


Draft Scope of the Risk Evaluation for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane

CASRN 79-00-5



April 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS	5
ABBREV	VIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	6
EXECU	ΓIVE SUMMARY	9
1 INT	RODUCTION	12
2 SCO	PE OF THE EVALUATION	12
	Reasonably Available Information	
2.1		12
2.1.1	•	
2.1.2	•	
	Conditions of Use	
2.2.1		
	Evaluation	20
2.2.2	Activities Excluded from the Scope of the Risk Evaluation	21
2.2.3		
2.2.4	Overview of Conditions of Use and Lifecycle Diagram	22
2.3	Exposures	24
2.3.1		
2.3.2	1	
2.3.3		
2.3.4	1	
2.3.5	1 1	
2.3.6	1	
2.3.7	1 1	
	Hazards (Effects)	
2.4.1		
2.4.2		
	Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulations	
	Conceptual Models	
2.6.1	1	
2.6.2	 Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and 	31
2.0.3	•	22
2.6	Hazards (Regulatory Overlay) 5.3.1 Ambient Air Pathway	
	5.3.2 Drinking Water Pathway	
	5.3.3 Ambient Water Pathway	
	5.3.4 Disposal and Soil Pathways	
	Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and	50
2.0.1	Hazards	37
2.7	Analysis Plan	
2.7.1		
2.7.2		
	7.2.1 Environmental Releases	
2.7	7.2.2 Environmental Exposures	

2.7.2.3	Occupational Exposures	
2.7.2.4	Consumer Exposures	
2.7.2.5	General Population	
2.7.3 На	zards (Effects)	
2.7.3.1	Environmental Hazards	
2.7.3.2		
	mmary of Risk Approaches for Characterization	
2.8 Peer	Review	50
REFERENCE	ES	51
APPENDICE	S	61
Appendix A	LIST OF GRAY LITERATURE SOURCES	61
Appendix B	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETE	IANE 64
Appendix C	ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSORT PROPERTIES OF 1,1,2-	
	DETHANE	
Appendix D	REGULATORY HISTORY	
D.1 Feder	al Laws and Regulations	
	Laws and Regulations	
	ational Laws and Regulations	
Appendix E	PROCESS, RELEASE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE INFORMATI	ION 75
E.1 Proce	ss Information	75
E.1.1 Ma	anufacture (Including Import)	75
E.1.1.1	Manufacture	75
E.1.1.2	Import	
	pcessing and Distribution	
	Processing as a Reactant or Intermediate	
	lustrial and Commercial Uses	
E.1.3.1	Industrial Use: Adhesives and Sealants	
E.1.3.2	Industrial Use: Non-Incorporative Activities	
	Commercial Use: Adhesives and Sealants	
E.1.3.4	Commercial Use: Laboratory Use	
	sposal es Containing Potentially Relevant Data or Information	
	SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR INDUST ERCIAL ACTIVITIES AND USES	
	SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR CONSU	
	AND USES	
	SUPPORTING INFORMATION – CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR	
ENVIRONM	ENTAL RELEASES AND WASTES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Results of Title Screening of Submissions to EPA under Various Sections of TSCA a	20
Table 2-2. Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation	20
Table 2-3. Summary of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane TRI Production-Related Waste Managed in 2018	25
Table 2-4. Summary of Releases of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane to the Environment During 2018	25
Table 2-5. Categories and Sources of Environmental Release Data	40
Table 2-6. Potential Sources of Occupational Exposure Data	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Gray Literature Tags by Discipline for 1,1,2-Trichlorothane	14
Figure 2-2. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Physical-Chemical Properties Search Results for 1,1,2-	
Trichloroethane	15
Figure 2-3. Peer-Reviewed Literature – Fate and Transport Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	16
Figure 2-4. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Engineering Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	17
Figure 2-5. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Exposure Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	18
Figure 2-6. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Hazard Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	19
Figure 2-7. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Life Cycle Diagram	23
Figure 2-8. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Occupational Exposure Conceptual Model for Industrial and	
Commercial Activities and Uses: Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposures and	
Hazards	30
Figure 2-9. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Consumer	
Exposures and Hazards	32
Figure 2-10. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes:	
Environmental and General Population Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay)	34
Figure 2-11. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes:	
Environmental Exposures and Hazards	38
-	

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table_Apx A-1. List of Gray Literature Sources for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	. 61
Table_Apx B-1. Physical and Chemical Properties of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	. 64
Table_Apx C-1. Environmental Fate and Transport Properties of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	. 66
Table_Apx D-1. Federal Laws and Regulations	. 68
Table_Apx D-2. State Laws and Regulations	. 73
Table_Apx D-3. Regulatory Actions by other Governments, Tribes, and International Agreements	. 74
Table_Apx E-1. Summary of Industry Sectors with 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Personal Monitoring Air	
Samples Obtained from OSHA Inspections Conducted since 1984	. 77
Table_Apx E-2. Potentially Relevant Data Sources for Exposure Monitoring and Area Monitoring Da	ita
from NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluations	. 78
Table_Apx F-1. Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table .	. 79
Table_Apx G-1. Consumer Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table	. 84
Table_Apx H-1. General Population and Environmental Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Tab	le
	. 85

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (OCSPP), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT).

Acknowledgements

The OPPT Assessment Team gratefully acknowledges participation or input from Intra-agency reviewers that included multiple offices within EPA, Inter-agency reviewers that included multiple Federal agencies, and assistance from EPA contractors Abt Associates (Contract No. EP-W-16-009), ERG (Contract No. EP-W-12-006), GDIT (Contract No. HHSN316201200013W), ICF (Contract No.68HERC19D0003), SRC (Contract No. 68HERH19F0213), and Versar (Contract No. EP-W-17-006). EPA also acknowledges the contributions of technical experts from EPA's Office of Research and Development.

Docket

Supporting information can be found in public docket: [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421].

Disclaimer

Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the United States Government.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ADME	Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion
AIA	Aerospace Industries Association
AMTIC	Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
BAF	Bioaccumulation Factor
BCF	Bioconcentration Factor
BMF	Biomagnification factor
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BP	Boiling Point
BSER	Best System of Emission Reduction
$\mathbf{BW}^{3/4}$	Body Weight ³ / ₄ Extrapolation
CAA	Clean Air Act
CalEPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CASRN	Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number
CBI	Confidential Business Information
CDC	Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention
CDR	Chemical Data Reporting
CEHD	Chemical Exposure Health Data
CEM	Consumer Exposure Model
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
ChemSTEER	Chemical Screening Tool for Occupational Exposures and Releases
CHRIP	Chemical Risk Information Platform
COC	Concentration of Concern
CPCat	Chemical and Product Categories
CRC	Coordinating Research Council
CSCL	Chemical Substances Control Law
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWA-AWQC	C Clean Water Act- Ambient Water Quality Criteria
DMR	Discharge Monitoring Report
DOT	Department of Transportation
EC	Engineering Control(s)
ECHA	European Chemicals Agency
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
EPI	Estimation Programs Interface
ERG	Eastern Research Group
ESD	Emission Scenario Document
ESDU	Engineering Sciences Data Unit
EU	European Union
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FFDCA	Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
FR	Federal Register

FYI	For your information
GACT	Generally Available Control Technology
GDIT	General Dynamics Information Technology
GESTIS	Substance Database contains information for the safe handling of hazardous substances
	and other chemical substances at work
GS	Generic Scenario
HAP	Hazardous Air Pollutant
HERO	Health and Environmental Research Online
HHE	Health Hazard Evaluation
HLC	Henry's Law Constant
HMTA	Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Act
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HSDB	Hazardous Substances Data Bank
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
ICF	ICF is a global consulting services company
IMAP	Inventory Multi-Tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (Australia)
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
ISHA	Industrial Safety and Health Act
Koc	Organic Carbon: Water Partition Coefficient
Kow	Octanol: Water Partition Coefficient
LOAELs	Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
MACT	Maximum Achievable Control Technology
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goal
MITI	Ministry of International Trade and Industry
MOA	Mode of Action
MP	Melting Point
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NATA	National-scale Air Toxics Assessment
NBOS	National Bureau of Standards
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NEI	National Emissions Inventory
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
NICNAS	National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (Australia)
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NITE	National Institute of Technology and Evaluation
NLM	National Library of Medicine
NOAELS	No Observed Adverse Effect Level
NOEC	No Observed Effect Concentration
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPDWR	National Primary Drinking Water Regulation
NPL	National Priorities List
NPRI	National Pollutant Release Inventory
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OCSPP	Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention

OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (California)
ONU	Occupational Non-User
OPPT	Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OW	EPA's Office of Water
P-chem	Physical-chemical
PBPK	Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic
PBT	Persistent Bioaccumulation, and Toxic
PECO	Population, Exposure, Comparator, Outcome
PEL	Permissible Exposure Limit
PESS	Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulation
PODs	Points of Departure
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (European Union)
REL	Recommended Exposure Limit
RQs	Risk Quotients
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SDS	Safety Data Sheet
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SIDS	Screening Information Dataset
SNAc	Significant New Activity
SRC	SRC Inc., formerly Syracuse Research Corporation
SYKE	The Finnish Environment Institute
TBD	To be determined
TCA	Trichloroethane
TG	Test Guideline
TIAB	Title and Abstract
TLV	Threshold Limit Value
TMF	Trophic Magnification Factors
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TSD	Technical Support Document
TTO	Total Toxic Organics
TURA	Toxic Use Reduction Act
TWA	Time-weighted average
UCMR	Unregulated Contaminants Monitoring Rule
UIC	Underground Injection Control
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
VP	Vapor Pressure
WS	Water Solubility
WWT	Wastewater Treatment

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In December 2019, designated 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a high priority substance for risk evaluation following the process as required by section 6(b) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and implementing regulations (40CFR Part 702) (Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421). The first step of the risk evaluation process is the development of the scope document and this document fulfills the TSCA requirement to issue a draft scope document as required in 40 CFR 702.41(c)(7). The draft scope for 1,1,2-trichloroethane includes the following information: the conditions of use, potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (PESS), hazards and exposures that EPA plans to consider in this risk evaluation, along with a description of the reasonably available information, conceptual model, analysis plan and science approaches, and plan for peer review for this chemical substance. EPA is providing a 45-day comment period on the draft scope document and the risk evaluation.

General Information. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) is a colorless, sweet-smelling liquid. It is generally nonflammable, can be dissolved in water, and evaporates easily (volatilization half-life of 35 minutes). Although this liquid is nonflammable, containers of 1,1,2-trichloroethane may explode in the heat of a fire. It is used as a solvent and as an intermediate in the production of other chemicals. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane is sometimes present as an impurity in other chemicals, and it may be formed when another chemical breaks down in the environment under conditions where there is no air.

Reasonably Available Information. EPA leveraged the data and information sources already described in the document supporting the High-Priority Substance designation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane to inform the development of this draft scope document. To further develop this draft scope document, EPA conducted a comprehensive search to identify and screen multiple evidence streams (i.e., chemistry, fate, release and engineering, exposure, hazard) and the search and screening results to date are provided in Section 2.1. EPA is seeking public comment on this draft scope document, as appropriate, in developing the final scope document. EPA is using the systematic review process described in the *Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations* document (U.S. EPA, 2018) to guide the process of searching for and screening reasonably available information, including information already in EPA's possession, for use and inclusion in the risk evaluation. EPA is applying these systematic review methods to collect reasonably available information regarding hazards, exposures, PESS and conditions of use that will help inform the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

Conditions of Use. EPA plans to evaluate manufacturing, including importing; processing; distribution in commerce; industrial, commercial and consumer uses; and disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in the risk evaluation. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane is manufactured within the U.S. as well as imported into the U.S. The chemical is processed as a reactant and other processing activities include recycling. Several industrial and commercial uses were identified in adhesives and sealants, non-incorporative activities, and use as a laboratory chemical. The only consumer use reported is in adhesives and sealants. EPA identified these conditions of use from information reported to EPA through CDR and TRI reporting, published literature, and consultation with stakeholders for both uses currently in production and uses whose production may have ceased. Section 2.2 provides details about the conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation.

Conceptual Model. The conceptual models for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are presented in Section 2.6. Conceptual models are graphical depictions of the actual or predicted relationships of conditions of use,

exposure pathways (e.g., media), exposure routes (e.g., inhalation, dermal, oral), hazards and receptors throughout the life cycle of the chemical substance - from manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, storage, or use, to release or disposal. EPA plans to focus the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane on the following exposures, hazards and receptors, however, EPA also plans to consider comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information when finalizing this scope document, and to adjust the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards included in the scope document as needed.

• *Exposures (Pathways and Routes), Receptors and PESS.* EPA plans to analyze both human and environmental exposures resulting from the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. Exposures for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are discussed in Section 2.3. EPA identified environmental monitoring data reporting the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in air, drinking water, ground water, sediment, soil, surface water and biota. 1,1,2-trichloroethane is subject to reporting to EPA's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) and EPA plans to use TRI information as reasonably available information to inform 1,1,2-trichloroethane's environmental release assessment. For the 2018 reporting year, 27 facilities reported to EPA releases of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to air, water, and via land disposal. Additional information gathered through the results of systematic review searches will also informed expected exposures.

EPA's plan as to environmental exposure pathways considers whether and how other EPAadministered statutes and regulatory programs address the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in media pathways falling under the jurisdiction of those authorities. Section 2.6.3 discusses those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws. In Section 2.6.4, EPA presents the conceptual model describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane within the scope of the risk evaluation.

Preliminarily, EPA plans to evaluate the following human and environmental exposure pathways, routes, receptors and PESS in the scope of the risk evaluation. However, EPA plans to consider comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information when finalizing this scope document, and to adjust the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards included in the scope document as needed.

- Occupational exposure pathways associated with industrial and commercial conditions of use: For industrial and commercial uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane, EPA plans to analyze exposure to liquids for workers via the dermal route. In addition, EPA plans to analyze exposure to vapor and/or mist for workers and occupational non-users via the inhalation route.
- Consumer and bystander exposure pathways associated with consumer conditions of use: EPA plans to evaluate the inhalation and dermal exposure to 1,1,2-trichloroethane when consumers are handling cleaning and furniture care products.
- Receptors and PESS: EPA plans to include children, women of reproductive age (e.g., pregnant women per TSCA statute), workers and consumers as receptors and PESS in the risk evaluation.
- *Environmental exposures:* EPA plans to evaluate exposure to 1,1,2-trichloroethane for aquatic and terrestrial receptors.

Hazards. Hazards for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are discussed in Section 2.4. EPA completed preliminary reviews of information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential environmental and human health hazards for 1,1,2-trichloroethane as part of the prioritization process. Environmental hazard effects were identified for aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Information collected through systematic review methods and public comments may identify additional environmental hazards that warrant inclusion in the environmental hazard assessment of the risk evaluation.

EPA plans to use systematic review methods to evaluate the epidemiological and toxicological literature for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Relevant mechanistic evidence could also be considered, if reasonably available, to inform the interpretation of findings related to potential human health effects and the dose-repose assessment. EPA plans to evaluate all of the potential human health hazards for 1,1,2-trichloroethane identified in Section 2.4.2. The broad health effect categories include reproductive and developmental, immunological, neurological and irritation effects. Studies were also identified reporting information on genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, biomonitoring and toxicokinetics.

Analysis Plan. The analysis plan for 1,1,2-trichloroethane is presented in Section 2.7. The analysis plan outlines the general science approaches that EPA plans to use for the various information streams (i.e., chemistry, fate, release and engineering, exposure, hazard) supporting the risk evaluation. The analysis plan is based on EPA's knowledge of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to date which includes a partial, but ongoing, review of identified information as described in Section 2.1. EPA plans to continue to consider new information submitted by the public. Should additional data or approaches become reasonably available, EPA may update its analysis plan in the final scope document.

EPA plans to seek public comments on the systematic review methods supporting the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane, including the methods for assessing the quality of data and information and the approach for evidence synthesis and evidence integration supporting the exposure and hazard assessments. The details will be provided in a supplemental document that EPA anticipates releasing for public comment prior to the finalization of the scope document.

Peer Review. The draft risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane will be peer reviewed. Peer review will be conducted in accordance with relevant and applicable methods for chemical risk evaluations, including using EPA's <u>Peer Review Handbook</u> and other methods consistent with section 26 of TSCA (See <u>40 CFR 702.45</u>).

1 INTRODUCTION

This document presents for comment the scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted for 1,1,2trichloroethane under the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act. The Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act amended the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) on June 22, 2016. The new law includes statutory requirements and deadlines for actions related to conducting risk evaluations of existing chemicals.

TSCA § 6(b) and 40 CFR Part 702, Subpart A require the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to designate chemical substances as high-priority substances for risk evaluation or low-priority substances for which risk evaluations are not warranted at the time, and upon designating a chemical substance as a high-priority substance, initiate a risk evaluation on the substance. TSCA § 6(b)(4) directs EPA, in conducting risk evaluations for existing chemicals, to "*determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant to the risk evaluation by the Administrator under the conditions of use.*"

TSCA § 6(b)(4)(D) and implementing regulations require that EPA publish the scope of the risk evaluation to be conducted, including the hazards, exposures, conditions of use and potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations that the Administrator expects to consider, within 6 months after the initiation of a risk evaluation. In addition, a draft scope is to be published pursuant to 40 CFR 702.41. In December 2019, EPA published a list of 20 chemical substances that have been designated high-priority substances for risk evaluations (84 FR 71924), as required by TSCA § 6(b)(2)(B), which initiated the risk evaluation process for those chemical substances. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane was one of the chemicals designated as a high priority substance for risk evaluation.

2 SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION

2.1 Reasonably Available Information

EPA conducted a comprehensive search for reasonably available information¹ to support the development of this draft scope document for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA leveraged the data and information sources already collected in the documents supporting the high-priority substance designations. In addition, EPA searched for additional data and information on physical and chemical properties, environmental fate, engineering, exposure, environmental and human health hazards that could be obtained from in the following general categories of sources:

- 1. Databases containing publicly available, peer-reviewed literature;
- 2. Gray literature, which is defined as the broad category of data/information sources not found in standard, peer-reviewed literature databases.

