

**Final Risk Evaluation for  
Asbestos**

**Part 1: Chrysotile Asbestos**

**Systematic Review Supplemental File:**

**Data Quality Evaluation of Physical-Chemical Properties Studies**

*December 2020*

## Table of Contents

Table 1. Essential Composition Study Summary for Asbestos .....	3
Table 2. Color Study Summary for Asbestos.....	4
Table 3. Luster Study Summary for Asbestos.....	5
Table 4. Surface Area Study Summary for Asbestos .....	6
Table 5. Hardness Study Summary for Asbestos .....	7
Table 6. Specific Gravity Study Summary for Asbestos.....	8
Table 7. Optical Properties Study Summary for Asbestos .....	9
Table 8. Refractive Index Study Summary for Asbestos.....	10
Table 9. Flexibility Study Summary for Asbestos.....	11
Table 10. Texture Study Summary for Asbestos.....	12
Table 11. Spinnability Study Summary for Asbestos.....	13
Table 12. Tensile Strength Study Summary for Asbestos .....	14
Table 13. Fiber Size (median true diameter) Study Summary for Asbestos.....	15
Table 14. Fiber Size (median true length) Study Summary for Asbestos.....	16
Table 15. Resistance to Acids or Bases Study Summary for Asbestos.....	17
Table 16. Zeta Potential Study Summary for Asbestos.....	18
Table 17. Decomposition Temperature Study Summary for Asbestos.....	19

Table 1. Essential Composition Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the essential composition of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The information is consistent with the nature of the subject chemical substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 2. Color Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the color of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 3. Luster Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the luster of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 4. Surface Area Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	Addison, WE; Neal, GH; White, AD. (1966). Amphiboles—Part IV—Surface properties of amosite and crocidolite. J Am Chem Soc. A: 79-81. HERO ID: <a href="#">3827309</a>		
<b>Note:</b>	Addison et al. (1966) reported the surface area of three asbestos fiber types (crocidolite; amosite; chrysotile).		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The information or data closely reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The information or data is from a recognized data collection/repository where data are peer-reviewed by experts in the field, are broadly available to the public for review and use and include references to the original sources.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	High	Methodology for producing the information is designed to answer a specific question, and the methodology's objective is clear.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	High	Data are obtained by accepted standard analytic methods. Surface area was measured by BET (Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller) nitrogen adsorption method.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>High</b>

Table 5. Hardness Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the hardness of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 6. Specific Gravity Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the specific gravity of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The information is consistent with the nature of the subject chemical substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>



Table 7. Optical Properties Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the optical properties of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 8. Refractive Index Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the refractive index of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 9. Flexibility Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the flexibility of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 10. Texture Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the texture of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 11. Spinnability Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the spinnability of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 12. Tensile Strength Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported the tensile strength of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The information is consistent with the nature of the subject chemical substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 13. Fiber Size (median true diameter) Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Hwang, CY. (1983). Size and shape of airborne asbestos fibres in mines and mills. Br J Ind Med. 40: 273-279.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3083760</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Hwang (1983) reported the fiber size median true diameter of three asbestos fiber types (Chrysotile, Amosite and Crocidolite).		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	The data was measured for the substances of interest.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	High	The data presented is referenced to a primary source, British Journal of Industrial Medicine, which is a peer reviewed publication of the British Medical Journal Publishing Group Ltd
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	High	Methodology for producing the measured data was specific to the endpoint reported.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	High	Measured data were obtained using light optical microscopy and transmission electron microscopy, an acceptable analytical method appropriate for the endpoint.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>High</b>

Table 14. Fiber Size (median true length) Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Hwang, CY. (1983). Size and shape of airborne asbestos fibres in mines and mills. Br J Ind Med. 40: 273-279.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3083760</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Hwang (1983) reported the fiber size median true length of three asbestos fiber types (Chrysotile, Amosite and Crocidolite).		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	The data was measured for the substances of interest.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The measured value is consistent with the nature of the substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	High	The data presented is referenced to a primary source, British Journal of Industrial Medicine, which is a peer reviewed publication of the British Medical Journal Publishing Group Ltd.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	High	Methodology for producing the measured data was specific to the endpoint reported.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	High	Measured data were obtained using light optical microscopy and transmission electron microscopy, an acceptable analytical method appropriate for the endpoint.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>High</b>



Table 15. Resistance to Acids or Bases Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	<b>Badollet, MS. (1951). Asbestos, a mineral of unparalleled properties. 54: 151-160.</b> <b>HERO ID: <a href="#">3827307</a></b>		
<b>Note:</b>	Badollet (1951) reported resistance to acids and bases of six asbestos fiber types.		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	Data are measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The information is consistent with the nature of the subject chemical substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	Medium	The report is from an asbestos producer that compiled data through experimental investigation and literature searching; however, while peer-reviewed articles are cited in the references, it is not possible to know what data has been peer-reviewed and what has not.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	The analytical method is not known.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>Medium</b>

Table 16. Zeta Potential Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	Virta, R. (2011). Asbestos. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. [online]: John Wiley & Sons. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471238961.0119020510151209.a01.pub3">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471238961.0119020510151209.a01.pub3</a> HERO ID: <a href="#">3827175</a>		
<b>Note:</b>	Virta (2011) reported zeta potential of three asbestos fiber types (chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite).		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	The data is measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	Not rated	This metric is not applicable to this type of information.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	High	The data comes from a peer-reviewed article.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	Details regarding the analytical method are not provided.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>High</b>

Table 17. Decomposition Temperature Study Summary for Asbestos

<b>Study Reference:</b>	Virta, R. (2011). Asbestos. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. [online]: John Wiley & Sons. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471238961.0119020510151209.a01.pub3">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471238961.0119020510151209.a01.pub3</a> HERO ID: <a href="#">3827175</a>		
<b>Note:</b>	Virta (2011) reported decomposition temperatures of three asbestos fiber types (chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite).		
<b>Domain/Metric</b>	<b>Description/ Definition</b>	<b>Qualitative Determination [i.e., High, Medium, Low, Unacceptable, or Not rated]</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>Representativeness</b>	The information or data reflects the data and chemical substance type.	High	The data is measured for the subject chemical substance.
<b>Appropriateness</b>	The information or data reflects anticipated results based on chemical structural features or behaviors.	High	The data is consistent with the nature of the chemical substance.
<b>Evaluation/ Review</b>	The information or data reported has reliable review.	High	The data comes from a peer-reviewed article.
<b>Reliability/ Unbiased (Method Objectivity)</b>	The method for producing the data/information is not biased towards a particular product or outcome.	Not rated	Data source does not provide information to determine the method objectivity (unbiased method). Thus, the domain/metric was not rated.
<b>Reliability/ Analytic Method</b>	The information or data reported is from a reliable method.	Low	Details regarding the analytical method are not provided.
<b>Overall Quality Level</b>			<b>High</b>