

# ANNEX 4 IPCC Reference Approach for Estimating CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion

It is possible to estimate carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from fossil fuel consumption using alternative methodologies and different data sources than those described in Annex 2.1 Methodology for Estimating Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from Fossil Fuel Combustion. For example, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) reporting guidelines request that countries, in addition to their “bottom-up” sectoral methodology, complete a “top-down” Reference Approach for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion. Volume 2: Energy, Chapter 6: Reference Approach of the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC 2006) states, “comparability between the sectoral and reference approaches continues to allow a country to produce a second independent estimate of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fuel combustion with limited additional effort and data requirements.” This reference method estimates fossil fuel consumption by adjusting national aggregate fuel production data for imports, exports, and stock changes rather than relying on end-user consumption surveys. The basic principle is that once carbon (C)-based fuels are brought into a national economy, they are either saved in some way (e.g., stored in products, kept in fuel stocks, or left unoxidized in ash) or combusted, and therefore the C in them is oxidized and released into the atmosphere. Accounting for actual consumption of fuels at the sectoral or sub-national level is not required. The following discussion provides the detailed calculations for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion from the United States using the IPCC-recommended Reference Approach.

## Step 1: Collect and Assemble Data in Proper Format

To ensure the comparability of national inventories, the IPCC has recommended that countries report energy data using the International Energy Agency (IEA) reporting convention. National energy statistics were collected in physical units from several Energy Information Administration (EIA) documents in order to obtain the necessary data on production, imports, exports, and stock changes.

It was necessary to modify these data to generate more accurate apparent consumption estimates of these fuels. The first modification adjusts for consumption of fossil fuel feedstocks accounted for in the Industrial Processes and Product Use chapter, which include the following: unspecified coal for coal coke used in iron and steel production; natural gas, distillate fuel, and coal used in iron and steel production; natural gas used for ammonia production; petroleum coke used in the production of aluminum, ferroalloys, titanium dioxide, ammonia, and silicon carbide; and other oil and residual fuel oil used in the manufacture of C black. The second modification adjusts for the inclusion of ethanol in motor gasoline statistics. Ethanol is a biofuel, and net carbon fluxes from changes in biogenic carbon reservoirs in croplands are accounted for in the estimates for Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry (see Chapter 6). The third modification adjusts for consumption of bunker fuels, which refer to quantities of fuels used for international transportation estimated separately from U.S. totals. The fourth modification consists of the addition of U.S. Territories data that are typically excluded from the national aggregate energy statistics. The territories include Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, Wake Island, and U.S. Pacific Islands. These data, as well as the production, import, export, and stock change statistics, are presented in Table A-229.

The C content of fuel varies with the fuel’s heat content. Therefore, for an accurate estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, fuel statistics were provided on an energy content basis (e.g., Btu or joules). Because detailed fuel production statistics are typically provided in physical units (as in Table A-229 for 2019), they were converted to units of energy before CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were calculated. Fuel statistics were converted to their energy equivalents by using conversion factors provided by EIA. These factors and their data sources are displayed in Table A-230. The resulting fuel type-specific energy data for 2019 are provided in Table A-231.

## Step 2: Estimate Apparent Fuel Consumption

The next step of the IPCC Reference Approach is to estimate “apparent consumption” of fuels within the country. This requires a balance of primary fuels produced, plus imports, minus exports, and adjusting for stock changes. In this way, C enters an economy through energy production and imports (and decreases in fuel stocks) and is

1 transferred out of the country through exports (and increases in fuel stocks). Thus, apparent consumption of primary  
2 fuels (including crude oil, natural gas liquids, anthracite, bituminous, subbituminous and lignite coal, and natural gas) can  
3 be calculated as follows:

$$4 \quad \text{Apparent Consumption} = \text{Production} + \text{Imports} - \text{Exports} - \text{Stock Change}$$

5 Flows of secondary fuels (e.g., gasoline, residual fuel, coke) should be added to primary apparent consumption.  
6 The production of secondary fuels, however, should be ignored in the calculations of apparent consumption since the C  
7 contained in these fuels is already accounted for in the supply of primary fuels from which they were derived (e.g., the  
8 estimate for apparent consumption of crude oil already contains the C from which gasoline would be refined). Flows of  
9 secondary fuels should therefore be calculated as follows:

$$10 \quad \text{Secondary Consumption} = \text{Imports} - \text{Exports} - \text{Stock Change}$$

11 Note that this calculation can result in negative numbers for apparent consumption of secondary fuels. This  
12 result is perfectly acceptable since it merely indicates a net export or stock increase in the country of that fuel when  
13 domestic production is not considered.

