Jean E. Faure (jfaure@faureholden.com) Jason T. Holden (jholden@faureholden.com) Faure Holden Attorneys at Law, P.C. 1314 Central Avenue P.O. Box 2466 Great Falls, MT 59403 Phone: (406) 452-6500 Fax: (406) 452-6503 Attorneys for Plaintiff



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

CALUMET MONTANA REFINING, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW R. WHEELER, in his official capacity as the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff Calumet Montana Refining, LLC ("Calumet") brings this action against EPA Administrator Andrew R. Wheeler (Wheeler) to enjoin enforcement of the Renewable Fuel Standard program with respect to its Great Falls, Montana

refinery. Plaintiff alleges the following facts and claim for relief:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

 Plaintiff Calumet Montana Refining, LLC, owns a petroleum refinery in Great Falls, Montana. Case 4:21-cv-00007-BMM Document 1 Filed 01/19/21 Page 2 of 8

2. Defendant Andrew R. Wheeler is the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").

3. EPA is an agency of the federal government located in Washington, D.C.

4. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 2201. Declaratory and injunctive relief is sought as authorized in 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1). Defendant is a United States officer sued in his official capacity. Venue is proper under § 1391(e)(1)(C) because Plaintiff resides in this district and no real property is involved in the action. Plaintiff is a resident of Great Falls, Montana. Venue is also proper under § 1391(e)(1)(B) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred here and the refinery that is the subject of the action is situated here.

BACKGROUND

The Renewable Fuel Standard Program

6. Congress created the Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS") Program—codified at Clean Air Act Section 211(o), 42 U.S.C. § 7545(o)—as part of the Energy Policy Act of 2005. Pub. L. No. 109-58, § 1501(a), 119 Stat. 594, 1067-74 (2005). The RFS Program requires renewable fuels, such as ethanol and biodiesel, to be blended into petroleum-based transportation fuels (gasoline and diesel) sold in the United States. Congress set annual volumes for nationwide renewable fuel use from 2006 through

- 2 -

2012. Id. at 1069. In the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. Congress increased the annual renewable fuel volume requirements and extended the RFS Program through 2022. Pub. L. No. 110-140, § 202, 121 Stat. 1492, 1521-28 (2007). 7. "Obligated parties" are responsible for ensuring that the volume targets are met each year. 42 U.S. C. § 7545(0)(3)(B)(ii)(I); 40 C.F.R. §§ 80.1406, 80.1407. An obligated party must meet its Renewable Volume Obligation ("RVO") each year. 40 C.F.R. § 80.1406(b). To calculate its RVO, an obligated party multiplies EPA's volume percentage for the year by the volume of transportation fuel the company produced or imported. The statute defines "obligated parties" as "refineries, blenders, distributors, and importers," see 42 U.S.C. §§ 7545(0)(2)(A)(iii)(I), (o)(3)(B)(ii)(I), but EPA has imposed compliance obligations exclusively on refiners and importers, see 40 C.F.R. § 80.1406(a)(1). "Refiners" produce petroleum-based fuels and other products from crude oil, whereas "blenders" blend renewable fuel into petroleum-based fuels to create the gasoline and diesel products actually sold to consumers.

Renewable Identification Numbers (RINs)

8. Obligated parties demonstrate their compliance by securing blending credits called Renewable Identification Numbers ("RINs"). *Id.* § 80.1427. A RIN is created when a renewable fuel producer manufactures renewable fuel—ethanol, for example. *Id.* § 80.1426. Until the renewable fuel is blended into petroleum-based

- 3 -

Case 4:21-cv-00007-BMM Document 1 Filed 01/19/21 Page 4 of 8

transportation fuel, the RIN remains attached to the physical volume of renewable fuel. *Id.* § 80.1428. The RIN is "separated" when the renewable fuel is blended with transportation fuel. *Id.* § 80.1429. Obligated parties use separated RINs to demonstrate RFS compliance. *Id.* § 80.1427.

9. Obligated parties that do not separate enough RINs to meet their RVOs must buy the RINs in the secondary market. Under the trading system established by EPA, RINs can be traded on a spot market or bought and sold through private contracts. 75 Fed. Reg. 14,670, 14,722 (Mar. 26, 2010). Since the development of the RFS Program, RIN prices have skyrocketed.