¹*Reasonably available information* means information that EPA possesses or can reasonably generate, obtain, and synthesize for use in risk evaluations, considering the deadlines specified in TSCA section 6(b)(4)(G) for completing such evaluation. Information that meets the terms of the preceding sentence is reasonably available information whether or not the information is confidential business information, that is protected from public disclosure under TSCA section 14 (40 CFR 702.33).

3. Data and information submitted under TSCA Sections 4, 5, 8(e), and 8(d), as well as "for your information" (FYI) submissions

Following the comprehensive search, EPA performed a title and abstract screening to identify information potentially relevant for the risk evaluation process. This step also classified the references into useful categories or tags to facilitate the sorting of information through the systematic review process. The search and screening process was conducted based on EPA's general expectations for the planning, execution and assessment activities outlined in the *Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations* document (U.S. EPA, 2018). EPA plans to publish supplemental documentation on the systematic review methods supporting the 1,1,2-trichloroethane risk evaluation to explain the literature and screening process presented in this document in the form of literature inventory trees. Please note that EPA focuses on the data collection phase (consisting of data search, data screening, and data extraction) during the preparation of the TSCA scope document, whereas the data evaluation and integration stages will occur during the development of the draft risk evaluation and thus are not part of the scoping activities described in this document.

The subsequent sections summarize the data collection activities completed up to date for the general categories of sources and topic areas (or disciplines) using systematic review methods. EPA plans to seek public comments on the systematic review methods supporting the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane upon publication of the supplemental documentation of those methods.

2.1.1 Search of Gray Literature

EPA surveyed the gray literature² and identified 183 search results relevant to EPA's risk assessment needs for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Appendix A lists the gray literature sources that yielded 183 discrete data or information sources relevant to 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA further categorized the data and information into the various topic areas (or disciplines) supporting the risk evaluation (e.g., physical chemistry, environmental fate, ecological hazard, human health hazard, exposure, engineering) and the breakdown is shown in Figure 2-1. EPA is currently identifying additional reasonably available information (e.g., public comments), and the reported numbers in Figure 2-1 may change.

² Gray literature is defined as the broad category of data/information sources not found in standard, peer-reviewed literature databases (e.g., PubMed and Web of Science). Gray literature includes data/information sources such as white papers, conference proceedings, technical reports, reference books, dissertations, information on various stakeholder websites, and other databases.




Figure 2-1. Gray Literature Tags by Discipline for 1,1,2-Trichlorothane The percentages across disciplines do not add up to 100%, as each source may provide data or information for various topic

The percentages across disciplines do not add up to 100%, as each source may provide data or information for various topic areas (or disciplines).

2.1.2 Search of Literature from Publicly Available Databases (Peer-Reviewed Literature)

EPA is currently conducting a systematic review of the reasonably available literature. This includes performing a comprehensive search of the reasonably available peer review literature on physicalchemical properties, environmental fate and transport, engineering (environmental release and occupational exposure), exposure (environmental, general population and consumer) and environmental and human health hazards of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Eligibility criteria were applied in the form of PECO (population, exposure, comparator, outcome) statements. Included references met the PECO criteria, whereas excluded references did not meet the criteria (i.e., not relevant), and supplemental material was considered as potentially relevant. EPA plans to analyze the reasonably available information identified for each discipline during the development of the risk evaluation. The literature inventory trees depicting the number of references that were captured and those that were included, excluded, or tagged as supplemental material during the screening process for each discipline area are shown in Figure 2-2 through Figure 2-6. "TIAB" in these figures refers to title and abstract screening. Note that the sum of the numbers for the various sub-categories may be larger than the broader category because some studies may be included under multiple sub-categories. In other cases, the sum of the various subcategories may be smaller than the main category because some studies may not be depicted in the subcategories if their relevance to the risk evaluation was unclear.




Figure 2-2. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Physical-Chemical Properties Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane




Figure 2-3. Peer-Reviewed Literature – Fate and Transport Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane




Figure 2-4. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Engineering Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane




Figure 2-5. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Exposure Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane




Figure 2-6. Peer-Reviewed Literature - Hazard Search Results for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane

2.1.3 Search of TSCA Submissions

Table 2-1 presents the results of screening the titles of data sources and reports submitted to EPA under various sections of TSCA, as amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act. EPA screened a total of 128 submissions using inclusion/exclusion criteria specific to individual disciplines. EPA identified 98 submissions that met the inclusion criteria in these statements and identified 14 submissions with supplemental data. EPA excluded 16 submissions because the reports were identified as one of the following:

- Published report that would be identified via other peer or gray literature searches
- Draft report of a final available submitted report
- Environmental impact statement for proposed equipment
- Status report
- Letter of intent
- Ranking of chemicals for proposed evaluation
- Submission on a different chemical
- Progress report
- Route-to-route extrapolation of human health hazard with no original data.

EPA plans to conduct additional deduplication at later stages of the systematic review process (e.g., full text screening), when more information regarding the reports is available.

Table 2-1. Results of The Screening of Subinissions to ETA under Various Sections of TSCA					
Discipline	Included	Supplemental ^b			
Physicochemical Properties	0	0			
Environmental Fate and Transport	12	0			
Environmental and General Population Exposure	56	2			
Occupational Exposure/Release Information	14	0			
Environmental Hazard	3	2			
Human Health Hazard	26	10			

Table 2-1. Results of Title Screening of Submissions to EPA under Various Sections of TSCA ^a

^aIndividual submissions may be relevant to multiple disciplines.

^bIncluded submissions may contain supplemental data for other disciplines, which will be identified at full-text review.

2.2 Conditions of Use

As described in the <u>Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority</u> <u>Substance for Risk Evaluation</u> (U.S. EPA, 2019a), EPA assembled information from the CDR and TRI programs to determine conditions of use or significant changes in conditions of use of the chemical substance. EPA also consulted a variety of other sources to identify uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane, including: published literature, company websites, and government and commercial trade databases and publications. To identify formulated products containing1,1,2-trichloroethane, EPA searched for safety data sheets (SDS) using internet searches, EPA Chemical and Product Categories (CPCat) data, and other resources in which SDSs could be found. In addition, EPA incorporated communications with companies, industry groups, environmental organizations, and public comments to supplement the use information.

EPA identified and described the categories and subcategories of conditions of use that will be included in the scope of the risk evaluation (Section 2.2.1; Table 2-2). The conditions of use included in the scope are those reflected in the life cycle diagrams and conceptual models.

After gathering reasonably available information related to the manufacture, processing, distribution in commerce, use, and disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane, EPA identified those categories or subcategories of use activities for 1,1,2-trichloroethane the Agency determined not to be conditions of use or will otherwise be excluded during scoping. These categories and subcategories are described in Section 2.2.2.

2.2.1 Categories and Subcategories of Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

Table 2-2 lists the conditions of use that are included in the scope of the risk evaluation.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	References
Manufacturing Domestic manufacture		Domestic manufacture	U.S. EPA (2019b)
	Import	Import	U.S. EPA (2019b)
Processing	Processing as a reactant	Intermediate in: Plastic	U.S. EPA (2019b)
		manufacturing;	

Table 2-2. Conditions of Use Included in the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	References	
		Petrochemical		
		manufacturing; All other		
		chemical product		
		manufacturing		
	Recycling	Recycling	U.S. EPA (2019b)	
Distribution in commerce	Distribution in commerce	Distribution in commerce		
Industrial Use	Adhesives and sealants	Adhesive and sealants	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018- 0421-0006	
	Non-incorporative	Other: All other chemical	U.S. EPA (2019b); EPA-	
	activities	product and preparation	HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-	
		manufacturing	0015	
Commercial Use	Adhesives and sealants	Adhesives and sealants	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-	
			<u>0427-0003; EPA-HQ-</u>	
			<u>OPPT-2018-0421-0013</u>	
	Other use	Laboratory chemical	ThermoFisher Scientific	
			(2018)	
Consumer Use	Adhesives and sealants	Adhesives and sealants	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-	
			<u>0427-0003; EPA-HQ-</u>	
			<u>OPPT-2018-0421-0013</u>	
Disposal	Disposal	Disposal		

Notes:

• Life Cycle Stage Use Definitions (40 CFR § 711.3)

"Industrial use" means use at a site at which one or more chemicals or mixtures are manufactured (including imported) or processed.

- "Commercial use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article) in a commercial enterprise providing saleable goods or services.

- "Consumer use" means the use of a chemical or a mixture containing a chemical (including as part of an article, such as furniture or clothing) when sold to or made available to consumers for their use.

2.2.2 Activities Excluded from the Scope of the Risk Evaluation

As explained in the final rule for *Procedures for Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act*, TSCA section 6(b)(4)(D) requires EPA to identify the hazards, exposures, conditions of use, and the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations the Administrator expects to consider in a risk evaluation, suggesting that EPA may exclude certain activities that it determines to be conditions of use on a case-by-case basis. (82 FR 33736, 33729; July 20, 2017). As a result, EPA does not plan to include in this scope or in the risk evaluation activities that the Agency has concluded do not constitute conditions of use.

No conditions of use were excluded for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

2.2.3 Production Volume

As reported to EPA during the 2016 CDR reporting period and described here as a range to protect production volumes that were claimed as confidential business information (CBI), total production volume of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in 2015 was between 100 million and 250 million pounds (U.S. EPA, 2017a). EPA also uses pre-2015 CDR production volume information, as detailed in the <u>Proposed</u> <u>Designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk</u>

Evaluation (U.S. EPA, 2019a) and will include future production volume information as it becomes available to support the exposure assessment.

2.2.4 Overview of Conditions of Use and Lifecycle Diagram

The life cycle diagram provided in Figure 2-7 depicts the conditions of use that that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation for the various life cycle stages. This section provides a brief overview of the industrial, commercial, and consumer use categories included in the life cycle diagram. Appendix E contains more detailed descriptions (e.g., process descriptions, worker activities) for each manufacturing, processing, distribution in commerce, use, and disposal category based on preliminary information.

The information in the life cycle diagram is grouped according to the CDR processing codes and use categories (including functional use codes for industrial uses and product categories for industrial, commercial and consumer uses). The production volume of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in 2015 is included in the lifecycle diagram, as reported to EPA during the 2016 CDR reporting period, as an aggregate range between 100 million and 250 million pounds (U.S. EPA, 2017a).




Figure 2-7. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Life Cycle Diagram

Volume is not depicted in the life cycle diagram for processing and industrial, commercial, and consumer uses as specific production volume is claimed confidential business information (CBI) or withheld pursuant to TSCA Section § 14.

2.3 Exposures

For TSCA exposure assessments, EPA expects to analyze exposures and releases to the environment resulting from the conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Release pathways and routes will be described to characterize the relationship or connection between the conditions of use of the chemical and the exposure to human receptors, including potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations, and environmental receptors. EPA plans to take into account, where relevant, the duration, intensity (concentration), frequency and number of exposures in characterizing exposures to 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

2.3.1 Physical and Chemical Properties

Physical and chemical properties are essential for a thorough understanding or prediction of environmental fate (i.e., transport and transformation) and the eventual environmental concentrations. They can also inform the hazard assessment. EPA plans to use the physical and chemical properties described in the *Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation* (U.S. EPA, 2019a) to support the development of the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. The values for the physical and chemical properties (Appendix B) may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods.

2.3.2 Environmental Fate and Transport

Understanding of environmental fate and transport processes assists in the determination of the specific exposure pathways and potential human and environmental receptors that need to be assessed in the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA plans to use the environmental fate characteristics described in the *Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation* (U.S. EPA, 2019a) to support the development of the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. The values for the environmental fate properties (Appendix C) may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods.

2.3.3 Releases to the Environment

Releases to the environment from conditions of use are key component of potential exposure and may be derived from reported data that are obtained through direct measurement, calculations based on empirical data and/or assumptions and models.

A source of information that EPA expects to consider in evaluating exposure is data reported under the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) program. EPA's TRI database contains information on chemical waste management activities that are disclosed by industrial and federal facilities, including quantities released into the environment (i.e., to air, water, and disposed of to land), treated, burned for energy, recycled, or transferred off-site to other facilities for these purposes.

Under the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) Section 313, 1,1,2trichloroethane is a TRI-reportable substance effective January 01, 1987 (40 CFR 372.65). For TRI reporting³, facilities in covered sectors in the United States are required to disclose releases and other waste management activity quantities of 1,1,2-trichloroethane under the CASRN 79-00-5 if they manufacture (including import) or process more than 25,000 pounds or otherwise use more than 10,000 pounds of the chemical in a given year by July 1 of the following year. Table 2-3 provides production-related waste management data for 1,1,2-trichloroethane reported by facilities to the

³ For TRI reporting criteria see <u>https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/basics-tri-reporting</u>

TRI program for reporting year 2018.⁴ As shown in the table, 27 facilities reported a total of approximately 99 million pounds of 1,1,2-trichloroethane waste managed. Approximately 45 and 54 million pounds were reported as having been recycled or treated, respectively, and the majority of which occurred on site. These two waste management activities account for 99.8% of the total production-related waste quantities reported for 1,1,2-trichloroethane for 2018. Quantities of 1,1,2-trichloroethane combusted for energy recovery and released to the environment are much smaller in magnitude.

Year	Number of Facilities	Recycled (lbs)	Recovered for Energy (lbs)	Treated (lbs)	Released ^{a,b,c} Released (lbs) ^{a,b,c} (lbs)	Total Production Related Waste (lbs)
2018	27	44,571,667	61,794	54,484,826	65,369	99,183,656
Data source: (U.S. EPA, 2020) (Updated November 2019)						

^b Terminology used in these columns may not match the more detailed data element names used in the TRI public data and analysis access points. ^b Does not include releases due to one-time event not associated with production such as remedial actions or earthquakes.

Counts all releases including release quantities transferred and release quantities disposed of by a receiving facility reporting to TRI.

Table 2-4 provides a summary of the quantities of 1,1,2-trichloroethane TRI released to the environment during 2018. Releases to air comprised 71% of the 65,369 pounds of total disposal and other releases of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. About 67% of these air emissions originated from point sources, with the remainder from fugitive sources. Land disposal accounted for roughly 28% of 1,1,2-trichloroethane releases, the vast majority of which took place on site to RCRA Subtitle C landfills. The remaining portion of the total releases came primarily from a transfer off site to a waste broker for disposal (502 pounds).

	Number of Facilities	Air Releases		Water Releases (lbs)	Land Disposal			Other	
		Stack Air Releases (lbs)	Fugitive Air Releases (lbs)		Class I Under- ground Injection (lbs)	RCRA Subtitle C Landfills (lbs)	All other Land Disposal ^a (lbs)	Other Releases ^a (lbs)	Total Releases ^{b, c} (lbs)
Totals	27	30,822	15,470		96	18,434	5	502	65,369
		46,291		40.54		18,535		502	05,509

Data source: (U.S. EPA, 2020) (Updated November 2019)

Terminology used in these columns may not match the more detailed data element names used in the TRI public data and analysis access points.
 These release quantities do include releases due to one-time events not associated with production such as remedial actions or earthquakes.
 Counts release quantities once at final disposition, accounting for transfers to other TRI reporting facilities that ultimately dispose of the chemical waste.

While production-related waste managed shown in Table 2-3 excludes any quantities reported as catastrophic or one-time releases (TRI Form R section 8 data), release quantities shown in Table 2-4 include both production-related and non-production-related quantities. In the case of 1,1,2-trichloroethane, the total release quantities listed in Table 2-3 and Table 2-4 are the same, but for other

⁴ Reporting year 2018 is the most recent TRI data available. Data presented in Table 2-3 were queried using TRI Explorer and uses the 2018 National Analysis data set (released to the public in November 2019). This dataset includes revisions for the years 1988 to 2018 processed by EPA.

TRI chemicals these respective release quantities may differ slightly and may further reflect differences in TRI calculation methods for reported release range estimates (U.S. EPA, 2017b).

EPA expects to review these data in conducting the exposure assessment component of the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

2.3.4 Environmental Exposures

The manufacturing, processing, distribution, use and disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane can result in releases to the environment and exposure to aquatic and terrestrial receptors (biota). Environmental exposures to biota are informed by releases into the environment, overall persistence, degradation, and bioaccumulation, and partitioning across different media. Concentrations of chemical substances in biota provide evidence of exposure. EPA plans to review available environmental exposure data in biota in the risk evaluation. Monitoring data were identified in the EPA's data search for 1,1,2-trichloroethane and can be used in the exposure assessment. Relevant and reliable monitoring studies provide(s) information that can be used in an exposure assessment. Monitoring studies that measure environmental concentrations of chemical substances in biota provide evidence of exposure.

EPA plans to review available environmental monitoring data in the risk evaluation. EPA's Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center Air Toxics database has identified 1,1,2-trichloroethane in air (U.S. EPA, 1990). USGS's Monitoring Data – National Water Quality Monitoring Council has identified 1,1,2-trichloroethane in ground water, sediment, soil, surface water and biota (USGS, 1991a-g).

Estimated background levels of 0.00039 μ g/m³ were modeled from emissions data available in 2011 in which reported National Emissions Inventory (NEI) was 48 tons per year (U.S. EPA, 2015a). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane can form in landfills as its parent compound, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, is broken down. When released into the environment, the majority of 1,1,2-trichloroethane ends up in the air although some may enter groundwater (ATSDR, 1989).

2.3.5 Occupational Exposures

EPA plans to analyze worker activities where there is a potential for exposure under the various conditions of use described in Section 2.2.1. In addition, EPA plans to analyze exposure to occupational non-users (ONUs), i.e. workers who do not directly handle the chemical but perform work in an area where the chemical is present. EPA also plans to consider the effect(s) that engineering controls (EC) and/or personal protective equipment (PPE) have on occupational exposure levels as part of the draft risk evaluation.