14 Next, the apparent consumption and secondary consumption need to be adjusted for feedstock uses of fuels  
15 accounted for in the Industrial Processes and Product Use chapter, international bunker fuels, and U.S. territory fuel  
16 consumption. Bunker fuels and feedstocks accounted for in the Industrial Processes and Product Use chapter are  
17 subtracted from these estimates, while fuel consumption in U.S. Territories is added.

18 The IPCC Reference Approach calls for estimating apparent fuel consumption before converting to a common  
19 energy unit. However, certain primary fuels in the United States (e.g., natural gas and steam coal) have separate  
20 conversion factors for production, imports, exports, and stock changes. In these cases, it is not appropriate to multiply  
21 apparent consumption by a single conversion factor since each of its components has different heat contents. Therefore,  
22 United States fuel statistics were converted to their heat equivalents before estimating apparent consumption. Results  
23 are provided in Table A-230.

### 24 **Step 3: Estimate Carbon Emissions**

25 Once apparent consumption is estimated, the remaining calculations are similar to those for the “bottom-up”  
26 Sectoral Approach (see Annex 2.1 Methodology for Estimating Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from Fossil Fuel Combustion). Potential  
27 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were estimated using fuel-specific C coefficients (see Table A-231).<sup>136</sup> The C in products from non-energy  
28 uses of fossil fuels (e.g., plastics or asphalt) that is stored was then estimated and subtracted (see Table A-232). This step  
29 differs from the Sectoral Approach in that emissions from both fuel combustion and non-energy uses are accounted for  
30 directly in the Reference Approach. As a result, the Reference Approach emission estimates are comparable to those of  
31 the Sectoral Approach, with the exception that the NEU source category emissions are included in the Reference  
32 Approach and reported separately in the Sectoral Approach. Finally, to obtain actual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, net emissions were  
33 adjusted for any C that remained unoxidized as a result of incomplete combustion (e.g., C contained in ash or soot). The  
34 fraction oxidized was assumed to be 100 percent for petroleum, coal, and natural gas based on guidance in IPCC (2006)  
35 (see Annex 2.1 Methodology for Estimating Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> from Fossil Fuel Combustion).

### 36 **Step 4: Convert to CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions**

37 Because the 2006 IPCC Guidelines recommend that countries report greenhouse gas emissions on a full  
38 molecular weight basis, the final step in estimating CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel consumption was converting from units  
39 of C to units of CO<sub>2</sub>. Actual C emissions were multiplied by the molecular-to-atomic weight ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> to C (44/12) to  
40 obtain total CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from fossil fuel combustion in million metric tons (MMT). The results are contained in Table A-  
41 232.

## 42 **Comparison Between Sectoral and Reference Approaches**

43 These two alternative approaches can both produce reliable estimates that are comparable within a few  
44 percent. Note that the reference approach includes emissions from non-energy uses. Therefore, these totals should be

---

<sup>136</sup> Carbon coefficients from EIA were used wherever possible. Because EIA did not provide coefficients for coal, the IPCC-recommended emission factors were used in the top-down calculations for these fuels. See notes in Table A-232 for more specific source information.

1 compared to the aggregation of fuel use and emission totals from Annex 2.1 Methodology for Estimating Emissions of  
2 CO<sub>2</sub> from Fossil Fuel Combustion and Annex 2.3 Methodology for Estimating Carbon Emitted from Non-Energy Uses of  
3 Fossil Fuels. These two sections together are henceforth referred to as the Sectoral Approach. Other than this  
4 distinction, the major difference between methodologies employed by each approach lies in the energy data used to  
5 derive C emissions (i.e., the actual surveyed consumption for the Sectoral Approach versus apparent consumption  
6 derived for the Reference Approach). In theory, both approaches should yield identical results. In practice, however,  
7 slight discrepancies occur. An examination of past Common Reporting Format (CRF) table submissions during UNFCCC  
8 reviews has highlighted the need to further investigate these discrepancies. The investigation found that the most recent  
9 (two to three) inventory years tend to have larger differences in consumption and emissions estimates occurring earlier  
10 in the time series. This is a result of annual energy consumption data revisions in the EIA energy statistics, and the  
11 revisions have the greatest impact on the most recent few years of inventory estimates. As a result, the differences  
12 between the Sectoral and Reference Approach decrease and are resolved over time. For the United States, these  
13 differences are discussed below.

