

APPENDIX I
Stream Habitat Characteristics Data Summary (July and September 2006)

Ecological Risk Assessment for the Standard Mine Site

This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

**Stream Habitat Characteristics Data Summary
July 2006
Standard Mine
Gunnison County, Colorado**

**Prepared For:
United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
Ecosystem Protection and Remediation – Program Support**

**Prepared By:
TechLaw, Inc.
16194 W. 45th Drive
Golden, CO 80403
(303) 312-7726**

July 2006

Introduction

This data summary was prepared in support of ongoing investigation activities occurring at the Standard Mine, located in Gunnison County, Colorado and includes an evaluation of the aquatic biological communities observed in the Coal Creek watershed that was performed in July 2006. Biological communities are affected by habitat quality as well as water and streambed-sediment quality. Stream habitat assessment is therefore an important means of determining physical factors affecting biological communities that may influence overall habitat impacts observed during investigation activities. The Environmental Protection Agency's Rapid Bioassessment Protocols (RBPs)(Barbour 1999) were used to separate water-quality effects from habitat-influenced effects on biological communities at 16 locations along Coal Creek, Elk Creek, and a reference site in Splain's Gulch.

The RBPs include a descriptive, visual-based habitat assessment for riffle-run dominated streams. Habitat conditions were determined using RBPs that were applicable to high gradient streams prevalent in the watershed, and included the following characteristics: epifaunal substrate, embeddedness, velocity/depth regime, sediment deposition, channel flow status, channel alteration, frequency of riffles, bank stability, vegetative protection, and riparian vegetative zone width. Scores for each habitat characteristic were totaled and compared to the reference station to provide a final habitat ranking. Specific scores associated with each of the habitat parameters are included in Table 1.0. Table 2.0 includes the classification and ranking methodology for habitat parameters used in the RBPs.

Locations selected for habitat assessments are listed in Table 1.0 and are the same as those selected for aquatic macroinvertebrate sampling during the July 2006 Standard Mine sampling event (ESAT 2006). Scoring sheets for each site are included in the appendix to this data summary. A brief description is given below for each of the 16 stations.

Site Summaries

Coal-00 RBP Habitat Score = 159 – Optimal

This site is located on Coal Creek upstream from the confluence of Coal Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed from a pedestrian bridge (not included in the assessment) upstream along the creek. Residences were located along both creek banks, however significant bank alteration was not observed. Overall flow along the reach was low, resulting in a marginal score for Channel Flow Status. The reach scored either optimal or suboptimal for the remaining parameters, resulting in an optimal overall score.

Coal-05 RBP Habitat Score = 166 – Optimal

This site is located on Coal Creek approximately 50 meters downstream of the Keystone Mine Waste Water Treatment Facility discharge. A 100 meter reach was assessed from

the point of discharge confluence extending downstream. The majority of parameters scored optimal, with two suboptimal scores (Sediment Deposition and Bank Stability for the left bank). Some sediment deposition was noted at various locations along the reach, and notable erosion was observed along the left bank. The right bank scored poor for Riparian Vegetative Zone Width due to the close proximity of a highway embankment, resulting in a limited riparian area. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Coal-10 RBP Habitat Score = 167 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 50 meters upstream of the Keystone Mine Waste Water Treatment Facility discharge. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of discharge confluence extending upstream. All parameters scored either optimal or suboptimal, with an optimal overall score. The Town of Crested Butte water intake is located within this reach, and included a man-made earthen water diversion. This resulted in less varied depth/flow regime as well as a lower score for Channel Alteration.

Coal-Opp2 RBP Habitat Score = 183 – Optimal

This site is located downstream of the Iron Fen outfall. A 100 meter reach was assessed extending downstream from the farthest downstream Iron Fen outfall location. All parameters scored in the optimal range, with only one parameter falling in the suboptimal range. Frequency of Riffles or Bends scored in the mid-suboptimal range due to the existence of beaver ponds, which increased the depth regime in several areas and ultimately removed some riffle habitat. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Coal-15 RBP Habitat Score = 193 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 100 meters downstream of the Elk Creek confluence. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of confluence extending downstream. All parameters for this site scored in the optimal range, with an overall optimal score. Note that this site received the highest habitat score for areas assessed during this event.

Coal-20 RBP Habitat Score = 188 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 50 meters upstream from the Elk Creek confluence. A 100 meter reach was assessed that extended from the point of confluence upstream. All habitat parameters scored optimal, with only one parameter scoring in the suboptimal range. The overall score for this reach was optimal, and was the second highest scoring reach assessed during this event.

Coal-25 RBP Habitat Score = 177 – Optimal

This site is located downstream from the Ruby/Anthracite drainage confluence, and is the farthest upstream location assessed along Coal Creek during this event. A man-made culvert exists in the vicinity of this sampling location. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the culvert (not included in the assessment) extending upstream. Habitat parameters

scored either optimal or suboptimal. Suboptimal scores were given due to areas of increased sedimentation, which impacted overall available epifaunal substrate; areas of sloughing along the left and right banks, and a limited riparian zone width due to the close proximity of the county road located adjacent to the right bank. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Elk-00 RBP Habitat Score = 163 – Optimal

This site is located along Elk Creek, approximately 100 meters upstream from the confluence with Coal Creek. There is a man-made culvert in the vicinity of the sampling location. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the culvert (not included in the assessment) extending upstream along Elk Creek. Habitat parameters scored in the optimal, suboptimal, and marginal ranges. Suboptimal scores were recorded primarily due to fast and shallow flow, which limited the velocity/depth regime, and resulted in erosion of the left and right banks in some areas (decreasing bank stability). Due to the steep upland influence relatively close to the creek, the riparian width was limited on the left bank. A marginal score was recorded for Channel Flow Status due to low streamflow, resulting in limited available epifaunal substrate. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Elk-05 RBP Habitat Score = 180 – Optimal

This site is located along Elk Creek approximately 50 meters downstream from the confluence of a series of small drainages. A 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the lowermost drainage extending downstream. Habitat parameters all scored optimal, with the exception of Velocity/Depth Regime, which scored marginal. This was due to the presence of only shallow flow regimes (fast-shallow and slow-shallow) with no significant deep flow regimes. Overall the site received an optimal score.

Elk-06 RBP Habitat Score = 178 – Optimal

This site is located upstream from the confluence of a series of small drainages along Elk Creek. For this site, a 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the uppermost drainage extending upstream. Habitat parameters all scored either optimal or suboptimal. Suboptimal scores were given due to the presence of only 3 out of 4 possible velocity/depth regimes as well as indications of left bank instability. Left bank instability was exacerbated due to limited vegetative protection. In addition, due to the steep upland terrain defining the left bank of this reach, the riparian zone width was less than 15 meters. Overall this reach received an optimal score.

Elk-08 RBP Habitat Score = 156 – Optimal

This site is located just downstream of the confluence of the Copley Lake outfall along Elk Creek. For this site, a 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the outfall moving in a downstream direction. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. Marginal scores were given in the areas of Bank Stability (both left and right banks) as

well as Channel Flow Status. Signs of erosion were noted between 30 and 60% of the total left and right bank areas, thus contributing to overall bank instability. In addition, only 25 to 75% of the existing channel was filled with water, resulting in a significant amount of riffle substrate exposure. Velocity/Depth Regime and Vegetative Protection received suboptimal scores due to the lack of shallow flow regimes as well as patchy vegetative cover respectively. Overall the reach received a score on the low end of the optimal range.

Elk-10 RBP Habitat Score = 107 – Suboptimal

This site is located along Elk Creek approximately 30 meters below the Standard Mine tailings impoundment. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the base of the impoundment extending downstream. Habitat parameter scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. Marginal scores were given due to the prevalence of basal bedrock along a significant portion of the reach, resulting in limited available epifaunal substrate. The presence of bedrock along the stream bottom also resulted in the absence of deep flow regimes. Due to the location of the impoundment just upstream of the reach, and the presence of human fill activity noted along the reach, vegetative cover and riparian areas were impaired. This also contributed to the prevalence of bank instability throughout the reach. In addition, only 25 to 75% of the existing channel was filled with water, resulting in exposure of the limited riffle substrate. Overall the reach received a score on the low end of the suboptimal range. Note that this was the lowest score given to a reach during this event.

Elk-29 RBP Habitat Score = 115 – Suboptimal

This site is located along Elk Creek upstream of the main Standard Mine workings level, below the confluence of several small drainages. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the base of the lowest drainage extending downstream. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from poor to optimal. Poor scores were given due to limited left bank riparian areas (due to steep sidewalls and proximity of upland areas), and left bank instability. Significant eroded or “raw” areas were observed along the left bank throughout the reach, with obvious signs of sloughing. Marginal scores were given to both the left and right banks due to lack of significant stabilizing vegetative cover in the sloughed areas, though no significant sedimentation along the streambed was noted. Marginal scores were also given due to the prevalence of basal bedrock along a significant portion of the reach (similar to Elk-10), resulting in limited available epifaunal substrate. This issue was compounded by limited channel flow (between 25 and 75% of the available bank). The presence of bedrock along the stream bottom also resulted in the absence of deep flow regimes, and a lack of channel bends and riffle areas. Overall the reach received a score on the low end of the suboptimal range. Note that this reach received the second lowest score.

Cop-01 RBP Habitat Score = 136 – Suboptimal

This site is located downstream from Copley Lake (along the Copley Lake outfall flow) before the confluence with Elk Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed starting at the culvert (located where the outfall crosses the access road adjacent to Elk Creek; not included in the reach), extending upstream towards Copley Lake. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. Marginal scores were given due to a consistent slow flow rate over most of the reach, which led to increased sediment deposition and embeddedness. In addition, riffles and/or bends were observed only occasionally over the reach. Overall the reach received a suboptimal score.

SP-00 RBP Habitat Score = 163 – Optimal

This site is located at the base of Splain’s Gulch, just before the confluence with Clear Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of confluence extending upstream. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. A marginal score was given due to the absence of deep flow regimes. In addition, slight bank instability was observed on both the right and left banks, which led to areas of increased sediment deposition along the streambed. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

SP-01 RBP Habitat Score = 182 – Optimal

This site is located along the upper portion of Splain’s Gulch, just upstream of the Splain’s Gulch Road crossing. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the road crossing (not included in the assessment) extending upstream. Scores for this site ranged from marginal to optimal. A marginal score was given due to the absence of deep flow regimes (similar to SP-00). A suboptimal score was given for Channel Flow Status since water in the stream filled approximately 75-80% of the existing streambed. This, however, did not seem to impact the epifaunal substrate availability. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Conclusions

Overall habitat conditions throughout the watershed ranged from suboptimal (Elk-10, Elk-29, and Cop-01) to optimal (remaining sites). The primary reason for suboptimal designations of sites as a whole included limitations to the epifaunal substrate (or available cover) within a reach. This was due to the presence of basal bedrock along the streambed as well as limited flow within the existing banks (also reducing available cover). Additional factors included bank instability as well as a lack of streamside vegetative protection. Riparian Vegetative Zone was a significant contributor to the suboptimal designation for Elk-10 and Elk-29. This was primarily due to steep upland terrain located in close proximity to the stream; and, in the case of Elk-10, was also due to human fill activities.

Tables

Table 1.0 Habitat Assessment Scores - Standard Mine - July 2006

Habitat Parameter	Habitat Assessment Scores															SP-01 Reference
	Coal-00	Coal-05	Coal-10	Coal-Opp2	Coal-15	Coal-20	Coal-25	Elk-00	Elk-05	Elk-06	Elk-08	Elk-10	Elk-29	Cop-01	SP-00	
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	16	18	18	19	19	20	19	14	19	19	17	6	10	13	19	20
Embeddedness	18	18	18	19	18	19	15	19	19	18	19	18	20	6	18	19
Velocity/Depth Regime	15	18	12	18	19	15	18	13	10	15	15	12	9	9	10	10
Sediment Deposition	15	13	18	19	19	19	15	19	19	19	18	13	20	6	14	20
Channel Flow Status	10	17	18	16	19	17	18	9	16	17	8	8	9	16	16	15
Channel Alteration	16	17	11	19	20	19	20	19	20	20	19	15	20	18	18	20
Frequency of Riffles or Bends	17	19	18	14	19	19	18	18	19	19	18	13	7	9	18	18
Bank Stability																
<i>Left Bank</i>	9	7	9	10	10	10	8	8	10	7	5	5	2	10	7	10
<i>Right Bank</i>	9	9	9	10	10	10	8	8	10	10	5	4	3	10	8	10
Vegetative Protection																
<i>Left Bank</i>	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	7	7	3	3	10	9	10
<i>Right Bank</i>	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	7	4	4	10	10	10
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width																
<i>Left Bank</i>	8	10	7	9	10	10	10	8	9	8	9	3	2	10	9	10
<i>Right Bank</i>	8	2	9	10	10	10	8	10	9	9	9	3	6	9	7	10
Total Score	159	166	167	183	193	188	177	163	180	178	156	107	115	136	163	182

Notes:

Green Shading = Optimal habitat score
Blue Shading = Suboptimal habitat score
Yellow Shading = Marginal habitat score
Red Shading = Poor habitat score

Table 2.0 Classification and Ranking Methodology for Habitat Parameters Using Rapid Bioassessment Protocols - Standard Mine -July 2006

Habitat Characteristic	Description	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Relative quantity and variety of natural structures in the stream, such as cobble (riffles), large rocks, fallen trees, logs and branches, and undercut banks, available as refugia, feeding, or sites for spawning and nursery functions of aquatic organisms.	Score: 16-20 Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at a stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	Score: 11-15 40-70% mix of stable habitat, well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	Score: 6-10 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Score: 0-5 Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
Embeddedness	The extent to which rocks (gravel, cobble, and boulders) and snags are covered or sunken into the silt, sand, or mud of the stream bottom.	Score: 16-20 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Score: 11-15 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Score: 6-10 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Score 0-5 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
Velocity/Depth Regime	Patterns of velocity (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow).	Score: 16-20 All four velocity/depth regimes present. Slow is <0.3 meters/second, deep is >0.5 meters.	Score: 11-15 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes)	Score: 6-10 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low)	Score: 0-5 Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
Sediment Deposition	Measure of the amount of sediment that has accumulated in pools and the changes that have occurred to the stream bottom as a result of deposition.	Score: 16-20 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Score: 11-15 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Score: 6-10 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Score: 0-5 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
Channel Flow Status	Degree to which the channel is filled with water.	Score: 16-20 Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Score: 11-15 Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Score: 6-10 Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Score: 0-5 Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
Channel Alteration	Measure of large-scale changes in the shape of the stream channel (i.e., for flood control or irrigation, etc.).	Score: 16-20 Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Score: 11-15 Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization (i.e., dredging over 20 years ago) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Score: 6-10 Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40-80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Score: 0-5 Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
Frequency of Riffles or Bends	Measure of the sequence of riffles and thus the heterogeneity occurring in a stream.	Score: 16-20 Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Score: 11-15 Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Score: 6-10 Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15-25.	Score 0-5 Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio >25.
Bank Stability	Measure of whether the stream banks are eroded or have the potential for erosion (i.e., steep banks, etc.)	Score: 9-10 each bank Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Score: 6-8 each bank Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Score: 3-5 each bank Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Score: 0-2 each bank Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
Vegetative Protection	Measure of the amount of vegetative protection afforded to the stream bank and the near-stream portion of the riparian zone.	Score: 9-10 each bank More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	Score: 6-8 each bank 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Score: 3-5 each bank 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Score: 0-2 each bank Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width	Measure of the width of natural vegetation from the edge of the stream bank out through the riparian zone.	Score: 9-10 each bank Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, road beds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Score: 6-8 each bank Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Score: 3-5 each bank Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Score: 0-2 each bank Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.

Scoring Totals:
 Optimal: 155-200
 Suboptimal: 102-154
 Marginal: 49-101
 Poor: 0-48

This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

Photographs


Coal-00



Coal-05



Coal-10



Coal-Opp2



Coal-15



Coal-20



Coal-25



SP-00



SP-01



EIk-00



EIk-05



EIk-06




EIk-08



EIk-10



EIk-29



Cop-01



This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

Appendix

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Coal Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>Coal Co</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY <u>ESAT</u>	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Colonna</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE _____ AM _____ PM _____	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
		Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).																				
	SCORE <u>16</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.																				
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)																				
	SCORE <u>15</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.																					
SCORE <u>15</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.																					
SCORE <u>10</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE <u>16</u>	20	19	18	17	<u>16</u>	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE <u>17</u>	20	19	18	<u>17</u>	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10			<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10			<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10			<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10			<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE <u>8</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							
SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0							

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 159

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Coal Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>State Co. 165</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, S. Schum</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE <u>1/7/00</u> TIME <u>12:30</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.	
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0	
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0	
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).	
SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0		
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.	
	SCORE <u>13</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <u>13</u> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0	
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	
	SCORE <u>17</u>	20 19 18 <u>17</u> 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.																					
Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																					
SCORE <u>17</u>	20	19	18	<u>17</u>	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.																					
Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																					
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	<u>7</u>	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																				
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			<u>2</u>	1	0			

Total Score 166

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>East Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Cont-10</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. H. ... J. ...</i>	_____	
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. H. ...</i>	DATE <i>7/17/00</i> TIME <i>10:30</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>18</i>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>12</i>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <i>12</i> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>18</i>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>18</i>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks, and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE 11	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE 18	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 10 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE 7 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Total Score 107

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Con / Creek</i>		LOCATION <i>Con / CPT 2</i>	
STATION # _____	RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____	LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer S. Calmer</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>		DATE <i>7/17/00</i>	REASON FOR SURVEY _____
		TIME <i>2:16</i> AM PM	

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>(18)</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>(16)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.	
SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>19</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.	
SCORE <u>14</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 <u>14</u> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>11</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>6</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 <u>9</u>	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank, <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 183

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Coal Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>0-1.15</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Ace, J. Calanni</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Ace</i>	DATE <i>7/11/04</i> TIME <i>1345</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>17</i>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>17</i>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>11</i>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																																		
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor																			
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0																			
																					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
SCORE <u>22</u>																																			
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0																			
																					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
SCORE <u>19</u>																																			
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0																			
																					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0																					
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0																			
																					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0																					
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0																			
																					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u>				9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0																					

Total Score 193

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Coul Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>Coul-20</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Cullum</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE <u>7/7/00</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY _____
		TIME <u>1400</u> AM PM	

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). SCORE <u>20</u>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). 20 19 18 17 16	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>19</u>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 <u>19</u> 18 17 16	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 9 8 7 6	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>15</u>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 19 18 17 16	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). <u>15</u> 14 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>19</u>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 <u>19</u> 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>17</u>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 <u>17</u> 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																				
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Total Score 188

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Cool Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>Cool 25</u>	
STATION # _____	RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____	LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Haver, J. Calanna</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Haver</u>		DATE <u>7/1/95</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY _____
		TIME <u>2:45</u> AM PM	

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.	
	SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>(19)</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	
	SCORE <u>15</u>	20 19 18 17 16	<u>(15)</u> 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).	
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>(18)</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
SCORE <u>15</u>	20 19 18 17 16	<u>(15)</u> 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>(18)</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. SCORE <u>20</u>	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. 20 19 18 17 16	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. 15 14 13 12 11	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted. 10 9 8 7 6	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely. 5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. SCORE <u>18</u>	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. 20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15. 15 14 13 12 11	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25. 10 9 8 7 6	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25. 5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE <u>8</u> (LB) SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Left Bank 10 9 Right Bank 10 9	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion. (<u>8</u>) 7 6 (<u>8</u>) 7 6	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars. 2 1 0 2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. Left Bank (<u>10</u>) 9 Right Bank (<u>10</u>) 9	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 8 7 6 8 7 6	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. 2 1 0 2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. Left Bank (<u>10</u>) 9 Right Bank 10 9	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally. 8 7 6 (<u>8</u>) 7 6	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal. 5 4 3 5 4 3	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities. 2 1 0 2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 177

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Elk Creek</u>	LOCATION <u>E1K-00</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS _____		
FORM COMPLETED BY _____	DATE <u>7/17/66</u> TIME <u>4:15</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <u>14</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 <u>(14)</u> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>(19)</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>13</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <u>(13)</u> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>(19)</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>4</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.																					
Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																					
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.																					
Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																					
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>8</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9								8	7	6								
SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9								8	7	6								
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9								8	7	6								
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9								8	7	6								
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																				
SCORE <u>8</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9								8	7	6								
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9								8	7	6								

Total Score 163

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>ELK Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>ELK-C5</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Calumet</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE <u>7/18/06</u> TIME <u>7:55</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <u>19</u>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). 20 (19) 18 17 16	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>19</u>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 (19) 18 17 16	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 9 8 7 6	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>10</u>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 19 18 17 16	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). (10) 9 8 7 6	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>19</u>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 (19) 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>16</u>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 (16)	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE <u>70</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
SCORE <u>19</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u> 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 <u>9</u>	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 <u>9</u>	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

 Total Score 180

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Elk Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>ELK 06</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS _____		
FORM COMPLETED BY _____	DATE <i>7/12/04</i> TIME <i>7:50</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
		Optimal				Suboptimal				Marginal				Poor								
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20	<i>19</i>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	20	19	<i>18</i>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>15</i>	20	19	18	17	16	<i>(15)</i>	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>19</i>	20	<i>19</i>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>17</i>	20	19	18	<i>(17)</i>	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																							
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor								
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																							
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.													
SCORE <u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																							
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.													
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																							
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.													
	Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																							
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	<u>7</u>	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																							
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.													
	SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)																							
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	<u>7</u>	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																							
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.													
	SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)																							
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score 173

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Elk Creek</u>	LOCATION <u>51K-08</u>
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____
INVESTIGATORS _____	
FORM COMPLETED BY _____	DATE <u>7/18/06</u> TIME <u>2:35</u> AM PM
REASON FOR SURVEY _____	

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE <u>17</u>	20 19 18 <u>17</u> 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>19</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	SCORE <u>15</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.	
SCORE <u>16</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	
SCORE <u>8</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <u>8</u> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.	
SCORE <u>19</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.	
SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.	
SCORE <u>5</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<u>5</u> 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>5</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<u>5</u> 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.	
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 <u>7</u> 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 <u>7</u> 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.	
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 <u>9</u>	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 <u>9</u>	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 156

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>212 Creek</i>		LOCATION <i>212-10</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Allen J. Colvett</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Allen</i>		DATE <i>7/14/77</i> TIME <i>11:55</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.	
	SCORE <i>6</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 <i>6</i>	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	
	SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).	
	SCORE <i>12</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <i>12</i> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
SCORE <i>13</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <i>13</i> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
SCORE <i>8</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																						
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor							
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. SCORE <u>15</u>	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.							
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. SCORE <u>13</u>	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.							
	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE <u>5</u> (LB) SCORE <u>4</u> (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.							
	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. SCORE <u>3</u> (LB) SCORE <u>4</u> (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.							
	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. SCORE <u>3</u> (LB) SCORE <u>3</u> (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.							
	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score 107

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>ELK Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>SIK-29</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS <i>High</i>	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY <i>CSAT</i>	
INVESTIGATORS <i>JC/SA</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>J. Calanni</i>	DATE _____ AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<i>10</i> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>20</i>	<i>20</i> 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>9</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>20</i>	<i>20</i> 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>9</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 <i>9</i> 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.		Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE <u>20</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.		Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
SCORE <u>7</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 <u>(7)</u> 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.		Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE <u>2</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 <u>(2)</u>	<u>(2)</u> 1 0
SCORE <u>3</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 <u>(3)</u>	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.		70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE <u>3</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 <u>(3)</u>	2 1 0
SCORE <u>4</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 <u>(4)</u> 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.		Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE <u>2</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	<u>(2)</u> 1 0
SCORE <u>6</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 <u>(6)</u>	5 4 3	2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 115

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Copkey Mill</i>		LOCATION <i>Copci</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, J. C. Lanni</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>		DATE <i>8/1/06</i> TIME <i>10:50</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category					
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>13</i>	20 19 18 17 16 15 14 (13) 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>6</i>	20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 (6)	5 4 3 2 1 0	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>9</i>	20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11	10 (9) 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>6</i>	20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 (6)	5 4 3 2 1 0	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 (16)	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE <i>18</i>	20	19	<i>18</i>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE <i>9</i>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	<i>9</i>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) <small>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</small>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
SCORE <i>10</i> (LB)	Left Bank <i>10</i> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <i>10</i> (RB)	Right Bank <i>10</i> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <i>10</i> (LB)	Left Bank <i>10</i> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <i>10</i> (RB)	Right Bank <i>10</i> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
SCORE <i>10</i> (LB)	Left Bank <i>10</i> 10					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <i>9</i> (RB)	Right Bank 10 <i>9</i>					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					

Total Score 136

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>S. River, Luccia</i>	LOCATION <i>57.00</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. River, S. Luccia</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. River</i>	DATE <i>7/1/00</i> TIME <i>1:45</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>(18)</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<i>(10)</i> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>14</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 <i>(14)</i> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>(16)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted																					
Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																					
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.																					
Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																					
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	<u>7</u>	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									
SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																				
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									
SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	<u>7</u>	6	5	4	3	2	1	0									

Total Score 163

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Splain Run</i>	LOCATION <i>S.P. 11</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, S. Entman</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>	DATE <i>7/17/00</i> TIME <i>1:25</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>20</i>	20 19 18 17 16 <i>(20)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>19</i>	20 19 18 17 16 <i>(19)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6 <i>(10)</i>	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>20</i>	20 19 18 17 16 <i>(20)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11 <i>(15)</i>	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11 <i>(15)</i>	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern. SCORE <u>20</u>	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. SCORE <u>18</u>	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
Left Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Right Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally. SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
Left Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Right Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone. SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
Left Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Right Bank	10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score 182

This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

Stream Habitat Characteristics Data Summary

September 2006

**Standard Mine
Gunnison County, Colorado**

**Prepared For:
United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8
Ecosystem Protection and Remediation – Program Support**

**Prepared By:
TechLaw, Inc.
16194 W. 45th Drive
Golden, CO 80403
(303) 312-7726**

September 2006

Introduction

This data summary was prepared in support of ongoing investigation activities occurring at the Standard Mine, located in Gunnison County, Colorado and includes an evaluation of the aquatic biological communities observed in the Coal Creek watershed that was performed in September 2006. Biological communities are affected by habitat quality as well as water and streambed-sediment quality. Stream habitat assessment is therefore an important means of determining physical factors affecting biological communities that may influence overall habitat impacts observed during investigation activities. The Environmental Protection Agency's Rapid Bioassessment Protocols (RBPs)(Barbour 1999) were used to separate water-quality effects from habitat-influenced effects on biological communities at 16 locations along Coal Creek, Elk Creek, and a reference site in Splain's Gulch.

The RBPs include a descriptive, visual-based habitat assessment for riffle-run dominated streams. Habitat conditions were determined using RBPs that were applicable to high gradient streams prevalent in the watershed, and included the following characteristics: epifaunal substrate, embeddedness, velocity/depth regime, sediment deposition, channel flow status, channel alteration, frequency of riffles, bank stability, vegetative protection, and riparian vegetative zone width. Scores for each habitat characteristic were totaled and compared to the reference station to provide a final habitat ranking. Specific scores associated with each of the habitat parameters are included in Table 1.0. Table 2.0 includes the classification and ranking methodology for habitat parameters used in the RBPs.

Locations selected for habitat assessments are listed in Table 1.0 and are the same as those selected for aquatic macroinvertebrate sampling during the July 2006 Standard Mine sampling event (ESAT 2006). Scoring sheets for each site are included in the appendix to this data summary. A brief description is given below for each of the 16 stations.

Site Summaries

Coal-00 RBP Habitat Score = 153 – Suboptimal

This site is located on Coal Creek upstream from the confluence of Coal Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed from a pedestrian bridge (not included in the assessment) upstream along the creek. Residences were located along both creek banks, however significant bank alteration was not observed. Overall flow along the reach was quite low, resulting in a marginal score for Channel Flow Status. The reach scored either optimal or suboptimal for the remaining parameters, resulting in a suboptimal overall score.

Coal-05 RBP Habitat Score = 144 – Suboptimal

This site is located on Coal Creek approximately 50 meters downstream of the Keystone Mine Waste Water Treatment Facility discharge. A 100 meter reach was assessed from

the point of discharge confluence extending downstream. The majority of parameters scored optimal or suboptimal, with two marginal scores (Sediment Deposition and Embeddedness), and one poor score (Riparian Vegetative Zone Width for the right bank). Sediment deposition was noted at various locations along the reach, which appeared to originate at the Keystone Mine discharge confluence. Some erosion was observed along the left bank. The right bank scored poor for Riparian Vegetative Zone Width due to the close proximity of a highway embankment, resulting in a limited riparian area. Overall the reach received a suboptimal score.

Coal-10 RBP Habitat Score = 171 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 50 meters upstream of the Keystone Mine Waste Water Treatment Facility discharge. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of discharge confluence extending upstream. All parameters scored either optimal or suboptimal, with an optimal overall score. The Town of Crested Butte water intake is located within this reach, and included a man-made earthen water diversion. This resulted in less varied depth/flow regime as well as a lower score for Channel Alteration.

Coal-Opp2 RBP Habitat Score = 172 – Optimal

This site is located downstream of the Iron Fen outfall. A 100 meter reach was assessed extending downstream from the farthest downstream Iron Fen outfall location. All parameters scored in the optimal range, with only one parameter falling in the suboptimal range. Frequency of Riffles or Bends scored in the mid-suboptimal range due to the existence of beaver ponds, which increased the depth regime in several areas and ultimately removed some riffle habitat. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Coal-15 RBP Habitat Score = 182 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 100 meters downstream of the Elk Creek confluence. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of confluence extending downstream. All parameters for this site scored in the optimal range, with the exception of Frequency of Bends or Riffles and right bank Vegetative Protection (primarily due to lower flow levels). Note that this site received the highest habitat score for areas assessed during this event (along with SP-01 Reference).

Coal-20 RBP Habitat Score = 180 – Optimal

This site is located approximately 50 meters upstream from the Elk Creek confluence. A 100 meter reach was assessed that extended from the point of confluence upstream. All habitat parameters scored optimal, with only one parameter scoring in the suboptimal range (Velocity/Depth Regime). The overall score for this reach was optimal, and was the second highest scoring reach assessed during this event.

Coal-25 RBP Habitat Score = 163 – Optimal

This site is located downstream from the Ruby/Anthracite drainage confluence, and is the farthest upstream location assessed along Coal Creek during this event. A man-made culvert exists in the vicinity of this sampling location. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the culvert (not included in the assessment) extending upstream. Habitat parameters scored either optimal or suboptimal. Suboptimal scores were given due to limited flow regimes (due to lower flow which also impacted Frequency of Riffles or Bends), areas of sloughing along the left and right banks, and a limited riparian zone width due to the close proximity of the county road located adjacent to the right bank. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Elk-00 RBP Habitat Score = 168 – Optimal

This site is located along Elk Creek, approximately 100 meters upstream from the confluence with Coal Creek. There is a man-made culvert in the vicinity of the sampling location. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the culvert (not included in the assessment) extending upstream along Elk Creek. Habitat parameters scored in the optimal, suboptimal, and marginal ranges. Suboptimal scores were recorded primarily due to limited flow regimes (shallow regimes). Due to the steep upland influence relatively close to the creek, the riparian width was limited on the left bank. A marginal score was recorded for Channel Flow Status due to low streamflow, resulting in exposed epifaunal substrate. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

Elk-05 RBP Habitat Score = 178 – Optimal

This site is located along Elk Creek approximately 50 meters downstream from the confluence of a series of small drainages. A 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the lowermost drainage extending downstream. Habitat parameters all scored optimal, with the exception of Velocity/Depth Regime, which scored marginal. This was due to the presence of only shallow flow regimes (fast-shallow and slow-shallow) with no significant deep flow regimes. Overall the site received an optimal score.

Elk-06 RBP Habitat Score = 168 – Optimal

This site is located upstream from the confluence of a series of small drainages along Elk Creek. For this site, a 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the uppermost drainage extending upstream. Habitat parameters all scored optimal, suboptimal, or marginal. Marginal scores were given due to the presence of only 3 out of 4 possible velocity/depth regimes which may have increased bank instability in areas (suboptimal scores). In addition, due to the steep upland terrain defining the left bank of this reach, the riparian zone width was less than 15 meters. Overall this reach received an optimal score.

Elk-08 RBP Habitat Score = 149 – Suboptimal

This site is located just downstream of the confluence of the Copley Lake outfall along Elk Creek. For this site, a 100 meter reach was assessed starting from the outfall moving

in a downstream direction. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. Marginal scores were given in the areas of Velocity/Depth Regime as well as Channel Flow Status, primarily due to reduced flow. Signs of erosion were noted between 30 and 60% of the total left and right bank areas, thus contributing to overall bank instability. Bank Instability (both banks) and Vegetative Protection (both banks) received suboptimal scores due to signs of erosion as well as patchy vegetative cover. Overall the reach received a score in the suboptimal range.

Elk-10 RBP Habitat Score = 82 – Marginal

This site is located along Elk Creek approximately 30 meters below the Standard Mine tailings impoundment. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the base of the impoundment extending downstream. Habitat parameter scores for this reach ranged from poor to optimal. Poor scores were given due to the prevalence sediment (thus increasing embeddedness) which may have been due to removal activities taking place at upstream locations. In addition, basal bedrock was observed along a significant portion of the reach, resulting in limited available epifaunal substrate (suboptimal score). The presence of bedrock along the stream bottom also resulted in the absence of deep flow regimes. Due to the location of the impoundment just upstream of the reach, and the presence of human fill activity noted along the reach, vegetative cover and riparian areas were impaired. This also contributed to the prevalence of bank instability throughout the reach. In addition, only 25 to 75% of the existing channel was filled with water, resulting in exposure of the limited riffle substrate. Overall the reach received a score in the marginal range. Note that this was the lowest score given to a reach during this event.

Elk-29 RBP Habitat Score = 125 – Suboptimal

This site is located along Elk Creek upstream of the main Standard Mine workings level, below the confluence of several small drainages. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the base of the lowest drainage extending downstream. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from poor to optimal. A poor score was given due to limited areas of riffle primarily a result of the presence of basal bedrock. This also resulted in limited epifaunal substrate and the presence of only shallow depth regimes. In addition, flow in the channel was low. Significant eroded or “raw” areas were observed along the left bank throughout the reach, with obvious signs of sloughing. Marginal scores were given to both the left and right banks due to lack of significant stabilizing vegetative cover in the sloughed areas, though no significant sedimentation along the streambed was noted. Overall the reach received a score on the low end of the suboptimal range. Note that this reach received the third lowest score.

Cop-01 RBP Habitat Score = 113 – Suboptimal

This site is located downstream from Copley Lake (along the Copley Lake outfall flow) before the confluence with Elk Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed starting at the culvert (located where the outfall crosses the access road adjacent to Elk Creek; not included in the reach), extending upstream towards Copley Lake. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from poor to optimal. Poor scores were given due to extremely low flow within the reach, which impacted the present velocity/depth regimes as well as reduced riffle areas. Marginal scores were given due to significant areas of sedimentation which resulted in an increase in overall embeddedness. Overall the reach received a score in the lower end of the suboptimal range. Note that this site received the second lowest score.

SP-00 RBP Habitat Score = 162 – Optimal

This site is located at the base of Splain’s Gulch, just before the confluence with Clear Creek. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the point of confluence extending upstream. Habitat scores for this reach ranged from marginal to optimal. A marginal score was given due to the absence of deep flow regimes as well as limited flow within the channel. Areas of sedimentation were also observed in some areas, which resulted in a suboptimal score for Sediment Deposition. Overall the reach received an optimal score.

SP-01 RBP Habitat Score = 182 – Optimal

This site is located along the upper portion of Splain’s Gulch, just upstream of the Splain’s Gulch Road crossing. A 100 meter reach was assessed from the road crossing (not included in the assessment) extending upstream. Scores for this site ranged from marginal to optimal. A marginal score was given due to the absence of deep flow regimes (similar to SP-00). The remaining scores were all in the optimal range. Overall the reach received an optimal score. Note that this site received the highest score for areas assessed during this event (in addition to Coal-15).

Conclusions

Overall habitat conditions throughout the watershed were marginal (Elk-10), suboptimal (Coal-00, Coal-05, Elk-08, Elk-29, and Cop-01), and optimal (remaining sites). The primary reason for scores that were less than optimal was lower streamflows in the watershed. This resulted in limited velocity/depth regimes, as well as lower scores for channel flow status. These factors, combined with the presence of human fill activities, were significant contributors to the marginal designation for Elk-10.

Tables

Table 1.0 Habitat Assessment Scores - Standard Mine - September 2006

Habitat Parameter	Habitat Assessment Scores															SP-01 Reference
	Coal-00	Coal-05	Coal-10	Coal-Opp2	Coal-15	Coal-20	Coal-25	Elk-00	Elk-05	Elk-06	Elk-08	Elk-10	Elk-29	Cop-01	SP-00	
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	18	19	19	19	19	19	18	18	19	19	18	15	10	16	19	20
Embeddedness	18	9	18	18	18	17	17	19	19	19	16	3	19	7	18	20
Velocity/Depth Regime	13	14	19	19	19	15	14	14	10	10	10	10	9	4	10	10
Sediment Deposition	18	9	18	16	19	18	16	18	19	18	16	5	20	7	15	20
Channel Flow Status	8	14	16	17	14	16	18	8	16	16	8	8	9	5	10	17
Channel Alteration	12	19	13	17	19	19	19	19	20	18	19	11	19	19	19	20
Frequency of Riffles or Bends	18	18	17	15	18	19	14	18	18	19	18	11	5	5	18	19
Bank Stability																
<i>Left Bank</i>	9	6	8	9	9	9	7	9	9	6	6	3	3	8	10	9
<i>Right Bank</i>	8	8	9	9	9	9	7	9	10	8	6	3	5	7	9	9
Vegetative Protection																
<i>Left Bank</i>	9	8	9	9	10	10	9	9	10	9	7	3	4	9	7	10
<i>Right Bank</i>	9	8	9	7	10	10	9	9	10	9	7	4	4	9	8	10
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width																
<i>Left Bank</i>	6	10	7	9	10	10	8	8	9	9	9	3	9	9	10	9
<i>Right Bank</i>	7	2	9	8	8	9	7	10	9	8	9	3	9	8	9	9
Total Score	153	144	171	172	182	180	163	168	178	168	149	82	125	113	162	182

Notes:

Green Shading = Optimal habitat score
Blue Shading = Suboptimal habitat score
Yellow Shading = Marginal habitat score
Red Shading = Poor habitat score

Table 2.0 Classification and Ranking Methodology for Habitat Parameters Using Rapid Bioassessment Protocols - Standard Mine -September 2006

Habitat Characteristic	Description	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Relative quantity and variety of natural structures in the stream, such as cobble (riffles), large rocks, fallen trees, logs and branches, and undercut banks, available as refugia, feeding, or sites for spawning and nursery functions of aquatic organisms.	Score: 16-20 Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at a stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	Score: 11-15 40-70% mix of stable habitat, well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	Score: 6-10 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Score: 0-5 Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
Embeddedness	The extent to which rocks (gravel, cobble, and boulders) and snags are covered or sunken into the silt, sand, or mud of the stream bottom.	Score: 16-20 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Score: 11-15 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Score: 6-10 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Score 0-5 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
Velocity/Depth Regime	Patterns of velocity (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow).	Score: 16-20 All four velocity/depth regimes present. Slow is <0.3 meters/second, deep is >0.5 meters.	Score: 11-15 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes)	Score: 6-10 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low)	Score: 0-5 Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
Sediment Deposition	Measure of the amount of sediment that has accumulated in pools and the changes that have occurred to the stream bottom as a result of deposition.	Score: 16-20 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Score: 11-15 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Score: 6-10 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand, or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Score: 0-5 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
Channel Flow Status	Degree to which the channel is filled with water.	Score: 16-20 Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Score: 11-15 Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Score: 6-10 Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Score: 0-5 Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
Channel Alteration	Measure of large-scale changes in the shape of the stream channel (i.e., for flood control or irrigation, etc.).	Score: 16-20 Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Score: 11-15 Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization (i.e., dredging over 20 years ago) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Score: 6-10 Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40-80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Score: 0-5 Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
Frequency of Riffles or Bends	Measure of the sequence of riffles and thus the heterogeneity occurring in a stream.	Score: 16-20 Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Score: 11-15 Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Score: 6-10 Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15-25.	Score 0-5 Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio >25.
Bank Stability	Measure of whether the stream banks are eroded or have the potential for erosion (i.e., steep banks, etc.)	Score: 9-10 each bank Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Score: 6-8 each bank Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Score: 3-5 each bank Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Score: 0-2 each bank Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
Vegetative Protection	Measure of the amount of vegetative protection afforded to the stream bank and the near-stream portion of the riparian zone.	Score: 9-10 each bank More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	Score: 6-8 each bank 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Score: 3-5 each bank 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Score: 0-2 each bank Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
Riparian Vegetative Zone Width	Measure of the width of natural vegetation from the edge of the stream bank out through the riparian zone.	Score: 9-10 each bank Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, road beds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Score: 6-8 each bank Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Score: 3-5 each bank Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Score: 0-2 each bank Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.

Scoring Totals:
 Optimal: 155-200
 Suboptimal: 102-154
 Marginal: 49-101
 Poor: 0-48

This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

Photographs

Coal-00



Coal-05



Coal-10



Coal-Opp2



Coal-15



Coal-20



Coal-25



SP-00



SP-01



EIk-00



EIk-05



EIk-06



EIk-08



EIk-10



EIk-29



Cop-01



This page intentionally left blank to facilitate double-sided printing.

Appendix

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Coal Creek</u>	LOCATION <u>Coal Co</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Colucci</u>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>	DATE <u>9/11/04</u> TIME <u>10:15</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <u>508</u> <u>19</u> <u>18</u>	20 <u>(19)</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>(18)</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>13</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <u>(13)</u> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>(18)</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>8</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <u>(8)</u> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE <u>12</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	<u>12</u>	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10		<u>9</u>		8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10		9		<u>8</u>	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10		<u>9</u>		8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10		<u>9</u>		8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10		9		8	7	<u>6</u>			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10		9		8	<u>7</u>	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Coal Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>Coal Creek Coal-05</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Haver, J. Coleman</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY _____		DATE <u>8/11/06</u> TIME <u>1725</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <u>19</u>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). 20 <u>(19)</u> 18 17 16	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>9</u>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 19 18 17 16	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 <u>(9)</u> 8 7 6	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>14</u>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 19 18 17 16	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 <u>(14)</u> 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>9</u>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 <u>(9)</u> 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>14</u>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 <u>(14)</u> 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																															
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor																
6. Channel Alteration SCORE <u>19</u>	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																
																					20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) SCORE <u>19</u>	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																
																					20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE <u>6</u> (LB) SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																
																					Left Bank	10	9	8	7	<u>6</u>	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) SCORE <u>8</u> (LB) SCORE <u>8</u> (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																
																					Left Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>2</u> (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																
																					Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	<u>2</u>	1	0

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Coe / Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Co. 10</i>
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Allen, S. Calanni</i>	
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Allen</i>	DATE <i>7/11/06</i> TIME <i>1735</i> AM PM
REASON FOR SURVEY _____	

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). 20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 9 8 7 6	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>19</i>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). 10 9 8 7 6	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>18</i>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. 20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>16</i>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 19 18 17 <i>16</i>	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Dredging Activities Recently on lower portion

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE 13	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE 17	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
SCORE 8 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
SCORE 7 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Coal Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Coal opp L</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS _____		
FORM COMPLETED BY _____	DATE <i>7/12/02</i> TIME <i>08:50</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>16</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>17</i>	20 19 18 <i>17</i> 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.						Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement, over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE <u>17</u>	20	19	18	<u>17</u>	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.						Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE <u>15</u>	20	19	18	17	16	<u>15</u>	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.						Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
SCORE <u>7</u> (LB) SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.						70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB) SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
	Right Bank	10	9			8	<u>7</u>	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.						Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB) SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
	Right Bank	10	9			<u>8</u>	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Cool Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Cool-15</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, J. Colman</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>	DATE <i>9/12/06</i> TIME <i>0810</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>18</i>	20 <i>(18)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(9)</i> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>14</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 <i>(4)</i> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																								
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor									
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																								
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																								
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																								
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																								
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																								
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																								
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																									
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10					<u>9</u>					5 4 3					2 1 0									
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10					<u>9</u>					5 4 3					2 1 0									
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																								
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																								
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u>					9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0				
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u>					9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0				
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																								
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																								
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u>					9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0				
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u>					9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0				

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Coal Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Coal-20</i>
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer & P. Kauri</i>	
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>	DATE TIME <i>06 30</i> AM PM REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 (19) 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>17</i>	20 19 18 (17) 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>18</i>	20 (18) 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 (16) 15	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																																		
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor																			
6. Channel Alteration SCORE <u>19</u>	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement, over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																			
																					SCORE <u>19</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) SCORE <u>19</u>	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																			
																					SCORE <u>19</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. SCORE <u>9</u> (LB) SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																			
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																			
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) SCORE <u>10</u> (LB) SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																			
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Coal Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>Coal</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, J. Calamai</i>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>	DATE <i>11/12/06</i> TIME <i>0740</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>17</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>14</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
			15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																								
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor									
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																								
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.														
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
7. Frequency of Riffles (or heads)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																								
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.														
SCORE <u>14</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) <small>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</small>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																								
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.														
	SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																								
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.														
	SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																								
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.														
	SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>TR-CC</u>		LOCATION	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN	
STORET # _____		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Colwell</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE <u>8/11/06</u> TIME <u>11:55</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	SCORE <u>19</u>	20 <u>19</u> 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
SCORE <u>14</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 <u>14</u> 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.	
SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.	
SCORE <u>8</u>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <u>8</u> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																								
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor									
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	20 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0									
																					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.				
SCORE <u>14</u>																									
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	20 19 18 17 16					15 14 13 12 11					10 9 8 7 6					5 4 3 2 1 0									
																					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.				
SCORE <u>18</u>																									
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Left Bank 10					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0									
																					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.				
																					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.				
SCORE <u>1</u> (LB)																									
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)																									
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	Left Bank 10					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0									
																					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.				
																					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)																									
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)																									
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0									
																					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.				
																					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.				
SCORE <u>8</u> (LB)																									
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)																									

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION <i>ELR-05</i>	
STATION # _____	RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____	LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Buser, J. Calhoun</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Buser</i>		DATE <i>07/10/06</i> TIME <i>12:00</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16 Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	15 14 13 12 11 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10 9 8 7 6 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	2. Embeddedness SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	15 14 13 12 11 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	10 9 8 7 6 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime SCORE <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16 All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	15 14 13 12 11 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	<i>(10)</i> 9 8 7 6 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	4. Sediment Deposition SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>(19)</i> 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	15 14 13 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	5. Channel Flow Status SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>(16)</i> Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	15 14 13 12 11 Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	10 9 8 7 6 Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																																		
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor																			
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.																			
																					SCORE <u>20</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.																			
																					SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																			
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																			
																					SCORE <u>19</u> (LB)	Left Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank	<u>10</u>	9			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																			
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
																					SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>			8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION <i>21K 06</i>	
STATION # _____	RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____	LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, J. Coleman</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>		DATE <i>7/1/86</i> TIME <i>12:40</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16 Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	15 14 13 12 11 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10 9 8 7 6 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
2. Embeddedness SCORE <i>19</i>	20 <i>19</i> 18 17 16 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	15 14 13 12 11 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	10 9 8 7 6 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
3. Velocity/Depth Regime SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 16 All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m.)	15 14 13 12 11 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	10 9 8 7 6 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
4. Sediment Deposition SCORE <i>18</i>	20 19 <i>18</i> 17 16 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	15 14 13 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
5. Channel Flow Status SCORE <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>16</i> Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	15 14 13 12 11 Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	10 9 8 7 6 Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																								
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor									
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																								
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.														
SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	<u>18</u>	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																								
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.														
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																								
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.														
	SCORE <u>6</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	<u>6</u>	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	<u>6</u>	5	4	3	2	1	0
	SCORE <u>6</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	<u>6</u>	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	<u>6</u>	5	4	3	2	1	0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																								
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.														
	SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																								
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.														
	SCORE <u>1</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
SCORE <u>1</u> (RB)	Left Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>EIK Creek</u>	LOCATION <u>EIK CR.</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, J. Colangi</u>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>	DATE <u>7/11/06</u> TIME _____ AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <u>18</u>	20 19 <u>18</u> 17 16 Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient).	15 14 13 12 11 40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	10 9 8 7 6 20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
2. Embeddedness SCORE <u>16</u>	20 19 18 17 <u>16</u> 15 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	15 14 13 12 11 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	10 9 8 7 6 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
3. Velocity/Depth Regime SCORE <u>10</u>	20 19 18 17 16 All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	15 14 13 12 11 Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	<u>10</u> 9 8 7 6 Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	5 4 3 2 1 0 Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
4. Sediment Deposition SCORE <u>16</u>	20 19 18 17 <u>16</u> 15 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	15 14 13 12 11 Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	10 9 8 7 6 Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
5. Channel Flow Status SCORE <u>9</u>	20 19 18 17 16 Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	15 14 13 12 11 Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	10 9 <u>8</u> 7 6 Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	5 4 3 2 1 0 Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach
 1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover
 2. Embeddedness
 3. Velocity/Depth Regime
 4. Sediment Deposition
 5. Channel Flow Status

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																							
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor								
6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.						Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.								
	SCORE <u>19</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.						Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.								
	SCORE <u>18</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected. Note: determine left or right side facing downstream.						Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.								
	SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
	SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
		Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank) More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.						70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.								
	SCORE <u>7</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
	SCORE <u>7</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
		Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone) Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.						Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.								
	SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
	SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0											
		Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION <i>ELK 10</i>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN	
STORET #		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Auer, J. Colanni</i>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>		DATE TIME <i>5A 8/11/06</i> <i>12:10</i> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>15</i>	20 19 18 17 16	<i>15</i> 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>3</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 <i>0</i> 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>10</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<i>10</i> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>5</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	<i>5</i> 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>8</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 <i>8</i> 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE <u>11</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	<u>11</u>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE <u>11</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	<u>11</u>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) <small>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</small>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.																				
SCORE <u>3</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	<u>3</u>			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>3</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	<u>3</u>			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.																				
SCORE <u>3</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	<u>3</u>			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>4</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	<u>4</u>	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.																				
	Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.																				
SCORE <u>3</u> (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	<u>3</u>			2	1	0			
SCORE <u>3</u> (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	<u>3</u>			2	1	0			

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>ELK Creek</u>		LOCATION <u>SLK 29</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer, T. Calhoun</u>			
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>		DATE <u>7/10/06</u> TIME <u>1440</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
		Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).					20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.					Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.										
	SCORE <u>10</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.					Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.					Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.					Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.					
	SCORE <u>17</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)					Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).					Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).					Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).					
SCORE <u>9</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.					Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.					Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.					Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.						
SCORE <u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.					Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.					Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.					Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.						
SCORE <u>9</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	<u>14</u>	13	12	11	10	<u>9</u>	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE 19	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE 8	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE 3 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 5 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE 8 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 4 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Second high bar
to fact of human
at bridge

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Copley Outflow</i>	LOCATION <i>COP 01</i>
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____
INVESTIGATORS : <i>Auer, S. Calanni</i>	
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Auer</i>	DATE TIME <i>13:40</i> AM PM
	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>16</i>	20 19 18 17 <i>(16)</i>	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>7</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 <i>(7)</i> 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>4</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 <i>(4)</i> 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>7</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 <i>(7)</i> 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>5</i>	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	<i>(5)</i> 4 3 2 1 0

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
	SCORE 19	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
	SCORE 5	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) <small>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</small>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
	SCORE 8 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								
	SCORE 7 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
	SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								
	SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
	SCORE 9 (LB)	Left Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								
	SCORE 8 (RB)	Right Bank	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0								

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <u>Splains Gulch</u>	LOCATION <u>SP. CO</u>	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS <u>S. Auer J. Calanni</u>		
FORM COMPLETED BY <u>S. Auer</u>	DATE <u>7/2/06</u> TIME <u>0750</u> AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). SCORE <u>17</u>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	20 (19) 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <u>18</u>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	20 19 (18) 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <u>10</u>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10) 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <u>15</u>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	20 19 18 17 16	(15) 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <u>10</u>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	(10) 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
		Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
	SCORE 17	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
	SCORE 18	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
	Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
	SCORE 12 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
	SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
	SCORE 10 (LB) 7	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
	SCORE 9 (RB) 9	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
	SCORE 10 (LB)	Left Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
	SCORE 9 (RB)	Right Bank 10 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					

Total Score _____

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME <i>Splains Creek</i>	LOCATION <i>SA spot SP-01</i>
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS _____
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN _____
STORET # _____	AGENCY _____
INVESTIGATORS <i>S. Hume, J. Coleman</i>	
FORM COMPLETED BY <i>S. Hume</i>	DATE <i>9/11/06</i> TIME <i>10:50</i> AM PM
REASON FOR SURVEY _____	

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). SCORE <i>20</i>	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient). (20) 19 18 17 16	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). 15 14 13 12 11	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. 10 9 8 7 6	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. 5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. SCORE <i>20</i>	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. (20) 19 18 17 16	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. 15 14 13 12 11	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. 10 9 8 7 6	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment. 5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) SCORE <i>10</i>	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 19 18 17 16	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes). 15 14 13 12 11	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low). (10) 9 8 7 6	Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep). 5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. SCORE <i>20</i>	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. (20) 19 18 17 16	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. 15 14 13 12 11	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. 10 9 8 7 6	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. 5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. SCORE <i>17</i>	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. 20 (17) 18 17 16	Water fills >75% of the available channel, or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. 15 14 13 12 11	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. 10 9 8 7 6	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. 5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.																				
	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.																				
SCORE <u>20</u>	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.																				
	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.																				
SCORE <u>19</u>	20	<u>19</u>	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.																				
	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.																				
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 <u>9</u>					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 <u>9</u>					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.																				
	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.																				
SCORE <u>10</u> (LB)	Left Bank <u>10</u> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <u>10</u> (RB)	Right Bank <u>10</u> 9					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.																				
	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.																				
SCORE <u>9</u> (LB)	Left Bank 10 <u>9</u>					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					
SCORE <u>9</u> (RB)	Right Bank 10 <u>9</u>					8 7 6					5 4 3					2 1 0					

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Total Score _____