Worker activities associated with the conditions of use within the scope of the risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane that will be analyzed include, but are not limited to:

- Unloading and transferring 1,1,2-trichloroethane to and from storage containers to process vessels;
- Handling, transporting and disposing of waste containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane;
- Cleaning and maintaining equipment;
- Sampling chemicals, formulations or products containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane for quality control;
- Repackaging chemicals, formulations or products containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane;

1,1,2-Trichloroethane has a vapor pressure of approximately 23 mmHg at 25°C (see Appendix B). Based on the chemical's high volatility, EPA anticipates that workers and ONUs will be exposed via the inhalation route. EPA plans to analyze inhalation exposure to vapor in occupational exposure scenarios where 1,1,2-trichloroethane is used and handled in open systems; the extent of exposure could vary from facility to facility depending on many factors including but not limited to EC, type of facility, and facility design. Based on the conditions of use presented in Section 2.2, EPA plans to also analyze inhalation exposure to mist in occupational exposure scenarios where the chemical is spray applied (e.g., adhesives). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane has an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL)⁵ of 10 ppm (or 45 mg/m³) over an 8-hour workday, time weighted average (TWA). This chemical also has a National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Recommended Exposure Limit (REL)⁶ of 10 ppm (45 mg/m³) TWA. The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) sets the Threshold Limit Value (TLV) at 10 ppm TWA.

EPA also plans to analyze worker exposure to liquids via the dermal route. EPA does not plan to analyze dermal exposure for ONUs because they do not directly handle 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

EPA generally does not evaluate occupational exposures through the oral route. Workers may inadvertently transfer chemicals from their hands to their mouths, ingest inhaled particles that deposit in the upper respiratory tract or consume contaminated food. The frequency and significance of this exposure route are dependent on several factors including the p-chem properties of the substance during expected worker activities, workers' awareness of the chemical hazards, the visibility of the chemicals on the hands while working, workplace practices, and personal hygiene that is difficult to predict (Cherrie et al., 2006). However, EPA will consider oral exposure on a case-by-case basis.

2.3.6 Consumer Exposures

No consumer conditions of use information for 1,1,2-trichloroethane were found in the 2012 or 2016 CDR. Public comments submitted by the American Coatings Association indicated that 1,1,2-trichloroethane is used in adhesives and sealants available for consumer use.

Consumers using or disposing of adhesives or sealants may be exposed to 1,1,2-trichloroethane through direct liquid contact which may lead to a dermal exposure, or through vapor emissions which may lead to inhalation exposure, given its volatility at room temperature. Bystanders present during the consumer use of adhesives and sealants or disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane may also be exposed to vapor emissions leading to an inhalation exposure. Bystanders are not expected to come in contact with liquid adhesives and sealant. Known adhesive products are supplied in tubes. Because the product will not be spray-applied, mist generation is expected to be negligible.

Based on these potential sources and pathways of exposure, EPA expects to analyze inhalation and dermal routes of exposures to consumers, and inhalation routes of exposure for bystanders that may result from the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA does not plan to evaluate consumer exposures to 1,1,2-trichloroethane via the oral route as the exposure is not expected.

⁵ OSHA, 2009. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs). <u>https://www.osha.gov/dsg/annotated-pels/tablez-1.html</u>.

⁶ NIOSH, 2005. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgdcas.html</u>.

2.3.7 General Population Exposures

Releases of 1,1,2-trichloroethane from certain conditions of use, such as manufacturing, disposal, or waste treatment activities, may result in general population exposures via drinking water ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation from air releases. The main route of exposure for humans is via inhalation (OECD, 2018). The general population is primarily exposed via inhalation from use of adhesives (ATDSTR 2010). Small amounts of 1,1,2-trichloroethane are produced during chlorination of drinking water (CalEPA, 2006).

The main route of exposure for humans is via inhalation (OECD, 2018). The general population is primarily exposed via inhalation indoors from use of adhesives (ATDSTR, 2010). Small amounts of 1,1,2-trichloroethane are produced during chlorination of drinking water (CalEPA, 2006).

A biomonitoring survey found that more than 95 percent of blood samples collected from the general population had 1,1,2-trichloroethane at levels below detection (ATSDR, 2010). Blood concentrations of 1,1,2-trichloroethane were below the level of detection in 2783 individuals who participated in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011-2012 subsample of the U.S. population (CDC, 2013).

2.4 Hazards (Effects)

2.4.1 Environmental Hazards

As described in the <u>Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority</u> <u>Substance for Risk Evaluation</u> (U.S. EPA, 2019a), EPA considered reasonably available information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential environmental hazards for 1,1,2trichloroethane. EPA considers all potential environmental hazards for 1,1,2-trichloroethane identified during prioritization to be relevant for the risk evaluation and thus they remain within the scope of the evaluation. EPA is in the process of identifying additional reasonably available information through systematic review methods and public comments, which may update the list of potential environmental hazards associated with 1,1,2-trichloroethane exposure. If necessary, EPA plans to update the list of potential hazards in the final scope document of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Based on information identified during prioritization, environmental hazard effects were identified for aquatic and terrestrial organisms.

2.4.2 Human Health Hazards

As described in the *Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation* (U.S. EPA, 2019a), EPA considered reasonably available information from peer-reviewed assessments and databases to identify potential human health hazards for 1,1,2trichloroethane. EPA plans to evaluate all the potential human health hazards for 1,1,2-trichloroethane identified during prioritization The health effect categories screened for during prioritization included acute toxicity, irritation/corrosion, dermal sensitization, respiratory sensitization, genetic toxicity, repeated dose toxicity, reproductive toxicity, developmental toxicity, immunotoxicity, neurotoxicity, carcinogenicity, epidemiological or biomonitoring studies and ADME (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion). Studies were also identified reporting information on genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, biomonitoring and toxicokinetics. EPA is in the process of identifying additional reasonably available information through systematic review methods and public input, which may update the list of potential human health hazards under the scope of the risk evaluation. If necessary, EPA plans to update the list of potential hazards in the final scope document of the 1,1,2-trichloroethane risk evaluation.

2.5 Potentially Exposed or Susceptible Subpopulations

TSCA requires EPA to determine whether a chemical substance presents an unreasonable risk to "*a* potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant to the risk evaluation." TSCA §3(12) states that "the term 'potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation' means a group of individuals within the general population identified by the Administrator who, due to either greater susceptibility or greater exposure, may be at greater risk than the general population of adverse health effects from exposure to a chemical substance or mixture, such as infants, children, pregnant women, workers, or the elderly." General population is "the total of individuals inhabiting an area or making up a whole group" and refers here to the U.S. general population (U.S. EPA, 2011).

During the Prioritization process, EPA identified the following potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations based on CDR information and studies reporting developmental and reproductive effects: children, women of reproductive age (e.g., pregnant women per TSCA statute), workers (including ONUs) and consumers (U.S. EPA, 2019a). EPA plans to include these potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations in the scope of the risk evaluation.

In developing exposure scenarios, EPA plans to analyze available data to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may be exposed via exposure pathways that may be distinct to a particular subpopulation or life stage and whether some human receptor groups may have higher exposure via identified pathways of exposure due to unique characteristics (e.g., activities, duration or location of exposure) when compared with the general population (U.S. EPA, 2006). Likewise, EPA plans to evaluate available human health hazard information to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may have greater susceptibility than the general population to the chemical's hazard(s).

2.6 Conceptual Models

In this section, EPA presents the conceptual models describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Pathways and routes of exposure associated with workers and occupational non-users are described in Section 2.6.1, and pathways and routes of exposure associated with environmental releases and wastes, including those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws are discussed and depicted in the conceptual model shown in Section 2.6.3. Pathways and routes of exposure associated with environmental releases and wastes, with environmental releases and depicted in the conceptual model shown in Section 2.6.3. Pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws are discussed pursuant to other Federal laws, are presented in the conceptual model shown in Section 2.6.4.

2.6.1 Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses

Figure 2-8 illustrates the conceptual model for the pathways of exposure from industrial and commercial activities and uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that EPA plans to include in the risk evaluation. There is potential for exposure to workers and/or ONUs via inhalation routes and exposure to workers via dermal routes. It is expected that inhalation exposure to vapors is the most likely exposure route. In addition, workers at waste management facilities may be exposed via inhalation or dermal routes from wastewater treatment, incineration or via other disposal methods. EPA plans to evaluate activities resulting in exposures associated with distribution in commerce (e.g., loading, unloading) throughout the various lifecycle stages and conditions of use (e.g., manufacturing, processing, industrial use, commercial use, and disposal) rather than a single distribution scenario. For each condition of use identified in Table 2-2, an initial determination was made as to whether or not each combination of exposure pathway, route, and receptor will be analyzed in the risk evaluation. The results of that analysis along with the supporting rationale are presented in Appendix F.




Figure 2-8. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Occupational Exposure Conceptual Model for Industrial and Commercial Activities and Uses: Worker and Occupational Non-User Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from industrial and commercial activities and uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

2.6.2 Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses

The conceptual model in Figure 2-9 presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from consumer activities and uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA expects inhalation to be the primary route of exposure and plans to analyze inhalation exposures to 1,1,2-trichloroethane vapor for consumers and bystanders. There is potential for dermal exposures to 1,1,2-trichloroethane via direct contact with liquid during consumer uses. Bystanders are not expected to have direct dermal contact to 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA plans to analyze direct dermal contact with liquid 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA plans to analyze direct dermal contact with liquid 1,1,2-trichloroethane for consumers using adhesives and sealants products. Known adhesive products are supplied in tubes and similar packaging. Because the product will not be spray-applied, mist generation for consumers is expected to be negligible. The supporting rationale for consumer pathways considered for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are included in Appendix G.




Figure 2-9. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Consumer Activities and Uses: Consumer Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human receptors from consumer activities and uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Note: a) Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).

2.6.3 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay)

In this section, EPA presents the conceptual models describing the identified exposures (pathways and routes), receptors and hazards associated with the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane within the scope of the risk evaluation. It also discusses those pathways that may be addressed pursuant to other Federal laws.

In complying with TSCA, EPA plans to efficiently use Agency resources, avoid duplicating efforts taken pursuant to other Agency programs, maximize scientific and analytical efforts, and meet the statutory deadline for completing risk evaluations. OPPT is working closely with the offices within EPA that administer and implement the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), to identify how those statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

The conceptual model in Figure 2-10 presents the potential exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and waste streams associated with industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane. This figure includes overlays, labeled and shaded to depict the regulatory programs (e.g., CAA, SDWA, CWA, RCRA) and associated pathways that EPA considered in developing this conceptual model for the draft scope document. The regulatory programs that cover these environmental release and waste pathways are further described in Section 2.6.3.1 through Section 2.6.3.4.




Figure 2-10. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental and General Population Exposures and Hazards (Regulatory Overlay)

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane including the environmental statutes covering those pathways. Notes:

- a) Industrial wastewater or liquid wastes may be treated on-site and then released to surface water (direct discharge), or pre-treated and released to Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (indirect discharge). For consumer uses, such wastes may be released directly to POTW. Drinking water will undergo further treatment in drinking water treatment plant. Ground water may also be a source of drinking water. Inhalation from drinking water may occur via showering
- b) Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).
- c) For regulation of hazardous and municipal waste incinerators and municipal waste landfills CAA and RCRA may have shared regulatory authority.

2.6.3.1 Ambient Air Pathway

The Clean Air Act (CAA) contains a list of hazardous air pollutants (HAP) and provides EPA with the authority to add to that list pollutants that present, or may present, a threat of adverse human health effects or adverse environmental effects. For stationary source categories emitting HAP, the CAA requires issuance of technology-based standards and, if necessary, additions or revisions to address developments in practices, processes, and control technologies, and to ensure the standards adequately protect public health and the environment. The CAA thereby provides EPA with comprehensive authority to regulate emissions to ambient air of any hazardous air pollutant.

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is a HAP. EPA has issued a number of technology-based standards for source categories that emit 1,1,2-trichloroethane to ambient air and, as appropriate, has reviewed, or is in the process of reviewing remaining risks.

Emission pathways to ambient air from commercial and industrial stationary sources and associated inhalation exposure of the general population or terrestrial species in this TSCA evaluation from stationary source releases of 1,1,2-trichlorethane to ambient air are covered under the jurisdiction of the CAA. EPA's Office of Air and Radiation and Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics will continue to work together to provide an understanding and analysis of the CAA regulatory analytical processes and to exchange information related to toxicity and occurrence data on chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

2.6.3.2 Drinking Water Pathway

EPA has promulgated National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs) under the Safe Drinking Water Act for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA has set an enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) as close as feasible to a health based, non-enforceable Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG). Feasibility refers to both the ability to treat water to meet the MCL and the ability to monitor water quality at the MCL, SDWA Section 1412(b)(4)(D), and public water systems are required to monitor for the regulated chemical based on a standardized monitoring schedule to ensure compliance with the MCL. The MCL for 1,1,2-trichloroethane in water is 0.005 mg/liter (NPDWR 1995).

The drinking water exposure pathway for 1,1,2-trichloroethane is currently addressed in the SDWA regulatory analytical process for public water systems. EPA's Office of Water and Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics will continue to work together to provide an understanding and analysis of the SDWA regulatory analytical processes and to exchange information related to toxicity and occurrence data on chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

2.6.3.3 Ambient Water Pathway

EPA develops recommended water quality criteria under section 304(a) of the CWA for pollutants in surface water that are protective of aquatic life or human health designated uses. EPA has developed recommended water quality criteria for protection of human health for 1,1,2-trichloroethane which are available for possible adoption into state water quality standards and are available for possible use by NPDES permitting authorities in deriving effluent limits to meet state narrative criteria. EPA's OW and OPPT will continue to work together providing understanding and analysis of the CWA water quality criteria development process and to exchange information related to toxicity of chemicals undergoing risk evaluation under TSCA.

For pollutants with recommended human health criteria, EPA regulations require that state criteria contain sufficient parameters and constituents to protect designated uses. Once states adopt criteria as water quality standards, the CWA requires that National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

(NPDES) discharge permits include effluent limits as stringent as necessary to meet standards CWA section 301(b)(1)(C). This permit issuance process accounts for risk in accordance with the applicable ambient water exposure pathway (human health or aquatic life as applicable) for the designated water use.

EPA has not developed CWA section 304(a) recommended water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life for 1,1,2-trichloroethane, so there are no national recommended criteria for this use available for adoption into state water quality standards and available for use in NPDES permits. EPA may issue CWA section 304(a) aquatic life criteria for 1,1,2-trichloroethane in the future if it is identified as a priority under the CWA.

2.6.3.4 Disposal and Soil Pathways

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001 (40 CFR §§ 261.33) as a listed waste on the U227, F002, F024, F025, K019, K020, K095, K096 lists. The general standard in section RCRA 3004(a) for the technical criteria that govern the management (treatment, storage, and disposal) of hazardous waste are those "*necessary to protect human health and the environment*," RCRA 3004(a). The regulatory criteria for identifying "characteristic" hazardous wastes and for "listing" a waste as hazardous also relate solely to the potential risks to human health or the environment (40 CFR §§ 261.11, 261.21-261.24). RCRA statutory criteria for identifying hazardous wastes require EPA to "*tak[e] into account toxicity, persistence, and degradability in nature, potential for accumulation in tissue, and other related factors such as flammability, corrosiveness, and other hazardous wastes that are incinerated (subject to joint control under RCRA Subtitle C and the Clean Air Act (CAA) hazardous waste combustion Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)) or injected into Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class I hazardous waste wells (subject to joint control under Subtitle C and the SDWA).*

Emissions to ambient air from municipal and industrial waste incineration and energy recovery units that form combustion by-products from incineration treatment of 1,1,2-trichloroethane wastes may be subject to regulations, as would 1,1,2-trichloroethane burned for energy recovery.

TRI reporting in 2018 indicated 96 pounds released to underground injection to Class I hazardous waste wells. Environmental disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane injected into Class I hazardous well types fall under the jurisdiction of RCRA and SDWA and disposal of 1,1,2-trichloroethane via underground injection is not likely to result in environmental and general population exposures.

EPA has identified releases to land that go to RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste landfills. Based on 2018 reporting, the majority of TRI land disposal includes Subtitle C landfills (18,434 pounds) with a much smaller amount transferred to "other landfills" both on-site and off-site (5 pounds reported in 2018). Design standards for Subtitle C landfills require double liner, double leachate collection and removal systems, leak detection system, run on, runoff, and wind dispersal controls, and a construction quality assurance program. They are also subject to closure and post-closure care requirements including installing and maintaining a final cover, continuing operation of the leachate collection and removal system until leachate is no longer detected, maintaining and monitoring the leak detection and groundwater monitoring system. Bulk liquids may not be disposed in Subtitle C landfills. Subtitle C landfill operators are required to implement an analysis and testing program to ensure adequate knowledge of waste being managed, and to train personnel on routine and emergency operations at the facility. Hazardous waste being disposed in Subtitle C landfills must also meet RCRA waste treatment

standards before disposal. Given these controls, general population exposure in groundwater from Subtitle C landfill leachate is not expected to be a significant pathway.

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is present in commercial and consumer products that may be disposed of in Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) landfills. On-site releases RCRA Subtitle D municipal solid waste landfills leading to exposures of the general population (including susceptible populations) or terrestrial species from such releases are expected to be minimal based on current TRI releases (i.e., 5 lb in 2018) for 1,1,2- trichloroethane. While permitted and managed by the individual states, municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills are required by federal regulations to implement some of the same requirements as Subtitle C landfills. MSW landfills generally must have a liner system with leachate collection and conduct groundwater monitoring and corrective action when releases are detected. MSW landfills are also subject to closure and post-closure care requirements and must have financial assurance for funding of any needed corrective actions. MSW landfills have also been designed to allow for the small amounts of hazardous waste generated by households and very small quantity waste generators (less than 220 lb per month). Bulk liquids, such as free solvent, may not be disposed of at MSW landfills.

On-site releases to land from industrial non-hazardous and construction/demolition waste landfills may occur for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Industrial non-hazardous and construction/demolition waste landfills are primarily regulated under authorized state regulatory programs. States must also implement limited federal regulatory requirements for siting, groundwater monitoring, and corrective action, and a prohibition on open dumping and disposal of bulk liquids. States may also establish additional requirements such as for liners, post-closure and financial assurance, but are not required to do so.

