requirements based on the performance of that technology.	
Clean Water Act (CWA) – Section 311(b)(2)(A) and 501(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.	Requires EPA to develop, promulgate, and revise as may be appropriate, regulations designating as hazardous substances, other than oil, which, when discharged present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare, including, but not limited to, fish, shellfish, wildlife, shorelines, and beaches.	1,2-Dichloropropane is a designated hazardous substance in accordance with Section 311(b) (2)(A) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (40 FR 116.4, March 13, 1978).
Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) – Section 1412	Requires EPA to publish non-enforceable maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs) for contaminants which 1. may have an adverse effect on the health of persons; 2. are known to occur or there is a substantial likelihood that the contaminant will occur in public water systems with a frequency and at levels of public health concern; and 3. in the sole judgement of the Administrator, regulation of the contaminant presents a meaningful opportunity for health risk reductions for persons served by public water systems. When EPA publishes an MCLG, EPA must also promulgate a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NPDWR) which includes either an enforceable maximum contaminant level (MCL), or a required treatment technique. Public water systems are required to comply with NPDWRs.	1,2-Dichloropropane is subject to NPDWR under the SDWA with a MCLG of zero mg/L and an enforceable MCL of 0.0005 mg/L (Section 1412) (40 CFR Part 141, January 30, 1991)
Clean Water Act (CWA) – Section 311(b)(2)(A) and 501(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.	Requires EPA to develop, promulgate, and revise as may be appropriate, regulations designating as hazardous substances, other than oil, which,	1,2-Dichloropropane is a designated hazardous substance in accordance with Section 311(b)(2)(A) of the Federal

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
	when discharged present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare, including, but not limited to, fish, shellfish, wildlife, shorelines, and beaches.	Water Pollution Control Act (40 FR 116.4, March 13, 1978).
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) – Section 3001	Directs EPA to develop and promulgate criteria for identifying the characteristics of hazardous waste, and for listing hazardous waste, taking into account toxicity, persistence, and degradability in nature, potential for accumulation in tissue and other related factors such as flammability, corrosiveness, and other hazardous characteristics.	1,2-Dichloropropane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001. RCRA Hazardous Waste Code: U083 (40 CFR section 261.33).
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) – Sections 102(a) and 103	<p>Authorizes EPA to promulgate regulations designating as hazardous substances those substances which, when released into the environment, may present substantial danger to the public health or welfare or the environment.</p> <p>EPA must also promulgate regulations establishing the quantity of any hazardous substance the release of which must be reported under Section 103.</p> <p>Section 103 requires persons in charge of vessels or facilities to report to the National Response Center if they have knowledge of a release of a hazardous substance above the reportable quantity threshold.</p>	1,2-Dichloropropane is a hazardous substance under CERCLA. Releases of 1,2-Dichloropropane in excess of 1000 pounds must be reported (40 CFR 302.4).
Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) –	Requires the Agency to revise the hazardous ranking system and update the National Priorities List of hazardous waste sites, increases state and citizen involvement in the superfund program and provides new enforcement authorities and settlement tools.	1,2-Dichloropropane is listed on SARA, an amendment to CERCLA and the CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances. This list includes substances most commonly found at facilities on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL) that have been

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
		deemed to pose the greatest threat to public health.
Other Federal Regulations		
Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA)	Requires employers to provide their workers with a place of employment free from recognized hazards to safety and health, such as exposure to toxic chemicals, excessive noise levels, mechanical dangers, heat or cold stress or unsanitary conditions (29 U.S.C section 651 et seq.). Under the Act, OSHA can issue occupational safety and health standards including such provisions as Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs), exposure monitoring, engineering and administrative control measures, and respiratory protection.	On June 30, 1993, OSHA issued occupational safety and health standards for [1,2-Dichloropropane] that included a PEL of 75 ppm TWA, exposure monitoring, control measures and respiratory protection 29 CFR 1910.1000 (Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limits (PELS) from 29 CFR 1910.1000. OSHA Annotated Table Z-1, Accessed April 16, 2019.
Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (HMTA)	Section 5103 of the Act directs the Secretary of Transportation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designate material (including an explosive, radioactive material, infectious substance, flammable or combustible liquid, solid or gas, toxic, oxidizing or corrosive material, and compressed gas) as hazardous when the Secretary determines that transporting the material in commerce may pose an unreasonable risk to health and safety or property. • Issue regulations for the safe transportation, including security, of hazardous material in intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce. 	1,2-Dichloropropane is listed as a hazardous material with regard to transportation and is subject to regulations prescribing requirements applicable to the shipment and transportation of listed hazardous materials (<u>70 FR 34381</u> , June 14 2005).