#### 14 **Differences in Total Amount of Energy Consumed**

15 Table A-235 summarizes the differences between the Reference and Sectoral Approaches in estimating total  
16 energy consumption in the United States. Although theoretically the two methods should arrive at the same estimate for  
17 U.S. energy consumption, the Reference Approach provides an energy consumption total that is 1.2 percent lower than  
18 the Sectoral Approach for 2019. The greatest differences lie in lower estimates for petroleum and coal consumption for  
19 the Reference Approach (2.5 percent and 1.7 percent, respectively) and higher estimates for natural gas consumption for  
20 the Reference Approach (0.5 percent).

21 There are several potential sources for the discrepancies in consumption estimates:

- 22 ● *Product Definitions.* The fuel categories in the Reference Approach are different from those used in the  
23 Sectoral Approach, particularly for petroleum.<sup>137</sup> For example, the Reference Approach estimates apparent  
24 consumption for crude oil. Crude oil is not typically consumed directly but refined into other products. As a  
25 result, the United States does not focus on estimating the energy content of the various grades of crude  
26 oil, but rather estimating the energy content of the various products resulting from crude oil refining. The  
27 United States does not believe that estimating apparent consumption for crude oil, and the resulting  
28 energy content of the crude oil, is the most reliable method for the United States to estimate its energy  
29 consumption. Other differences in product definitions include using sector-specific coal statistics in the  
30 Sectoral Approach (i.e., residential, commercial, industrial coking, industrial other, and transportation  
31 coal), while the Reference Approach characterizes coal by rank (e.g., anthracite, bituminous).
- 32 ● *Heat Equivalents.* It can be difficult to obtain heat equivalents for certain fuel types, particularly for  
33 categories such as “crude oil” where the key statistics are derived from thousands of producers in the  
34 United States and abroad. Furthermore, Hydrocarbon Gas Liquids (HGL) is a blend of multiple paraffinic  
35 hydrocarbons: ethane, propane, isobutane, and normal butane, and their associated olefins: ethylene,  
36 propylene, isobutylene, and butylene, each with their own heat content. HGL also includes pentanes plus.  
37 The heat content for HGL varies annually depending upon the components of the blend.
- 38 ● *Possible Inconsistencies in U.S. Energy Data.* The United States has not focused its energy data collection  
39 efforts on obtaining the type of aggregated information used in the Reference Approach. Rather, the  
40 United States believes that its emphasis on collection of detailed energy consumption data is a more  
41 accurate methodology for the United States to obtain reliable energy data. Therefore, top-down statistics  
42 used in the Reference Approach may not be as accurately collected as bottom-up statistics applied to the  
43 Sectoral Approach.

---

<sup>137</sup> For the 1990-2019 Inventory year, the United States revised the Sectoral Approach and Reference Approach to report consumption of all HGL components (i.e., ethane, propane, isobutane, normal butane, ethylene, propylene, isobutylene, butylene, and pentanes plus). Pentanes plus is accounted for separately from other HGL components in the Sectoral Approach but is included in HGL in the Reference Approach. Carbon contents and heat contents of HGL were also updated accordingly for each approach.

- 1           • *Balancing Item.* The Reference Approach uses *apparent* consumption estimates while the Sectoral  
2           Approach uses *reported* consumption estimates. While these numbers should be equal, there always  
3           seems to be a slight difference that is often accounted for in energy statistics as a “balancing item.”

4           **Differences in Estimated CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions**

5           Given these differences in energy consumption data, the next step for each methodology involved estimating  
6           emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>. Table A-236 summarizes the differences between the two methods in estimated C emissions.

7           As mentioned above, for 2019, the Reference Approach resulted in a 1.2 percent lower estimate of energy  
8           consumption in the United States than the Sectoral Approach. The resulting emissions estimate for the Reference  
9           Approach was 0.1 percent lower. Estimates of natural gas and petroleum emissions from the Reference Approach are  
10          higher (0.6 percent each), and coal emission estimates are lower (2.0 percent) than the Sectoral Approach. Potential  
11          reasons for these differences may include:

- 12          • *Product Definitions.* Coal data are aggregated differently in each methodology, as noted above. The format  
13          used for the Sectoral Approach likely results in more accurate estimates than in the Reference Approach.  
14          Also, the Reference Approach relies on a “crude oil” category for determining petroleum-related  
15          emissions. Given the many sources of crude oil in the United States, it is not an easy matter to track  
16          potential differences in C content between many different sources of crude; particularly since information  
17          on the C content of crude oil is not regularly collected.
- 18          • *Carbon Coefficients.* The Reference Approach relies on several default C coefficients by rank provided by  
19          IPCC (2006), while the Sectoral Approach uses annually updated category-specific coefficients by sector  
20          that are likely to be more accurate. Also, as noted above, the C coefficient for crude oil is more uncertain  
21          than that for specific secondary petroleum products, given the many sources and grades of crude oil  
22          consumed in the United States.

23          Although the two approaches produce similar results, the United States believes that the “bottom-up” Sectoral  
24          Approach provides a more accurate assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the fuel level. This improvement in accuracy is  
25          largely a result of the data collection techniques used in the United States, where there has been more emphasis on  
26          obtaining the detailed products-based information used in the Sectoral Approach than obtaining the aggregated energy  
27          flow data used in the Reference Approach. The United States believes that it is valuable to understand both methods.

28

1 **Table A-229: 2019 U.S. Energy Statistics (Physical Units)**

Fuel Category (Units)	Fuel Type	Production	Imports	Exports	Stock Change	Adjustment	Bunkers	U.S. Territories
Solid Fuels (Thousand Short Tons)	Anthracite Coal	2,611	[1]	[1]	[1]			
	Bituminous Coal	338,955	[1]	[1]	[1]			
	Sub-bituminous Coal	311,552	[1]	[1]	[1]	367		
	Lignite	53,192	[1]	[1]	[1]	1,539		
	Coke		116	967	440			
	Unspecified Coal		6,697	93,765	35,542	20,280		1,217
Gas Fuels (Million Cubic Feet)	Natural Gas	33,551,098	2,741,717	4,656,324	557,803	399,049		46,433
Liquid Fuels (Thousand Barrels)	Crude Oil	4,470,528	2,482,332	1,088,345	(23,901)			
	HGL	1,760,945	75,438	667,957	27,835			1,658
	Other Liquids	0	506,447	148,647	9,113			
	Motor Gasoline	(24,781)	34,148	297,306	360	238,678		20,779
	Aviation Gasoline		347	0	196			
	Kerosene		703	1,735	205			80
	Jet Fuel		59,900	80,401	(1,118)		198,850	5,425
	Distillate Fuel		73,886	476,657	(79)	55	16,710	13,793
	Residual Fuel		54,299	83,539	2,206	9,000	53,480	7,341
	Naphtha for petrochemical feedstocks		5,117	0	(195)			
	Petroleum Coke		2,312	198,555	1,160	10,182		
	Other Oil for petrochemical feedstocks		1,011	0	(55)	1,240		
	Special Naphthas		6,453	0	(234)			
	Lubricants		16,336	37,321	(1,269)			172
	Waxes		1,822	1,486	50			
Asphalt/Road Oil		16,000	9,459	(3,963)				
Still Gas <sup>a</sup>		0	0	0				
Misc. Products			12	660	9			1,658

2 Note: Parentheses indicate negative values.

3 [1] Included in Unspecified Coal

4 Sources: Solid and Gas Fuels: EIA (2020a and 2020b); Liquid Fuels: EIA (2020c).

5 <sup>a</sup> Still gas is reported as petroleum product (liquid fuel) in this report. However, still gas physically exists as a gas, consisting primary of methane and ethane, and some hydrogen  
6 and other trace gases (EIA 2020d).

7

1 **Table A-230: Conversion Factors to Energy Units (Heat Equivalents)**

<b>Fuel Category (Units)</b>	<b>Fuel Type</b>	<b>Production</b>	<b>Imports</b>	<b>Exports</b>	<b>Stock Change</b>	<b>Adjustment</b>	<b>Bunkers</b>	<b>U.S. Territories</b>
Solid Fuels (Million Btu/Short Ton)	Anthracite Coal	22.57						
	Bituminous Coal	23.89						
	Sub-bituminous Coal	17.14					25.83	
	Lignite	12.87					12.87	
	Coke		20.56	24.58	20.56			
	Unspecified		25.00	25.97	20.86	21.72		25.14
Natural Gas (BTU/Cubic Foot)		1,038	1,025	1,009	1,038	1,038		1,038
Liquid Fuels (Million Btu/Barrel)	Crude Oil	5.70	6.06	5.71	5.71		5.71	5.71
	HGL	4.21	4.21	4.21	4.21		4.21	4.21
	Other Liquids	5.83	5.83	5.83	5.83		5.83	5.83
	Motor Gasoline	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05	5.05
	Aviation Gasoline		5.05	5.05	5.05		5.05	5.05
	Kerosene		5.67	5.67	5.67		5.67	5.67
	Jet Fuel <sup>a</sup>		5.67	5.67	5.67		5.77	5.67
	Distillate Fuel		5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76	5.76
	Residual Oil		6.29	6.29	6.29	6.29	6.29	6.29
	Naphtha for petrochemical feedstocks		5.25	5.25	5.25		5.25	5.25
	Petroleum Coke		6.02	6.02	6.02	6.02	6.02	6.02
	Other Oil for petrochemical feedstocks		5.83	5.83	5.83	5.83	5.83	5.83
	Special Naphthas		5.25	5.25	5.25		5.25	5.25
	Lubricants		6.07	6.07	6.07		6.07	6.07
	Waxes		5.54	5.54	5.54		5.54	5.54
Asphalt/Road Oil		6.64	6.64	6.64		6.64	6.64	
Still Gas <sup>b</sup>		6.00	6.00	6.00		6.00	6.00	
Misc. Products		5.80	5.80	5.80		5.80	5.80	

2 Sources: Coal and lignite production: EIA (1992); Coke, Natural Gas Crude Oil, HGL, and Motor Gasoline: EIA (2020b); Unspecified Solid Fuels: EIA (2011).

3 <sup>a</sup> Jet fuel used in bunkers has a different heating value based on data specific to that source. When physical values are converted based on a combined heating value across all  
4 sources of jet fuel (as shown in Table 1.A(b) of CRF) it will not necessarily match jet fuel bunker data (as shown in Table 1.D of CRF). The energy value for bunker fuel in Table 1.D  
5 is based on bunkers only and the values in Table 1.A(b) are based on apparent consumption including imports, exports, etc. and average heating value.

6 <sup>b</sup> Still gas is reported as petroleum product (liquid fuel) in this report. However, still gas physically exists as a gas, consisting primary of methane and ethane, and some hydrogen  
7 and other trace gases (EIA 2020d).

8

1 **Table A-231: 2019 Apparent Consumption of Fossil Fuels (TBtu)**

Fuel Category	Fuel Type	Production	Imports	Exports	Stock Change	Adjustment	Bunkers	U.S. Territories	Apparent Consumption
Solid Fuels	Anthracite Coal	58.9							58.9
	Bituminous Coal	8,097.6							8,097.6
	Sub-bituminous Coal	5,340.0				9.5			5,330.5
	Lignite	684.4				19.8			664.6
	Coke		2.4	23.8	9.0				(30.4)
	Unspecified			167.4	2,435.3	741.4	440.5	30.6	(3,419.1)
Gas Fuels	Natural Gas	34,826.0	2,810.3	4,698.2	579.0	414.2		48.2	31,993.1
Liquid Fuels	Crude Oil	25,473.1	15,045.4	6,212.3	(136.4)				34,442.6
	HGL	7,421.4	317.9	2,815.1	117.3			7.0	4,813.9
	Other Liquids		2,950.1	865.9	53.1				2,031.1
	Motor Gasoline	(125.2)	172.6	1,502.6	1.8			105.0	(1,352.0)
	Aviation Gasoline		1.8		1.0				0.8
	Kerosene		4.0	9.8	1.2			0.5	(6.6)
	Jet Fuel		339.6	455.9	(6.3)		1,147.1	30.8	(1,226.3)
	Distillate Fuel		425.8	2,746.7	(0.5)	0.3	96.3	79.5	(2,337.6)
	Residual Oil		341.4	525.2	13.9	56.6	336.2	46.2	(544.4)
	Naphtha for petrochemical feedstocks		26.9		(1.0)				27.9
	Petroleum Coke		13.9	1,196.1	7.0	61.3			(1,250.5)
	Other Oil for petrochemical feedstocks		5.9		(0.3)	7.2			(1.0)
	Special Naphthas		33.9		(1.2)				35.1
	Lubricants		99.1	226.4	(7.7)			1.0	(118.5)
	Waxes		10.1	8.2	0.3				1.6
Asphalt/Road Oil		106.2	62.8	(26.3)				69.7	
Still Gas <sup>a</sup>									
Misc. Products			0.1	3.8	0.1			9.6	5.8
<b>Total</b>		<b>81,776.2</b>	<b>22,874.5</b>	<b>23,787.9</b>	<b>1,345.2</b>	<b>1,009.4</b>	<b>1,579.6</b>	<b>358.3</b>	<b>77,286.8</b>

2 Notes: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding. Parentheses indicate negative values.

3 <sup>a</sup> Still gas is reported as petroleum product (liquid fuel) in this report. However, still gas physically exists as a gas, consisting primary of methane and ethane, and some hydrogen  
4 and other trace gases (EIA 2020d).

5  
6

1 **Table A-232: 2019 Potential CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions**

<b>Fuel Category</b>	<b>Fuel Type</b>	<b>Apparent Consumption (QBtu)</b>	<b>Carbon Coefficients (MMT Carbon/QBtu)</b>	<b>Potential Emissions (MMT CO<sub>2</sub> Eq.)</b>
Solid Fuels	Anthracite Coal	0.06	28.28	6.1
	Bituminous Coal	8.10	25.41	754.5
	Sub-bituminous Coal	5.33	26.49	517.7
	Lignite	0.66	26.75	65.2
	Coke	(0.03)	31.00	(3.5)
	Unspecified	(3.42)	25.34	(317.6)
Gas Fuels	Natural Gas	31.99	14.43	1,692.2
Liquid Fuels	Crude Oil	34.44	20.31	2,564.4
	HGL	4.81	18.58	328.0
	Other Liquids	2.03	20.31	151.2
	Motor Gasoline	(1.35)	19.46	(96.5)
	Aviation Gasoline	+	18.86	0.1
	Kerosene	(0.01)	19.96	(0.5)
	Jet Fuel	(1.23)	19.70	(88.6)
	Distillate Fuel	(2.34)	20.22	(173.3)
	Residual Oil	(0.54)	20.48	(40.9)
	Naphtha for petrochemical feedstocks	0.03	18.55	1.9
	Petroleum Coke	(1.25)	27.85	(127.7)
	Other Oil for petrochemical feedstocks	(+)	20.17	(0.1)
	Special Naphthas	0.04	19.74	2.5
	Lubricants	(0.12)	20.20	(8.8)
	Waxes	+	19.80	0.1
	Asphalt/Road Oil	0.07	20.55	5.3
Still Gas <sup>a</sup>	0.00	18.20	0.0	
Misc. Products	0.01	0.00	0.0	
<b>Total</b>				<b>5,231.8</b>

2 Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding. Parentheses indicate negative values.

3 + Does not exceed 0.005 QBtu or 0.05 MMT CO<sub>2</sub> Eq.

4 Sources: C content coefficients by coal rank from USGS (1998), PSU (2010), Gunderson (2019), IGS (2019), ISGS (2019), and EIA (2020a); natural gas C  
5 content coefficients from EPA (2010) and EIA (2020b); unspecified solid fuel and liquid fuel C content coefficients from EPA (2010) and ICF (2020).

6 <sup>a</sup> Still gas is reported as petroleum product (liquid fuel) in this report. However, still gas physically exists as a gas, consisting primary of methane and ethane, and some hydrogen  
7 and other trace gases (EIA 2020d).

8

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

**Table A-233: 2019 Non-Energy Carbon Stored in Products**

Fuel Type	Consumption for Non-Energy Use (Tbtu)	Carbon Coefficients (MMT Carbon/Qbtu)	Carbon Content (MMT Carbon)	Fraction Sequestered	Carbon Stored (MMT CO <sub>2</sub> Eq.)
Coal	132.8	31.00	4.12	0.10	2.1
Natural Gas	299.1	14.43	4.31	0.67	10.7
Asphalt & Road Oil	843.9	20.55	17.34	1.00	63.3
HGL	2,523.7	16.85	42.53	0.67	105.1
Lubricants	250.7	20.20	5.06	0.09	1.7
Pentanes Plus	153.5	18.24	2.80	0.67	6.9
Petrochemical Feedstocks	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	34.1
Petroleum Coke	0.0	27.85	0.00	0.30	0.0
Special Naphtha	88.7	19.74	1.75	0.67	4.3
Waxes/Misc.	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.7
Misc. U.S. Territories Petroleum	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.1
<b>Total</b>					<b>229.0</b>

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

[1] Values for Misc. U.S. Territories Petroleum, Petrochemical Feedstocks, and Waxes/Misc. are not shown because these categories are aggregates of numerous smaller components.

**Table A-234: 2019 Reference Approach CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Consumption (MMT CO<sub>2</sub> Eq.)**

Fuel Category	Potential Emissions	Carbon Sequestered	Net Emissions	Fraction Oxidized	Total Emissions
Coal	1,022.4	2.1	1,020.3	100.0%	1,020.3
Petroleum	2,517.2	216.2	2,301.0	100.0%	2,301.0
Natural Gas	1,692.2	10.7	1,681.5	100.0%	1,681.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,231.8</b>	<b>229.0</b>	<b>5,002.8</b>		<b>5,002.8</b>

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

**Table A-235: Fuel Consumption in the United States by Estimating Approach (Tbtu)<sup>a</sup>**

Approach	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Sectoral</b>	<b>69,634</b>	<b>74,688</b>	<b>82,554</b>	<b>83,937</b>	<b>82,689</b>	<b>83,921</b>	<b>81,084</b>	<b>76,258</b>	<b>78,689</b>	<b>77,201</b>	<b>75,387</b>	<b>77,324</b>	<b>78,034</b>	<b>77,120</b>	<b>76,286</b>	<b>75,752</b>	<b>79,028</b>	<b>78,196</b>
Coal	18,098	19,210	21,772	22,215	21,864	22,106	21,792	19,271	20,307	19,110	16,866	17,488	17,407	15,079	13,812	13,404	12,798	10,882
Natural Gas	19,173	22,173	23,395	22,283	21,961	23,371	23,594	23,193	24,313	24,679	25,832	26,562	27,145	27,932	28,153	27,742	30,801	31,824
Petroleum	32,363	33,305	37,386	39,439	38,864	38,444	35,699	33,794	34,069	33,412	32,688	33,274	33,483	34,109	34,322	34,607	35,430	35,490
<b>Reference (Apparent)</b>	<b>68,869</b>	<b>74,148</b>	<b>82,003</b>	<b>83,963</b>	<b>82,484</b>	<b>84,406</b>	<b>80,749</b>	<b>76,802</b>	<b>78,171</b>	<b>76,804</b>	<b>75,835</b>	<b>76,453</b>	<b>77,215</b>	<b>76,373</b>	<b>75,493</b>	<b>75,362</b>	<b>78,388</b>	<b>77,287</b>

Coal	17,598	18,591	20,982	22,015	21,565	21,616	21,430	19,283	19,662	18,796	16,682	17,134	17,248	14,836	13,576	13,136	12,562	10,702
Natural Gas	19,280	22,277	23,487	22,350	22,030	23,442	23,666	23,277	24,409	24,778	25,924	26,639	27,228	28,011	28,236	27,862	30,931	31,993
Petroleum	31,990	33,280	37,534	39,599	38,889	39,348	35,653	34,242	34,100	33,230	33,229	32,681	32,738	33,527	33,681	34,363	34,895	34,592
<b>Difference</b>	<b>-1.1%</b>	<b>-0.7%</b>	<b>-0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>-0.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>-0.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>-0.7%</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>-1.1%</b>	<b>-1.1%</b>	<b>-1.0%</b>	<b>-1.0%</b>	<b>-0.5%</b>	<b>-0.8%</b>	<b>-1.2%</b>
Coal	-2.8%	-3.2%	-3.6%	-0.9%	-1.4%	-2.2%	-1.7%	0.1%	-3.2%	-1.6%	-1.1%	-2.0%	-0.9%	-1.6%	-1.7%	-2.0%	-1.8%	-1.7%
Natural Gas	0.6%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%
Petroleum	-1.2%	-0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	2.4%	-0.1%	1.3%	0.1%	-0.5%	1.7%	-1.8%	-2.2%	-1.7%	-1.9%	-0.7%	-1.5%	-2.5%

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

<sup>a</sup> Includes U.S. Territories. Does not include international bunker fuels.

**Table A-236: CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion by Estimating Approach (MMT CO<sub>2</sub> Eq.)<sup>a</sup>**

Approach	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Sectoral</b>	<b>4,844</b>	<b>5,144</b>	<b>5,740</b>	<b>5,884</b>	<b>5,795</b>	<b>5,871</b>	<b>5,669</b>	<b>5,279</b>	<b>5,444</b>	<b>5,302</b>	<b>5,099</b>	<b>5,227</b>	<b>5,277</b>	<b>5,119</b>	<b>5,015</b>	<b>4,970</b>	<b>5,134</b>	<b>4,998</b>
Coal	1,720	1,825	2,073	2,123	2,085	2,108	2,079	1,839	1,938	1,824	1,611	1,670	1,661	1,441	1,320	1,282	1,224	1,041
Natural Gas	1,007	1,164	1,228	1,172	1,157	1,231	1,243	1,222	1,279	1,299	1,359	1,397	1,426	1,466	1,477	1,456	1,618	1,671
Petroleum	2,117	2,155	2,440	2,589	2,553	2,531	2,347	2,218	2,227	2,179	2,128	2,160	2,189	2,212	2,219	2,232	2,292	2,287
<b>Reference (Apparent)</b>	<b>4,812</b>	<b>5,149</b>	<b>5,721</b>	<b>5,931</b>	<b>5,821</b>	<b>5,932</b>	<b>5,688</b>	<b>5,370</b>	<b>5,444</b>	<b>5,320</b>	<b>5,186</b>	<b>5,216</b>	<b>5,263</b>	<b>5,126</b>	<b>5,023</b>	<b>5,002</b>	<b>5,150</b>	<b>5,003</b>
Coal	1,656	1,757	1,990	2,089	2,051	2,056	2,039	1,834	1,870	1,791	1,589	1,629	1,641	1,413	1,290	1,244	1,196	1,020
Natural Gas	1,014	1,171	1,234	1,176	1,160	1,235	1,247	1,227	1,285	1,305	1,365	1,402	1,431	1,470	1,482	1,463	1,626	1,682
Petroleum	2,142	2,221	2,498	2,665	2,610	2,641	2,401	2,308	2,289	2,224	2,232	2,185	2,191	2,242	2,251	2,296	2,328	2,301
<b>Difference</b>	<b>-0.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>-0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>-0.2%</b>	<b>-0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
Coal	-3.8%	-3.7%	-4.0%	-1.6%	-1.6%	-2.5%	-1.9%	-0.2%	-3.5%	-1.8%	-1.4%	-2.5%	-1.2%	-1.9%	-2.3%	-3.0%	-2.3%	-2.0%
Natural Gas	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%
Petroleum	1.2%	3.0%	2.4%	3.0%	2.2%	4.4%	2.3%	4.0%	2.8%	2.1%	4.9%	1.2%	0.1%	1.4%	1.5%	2.8%	1.6%	0.6%

Note: Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

<sup>a</sup> Includes U.S. Territories. Does not include international bunker fuels.

## 1   **References**

- 2   EIA (2020a). *Annual Coal Report 2019*, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy. Washington, D.C.  
3   DOE/EIA-0584(2019).
- 4   EIA (2020b). *Monthly Energy Review, November 2020*, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy.  
5   Washington, D.C. DOE/EIA-0035(2020/11).
- 6   EIA (2020c). *Petroleum Supply Annual*, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.,  
7   Volume I. DOE/EIA-0340.
- 8   EIA (2020d). *Still Gas*, Glossary. Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.  
9   Available online at: <<https://www.eia.gov/tools/glossary/index.php?id=still%20gas>>.
- 10   EIA (2011). *Annual Energy Review*, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.  
11   DOE/EIA-0384(2011).
- 12   EIA (1992). Coal and lignite production. *EIA State Energy Data Report 1992*, Energy Information Administration, U.S.  
13   Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
- 14   EPA (2010). Carbon Content Coefficients Developed for EPA's Mandatory Reporting Rule. Office of Air and Radiation,  
15   Office of Atmospheric Programs, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- 16   Gunderson, J. (2019) Montana Coal Sample Database. Data received 28 February 2019 from Jay Gunderson, Montana  
17   Bureau of Mines & Geology.
- 18   ICF (2020) Potential Improvements to Energy Sector Hydrocarbon Gas Liquid Carbon Content Coefficients. Memorandum  
19   from ICF to Vincent Camobreco, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. December 7, 2020.
- 20   Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) (2019) *Illinois Coal Quality Database*, Illinois State Geological Survey.
- 21   Indiana Geological Survey (IGS) (2019) *Indiana Coal Quality Database 2018*, Indiana Geological Survey.
- 22   IPCC (2006). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas  
23   Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T., and Tanabe K. (eds.). Published: IGES, Japan.
- 24   Pennsylvania State University (PSU) (2010) Coal Sample Bank and Database. Data received by SAIC 18 February 2010  
25   from Gareth Mitchell, The Energy Institute, Pennsylvania State University.
- 26   USGS (1998). *CoalQual Database Version 2.0*, U.S. Geological Survey.
- 27