2.6.4 Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Potential Exposures and Hazards

As described in Section 2.6.3, some pathways in the conceptual models are covered under the jurisdiction of other environmental statutes administered by EPA. The conceptual model depicted in Figure 2-11 presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to human and environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. The exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards presented in this conceptual model are subject to change in the final scope, in light of comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information. EPA continues to consider whether and how other EPA-administered statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

The diagram shown in Figure 2-11 includes releases from industrial, commercial and/or consumer uses to water/sediment; biosolids and soil, via direct and indirect discharges to water, that may lead to exposure to aquatic and terrestrial receptors. The supporting basis for environmental pathways considered for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are included in Appendix H.




Figure 2-11. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Conceptual Model for Environmental Releases and Wastes: Environmental Exposures and Hazards

The conceptual model presents the exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards to environmental receptors from releases and wastes from industrial, commercial, and consumer uses of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that EPA plans to consider in the risk evaluation. Notes:

- a) Industrial wastewater or liquid wastes may be treated on-site and then released to surface water (direct discharge), or pre-treated and released to POTW (indirect discharge). For consumer uses, such wastes may be released directly to POTW.
- b) Receptors include potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations (see Section 2.5).

2.7 Analysis Plan

The analysis plan is based on EPA's knowledge of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to date which includes a partial, but not complete review of identified information as described in Section 2.1. EPA encourages submission of additional existing data, such as full study reports or workplace monitoring from industry sources, that may be relevant for further evaluating conditions of use, exposures, hazards and potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations during risk evaluation. Further, EPA may consider any relevant CBI in the risk evaluation in a manner that protects the confidentiality of the information from public disclosure. EPA plans to continue to consider new information submitted by the public. Should additional data or approaches become available, EPA may update its analysis plan in the final scope document. As discussed in the <u>Application of Systematic Review in TSCA Risk Evaluations</u> document [EPA Document #740-P1-8001], targeted supplemental searches during the analysis phase may be necessary to identify additional information (e.g., commercial mixtures) for the risk evaluation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

2.7.1 Physical and Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate

EPA plans to analyze the physical and chemical properties and environmental fate and transport of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as follows:

 Review reasonably available measured or estimated physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoint data collected using systematic review procedures and, where available, environmental assessments conducted by other regulatory agencies.
 EPA plans to review data and information collected through the systematic review methods and public comments about the p-chem properties (Appendix B) and fate endpoints (Appendix C) previously summarized in the *Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation* (U.S. EPA, 2019a). All sources cited in EPA's analysis will be evaluated according to the procedures described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Where the systematic review process fails to identify experimentally measured chemical property values of

sufficiently high quality, these values will be estimated using chemical parameter estimation models as appropriate. Model-estimated fate properties will be reviewed for applicability and quality.

2) Using measured data and/or modeling, determine the influence of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoints (e.g., persistence, bioaccumulation, partitioning, transport) on exposure pathways and routes of exposure to human and environmental receptors.

Measured data and, where necessary, model predictions of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate endpoints will be used to characterize the persistence and movement of 1,1,2trichloroethane within and across environmental media. The fate endpoints of interest include volatilization, sorption to organic matter in soil and sediments, water solubility, aqueous and atmospheric photolysis rates, aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation rates, and potential bioconcentration and bioaccumulation. These endpoints will be used in exposure calculations. 3) Conduct a weight-of-evidence evaluation of physical-chemical properties and environmental fate data, including qualitative and quantitative sources of information. During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the physical-chemical properties and environmental fate evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

2.7.2 Exposure

EPA plans to analyze exposure levels for indoor air, ambient air, surface water, sediment, soil, aquatic biota, and terrestrial biota associated to exposure to 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA has not yet determined the exposure levels in these media or how they may be used in the risk evaluation. Exposure scenarios are combinations of sources (uses), exposure pathways, and exposed receptors. Draft release/exposure scenarios corresponding to various conditions of use for 1,1,2-trichloroethane are presented in Appendix F, Appendix F and Appendix H. EPA plans to analyze scenario-specific exposures.

Based on their physical-chemical properties, expected sources, and transport and transformation within the outdoor and indoor environment, chemical substances are more likely to be present in some media and less likely to be present in others. Exposure level(s) can be characterized through a combination of available monitoring data and modeling approaches.

2.7.2.1 Environmental Releases

EPA plans to analyze releases to environmental media as follows:

1) Review reasonably available published literature and other reasonably available information on processes and activities associated with the conditions of use to analyze the types of releases and wastes generated.

EPA has reviewed some key data sources containing information on processes and activities resulting in releases, and the information found is described in Appendix E. EPA plans to continue to review data sources identified using the evaluation strategy in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Potential sources of environmental release data are summarized in Table 2-5 below:

Table 2-5. Categories and Sources of Environmental Release Data

U.S. EPA TRI Data
U.S. EPA Generic Scenarios
OECD Emission Scenario Documents
Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) surface water discharge data for 1,1,2-
trichloroethane from NPDES-permitted facilities

2) Review reasonably available chemical-specific release data, including measured or estimated release data (e.g., data from risk assessments by other environmental agencies). EPA has reviewed key release data sources including the TRI, and the data from this source is summarized in Section 2.3.3. EPA plans to continue to review relevant data sources as identified during risk evaluation. EPA plans to match identified data to applicable conditions of use and identify data gaps where no data are found for particular conditions of use. EPA plans to attempt to address data gaps identified as described in steps 3 and 4 below by considering potential surrogate data and models.

Additionally, for conditions of use where no measured data on releases are available, EPA may use a variety of methods including release estimation approaches and assumptions in the Chemical Screening Tool for Occupational Exposures and Releases (<u>ChemSTEER</u>) (U.S. EPA, 2013a).

3) Review reasonably available measured or estimated release data for surrogate chemicals that have similar uses and physical properties.
If surrogate data are identified, these data will be matched with applicable conditions of use for

If surrogate data are identified, these data will be matched with applicable conditions of use for potentially filling data gaps. Measured or estimated release data for other chlorinated solvents may be considered as surrogates for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

4) Review reasonably available data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to the particular risk evaluation.

This item will be performed after completion of #2 and #3 above. EPA plans to evaluate relevant data to determine whether the data can be used to develop, adapt or apply models for specific conditions of use (and corresponding release scenarios). EPA has identified information from various EPA statutes (including, for example, regulatory limits, reporting thresholds or disposal requirements) that may be relevant to release estimation. EPA plans to consider relevant regulatory requirements in estimating releases during risk evaluation.

5) Review and determine applicability of OECD Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs) and EPA Generic Scenarios to estimation of environmental releases.

EPA has identified potentially relevant OECD Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs) and EPA Generic Scenarios (GS) that correspond to some conditions of use; for example, the <u>September</u> <u>2011 ESD on the Chemical Industry</u> (OECD, 2011) may be useful in estimating environmental releases. EPA plans to need to critically review these generic scenarios and ESDs to determine their applicability to the conditions of use assessed.

EPA Generic Scenarios are available at the following: <u>https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/using-predictive-methods-assess-exposure-and-fate-under-tsca#fate.</u>

OECD Emission Scenario Documents are available at the following: http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/risk-assessment/emissionscenariodocuments.htm

EPA may also need to perform targeted research for applicable models and associated parameters that EPA may use to estimate releases for certain conditions of use. If ESDs and GSs are not available, other methods may be considered. Additionally, for conditions of use where no measured data on releases are available, EPA may use a variety of methods including the application of default assumptions such as standard loss fractions associated with drum cleaning (3%) or single process vessel cleanout (1%).

6) Map or group each condition of use to a release assessment scenario(s).

EPA has identified release scenarios and mapped (i.e. grouped) them to relevant conditions of use as shown in Appendix F. EPA may further refine the mapping/grouping of release scenarios based on factors (e.g., process equipment and handling, magnitude of production volume used, and exposure/release sources) corresponding to conditions of use as additional information is identified during risk evaluation.

7) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of environmental release data.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. The data integration strategy will be designed to be fit-for-purpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.2 Environmental Exposures

EPA expects to analyze the following in developing its environmental exposure assessment of 1,1,2-trichloroethane:

1) Review available environmental and biological monitoring data for all media relevant to environmental exposure.

For 1,1,2-trichloroethane, environmental media which will be analyzed are sediment, soil and surface water.

- 2) Review reasonably available information on releases to determine how modeled estimates of concentrations near industrial point sources compare with available monitoring data. Available environmental exposure models that meet the TSCA Science Standards and that estimate surface water, sediment, and soil concentrations will be analyzed and considered alongside available surface water, sediment, and soil monitoring data to characterize environmental exposures. Modeling approaches to estimate surface water concentrations, sediment concentrations and soil concentrations generally consider the following inputs: direct release into surface water, sediment, or soil, indirect release into surface water, sediment, or soil, indirect release into surface water, sediment, or soil (i.e., air deposition), fate and transport (partitioning within media) and characteristics of the environment (e.g., river flow, volume of lake, meteorological data).
- 3) Determine applicability of existing additional contextualizing information for any monitored data or modeled estimates during risk evaluation.

Monitoring data or modeled estimates will be reviewed to determine how use patterns have changed over recent years and will determine how representative environmental concentrations are of ongoing use patterns. Studies which relate levels of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in the environment or biota with specific sources or groups of sources will also be evaluated.

4) Group each condition(s) of use to environmental assessment scenario(s).

EPA plans to refine and finalize exposure scenarios for environmental receptors by considering combinations of sources (use descriptors), exposure pathways including routes, and populations exposed. For 1,1,2-trichloroethane, the following are noteworthy considerations in constructing exposure scenarios for environmental receptors:

- Estimates of surface water concentrations, sediment concentrations and soil concentrations near industrial point sources based on available monitoring data.
- Generally, consider the following modeling inputs: release into the media of interest, fate and transport and characteristics of the environment.
- Reasonably available biomonitoring data. Monitoring data could be used to compare with species or taxa-specific toxicological benchmarks.

- Applicability of existing additional contextualizing information for any monitored data or modeled estimates during risk evaluation. Review and characterize the spatial and temporal variability, to the extent that data are available, and characterize exposed aquatic and terrestrial populations.
- Weight of the scientific evidence of environmental occurrence data and modeled estimates
- 5) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of environmental occurrence data and modeled estimates.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

2.7.2.3 Occupational Exposures

EPA plans to analyze both worker and occupational non-user exposures as follows:

1) Review reasonably available exposure monitoring data for specific condition(s) of use.

EPA plans to review exposure data including workplace monitoring data collected by government agencies such as OSHA and NIOSH, and monitoring data found in published literature. These workplace monitoring data include personal exposure monitoring data (direct exposures) and area monitoring data (indirect exposures).

EPA has preliminarily reviewed available monitoring data collected by OSHA and NIOSH and will match these data to applicable conditions of use. EPA has also identified additional data sources that may contain relevant monitoring data for the various conditions of use. EPA plans to review these sources and extract relevant data for consideration and analysis during risk evaluation.

EPA plans to consider the influence of applicable regulatory limits and recommended exposure guidelines on occupational exposures in the occupational exposure assessment. The following are some data sources identified thus far:

2019 ATSDR Toxicological Profile for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	
U.S. OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data (CEHD) program data	
U.S. NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluation (HHE) Program reports	

2) Review reasonably available exposure data for surrogate chemicals that have uses, volatility and chemical and physical properties similar to 1,1,2-tricloroethane.

EPA plans to review literature sources identified and if surrogate data are found, these data will be matched to applicable conditions of use for potentially filling data gaps. For several conditions of use, EPA believes data for other chlorinated solvents may serve as surrogates for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

3) For conditions of use where data are limited or not available, review existing exposure models that may be applicable in estimating exposure levels.

EPA has identified potentially relevant OECD emission scenario documents (ESDs) and EPA generic scenarios (GSs) corresponding to some conditions of use. For example, the <u>April 2015</u> <u>ESD on the Use of Adhesives</u> (OECD, 2015) may be used to estimate occupational exposures. EPA plans to critically review these generic scenarios and ESDs to determine their applicability to the conditions of use assessed. EPA was not able to identify ESDs or GSs corresponding to several conditions of use, including the use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as a laboratory chemical. EPA plans to perform additional targeted research in order to better understand those conditions of use, which may inform identification of exposure scenarios. EPA may also need to perform targeted research to identify applicable models that EPA may use to estimate exposures for certain conditions of use.

4) Review reasonably available data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to a particular risk evaluation scenario.

This step will be performed after Steps #2 and #3 are completed. Based on information developed from Steps #2 and #3, EPA plans to evaluate relevant data to determine whether the data can be used to develop, adapt, or apply models for specific conditions of use (and corresponding exposure scenarios). EPA may utilize existing, peer-reviewed exposure models developed by EPA/OPPT, other government agencies, or available in the scientific literature, or EPA may elect to develop additional models to assess specific condition(s) of use. Inhalation exposure models may be simple box models or two-zone (near-field/far-field) models. In two-zone models, the near-field exposure represents potential inhalation exposures to workers, and the far-field exposure represents potential inhalation exposures to occupational non-users.

5) Consider and incorporate applicable engineering controls (EC) and/or personal protective equipment into exposure scenarios.

EPA plans to review potentially relevant data sources on EC and personal protective equipment to determine their applicability and incorporation into exposure scenarios during risk evaluation. EPA plans to assess worker exposure pre- and post-implementation of EC, using reasonably available information on available control technologies and control effectiveness. For example, EPA may assess worker exposure in industrial use scenarios before and after implementation of local exhaust ventilation.

6) Map or group each condition of use to occupational exposure assessment scenario(s).

EPA has identified occupational exposure scenarios and mapped them to relevant conditions of use (see Appendix F). EPA was not able to identify occupational scenarios corresponding to some conditions of use. EPA plans to perform targeted research to understand those uses which may inform identification of occupational exposure scenarios. EPA may further refine the mapping/grouping of occupational exposure scenarios based on factors (e.g., process equipment and handling, magnitude of production volume used, and exposure/release sources) corresponding to conditions of use as additional information is identified during risk evaluation.

7) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of occupational exposure data, which may include qualitative and quantitative sources of information.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. EPA plans to rely on the weight of the scientific evidence when evaluating and integrating occupational data. The data integration

strategy will be designed to be fit-for-purpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.4 Consumer Exposures

EPA plans to analyze both consumers using a consumer product and bystanders associated with the consumer using the product as follows:

1) Group each condition of use to consumer exposure assessment scenario(s).

EPA plans to refine and finalize exposure scenarios for consumers by considering sources (ongoing consumer uses), exposure pathways including routes, and exposed populations.

For 1,1,2-trichloroethane, the following are noteworthy considerations in constructing consumer exposure scenarios:

- Conditions of use and type of consumer product
- Duration, frequency and magnitude of exposure
- Weight fraction of chemical in products
- Amount of chemical used
- 2) Evaluate the relative potential of indoor exposure pathways based on available data. Indoor exposure pathways expected to be relatively higher include dermal absorption of liquid, and inhalation and dermal absorption of vapor from adhesives and sealants. The data sources associated with these respective pathways have not been comprehensively evaluated, therefore quantitative comparisons across exposure pathways or in relation to toxicity thresholds are not yet available.

3) Review existing indoor exposure models that may be applicable in estimating indoor air concentrations.

Indoor exposure models that estimate emissions from consumer products are available. These models generally consider physical-chemical properties (e.g., vapor pressure, molecular weight), product specific properties (e.g., weight fraction of the chemical in the product), use patterns (e.g., duration and frequency of use), user environment (e.g., room of use, ventilation rates), and receptor characteristics (e.g., exposure factors, activity patterns). The OPPT's Consumer Exposure Model (CEM) and other similar models can be used to estimate indoor air exposures from consumer products.

4) Review reasonably available empirical data that may be used in developing, adapting or applying exposure models to a particular risk evaluation scenario. For example, existing models developed for a chemical assessment may be applicable to another chemical assessment if model parameter data are available.

To the extent other organizations have already modeled a 1,1,2-trichloroethane consumer exposure scenario that is relevant to the OPPT's assessment, EPA plans to evaluate those modeled estimates. In addition, if other chemicals similar to 1,1,2-trichloroethane have been modeled for similar uses, those modeled estimates will also be evaluated. The underlying parameters and assumptions of the models will also be evaluated.

5) Review reasonably available consumer product-specific sources to determine how those exposure estimates compare with each other and with indoor monitoring data reporting 1,1,2-trichloroethane in specific media (e.g., indoor air).

The availability of 1,1,2-trichloroethane concentration for various ongoing uses will be evaluated. This data provides the source term for any subsequent indoor modeling. Source attribution between overall indoor air various indoor sources will be analyzed.

6) Review reasonably available population- or subpopulation-specific exposure factors and activity patterns to determine if potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations need to be further refined.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the exposure evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

7) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of consumer exposure estimates based on different approaches.

EPA plans to rely on the weight of the scientific evidence when evaluating and integrating data related to consumer exposure. The weight of the scientific evidence may include qualitative and quantitative sources of information. The data integration strategy will be designed to be fit-forpurpose in which EPA plans to use systematic review methods to assemble the relevant data, evaluate the data for quality and relevance, including strengths and limitations, followed by synthesis and integration of the evidence.

2.7.2.5 General Population

EPA does not plan to analyze general population exposures, based on a review of exposure pathways as described in Section 2.6.3. EPA does not expect to include in the risk evaluation pathways under programs of other environmental statutes, administered by EPA, for which long-standing regulatory and analytical processes already exist.

2.7.3 Hazards (Effects)

2.7.3.1 Environmental Hazards

EPA plans to conduct an environmental hazard assessment of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as follows:

1) Review reasonably available environmental hazard data, including data from alternative test methods (e.g., computational toxicology and bioinformatics; high-throughput screening methods; data on categories and read-across; *in vitro* studies).