D.2 State Laws and Regulations

Table_Apx D-2. State Laws and Regulations

State Actions	Description of Action
---------------	-----------------------

State Air Regulations	Allowable Ambient Levels New Hampshire (Env-A 1400: Regulated Toxic Air Pollutants). Rhode Island (Air Pollution Regulation No. 22).
State Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines	Arizona (14 Ariz. Admin. Register 2978, August 1, 2008), California (Cal Code Regs. Title 26, § 22-64444), Delaware (Del. Admin. Code Title 16, § 4462), Connecticut (Conn. Agencies Regs. § 19-13-B102), Florida (Fla. Admin. Code R. Chap. 62-550), Maine (10 144 Me. Code R. Chap. 231), Massachusetts (310 Code Mass. Regs. § 22.00), Michigan (Mich. Admin. Code r.299.44 and r.299.49, 2017), Minnesota (Minn R. Chap. 4720) (MN specifies VOC's, but does not go beyond that), New Jersey (7:10 N.J Admin. Code § 5.2), Pennsylvania (25 Pa. Code § 109.202), Rhode Island (Rules and Regulations Pertaining to Public Drinking Water R46-13-DWQ), Texas (30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.104).
State PELs	California (PEL of 75 ppm and a STEL of 110) (Cal Code Regs. Title 8, § 5155). Hawaii PEL: 75 ppm (Hawaii Administrative Rules section 12-60-50).
State Right-to-Know Acts	Massachusetts (105 Code Mass. Regs. § 670.000 Appendix A) and New Jersey (8:59 N.J. Admin. Code § 9.1).
Chemicals of High Concern to Children	Several states have adopted reporting laws for chemicals in children's products containing 1,2-Dichloropropane, including Maine (38 MRSA Chapter 16-D).
Other	California listed 1,2-Dichloropropane on Proposition 65 in January 1, 1990 due to cancer (Cal Code Regs. Title 27, § 27001). 1,2-Dichloropropane is listed as a Candidate Chemical under California's Safer Consumer Products Program (Health and Safety Code § 25252 and 25253). California issued a Health Hazard Alert for 1,2-Dichloropropane (Hazard Evaluation System and Information Service, 2016). California lists 1,2-Dichloropropane as a designated priority chemical for biomonitoring (California SB 1379). 1,2-Dichloropropane is on the MA Toxic Use Reduction Act (TURA) list of 1989 (301 Code Mass. Regs. § 41.03).

D.3 International Laws and Regulations

Table_Apx D-3. Regulatory Actions by other Governments, Tribes, and International Agreements

Country/ Organization	Requirements and Restrictions
Canada	Canada requires Reportable to National Pollution Release Inventory for 1,2-dichloropropane if manufactured, processed, or otherwise used at

