

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 7 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, Kansas 66219

11/30/2020

Mr. Ed Galbraith, Director Division of Environmental Quality Missouri Department of Natural Resources 1101 Riverside Drive Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: Missouri 2020 303(d) List of Impaired Waters under Clean Water Act, Section 303(d)

Dear Mr. Galbraith:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency appreciates the Missouri Department of Natural Resources' 303(d) List of Impaired Waters still requiring Total Maximum Daily Loads, which was submitted as part of Missouri's 2020 Integrated Report on June 26, 2020. EPA has carefully reviewed Missouri's submittal, including the listing decisions, the assessment methodology, and supporting data and information to determine whether the State reasonably identified waters to be listed as impaired.

Based on this analysis, EPA approves Missouri's decision to list the 481 water body/pollutant impairment pairs found in Appendix A as the State's decision is consistent with Clean Water Act Section 303(d) and EPA's implementing regulations. EPA also reviewed Missouri's decision not to list 44 water body/pollutant impairment pairs in Appendix B that were listed on Missouri's 2018 303(d) List based on the state's conclusion that the readily available data and information do not require the identification of those water bodies as impaired. The state's decision not to list these water bodies is reasonable.

EPA disapproves the state's decision not to list the 40 water bodies in Appendix C because the existing and readily available data and information for those water bodies indicate impairments of lake numeric nutrient criteria and the state's decision is inconsistent with CWA Section 303(d) and EPA's implementing regulations. Specifically, EPA is identifying the water bodies in Appendix C for inclusion on Missouri's 2020 CWA Section 303(d) List for chlorophyll-a (W).

EPA will issue a public notice providing for a 60-day public comment period on these additions to Missouri's CWA Section 303(d) List. After considering any comments received, EPA may make revisions, as appropriate, and will transmit its listings to Missouri for incorporation into the state's water quality management plan. The enclosure provides the analysis and basis for EPA's decision.

I look forward to our continued partnership in addressing the challenges of water quality.



Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please contact our Standards and Water Quality Branch Chief, Amy Shields at (913) 551-7396 or shields.amy@epa.gov. The staff contact for Integrated Reports is Jason Daniels at (913) 551-7443 or daniels.jason@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Jeffery Robichaud

Director

Water Division

Enclosures

cc: Chris Wieberg, Director, MDNR Water Protection Program

John Hoke, Chief, MDNR Watershed Protection Section Robert Voss, MDNR Monitoring and Assessment Unit

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 2020 Decision Document



Missouri's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List Water Quality Limited Segments Still Requiring TMDLs

Jeffery Robichaud

Director

Water Division

11/30/20

Date

(This page intentionally left blank)

U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY - REGION 7's REVIEW of the 2020 MISSOURI CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 303(D) LIST

| 303(d) list | Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List |
|-------------|---|
| Br. | Branch |
| C | Streams that maintain permanent pools |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| Cr. | Creek |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| EPA | U. S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| IR | Integrated Report |
| L1 | Public drinking water supply lake |
| L2 | Major reservoir |
| L3 | Other lakes |
| MDNR | Missouri Department of Natural Resources |
| P1 | Standing-water reaches of Class P streams |
| P | Permanently flowing stream |
| R. | River |
| (S) | Pollutant in sediment |
| (T) | Pollutant in tissue |
| TMDL | Total Maximum Daily Load |
| Trib. | Tributary |
| WBID | Water Body Identification |
| WQS | Water Quality Standards |
| (W) | Pollutant in water |
| | |

Decision Document of Missouri's Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List, Water Quality Limited Segments Still Requiring TMDLs

I. Purpose

The purpose of this review document is to describe the basis for EPA's partial approval and partial disapproval of Missouri's 2020 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List. EPA's review of Missouri's 2020 CWA Section 303(d) List is based on EPA's analysis of the State's compliance with the applicable statutory and regulatory provisions including whether the State reasonably considered all existing and readily available data and information and reasonably identified waters required to be listed by the CWA and EPA regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations § 130.7). Throughout this review document the CWA Section 303(d) List is referred to as the "CWA Section 303(d) List" or the "Section 303(d) List."

On June 26, 2020, EPA received from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources its 2020 Missouri Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List package through the Assessment, Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Tracking and Implementation System (ATTAINS) for review, herein referred to as the submittal. ATTAINS is EPA's electronic system to accept and track 303(d) submissions and actions. EPA and MDNR performed a check of MDNR's submittal in ATTAINS for completeness and accuracy. After a state submits its CWA Section 303(d) List to EPA, the Agency is required to approve or disapprove that list, consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(d)(2).

Missouri's submission through ATTAINS stated, "In the case of any discrepancy between ATTAINS and the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved 303(d) List, the Clean Water Commission approved list stands as the official submission." Therefore, EPA's action applies to the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved 303(d) List.

The MDNR's submittal for EPA's review includes a list reflecting, among other things:

- Water bodies included on Missouri's previously approved/established 2018 CWA Section 303(d)
 List that were determined to need TMDLs pursuant to Missouri's EPA-approved water quality
 standards and,
- Additional water bodies that MDNR determined to be water quality-limited segments are included in the 2020 Section 303(d) List that the MDNR submitted to EPA for review.

MDNR also identified in its submittal water bodies previously included on Missouri's approved 2018 CWA Section 303(d) List that, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(6), the State determined to no longer require TMDLs pursuant to Missouri's EPA-approved water quality standards and, therefore, with good cause excluded from the 2020 Section 303(d) List submitted to EPA for Review (Appendix B).

With its submittal, MDNR provided a description of the data and information it used to develop its list, along with the 2020 assessment methodology used to develop its 2020 Section 303(d) List. The methodology establishes specific protocols and thresholds for assessing water bodies, in addition to data sufficiency and data quality requirements. The methodology contains MDNR's procedures for assessing both aquatic life use support and human health use support. While the guidelines, protocols, and requirements in State statute and the MDNR methodology might be useful tools for the MDNR to use in identifying impaired waters, they are not part of the State's EPA-approved water quality standards.

EPA's review process included:

- 1) Evaluation of all available data and information including any data and information excluded under the State's methodology to determine if the State's list was developed consistent with the underlying EPA-approved water quality standards.
- 2) Consideration of the State's listing methodology, including data collection and data assessment requirements, to determine whether, based on Missouri's EPA-approved water quality standards, the methodology was a reasonable method for identifying water qualitylimited segments; and
- 3) A request for additional information when it determined that such additional information was necessary to conduct further waterbody and data analysis independent of the State's listing methodology (communication with MDNR on 7/13/2020, 7/23/2020, 8/24/2020, 8/25/2020, 8/31/2020, 9/1/2020, 10/7/2020 and 10/15/2020).

Following EPA review of Missouri's submission, EPA is partially approving, and partially disapproving Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List as submitted. At this time, EPA approves the State's addition of 61 water bodies representing 61 water body/pollutant impairment pairs to its CWA Section 303(d) List. In addition, EPA reviewed the State's decision to exclude 44 water body/pollutant impairment pairs representing 35 water bodies that were previously included on the State's CWA Section 303(d) List. The State's list that EPA is partially approving consists of 481 waterbody/pollutant combinations.

EPA also determined the State's submission was not fully consistent with the requirements of Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act and EPA regulations. 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b) provides that each State shall assemble and evaluate "all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information." Specifically, the State's submission did not demonstrate that it satisfied the obligation to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, specifically for lake Chlorophyll-a (W).

EPA carefully reviewed MO's listing decisions, the assessment methodology and rationale used by the State in developing its decisions, and the supporting data and information to determine whether the State assembled and evaluated existing and readily available water quality-related data and information for identified waters to be listed as impaired.

Appendix A contains more detail regarding EPA's decision to partially approve the Missouri 2020 Section 303(d) List including:

- approved additions to the 2018 Section 303(d) List; and
- waters carried over from EPA-approved 2018 Section 303(d) List.

Appendix B contains a summary list of the water body/pollutant pairs from the 2018 list EPA reviewed for exclusion from the 2020 list.

Appendix C contains a summary list of water body/pollutant pairs that EPA disapproves the State's decision not to list. EPA reviewed MO's listing decisions, the assessment methodology and rationale used by the State in developing its decisions, and the supporting data and information to determine whether the State assembled and evaluated existing and readily available water quality-related data and information and reasonably identified waters to be listed as impaired. This document describes EPA's decision to disapprove Missouri's decision not to list 40 water body/pollutant pairs that do not meet the applicable water quality standards for Chlorophyll-a in water (W). As required by EPA's regulations,

EPA will issue a public notice seeking comment on the addition of 40 water bodies/pollutant pairs for Chlorophyll-a (W) to Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List and will, if appropriate, revise the list following consideration of any comments received.

II. Statutory and Regulatory Background

A. Identification of Water Quality-Limited Segments for Inclusion on the Section 303(d) List

Section 303(d)(1) of the CWA directs states to identify those waters within its jurisdiction for which effluent limitations required by Section 301(b)(1)(A) and (B) are not stringent enough to implement any applicable water quality standards, and to establish a priority ranking for such waters, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters. The Section 303(d) listing requirement applies to waters impaired by point and/or nonpoint sources.

EPA regulations provide that states need to list waters where the following controls are not adequate to implement applicable standards: (1) technology-based effluent limitations required by the Act, (2) more stringent effluent limitations required by federal, state, or local authority, and (3) other pollution control requirements required by state, local, or federal authority. See 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1).

B. Evaluation of Existing and Readily Available Water Quality-Related Data and Information

In developing its list of water-quality-limited segments requiring a Total Maximum Daily Load, a state is required to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, including, at a minimum, existing and readily available data and information about the following categories of waters: (1) waters identified as partially meeting or not meeting designated uses, or as threatened, in the State's most recent Section 305(b) report; (2) waters for which dilution calculations or predictive modeling indicate nonattainment of applicable standards; (3) waters for which water quality problems have been reported by governmental agencies, members of the public, or academic institutions; and (4) waters identified as impaired or threatened in any Section 319 nonpoint assessment submitted to EPA. See 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5).

In addition to these minimum categories, states are required to assemble and evaluate any other water quality-related data and information that is existing and readily available. While states are required to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, states may decide to rely or not rely on particular data or information in determining whether to list particular waters. EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(6) require states to include as part of their submittal to EPA documentation to support decisions to use or not use particular existing and readily available data and information and decisions to list or not list waters. Such documentation needs to include, at a minimum, the following information: (1) a description of the methodology used to develop the list; (2) a description of the data and information used to identify waters; (3) a rationale for any decision not to use any existing and readily available data and information; and (4) any other reasonable information requested by EPA.