EPA plans to analyze the hazards of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms, including plants, invertebrates (e.g., insects, arachnids, mollusks, crustaceans), and vertebrates (e.g., mammals, birds, amphibians, fish, reptiles) across exposure durations and conditions if potential environmental hazards are identified through systematic review results and public comments. Additional types of environmental hazard information will also be considered (e.g., analogue and read-across data) when characterizing the potential hazards of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms.

Environmental hazard data will be evaluated using the environmental toxicity data quality criteria outlined in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to

finalizing the scope document. The study evaluation results will be documented in the risk evaluation phase and data from suitable studies will be extracted and integrated in the risk evaluation process.

Hazard endpoints (e.g., mortality, growth, immobility, reproduction) will be evaluated, while considering data availability, relevance, and quality.

2) Derive hazard thresholds for aquatic and/or terrestrial organisms.

Depending on the robustness of the evaluated data for a particular organism or taxa (e.g., aquatic invertebrates), environmental hazard values (e.g., EC_x . LC_x , NOEC, LOEC) may be derived and used to further understand the hazard characteristics of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to aquatic and/or terrestrial species. Identified environmental hazard thresholds may be used to derive concentrations of concern (COC), based on endpoints that may affect populations of organisms or taxa analyzed.

3) Evaluate the weight of scientific evidence of environmental hazard data.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the environmental hazard evidence identified in the literature inventory using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

4) Consider the route(s) of exposure, based on available monitoring and modeling data and other available approaches to integrate exposure and hazard assessments. EPA plans to consider aquatic (e.g., water and sediment exposures) and terrestrial pathways in the 1,1,2-trichloroethane conceptual model. These organisms may be exposed to 1,1,2-trichloroethane via a number of environmental pathways (e.g., surface water, sediment, soil, diet).

5) Conduct an environmental risk characterization of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane.

EPA plans to conduct a risk characterization of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to identify if there are risks to the aquatic and/or terrestrial environments from the measured and/or predicted concentrations of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in environmental media (i.e., water, sediment, soil). Risk quotients (RQs) may be derived by the application of hazard and exposure benchmarks to characterize environmental risk (U.S. EPA, 1998; Barnthouse et al., 1982).

6) Consider a Persistent, Bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) Assessment of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane.

EPA plans to consider the persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxic (PBT) potential of 1,1,2trichloroethane after reviewing relevant physical-chemical properties and exposure pathways. EPA plans to assess the available studies collected from the systematic review process relating to bioaccumulation and bioconcentration (e.g., BAF, BCF) of 1,1,2-trichloroethane In addition, EPA plans to integrate traditional environmental hazard endpoint values (e.g., LC₅₀, LOEC) and exposure concentrations (e.g., surface water concentrations, tissue concentrations) for 1,1,2trichloroethane with the fate parameters (e.g., BAF, BCF, BMF, TMF).

2.7.3.2 Human Health Hazards

EPA expects to analyze human health hazards as follows:

 Review reasonably available human health hazard data, including data from alternative test methods (e.g., computational toxicology and bioinformatics; high-throughput screening methods; data on categories and read-across; *in vitro* studies; systems biology).
 EPA plans to use systematic review methods to evaluate the epidemiological and toxicological literature for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA plans to publish the systematic review documentation prior to finalizing the scope document.

Relevant mechanistic evidence will also be considered, if available, to inform the interpretation of findings related to potential human health effects and the dose-repose assessment. Mechanistic data may include analyses of alternative test data such as novel *in vitro* test methods and high throughput screening. The association between acute and chronic exposure scenarios to the agent and each health outcome will also be integrated. Study results will be extracted and presented in evidence tables or another appropriate format by organ/system.

2) In evaluating reasonably available data, determine whether particular human receptor groups may have greater susceptibility to the chemical's hazard(s) than the general population.

Reasonably available human health hazard data will be evaluated to ascertain whether some PESS may have greater susceptibility than the general population to 1,1,2-trichloroethane hazard(s). Susceptibility of particular populations or subpopulations to 1,1,2-trichloroethane will be determined by evaluating information on factors that influence susceptibility.

EPA has reviewed some sources containing hazard information associated with susceptible populations and lifestages such as pregnant women and infants. Pregnancy (i.e., gestation) and childhood are potential susceptible lifestages for 1,1,2-trichloroethane exposure. EPA plans to review the current state of the literature in order to potentially quantify these differences for risk evaluation purposes.

3) Conduct hazard identification (the qualitative process of identifying non-cancer and cancer endpoints) and dose-response assessment (the quantitative relationship between hazard and exposure) for identified human health hazard endpoints.

Human health hazards from acute and chronic exposures will be identified by evaluating the human and animal data that meet the systematic review data quality criteria described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document. Hazards identified by studies meeting data quality criteria will be grouped by routes of exposure relevant to humans (oral, dermal, inhalation) and by cancer and noncancer endpoints.

Dose-response assessment will be performed in accordance with EPA guidance (U.S. EPA, 2012a, 2011, 1994). Dose-response analyses may be used if the data meet data quality criteria and if additional information on the identified hazard endpoints are not available or would not alter the analysis.

The cancer mode of action (MOA) determines how cancer risks can be quantitatively evaluated. If cancer hazard is determined to be applicable to 1,1,2-trichloroethane, EPA plans to evaluate information on genotoxicity and the mode of action for all cancer endpoints to determine the appropriate approach for quantitative cancer assessment in accordance with the U.S. EPA Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005).

4) Derive points of departure (PODs) where appropriate; conduct benchmark dose modeling depending on the available data. Adjust the PODs as appropriate to conform (e.g., adjust for duration of exposure) to the specific exposure scenarios evaluated. Hazard data will be evaluated to determine the type of dose-response modeling that is applicable. Where modeling is feasible, a set of dose-response models that are consistent with a variety of potentially underlying biological processes will be applied to empirically model the dose-response relationships in the range of the observed data consistent with EPA's *Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance Document*. Where dose-response modeling is not feasible, NOAELs or LOAELs will be identified. Non-quantitative data will also be evaluated for contribution to weight of the scientific evidence or for evaluation of qualitative endpoints that are not appropriate for dose-response assessment.

EPA plans to evaluate whether the available PBPK and empirical kinetic models are adequate for route-to-route and interspecies extrapolation of the POD, or for extrapolation of the POD to standard exposure durations (e.g., lifetime continuous exposure). If application of the PBPK model is not possible, oral PODs may be adjusted by $BW^{3/4}$ scaling in accordance with <u>U.S. EPA</u> (2011), and inhalation PODs may be adjusted by exposure duration and chemical properties in accordance with <u>U.S. EPA (1994)</u>.

5) Evaluate the weight of the scientific evidence of human health hazard data.

During risk evaluation, EPA plans to evaluate and integrate the human health hazard evidence identified in the literature inventory under acute and chronic exposure conditions using the methods described in the systematic review documentation that EPA plans to publish prior to finalizing the scope document.

6) Consider the route(s) of exposure (oral, inhalation, dermal), available route-to-route extrapolation approaches, available biomonitoring data and available approaches to correlate internal and external exposures to integrate exposure and hazard assessment. At this stage of review, EPA believes there will be sufficient data to conduct dose-response analysis and/or benchmark dose modeling for the oral route of exposure. EPA plans to also evaluate any potential human health hazards following dermal and inhalation exposure to 1,1,2-trichloroethane, which could be important for worker, consumer, and general population risk analysis. Available data will be assessed to determine whether or not a point of departure can be identified for the dermal and inhalation routes. This may include using route-to-route extrapolation methods where appropriate, and depending on the nature of available data.

If sufficient toxicity studies are not identified in the literature search to assess risks from dermal and inhalation exposures, then a route-to-route extrapolation from oral toxicity studies would be needed to assess systemic risks from dermal or inhalation exposures. Without an adequate PBPK model, the approaches described in EPA guidance document *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for*

Dermal Risk Assessment) (U.S. EPA, 2004) could be applied to extrapolate from oral to dermal exposure. These approaches may be able to further inform the relative importance of dermal exposures compared with other routes of exposure. Similar methodology may also be used for assessing inhalation exposures.

2.7.4 Summary of Risk Approaches for Characterization

Risk characterization is an integral component of the risk assessment process for both environmental and human health risks. The EPA plans to derive the risk characterization in accordance with the EPA's *Risk Characterization Handbook* (U.S. EPA, 2000). As defined in the EPA's <u>Risk Characterization Policy</u>, "*the risk characterization integrates information from the preceding components of the risk evaluation and synthesizes an overall conclusion about risk that is complete, informative and useful for decision makers.*" Risk characterization is considered to be a conscious and deliberate process to bring all important considerations about risk, not only the likelihood of the risk but also the strengths and limitations of the assessment, and a description of how others have assessed the risk into an integrated picture.

The level of information contained in each risk characterization varies according to the type of assessment for which the characterization is written. Regardless of the level of complexity or information, the risk characterization for TSCA risk evaluations will be prepared in a manner that is transparent, clear, consistent, and reasonable (U.S. EPA, 2000) and consistent with the requirements of the *Procedures for Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act* (82 FR 33726). For instance, in the risk characterization summary, the EPA plans to further carry out the obligations under TSCA section 26; for example, by identifying and assessing uncertainty and variability in each step of the risk evaluation, discussing considerations of data quality such as the reliability, relevance and whether the methods utilized were reasonable and consistent, explaining any assumptions used, and discussing information generated from independent peer review.

The EPA plans to also be guided by the EPA's Information Quality Guidelines (U.S, 2002) as it provides guidance for presenting risk information. Consistent with those guidelines, the EPA plans to identify in the risk characterization the following: (1) Each population addressed by an estimate of applicable risk effects; (2) The expected risk or central estimate of risk for the potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations affected; (3) Each appropriate upper-bound or lower-bound estimate of risk; (4) Each significant uncertainty identified in the process of the assessment of risk effects and the studies that would assist in resolving the uncertainty; and (5) Peer reviewed studies known to the Agency that support, are directly relevant to, or fail to support any estimate of risk effects and the methodology used to reconcile inconsistencies in the scientific information.

2.8 Peer Review

Peer review will be conducted in accordance with EPA's regulatory procedures for chemical risk evaluations, including using EPA's <u>Peer Review Handbook</u> and other methods consistent with section 26 of TSCA (See <u>40 CFR 702.45</u>). As explained in the Risk Evaluation Rule, the purpose of peer review is for the independent review of the science underlying the risk assessment. Peer review will therefore address aspects of the underlying science as outlined in the charge to the peer review panel such as hazard assessment, assessment of dose-response, exposure assessment, and risk characterization. The draft risk evaluation for 1,1,2-trichloroethane will be peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

ADEQ (Arizona Department of Environmental Quality). (2008). 14 A.A.R. 2978: Notice of final rule making: Drinking water incorporation by reference rule making. https://legacy.azdeq.gov/environ/water/dw/rules.html. HERO ID: 6305850

Ashworth RA;Howe GB;Mullins ME;Rogers TN. (1988). Air-water partitioning coefficients of organics in dilute solutions. J Hazard Mater 18: 25-36. HERO ID: 5185295

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (1989). Toxicological profile for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Public Health Service https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/ToxProfiles/tp148.pdf. HERO ID: 5160107

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). (2010). Addendum to the toxicological profile for 1,1,2-trichloroethane. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Division of Toxicology and Environmental Medicine. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/1_1_2_trichloroethane_addendum.pdf?id=1166&tid=155. HERO ID: 5160124

Barnthouse, LW;DeAngelis, DL;Gardner, RH;O'Neill, RV;Suter, GW;Vaughan, DS. (1982). Methodology for environmental risk analysis. (ORNL/TM-8167). Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory. HERO ID: 4417716

CalEPA (California Environmental Protection Agency). (2006). Public health goals for chemicals in drinking water: 1,1,2-trichloroethane. California: California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Branch. https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/water/chemicals/phg/phg112tca030306.pdf. HERO ID: 5155643

California Department of Industrial Relations. (2020). 8 CA ADC § 5155: Airborne contaminants. (California Code of Regulations Title 8 Section 5155). https://www.dir.ca.gov/title8/5155.html. HERO ID: 6305872

California State Department of Health Services State Water Resources Control Board. (2019). 22 CCR § 64444: Maximum contaminant levels-organic chemicals. (California Code of Regulations Title 22 Section 64444). California State Department of Health Services and State Water Resources Control Board.

https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/IA7B3800D18654ABD9E2D24A445A66CB9?viewType= FullText&originationContext=documenttoc&transitionType=CategoryPageItem&contextData=(sc.Defa ult)&bhcp=1. HERO ID: 6309512

CDC (Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention). (2013). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Data (NHANES) [Database]. Atlanta, GA: CDC National Center for Health Statistics. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm. HERO ID: 6127682

Cherrie, JW., Semple, S. Christopher, Y., Saleem, A., Hughson, G.W., Phillips, A. (2006). How important is inadvertent ingestion of hazardous substances at work? Ann Occup Hyg. 50(7):693-704. HERO ID: 460308

Connecticut Department of Public Heath. (2019). 19 Conn. Agencies. Regs. 19-13-B102: Standards for quality of public drinking water. (Connecticut State Agencies Regulation Title 19 Section 19-13-102B).

Connecticut Department of Public Heath.

https://eregulations.ct.gov/eRegsPortal/Browse/RCSA/Title_19Subtitle_19-13Section_19-13-b102/. HERO ID: 6305853

CRC (Coordinating Research Council). (2005). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. In CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (86th ed.). Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis. HERO ID: 5185296

CRC (Coordinating Research Council). (2014). CRC handbook of chemistry and physics. In WM Haynes;DR Lide;TJ Bruno (Eds.), CRC handbook of chemistry and physics (95th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis, CRC Press. HERO ID: 2828348

Davis, R. (2019). Comment submitted by Raleigh Davis, Assistant Director and Riaz Zaman, Counsel, Government Affairs, American Coatings Association (ACA) regarding 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0427-0003. https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0427-0003. https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0427-0003. HERO ID: 6305560

Delaware Department of Health Social Services. (2019). 16 D.A.C. 4462: Public drinking water systems. (Delaware Administrative Code Title 16 Part 4462). Delaware Department of Health and Social Services.

https://regulations.delaware.gov/AdminCode/title16/Department%20of%20Health%20and%20Social%2 0Services/Division%20of%20Public%20Health/Health%20Systems%20Protection%20(HSP)/4462.sht ml. HERO ID: 6305851

Design Chain Associates, LLC. (2017). [Personal communication between Michael Kirschner, Design Chain Associates, LLC, and Sue Slotnick, EPA] [Personal Communication]. HERO ID: 4293963

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). (2019a). Registration dossier: 1,1,2-trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5): Phototransformation in air: 001 Supporting | Experimental result. https://echa.europa.eu/da/registration-dossier/-/registereddossier/1878/5/2/2/?documentUUID=0bce661d-13eb-40a0-8643-afa0c3e17484. HERO ID: 6310977

ECHA (European Chemicals Agency). (2019b). Registration dossier: 79-00-5. Helsinki, Finland. https://echa.europa.eu/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/1878. HERO ID: 5185297

ESD (Engineering Sciences Data Unit). (1976). Vapor pressures and critical points of liquids. VII. Halogenated ethanes and ethylenes. In Eng Sci Data Item 76004. HERO ID: 5329153

FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). (2019). 21 CFR § 165.110: Bottled water. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 21 Part 165.110). https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2019-title21-vol2/CFR-2019-title21-vol2-sec165-110. HERO ID: 6305772

Florida Department of State. Fla. Admin. Code R. Chap. 62-550: Drinking water standards, monitoring, and reporting. (Florida Administrative Code Chapter 62-550). Florida Department of State. https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ChapterHome.asp?Chapter=62-550. HERO ID: 6305855

Gan, D;Dupont, R. (1989). Hazard waste hazard materials (pp. 363-383). HERO ID: 5329576

Gartner, EC. (2019). Comment submitted by Eve C. Gartner, Staff Attorney, Earthjustice regarding 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0013. Gartner, E.C. https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0013. HERO ID: 6305581

Gorilla Glue Company. (2018). Safety data sheet-Gorilla Weld-Resin. https://www.gorillatough.com/wp-content/uploads/Gorilla-Weld-Resin-v1.2.pdf. HERO ID: 6305444

Gorilla Glue Company. (2020). GorillaWeld. https://www.gorillatough.com/product/gorillaweld/. HERO ID: 6305443

Hansch, C;Leo, A;Hoekman, D. (1995). Exploring QSAR: Hydrophobic, electronic, and steric constants. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society. HERO ID: 51424

Hawaii Department of Labor and Industrial Relations. (2019). Haw. Code R. § 12-60-50: Standards. (Hawaii Administrative Rules Section 12-60-50). HERO ID: 6305873

Horvath, AL;Getzen, FW;Maczynska, Z. (1999). IUPAC-NIST solubility data series 67: Halogenated ethanes and ethenes with water. J Phys Chem Ref Data 28: 395-627. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.556039. HERO ID: 729645

HSDB (Hazardous Substances Data Bank). (2008). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. CASRN: 79-00-5. Washington D.C.: National Library of Medicine. http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgibin/sis/search2/r?dbs+hsdb:@term+@DOCNO+6124. HERO ID: 5185301

Hyde, D. (2019). Comment submitted by Comment submitted by David Hyde, Director, Environmental Policy, Aerospace Industries Association (AIA) regarding 1,1,2-trichloroethane. EPA Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0006. Hyde, D. https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0006. HERO ID: 6305445

IARC. (1991). Production, use, occurrence and analysis In IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, No 52: Chlorinated Drinking-Water; Chlorination by-Products; Some Other Halogenated Compounds; Cobalt and Cobalt Compounds Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK506926/#. HERO ID: 6311020

IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). (1999). Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals, hydrazine and hydrogen peroxide. In IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, France: World Health Organization. https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/mono71.pdf. HERO ID: 201838

IFA (Institut für Arbeitschutz der Deutschen Gesetzlichen Unfallversicherung). (2017). GESTIS -International limit values for chemical agents (Occupational exposure limits, OELs). http://www.dguv.de/ifa/gestis/gestis-internationale-grenzwerte-fuer-chemische-substanzen-limit-valuesfor-chemical-agents/index-2.jsp. HERO ID: 3827334

Leighton, DT;Calo, JM. (1981). Distribution coefficients of chlorinated hydrocarbons in dilute air-water systems for groundwater contamination applications. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data 26: 382-585. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/je00026a010. HERO ID: 194928

Lide, D;Milne, G. (1994). Handbook of Data on Organic Compounds (3rd ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Inc. HERO ID: 5185303

Mackay, D;Shiu, WY;Ma, KC;Lee, SC. (2006). Handbook of Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals CAS RN: 115-96-8. Boca Raton, FL: CRC press. HERO ID: 4159843 Maine Legislature. (2019). 38 MRSA Chapter 16-D: Toxic chemicals in children's products. (Maine Revised Statuses Title 38 Chapter 16-D). https://legislature.maine.gov/statutes/38/title38ch16-Dsec0.html. HERO ID: 6305877

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. (2016). 310 CMR 22: The Massachusetts drinking water regulations. (Code of Massachusetts Regulation Title 310 Part 22). Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. https://www.mass.gov/regulations/310-CMR-22-the-massachusetts-drinking-water-regulations. HERO ID: 6305857

Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy Environmental Affairs. (2018). 301 CMR 41.00: Toxic or hazardous substances list. (Code of Massachusetts Regulations Title 301 Part 41.00). Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs. https://www.mass.gov/regulations/301-CMR-4100-toxic-or-hazardous-substances-list. HERO ID: 6305845

Michigan Department of Environment Great Lake and Energy. (2018a). Mich. Admin. Code R. 299.44: Generic groundwater cleanup criteria (Table 1). (Michigan Administrative Code R. 299.44). Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lake, and Energy. https://www.michigan.gov/egle/0,9429,7-135-3311_4109_9846-251790--,00.html. HERO ID: 6305858

Michigan Department of Environment Great Lakes Energy. (2018b). Mich. Admin. Code R.299.49: Footnotes for generic cleanup criteria tables. (Michigan Administrative Code R.299.49). Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy. https://www.michigan.gov/egle/0,9429,7-135-3311_4109_9846-251790--,00.html. HERO ID: 6305859

Minnesota Department of Health. (2016). Minn R. Chap. 4720: Public water supplies. (Minnesota Administrative Rules Chapter 4720). Minnesota Department of Health. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/rules/4720/. HERO ID: 6305860

Minnesota Legislature. (2019a). 2019 Minnesota Statues Chapter 116 Section 116.9402: Identifying chemicals of high concern. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9402. HERO ID: 6310026

Minnesota Legislature. (2019b). 2019 Minnesota Statues Chapter 116 Section 116.9403: Identifying priority chemicals. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9403. HERO ID: 6310027

Minnesota Legislature. (2019c). 2019 Minnesota Statues Chapter 116 Section 116.9405: Applicability. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9405. HERO ID: 6310028

Minnesota Legislature. (2019d). 2019 Minnesota Statues Chapter 116 Section 116.9406: Donations to the state. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9406. HERO ID: 6310029

Minnesota Legislature. (2019e). 2019 Minnesota Statues Chapter 116 Section 116.9407: Participating in interstate chemicals clearinghouse. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9407. HERO ID: 6310030

Minnesota Legislature. (2019f). 2019 Minnesota Status Chapter 116 Section 116.9401: Definitions. Minnesota Legislature. https://www.revisor.mn.gov/statutes/cite/116.9401. HERO ID: 6310025

NBOS (National Bureau of Standards). (1951). Table of dielectric constants of pure liquids. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a278956.pdf. . HERO ID: 5178596

NCI (National Cancer Institute). (1978). Bioassay of 1,1,2-trichloroethane for possible carcinogenicity, CAS no. 79-00-5. (NCI-CG-TR-74). Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt_rpts/tr074.pdf. HERO ID: 5160101

New Hampshire DES (New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services). (2013). Env-A 1400: Regulated toxic air pollutants. (New Hampshire Code of Administrative Rules. Chapter Env-A-1400). http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/legal/rules/documents/env-a1400.pdf. HERO ID: 2991056

NICNAS (National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme). (2013). Ethane, 1,1,2-trichloro-: Human health tier II assessment. HERO ID: 6305761

NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). (2005). NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Index of chemical abstracts service registry numbers (CAS No.). https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgdcas.html. HERO ID: 6305832

NITE (National Institute of Technology and Evaluation). (2010). Japan CHEmicals Collaborative Knowledge database (J-CHECK). CASRN: 79-00-5. Available online at https://www.nite.go.jp/chem/jcheck/detail.action?cno=79-00-5&mno=2-0055&request_locale=en HERO ID: 5185305

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2000). SIDS initial assessment report: 1,1,2 Trichloroethane. Tokyo, Japan. http://www.inchem.org/documents/sids/sids/79005.pdf. HERO ID: 5176388

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2003). Assessment report for post-SIDS testing results: 1,1,2 trichloroethane. Paris, France. http://www.inchem.org/documents/sids/sids/TRICHLOROET.pdf. HERO ID: 5176387

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). (2018). OECD Monitoring Database [Database]. HERO ID: 4571754

OEHHA (California Office of Environmental Health Hazard). (2020). Cal Code Regs. Title 27 § 27001: Chemicals known to the state to cause caner or reproductive toxicity. (California Code of Regulations Title 27 Section 27001).

https://govt.westlaw.com/calregs/Document/I54B9D2B0D45011DEA95CA4428EC25FA0?contextData =%28sc.Default%29&transitionType=Default. HERO ID: 6305878

O'Neil, MJ;Heckelman, PE;Dobbelaar, PH;Roman, KJ;Kenney, CM;Karaffa, LS. (2013). The Merck Index: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals (15th ed.). Cambridge, U.K.: The Royal Society of Chemistry. HERO ID: 3378176

OSHA (Occupational Safety & Health Administration). (2009). Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) permissible exposure limits (PELs). https://www.osha.gov/dsg/annotated-pels/tablez-1.html. HERO ID: 6302805

OSHA (Occupational Safety & Health Administration). (2019). 29 CFR § 1910.1001: Asbestos. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 29 Part 1910.1001). https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2019-title29-vol6/CFR-2019-title29-vol6-sec1910-1001. HERO ID: 6305769

Parrish, CF. (1983). Solvents, industrial. In Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology (3rd ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons. HERO ID: 5330231

Pennsylvania Environmental Quality Board. (2004). 25 Pa. Code § 109.202: State MCLs, MRDLs and treatment technique requirements. (PA Code Title 25 Chapter 109 Section 109.202). Pennsylvania Environmental Quality Board.

http://www.pacodeandbulletin.gov/Display/pabull?file=/secure/pabulletin/data/vol34/34-14/551.html. HERO ID: 6305862

Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. (2011). 49 CFR 172: Hazardous materials table, special provisions, hazardous materials communications, emergency response information, training requirements, and security plans. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 49 Part 172). Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2011-title49-vol2/CFR-2011-title49-vol2-part172. HERO ID: 6305775

Rathbun, RE. (1998). Transport, behavior, and fate of volatile organic compounds in streams (pp. 1-151). (U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1589). U.S. Geological Survey HERO ID: 29959

Riddick, JA;Bunger, WB;Sakano, TK. (1986). Techniques of Organic Chemistry: Organic Solvents: Physical Properties and Methods of Purification (4th ed.). New York, NY: Wiley-Blackwell. HERO ID: 3827465

State of Maine Department of Health Human Services. (2016). 10 144 Me. Code R. Chap. 231: Rules relating to drinking water. (Code of Main Regulations 10-144 Chapter 231). State of Maine Department of Health & Human Services. https://www.maine.gov/sos/cec/rules/10/chaps10.htm. HERO ID: 6305856

State of New Jersey. (2013). N.J.A.C. 7:1G: Worker and community right to know regulations. (New Jersey Code Title 7 Chapter 1G). HERO ID: 6305874

State of New Jersey. (2018). 7:10 N.J Admin. Code 5.2: Discretionary changes to national regulations. (New Jersey Administrative Code Title 7 Chapter 5 Subchapter 5.2). State of New Jersey. HERO ID: 6305861

State of Pennsylvania. (1984). P.L. 734, No. 159: Worker and Community Right-To-Know Act. (Phamphlet Law 734 No 159).

https://www.legis.state.pa.us/cfdocs/legis/li/uconsCheck.cfm?yr=1984&sessInd=0&act=159. HERO ID: 6305875

State of Pennsylvania. (1986). 34 Pa. Code § 323: Hazardous substance list. (Pennsylvania Code Title 34 Chapter 323). HERO ID: 6305876

State of Rhode Island Providence Plantations Department of Environmental Management. (2008). Air pollution control regulation No. 22: Air toxics (pp. 37). Providence, RI: State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Department of Environmental Management, Office of Air Resources. http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/regs/regs/air/air22_08.pdf. HERO ID: 3969297 State of Rhode Island Providence Plantations Department of Health. (1977). Rules and regulations pertaining to public drinking water (R46-13-DWQ). State of Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Department of Health. HERO ID: 6305865

SYKE (The Finnish Environment Institute). (2018). Data bank of environmental properties of chemicals 1,1,2-Trichloroethane, CAS RN: 79-00-5. The Finnish Environment Institute. http://wwwp.ymparisto.fi/scripts/Kemrek/Kemrek_uk.asp?Method=MAKECHEMdetailsform&txtChem Id=298. HERO ID: 5185311

Tabak, HH;Quave, SA;Mashni, CI;Barth, EF. (1981). Biodegradability studies with organic priority pollutant compounds. J Water Pollut Control Fed 53: 1503-1518. HERO ID: 9861

Texas Commission on Environmental, Q. (2000). 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.104: Summary of maximum contaminant levels, maximum residual disinfectant levels, treatment techniques, and action levels. (Texas Administrative Code Title 30 Part 1 Chapter 290 Subchapter F Rule §290.104). Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/readtac\$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p_dir=&p_rloc=&p_tloc=&p_pl oc=&pg=1&p_tac=&ti=30&pt=1&ch=290&rl=104. HERO ID: 6305863

ThermoFisher Scientific. (2018). Safety data sheet: 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. Revision no. 3. Revision date 1/18/2018. ThermoFisher Scientific.

https://www.fishersci.com/store/msds?partNumber=AC139430010&productDescription=1%2C1%2C2-TRICHLOROETHANE%2C+9+1LT&vendorId=VN00032119&countryCode=US&language=en. HERO ID: 6305442

Tomer, A;Kane, J. (2015). The great port mismatch. U.S. goods trade and international transportation. The Global Cities Initiative. A joint project of Brookings and JPMorgon Chase. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/brgkssrvygcifreightnetworks.pdf. HERO ID: 5018559

Torkelson TR;Rowe VK. (1981). Halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons containing chlorine bromine and iodine. In Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology (3rd ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons Inc. HERO ID: 5185312

U. S. Code. (2011). 42 U.S.C. 7412: Hazardous air pollutants. U.S. Code. https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2011-title42/USCODE-2011-title42-chap85-subchapI-partA-sec7412. HERO ID: 6305257

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1987). Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), chemical assessment summary, 1,1,2-Trichloroethane; CASRN 79-00-5. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Assessment. https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris/iris_documents/documents/subst/0198_summary.pdf. HERO ID: 5113320

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1987). National primary drinking water regulations; Synthetic organic chemicals; Monitoring for unregulated contaminants. Fed Reg 52: 25690. HERO ID: 6305549

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1990). EPA Ambient Monitoring Technology Information Center (AMTIC): Air toxics data [Database]. U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). https://www3.epa.gov/ttnamti1/toxdat.html. HERO ID: 6296435 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1994). Methods for derivation of inhalation reference concentrations and application of inhalation dosimetry [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/8-90/066F). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Office of Health and Environmental Assessment, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office.

https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=71993&CFID=51174829&CFTOKEN=2500631 7. HERO ID: 6488

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1995). Premanufacture notification. Revisions of premanufacture notification regulars. Final rule. Fed Reg 60: 16298-16311. HERO ID: 6305252

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1996). EPA Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule (UCMR) [Database]. U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). https://www.epa.gov/dwucmr. HERO ID: 6296188

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (1998). Guidelines for ecological risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA/630/R-95/002F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. https://www.epa.gov/risk/guidelines-ecological-risk-assessment. HERO ID: 42805

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2000). Science policy council handbook: Risk characterization handbook [EPA Report]. (EPA/100/B-00/002). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Science Policy Council. https://www.epa.gov/risk/risk-characterization-handbook. HERO ID: 52149

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2002). Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information disseminated by the Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA/260/R-02/008). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-03/documents/epa-info-quality-guidelines.pdf. HERO ID: 635281

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2004). Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS), volume I: Human health evaluation manual, (part E: Supplemental guidance for dermal risk assessment): Final. (EPA/540/R/99/005). Washington, DC. http://www.epa.gov/oswer/riskassessment/ragse/index.htm. HERO ID: 664634

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2005). Guidelines for carcinogen risk assessment [EPA Report]. (EPA/630/P-03/001F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-09/documents/cancer_guidelines_final_3-25-05.pdf. HERO ID: 86237

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2006). A framework for assessing health risk of environmental exposures to children (pp. 1-145). (EPA/600/R-05/093F). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=158363. HERO ID: 194567

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2011). Exposure factors handbook: 2011 edition [EPA Report]. (EPA/600/R-090/052F). Washington, DC. http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=236252. HERO ID: 786546

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012a). Benchmark dose technical guidance. (EPA/100/R-12/001). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Risk Assessment Forum. https://www.epa.gov/risk/benchmark-dose-technical-guidance. HERO ID: 1239433

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2012b). Estimation Programs Interface Suite for Microsoft Windows, v. 4.11 [Computer Program]. https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/download-epi-suitetm-estimation-program-interface-v411. HERO ID: 4492009

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2013a). ChemSTEER user guide - Chemical screening tool for exposures and environmental releases. Washington, D.C. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-05/documents/user_guide.pdf. HERO ID: 3809033

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2013b). 40 CFR 60 Subpart NNN: Standards of performance for volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from synthetic organic chemical manufacturing industry (SOCMI) distillation operations. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Part 60 Subpart NNN). https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2013-title40-vol7/CFR-2013-title40-vol7-part60-subpartNNN. HERO ID: 6305730

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2014). 40 CFR § 372.65: Chemicals and chemical categories to which this part applies. (Code of Federal Regulations Title 40 Section 372.65). Washington, DC. http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=b158171a5134cffd39c8ab183e07670a&mc=true&node=pt40.30.372&rgn=div5. HERO ID: 3808937

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2015a). Technical support document, EPA's 2011 National-scale Air Toxics Assessment, 2011 NATA TSD. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air and Radiation, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/2011-nata-tsd.pdf. HERO ID: 5113338

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2015b). Notice of availability. Final updated ambient water quality criteria for the protection of human health. Fed Reg 80: 36986-36989. HERO ID: 6305736

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) (2017a). Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 Public CDR database). Washington, DC. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics. Retrieved from ChemView: June 2019. <u>https://www.epa.gov/chemical-data-reporting</u>

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2017b). Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), reporting year 2015. https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program/tri-data-and-tools. HERO ID: 3834224

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2018). Application of systematic review in TSCA risk evaluations. (740-P1-8001). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-06/documents/final_application_of_sr_in_tsca_05-31-18.pdf. HERO ID: 4532281

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019a). Proposed designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane (casrn 79-00-5) as a high-priority substance for risk evaluation.

https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2019-08/documents/112-trichloroethane_79-00-5_high-priority_proposeddesignation_082319.pdf. HERO ID: 6305441

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2019b). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane. TSCA review. EPA Doc ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421. https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421. HERO ID: 6305831

U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). (2020). TRI Explorer (2018 National Analysis Dataset (released November 12, 2019)) [Database]. https://enviro.epa.gov/triexplorer/. HERO ID: 6305039

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991a). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council [Database]. http://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/. HERO ID: 4571753

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991b). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Air.

https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#siteType=Aggregate%20groundwater%20use&sampleMedia=Water&mimeType=csv&dataProfile=activityAll. HERO ID: 6120444

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991c). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Groundwater.

https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#siteType=Aggregate%20groundwater%20use&sample Media=Water&mimeType=csv&dataProfile=activityAll. HERO ID: 6120028

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991d). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Sediment. https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Sediment&mimeType=csv. HERO ID: 6120597

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991e). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Soil. https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Soil&mimeType=csv. HERO ID: 6120844

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991f). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Surface Water. https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#siteType=Aggregate%20surface-water-use&sampleMedia=Water&mimeType=csv. HERO ID: 6120448

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). (1991g). USGS Monitoring Data: National Water Quality Monitoring Council - Tissue. https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/#sampleMedia=Tissue&mimeType=csv. HERO ID: 6120843

Verschueren, K. (1983). Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals (2nd ed ed.). New York, NY: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. HERO ID: 8112

Verschueren, K. (2001). Handbook of environmental data on organic chemicals (4th ed.). New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. HERO ID: 224505

Appendix A LIST OF GRAY LITERATURE SOURCES

Table_Apx A-1. List of Gray Literature Sources for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Source/Agency	Source Name	Source Type	Source Category
ATSDR	ATSDR Tox Profile Updates and Addendums	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
ATSDR	ATSDR Toxicological Profiles (original publication)	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Australian Government – Department of Health	NICNAS Assessments (human health, Tier I, II or III)	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CAL EPA	Technical Support Documents for regulations: Cancer Potency Information	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CAL EPA	Technical Support Documents for regulations: Proposition 65, Cancer	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CAL EPA	Technical Support Documents for regulations: Drinking Water Public Health Goals	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
CDC	CDC Biomonitoring Tables	Other US Agency Resources	Database
ECHA	ECHA Documents	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
ECHA	Annex XVII To REACH - Conditions of Use	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Env Canada	Chemicals at a Glance (fact sheets)	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Office of Water: STORET and WQX	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	EPA Office of Water: Ambient Water Quality Criteria documents	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Office of Air: TRI	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Office of Air: AQS, Annual	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	TSCA Hazard Characterizations	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
ЕРА	Support document for AEGLS	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	Included in 2011 NATA	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document

EPA	Office of Air: National Emissions Inventory (NEI) - National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Data (2014, 2011, 2008)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Other EPA: Misc sources	US EPA Resources	General Search
EPA	EPA: AP-42	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
EPA	TRI: Envirofacts Toxics Release Inventory 2017 Updated Dataset	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 non-CBI CDR database)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Chemical Data Reporting (2012 and 2016 CBI CDR database)	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	EPA: Generic Scenario	US EPA Resources	Assessment or Related Document
EPA	EPA Discharge Monitoring Report Data	US EPA Resources	Database
EPA	Office of Water: CFRs	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
EPA	Office of Water: Drinking Water Standards Health Effects Support Documents	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
EPA	Office of Air: CFRs and Dockets	US EPA Resources	Regulatory Document or List
IARC	IARC Monograph	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
Japan	Japanese Ministry of the Environment Assessments - Environmental Risk Assessments (Class I Designated Chemical Substances Summary Table)	International Resources	Regulatory Document or List
KOECT	Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology Journal Article	Other Resource	Encyclopedia
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Occupational Health Guideline Documents	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Pocket Guides	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Health Hazard Evaluations (HHEs)	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
NIOSH	CDC NIOSH - Publications and Products	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
NLM	National Library of Medicine's Hazardous Substance Databank	Other US Agency Resources	Database
NLM	National Library of Medicine's HazMap	Other US Agency Resources	Database

NTP	Technical Reports	Other US Agency Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD SIDS	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD Emission Scenario Documents	International Resources	Assessment or Related Document
OECD	OECD: General Site	International Resources	General Search
OSHA	OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data	Other US Agency Resources	Database
OSHA	U.S. OSHA Chemical Exposure Health Data (CEHD) program data [ERG]	Other US Agency Resources	Database

Appendix B PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE

This appendix provides p-chem information and data found in preliminary data gathering for 1,1,2trichloroethane. Table_Apx B-1 summarizes the p-chem property values preliminarily selected for use in the risk evaluation from among the range of reported values collected as of March 2020. This table differs from that presented in the <u>Proposed Designation of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (CASRN 79-00-5) as a</u> <u>High-Priority Substance for Risk Evaluation</u> (U.S. EPA, 2019a) and may be updated as EPA collects additional information through systematic review methods. All p-chem property values that were extracted and evaluated as of March 2020 are presented in the supplemental file Data Extraction and Data Evaluation Tables for Physical Chemical Property Studies (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421).

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference	Data Quality Rating
Molecular formula	C ₂ H ₃ Cl ₃	NA	NA
Molecular weight	133.41 g/mol	NA	NA
Physical state	Liquid	O'Neil, 2013	High
Physical properties	Clear, colorless liquid, pleasant odor	NLM, 2018	High
Melting point	-36.5°C	Rumble, 2018	High
Boiling point	114°C	U.S. EPA, 2019a	High
Density	1.43216 g/cm ³ at 298.15 K	Sathyanarayana, 2006	High
Vapor pressure	23 mm Hg at 25°C	NLM, 2018	High
Vapor density	4.21 g/L at 760 mm Hg	NLM, 2018	High
Water solubility	4590 mg/L at 25°C	NLM, 2018	High
Log Octanol/water partition coefficient (Log Kow)	1.89 at 20°C	NLM, 2018; ECETOC, 2013	High
Henry's Law constant	$8.24 \times 10^{-4} \text{ atm} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{mol}$ at 25°C	NLM, 2018	High

Table_Apx B-1. Physical and Chemical Properties of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference	Data Quality Rating
Flash point	114°C	RSC, 2019	Medium
Auto flammability	Not available		
Viscosity	1.49846 cP at 20-25°C	Elsevier, 2019	High
Refractive index	1.4711	NLM, 2018	High
Dielectric constant	7.29	Elsevier, 2019	High

^a Measured unless otherwise noted. NA = Not applicable

Appendix C ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSORT PROPERTIES OF 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE

Table_Apx C-1. Environmental Fate and Transport Properties of 1,1,2-Trichloroethane

Property or Endpoint	Value ^a	Reference
Direct Photodegradation	Not expected to be susceptible to direct photolysis by sunlight because the chemical structure of 1,1,2-trichloroethane does not contain chromophores that absorb at wavelengths >290 nm	<u>HSDB (2008)</u>
Indirect Photodegradation	$t_{1/2} = 54.6 \text{ days (from } \cdot \text{OH rate} \\ \text{constant of } 1.96 \times 10^{-13} \\ \text{cm}^3/\text{molecule-sec and } 12\text{-hour} \\ \text{day with } 1.5 \times 10^6 \cdot \text{OH/cm}^3)$	<u>U.S. EPA (2012b)</u>
	$t_{1/2} = 87.2$ days at 295 K, 5×10^5 ·OH-radical molecules/cm ³	<u>ECHA (2019a)</u>
	$t_{1/2} = 50.2$ days at 339 K, 5×10^5 ·OH-radical molecules/cm ³	<u>ECHA (2019a)</u>
Hydrolysis	Stable at pH 7; $t_{1/2} = 85$ days pH 9 and 25 °C	<u>OECD (2000)</u>
Biodegradation (Aerobic)	Water: 5% after 28 days measured by GC (Japanese MITI test)	<u>NITE (2010)</u>
	Water: Not readily biodegradable (OECD TG 301C)	<u>OECD (2000)</u>
	Water: 0% after a 24-day modified shake-flask test; 0% after a 25-day river die-away test	HSDB (2008) citing Tabak et al. (1981)
	Soil: $t_{1/2} = 6$ months to 1 year	HSDB (2008), citing Rathbun (1998)
Biodegradation (Anaerobic)	Water: 6 days in an unpolluted aquifer (1% organic carbon content);16 years in an unpolluted aquifer (0.001% organic carbon content)	HSBD (2008), citing Verschueren (2001)
Wastewater Treatment	27.43% total removal (0.08% by biodegradation, 1.64% by sludge adsorption, and 25.72% by volatilization to air; estimated) ^b	<u>U.S. EPA (2012b)</u>

Bioconcentration Factor	<10 to 17	<u>ATSDR (1989)</u>
	OECD TG 305C (carp): 0.7–2.6 at 0.3 mg/L; 2.9–4.0 at 0.03 mg/L	<u>OECD (2000)</u>
	Carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>): 0.7–2.6 at 0.3 ppm; 2.7–6.7 at 0.03 ppm (MITI)	<u>SYKE (2018)</u>
Bioaccumulation Factor	6.9 (estimated) ^b	<u>U.S. EPA (2012b)</u>
Soil Organic Carbon:Water Partition Coefficient (Log K _{OC})	1.9–2.05 (K _{OC} = 83–111) in silty clay soil; 2.2–2.32 (K _{OC} = 174– 209) in sandy loam soil	HSDB (2008) citing Gan et al. (1989)

Notes: ^aMeasured unless otherwise noted; ^bEPI SuiteTM physical property inputs: Log $K_{OW} = 1.89$, BP = 113.80 °C, MP = -36.60 °C, VP = 23 mm Hg, WS = 4,590 mg/L, HLC = 0.000824 ·OH = hydroxyl radical; OECD = Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development; TG = test guideline; GC = gas chromatography; MITI = Ministry of International Trade and Industry; BOD = biochemical oxygen demand; HPLC = high performance liquid chromatography

Appendix D REGULATORY HISTORY

The chemical substance, 1,1,2-trichloroethane, is subject to federal and state laws and regulations in the United States (Table_Apx D-1 and Table_Apx D-2). Regulatory actions by other governments, tribes and international agreements applicable to 1,1,2-trichloroethane are listed in Table_Apx D-3.

D.1 Federal Laws and Regulations

Statutes/Regulations	Description of Authority/Regulation	Description of Regulation
EPA Regulations		
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 6(b)	EPA is directed to identify high-priority chemical substances for risk evaluation; and conduct risk evaluations on at least 20 high priority substances no later than three and one-half years after the date of enactment of the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is one of the 20 chemicals EPA designated as a High-Priority Substance for risk evaluation under TSCA (<u>84 FR 71924</u> , Dec. 30, 2019). Designation of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as a high- priority substance constitutes the initiation of the risk evaluation on the chemical.
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(a)	The TSCA section 8(a) CDR Rule requires manufacturers (including importers) to give EPA basic exposure-related information on the types, quantities and uses of chemical substances produced domestically and imported into the United States.	1,1,2-trichloroethane manufacturing (including importing), processing and use information is reported under the CDR rule (<u>76 FR 50816</u> , August 16, 2011).
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(b)	EPA must compile, keep current and publish a list (the TSCA Inventory) of each chemical substance manufactured (including imported) or processed in the United States.	1,1,2-trichloroethane was on the initial TSCA Inventory and therefore was not subject to EPA's new chemicals review process under TSCA section 5 (<u>60 FR 16309</u> , March 29, 1995).
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 8(e)	Manufacturers (including importers), processors, and distributors must immediately notify EPA if they obtain information that supports the conclusion that a chemical substance or mixture presents a substantial risk of injury to health or the environment.	One risk report was received for 1,1,2-trichloroethane (1992) (U.S. EPA, ChemView. Accessed April 10, 2019).
Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) – Section 4	Provides EPA with authority to issue rules, enforceable consent agreements and orders requiring manufacturers (including importers) and processors to test chemical substances and mixtures.	14 chemical data submissions from test rules or enforceable consent agreements were received for 1,1,2- trichloroethane: acute inhalation toxicity with

		histopathology, reproduction and fertility effects, prenatal developmental toxicity, immunotoxicity, pharmacokinetics, carcinogenicity, and neurotoxicity (1985-2006) (U.S. EPA, ChemView. Accessed April 10, 2019).
Emergency Planning and Community Right-To- Know Act (EPCRA) – Section 313	Requires annual reporting from facilities in specific industry sectors that employ 10 or more full-time equivalent employees and that manufacture, process or otherwise use a TRI-listed chemical in quantities above threshold levels. A facility that meets reporting requirements must submit a reporting form for each chemical for which it triggered reporting, providing data across a variety of categories, including activities and uses of the chemical, releases and other waste management (e.g., quantities recycled, treated, combusted) and pollution prevention activities (under section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act). These data include on- and off-site data as well as multimedia data (i.e., air, land and water).	1,1,2-trichloroethane is a listed substance subject to reporting requirements under 40 CFR 372.65 effective as of January 01, 1987.
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 111(b)	Requires EPA to establish new source performance standards (NSPS) for any category of new or modified stationary sources that EPA determines causes, or contributes significantly to, air pollution, which may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. The standards are based on the degree of emission limitation achievable through the application of the best system of emission reduction (BSER) which (taking into account the cost of achieving reductions and environmental impacts and energy requirements) EPA determines has been adequately demonstrated.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is subject to the NSPS for equipment leaks of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the synthetic organic chemicals manufacturing industry for which construction, reconstruction or modification began after January 5, 1981 (40 CFR Part 60, Subparts VV, NNN, and RRR).
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 112(b)	Defines the original list of 189 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). Under 112(c) of the CAA, EPA must identify and list source categories that emit HAP and then set emission standards for those listed source categories under CAA section 112(d). CAA section 112(b)(3)(A) specifies that any person may petition the Administrator to modify the list of HAP by adding or deleting a substance. Since 1990, EPA has removed two pollutants from the original list leaving 187 at present.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is listed as a HAP (42 U.S. Code section 7412).
Clean Air Act (CAA) – Section 112(d)	Directs EPA to establish, by rule, NESHAPs for each category or subcategory of listed major sources and area sources of HAPs (listed pursuant	EPA has established NESHAPs for a number of source categories that emit 1,1,2-

	to Section 112(c)). For major sources, the standards must require the maximum degree of emission reduction that EPA determines is achievable by each particular source category. This is generally referred to as maximum achievable control technology (MACT). For area sources, the standards must require generally achievable control technology (GACT) though may require MACT.	trichloroethane to air. (See <u>https://www.epa.gov/stationary-</u> <u>sources-air-pollution/national-</u> <u>emission-standards-hazardous-</u> <u>air-pollutants-neshap-9</u>).
Clean Water Act (CWA) - Section 304(a)(1)	Requires EPA to develop and publish ambient water quality criteria (AWQC) reflecting the latest scientific knowledge on the effects on human health that may be expected from the presence of pollutants in any body of water.	In 2015, EPA published updated AWQC for 1,1,2- Trichloroethane, including a recommendation of 0.55 (μ g/L) for "Human Health for the consumption of Water + Organism" and 8.9 (μ g/L) for "Human Health for the consumption of Organism Only" for states and authorized tribes to consider when adopting criteria into their water quality standards (80 FR 36986, June 29, 2015).
Clean Water Act (CWA) – Section 301, 304, 306, 307, and 402	Clean Water Act Section 307(a) establishes a list of toxic pollutants or combination of pollutants under the CWA. The statue specifies a list of families of toxic pollutants also listed in the Code of Federal Regulations at 40 CFR Part 401.15. The "priority pollutants" specified by those families are listed in 40 CFR Part 423 Appendix A. These are pollutants for which best available technology effluent limitations must be established on either a national basis through rules (Sections 301(b), 304(b), 307(b), 306) or on a case-by-case best professional judgement basis in NPDES permits, see Section 402(a)(1)(B). EPA identifies the best available technology that is economically achievable for that industry after considering statutorily prescribed factors and sets regulatory requirements based on the performance of that technology.	Under CWA section 304, 1,1,2- trichloroethane is included in the list of total toxic organics (TTO) (40 CFR 413.02(i)).
Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) – Section 1412	Requires EPA to publish non-enforceable maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs) for contaminants which 1. may have an adverse effect on the health of persons; 2. are known to occur or there is a substantial likelihood that the contaminant will occur in public water systems with a frequency and at levels of public health concern; and 3. in the sole judgement of the Administrator, regulation of the contaminant presents a meaningful opportunity	1,1,2-trichloroethane is subject to NPDWR under the SDWA with a MCLG of 0.003 and an enforceable MCL of 0.005 mg/L (Section 1412) (52 FR 25690 January 30, 1991).

	for health risk reductions for persons served by public water systems. When EPA publishes an MCLG, EPA must also promulgate a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NPDWR) which includes either an enforceable maximum contaminant level (MCL), or a required treatment technique. Public water systems are required to comply with NPDWRs.	
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) – Section 3001	Directs EPA to develop and promulgate criteria for identifying the characteristics of hazardous waste, and for listing hazardous waste, taking into account toxicity, persistence, and degradability in nature, potential for accumulation in tissue and other related factors such as flammability, corrosiveness, and other hazardous characteristics.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001. RCRA Hazardous Waste Code: U227 (40 CFR 261.33); F002, F024, F025 (40 CFR 261.31)
Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) – Sections 102(a) and 103	Authorizes EPA to promulgate regulations designating as hazardous substances those substances which, when released into the environment, may present substantial danger to the public health or welfare or the environment. EPA must also promulgate regulations establishing the quantity of any hazardous substance the release of which must be reported under Section 103. Section 103 requires persons in charge of vessels or facilities to report to the National Response Center if they have knowledge of a release of a hazardous substance above the reportable quantity threshold.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is a hazardous substance under CERCLA. Releases of 1,1,2- trichloroethane in excess of 100 pounds must be reported (40 CFR 302.4).
Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) –	Requires the Agency to revise the hazardous ranking system and update the National Priorities List of hazardous waste sites, increases state and citizen involvement in the superfund program and provides new enforcement authorities and settlement tools.	1,1,2-trichloroethane is listed on SARA, an amendment to CERCLA and the CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances. This list includes substances most commonly found at facilities on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL) that have been deemed to pose the greatest threat to public health.
Other Federal Regulation	ns	
Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA)	Requires employers to provide their workers with a place of employment free from recognized hazards to safety and health, such as exposure to toxic chemicals, excessive noise levels, mechanical dangers, heat or cold stress or unsanitary conditions (29 U.S.C section 651 et seq.). Under the Act, OSHA can issue occupational safety and health standards including such provisions as Permissible Exposure Limits (PELs), exposure	In 1980, OSHA issued occupational safety and health standards for 1,1,2- Trichloroethane that included a PEL of 10 ppm or 45 mg/m3 as an 8-hour, TWA (29 CFR 1910.1001)

	monitoring, engineering and administrative control measures, and respiratory protection.	
Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA)	Provides the FDA with authority to oversee the safety of food, drugs and cosmetics.	The FDA regulates 1,1,2- trichloroethane in bottled water. The maximum permissible level 1,1,2-trichloroethane in bottled water is .005 mg/L (21 CFR 165.110).
Federal Hazardous Materials Transportation Act (HMTA)	 Section 5103 of the Act directs the Secretary of Transportation to: Designate material (including an explosive, radioactive material, infectious substance, flammable or combustible liquid, solid or gas, toxic, oxidizing or corrosive material, and compressed gas) as hazardous when the Secretary determines that transporting the material in commerce may pose an unreasonable risk to health and safety or property. Issue regulations for the safe transportation, including security, of hazardous material in intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce. 	The Department of Transportation (DOT) has designated 1,1,2- trichloroethane as a hazardous material, and there are special requirements for marking, labeling and transporting it (49 CFR Part 172).

D.2 State Laws and Regulations

State Actions	Description of Action
State Air Regulations	Allowable Ambient Levels: New Hampshire (Env-A 1400: Regulated Toxic Air Pollutants). Rhode Island (Air Pollution Regulation No. 22)
State Drinking Water Standards and Guidelines	Arizona (14 Ariz. Admin. Register 2978, August 1, 2008), California (Cal Code Regs. Title 26, § 22-64444), Delaware (Del. Admin. Code Title 16, § 4462), Connecticut (Conn. Agencies Regs. § 19-13-B102), Florida (Fla. Admin. Code R. Chap. 62-550), Maine (10 144 Me. Code R. Chap. 231), Massachusetts (310 Code Mass. Regs. § 22.00), Michigan (Mich. Admin. Code r.299.44 and r.299.49, 2017), Minnesota (Minn R. Chap. 4720), New Jersey (7:10 N.J Admin. Code § 5.2), Pennsylvania (25 Pa. Code § 109.202), Rhode Island (Rules and Regulations Pertaining to Public Drinking Water R46-13-DWQ), Texas (30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.104).
State PELs	California (PEL of 10 ppm) (Cal Code Regs. Title 8, § 5155) Hawaii PEL: 10 ppm (Hawaii Administrative Rules section 12-60-50).
State Right-to-Know Acts	New Jersey (N.J.A.C. 7:1G) and Pennsylvania (P.L. 734, No. 159 and 34 Pa. Code § 323).
Chemicals of High Concern to Children	Several states have adopted reporting laws for chemicals in children's products containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane, including Maine (38 MRSA Chapter 16-D), Minnesota (Toxic Free Kids Act Minn. Stat. 116.9401 to 116.9407).
Other	 California listed 1,1,2-trichloroethane on Proposition 65 in October 1, 1990 due to cancer. (Cal Code Regs. Title 27, § 27001). 1,1,2-trichloroethane is listed as a Candidate Chemical under California's Safer Consumer Products Program (Health and Safety Code § 25252 and 25253). California issued a Health Hazard Alert for 1,1,2-trichloroethane (Hazard Evaluation System and Information Service, 2016). California lists 1,1,2-trichloroethane as a designated priority chemical for biomonitoring (California SB 1379). 1,1,2-trichloroethane is on the MA Toxic Use Reduction Act (TURA) list of 2019 (301 CMR 41.00).

Table_Apx D-2. State Laws and Regulations

D.3 International Laws and Regulations

Country/Tribe/ Organization	Requirements and Restrictions
Canada	 1,1,2-Trichloroethane is on the Domestic Substances List (Government of Canada. Managing substances in the environment. Substances search. Database accessed April 17, 2019). And a Notice or Order has been published indicating that the Significant New Activity (SNAc) provisions of CEPA apply to this substance. Other regulations include: Canada's National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI).
European Union	In 2010, a restriction of sale and use 1,1,2-Trichloroethane as a substance or constituent of other substances, or in mixtures in concentrations equal to or greater than 0.1% or was added to Annex XVII of regulation (EC) No 552/2009- REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals). The restriction included a provision requiring that products be marked 'For use in industrial installations only.' The provision does not apply to medicinal or veterinary productos, or cosmetic products (European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) database. Accessed April 15, 2019).
Australia	1,1,2-Trichloroethane was assessed under Human Health II of the Inventory Multi- Tiered Assessment and Prioritisation (IMAP). There were no uses reported in Australia. International uses include adhesives, lacquer and coating formulations; and aerosol paints; as a solvent in the manufacture of organic materials (fats, oils, waxes, resins); as an intermediate in the production of vinylidene chloride (1,1- dichloroethylene) and tetrachloroethanes. (NICNAS, 2013, Ethane, 1,1,2-trichloro-: Human health tier II assessment. Accessed April 15, 2019).
Japan	 1,1,2-Trichloroethane is regulated in Japan under the following legislation: Act on the Evaluation of Chemical Substances and Regulation of Their Manufacture, etc. (Chemical Substances Control Law; CSCL) Act on Confirmation, etc. of Release Amounts of Specific Chemical Substances in the Environment and Promotion of Improvements to the Management Thereof Industrial Safety and Health Act (ISHA) Air Pollution Control Law Water Pollution Control Law Soil Contamination Countermeasures Act (National Institute of Technology and Evaluation [NITE] Chemical Risk Information Platform [CHRIP] Accessed April 16, 2019).
Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Japan, New Zealand, Poland, Singapore, South Korea, Spain, Switzerland	Occupational exposure limits for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane (GESTIS International limit values for chemical agents (Occupational exposure limits, OELs) database. Accessed April 17, 2019).

Table_Apx D-3. Regulatory Actions by other Governments, Tribes, and International Agreements

Appendix E PROCESS, RELEASE AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE INFORMATION

This appendix provides information and data found in preliminary data gathering for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.

E.1 Process Information

Process-related information potentially relevant to the risk evaluation may include process diagrams, descriptions and equipment. Such information may inform potential release sources and worker exposure activities.

E.1.1 Manufacture (Including Import)

E.1.1.1 Manufacture

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is produced in the USA by the chlorination of ethylene (IARC, 1987a, as cited in IARC, 1991). In a two-stage manufacturing process, this initially yields 1,2-dichloroethane (IARC, 1987b, as cited in IARC, 1991); subsequent chlorination yields 1,1,2-trichloroethane and hydrochloric acid. In an alternative production method, ethylene is combined with hydrochloric acid and oxygen at 280–370°C on a catalyst of cupric chloride and potassium chloride to yield 1,1,2-trichloroethane and other chlorinated ethanes (Reed et al., 1988, as cited in IARC, 1991). 1,1,2-Trichloroethane has also been made by the chlorination of vinyl chloride (IARC, 1987c, as cited in IARC, 1991) in a liquid phase at 300–320°C (Thomas et al., 1982, as cited in IARC, 1991).

E.1.1.2 Import

Commodity chemicals such as 1,1,2-trichloroethane may be imported into the United States in bulk via water, air, land, and intermodal shipments (Tomer and Kane, 2015). These shipments take the form of oceangoing chemical tankers, railcars, tank trucks, and intermodal tank containers. Chemicals shipped in bulk containers may be repackaged into smaller containers for resale, such as drums or bottles. Domestically manufactured commodity chemicals may be shipped within the United States in liquid cargo barges, railcars, tank trucks, tank containers, intermediate bulk containers (IBCs)/totes, and drums. Both imported and domestically manufactured commodity chemicals may be repackaged by wholesalers for resale; for example, repackaging bulk packaging into drums or bottles. The type and size of container will vary depending on customer requirement. In some cases, QC samples may be taken at import and repackaging sites for analyses. Some import facilities may only serve as storage and distribution locations, and repackaging/sampling may not occur at all import facilities.

1,1,2-Trichloroethane may be imported neat or as a component in a formulation. In the 2016 CDR, one company reported importing a formulation containing less than 1 percent 1,1,2-Trichloroethane.

E.1.2 Processing and Distribution

E.1.2.1 Processing as a Reactant or Intermediate

Processing as a reactant or intermediate is the use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as a feedstock in the production of another chemical via a chemical reaction in which 1,1,2-trichloroethane is consumed to form the product. In the 2016 CDR, companies reported use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as an intermediate in the manufacture of plastics, petrochemicals, and other chemical products. EPA has not identified specific process information for the processing of 1,1,2-trichloroethane as a reactant at this time.

E.1.3 Industrial and Commercial Uses

E.1.3.1 Industrial Use: Adhesives and Sealants

According to public comments provided by the Aerospace Industries Association (AIA), 1,1,2trichloroethane is used in the aerospace sector. Specifically, it is a component of proprietary acrylic and methacrylate adhesive formulations used by civil aviation and Department of Defense customers (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0006).

E.1.3.2 Industrial Use: Non-Incorporative Activities

In the 2012 CDR, one company reported use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in non-incorporative activities during chemical product and preparation manufacturing. This use was not reported in the 2016 CDR.

E.1.3.3 Commercial Use: Adhesives and Sealants

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is present in two-component adhesive products at a concentration of less than 0.1 percent (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0421-0003; Gorilla Glue, 2018). Product instructions indicate that the two components should be dispensed on to a disposable surface, mixed until uniform, and then applied to substrates for bonding. Full curing occurs in 24 hours (Gorilla Glue, 2020).

E.1.3.4 Commercial Use: Laboratory Use

A Safety Data Sheet for 1,1,2-trichloroethane (98% percent purity) indicates recommended use as a laboratory chemical. However, specific laboratory use activities are unknown (ThermoFisher Scientific, 2018).

E.1.4 Disposal

Each of the conditions of use of 1,1,2-trichloroethane may generate waste streams of the chemical that are collected and transported to third-party sites for disposal, treatment, or recycling. Industrial sites that treat or dispose onsite wastes that they themselves generate will be assessed in each condition of use assessment, as appropriate. Similarly, point source discharges of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to surface water will be assessed in each condition of use (point source discharges are exempt as solid wastes under RCRA). Wastes of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that are generated during a condition of use and sent to a third-party site for treatment, disposal, or recycling may include the following:

- Wastewater: 1,1,2-Trichloroethane may be contained in wastewater discharged to POTW or other, non-public treatment works for treatment. Industrial wastewater containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane discharged to a POTW may be subject to EPA or authorized NPDES state pretreatment programs. The assessment of wastewater discharges to POTWs and non-public treatment works of 1,1,2-trichloroethane will be evaluated in each of the condition of use.
- Solid Wastes: Solid wastes are defined under RCRA as any material that is discarded by being: abandoned; inherently waste-like; a discarded military munition; or recycled in certain ways (certain instances of the generation and legitimate reclamation of secondary materials are exempted as solid wastes under RCRA). Solid wastes may subsequently meet RCRA's definition of hazardous waste by either being listed as a waste at 40 CFR §§ 261.30 to 261.35 or by meeting waste-like characteristics as defined at 40 CFR §§ 261.20 to 261.24. Solid wastes that are hazardous wastes are regulated under the more stringent requirements of Subtitle C of RCRA, whereas non-hazardous solid wastes are regulated under the less stringent requirements of Subtitle D of RCRA.

1,1,2-Trichloroethane is a U-listed hazardous waste under code U227 under RCRA; therefore, discarded, unused pure and commercial grades of 1,1,2-trichloroethane are regulated as a hazardous waste under RCRA (40 CFR § 261.33(f)). Additionally, 1,1,2-trichloroethane is included in multiple waste codes under the F-list of non-specific source wastes (40 CFR § 261.31(a)).

• Wastes Exempted as Solid Wastes under RCRA: Certain conditions of use of 1,1,2trichloroethane may generate wastes of 1,1,2-trichloroethane that are exempted as solid wastes under 40 CFR § 261.4(a). For example, the generation and legitimate reclamation of hazardous secondary materials of 1,1,2-trichloroethane may be exempt as a solid waste.

E.2 Sources Containing Potentially Relevant Data or Information

EPA presents below examples of occupational exposure-related information from the preliminary data gathering. EPA plans to consider this information and data in combination of other data and methods for use in the risk evaluation. Note there are no OSHA Chemical Exposure and Health Data (CEHD) or NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluations for 1,1,2-trichloroethane within the last ten years.

SIC Code	SIC Description	Number of Data Points
1794	Excavation Work	4
2284	Thread Mills	1
2752	Commercial Printing, Lithographic	7
2869	Industrial Organic Chemicals, Not Elsewhere Classified	22
3089	Plastics Products, Not Elsewhere Classified	7
3312	Steel Works, Blast Furnaces (Including Coke Ovens) and Rolling Mills	10
3531	Construction Machinery and Equipment	3
3559	Special Industry Machinery, Not Elsewhere Classified	2
3561	Pumps and Pumping Equipment	4
3672	Printed Circuit Boards	2
3713	Truck and Bus Bodies	1
3961	Costume Jewelry	3
7211	Power Laundries, Family and Commercial	32
8748	Business Consulting Services, Not Elsewhere Classified	2
9711	National Security	39

Table_Apx E-1. Summary of Industry Sectors with 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Personal Monitoring Air Samples Obtained from OSHA Inspections Conducted since 1984

 Table_Apx E-2. Potentially Relevant Data Sources for Exposure Monitoring and Area Monitoring

 Data from NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluations

Year of Publication	Report Number	Facility Description			
1973	Not available	Appliance manufacturing			
1977	<u>HHE-76-42-407</u>	Production of precision parts for aircraft and missiles			
1977	<u>HHE-77-86-439</u>	Production of friction igniters			
1986	HETA-84-214-1633	Electronic material and flexible printed circuit manufacturing			
1988	HETA-87-181-0000	Production of microwave popcorn bags			

Appendix F SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AND USES

Table_Apx F-1. Worker	[•] and Occupational Nor	n-User Exposure	Conceptual Mode	el Supporting Table
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	The second secon	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate?	Rationale
		Domestic manufacture	Manufacture of	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane.
	Domestic			Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
	manufacture		1,1,2- trichloroethane	Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Manufacturing		Import	Repackaging of	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2- trichloroethane.
	Import			Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
			import containers	Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate?	Rationale
		Intermediate in plastic manufacturing		Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2- trichloroethane.
	Processing as a reactant or	Intermediate in all other chemical product and	Manufacture of plastics, petrochemicals,	Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
	intermediate	preparation manufacturing Petrochemical	and other chemical products	Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
		Petrochemical manufacturing		Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Processing		Recycling	Recycling of 1,1,2- trichloroethane or solvents containing 1,1,2- trichloroethane	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2- trichloroethane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
	Recycling			Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Distribution in commerce	Distribution in commerce	Distribution in commerce	Distribution of bulk shipments of 1,1,2- trichloroethane and formulated products	Liquid Contact, Vapor	Dermal, Inhalation	Worker, ONU	Yes	EPA plans to analyze activities resulting in exposures associated with distribution in commerce (e.g. loading, unloading) throughout the various lifecycle stages and conditions of use (e.g. manufacturing, processing, industrial use, commercial use, disposal) rather than as a single distribution scenario.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate?	Rationale
		Adhesives and sealants	Use of adhesives in aerospace industry	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Industrial Use	Adhesives and sealants			Mist	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Method of application for this type of adhesive is not known. If the adhesive is spray applied, there is potential for mist generation and associated exposure.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Industrial Use	Non- incorporative activities	Other: all other chemical product and preparation manufacturing	EPA plans to evaluate this condition of use as additional information is identified.					

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate?	Rationale
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2- trichloroethane. The chemical may be present in adhesives at up to 5% concentration. In some cases, it is present as only an impurity.
		Tereneite in allesions		Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
	Adhesives and sealants	Impurity in adhesives Two-component glues and adhesives	Application of glues and adhesives	Mist	Inhalation	Worker	No	Known adhesive products are supplied in tubes and similar packaging. Because the product will not be spray-applied, mist generation is expected to be negligible.
Commercial				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
Use				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
	Other use	Laboratory chemical	Laboratory chemical use (Use Report indicates this as industrial use?)	Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane.
				Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
				Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release / Exposure Scenario	Exposure Pathway	Exposure Route	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate?	Rationale
			Liquid Contact	Dermal	Worker	Yes	Workers are expected to routinely handle liquids containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane.	
Dienosel	Disposal Disposal Disposal	Disposel	worker handling of wastes	Vapor	Inhalation	Worker	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.
Disposal		-		Liquid Contact	Dermal	ONU	No	Dermal exposure is expected to be primarily to workers who directly handle the chemical.
				Vapor	Inhalation	ONU	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), EPA plans to evaluate inhalation exposure to vapor.

Appendix G SUPPORTING INFORMATION - CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR CONSUMER ACTIVITIES AND USES

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	Release from source	Exposure Pathway	Route	Receptor	Proposed for Further Analysis	Rationale
Consumer Use;	Adhesives and	Adhesives and	Application of	Liquid	Dermal	Consumers and Bystanders	Yes	Consumers can potentially handle liquids containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane. The chemical may be present in adhesives at up to 5% concentration. In some cases, it is present only as an impurity.
Consumer Reuse and Recycling	Sealants	Sealants	glues and adhesives	Vapor	Inhalation	Consumers and Bystanders	Yes	Due to high volatility (23 mmHg at room temperature), inhalation exposure from vapor plan to be evaluated.
				Mist	Inhalation/ Dermal	Consumers and Bystanders	No	Known adhesive products are supplied in tubes and similar packaging. Because the product will not be spray-applied, mist generation is expected to be negligible.

Table_Apx G-1. Consumer Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table

Appendix H SUPPORTING INFORMATION – CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES AND WASTES

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Release	Exposure Pathway / Media	Exposure Routes	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate ⁷	Rationale
			Near facility ambient air concentrations	Inhalation	General Population	No	1,1,2-Trichloroethane is a HAP.
	Emissions to Air	Emissions to Air	Indirect deposition to	Oral Dermal	General Population	No	Stationary source releases of 1,1,2-trichloroethane to ambient air are under the jurisdiction of
			nearby bodies of water and soil catchments	TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors	No	the CAA
			Direct release into surface water and indirect partitioning to sediment	TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors	Yes	EPA has developed Ambient Water Quality Criteria for
All				Oral Dermal	General Population	No	protection of human health for 1,1,2-trichloroethane.
	Wastewater or Liquid Wastes		Drinking Water via Surface or Ground Water	Oral Dermal and Inhalation (e.g. showering)	General Population	No	The drinking water exposure pathway for 1,1,2- trichloroethane is currently addressed in the SDWA regulatory analytical process for public water systems
				Oral (e.g. ingestion of soil) Inhalation	General Population	No	

Table_Apx H-1. General Population and Environmental Exposure Conceptual Model Supporting Table

⁷ The exposure pathways, exposure routes and hazards plans to consider are subject to change in the final scope, in light of comments received on this draft scope and other reasonably available information. EPA continues to consider whether and how other EPA-administered statutes and any associated regulatory programs address the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane in exposure pathways falling under the jurisdiction of these EPA statutes.

Life Cycle Stage	Category	Release	Exposure Pathway / Media	Exposure Routes	Receptor / Population	Plans to Evaluate ⁷	Rationale
			Biosolids: application to soil and/or migration to groundwater and/or surface water	TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial receptors	Yes	Unlikely to be a route to general population since 1,1,2- trichloroethane is not expected to sorb onto biosolids. CWA (AWQC) and SDWA (NPDWR) cover ambient and drinking water.
		Underground injection	Migration to groundwater, potential surface/drinking water	Oral Dermal Inhalation	General Population	No	1,1,2-trichloroethane is released to Class I Underground Injection Wells which are covered by SDWA and RCRA.
				TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Species		
Disposal	Solid and Liquid Wastes	Municipal landfill and other land disposal	Leachate to soil, ground water and/or mitigation to surface water	Oral Dermal	General Population	No	1,1,2-trichloroethane is included on the list of hazardous wastes pursuant to RCRA 3001 (40 CFR §§ 261.33).
				TBD	Aquatic and Terrestrial Receptors		