	<p>quantities greater than: 10 tonnes. NPRI Part (Threshold Category): 1A, 2018-01-20.</p> <p>Canada added 1,2-dichloropropane to the Domestic Substances List as an “Existing Substance”, i.e. already in commerce in Canada in 1984-1986 and not subject to the New Substance Notification Regulations. Canada Gazette Part II, Vol. 128, No. 9, May 04 1994, SOR/94-311.</p> <p>1,2-dichloropropane did not meet Canada’s criteria under subsection 73(1) of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA). Canadian Environmental Protection Act: categorization of existing substances. 2006-09-14.</p>
European Union	{Registration] 1,2-Dichloropropane is registered for use in the EU.
Australia	<p>1,2-Dichloropropane can be manufactured or imported into Australia for commercial purposes without notifying us, provided that the Australian importer/manufacture is currently registered with us. This chemical may be subject to other Australian Government and State or Territory Government regulations.</p> <p>1,2-dichloropropane was assessed under Human Health Tier II of the Inventory Multi-Tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (IMAP).</p> <p>No specific Australian use, import, or manufacturing information has been identified.</p> <p>The following international uses have been identified through Galleria Chemica, European Union Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals dossier (REACH), Substances and Preparations in the Nordic countries (SPIN) database, United States (US) Department of Health National Toxicology Program (NTP), US Environmental Protection Agency Chemical and Product Categories (CPCat), Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2003), International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR, 1989) and the National Institute of standards and Technology (NIST).</p> <p>The chemical may have domestic uses including: as a solvent for glues, adhesives, degreasers, cleaning and painting products; as a stain remover for fabrics; in car care products; and as a paint remover.</p> <p>NICNAS, 2017, <i>Ethane, 1,1-dichloro-: Human health tier II assessment</i> Accessed April 17, 2019).</p>
Japan	1,2-Dichloropropane is regulated in Japan under the following legislation:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Act on the Evaluation of Chemical Substances and Regulation of Their Manufacture, etc. (Chemical Substances Control Law; CSCL) • Act on Confirmation, etc. of Release Amounts of Specific Chemical Substances in the Environment and Promotion of Improvements to the Management Thereof • Industrial Safety and Health Act (ISHA) • Air Pollution Control Law • Water Pollution Control Law <p>(National Institute of Technology and Evaluation [NITE] Chemical Risk Information Platform [CHRIP] Accessed April 17, 2019).</p>
World Health Organization (WHO)	EHC on 1,2-dichloropropane found that: for general population: There is low or non-existent exposure of the general population to 1,2-dichloropropane from air and food. However, in certain areas, exposure may occur when groundwater is contaminated. Occupational exposure: With good work practices, hygienic measures, and safety precautions, the use of 1,2-dichloropropane is unlikely to present a risk for those occupationally exposed to it. (EHC 146, 1993).
Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Hungary, Ireland, Japan, New Zealand, People's Republic of China, Poland, Romania, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Switzerland	Occupational exposure limits for 1,2-Dichloropropane (GESTIS International limit values for chemical agents (Occupational exposure limits, OELs) database. Accessed April 18, 2019.

Appendix E PROCESS, RELEASE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE INFORMATION

This appendix provides information and data found in preliminary data identification and evaluation for 1,2-dichloropropane.

E.1 Process Information

Process-related information potentially relevant to the risk evaluation may include process diagrams, descriptions and equipment. Such information may inform potential release sources and worker exposure activities.

E.1.1 Manufacture (Including Import)

E.1.1.1 Manufacture

1,2-Dichloropropane can be produced from propylene chloride and antimony pentachloride. It is also a byproduct of the reaction of propene and chlorine in epichlorohydrin manufacturing ([EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428-0011](#)).

E.1.1.2 Import

Commodity chemicals such as 1,2-dichloropropane may be imported into the United States in bulk via water, air, land, and intermodal shipments ([Tomer and Kane, 2015](#)). These shipments take the form of oceangoing chemical tankers, railcars, tank trucks, and intermodal tank containers. Chemicals shipped in bulk containers may be repackaged into smaller containers for resale, such as drums or bottles. Domestically manufactured commodity chemicals may be shipped within the United States in liquid cargo barges, railcars, tank trucks, tank containers, intermediate bulk containers (IBCs)/totes, and drums. Both imported and domestically manufactured commodity chemicals may be repackaged by wholesalers for resale; for example, repackaging bulk packaging into drums or bottles. The type and size of container will vary depending on customer requirement. In some cases, QC samples may be taken at import and repackaging sites for analyses. Some import facilities may only serve as storage and distribution locations, and repackaging/sampling may not occur at all import facilities.

In the 2016 CDR, companies reported importing a formulation containing at least 90 percent 1,2-dichloropropane (U.S. EPA, 2016c).

E.1.2 Processing and Distribution

E.1.2.1 Processing as a Reactant

Processing as a reactant or intermediate is the use of 1,2-dichloropropane as a feedstock in the production of another chemical via a chemical reaction in which 1,2-dichloropropane is consumed to form the product. In the 2016 CDR, companies reported use of 1,2-Dichloropropane as an intermediate in the manufacture of other basic organic chemicals (U.S. EPA, 2016c). EPA has not identified specific process information for the processing of 1,2-dichloropropane as a reactant.