For any waterbody included on the Section 303(d) List, EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. §§ 130.7(b)(4) and 130.7(d)(2) require the identification of the pollutants causing or expected to cause violations of the applicable water quality standards.

C. Priority Ranking

EPA regulations also codify and interpret the requirement in Section 303(d)(1)(A) that states establish a priority ranking for listed waters. The regulations at 40 CFR § 130.7(b)(4) require states to prioritize waters on their Section 303(d) List for TMDL development and identify those targeted for TMDL development in the next two years. In prioritizing and targeting waters, states must, at a minimum, take into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters. As long as these factors are taken into account, the CWA provides that states establish priorities. States may consider other factors relevant to prioritizing waters for TMDL development, including immediate programmatic needs, vulnerability of particular waters as aquatic habitats, recreational, economic, and aesthetic importance of particular waters, degree of public interest and support, and state or national policies and priorities [see, 57 Federal Register 33040, 33045 (July 24, 1992)]. EPA reviews but does not take action to approve or disapprove the priority ranking.

III. Missouri's Approach to Identifying Waters for the 2020 Section 303(d) List

A. Missouri's 2020 Integrated Report Format

EPA strongly encourages states to submit a single, Integrated Report (IR) to satisfy the reporting requirements of CWA Sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314. A summary of state's reporting requirements for each of these sections and corresponding regulations is provided below:

CWA Section 303(d) – by April 1 of all even numbered years, a list of impaired and threatened waters still requiring TMDLs; identification of the impairing pollutant(s); and priority ranking of these waters, including waters targeted for TMDL development within the next two years.

CWA Section 305(b) – by April 1 of all even numbered years, a description of the water quality of all waters of the state (including, rivers/stream, lakes, estuaries/oceans and wetlands). states may also include in their CWA Section 305(b) submittal a description of the nature and extent of ground water pollution and recommendations of state plans or programs needed to maintain or improve ground water quality.

CWA Section 314 – in each CWA Section 305(b) submittal, an assessment of status and trends of significant publicly owned lakes including extent of point source and nonpoint source impacts due to toxics, conventional pollutants, and acidification.

Each IR will report on the WQS attainment status of all waters, document the availability of data and information for each water body, identify certain trends in water quality conditions and provide information to managers in setting priorities for future actions to protect and restore the health of our nation's waters. EPA promotes this comprehensive assessment approach to enhance a state's ability to track programmatic and environmental goals of the CWA. EPA promotes the use of a five-part categorization format for sorting waters in the IR. In summary, the categories are:

Category 1: All designated uses are supported, no use is threatened,

Category 2: Available data and/or information indicate that some, but not all of the designated uses are supported,

Category 3: There is insufficient available data and/or information to make any use support determination,

Category 4: Available data and/or information indicate that at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened, but a TMDL is not needed, and

Category 5: Available data and/or information indicate that at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened, and a TMDL is needed.

Missouri's 2020 submittal included the CWA Section 303(d) List of impaired waters (Category 5) and the State's assessment data. Today's decision is based on the 2020 Missouri Section 303(d) List approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission, submitted through ATTAINS on June 26, 2020.

B. 2020 Missouri Methodology

Missouri's *Methodology for the Development of the 2020 Section 303(d) List in Missouri* (July 22, 2019), guided the MDNR's evaluation of "existing and readily available water quality-related data and information" (40 CFR § 130.7(b)(5)) and identification of "water quality-limited segments still requiring TMDLs" (40 CFR § 130.7(a)). As described earlier, Category 5 of the 2020 IR constitutes Missouri's list of impaired waters for purposes of CWA Section 303(d) and is subject to EPA's review and approval. EPA is taking action only on Category 5, which consists of water quality-limited segments still requiring TMDLs.

According to the State's "Listing Methodology," data sources used to assess water quality conditions in Missouri for purposes of Section 305(b) reporting and to aid in developing the State's 303(d) list include:

- 1. Fixed station water quality and sediment data collected and analyzed by MDNR's Environmental Services Program personnel.
- 2. Fixed station water quality data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey under contractual agreements with the department.
- 3. Fixed station water quality data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey under contractual agreements to agencies or organizations other than MDNR.
- 4. Fixed station water quality, sediment quality, and aquatic biological information collected by the U.S. Geological Survey under their National Stream Quality Accounting Network and the National Water Quality Assessment Monitoring Programs.
- 5. Fixed station raw water quality data collected by the Kansas City Water Services Department, the St. Louis City Water Company, the Missouri American Water Company (formerly St. Louis County Water Company), Springfield City Utilities, and Springfield's Department of Public Works.
- 6. Fixed station water quality data collected by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Kansas City, St. Louis, and Little Rock Corps Districts have monitoring programs for Corps-operated reservoirs in Missouri.
- 7. Fixed station water quality data collected by the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, the Kansas Department of Health and Environment, the Iowa Department of Natural Resources, and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
- 8. Fixed station water quality monitoring by corporations.
- 9. Annual fish tissue monitoring programs by EPA/Department RAFT Monitoring Program and MDC.

- 10. Special water quality surveys conducted by MDNR. Most of these surveys are focused on the water quality impacts of specific point source wastewater discharges. Some surveys are of well-delimited nonpoint sources such as abandoned mined lands. These surveys often include physical habitat evaluation and monitoring of aquatic macroinvertebrates as well as water chemistry monitoring.
- 11. Special water quality surveys conducted by U.S. Geological Survey, including but not limited to: a) Geology, hydrology and water quality of various hazardous waste sites, b) Geology, hydrology and water quality of various abandoned mining areas, c) Hydrology and water quality of urban nonpoint source runoff in metropolitan areas of Missouri (e.g. St. Louis, Kansas City, and Springfield), and d) Bacterial and nutrient contamination of streams in southern Missouri.
- 12. Special water quality studies by other agencies such as MDC, the U.S. Public Health Service, and the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services.
- 13. Monitoring of fish occurrence and distribution by MDC.
- 14. Fish Kill and Water Pollution Investigations Reports published by MDC.
- 15. Selected graduate research projects pertaining to water quality and/or aquatic biology.
- 16. Water quality, sediment, and aquatic biological data collected by the department, EPA or their contractors at hazardous waste sites in Missouri.
- 17. Self-monitoring of receiving streams by cities, sewer districts and industries, or contractors on their behalf, for those discharges that require this kind of monitoring. This monitoring includes chemical and sometimes toxicity monitoring of some of the larger wastewater discharges, particularly those that discharge to smaller streams and have the greatest potential to affect instream water quality.
- 18. Compliance monitoring of receiving waters by the department and EPA. This can include chemical and toxicity monitoring.
- 19. Bacterial monitoring of streams and lakes by county health departments, community lake associations, and other organizations using acceptable analytical methods.
- 20. Other monitoring activities done under a quality assurance project plan approved by the department.
- 21. Fixed station water quality and aquatic macroinvertebrate monitoring by qualified volunteers.

The State's methodology also specifies the data quality considerations used to determine if data is acceptable for use in 303(d) assessments.

IV. Analysis of Missouri's June 26, 2020 Submission and Decision Rationale

A. Identification of Water Quality-Limited Segments for Inclusion on the CWA Section 303(d) List

EPA has reviewed Missouri's 2020 submission and found that while Missouri's submission included all the components, as required by the CWA and federal regulations, the 2020 Missouri Section 303(d) List did not include all water quality-limited segments still requiring a TMDL. EPA's action is based on its analysis of whether the State reasonably considered existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, and reasonably identified waters to be listed. Missouri's submission only partially satisfies the statutory and regulatory requirements of Section 303(d) and 40 CFR § 130.7.

EPA is partially approving and partially disapproving the 2020 Missouri Section 303(d) List

and identifying water bodies and corresponding pollutants for inclusion on the State's list, as described in greater detail below. The sections below cover broad categories of EPA's action on the State's 2020 list submission.

B. Missouri's Data Evaluation and Consideration of Existing and Readily Available Water Quality-Related Data and Information

EPA has concluded that the State did not evaluate all readily available data or information for lakes with Chlorophyll-a (W) impairments when developing its Section 303(d) List. The State should have evaluated this information in its decision making and EPA is therefore partially disapproving the State's decision not to identify these water bodies in Appendix C for inclusion on Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List.

Missouri used its Methodology for the Development of the 2020 Section 303(d) List in Missouri, July 22, 2019, (Listing Methodology) to develop its 2020 submission. The Listing Methodology provides a detailed explanation of the data generated by the MDNR's monitoring program; describes the procedures and methods for collecting data from other federal agencies, State agencies, universities, and monitoring networks; lists the supporting laboratories; and lists other data sources the MDNR uses for compiling the State's CWA Section 305(b) report (including the Section 314 report) and Section 303(d) list. The Listing Methodology also explains how the MDNR considers and evaluates each type of data for listing purposes. However, EPA reviews the State's submittal based on its EPA-approved water quality standards. Where EPA finds the methodology is not consistent with those standards, and its application has resulted in an improper section 303(d) list, EPA may disapprove the list. 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b) provides that each State shall assemble and evaluate "all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information. EPA reviewed all available information including any information excluded under the State's methodology to determine if the State's list was developed consistent with the underlying EPA-approved water quality standards. EPA Region 7 reviewed the State's listing methodology, including data collection and data assessment requirements, to determine whether, based on Missouri's EPA-approved water quality standards, the methodology was a reasonable method for identifying water quality-limited segments; and EPA requested additional information when it determined that such additional information was necessary to conduct further water body and data analysis independent of the State's listing methodology.

C. Priority Ranking

Appendix B of the *Missouri Integrated Water Quality Report and Section 303(d) List*, 2020, submitted by Missouri contains the State's Priority Ranking and schedule for completing TMDLs for those waters still needing a TMDL and identified goal years for development through the year 2031. The Listing Methodology submitted with Missouri's IR details the process by which the MDNR ranks waters for TMDL development a (see *Methodology for the Development of the 2020 Section 303(d) List in Missouri*, July 22, 2019). The State's priority ranking is required by federal regulations at 40 CFR § 130.7(b). EPA is not taking action on these schedules as federal regulations do not require EPA's approval of priority rankings or schedules.