10. The marketplace for RINs is necessary because "[m]any obligated parties" particularly small refineries—"do not have access to renewable fuels or the ability to blend them, and so must use credits to comply." 72 Fed. Reg. 23,900, 23,904 (May 1, 2007).

The Small Refinery Exemption

11. When Congress created the RFS Program, it recognized that small refineries like Calumet would face disproportionate economic impacts from the new compliance obligations due to the competitive distortion in favor of blending refineries and exempt (non-refining) blenders. Building facilities for blending renewable fuels into petroleum products is capital-intensive and may not be feasible for small refineries, meaning they are forced to purchase RINs from larger refiners

- 4 -

Case 4:21-cv-00007-BMM Document 1 Filed 01/19/21 Page 5 of 8

or non-obligated parties that operate blending facilities. Small refineries often sell to limited geographic markets, where acceptance of renewable fuel blends is low. Unlike large, vertically-integrated refiners that can transport their fuels to different markets, small refineries may be forced to limit the extent to which they blend renewable fuels to match what their local markets will accept. As a result, many small refineries are heavily reliant on purchasing RINs to comply with the RFS Program. And if the market price for RINs exceeds the cost of purchasing and blending renewable fuels, compliance with the RFS Program advantages large refineries and disadvantages non-blending small refineries.

12. Congress did not intend to place small refineries at a permanent competitive disadvantage relative to large refineries, so Congress allowed small refineries to petition EPA for an extension of the statutory exemption from RFS compliance "for the reason of disproportionate economic hardship." 42 U.S.C. § 7545(o)(9)(B)(i). Congress directed EPA, "in consultation with the Secretary of Energy," to consider the findings of the DOE Study and "other economic factors" when evaluating small refinery hardship petitions. *Id.* § 7545(o)(9)(B)(ii).

13. The Department of Energy, in a 2011 report for Congress, explained that small refineries would be significantly harmed when the RFS volume obligations increase and the price of RINs increases. RINs are now trading at near record highs.

- 5 -

Calumet's Hardship Petition to EPA

14. Calumet owns a petroleum refinery in Great Falls, Montana.

15. Calumet is an "obligated party" under the RFS Program. 40 C.F.R. § 80.1106(a)(1). As an obligated party, Calumet is required to blend renewable fuel with the transportation fuel it produces or buy RINs from other parties that have blended renewable fuel with transportation fuel.

 Calumet's refinery is a "small refinery" under the RFS Program. 40 C.F.R. § 80.1141(g).

17. Calumet is unable to sell enough blended fuels to meet its RFS obligations, and it faces increasing compliance costs driven by the high price of RINs.

 Small refineries may petition EPA at any time for relief from RFS compliance based on disproportionate economic hardship. 40 C.F.R. § 80.1441(e)(1).

Calumet submitted an application for small refinery hardship relief for the
2019 compliance year on November 1, 2019.

20. Calumet submitted a petition for small refinery hardship relief for the 2020 compliance year on December 18, 2020.

21. EPA has not yet acted on Calumet's petitions for hardship relief for the 2019 and 2020 RFS compliance years.

EPA's Ongoing and Unlawful Delay and the Harm to Calumet

22. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA must act on Calumet's petition within 90 days

- 6 -

of receipt. 42 U.S.C. § 7545(o)(9)(B)(iii); 40 C.F.R. § 80.1141 (e)(2) ("The Administrator shall act on such a petition not later than 90 days after the date of receipt of the petition."). Thus, EPA is in violation of the Clean Air Act.

23. The current RFS compliance deadline of March 31, 2021, is fast approaching. On January 15, 2021, EPA announced a proposed rule to extend the compliance deadline for RFS compliance for both the 2019 and 2020 compliance years. 86 Fed. Reg. 3928, 3929 (Jan. 15, 2021). The proposed rule sets forth a 2019 RFS compliance deadline of November 30, 2021 and a 2020 RFS compliance deadline of January 31, 2022. *Id.* However, this notice of proposed rulemaking is neither final nor guaranteed to become final at any set point in time.

24. By its failure to timely grant Calumet's small refinery hardship petitions for the 2019 and 2020 compliance years, EPA could force Calumet to be in violation of the law for failure to comply with the RFS Program if this Court does not enjoin enforcement.

25. This Court has the equitable power to enjoin enforcement of agency action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Equitable relief for unlawful agency action)

26. This Court may use its equitable powers to enjoin violations of law by federal agencies when a party is irreparably injured and other legal remedies are inadequate. *Am. Sch. of Magnetic Healing v. McAnnulty*, 187 U.S. 94, 110–11 (1902). "The

- 7 -

Court has repeatedly held that the basis for injunctive relief in the federal courts has always been irreparable injury and the inadequacy of legal remedies." *Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo*, 456 U.S. 305, 312 (1982) (citations omitted).

27. EPA is already in violation of federal law because it has not issued a decision on Calumet's petition for hardship relief within 90 days of receipt.

28. As described above, Calumet will be irreparably injured if this Court does not enjoin EPA from enforcing the RFS against Calumet for the 2019 and 2020 compliance years.

29. Other legal remedies are inadequate as there is no relevant final agency action to challenge.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enjoin EPA from requiring Calumet to comply with the RFS obligations for the 2019 and 2020 compliance years until such time that EPA has granted Calumet's petitions for small refinery hardship relief.

Dated this 19th day of January, 2020.

BY: <u>/s/ Jean E. Faure</u> Jean E. Faure FAURE HOLDEN ATTORNEYS AT LAW, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 4:21-cv-00007-BMM ID CONFRISHERED 01/19/21 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 19/20)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the informat	ion contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as
	approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the
purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.	(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
CALUMET MONTANA REFINING, LLC				ANDREW WHEELER, Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIPF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED							
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)							
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP O	FPR	INCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Bax h	sr Plaintiff	
US Government	3 Federal Question			For Diversity Cases C				and One Box for			
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Partyi	Citize				I Incorporated or Principal Place I Guidentian of Business In This State				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Divetsity (Indicate Citizensi	htp of Parties in Item III)	Citizer	Citizen of Another State		2 2 Incorporated and Principal Plac of Business In Another State			5	□5	
				Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country			3 3 Foreign Nation 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUI			I nov			and the second second second	for: Nature of S	and the second s	And the second se	Concession of the local division of the loca	
CONTRACT	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALT Drug Related Seizure	-	-	KRUPTCY cal 28 USC 158	The second second second	Claims Act	Contraction of the local division of the loc	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplanc Product	965 Personal Injury - Product Liability	E	of Property 21 USC 8 Other		423 Wit		376 Qui Ti 3729(i	am (3) USC a))	ă.	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	Barmaceutical				PROPER	TY RIGHTS	400 State F 410 Antitu	Reapportion ast	iment	
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Linbihty			F	820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks 450 Comm	and Bankir	ng	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			E	835 Pate	nt - Abbreviated	460 Deport	lation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability				840 Trac	CAPITURAL CONTRACT	470 Racket	eer influen VOrganizat		
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT		LABOR Fair Labor Standards			end Trade Secrets of 2016	480 Consul	mer Credit SC 1681 or	1692)	
160 Stockholders* Suns	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	E	Act	L			485 Teleph	ione Consu		
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	1720	Labor/Management Relations	F		L SECURITY (1395ff)	Protec 490 Cable/	tion Act Sat TV		
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		Railway Labor Act	Ē	862 Blac	k Lung (923)	850 Securi	ucs/Comm	difies/	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	1751	Family and Medical Leave Act	E		C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	× 890 Other S		ctions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	the second s	Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement	٩F	865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro			
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act			L TAX SUITS	895 Freedo			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			F		ss (U.S. Plaintiff Jefendant)	Act 896 Arbitra	tion		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General				871 IRS-	-Third Party	899 Admin	istrative Pre		
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application		26	USC 7609	4	view or Ap Decision	peal of		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights		5 Other Immigration Actions			950 Constitutionality of State Statutes				
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		ALIBIR				j auto at	atures		
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of									
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n Due Bar Duiv)	Confinement	1	المنافق والمحال المراكب	_			I			
I Original 2 Ret	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court]4 Reinst Reoper	ned 🛄 And	nsferred other D cify)		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidist Litigation Direct Fi	1-	
		tute under which you are	filing (Do		0.00000	s unless div	Contraction of the second second				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and Brief description of ca	the second s					Second States			<u></u>	
		wful agency action under	the Renew	able Fuel Standard	program	n with resp	ect to its Montana	refinery			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND 5 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: Yes No						¢					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	AJDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE 1/19/202		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY OF	ALLY C	_	in the second	وستويد المستوجد		- emenait		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY											
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE											
				/							