E.1.2.2 Incorporation into Formulation, Mixture, or Reaction Product

Incorporation into a formulation, mixture or reaction product refers to the process of mixing or blending of several raw materials to obtain a product or mixture (e.g., cleaning products). EPA has not identified formulation processes specific to 1,2-dichloropropane.

E.1.3 Uses

E.1.3.1 Industrial Use – Non-Incorporative Activities

1,2-Dichloropropane is used at Solenis LLC's Courtland, Virginia plant as a solvent in the production of alkaline paper surface sizing agents. At the end of this batch process, 1,2-dichloropropane is evaporated under vacuum and recovered (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0428-0011).

E.1.3.2 Commercial Laboratory Use

A SDS for 1,2-dichloropropane (100 percent purity) states the chemical has laboratory use. However, specific laboratory use activities are unknown (Chem Service, 2018).

E.1.3.3 Commercial Cleaning and Furniture Care Products

1,2-Dichloropropane is present in various liquid spray cleaners, all-purpose liquid cleaners, waxes and polishes at concentrations ranging from 1 to 54 percent concentration. According to product webpages, these products are first applied to surfaces via spray, sponge, or cloth, then wiped or buffed off (Tenax USA, 2015; Tenax USA, 2020a-c).

E.1.4 Disposal

Each of the conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane may generate waste streams of the chemical that are collected and transported to third-party sites for disposal, treatment, or recycling. Industrial sites that treat or dispose onsite wastes that they themselves generate will be assessed in each condition of use. Similarly, point source discharges of 1,2-dichloropropane to surface water will be assessed in each condition of use (point source discharges are exempt as solid wastes under RCRA). Wastes of 1,2-dichloropropane that are generated during a condition of use and sent to a third-party site for treatment, disposal, or recycling may include the following:

- **Wastewater:** 1,2-Dichloropropane may be contained in wastewater discharged to POTW or other, non-public treatment works for treatment. Industrial wastewater containing 1,2-dichloropropane discharged to a POTW may be subject to EPA or authorized NPDES state pretreatment programs. Wastewater discharges to POTWs and non-public treatment works of 1,2-dichloropropane will be evaluated during risk evaluation.
- **Solid Wastes:** Solid wastes are defined under RCRA as any material that is discarded by being: abandoned; inherently waste-like; a discarded military munition; or recycled in certain ways (certain instances of the generation and legitimate reclamation of secondary materials are exempted as solid wastes under RCRA). Solid wastes may subsequently meet RCRA's definition of hazardous waste by either being listed as a waste at 40 CFR §§ 261.30 to 261.35 or by meeting waste-like characteristics as defined at 40 CFR §§ 261.20 to 261.24. Solid wastes that are hazardous wastes are regulated under the more stringent requirements of Subtitle C of RCRA, whereas non-hazardous solid wastes are regulated under the less stringent requirements of Subtitle D of RCRA.

1,2-Dichloropropane is a U-listed hazardous waste under code U083 under RCRA; therefore, discarded, unused pure and commercial grades of 1,2-dichloropropane are regulated as a hazardous waste under RCRA (40 CFR § 261.33(f)).

- Wastes Exempted as Solid Wastes under RCRA: Certain conditions of use of 1,2-dichloropropane may generate wastes of 1,2-dichloropropane that are exempted as solid wastes under 40 CFR § 261.4(a). For example, the generation and legitimate reclamation of hazardous secondary materials of 1,2-dichloropropane may be exempt as a solid waste.

E.2 Sources Containing Potentially Relevant Data or Information

EPA presents below examples of occupational exposure-related information from the preliminary data identification and evaluation. EPA plans to consider this information and data in combination of other data and methods for use in the risk evaluation. Note there are no OSHA Chemical Exposure and Health Data (CEHD) for 1,2-dichloropropane within the last ten years. In addition, there are no NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluations specific to this chemical.