D. Listing of Waters Impaired by Nonpoint Sources

Based solely on an evaluation of the final 2020 Missouri Section 303(d) List, EPA concludes that Missouri listed waters with nonpoint sources causing or expected to cause impairment, consistent with Section 303(d) of the CWA. EPA understands Section 303(d) to provide ample authority to require States to list waters impaired solely by nonpoint source pollutants. There is no expressed

exclusion of the nonpoint source impaired water bodies in the CWA. EPA's understanding that Section 303(d) applies to nonpoint sources is also consistent with the CWA definition of the term "pollutant" and Congress' use of that term in other sections of the CWA, such as Section 319 and Section 320. Therefore, state Section 303(d) Lists are to include all water quality-limited segments still needing TMDLs, regardless of whether the source of the impairment is a point or a nonpoint source or a combination of both.

E. Public Comments

EPA regulations require states to describe in their Continuing Planning Processes the process for involving the public and other stakeholders in the development of the section 303(d) List. See 40 C.F.R. Part 25 and 40 C.F.R. section 130.7(a). EPA encourages the State to provide ample opportunities for public participation in the development of the IR and demonstrate how it considered public comments in its final decisions.

The MDNR provided several opportunities for public participation and comment in finalizing the 2020 Missouri CWA Section 303(d) List. Missouri posted its final draft 2020 Section 303(d) List for a public comment period commencing on November 15, 2019 and ending on February 20, 2020. The State also held two public availability meetings on December 10, 2019 and January 14, 2020, and a public hearing on February 13, 2020 on the proposed list. Missouri evaluated and responded to each public comment and, where deemed appropriate, incorporated suggested changes into its 2020 Section 303(d) List. The Missouri Clean Water Commission approved the MDNR Section 303(d) List on April 2, 2020. Missouri included copies of comments and Missouri's response with its list submission. In this decision, EPA seeks public comments on the actions described in Section VII of this document which are summarized in Appendix C.

V. Basis for EPA Decision to Partially Approve Missouri's 2020 303(d) List

A. Water Quality-Limited Segments for Inclusion on the Section 303(d) List (Appendix A)

EPA has reviewed Missouri's 2020 list submission and concludes that the State partially developed its list of impaired waters (i.e., Category 5 of its IR) in compliance with Section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 CFR § 130.7, and as a result, approves the listing of the water bodies and corresponding pollutants identified in Appendix A.

EPA's review is based on its analysis of whether the State reasonably considered existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, and reasonably identified waters to be listed. EPA is partially approving and partially disapproving the State's submitted CWA Section 303(d) List. Waterbody/pollutant pairs EPA disapproves for omission from the State's list and identifies for inclusion are described in Section VII of this document and the table in Appendix C.

B. Segment Length

It is important that Missouri, EPA, and the general public be able to track the progress of individual water bodies as they are listed, pollution controls are implemented, and the applicable water quality standards are eventually attained. To provide as much information as possible to the public, EPA is including descriptive information submitted by Missouri for each classified water body (Appendix A). This enables one to more readily compare the Section 303(d) list to the State's WQS regulations and track changes from one assessment cycle to the next. Should Missouri want to assess

sub-segments of waters for listing purposes, Missouri could develop smaller assessment units with defined endpoints and unique identifiers. EPA is willing to work with Missouri on this issue to find a system that meets the needs of both EPA and the State.

VI. Waterbody/Pollutant Pairs Delisted for Good Cause (Appendix B)

Federal regulations require that the State provide documentation to EPA to support its decision to list or not to list its waters. Upon request from EPA, the State must demonstrate good cause for not including a water or waters on its list, pursuant to 40 CFR § 130.7(6). Consistent with 40 CFR § 130.7(b), good cause for not including segments on the Section 303(d) List may be based on the following determinations:

- New information or more sophisticated water quality modeling is available that demonstrates that the applicable WQS(s) is being met.
- Flaws in the original analysis of data and information led to the segment being incorrectly listed.
- Effluent limitations required by State or local authorities that are more stringent than technology-based effluent limitations, required by the CWA, will result in the attainment of WQS for the pollutant causing the impairment, pursuant to 40 CFR § 130.7(b)(1)(ii).
- Other pollution control requirements required by state, local, or federal authority will result in attainment of WQS within a reasonable period of time, pursuant to 40 CFR § 130.7(b)(1)(iii).
- Documentation that the State included on a previous Section 303(d) List an impaired segment that was not required to be listed by EPA regulations, e.g., segments where there is no pollutant associated with the impairment.
- The water body and pollutants are addressed in a TMDL approved or established by EPA.

States may assign waters to Category 4 if available data and/or information indicate that one or more designated uses are not being attained or are threatened, but a TMDL is not needed. States may place these water bodies in one of the following three subcategories:

Category 4a – An EPA-approved TMDL has been established to address the water body and pollutant.

Category 4b – Alternative pollution controls required by local, state, or federal authority are sufficiently stringent and expected to achieve WQS within a reasonable period of time. One example of such controls is an EPA-approved state National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit in lieu of a TMDL (PIL).

Category 4c – Impairment not caused by a pollutant, but instead caused by other types of "pollution," as defined by the CWA. Development of a TMDL is not required.

For all the proposed delistings, the State provided a rationale and supporting documentation which EPA fully considered as part of its review. EPA has determined the rationale, which the State provided as part of the submittal, to be sufficient based upon the description of good cause justification and is approving the State's section 303(d) List with the omission of these waterbody/pollutant pairs. All water body/pollutant pairs removed from the State's section 303(d) List and rationales for delisting are identified in Appendix B. Therefore, in light of the existing and readily available data and information, the State's conclusion was reasonable, and these waters were not required to list.

VII. Basis for EPA's Decision to Partially Disapprove and Identify 40 Waters for Inclusion on Missouri's 2020 303(d) List (Appendix C)

This section describes the basis for EPA's disapproval of the State's decision not to list 40 water bodies and EPA's addition of these water bodies to Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List. EPA finds there is sufficient existing and readily available water quality-related data and information that can be used to perform a reliable assessment of these waters under Missouri's water quality criteria and designated uses. The existing and readily available data EPA used included the following categories:

- Data older than seven years that the State did not evaluate due to its listing methodology.
- The entire Missouri lake data set from 2013 that was available but not uploaded to the Missouri Assessment Database (and therefore was excluded from the State's assessment and submittal).
- Additional available data that were excluded from the State's assessment and submittal.

Based on the data and information described above, EPA has determined that Missouri's water quality criteria (10 CSR 20-7.031) and designated uses are not met and identifies these waters for inclusion on Missouri's 2020 303(d) List of impaired waters. These additions are outlined in Appendix C: Impairments of Lake Numeric Nutrient Criteria, and EPA is identifying these waters for inclusion on the 2020 Missouri 303(d) List for Chlorophyll-a (W). Placement of a water body in IR category 5 indicates that available data and/or information show that at least one designated use is not being supported or is threatened and a TMDL is needed. Water bodies listed in this category are those considered to be on the section 303(d) List.

Each of the 40 lakes has an assigned ecoregion and the column labeled "Data Supporting Listing" provides a summary of the data that indicates impairment. The tables L, M, and N from the Missouri Listing Methodology are important for providing context for interpreting Appendix C, as the ecoregions have different Chl-a Response Impairment Thresholds, and Nutrient Screening Thresholds.

Table L: Lake Ecoregion Chl-a Response Impairment Threshold Values (µg/L)

| Lake Ecoregion | Chl-a Response Impairment Thresholds |
|----------------|---|
| Plains | 30 |
| Ozark Border | 22 |
| Ozark Highland | 15 |

Table M: Lake Ecoregion Nutrient Screening Threshold Values (μg/L)

| | Nutrient Screening Thresholds | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Lake Ecoregion | TP | TN | Chl-a | | | | |
| Plains | 49 | 843 | 18 | | | | |
| Ozark Border | 40 | 733 | 13 | | | | |
| Ozark Highland | 16 | 401 | 6 | | | | |

If the Ecoregional Criteria (Table L) has been exceeded more than once in the last three years of available data, then the lake is judged as impaired. If any one of the Ecoregional Screening Thresholds (Table M) has been exceeded in the last three years of available data, then other eutrophication factors are examined (10 CSR 20-7.031(N)6.A.-E.). If these eutrophication factors have been exceeded within the same year as the Screening Thresholds then the lake is judged as impaired. Eutrophication factors include:

- A) Occurrence of eutrophication-related mortality or morbidity events for fish and other aquatic organisms (i.e. fish kills).
- B) Epilimnetic excursions from dissolved oxygen or pH criteria.
- C) Cyanobacteria counts in excess of one hundred thousand (100,000) cells per milliliter (cells/mL).

In absence of cell counts a surrogate is used. The surrogates used will be:

Microcystin 4.0 ug/l Cylindrospermopsin 8.0 ug/l Anatoxin-a 8.0 ug/l Saxitoxin 4.0 ug/l

- D) Observed Shifts in aquatic diversity attributed to eutrophication.
- E) Excessive levels of mineral turbidity that consistently limit algal productivity during the period May 1 September 30 (i.e., light limitations). Yearly average Secchi depths less than 0.6 meters in the Plains, 0.7 meters in the Ozark Border, and 0.9 meters in the Ozark Highlands, will necessitate analysis of Chlorophyll-a/Total Phosphorus ratios. A mean Chlorophyll-a/TP ratio less than or equal to 0.15 and a mean inorganic suspended solids (ISS or NVSS) value greater than or equal to 10 mg/L is suggestive of excessive mineral turbidity which limits algal productivity.

For any lakes with Site-Specific Criteria, the values from Table N were used. For Sunnen Lake a Chlorophyll-a value of 2.6 μ g/L was used and for the Terre du Lac Lakes (Lac Carmel, Lac Marseilles and Lac Shayne) a Chlorophyll-a value of 1.7 μ g/L was used.

Next Steps

Pursuant to EPA regulations, 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(d)(2), EPA will issue a public notice for 60 days seeking comment on these 40 additions to Missouri's CWA Section 303(d) List. After considering any comments received, EPA may make revisions, as appropriate, and will transmit its listings to Missouri for incorporation into the State's water quality management plan.

Appendix A: Missouri Water bodies the EPA Approves for Inclusion on Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List

| | Missouri Water bodies the EPA Approves for Inclusion on Missouri's 2020 Section 303(d) List | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause | | | |
| 1 | Antire Cr. | 2188 | P | 1.9 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | | | |
| 2 | Ashley Cr. | 2668 | P | 2.5 | Dent | Escherichia coli (W) | | | |
| 3 | August A Busch Lake Number 36 | 7637 | UL | 16 | St. Charles | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | | | |
| 4 | August A Busch Lake Number 37 | 7627 | L3 | 30 | St. Charles | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | | | |
| 5 | Austin Community Lake | 7239 | L3 | 21 | Texas | Chlorophyll-a (W) | | | |
| 6 | Barker Creek tributary | 4083 | С | 1.2 | Henry | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | | | |
| 7 | Barn Hollow | 2693 | С | 8.2 | Howell/Texas | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | | | |
| 8 | Bass Cr. | 0752 | С | 4.4 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) | | | |
| 9 | Baynham Br. | 3240 | P | 4 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) | | | |
| 10 | Beef Br. | 3224 | P | 2.5 | Newton | Cadmium (S) | | | |
| 11 | Beef Br. | 3224 | P | 2.5 | Newton | Cadmium (W) | | | |
| 12 | Beef Br. | 3224 | P | 2.5 | Newton | Lead (S) | | | |
| 13 | Beef Br. | 3224 | P | 2.5 | Newton | Zinc (S) | | | |
| 14 | Beef Br. | 3224 | P | 2.5 | Newton | Zinc (W) | | | |
| 15 | Bee Tree Lake | 7309 | L3 | 10 | St. Louis | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | | | |
| 16 | Belcher Branch Lake | 7365 | L3 | 42 | Buchanan | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | | | |
| 17 | Belew Cr. | 2179 | P | 7 | Jefferson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | | | |
| 18 | Ben Branch Lake | 7186 | L3 | 37 | Osage | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | | | |
| 19 | Bens Branch | 3980 | С | 5.8 | Jasper | Cadmium (S) | | | |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 20 | Bens Branch | 3980 | С | 5.8 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 21 | Bens Branch | 3980 | С | 5.8 | Jasper | Lead (S) |
| 22 | Bens Branch | 3980 | С | 5.8 | Jasper | Zinc (S) |
| 23 | Bens Branch | 3980 | С | 5.8 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 24 | Big Cr. | 2916 | P | 34.1 | Iron | Cadmium (S) |
| 25 | Big Piney R. | 1578 | P | 7.8 | Texas | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 26 | Big R. | 2080 | P | 81.3 | St. Francois/Jefferson | Cadmium (S) |
| 27 | Big R. | 2080 | P | 81.3 | St. Francois/Jefferson | Zinc (S) |
| 28 | Binder Lake | 7185 | L3 | 127 | Cole | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 29 | Blackberry Cr. | 3184 | С | 6.5 | Jasper | Chloride (W) |
| 30 | Blackberry Cr. | 3184 | С | 6.5 | Jasper | Sulfate + Chloride (W) |
| 31 | Black Cr. | 0112 | С | 21.8 | Shelby | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 32 | Black Creek | 3825 | P | 5.6 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 33 | Black R. | 2769 | P | 47.1 | Butler | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 34 | Black R. | 2784 | P | 39 | Wayne/Butler | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 35 | Blind Pony Lake | 7189 | L3 | 96 | Saline | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 36 | Blue R. | 0417 | P | 4.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 37 | Blue R. | 0418 | P | 9.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|--------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 38 | Blue R. | 0419 | P | 7.7 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 39 | Blue R. | 0417 | P | 4.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 40 | Blue R. | 0418 | P | 9.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 41 | Bonhomme Cr. | 1701 | С | 2.5 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 42 | Bonne Femme Cr. | 0750 | P | 7.8 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 43 | Bonne Femme Cr. | 0753 | С | 7 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 44 | Bourbeuse R. | 2034 | P | 136.7 | Phelps/Franklin | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 45 | Bowling Green Lake - Old | 7003 | L1 | 7 | Pike | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 46 | Bowling Green Lake - Old | 7003 | L1 | 7 | Pike | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 47 | Bowling Green Lake - Old | 7003 | L1 | 7 | Pike | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 48 | Brazeau Cr. | 1796 | P | 10.8 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 49 | Brush Cr. | 1371 | P | 4.7 | Polk/St. Clair | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 50 | Brush Creek | 3986 | С | 5.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 51 | Brush Creek | 3986 | С | 5.4 | Jackson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 52 | Buffalo Bill Lake | 7117 | L3 | 45 | DeKalb | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 53 | Buffalo Cr. | 3273 | P | 8 | Newton/McDonald | Fishes Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 54 | Buffalo Ditch | 3118 | P | 17.3 | Dunklin | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 55 | Burgher Br. | 1865 | С | 1.5 | Phelps | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 56 | Burr Oak Cr. | 3414 | С | 6.8 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|---|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 57 | Burr Oak Cr. | 3414 | С | 6.8 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 58 | Burr Oak Cr. | 3414 | С | 6.8 | Jackson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 59 | Busch W.A Kraut Run Lake | 7056 | L3 | 164 | St. Charles | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 60 | Busch W.A. No. 35 Lake | 7057 | L3 | 51 | St. Charles | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 61 | Butler Lake | 7229 | L1 | 71 | Bates | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 62 | Cameron Lake #4 (Grindstone Reservoir) | 7384 | L1 | 173 | DeKalb | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 63 | Capps Cr. | 3234 | P | 5 | Barry/Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 64 | Carver Br. | 3241 | P | 3 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 65 | Catclaw Lake | 7374 | L3 | 42 | Jackson | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 66 | Cedar Cr. | 1344 | P | 31 | Cedar | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 67 | Cedar Cr. | 0737 | С | 37.4 | Boone | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 68 | Cedar Cr. | 1357 | С | 16.2 | Dade/Cedar | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 69 | Cedar Cr. | 1344 | P | 31 | Cedar | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 70 | Cedar Cr. | 1357 | С | 16.2 | Dade/Cedar | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 71 | Cedar Cr. | 1344 | P | 31 | Cedar | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 72 | Center Cr. | 3203 | P | 26.8 | Jasper | Cadmium (S) |
| 73 | Center Cr. | 3210 | P | 21 | Newton/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 74 | Center Cr. | 3214 | P | 4.9 | Lawrence/Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 75 | Center Cr. | 3203 | P | 26.8 | Jasper | Lead (S) |
| 76 | Center Creek tributary | 5003 | С | 2.7 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 77 | Center Creek tributary | 5003 | С | 2.7 | Jasper | Lead (W) |
| 78 | Center Creek tributary | 5003 | С | 2.7 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 79 | Chaumiere Lake | 7634 | UL | 3.4 | Clay | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 80 | Cinque Hommes Cr. | 1781 | P | 17.1 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 81 | Cinque Hommes Cr. | 1781 | P | 17.1 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 82 | Clark Fk. | 1000 | С | 6 | Cole | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 83 | Clear Cr. | 3238 | P | 11.1 | Lawrence/Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 84 | Clear Cr. | 3239 | С | 3.5 | Barry/Lawrence | Nutrient/Eutrophication Biol. Indicators (W) |
| 85 | Clear Cr. | 3239 | С | 3.5 | Barry/Lawrence | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 86 | Clear Cr. | 1333 | P | 28.2 | Vernon/St. Clair | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 87 | Clear Fk. | 0935 | P | 25.8 | Johnson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 88 | Clearwater Lake | 7326 | L2 | 1635 | Reynolds/Wayne | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 89 | Clearwater Lake | 7326 | L2 | 1635 | Reynolds/Wayne | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 90 | Clearwater Lake | 7326 | L2 | 1635 | Reynolds/Wayne | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 91 | Coldwater Cr. | 1706 | С | 6.9 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 92 | Coot Lake | 7378 | L3 | 20 | Jackson | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 93 | Coot Lake | 7378 | L3 | 20 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 94 | Cottontail Lake | 7379 | L3 | 22 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 95 | Crackerneck Creek | 3962 | С | 6 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 96 | Crane Cr. | 2382 | P | 13.2 | Stone | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|---------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 97 | Crane Lake | 7334 | L3 | 109 | Iron | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 98 | Crane Lake | 7334 | L3 | 109 | Iron | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 99 | Craven Ditch | 2816 | C | 11.6 | Butler | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 100 | Creve Coeur Cr. | 1703 | С | 3.8 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 101 | Crooked Creek | 3961 | С | 6.5 | Iron/Crawford | Cadmium (W) |
| 102 | Crooked Creek | 3961 | С | 6.5 | Iron/Crawford | Copper (W) |
| 103 | Crowder St. Park Lake | 7135 | L3 | 18 | Grundy | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 104 | Cuivre R. | 0152 | P | 30 | Lincoln/St. Charles | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 105 | Current R. | 2636 | P | 124 | Shannon/Ripley | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 106 | Current R. | 2662 | P | 18.8 | Dent/Shannon | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 107 | Dardenne Cr. | 0221 | P | 16.5 | St. Charles | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 108 | Dardenne Cr. | 0222 | С | 8.5 | St. Charles | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 109 | Dardenne Cr. | 0219 | P1 | 7 | St. Charles | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 110 | Deer Creek | 3826 | P | 1.6 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Chloride (W) |
| 111 | Deer Ridge Community Lake | 7015 | L3 | 39 | Lewis | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 112 | DiSalvo Lake | 7331 | L3 | 210 | St. Francois | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 113 | Ditch #36 | 3109 | P | 7.8 | Dunklin | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 114 | Douger Br. | 3810 | С | 2.8 | Lawrence | Lead (S) |
| 115 | Douger Br. | 3810 | С | 2.8 | Lawrence | Zinc (S) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 116 | Drexel Lake | 7228 | L1 | 28 | Bates | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 117 | Dry Fk. | 3189 | С | 10.2 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 118 | Dry Fk. | 1792 | С | 3.2 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 119 | Dry Hollow | 3163 | С | 0.5 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 120 | Dutro Carter Cr. | 3570 | С | 0.5 | Phelps | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 121 | Dutro Carter Cr. | 3570 | С | 0.5 | Phelps | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 122 | Dutro Carter Cr. | 3569 | P | 1.5 | Phelps | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 123 | Duval Cr. | 3199 | С | 7 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 124 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Cadmium (S) |
| 125 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Cadmium (W) |
| 126 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Lead (S) |
| 127 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Lead (W) |
| 128 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Zinc (S) |
| 129 | Eaton Br. | 2166 | С | 1.2 | St. Francois | Zinc (W) |
| 130 | Edina Reservoir | 7026 | L1 | 51 | Knox | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 131 | Edwin A Pape Lake | 7192 | L1 | 272.5 | Lafayette | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 132 | E. Fk. Crooked R. | 0372 | P | 19.9 | Ray | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 133 | E. Fk. Grand R. | 0457 | P | 28.7 | Worth/Gentry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 134 | E. Fk. L. Blue R. | 0428 | C | 3.7 | Jackson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 135 | E. Fk. Locust Cr. | 0610 | С | 15.7 | Sullivan | Chloride (W) |
| 136 | E. Fk. Locust Cr. | 0608 | P | 16.7 | Sullivan | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 137 | E. Fk. Locust Cr. | 0610 | С | 15.7 | Sullivan | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 138 | E. Fk. Locust Cr. | 0608 | P | 16.7 | Sullivan | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|---------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 139 | E. Fk. Tebo Cr. | 1282 | С | 14.5 | Henry | Ammonia, Total (W) |
| 140 | E. Fk. Tebo Cr. | 1282 | С | 14.5 | Henry | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 141 | Eleven Point R. | 2593 | P | 22.7 | Oregon | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 142 | Eleven Point R. | 2597 | P | 11.4 | Oregon | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 143 | Eleven Point R. | 2601 | P | 22.3 | Oregon | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 144 | Elkhorn Cr. | 0189 | С | 21.4 | Montgomery | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 145 | Ella Ewing Community Lake | 7011 | L3 | 15 | Scotland | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 146 | Elm Br. | 1283 | С | 3 | Henry | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 147 | Engelholm Creek | 4110 | С | 3 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 148 | Engelholm Creek | 4110 | С | 3 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 149 | Fee Fee Cr. (new) | 1704 | P | 1.5 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 150 | Fee Fee Cr. (new) | 1704 | P | 1.5 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 151 | Fellows Lake | 7237 | L1 | 800 | Greene | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 152 | Fenton Cr. | 3595 | P | 0.5 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 153 | Fenton Cr. | 3595 | P | 0.5 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 154 | Fishpot Cr. | 2186 | P | 3.5 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 155 | Fivemile Cr. | 3220 | P | 5 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 156 | Flat Cr. | 0864 | P | 23.7 | Pettis/Morgan | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 157 | Flat River Cr. | 2168 | С | 10 | St. Francois | Cadmium (W) |
| 158 | Flat River tributary | 3938 | US | 0.3 | St. Francois | Zinc (W) |
| 159 | Fleck Cr. | 3587 | С | 4.3 | Barton | Sulfate + Chloride (W) |
| 160 | Forest Lake | 7151 | L1 | 580 | Adair | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 161 | Forest Lake | 7151 | L1 | 580 | Adair | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 162 | Foster Branch tributary | 3943 | С | 2 | Boone | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 163 | Fourche Lake | 7324 | L3 | 49 | Ripley | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 164 | Fourche Lake | 7324 | L3 | 49 | Ripley | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 165 | Fowler Cr. | 0747 | С | 6 | Boone | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 166 | Foxboro Lake | 7382 | L3 | 22 | Franklin | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 167 | Fox R. | 0038 | P | 42 | Clark | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 168 | Fox Valley Lake | 7008 | L3 | 89 | Clark | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 169 | Fox Valley Lake | 7008 | L3 | 89 | Clark | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 170 | Fox Valley Lake | 7008 | L3 | 89 | Clark | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 171 | Fredricktown City Lake | 7328 | L1 | 80 | Madison | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 172 | Frisco Lake | 7280 | L3 | 5 | Phelps | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 173 | Gailey Branch | 4061 | С | 3.2 | Pike | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 174 | Gans Cr. | 1004 | С | 5.5 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 175 | Garden City New Lake | 7426 | L1 | 39 | Cass | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 176 | Gasconade R. | 1455 | P | 264 | Pulaski | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 177 | Grand Glaize Cr. | 2184 | С | 4 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 178 | Grand Glaize Cr. | 2184 | С | 4 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|--------------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 179 | Grand Glaize Cr. | 2184 | С | 4 | St. Louis | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 180 | Grand R. | 0593 | P | 56 | Livingston/Chariton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 181 | Gravois Creek | 1713 | С | 10.7 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 182 | Gravois Creek | 1712 | P | 2.3 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Chloride (W) |
| 183 | Gravois Creek tributary | 4051 | С | 1.9 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 184 | Green City Lake | 7161 | L1 | 57 | Sullivan | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 185 | Grindstone Cr. | 1009 | С | 2.5 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 186 | Harmony Mission Lake | 7385 | L3 | 96 | Bates | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 187 | Harrison County Lake | 7386 | L1 | 280 | Harrison | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 188 | Harrison County Lake | 7386 | L1 | 280 | Harrison | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 189 | Harrisonville City Lake | 7214 | L1 | 419 | Cass | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 190 | Hazel Creek Lake | 7152 | L1 | 518 | Adair | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 191 | Hazel Creek Lake | 7152 | L1 | 518 | Adair | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 192 | Hazel Hill Lake | 7387 | L3 | 62 | Johnson | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 193 | Headwater Div. Chan. | 2196 | P | 20.3 | Cape Girardeau | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 194 | Heaths Cr. | 0848 | P | 21 | Pettis/Cooper | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 195 | Hickory Cr. | 3226 | P | 4.9 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 196 | Higginsville Reservoir (South) | 7190 | L1 | 147.1 | Lafayette | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 197 | Hinkson Cr. | 1008 | С | 18.8 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 198 | Hinkson Cr. | 1007 | P | 7.6 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 199 | Holden City Lake | 7193 | L1 | 290.2 | Johnson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 200 | Hominy Br. | 1011 | С | 1 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 201 | Honey Cr. | 3169 | P | 16.5 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 202 | Honey Cr. | 3170 | С | 2.7 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 203 | Honey Cr. | 1251 | С | 8.5 | Henry | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 204 | Horse Cr. | 1348 | P | 27.7 | Vernon/Cedar | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 205 | Horse Cr. | 1348 | P | 27.7 | Vernon/Cedar | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 206 | Horseshoe Cr. | 3413 | С | 5.8 | Lafayette/Jackson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 207 | Hough Park Lake | 7388 | L3 | 10 | Cole | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 208 | Hunnewell Lake | 7029 | L3 | 228 | Shelby | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 209 | Hunnewell Lake | 7029 | L3 | 228 | Shelby | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 210 | Indian Cr. | 0420 | С | 3.4 | Jackson | Chloride (W) |
| 211 | Indian Cr. | 0420 | С | 3.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 212 | Indian Creek Community Lake | 7389 | L3 | 185 | Livingston | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 213 | Jacobs Br. | 3223 | P | 1.6 | Newton | Cadmium (S) |
| 214 | Jacobs Br. | 3223 | P | 1.6 | Newton | Cadmium (W) |
| 215 | Jacobs Br. | 3223 | P | 1.6 | Newton | Lead (S) |
| 216 | Jacobs Br. | 3223 | P | 1.6 | Newton | Zinc (S) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 217 | Jacobs Br. | 3223 | P | 1.6 | Newton | Zinc (W) |
| 218 | James R. | 2365 | P | 39 | Greene | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 219 | Jenkins Cr. | 3207 | P | 2.8 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 220 | Jenkins Cr. | 3208 | С | 4.8 | Newton/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 221 | Jones Cr. | 3205 | P | 7.5 | Newton/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 222 | Joplin Creek | 5006 | С | 3.9 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 223 | Joplin Creek | 5006 | С | 3.9 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 224 | Jordan Cr. | 3374 | P | 3.8 | Greene | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons-PAHs (S) |
| 225 | Keifer Cr. | 3592 | P | 1.2 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 226 | Knox Village Lake | 7657 | L3 | 3 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 227 | Koen Cr. | 2171 | С | 1 | St. Francois | Lead (S) |
| 228 | Labelle Lake #2 | 7023 | L1 | 98 | Lewis | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 229 | Labelle Lake #2 | 7023 | L1 | 98 | Lewis | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 230 | Lac Capri | 7297 | L3 | 106 | St. Francois | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 231 | Lake Boutin | 7659 | L3 | 20 | Cape Girardeau | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 232 | Lake Buteo | 7469 | L3 | 7 | Johnson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 233 | Lake Girardeau | 7311 | L3 | 144 | Cape Girardeau | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 234 | Lake Killarney | 7332 | L3 | 61 | Iron | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 235 | Lake Lincoln | 7049 | L3 | 88 | Lincoln | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 236 | Lake of the Woods | 7436 | L3 | 3 | Boone | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 237 | Lake of the Woods | 7629 | UL | 7 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 238 | Lake Paho | 7132 | L3 | 273 | Mercer | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 239 | Lake Springfield | 7312 | L3 | 293 | Greene | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 240 | Lake Ste. Louise | 7055 | L3 | 71 | St. Charles | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 241 | Lake St. Louis | 7054 | L3 | 444 | St. Charles | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 242 | Lake Tom Sawyer | 7035 | L3 | 4 | Monroe | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 243 | Lake Tywappity | 7341 | L3 | 43 | Scott | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 244 | Lake Wappapello | 7336 | L2 | 7827 | Wayne | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 245 | Lake Winnebago | 7212 | L3 | 272 | Cass | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 246 | Lamine R. | 847 | P | 64 | Morgan/Cooper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 247 | Lateral #2 Main Ditch | 3105 | P | 11.5 | Stoddard | Ammonia, Total (W) |
| 248 | Lateral #2 Main Ditch | 3105 | P | 11.5 | Stoddard | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 249 | L. Beaver Cr. | 1529 | С | 3.5 | Phelps | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 250 | L. Beaver Cr. | 1529 | С | 3.5 | Phelps | Sedimentation/Siltation (S) |
| 251 | L. Blue R. | 0422 | P | 35.1 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 252 | L. Blue R. | 0422 | P | 35.1 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 253 | L. Bonne Femme Cr. | 1003 | P | 9 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 254 | L. Dry Fk. | 1863 | P | 5.2 | Phelps | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 255 | L. Dry Fk. | 1864 | С | 4.7 | Phelps | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 256 | L. Dry Fk. | 1864 | С | 4.7 | Phelps | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 257 | L. Dry Wood Cr. | 1325 | P | 20.5 | Vernon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 258 | L. Dry Wood Cr. | 1326 | С | 15.6 | Barton/Vernon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 259 | Lee Rowe Ditch | 3137 | С | 6 | Mississippi | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 260 | Lewis Lake | 7346 | L3 | 6 | Stoddard | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 261 | Lewistown Lake | 7020 | L1 | 35 | Lewis | Atrazine (W) |
| 262 | Line Cr. | 3575 | С | 7 | Platte | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 263 | Little Blue River tributary | 4107 | С | 5.5 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 264 | Little Dixie Lake | 7180 | L3 | 176 | Callaway | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 265 | L. Lost Cr. | 3279 | P | 5.8 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 266 | Locust Cr. | 0606 | P | 91.7 | Putnam/Sullivan | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 267 | Logan Cr. | 2763 | P | 36 | Reynolds | Lead (S) |
| 268 | Long Branch Cr. | 0696 | С | 14.8 | Macon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 269 | Longview Lake | 7097 | L2 | 953 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 270 | L. Osage R. | 3652 | С | 23.6 | Vernon | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 271 | Lost Cr. | 3278 | P | 8.5 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 272 | L. St. Francis R. | 2854 | P | 32.4 | Madison | Lead (S) |
| 273 | Main Ditch | 2814 | С | 13 | Butler | pH (W) |
| 274 | Main Ditch | 2814 | С | 13 | Butler | Temperature, water (W) |
| 275 | Maline Cr. | 3839 | С | 0.5 | St. Louis City | Chloride (W) |
| 276 | Maline Cr. | 3839 | С | 0.5 | St. Louis City | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 277 | Maple Leaf Lake | 7398 | L3 | 127 | Lafayette | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|---------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 278 | Mark Twain Lake | 7033 | L2 | 18132 | Ralls | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 279 | Martigney Creek | 4109 | С | 1.6 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 280 | Martigney Creek | 4109 | С | 1.6 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 281 | Mattese Cr. | 3596 | P | 1.1 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 282 | McClanahan Cr. | 1786 | С | 2.5 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 283 | McClanahan Cr. | 1786 | С | 2.5 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 284 | Meramec R. | 2183 | P | 22.8 | St. Louis | Lead (S) |
| 285 | M. Fk. Salt R. | 0123 | С | 25.4 | Macon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 286 | Miami Cr. | 1299 | P | 19.6 | Bates | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 287 | Middle Fk. Grand R. | 0468 | P | 27.5 | Worth/Gentry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 288 | Middle Indian Cr. | 3262 | С | 3.5 | Newton | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 289 | Middle Indian Cr. | 3263 | P | 2.2 | Newton | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 290 | Middle Indian Cr. | 3263 | P | 2.2 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 291 | Mill Creek | 4066 | С | 3.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 292 | Mill Creek | 4066 | С | 3.4 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 293 | Mill Creek | 4066 | С | 3.4 | Jackson | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 294 | Missouri R. | 1604 | P | 104.5 | St. Charles/St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 295 | Missouri R. | 0226 | P | 184.5 | Atchison/Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 296 | Missouri R. | 0356 | P | 129 | Jackson/Chariton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 297 | Monroe City Lake | 7031 | L1 | 94 | Ralls | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 298 | Monroe City Lake | 7031 | L1 | 94 | Ralls | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 299 | Monroe City Lake B | 7034 | L1 | 55 | Monroe | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 300 | Monsanto Lake | 7301 | L3 | 18 | St. Francois | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 301 | Monsanto Lake | 7301 | L3 | 18 | St. Francois | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 302 | Monsanto Lake | 7301 | L3 | 18 | St. Francois | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 303 | Mozingo Lake | 7402 | L1 | 998 | Nodaway | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 304 | Mozingo Lake | 7402 | L1 | 998 | Nodaway | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 305 | Muddy Cr. | 0853 | P | 62.2 | Pettis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 306 | New Marceline City Lake | 7136 | L1 | 160 | Chariton | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 307 | N. Fk. Cuivre R. | 0158 | P | 25.1 | Pike/Lincoln | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 308 | N. Fk. Salt R. | 0110 | P | 84.9 | Shelby/Monroe | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 309 | N. Fk. Spring R. | 3186 | P | 17.4 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 310 | N. Fk. Spring R. | 3188 | С | 55.9 | Dade/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 311 | N. Fk. Spring R. | 3188 | С | 55.9 | Dade/Jasper | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 312 | N. Indian Cr. | 3260 | P | 5.2 | Newton | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 313 | N. Indian Cr. | 3260 | P | 5.2 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 314 | Nishnabotna R. | 0227 | P | 10.2 | Atchison | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|------------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 315 | Nishnabotna R. | 0227 | P | 10.2 | Atchison | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 316 | Noblett Lake | 7316 | L3 | 26 | Douglas | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 317 | Noblett Lake | 7316 | L3 | 26 | Douglas | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 318 | Noblett Lake | 7316 | L3 | 26 | Douglas | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 319 | No Cr. | 0550 | P | 28.7 | Grundy/Livingston | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 320 | No Cr. | 0550 | P | 28.7 | Grundy/Livingston | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 321 | Nodaway Lake | 7076 | L3 | 73 | Nodaway | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 322 | Nodaway R. | 0279 | P | 59.3 | Nodaway/Andrew | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 323 | Norfork Lake | 7317 | L2 | 1000 | Ozark | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 324 | North Bethany City Reservoir | 7109 | L3 | 78 | Harrison | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 325 | North Branch Wilsons Cr. | 3811 | P | 3.8 | Greene | Zinc (S) |
| 326 | North Lake | 7218 | L3 | 19 | Cass | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 327 | Omete Cr. | 1794 | С | 1.2 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 328 | Omete Cr. | 1794 | С | 1.2 | Perry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 329 | Opossum Cr. | 3190 | С | 6.4 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 330 | Osage R. | 1293 | P | 50.7 | Vernon/St. Clair | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 331 | Panther Cr. | 1373 | С | 9.7 | Polk/St. Clair | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 332 | Pearson Cr. | 2373 | P | 8 | Greene | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 333 | Pearson Cr. | 2373 | P | 8 | Greene | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 334 | Peno Cr. | 0099 | С | 14.4 | Pike | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 335 | Perry County Community Lake | 7273 | L3 | 89 | Perry | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 336 | Perry Phillips Lake | 7628 | UL | 32 | Boone | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|--------------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 337 | Peruque Cr. | 0218 | С | 10.9 | Warren/St. Charles | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 338 | Peruque Cr. | 0215 | P1 | 9.6 | St. Charles | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 339 | Peruque Cr. | 0218 | С | 10.9 | Warren/St. Charles | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 340 | Petite Saline Cr. | 0785 | P | 21 | Cooper/Moniteau | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 341 | Pike Cr. | 2815 | C | 6 | Butler | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 342 | Platte R. | 0312 | P | 142.4 | Worth/Platte | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 343 | Pleasant Run Cr. | 1327 | С | 7.6 | Vernon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 344 | Pole Cat Slough | 3120 | P | 12.6 | Dunklin | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 345 | Pole Cat Slough | 3120 | P | 12.6 | Dunklin | Temperature, water (W) |
| 346 | Pomme de Terre Lake | 7238 | L2 | 7820 | Hickory/Polk | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 347 | Raintree Lake | 7213 | L3 | 248.1 | Cass | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 348 | Ray County Community Lake | 7083 | L3 | 23 | Ray | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 349 | Renfro Cr. | 0743 | С | 1.5 | Callaway/Boone | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 350 | Rinquelin Trail Community Lake | 7204 | L3 | 27 | Maries | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 351 | River des Peres | 1710 | P | 2.6 | St. Louis City | Chloride (W) |
| 352 | River des Peres | 3972 | С | 13.6 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |
| 353 | River des Peres | 1710 | P | 2.6 | St. Louis City | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 354 | River des Peres | 3972 | С | 13.6 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 355 | River des Peres | 3972 | С | 13.6 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 356 | River des Peres tributary | 4111 | С | 1.8 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|---------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 357 | River des Peres tributary | 4111 | С | 1.8 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 358 | River des Peres tributary | 4111 | С | 1.8 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 359 | Rock Creek | 4106 | С | 6.2 | Jackson/Clay | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 360 | Rock Creek | 4106 | С | 6.2 | Jackson/Clay | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 361 | Rocky Hollow Lake | 7086 | L3 | 20 | Clay | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 362 | Rothwell Lake | 7164 | L3 | 27 | Randolph | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 363 | Sadler Br. | 3577 | С | 0.8 | Polk | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 364 | Salt Cr. | 0594 | С | 14.9 | Chariton | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 365 | Salt Fk. | 0893 | P | 26.7 | Saline | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 366 | Salt Pine Cr. | 2113 | С | 1.2 | Washington | Lead (S) |
| 367 | Salt Pine Cr. | 2113 | С | 1.2 | Washington | Zinc (S) |
| 368 | Salt R. | 0103 | P1 | 9.3 | Ralls | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 369 | Salt R. | 0091 | P | 29 | Ralls/Pike | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 370 | Salt R. | 0103 | P1 | 9.3 | Ralls | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 371 | S. Blackbird Cr. | 0655 | С | 13 | Putnam | Ammonia, Total (W) |
| 372 | S. Fk. Salt R. | 0142 | С | 40.1 | Callaway/Audrain | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 373 | S. Fk. Salt R. | 0141 | P | 9.3 | Monroe | pH (W) |
| 374 | S. Grand R. | 1249 | P | 66.8 | Cass/Henry | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 375 | Shays Cr. | 2865 | С | 1.7 | Madison | Lead (S) |
| 376 | Shelbina Lake | 7042 | L1 | 45 | Shelby | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 377 | Shoal Cr. | 3222 | P | 50.5 | Newton | Zinc (S) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|--|
| 378 | Shoal Creek tributary | 3981 | С | 1.9 | Jasper/Newton | Cadmium (W) |
| 379 | Shoal Creek tributary | 3982 | С | 2.2 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 380 | Shoal Creek tributary | 3981 | С | 1.9 | Jasper/Newton | Zinc (W) |
| 381 | Shoal Creek tributary | 3982 | С | 2.2 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 382 | Silver Cr. | 3244 | P | 1.9 | Newton | Zinc (S) |
| 383 | S. Indian Cr. | 3259 | P | 8.7 | McDonald/Newton | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 384 | S. Indian Cr. | 3259 | P | 8.7 | McDonald/Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 385 | Slater Br. | 3754 | С | 3.7 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 386 | Sni-a-bar Cr. | 0399 | P | 36.6 | Jackson/Lafayette | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 387 | Spencer Cr. | 0224 | С | 1.5 | St. Charles | Chloride (W) |
| 388 | Spring Branch | 5007 | С | 3.1 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 389 | Spring Branch | 5004 | С | 6.7 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 390 | Spring Branch | 5004 | С | 6.7 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 391 | Spring R. | 3160 | P | 61.7 | Lawrence/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 392 | Spring R. | 3164 | P | 8.8 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 393 | Spring R. | 3165 | P | 11.9 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 394 | Spring River tributary | 4112 | С | 4 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 395 | Spring Valley Cr. | 2677 | P | 10.8 | Shannon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 396 | Stevenson Bayou | 3135 | С | 6.4 | Mississippi | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 397 | St. Francis R. | 2835 | P | 93.1 | St. Francois | Temperature, water (W) |
| 398 | St. Johns Ditch | 3138 | P | 15.3 | New Madrid | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 399 | Straight Fk. | 0959 | С | 6 | Morgan | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 400 | Sugar Cr. | 0686 | P | 6.8 | Randolph | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 401 | Sugar Cr. | 0686 | P | 6.8 | Randolph | Sulfate + Chloride (W) |
| 402 | Sugar Creek | 4108 | С | 1.8 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 403 | Sugar Creek | 4108 | С | 1.8 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 404 | Sugar Creek Lake | 7166 | L1 | 308 | Randolph | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 405 | Sunset Lake | 7399 | L3 | 6 | Cole | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 406 | Table Rock Lake | 7313 | L2 | 41747 | Stone | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 407 | Table Rock Lake | 7313 | L2 | 41747 | Stone | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 408 | Table Rock Lake | 7313 | L2 | 41747 | Stone | Nutrient/Eutrophication Biol. Indicators (W) |
| 409 | Thirtyfour Corner Blue Hole | 7352 | L3 | 9 | Mississippi | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 410 | Thompson R. | 0549 | P | 70.6 | Harrison | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 411 | Thurman Cr. | 3243 | P | 3 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 412 | Trib. Old Mines Cr. | 2114 | С | 1.5 | Washington | Lead (S) |
| 413 | Trib. Old Mines Cr. | 2114 | С | 1.5 | Washington | Sedimentation/Siltation (S) |
| 414 | Trib. Old Mines Cr. | 2114 | С | 1.5 | Washington | Zinc (S) |
| 415 | Trib. to Goose Cr. | 1420 | С | 3 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 416 | Trib. to L. Muddy Cr. | 3490 | С | 1 | Pettis | Chloride (W) |
| 417 | Trib. to Wolf Cr. | 3589 | C | 1.5 | St. Francois | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-----------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 418 | Troublesome Cr. | 0074 | С | 41.3 | Knox | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 419 | Troublesome Cr. | 0074 | С | 41.3 | Knox/Marion | Sedimentation/Siltation (S) |
| 420 | Truitt Cr. | 3175 | С | 6.4 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 421 | Truitt Cr. | 3174 | P | 1.5 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 422 | Turkey Cr. | 2985 | С | 3.1 | Stoddard | Ammonia, Total (W) |
| 423 | Turkey Cr. | 3216 | P | 7.7 | Jasper | Cadmium (S) |
| 424 | Turkey Cr. | 3217 | P | 6.1 | Jasper | Cadmium (S) |
| 425 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Cadmium (S) |
| 426 | Turkey Cr. | 3216 | P | 7.7 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 427 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Cadmium (W) |
| 428 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Copper (S) |
| 429 | Turkey Cr. | 3216 | P | 7.7 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 430 | Turkey Cr. | 3217 | P | 6.1 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 431 | Turkey Cr. | 0751 | С | 6.3 | Boone | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 432 | Turkey Cr. | 3217 | P | 6.1 | Jasper | Lead (S) |
| 433 | Turkey Cr. | 3216 | P | 7.7 | Jasper | Lead (S) |
| 434 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Lead (S) |
| 435 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Lead (W) |
| 436 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Nickel (S) |
| 437 | Turkey Cr. | 2985 | С | 3.1 | Stoddard | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 438 | Turkey Cr. | 3216 | P | 7.7 | Jasper | Zinc (S) |
| 439 | Turkey Cr. | 3217 | P | 6.1 | Jasper | Zinc (S) |
| 440 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Zinc (S) |
| 441 | Turkey Cr. | 3282 | P | 2.4 | St. Francois | Zinc (W) |
| 442 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3983 | С | 2.9 | Jasper | Cadmium (S) |
| 443 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3983 | С | 2.9 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 444 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3984 | С | 2.2 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) |
| 445 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3983 | С | 2.9 | Jasper | Lead (S) |
| 446 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3983 | С | 2.9 | Jasper | Zinc (S) |
| 447 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3983 | С | 2.9 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 448 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3984 | С | 2.2 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 449 | Turkey Creek tributary | 3985 | С | 1.6 | Jasper | Zinc (W) |
| 450 | Turnback Cr. | 1414 | P | 19.9 | Lawrence/Dade | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 451 | Twomile Creek | 4079 | С | 5.6 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 452 | Unity Village Lake #2 | 7099 | L1 | 26 | Jackson | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 453 | Vandalia Community Lake | 7051 | L3 | 35 | Audrain | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 454 | Vandalia Reservoir | 7032 | L1 | 28 | Pike | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 455 | Watkins Creek | 1708 | С | 6.4 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Chloride (W) |
| 456 | Watkins Creek tributary | 4097 | С | 1.2 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 457 | Watkins Creek tributary | 4097 | С | 1.2 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 458 | Watkins Creek tributary | 4098 | С | 1.2 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 459 | Watkins Creek tributary | 4098 | С | 1.2 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 460 | Waukomis Lake | 7072 | L3 | 76 | Platte | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 461 | Weatherby Lake | 7071 | L3 | 185 | Platte | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 462 | Weatherby Lake | 7071 | L3 | 185 | Platte | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) |
| 463 | Weatherby Lake | 7071 | L3 | 185 | Platte | Nitrogen, Total (W) |
| 464 | Weatherby Lake | 7071 | L3 | 185 | Platte | Phosphorus, Total (W) |
| 465 | Weldon R. | 0560 | P | 43.4 | Mercer/Grundy | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 466 | W. Fk. Dry Wood Cr. | 1317 | С | 8.1 | Vernon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 467 | Whetstone Cr. | 1504 | P | 12.2 | Wright | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 468 | White Oak Cr. | 3182 | С | 18 | Lawrence/Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 469 | Wildhorse Cr. | 1700 | С | 3.9 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 470 | Williams Cr. | 3171 | P | 1 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 471 | Williams Cr. | 3172 | P | 8.5 | Lawrence | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 472 | Williams Cr. | 3594 | P | 1 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 473 | Willow Br. | 3280 | P | 2.2 | Newton | Cadmium (S) |
| 474 | Willow Br. | 3280 | P | 2.2 | Newton | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 475 | Willow Br. | 3280 | P | 2.2 | Newton | Zinc (S) |
| 476 | Willow Brook Lake | 7438 | L1 | 53 | DeKalb | Chlorophyll-a (W) |
| 477 | Willow Fk. | 0955 | С | 6.8 | Moniteau | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |
| 478 | Willow Fork tributary | 0956 | С | 0.5 | Moniteau | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | Class | MDNR Water Body Size (mi/acres) | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant/Cause |
|-----|----------------|------|-------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| 479 | Wilsons Cr. | 2375 | P | 14 | Greene | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |
| 480 | Wilsons Cr. | 2375 | P | 14 | Greene | Escherichia coli (W) |
| 481 | Woods Fk. | 2429 | С | 5.5 | Christian | Fishes Bioassessments/ Unknown (W) |

Appendix B: Waterbody Impairments Delisted Since the Previous Cycle

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant | Delist Reason |
|-----|-------------------|------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Bee Fk. | 2760 | Reynolds | Lead (W) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Mine discharges have been discontinued. |
| 2 | Blackberry Cr. | 3184 | Jasper | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown |
| 3 | Black Cr. | 0111 | Shelby | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA in 2019. |
| 4 | Black Creek | 3825 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA in 2019. |
| 5 | Brush Creek | 3986 | Jackson | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons-PAHs (S) | Original listing in error. Total PAHs were below 100% PEC value using Missouri data. |
| 6 | Castor R. | 2288 | Bollinger | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 7 | Center Cr. | 3203 | Jasper | Cadmium (W) | WQS attained; due to change in WQS. WQS changed to median hardness rather than 25th percentile. |
| 8 | Center Cr. | 3203 | Jasper | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 9 | Center Cr. | 3203 | Jasper | Zinc (W) | WQS attained; due to change in WQS. WQS changed to median hardness rather than 25th percentile. |
| 10 | Chat Cr. | 3168 | Lawrence | Cadmium (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 11 | Clear Cr. | 1336 | Vernon | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | Original listing in error, exceedances due to lack of flow. |
| 12 | Coonville Cr. | 2177 | St. Francois | Lead (W) | WQS attained; due to change in WQS. New WQS changed to median hardness. Raised the chronic standard from 5.02 to 6.72. |
| 13 | Courtois Cr. | 1943 | Washington/ Crawford | Lead (S) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Treatment plant constructed. Pb in sediment are below 150% PEC. |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant | Delist Reason |
|-----|------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 14 | Crooked Creek | 1928 | Crawford | Cadmium (S) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Casteel Mine and BRRF discharges eliminated. Cd in sediment is below 150% PEC. |
| 15 | Crooked Creek | 1928 | Crawford | Cadmium (W) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Casteel Mine and BRRF discharges eliminated. |
| 16 | Crooked Creek | 1928 | Crawford | Lead (S) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Casteel Mine and BRRF discharges eliminated. |
| 17 | Deer Creek | 3826 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 18 | Dousinbury Cr. | 1180 | Dallas | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 19 | E. Fk. L. Blue R. | 0428 | Jackson | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 20 | E. Fk. Locust Cr. | 0610 | Sullivan | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | WQS attained; original listing incorrect sampling occurred during non-flowing conditions. |
| 21 | Forest Lake | 7151 | Adair | Nitrogen, Total (W) | WQS attained; new assessment method. |
| 22 | Forest Lake | 7151 | Adair | Phosphorus, Total (W) | WQS attained; new assessment method. |
| 23 | Gravois Creek | 1712 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 24 | Gravois Creek | 1713 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 25 | Indian Cr. | 1946 | Washington | Lead (S) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Treatment plant constructed. Pb in sediment are below 150% PEC. |
| 26 | Indian Cr. | 1946 | Washington | Lead (W) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Treatment plant constructed. |
| 27 | Indian Cr. | 1946 | Washington | Zinc (S) | WQS attained; due to restoration action. Treatment plant constructed. Zn in sediment are below 150% PEC. |
| 28 | Keifer Cr. | 3592 | St. Louis | Chloride (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 29 | Little Antire Creek | 4115 | Jefferson/St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |

| No. | Waterbody Name | WBID | County Upstream/ Downstream | Pollutant | Delist Reason |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| 30 | L. Medicine Cr. | 0623 | Mercer/Grundy | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 31 | L. Niangua R. | 1189 | Dallas/Camden | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 32 | Maline Creek | 1709 | St. Louis/St. Louis City | Escherichia coli (W) | Move to 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 33 | Medicine Cr. | 0619 | Putnam/Grundy | Escherichia coli (W) | 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 34 | Meramec R. | 2183 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 35 | Mississippi R. | 1707.03 | St. Louis/Ste. Genevieve | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 36 | Niangua R. | 1170 | Webster/Dallas | Escherichia coli (W) | 4A - TMDL approved or established by EPA. |
| 37 | Osage R. | 1293 | Vernon/St. Clair | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 38 | Perry County Community Lake | 7273 | Perry | Mercury in Fish Tissue (T) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 39 | Pomme de Terre R. | 1440 | Webster/Polk | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 40 | Red Oak Cr. | 2038 | Gasconade | Oxygen, Dissolved (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 41 | W. Fk. Black R. | 2755 | Reynolds | Lead (S) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 42 | W. Fk. Black R. | 2755 | Reynolds | Nickel (S) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 43 | Williams Cr. | 3594 | St. Louis | Escherichia coli (W) | WQS attained; recovery reason unknown. |
| 44 | Wilsons Cr. | 2375 | Greene/Christian | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons-PAHs (S) | WQS attained; new assessment method. Total PAH's are less than 150% PEC. |

Appendix C: Impairments of Lake Numeric Nutrient Criteria EPA is proposing to add to the 2020 Missouri 303(d) List for Chlorophyll-a (W). EPA seeks public comment on these proposed actions.

| No. | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit ID | County | Ecoregion Criteria Used | Data Supporting Listing |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Buffalo Bill Lake | MO7117 | DeKalb | Plains | 2016 exceeded screening, Eutrophication factor B, DO. |
| 2 | Cameron #1 (Century) Lake | MO7120 | DeKalb | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2016, 2001, and 2000 (three most recent years of data). |
| 3 | Cedar Lake | MO7199 | Boone | Ozark Border | Exceeds criteria 2016, 2017, 2018. |
| 4 | City of Milan Lake (North) | MO7144 | Sullivan | Plains | Exceeds screening threshold for Chl-a in 2014 and 2016. Eutrophication factor B. in 2014 pH, and 2016 DO. Also exceeded screening for TP and TN in 2014. |
| 5 | Dairy #1 | MO7647 | Boone | Ozark Border | Exceeds criteria 2016, 2017, and 2018. |
| 6 | Deer Ridge Community Lake | MO7015 | Lewis | Plains | Exceeds screening for Chl-a in 2017, Eutrophication factor B, pH, 2009-2015 also exceeded Chl-a criteria. |
| 7 | Elmwood City Lake | MO7146 | Sullivan | Plains | 2016 exceeded screening, Eutrophication Factor B, DO. |
| 8 | Gopher Lake | MO7383 | Jackson | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2010 and 2011. |
| 9 | Greenly Farms | MO7630 | Knox | Plains | Exceeded criteria 2006, 2012, and 2018 |
| 10 | Hamilton Lake | MO7124 | Caldwell | Plains | 2016 exceeded screening, Eutrophication Factor B, DO. |
| 11 | Happy Holler Lake | MO7644 | Andrew | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2007, 2008, and 2009. |

| No. | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit ID | County | Ecoregion Criteria Used | Data Supporting Listing |
|-----|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 12 | Harry S.Truman Reservoir | MO7207 | Benton, Henry, and St. Clair | Plains | 2017 screening exceedance for Chl-a, Eutrophication Factor A, two algal related fish kills in 2017 plus a third related to DO. Also location 4 on South Grand River arm also had an algal related fish kill in 2015, location 3 and 4 also likely impaired for vss. In 2015. Two algal bloom related fish kills in 2014. |
| 13 | Indian Lake (Indian Hills Lake) | MO7288 | Crawford | Ozark Highland | Exceeds criteria in 2003 and 2004 (two of three most recent years of data). |
| 14 | Jackrabbit Lake | MO7391 | Jackson | Plains | Exceeds screening threshold 2010, Eutrophication Factor B, DO and A, fish kills in 10 years (one attributed to eutrophication) criteria exceeded in 2017. |
| 15 | Jamesport City Lake | MO7104 | Daviess | Plains | 2013 data provided a second Chl-a criteria exceedance and resulted in lake being listed as impaired. |
| 16 | Jamesport Community Lake | MO7105 | Daviess | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2008, 2009, and 2010 (three most recent years of data). |
| 17 | Jo Shelby (Fountain Grove Lake) | MO7147 | Linn | Plains | 2013 data provided a second Chl-a criteria exceedance and resulted in lake being listed as impaired. |
| 18 | King City (East) New Reservoir | MO7114 | Gentry | Plains | Exceeds criteria all three most recent years (2010, 2014, 2015) |
| 19 | King Lake | MO7112 | DeKalb | Plains | 2013 data provided a third Chl-a criteria exceedance. 2009 exceeded threshold and Eutrophication Factor E., 2006 exceeded criteria. |
| 20 | Lac Carmel | MO7605 | St. Francois | Site Specific | Site Specific Criteria Impaired |
| 21 | Lac Marseilles | MO7614 | St. Francois | Site Specific | Site Specific Criteria Impaired |

| No. | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit ID | County | Ecoregion Criteria Used | Data Supporting Listing |
|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| 22 | Lac Shayne | MO7606 | St. Francois and Washington | Site Specific | Site Specific Criteria Impaired |
| 23 | Lake Nell | MO7403 | Jackson | Plains | Exceeds criteria in 2010 and 2011 (two most recent years of data). |
| 24 | Lake of the Ozarks | MO7205 | Benton, Camden, Miller, and Morgan | Ozark Highland | Exceeded criteria 2017, exceeded screening in 2016 and 2018, Eutrophication Factor A, multiple fish kills have occurred. In 2018 6/14/18 Low DO fish kill over 100 fish killed. Also, additional monitoring points in lake are impaired. |
| 25 | Lake Winnebago | MO7212 | Cass | Plains | 2006 exceeds criteria, Eutrophication Factor B. DO. Also screening exceedance for Chl-a 2005, TN 2005. |
| 26 | Limpp Community Lake | MO7111 | Gentry | Plains | 2013 data provided a second Chl-a criteria exceedance and resulted in lake being listed as impaired. |
| 27 | Macon Lake | MO7168 | Macon | Plains | Exceeds screening threshold for Chl-a and TN in 2005, Eutrophication Factor B, DO. Also exceeds screening for Chl-a 2003, 2005, and 2009, TN 2005, TP 2009). |
| 28 | Memphis Reservoir | MO7013 | Scotland | Plains | 2013 data provided a second Chl-a criteria exceedance and resulted in lake being listed as impaired. |
| 29 | Montrose Lake | MO7208 | Henry | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2005, 2007, and 2008 (three most recent years). |
| 30 | Peaceful Valley Lake | MO7241 | Gasconade | Ozark Highland | Exceeds criteria 2003 and 2009 (two of three most recent years). |

| No. | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit ID | County | Ecoregion Criteria Used | Data Supporting Listing |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| 31 | Perry City | MO7047 | Ralls | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2013, 2014, and 2015. |
| 32 | Pony Express | MO7118 | DeKalb | Plains | Exceeds Chl-a, TP, and TN screening threshold criteria in 2016, Eutrophication Factor B, DO. Also screening exceedances for 2011, 2016, and 2017 for Chl-a, 2011 and 2016 for TP and 2011, 2016, and 2017 for TN |
| 33 | Prairie | MO7630 | St. Charles | Plains | Exceeds screening in 2001 for TN and TP, Eutrophication Factor E in 2001, also exceeded TP screening threshold in 2002. |
| 34 | Shelbyville | MO7036 | Shelby | Plains | 2013 data provided a second Chl-a criteria exceedance and resulted in lake being listed as impaired. |
| 35 | Shepherd Mountain Lake / Ironton | MO7333 | Iron | Ozark Highland | 2016 exceeds screening, Eutrophication Factor B, DO. Also, in 2018 exceeds screening at 14.98 and impairment is at 15, when using a 2018 EPA data point at lake geomean is 17.46 in 2018 and would also exceed criteria for 2018 if that data is used. Exceeded screening for TN in 2018. |
| 36 | Simpson Park Lake | MO7502 | St. Louis | Ozark Border | Exceeds criteria 2016 and 2018. |
| 37 | Sterling Price Community Lake | MO7149 | Chariton | Plains | Exceeds criteria 2008 and 2009 (two most recent years). |
| 38 | Sunnen Lake | MO7294 | Washington | Site Specific | Site Specific Criteria Impaired |
| 39 | Thomas Hill Reservoir | MO7173 | Macon and Randolph | Plains | Exceeds screening for TN and TP in 2008, Eutrophication Factor E. |

| No. | Waterbody Name | Assessment Unit ID | County | Ecoregion Criteria Used | Data Supporting Listing |
|-----|--|-----------------------|--------|----------------------------|---|
| 40 | Unionville Reservoir (Lake Mahoney) | MO7154 | Putnam | Plains | Exceeds Criteria in 2009 and 2010. Also exceeded criteria at point Mahoney 2 in 2009 and 2010). |