Table_Apx E-1. Summary of Industry Sectors with 1,2-Dichloropropane Personal Monitoring Air Samples Obtained from OSHA Inspections Conducted since 1984

NAICS Code	NAICS Description	Number of Data Points
327991	Cut Stone and Stone Product Manufacturing	2

Appendix F SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AND USES

Table_Apx F-1. Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
Manufacturing	Domestic manufacturing Import	Domestic manufacturing Import	Manufacture of 1,2-dichloropropane	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Processing	As a reactant	Intermediate in all other basic organic chemical manufacturing	Manufacture of all other basic organic chemicals	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
Processing	Incorporation into formulation, mixture, or reaction product	Intermediate in all other chemical product and preparation manufacturing	Manufacture of all other chemical product and preparation	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Distribution in Commerce	Distribution in Commerce	Distribution in Commerce	Distribution of bulk shipments of 1,1,2-dichloropropane and formulated products	Liquid Contact, Vapor	Dermal, Inhalation	Worker, ONU	Yes	EPA plans to evaluate activities resulting in exposures associated with distribution in commerce (e.g. loading, unloading) throughout the various lifecycle stages and conditions of use (e.g. manufacturing, processing, industrial use, commercial use, disposal) rather than as a single distribution scenario.
Industrial Use	Non-incorporative activities	Processing aids, not otherwise listed	Processing solvent used in the production of paper sizing agent	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
Commercial use	Other use	Laboratory chemicals	Laboratory chemical use	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Commercial use	Cleaning and furniture care products	All-purpose liquid spray cleaner All-purpose waxes and polishes All-purpose liquid cleaner/polish	Application of liquid cleaners, polishes, and waxes	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Mist	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Based on information from SDS, product website, and third-party site, some polishing products may be spray-applied.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
Disposal	Disposal	Disposal	Worker handling of wastes	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,2-Dichloropropane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Appendix G SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR CONSUMER ACTIVITIES AND USES

Table_Apx G-1. Consumer Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release from source	Exposure Pathway	Route	Receptor	Plan to Evaluate ¹¹	Rationale
Consumer Use	Cleaning and furniture care products	All-purpose liquid spray cleaner	Application of liquid waxes and polishes	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Consumer	Yes	Consumers may potentially handle liquids containing 1,2-dichloropropane.
		All-purpose waxes and polishes All-purpose liquid cleaner/polish	Application of spray cleaner or polish	Vapor/Mist	Inhalation	Consumers/ Bystanders	Yes	Due to high volatility (53.3 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor. Based on information from SDS, product website, and third-party site, some polishing products may be spray-applied.

¹¹ The exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards EPA plans to consider are subject to change in the final scope, in light of comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information. EPA continues to consider whether and how other EPA-administered statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,2-dichloropropane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

Appendix H SUPPORTING INFORMATION – CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES AND WASTES

Table_Apx H-1. General Population and Environmental Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Release	Exposure Pathway / Media	Exposure Routes	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
All	Emissions to Air	Emissions to Air	Near facility ambient air concentrations	Inhalation	General Population	No	1,2-Dichloropropane is a HAP. Stationary source releases of 1,2-dichloropropane to ambient air are under the jurisdiction of the CAA
			Indirect deposition to nearby bodies of water and soil catchments	Oral Dermal	General Population	No	
				TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors	No	
	Wastewater or Liquid Wastes	Industrial pre-treatment and wastewater treatment, or POTW	Direct release into surface water and indirect partitioning to sediment	TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors	Yes	EPA has developed Ambient Water Quality Criteria for protection of human health for 1,2-dichloropropane.
				Oral Dermal	General Population	No	
			Drinking Water via Surface or Ground Water	Oral Dermal and Inhalation (e.g. showering)	General Population	No	The drinking water exposure pathway for 1,2-dichloropropane is currently addressed in the SDWA regulatory analytical process for public water systems,
			Biosolids: application to soil and/or migration to groundwater and/or surface water	Oral (e.g. ingestion of soil) Inhalation	General Population	No	Unlikely to be a route to general population since 1,2-dichloropropane is not expected to sorb onto biosolids.
				TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial receptors	Yes	
		Underground injection	Migration to groundwater, potential	Oral Dermal Inhalation	General Population	No	1,2-dichloropropane is released to Class I Underground Injection

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Release	Exposure Pathway / Media	Exposure Routes	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate	Rationale
			surface/drinking water		Aquatic and Terrestrial Species		Wells which are covered by SDWA and RCRA.
				TBD			
Disposal	Solid and Liquid Wastes	Hazardous, Municipal landfill and other land disposal	Leachate to soil, ground water and/or mitigation to surface water	Oral Dermal	General Population	No	1,2-dichloropropane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001 (40 CFR §§ 261.33).
				TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors		