

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
THE STATE OF MISSOURI,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KELLWOOD COMPANY

Defendant.

CIVIL NO. 12-1216

CONSENT DECREE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. BACKGROUND 1

II. JURISDICTION 2

III. PARTIES BOUND 2

IV. DEFINITIONS 3

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS 6

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANT 7

VII. TECHNICAL IMPRACTICABILITY 11

VIII. REMEDY REVIEW 12

IX. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS 13

X. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS 14

XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 15

XII. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS, REPORTS, AND OTHER DELIVERABLES 16

XV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION 23

XVII. PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS 25

XVIII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE 27

XIX. FORCE MAJEURE 29

XX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION 30

XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES 32

XXII. COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFFS 35

XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS 39

XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION 40

XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION 41

XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS 42

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| XXVII. | NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS | 43 |
| XXVIII. | RETENTION OF JURISDICTION | 44 |
| XXIX. | APPENDICES | 45 |
| XXX. | COMMUNITY RELATIONS | 45 |
| XXXI. | MODIFICATION | 45 |
| XXXII. | LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT | 45 |
| XXXIII. | SIGNATORIES/SERVICE..... | 46 |
| XXXIV. | FINAL JUDGMENT | 46 |

I. BACKGROUND

A. The United States of America (“United States”), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), and the State of Missouri (the “State”), on behalf of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (“MDNR”), (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) have filed a complaint in this matter pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, and Section 260.500, *et seq.* RSMo.

B. The United States and the State in their complaint seek, *inter alia*: (1) the reimbursement of costs incurred by EPA and the United States Department of Justice (“DOJ”) for response actions at the Riverfront Superfund Site, Operable Units 2 and 6, located in and near the City of New Haven, Franklin County, Missouri, together with accrued interest; (2) performance of response actions by the defendant at the Site consistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (“NCP”); and (3) a declaratory judgment under Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), that Settling Defendant should pay the Plaintiffs’ future response costs at the Site.

C. In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the state of Missouri (the “State”) on December 5, 2011, of negotiations with the defendant regarding the implementation of the remedial design and remedial action for the Site, and EPA has provided the State with an opportunity to participate in such negotiations and be a party to this Consent Decree.

D. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), EPA notified the United States Department of the Interior on November 16, 2011, of negotiations with defendant regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to the natural resources under federal trusteeship and encouraged the trustee to participate in the negotiation of this Consent Decree. The State also notified the State Trustee.

E. The defendant that has entered into this Consent Decree (“Settling Defendant”) does not admit any liability to Plaintiff arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaints, nor does it acknowledge that the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site constitutes an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment.

F. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on December 1, 2000, 65 Fed. Reg. 75179.

G. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of hazardous substances at or from the Site, Settling Defendant commenced on March 16, 2004, a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (“RI/FS”) for the Site pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430.

H. Settling Defendant completed a Remedial Investigation (“RI”) Report and a Feasibility Study (“FS”) Report for the Site on June 9, 2011.

I. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for remedial action on August 4, 2010, in the *New Haven Leader*, a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which EPA based the selection of the response action.

J. The decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in a final Record of Decision (“ROD”), executed on May 13, 2011, on which the State has given its concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to public comments. Notice of the ROD was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(b).

K. Based on the information presently available to EPA and the State, EPA and the State believe that the Work will be properly and promptly conducted by Settling Defendant if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.

L. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j), the Remedial Action set forth in the ROD and the Work to be performed by Settling Defendant shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President for which judicial review shall be limited to the administrative record.

M. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, and 1367, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaints, Settling Defendant waives all objections and defenses that it may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendant shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court’s jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States, the State, and upon Settling Defendant and its successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real

or personal property, shall in no way alter Settling Defendant's responsibilities under this Consent Decree.

3. Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired to perform the Work required by this Consent Decree and to each person representing Settling Defendant with respect to the Site or the Work, and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant or its contractors shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that its contractors and subcontractors perform the Work in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with Settling Defendant within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Consent Decree, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply solely for purposes of this Consent Decree:

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 - 9675.

"Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXIX). In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and any appendix, this Consent Decree shall control.

"Day" or "day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. The term "working day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or state holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or state holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"DOJ" shall mean the United States Department of Justice and its successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities.

"Effective Date" shall mean the date upon which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court as recorded on the Court docket, or, if the Court instead issues an order approving the Consent Decree, the date such order is recorded on the Court docket.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities.

“EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund” shall mean the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507.

“Future Response Costs” shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other deliverables submitted pursuant to this Consent Decree, in overseeing implementation of the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VIII (Remedy Review), X (Access and Institutional Controls) (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access and/or to secure, implement, monitor, maintain, or enforce Institutional Controls including, but not limited to, the amount of just compensation), XVI (Emergency Response), Paragraph 53 (Funding for Work Takeover), and Section XXX (Community Relations). Future Response Costs shall include all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, (a) paid by the United States in connection with the Site between the date of lodging of this Consent Decree and the Effective Date, or (b) incurred prior to the Effective Date but paid after that date.

“Institutional Controls” or “ICs” shall mean Proprietary Controls and state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, zoning restrictions, or other governmental controls or notices that: (a) limit land, water, and/or resource use to minimize the potential for human exposure to Waste Material at or in connection with the Site; (b) limit land, water, and/or resource use to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the Remedial Action; and/or (c) provide information intended to modify or guide human behavior at or in connection with the Site.

“Interest” shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

“MDNR” shall mean the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and any successor departments, agencies, or instrumentalities of the State.

“NCP” shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

“Operation and Maintenance” or “O&M” shall mean all activities required to maintain the effectiveness of the Remedial Action as required under the Operation and Maintenance Plan approved or developed by EPA pursuant to Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant) and the SOW.

“Paragraph” shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper or lower case letter.

“Parties” shall mean the United States, the State of Missouri, and Settling Defendant.

“Past Response Costs” shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States paid at or in connection with the Site up to the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, plus Interest on all such costs that has accrued pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) through such date.

“Performance Standards” shall mean the cleanup standards and other measures of achievement of the goals of the Remedial Action, set forth in the ROD and the SOW and any modified standards established pursuant to this Consent Decree.

“Plaintiffs” shall mean the United States and the State of Missouri.

“Proprietary Controls” shall mean covenants running with the land that (a) limit land, water or resource use and/or provide access rights and (b) are created pursuant to common law or statutory law by an instrument that is recorded in the appropriate land records office.

“RCRA” shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 – 6992k (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

“Record of Decision” or “ROD” shall mean the EPA Record of Decision relating to the Site signed on May 13, 2011, by the Director of EPA Region 7’s Superfund Division and all attachments thereto. The ROD is attached as Appendix A.

“Remedial Action” shall mean all activities Settling Defendant is required to perform under the Consent Decree to implement the ROD, in accordance with the SOW, the EPA-approved Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans, and other plans approved by EPA, including implementation of Institutional Controls, until the Performance Standards are met, and excluding performance of the Remedial Design, O&M, and the activities required under Section XXVI (Retention of Records).

“Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plan” shall mean the documents developed pursuant to Paragraphs 10 and 11 (Phase 1 and 2 Remedial Design/Remedial Action) and approved by EPA and any modifications thereto.

“Remedial Design” shall mean those activities to be undertaken by Settling Defendant to develop final plans and/or specifications for the Remedial Action pursuant to the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans.

“Section” shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

“Settling Defendant” shall mean Kellwood Company, a Delaware corporation authorized to do business in Missouri.

“Site” shall mean the Riverfront Superfund Site, Operable Units 2 and 6, located in Franklin County, Missouri, and depicted generally on Figures 1-1 and 1-2 of the ROD.

“State” shall mean the State of Missouri and each department, agency and instrumentality of the State of Missouri, including MDNR, and any state natural resource trustee.

“Statement of Work” or “SOW” shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Design, Remedial Action, and O&M at the Site, as set forth in Appendix B to this Consent Decree and any modifications made in accordance with this Consent Decree.

“Supervising Contractor” shall mean the principal contractor retained by Settling Defendant to supervise and direct the implementation of the Work under this Consent Decree.

“Transfer” shall mean to sell, assign, convey, lease, mortgage, or grant a security interest in, or where used as a noun, a sale, assignment, conveyance, or other disposition of any interest by operation of law or otherwise.

“United States” shall mean the United States of America and each department, agency and instrumentality of the United States, including EPA, and any federal natural resource trustee.

“Waste Material” shall mean: (a) any “hazardous substance” under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (b) any “pollutant or contaminant” under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); and (c) any “hazardous substance” under Section 260.500(5) RSMo.

“Work” shall mean all activities and obligations Settling Defendant is required to perform under this Consent Decree and SOW, except the activities required under Section XXVI (Retention of Records).

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment by the design and implementation of response actions at the Site by Settling Defendant, to pay Past and Future Response Costs of the United States, and to resolve the claims of Plaintiffs against Settling Defendant as provided in this Consent Decree.

6. Commitments by Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall finance and perform the Work in accordance with this Consent Decree, the ROD, the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth in this Consent Decree or developed by Settling Defendant and approved by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, pursuant to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall pay the United States for Past Response Costs and Future Response Costs as provided in this Consent Decree.

7. Compliance With Applicable Law. All activities undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. Settling Defendant must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all federal and state environmental laws as set forth in the ROD and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be deemed to be consistent with the NCP.

8. Permits.

a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(e), and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-Site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Where any portion of the Work that is not on-Site requires a federal or state permit or approval, Settling Defendant shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

b. Settling Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit or approval referenced in Paragraph 8.a and required for the Work, provided that it has submitted timely and complete applications and taken all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

9. Settling Defendant's Supervising Contractor.

a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant), VIII (Remedy Review), IX (Quality Assurance, Sampling, and Data Analysis), X (Access and Institutional Controls), and XVI (Emergency Response) shall be under the direction and supervision of Settling Defendant's Supervising Contractor. Settling Defendant has selected the following as its Supervising Contractor.

Mark Raybuck
Parsons Corporation
40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350
Buffalo, New York 14202
Telephone: 716-541-0730
mark.raybuck@parsons.com

b. If EPA at any time disapproves of Settling Defendant's Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall propose a replacement Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State. Concurrent with the proposal of a replacement Supervising Contractor Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and the State in writing of the proposed replacement Supervising Contractor's qualifications. With respect to any contractor proposed to be replacement Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall demonstrate that the proposed contractor has a quality assurance system that complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, "Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs" (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), by submitting a copy of the proposed contractor's Quality Management Plan ("QMP"). The QMP should be prepared in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)" (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001, reissued May 2006) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or a notice that it does not disapprove regarding the proposed contractor. If at any time thereafter, Settling Defendant proposes to change a Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree.

c. If EPA disapproves a proposed replacement Supervising Contractor, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, which would be acceptable to it within 30 days after receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. Settling Defendant may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and the State of the name of the contractor selected within 21 days after its receipt of EPA's authorization to proceed.

d. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents Settling Defendant from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant may seek relief under Section XIX (Force Majeure).

10. Phase 1 Remedial Design/Remedial Action.

a. Within 90 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a work plan for the design of the Phase 1 Remedial Action at the Site (the "Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan"). The Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan shall provide for design of the remedy set forth in the ROD, in accordance with the SOW and for achievement of the Performance Standards and other requirements set forth in the ROD, this Consent Decree, and/or the SOW. Upon its approval by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Consent Decree. Concurrent with the submission of the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a Health and Safety Plan which

conforms to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.120.

b. The Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan shall include plans and schedules for implementation of all Phase 1 tasks identified in the SOW.

c. Upon approval of the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, Settling Defendant shall implement the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State all plans, reports, and other deliverables required under the approved Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the approved schedule for review and approval pursuant to Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables). Unless otherwise directed by EPA, Settling Defendant shall not commence further RD/RA activities at the Site prior to approval of the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan.

d. Within 60 days after completion of the work required by the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a Phase 1 RD/RA Completion Report.

e. Within 60 days of EPA's approval of the Phase 1 RD/RA Completion Report, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan.

f. The Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan shall include, at a minimum, the following: (1) plans and specifications; (2) Operation and Maintenance Plan; (3) Quality Assurance Project Plan updates to the Phase 1 work to the extent needed.

11. Phase 2 Remedial Design/Remedial Action.

a. The Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan shall provide for implementation of the second phase of the remedy set forth in the ROD and achievement of the Performance Standards, in accordance with this Consent Decree, the ROD, the SOW, and the plans and/or specifications developed in accordance with the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan and approved by EPA. Upon its approval by EPA, the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Consent Decree. At the same time as it submits the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a Health and Safety Plan for field activities required by the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan (if not previously covered in the Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan) which conforms to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.120.

b. The Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan shall include plans and schedules for implementation of the Phase 2 tasks identified in the SOW.

c. Upon approval of the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, Settling Defendant shall implement the activities required under the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State, for review and approval, all reports and other deliverables required under the

approved Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the approved schedule pursuant to Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables). Unless otherwise directed by EPA, Settling Defendant shall not commence Phase 2 activities prior to approval of the Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan.

12. Subject to Section VII (Technical Impracticability) of the Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall continue to implement the Remedial Action until the Performance Standards are achieved. Settling Defendant shall implement O&M for so long thereafter as is required by this Consent Decree.

13. Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans.

a. If EPA, after consultation with the State, determines that it is necessary to modify the work specified in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW to achieve and maintain the Performance Standards or to carry out and maintain the effectiveness of the remedy set forth in the ROD, and such modification is consistent with the scope of the remedy set forth in the ROD, then EPA may, after consultation with the State, issue such modification in writing and shall notify Settling Defendant of such modification. For the purposes of this Paragraph and Paragraphs 55 (Completion of the Remedial Action) and 56 (Completion of the Work) only, the "scope of the remedy set forth in the ROD" shall mean the actions set forth in Section 12 of the ROD, the SOW, and includes: (i) the recovery of dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs) in the land farm area of the Site; (ii) in situ chemical oxidation treatment of residual DNAPL contamination; (iii) evaluation of treatment well installation downgradient of the landfarm area; (iv) additional monitoring well installation; (v) groundwater monitoring; (vi) the provision of whole-house filtration devices to affected residences; and (vii) the imposition of appropriate institutional controls. If Settling Defendant objects to the modification it may, within 30 days after receipt of EPA's notification, seek dispute resolution under Paragraph 74 (Record Review).

b. The SOW and/or related work plans shall be modified: (i) in accordance with the modification issued by EPA, after consultation with the State; or (ii) if Settling Defendant invokes dispute resolution, in accordance with the final resolution of the dispute. The modification shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Consent Decree, and Settling Defendant shall implement all work required by such modification. Settling Defendant shall incorporate the modification into the Phase 1 or Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plans under Paragraph 10 or 11, as appropriate.

c. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise provided in and subject to paragraph 91 or 92 of this Consent Decree.

14. Nothing in this Consent Decree, the SOW, or the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the SOW and the Work Plans will achieve the Performance Standards.

15. Off-Site Shipment of Waste Material.

a. Settling Defendant may ship Waste Material from the Site to an off-Site facility only if it verifies, prior to any shipment, that the off-Site facility is operating in compliance with the requirements of Section 121(d)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(3), and 40 C.F.R. § 300.440, by obtaining a determination from EPA that the proposed receiving facility is operating in compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(3) and 40 C.F.R. § 300.440.

b. Settling Defendant may ship Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility only if, prior to any shipment, Settling Defendant provides written notice to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to EPA's Project Coordinator. This notice requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total quantity of all such shipments will not exceed ten cubic yards. The written notice shall include the following information, if available, the: (i) name and location of the receiving facility; (ii) type and quantity of Waste Material to be shipped; (iii) schedule for the shipment; and (iv) method of transportation. Settling Defendant also shall notify the state environmental official referenced above and EPA's Project Coordinator of any major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to a different out-of-state facility. Settling Defendant shall provide the written notice after the award of the contract for Remedial Action construction and before the Waste Material is shipped.

VII. TECHNICAL IMPRACTICABILITY

16. Settling Defendant may request that EPA, in consultation with the State, waive compliance with one or more of the Performance Standards set forth in the ROD and the SOW, based upon a demonstration that achievement of specific Performance Standards is technically impracticable from an engineering perspective. Such a request shall be submitted to EPA and the State in the form of a Technical Impracticability (TI) Evaluation Report, or other format acceptable to EPA, which is in accordance with any applicable EPA guidance on technical impracticability demonstrations or waivers.

17. Upon receipt of the TI Evaluation Report, EPA and the State will review and consider the information in the TI Evaluation Report, and any other relevant information, and EPA, after consultation with the State, will make a determination as to: (a) whether compliance with any of the Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) and/or the Performance Standards shall be waived; (b) whether alternative standards, if any, or other protective measures, if any, shall be established; and (c) whether any part of the Remedial Action shall be modified or terminated in whole or part.

18. Neither the request by Settling Defendant for a waiver nor the granting of a waiver of one or more Performance Standards by EPA pursuant to this Section shall relieve Settling Defendant of its obligation to: (a) continue to implement the Remedial Action until the time specified by EPA; (b) attain Performance Standards for any contaminants for which EPA has not specifically granted a waiver; and (c) complete any other obligation under this Consent Decree.

19. If modification of this Consent Decree or the SOW is required to implement EPA's decision, such modifications will be made, with court approval if necessary, in accordance with Section XXXI of this Consent Decree (Modification). Settling Defendant shall implement the modifications and achieve and maintain all Performance Standards, alternative standards or other protective measures established pursuant to this Section.

20. Any technical impracticability waiver that is granted pursuant to this Section shall be subject to the five-year-review requirement of Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c).

VIII. REMEDY REVIEW

21. Periodic Review. Subject to Settling Defendant's right to invoke Dispute Resolution, Settling Defendant shall conduct any studies and investigations that EPA, after consultation with the State, requests in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment at least every 5 years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations.

22. EPA Selection of Further Response Actions. If EPA determines, at any time, that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA and the NCP.

23. Opportunity To Comment. Settling Defendant and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(k)(2) or 9617, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.

24. Settling Defendant's Obligation To Perform Further Response Actions. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, selects further response actions for the Site, EPA may require Settling Defendant to perform such further response actions, but only to the extent that the reopener conditions in Paragraph 91 or Paragraph 92 (United States' Pre- and Post-Certification Reservations) are satisfied. Settling Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute: (a) EPA's determination that the reopener conditions of Paragraph 91 or Paragraph 92 are satisfied; (b) EPA's determination that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment; or (c) EPA's selection of further response actions. Disputes pertaining to whether the Remedial Action is protective or to EPA's selection of further response actions shall be resolved pursuant to Paragraph 74 (Record Review).

25. Submission of Plans. If Settling Defendant is required to perform further response actions pursuant to Paragraph 22, it shall submit a plan for such response action to EPA for approval, after consultation with the State, in accordance with the procedures of Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant). Settling Defendant shall implement the approved plan in accordance with this Consent Decree.

IX. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS

26. Quality Assurance.

a. Settling Defendant shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all samples in accordance with “EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/R5)” (EPA/240/B-01/003, March 2001, reissued May 2006), “Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans (QA/G-5)” (EPA/240/R-02/009, December 2002), and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon notification by EPA to Settling Defendant of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification.

b. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, a Quality Assurance Project Plan (“QAPP”) that is consistent with the SOW, the NCP, and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the QAPP(s) and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall ensure that EPA and State personnel and their authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by Settling Defendant in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Settling Defendant shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Settling Defendant shall ensure that the laboratories that it utilizes for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods consist of those methods that are documented in the “USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis, ILM05.4,” and the “USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis, SOM01.2,” and any amendments made thereto during the course of the implementation of this Consent Decree; however, upon approval by EPA, after opportunity for review and comment by the State, Settling Defendant may use other analytical methods that are as stringent as or more stringent than the CLP-approved methods. Settling Defendant shall ensure that all laboratories that it uses for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent quality assurance/quality control (“QA/QC”) program. Settling Defendant shall use only laboratories that have a documented Quality System that complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-1994, “Specifications and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs” (American National Standard, January 5, 1995), and “EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)” (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001, reissued May 2006) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA may consider laboratories accredited under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (“NELAP”) as meeting the Quality System requirements. Settling Defendant shall ensure that all field methodologies utilized in collecting samples for subsequent analysis pursuant to this Consent Decree are conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the QAPP approved by EPA.

27. Upon request, Settling Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA and the State or their authorized representatives. Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and the State not less than 28 days in advance of any sample collection activity unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA and the State shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA or the State deem necessary. Upon request, EPA and the State shall allow Settling Defendant to take split or duplicate samples of any samples that they take as part of Plaintiffs' oversight of Settling Defendant's implementation of the Work.

28. Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA by email, and to the State by email with 1 hard copy sent to it by mail, the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data obtained or generated by or on behalf of Settling Defendant with respect to the Site and/or the implementation of this Consent Decree unless EPA agrees otherwise.

29. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all of their information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statutes or regulations and Settling Defendant retains all of its rights to object to the United States' or the State's particular exercise of such authority and rights.

X. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

30. If the Site, or any other real property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed, is owned or controlled by persons other than Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant shall use best efforts to secure from such persons:

a. an agreement to provide access thereto for the United States, the State, and Settling Defendant, and their representatives, contractors and subcontractors, to conduct any activity regarding the Consent Decree including, but not limited to, the following activities;

- (i) Monitoring the Work;
- (ii) Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States;
- (iii) Conducting investigations regarding contamination at or near the Site;
- (iv) Obtaining samples;
- (v) Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing additional response actions at or near the Site;
- (vi) Assessing implementation of quality assurance and quality control practices as defined in the EPA-approved Quality Assurance Project Plans;
- (vii) Implementing the Work pursuant to the conditions set forth in Paragraph 96 (Work Takeover);
- (viii) Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendant or its agents, consistent with Section XXV (Access to Information);
- (ix) Assessing Settling Defendant's compliance with the Consent Decree;

- (x) Determining whether the Site or other real property is being used in a manner that is prohibited or restricted, or that may need to be prohibited or restricted under the Consent Decree; and
- (xi) Implementing, monitoring, maintaining, reporting on, and enforcing any Institutional Control.

31. For purposes of Paragraph 30, “best efforts” includes the payment of reasonable sums of money to obtain access. Settling Defendant shall promptly notify the United States in writing of its inability to obtain access to any property where the lack of access will impede Settling Defendant’s ability to perform the SOW, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that Settling Defendant has taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 30. The United States may, as it deems appropriate, assist Settling Defendant in obtaining access. Settling Defendant shall reimburse the United States under Section XVII (Payments for Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States in obtaining such access including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid or just compensation.

32. If EPA and/or the State determines that Institutional Controls in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, zoning restrictions, or other governmental controls are needed at or in connection with the Site, Settling Defendant shall cooperate with EPA’s and the State’s efforts to secure and ensure compliance with such governmental controls.

33. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of their rights to require Institutional Controls, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statute or regulations.

XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

34. In addition to any other requirement of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall submit to EPA by email, and to the State by email with 1 hard copy sent to it by mail, written monthly progress reports that: (a) describe the actions that have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous month; (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by Settling Defendant or its contractors or agents in the previous month; (c) identify all plans, reports, and other deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous month; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, that are scheduled for the next 6 weeks and provide other information relating to the progress of the Work; (e) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the work plans or other schedules that Settling Defendant has proposed to EPA or that have been approved by EPA; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the Community Involvement Plan during the previous quarter and those to be undertaken in the next 6 weeks. Settling Defendant shall submit these progress reports to EPA and the State by the 10th day of the month following the lodging of this Consent Decree for the first month and then

the 15th day of every month following the end of a reporting period until EPA notifies Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 56.b of Section XV (Certification of Completion). If requested by EPA or the State, Settling Defendant shall also provide briefings for EPA and the State to discuss the progress of the Work. EPA's Project Coordinator shall have the authority to reduce the frequency of the progress reporting (i.e., from monthly to quarterly or annually). Such a change in progress reporting frequency shall not constitute a modification of this Consent Decree or the SOW for the purposes of Paragraph 13 or Section XXXI (Modification).

35. Settling Defendant shall notify EPA and the State of changes in the schedule described in the monthly progress report, no later than 7 days prior to the performance of the activity.

36. Upon the occurrence of any event during the performance of the Work that Settling Defendant is required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act ("EPCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 11004, Settling Defendant shall, within 24 hours of the onset of such event, orally notify EPA's Project Coordinator or EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of EPA's Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither EPA's Project Coordinator nor EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator is available, the EPA Region 7 Spill Line at 913-281-0991. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103 or EPCRA Section 304.

37. Within 20 days after the onset of such an event, Settling Defendant shall furnish to EPA and the State a written report, signed by Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator, setting forth the events that occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within 30 days after the conclusion of such an event, Settling Defendant shall submit a report to EPA and the State setting forth the actions taken in response thereto.

38. Settling Defendant shall submit all plans, reports, data, and other deliverables required by the SOW, the Remedial Design Work Plan, the Remedial Action Work Plan, or any other approved plans to EPA and the State in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans.

39. All deliverables submitted by Settling Defendant to EPA and the State which purport to document Settling Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by an authorized representative of Settling Defendant.

XII. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS, REPORTS, AND OTHER DELIVERABLES

40. Initial Submissions.

a. After review of any plan, report, or other deliverable that is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, shall: (i) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (ii) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (iii) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission; or (iv) any combination of the foregoing.

b. EPA also may modify the initial submission to cure deficiencies in the submission if: (i) EPA determines, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that disapproving the submission and awaiting a resubmission would cause substantial disruption to the Work; or (ii) previous submission(s) have been disapproved due to material defects and the deficiencies in the initial submission under consideration indicate a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable plan, report, or deliverable.

41. Resubmissions. Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval under Paragraph 40.a.(iii) or (iv), or if required by a notice of approval upon specified conditions under Paragraph 40.a.(ii), Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days or such longer time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other deliverable for approval. After review of the resubmitted plan, report, or other deliverable, EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, may: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the resubmission; (b) approve the resubmission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the resubmission; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the resubmission, requiring Settling Defendant to correct the deficiencies; or (e) any combination of the foregoing.

42. Material Defects. If an initially submitted or resubmitted plan, report, or other deliverable contains a material defect, and the plan, report, or other deliverable is disapproved or modified by EPA under Paragraph 40.b.(ii) or 41 due to such material defect, then the material defect shall constitute a lack of compliance for purposes of Paragraph 79. The provisions of Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties regarding Settling Defendant's submissions under this Section.

43. Implementation. Upon approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA under Paragraph 40 (Initial Submissions) or 41 (Resubmissions), of any plan, report, or other deliverable, or any portion thereof: (a) such plan, report, or other deliverable, or portion thereof, shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Consent Decree; and (b) Settling Defendant shall take any action required by such plan, report, or other deliverable, or portion thereof, subject only to their right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by EPA. The implementation of any non-deficient portion of a plan, report, or other deliverable submitted or resubmitted under Paragraph 40 or 41 shall not relieve Settling Defendant of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

XIII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

44. Settling Defendant, in Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendant) above, has designated its Supervising Contractor, who will also serve as Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator for this Consent Decree.

45. EPA has designated Jeff Field of EPA Region 7's Superfund Division as its Project Coordinator under this Consent Decree. Except as otherwise directed by this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall direct all submissions required by this Consent Decree to Mr. Field using the following contact information:

Jeff Field
SUPR/MOKS
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7
901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, Kansas 66101
Telephone: 913-551-7548
field.jeff@epa.gov

46. The State has designated Evan Kifer of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Hazardous Waste Program as its Project Coordinator under this Consent Decree. Except as otherwise directed by this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall direct all submissions required by this Consent Decree to Mr. Kifer using the following contact information:

Evan Kifer
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Hazardous Waste Division
Superfund Section
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
Telephone: 573-751-1990
evan.kifer@dnr.mo.gov

47. If a Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least 5 working days before the change occurs, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall be subject to disapproval by EPA, after consultation with the State, and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall not be an attorney for Settling Defendant in this matter. He or she may assign other representatives, including other contractors, to serve as a Site representative for oversight of the performance of daily operations during remedial activities.

48. Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA and State employees, and federal and State contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager and an On-Scene Coordinator by the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the NCP, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when he or she determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.

XIV. PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE

49. In order to ensure the full and final completion of the Work, Settling Defendant shall establish and maintain a performance guarantee, initially in the amount of \$5,920,000, for the benefit of EPA (hereinafter "Estimated Cost of the Work"). The performance guarantee, which must be satisfactory in form and substance to EPA, shall be in the form of one or more of the following mechanisms (provided that, if Settling Defendant intends to use multiple mechanisms, such multiple mechanisms shall be limited to surety bonds guaranteeing payment, letters of credit, trust funds, and insurance policies):

a. A surety bond unconditionally guaranteeing payment and/or performance of the Work that is issued by a surety company among those listed as acceptable sureties on federal bonds as set forth in Circular 570 of the U.S. Department of the Treasury;

b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit, payable to or at the direction of EPA, that is issued by one or more financial institution(s) (i) that has the authority to issue letters of credit and (ii) whose letter-of-credit operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

c. A trust fund established for the benefit of EPA that is administered by a trustee (i) that has the authority to act as a trustee and (ii) whose trust operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

d. A policy of insurance that (i) provides EPA with acceptable rights as a beneficiary thereof; and (ii) is issued by an insurance carrier (a) that has the authority to issue insurance policies in the applicable jurisdiction(s) and (b) whose insurance operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

e. A demonstration by Settling Defendant that it meets the financial test criteria of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) with respect to the Estimated Cost of the Work (plus the amount(s) of any other federal or any state environmental obligations financially assured through the use of a financial test or guarantee), provided that all other requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) are met to EPA's satisfaction; or

f. A written guarantee to fund or perform the Work executed in favor of EPA by one or more of the following: (i) a direct or indirect parent company of Settling Defendant, or (ii) a company that has a "substantial business relationship" (as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 264.141(h)) with Settling Defendant; provided, however, that any company providing such a guarantee must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EPA that it satisfies the financial test and reporting requirements for owners and operators set forth in subparagraphs (1) through (8) of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) with respect to the Estimated Cost of the Work (plus the amount(s) of any other federal or any state environmental obligations financially assured through the use of a financial test or guarantee) that it proposes to guarantee hereunder.

50. Settling Defendant has selected, and EPA has found satisfactory, as an initial performance guarantee an irrevocable letter of credit pursuant to Paragraph 49.b. Within 50 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall execute or otherwise finalize all instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding in a form satisfactory to EPA, and such performance guarantee(s) shall thereupon be fully effective. Within 60 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding to EPA in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).

51. If, at any time after the Effective Date and before issuance of the Certification of Completion of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 56, Settling Defendant provides a performance guarantee for completion of the Work by means of a demonstration or guarantee pursuant to Paragraph 49.e or 49.f, Settling Defendant shall also comply with the other relevant requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) relating to these mechanisms unless otherwise provided in this Consent Decree, including but not limited to: (a) the initial submission of required financial reports and statements from the relevant entity's chief financial officer ("CFO") and independent certified public accountant ("CPA"), in the form prescribed by EPA in its financial test sample CFO letters and CPA reports available at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/policies/cleanup/superfund/fa-test-samples.pdf>; (b) the annual resubmission of such reports and statements within 90 days after the close of each such entity's fiscal year; and (c) the prompt notification of EPA after each such entity determines that it no longer satisfies the financial test requirements set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f)(1) and in any event within 90 days after the close of any fiscal year in which such entity no longer satisfies such financial test requirements. For purposes of the performance guarantee mechanisms specified in this Section XIV, references in 40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart H, to "closure," "post-closure," and "plugging and abandonment" shall be deemed to include the Work; the terms "current closure cost estimate," "current post-closure cost estimate," and "current plugging and abandonment cost estimate" shall be deemed to include the Estimated Cost of the Work; the terms "owner" and "operator" shall be deemed to refer to Settling Defendant; and the terms "facility" and "hazardous waste facility" shall be deemed to include the Site.

52. In the event that EPA determines at any time that a performance guarantee provided by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Section is inadequate or otherwise no longer satisfies the requirements of this Section, whether due to an increase in the estimated cost of completing the Work or for any other reason, or in the event that Settling Defendant becomes aware of information indicating that a performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section is inadequate or otherwise no longer satisfies the requirements of this Section, whether due to an increase in the estimated cost of completing the Work or for any other reason, Settling Defendant, within 30 days after receipt of notice of EPA's determination or, as the case may be, within 30 days after Settling Defendant becoming aware of such information, shall obtain and present to EPA for approval a proposal for a revised or alternative form of performance guarantee listed in Paragraph 49 that satisfies all requirements set forth in this Section XIV; provided, however, that if Settling Defendant cannot obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee within such 30-day period, and provided further that Settling Defendant shall have commenced to obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee within such 30-day period, and

thereafter diligently proceeds to obtain the same, EPA will extend such period for such time as is reasonably necessary for Settling Defendant in the exercise of due diligence to obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee, such additional period not to exceed 30 days.

53. Funding for Work Takeover. The commencement of any Work Takeover pursuant to Paragraph 96 shall trigger EPA's right to receive the benefit of any performance guarantee(s) provided pursuant to Paragraphs 49.a, 49.b, 49.c, 49.d, or 49.f, and at such time EPA shall have immediate access to resources guaranteed under any such performance guarantee(s), whether in cash or in kind, as needed to continue and complete the Work assumed by EPA under the Work Takeover. Upon the commencement of any Work Takeover, if (a) for any reason EPA is unable to promptly secure the resources guaranteed under any such performance guarantee(s), whether in cash or in kind, necessary to continue and complete the Work assumed by EPA under the Work Takeover, or (b) in the event that the performance guarantee involves a demonstration of satisfaction of the financial test criteria pursuant to Paragraph 49.e or Paragraph 49.f(ii), Settling Defendant (or in the case of Paragraph 49.f (ii), the guarantor) shall immediately upon written demand from EPA deposit into a special account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund or such other account as EPA may specify, in immediately available funds and without setoff, counterclaim, or condition of any kind, a cash amount up to but not exceeding the estimated cost of completing the Work as of such date, as determined by EPA. In addition, if at any time EPA is notified by the issuer of a performance guarantee that such issuer intends to cancel the performance guarantee mechanism it has issued, then, unless Settling Defendant provides a substitute performance guarantee mechanism in accordance with this Section XIV no later than 30 days prior to the impending cancellation date, EPA shall be entitled (as of and after the date that is 30 days prior to the impending cancellation) to draw fully on the funds guaranteed under the then-existing performance guarantee. All EPA Work Takeover costs not reimbursed under this Paragraph shall be reimbursed under Section XVII (Payments for Response Costs).

54. Modification of Amount and/or Form of Performance Guarantee.

a. Reduction of Amount of Performance Guarantee. If Settling Defendant believes that the estimated cost of completing the Work has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 49, Settling Defendant may, on any anniversary of the Effective Date, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, petition EPA in writing to request a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section so that the amount of the performance guarantee is equal to the estimated cost of completing the Work. Settling Defendant shall submit a written proposal for such reduction to EPA that shall specify, at a minimum, the estimated cost of completing the Work and the basis upon which such cost was calculated. In seeking approval for a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, Settling Defendant shall follow the procedures set forth in Paragraph 54.b(ii) for requesting a revised or alternative form of performance guarantee, except as specifically provided in this Paragraph 54.a. If EPA decides to accept Settling Defendant's proposal for a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, either to the amount set forth in Settling Defendant's written proposal or to some other amount as selected by EPA, EPA will notify Settling Defendant of such decision in writing. Upon EPA's acceptance of a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, the Estimated Cost of the Work shall be deemed to be the estimated cost of completing the Work set forth in EPA's written decision. After receiving EPA's written decision, Settling Defendant may reduce

the amount of the performance guarantee in accordance with and to the extent permitted by such written acceptance and shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding in accordance with Paragraph 54.b.(ii). In the event of a dispute, Settling Defendant may reduce the amount of the performance guarantee required hereunder only in accordance with a final administrative or judicial decision resolving such dispute pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution). No change to the form or terms of any performance guarantee provided under this Section, other than a reduction in amount, is authorized except as provided in Paragraphs 52 or 54.b.

b. Change of Form of Performance Guarantee.

i) If, after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant desires to change the form or terms of any performance guarantee(s) provided pursuant to this Section, Settling Defendant may, on any anniversary of the Effective Date, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, petition EPA in writing to request a change in the form or terms of the performance guarantee provided hereunder. The submission of such proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee shall be as provided in Paragraph 54.b.(ii). Any decision made by EPA on a petition submitted under this Paragraph shall be made in EPA's sole and unreviewable discretion, and such decision shall not be subject to challenge by Settling Defendant pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this Consent Decree or in any other forum.

ii) Settling Defendant shall submit a written proposal for a revised or alternative performance guarantee to EPA that shall specify, at a minimum, the estimated cost of completing the Work, the basis upon which such cost was calculated, and the proposed revised performance guarantee, including all proposed instruments or other documents required in order to make the proposed performance guarantee legally binding. The proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee must satisfy all requirements set forth or incorporated by reference in this Section. Settling Defendant shall submit such proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee to EPA in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions). EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of its decision to accept or reject a revised or alternative performance guarantee submitted pursuant to this Paragraph. Within 10 days after receiving a written decision approving the proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee, Settling Defendant shall execute and/or otherwise finalize all instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding in a form substantially identical to the documents submitted to EPA as part of the proposal, and such performance guarantee(s) shall thereupon be fully effective. Settling Defendant shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding to EPA within 30 days after receiving a written decision approving the proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).

c. Release of Performance Guarantee. Settling Defendant shall not release, cancel, or discontinue any performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section except as provided in this Paragraph. If Settling Defendant receives written notice from EPA in accordance with Paragraph 56 that the Work has been fully and finally completed in accordance with the

terms of this Consent Decree, or if EPA otherwise so notifies Settling Defendant in writing, Settling Defendant may thereafter release, cancel, or discontinue the performance guarantee(s) provided pursuant to this Section. In the event of a dispute, Settling Defendant may release, cancel, or discontinue the performance guarantee(s) required hereunder only in accordance with a final administrative or judicial decision resolving such dispute pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

XV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

55. Completion of the Remedial Action.

a. Within 90 days after Settling Defendant concludes that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved, Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendant, EPA, and the State. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Settling Defendant still believes that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved, it shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to the State, for review and comment, pursuant to Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables) within 30 days after the inspection. In the report, a registered professional engineer and Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of Settling Defendant or Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after completion of the pre-certification inspection and receipt and review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, determines that the Remedial Action or any portion thereof has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree or that the Performance Standards have not been achieved, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete the Remedial Action and achieve the Performance Standards, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendant to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedy set forth in the ROD," as that term is defined in Paragraph 13.a. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval, after a reasonable

opportunity for review and comment by the State, pursuant to Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables). Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established pursuant to this Paragraph, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent report requesting Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Remedial Action has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree and that the Performance Standards have been achieved, EPA will so certify in writing to Settling Defendant. This certification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action for purposes of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Section XXII (Covenants by Plaintiffs). Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action shall not affect Settling Defendant's remaining obligations under this Consent Decree.

56. Completion of the Work.

a. Within 90 days after Settling Defendant concludes that all phases of the Work, other than any remaining activities required under Section VIII (Remedy Review), have been fully performed, Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendant, EPA, and the State. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Settling Defendant still believes that the Work has been fully performed, Settling Defendant shall submit a written report by a registered professional engineer stating that the Work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The report shall contain the statement set forth in Paragraph 55.a, signed by a responsible corporate official of Settling Defendant or Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator. If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, determines that any portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete the Work, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendant to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedy set forth in the ROD," as that term is defined in Paragraph 13.a. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree and the SOW or require Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, pursuant to Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables). Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion of the Work by Settling Defendant and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Work has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify Settling Defendant in writing.

XVI. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

57. If any action or occurrence during the performance of the Work that causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Settling Defendant shall, subject to Paragraph 58, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall immediately notify EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if EPA's Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these persons is available, Settling Defendant shall report such act or occurrence to EPA Region 7's Spill Line at 913-281-0991. Settling Defendant shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA or the State takes such action instead, Settling Defendant shall reimburse EPA and the State for all costs of the response action under Section XVII (Payments for Response Costs).

58. Subject to Section XXII (Covenants by Plaintiffs), nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States, or the State, (a) to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, or (b) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site.

XVII. PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS

59. Payment by Settling Defendant for Past Response Costs. Following the Effective Date, EPA will send to Settling Defendant a bill for Past Response Costs requiring payment that includes an itemized cost summary. Settling Defendant shall pay such bill within 30 days after its receipt of such bill, except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 62. Payment shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 61.a (Payment Instructions). The total amount to be paid by Settling Defendant pursuant to this Paragraph shall be deposited by EPA in the Riverfront Superfund Site Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

60. Payments by Settling Defendant for Future Response Costs. Settling Defendant shall pay to EPA all Future Response Costs not inconsistent with the NCP.

a. On a periodic basis, EPA will send to Settling Defendant a bill requiring payment that includes an itemized cost summary. Settling Defendant shall make all payments within 30 days after its receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 62, in accordance with Paragraph 61.b (Payment Instructions).

b. The total amount to be paid by Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 60.a shall be deposited by EPA in the Riverfront Superfund Site Special Account to be retained

and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

c. To the extent that Future Response Costs are covered through EPA's access to the performance guarantee under Section XIV or funding of the Work Takeover (paragraph 53), such Future Response Costs shall not be eligible for reimbursement under this provision.

61. Payment Instructions.

a. Instructions for Past Response Costs Payments. All payments required elsewhere in this Consent Decree, to be made in accordance with this Paragraph 61.a shall be made at <https://www.pay.gov> to the U.S. Department of Justice account, in accordance with instructions provided to Settling Defendant by the Financial Litigation Unit ("FLU") of the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Missouri after the Effective Date. The payment instructions provided by the Financial Litigation Unit shall include a Consolidated Debt Collection System ("CDCS") number, which shall be used to identify all payments required to be made in accordance with this Consent Decree. The FLU shall provide the payment instructions to:

Steven J. Poplawski, Esq.
Bryan Cave LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 3600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2750
Telephone: 314-259-2610
sjpoplawski@bryancave.com

on behalf of Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant may change the individual to receive payment instructions on their behalf by providing written notice of such change in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).

b. Instructions for Future Response Costs Payments and Stipulated Penalties. All payments required elsewhere in this Consent Decree, to be made in accordance with this Paragraph 61.b shall be made by Fedwire EFT to:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York
ABA = 021030004
Account = 68010727
SWIFT address = FRNYUS33
33 Liberty Street
New York NY 10045
Field Tag 4200 of the Fedwire message should read "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency"

c. Instructions for All Payments. All payments made under Paragraph 61.a or 61.b shall reference the CDCS Number, EPA Site/Spill ID Number 07PY and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-08795/1. At the time of any payment required to be made in accordance with Paragraphs 61.a or 61.b, Settling Defendant shall send notice that payment has been made to the United

States, and to EPA, in accordance with Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions), and to the EPA Cincinnati Finance Office by email at acctreceiveable.cinwd@epa.gov. Such notice shall also reference the CDCS Number, Site/Spill ID Number, and DOJ Case Number.

62. Settling Defendant may contest any costs billed under this Section if it determines that EPA has made a mathematical error or included a cost item that is not within the definition of Past Response Costs or Future Response Costs, or if it believes EPA incurred excess costs as a direct result of an EPA action that was inconsistent with a specific provision or provisions of the NCP or this Consent Decree. Such objection shall be made in writing within 30 days after Settling Defendant's receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States. Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, Settling Defendant shall pay all uncontested costs to the United States within 30 days after Settling Defendant's receipt of the bill requiring payment. Settling Defendant shall send to the United States, as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested costs. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, Settling Defendant shall initiate the Dispute Resolution procedures in Section XX (Dispute Resolution). If the United States prevails in the dispute, Settling Defendant shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States within 5 days after the resolution of the dispute. If Settling Defendant prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, Settling Defendant shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which it did not prevail to the United States within 5 days after the resolution of the dispute. All payments to the United States under this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 61.b and 61.c (Payment Instructions). The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Settling Defendant's obligation to reimburse the United States for its costs.

63. **Interest.** In the event that any payment for Past Response Costs or for Future Response Costs required under this Section is not made by the date required, Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid shall begin to accrue on the date of the bill. The Interest shall accrue through the date of Settling Defendant's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments under this Section including, but not limited to, payment of stipulated penalties pursuant to Paragraph 78.

XVIII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

64. Settling Defendant's Indemnification of the United States and the State.

a. The United States and the State do not assume any liability by entering into this Consent Decree or by virtue of any designation of Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Settling Defendant shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States, the State, and their officials, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, and representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of

Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Further, Settling Defendant agrees to pay the United States and the State all costs that they incur including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made against the United States or the State based on negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the United States nor the State shall be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Settling Defendant in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither Settling Defendant nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States or the State.

b. The United States and the State shall give Settling Defendant notice of any claim for which the United States or the State plans to seek indemnification pursuant to Paragraph 64, and shall consult with Settling Defendant prior to settling such claim.

65. Settling Defendant covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States and the State for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or the State, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, Settling Defendant shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and the State with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.

66. No later than 15 days before commencing any on-Site Work, Settling Defendant shall secure, and shall maintain commercial general liability insurance with limits of \$3 million dollars, for any one occurrence, and automobile liability insurance with limits of \$3 million dollars, combined single limit, naming the United States and the State as additional insureds with respect to all liability arising out of the activities performed by or on behalf of Settling Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendant shall satisfy, or shall ensure that their contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of Settling Defendant in furtherance of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days of receipt of written request from EPA or the State, but no more often than once in any calendar year, provide to EPA and the State certificates of such insurance and/or a copy of each insurance policy, as requested by EPA or the State. Settling Defendant shall resubmit such certificates and copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the Effective Date. If Settling Defendant demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA and the State that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to

that contractor or subcontractor, Settling Defendant need provide only that portion of the insurance described above that is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

XIX. FORCE MAJEURE

67. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of Settling Defendant, of any entity controlled by Settling Defendant, or of Settling Defendant's contractors that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree despite Settling Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that Settling Defendant exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure and best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure as it is occurring and following the potential force majeure such that the delay and any adverse effects of the delay are minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force majeure" does not include financial inability to complete the Work or a failure to achieve the Performance Standards.

68. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree for which Settling Defendant intends or may intend to assert a claim of force majeure, Settling Defendant shall notify EPA's Project Coordinator orally or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the EPA Region 7 Spill Line at 913-281-0991, within 24 hours of when Settling Defendant first knew that the event could cause a delay. Within 3 days thereafter, Settling Defendant shall provide in writing to EPA and the State an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; Settling Defendant's rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of Settling Defendant, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health or welfare, or the environment. Settling Defendant shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting its claim that the delay was attributable to a force majeure. Settling Defendant shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which Settling Defendant, any entity controlled by Settling Defendant, or Settling Defendant's contractors knew or should have known. Failure to comply with the above requirements regarding an event shall preclude Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of force majeure regarding that event, provided, however, that if EPA, despite the late notice, is able to assess to its satisfaction whether the event is a force majeure under Paragraph 67 and whether Settling Defendant has exercised its best efforts under Paragraph 67, EPA may, in its unreviewable discretion, excuse in writing Settling Defendant's failure to submit timely notices under this Paragraph.

69. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force majeure, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure will be extended by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State,

does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of its decision. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, agrees that the delay is attributable to a force majeure, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure.

70. If Settling Defendant elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution), it shall do so no later than 15 days after receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that Settling Defendant complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 67 and 68. If Settling Defendant carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by Settling Defendant of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA, the State and the Court.

XX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

71. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes regarding this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States to enforce obligations of Settling Defendant that have not been timely disputed in accordance with this Section.

72. Any dispute regarding this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 20 days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.

73. Statements of Position.

a. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA, after consultation with the State, shall be considered binding unless, within 20 days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, Settling Defendant invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States and the State a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify Settling Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 74 (Record Review) or Paragraph 75.

b. Within 20 days after receipt of Settling Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA, after consultation with the State, will serve on Settling Defendant its Statement of Position,

including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 74 (Record Review) or 75. Within 20 days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, Settling Defendant may submit a Reply.

c. If there is disagreement between EPA, the State and Settling Defendant as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 74 (Record Review) or 75, the parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if Settling Defendant ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 74 (Record Review) and 75.

74. Record Review. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation, the adequacy, or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree, and the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendant regarding the validity of the ROD's provisions.

a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all statements of position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental statements of position by the parties to the dispute.

b. The Director of EPA Region 7's Superfund Division, or his or her designee, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 74.a. This decision shall be binding upon Settling Defendant, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraphs 74.c and 74.d.

c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 74.b. shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial review of the decision is filed by Settling Defendant with the Court and served on all Parties within 10 days after receipt of EPA's decision. The motion shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's motion.

d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Director of EPA Region 7's Superfund Division or his or her designee, is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise is not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 74.a.

75. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be governed by this Paragraph.

a. Following receipt of Settling Defendant's Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 73 the Director of EPA Region 7's Superfund Division, or his or her designee, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The decision of the Superfund Division Director, or his or her designee, shall be binding on Settling Defendant unless, within 10 days after receipt of the decision, Settling Defendant files with the Court and serves on the parties a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's motion.

b. Notwithstanding Paragraph L of Section I (Background), judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.

76. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 84. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that Settling Defendant does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES

77. Settling Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 78 and 79 to the United States for failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree specified below, unless excused under Section XIX (Force Majeure) or otherwise waived by the United States. "Compliance" by Settling Defendant shall include completion of all payments and activities required under this Consent Decree, or any plan, report, or other deliverable approved under this Consent Decree, in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the SOW, and any plans, reports, or other deliverables approved under this Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree.

78. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Work (Including Payments and Excluding Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables).

a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for any noncompliance identified in Paragraph 78.b:

| <u>Penalty Per Violation Per Day</u> | <u>Period of Noncompliance</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| \$250 | 1st through 30th day |
| \$1,000 | 31st day and beyond |

b. Compliance Milestones.

- (i) Implementation of the EPA-approved Phase 1 RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the SOW and the approved schedule therein;
- (ii) Implementation of the EPA-approved Phase 2 RD/RA Work Plan in accordance with the SOW and the approved schedule contained therein;
- (iii) Completion of the Remedial Action required under this Consent Decree, the ROD and SOW;
- (iv) Establishing and maintaining the performance guarantee(s) as required by Section XIV of this Consent Decree;
- (v) Procuring and maintaining comprehensive general liability insurance as required by Section XVIII of this Consent Decree;
- (vi) Complying with the Supervising Contractor requirements of Paragraph 9 of this Consent Decree;
- (vii) Implementing further response actions or any modification to the SOW pursuant to Sections VI, VII, VIII, or IX of this Consent Decree;
- (viii) Timely paying all monies required to be paid pursuant to this Consent Decree in accordance with Section XVII;
- (ix) Seeking EPA approval and timely recording, in the appropriate land records office, all applicable Institutional or Proprietary Controls as required in this Consent Decree, the ROD, the SOW, and/or any EPA-approved work plans.

79. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Plans, Reports, and other Deliverables. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for failure to submit timely or adequate reports or other plans or deliverables pursuant to this Consent Decree:

| <u>Penalty Per Violation Per Day</u> | <u>Period of Noncompliance</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| \$100 | 1st through 30th day |
| \$500 | 31st day and beyond |

80. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 96 (Work Takeover), Settling Defendant shall be liable for a stipulated penalty in the amount of \$500,000. Stipulated penalties under this Paragraph are in addition to the remedies available under Paragraphs 53 (Funding for Work Takeover) and 96 (Work Takeover).

81. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, stipulated penalties shall

not accrue: (a) with respect to a deficient submission under Section XII (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after EPA's receipt of such submission until the date that EPA notifies Settling Defendant of any deficiency; (b) with respect to a decision by the Director of EPA Region 7's Superfund Division, under Paragraph 74.b or 75.a of Section XX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 21st day after the date that Settling Defendant's reply to EPA's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (c) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

82. Following EPA's determination that Settling Defendant has failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, EPA may give Settling Defendant written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. EPA may send Settling Defendant a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified Settling Defendant of a violation.

83. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the United States within 30 days after Settling Defendant's receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless Settling Defendant invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XX (Dispute Resolution) within the 30-day period. All payments to the United States under this Section shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 61.b and 61.c (Payment Instructions).

84. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 81 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:

a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement of the Parties or by a decision of EPA that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owed shall be paid to EPA within 15 days after the agreement or the receipt of EPA's decision or order;

b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States prevails in whole or in part, Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA within 60 days after receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Paragraph 84.c;

c. If the District Court's decision is appealed by any Party, Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owed to the United States into an interest-bearing escrow account, established at a duly chartered bank or trust company that is insured by the FDIC, within 60 days after receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 days. Within 15 days after receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA or to Settling Defendant to the extent that it prevails.

85. If Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties when due, Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid stipulated penalties as follows: (a) if Settling Defendant has timely invoked dispute resolution such that the obligation to pay stipulated penalties has been stayed pending the outcome of dispute resolution, Interest shall accrue from the date stipulated penalties are due pursuant to Paragraph 84 until the date of payment; and (b) if Settling Defendant fails to timely invoke dispute resolution, Interest shall accrue from the date of demand under Paragraph 83 until the date of payment. If Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties and Interest when due, the United States may institute proceedings to collect the penalties and Interest.

86. The payment of penalties and Interest, if any, shall not alter in any way Settling Defendant's obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent Decree.

87. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or the State to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of Settling Defendant's violation of this Consent Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(I) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(I), provided, however, that the United States shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(I) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is provided in this Consent Decree, except in the case of a willful violation of this Consent Decree.

88. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree.

XXII. COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFFS

89. Covenants for Settling Defendant by United States. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that will be made by Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 91, 92, and 94, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA relating to the Site. Except with respect to future liability, these covenants shall take effect upon the receipt by EPA of the payments required by Paragraph 59 (Payments for Past Response Costs) and any Interest or stipulated penalties due thereon under Paragraph 63 (Interest) or Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties). With respect to future liability, these covenants shall take effect upon Certification of Completion of Remedial Action by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 55.b of Section XV (Certification of Completion). These covenants are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants extend only to Settling Defendant and do not extend to any other person.

90. Covenants for Settling Defendant by the State. In consideration of the actions that will be performed by Settling Defendant under this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 91 and 92, the State covenants not to sue or to take administrative action

against Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA or Section 260.530, *et seq.* RSMo related to the Site. Except with respect to future liability, these covenants shall take effect upon Certification of Completion of Remedial Action by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 55.b of Section XV (Certification of Completion). These covenants shall take effect upon the receipt by EPA of the payments required by Paragraph 51 (Payments for Past Response Costs) and any Interest or stipulated penalties due thereon under Paragraph 55 (Interest) or Section XX (Stipulated Penalties). These covenants are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants extend only to Settling Defendant and do not extend to any other person.

91. United States' and the State's Pre-certification Reservations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order, seeking to compel Settling Defendant to perform further response actions relating to the Site and/or to pay the United States and/or the State for additional costs of response if, (a) prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, (i) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA and/or the State, are discovered, or (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part, and (b) EPA and/or the State determine that these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicates that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health or the environment.

92. United States' and the State's Post-certification Reservations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action or to issue an administrative order, seeking to compel Settling Defendant to perform further response actions relating to the Site and/or to pay the United States and/or the State for additional costs of response if, (a) subsequent to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, (i) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA and/or the State, are discovered, or (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part, and (b) EPA and/or the State determine that these previously unknown conditions or this information together with other relevant information indicate that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health or the environment.

93. For purposes of Paragraph 91, the information and the conditions known to EPA and/or the State will include only that information and those conditions known to EPA and/or the State as of the date the ROD was signed and set forth in the Record of Decision for the Site and the administrative record supporting the Record of Decision. For purposes of Paragraph 92, the information and the conditions known to EPA and/or the State shall include only that information and those conditions known to EPA and/or the State as of the date of Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action and set forth in the Record of Decision, the administrative record supporting the Record of Decision, the post-ROD administrative record, or in any information received by EPA and/or the State pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action.

94. General Reservations of Rights of the United States. The United States reserves and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to all matters not expressly included within Plaintiffs' covenants. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against Settling Defendant with respect to:

- a. liability for failure by Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
- b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Site;
- c. liability based on the ownership of the Site by Settling Defendant when such ownership commences after signature of this Consent Decree by Settling Defendant;
- d. liability based on the operation of the Site by Settling Defendant when such operation commences after signature of this Consent Decree by Settling Defendant and does not arise solely from Settling Defendant's performance of the Work;
- e. liability based on Settling Defendant's transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Site, other than as provided in the ROD, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Consent Decree;
- f. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
- g. criminal liability;
- h. liability for violations of federal or state law that occur during or after implementation of the Work; and
- i. liability, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve and maintain Performance Standards or to carry and maintain the effectiveness of the remedy set forth in the ROD, but that cannot be required pursuant to Section 13 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans).

95. General Reservations of Rights of the State. Except as specifically provided in this Consent Decree, nothing herein shall limit the power and authority of the State to take, direct, or order all actions necessary to protect public health, welfare or the environment, or to prevent, abate or minimize an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants or hazardous or solid waste on, at, or from the Site. Further, except as specifically provided in this Consent Decree, nothing herein shall prevent the State from seeking legal or equitable relief to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree, from taking other legal or equitable action as it deems appropriate and necessary or to reimburse the State for any injury to its natural

resources pursuant to CERCLA, Section 260.500, *et seq.* RSMo, or any other applicable law, including the common law of public nuisance. Except as provided in this Consent Decree, the State reserves the right to bring an action against Settling Defendant under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607 and/or Section 260.530 RSMo for recovery of any response costs incurred by the State related to this Site and not reimbursed by the Settling Defendant. The State reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Settling Defendant with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to:

- a. liability for failure by Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
- b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Site;
- c. liability based on the ownership of the Site by Settling Defendant when such ownership commences after signature of this Consent Decree by Settling Defendant;
- d. liability based on the operation of the Site by Settling Defendant when such operation commences after signature of this Consent Decree by Settling Defendant and does not arise solely from Settling Defendant's performance of the Work;
- e. liability based on Settling Defendant's transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Site, other than as provided in the ROD, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Consent Decree;
- f. liability for damages for injuries to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resources assessments;
- g. criminal liability; and
- h. liability for violations of federal or state law that occur during or after implementation of the Work;
- i. liability, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve and maintain Performance Standards or to carry and maintain the effectiveness of the remedy set forth in the ROD, but that cannot be required pursuant to Section 13 (Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans).

96. Work Takeover.

- a. In the event that EPA determines that Settling Defendant has (i) ceased implementation of any portion of the Work, or (ii) is seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in its performance of the Work, or (iii) is implementing the Work in a manner that may cause an

endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may issue a written notice (“Work Takeover Notice”) to Settling Defendant. Any Work Takeover Notice issued by EPA will specify the grounds upon which such notice was issued and will provide Settling Defendant a period of 10 days within which to remedy the circumstances giving rise to EPA’s issuance of such notice.

b. If, after expiration of the 10-day notice period specified in Paragraph 96.a, Settling Defendant has not remedied to EPA’s satisfaction the circumstances giving rise to EPA’s issuance of the relevant Work Takeover Notice, EPA may at any time thereafter assume the performance of all or any portion(s) of the Work as EPA deems necessary (“Work Takeover”). EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing (which writing may be electronic) if EPA determines that implementation of a Work Takeover is warranted under this Paragraph. Funding of Work Takeover costs is addressed in Paragraph 53.

c. Settling Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Paragraph 74 (Record Review), to dispute EPA’s implementation of a Work Takeover under Paragraph 96.b. However, notwithstanding Settling Defendant’s invocation of such dispute resolution procedures, and during the pendency of any such dispute, EPA may in its sole discretion commence and continue a Work Takeover under Paragraph 96.b until the earlier of (i) the date that Settling Defendant remedies, to EPA’s satisfaction, the circumstances giving rise to EPA’s issuance of the relevant Work Takeover Notice, or (ii) the date that a final decision is rendered in accordance with Paragraph 74 (Record Review) requiring EPA to terminate such Work Takeover.

97. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANT

98. Covenants by Settling Defendant. Subject to the reservations in Paragraph 100, Settling Defendant covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States or the State with respect to the Site and this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:

a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113 or any other provision of law;

b. any claims against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113, RCRA Section 7002(a), 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a), or state law regarding the Site, the Work, past response actions regarding the Site, Past Response Costs, Future Response Costs and this Consent Decree; or

c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Site, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the State Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law.

99. Except as provided in Paragraph 106 (Res Judicata and Other Defenses), the covenants in this Section shall not apply if the United States or the State brings a cause of action or issues an order pursuant to any of the reservations in Section XXII (Covenants by Plaintiffs), other than in Paragraphs 94.a and 95.a (claims for failure to meet a requirement of the Decree), 94.g and 95.g (criminal liability), and 94.h and 95.h (violations of federal/state law during or after implementation of the Work), but only to the extent that Settling Defendant's claims arise from the same response action, response costs, or damages that the United States or the State is seeking pursuant to the applicable reservation.

100. Settling Defendant reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, and brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA or RCRA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA or RCRA, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States, as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671, while acting within the scope of his or her office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, the foregoing shall not include any claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of Settling Defendant's plans, reports, other deliverables or activities.

101. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION

102. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, pursuant to Section 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto. Nothing in this Consent Decree diminishes the right of the United States, pursuant to Section 113(f)(2) and (3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2)-(3), to pursue any such persons to obtain additional response costs or response action and to enter into settlements that give rise to contribution protection pursuant to Section 113(f)(2).

103. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that this Consent Decree constitutes a judicially-approved settlement for purposes of Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and that Settling Defendant is entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, or as may be otherwise provided by law, for "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Consent Decree are all response actions taken or to be taken and all response costs incurred or to be incurred, at or in connection with the Site, by the United States or any other person; provided, however, that if the United States exercises rights

against Settling Defendant under the reservations in Section XXII (Covenants by Plaintiffs), other than in Paragraphs 94.a and 95.a (claims for failure to meet a requirement of the Decree), 94.g and 95.g (criminal liability), or 94.h and 95.h (violations of federal/state law during or after implementation of the Work), the “matters addressed” in this Consent Decree will no longer include those response costs or response actions that are within the scope of the exercised reservation.

104. Settling Defendant shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought by it for matters related to this Consent Decree, notify the United States and the State in writing no later than 60 days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.

105. Settling Defendant shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought against it for matters related to this Consent Decree, notify in writing the United States and the State within 10 days after service of the complaint on Settling Defendant. In addition, Settling Defendant shall notify the United States and the State within 10 days after service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 days after receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.

106. Res Judicata and Other Defenses. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendant shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXII (Covenants by Plaintiffs).

XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

107. Settling Defendant shall provide to EPA and the State, upon request, copies of all records, reports, documents, and other information (including records, reports, documents, and other information in electronic form) (hereinafter referred to as “Records”) within its possession or control or that of its contractors or agents relating to activities at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information regarding the Work. Settling Defendant shall also make available to EPA and the State, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, its employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work.

108. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. Settling Defendant may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the Records submitted to Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Records determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection

specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies Records when they are submitted to EPA and the State, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendant that the Records are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B, the public may be given access to such Records without further notice to Settling Defendant.

b. Settling Defendant may assert that certain Records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing Records, it shall provide Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the Record; (ii) the date of the Record; (iii) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or firm), and address of the author of the Record; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the contents of the Record; and (vi) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendant. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a Record, the Record shall be provided to the United States in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. Settling Defendant shall retain all Records that it claims to be privileged until the United States has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendant's favor.

c. No Records created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree shall be withheld from the United States or the State on the grounds that they are privileged or confidential.

109. No claim of confidentiality or privilege shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

110. Until 10 years after Settling Defendant's receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph 56.b (Certification of Completion of the Work), Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all non-identical copies of Records (including Records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or that come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to its liability under CERCLA with respect to the Site, provided, however, that any Settling Defendant who is potentially liable as an owner or operator of the Site must retain, in addition, all Records that relate to the liability of any other person under CERCLA with respect to the Site. Settling Defendant must also retain, and instruct its contractors and agents to preserve, for the same period of time specified above, all non-identical copies of the last draft or final version of any Records (including Records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or that come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work, provided, however, that Settling Defendant (and its contractors and agents) must retain, in addition, copies of all data generated during the performance of the Work and not contained in the aforementioned Records required to be retained. Each of the above record retention requirements shall apply regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.

111. At the conclusion of this record retention period, Settling Defendant shall notify the United States and the State at least 90 days prior to the destruction of any such Records, and, upon request by the United States or the State, Settling Defendant shall deliver any such Records to EPA or the State. Settling Defendant may assert that certain Records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege, it shall provide Plaintiffs with the following: (a) the title of the Record; (b) the date of the Record; (c) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or firm), and address of the author of the Record; (d) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (e) a description of the subject of the Record; and (f) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendant. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a Record, the Record shall be provided to the United States in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. Settling Defendant shall retain all Records that it claims to be privileged until the United States has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendant's favor. However, no Records created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged or confidential.

112. Settling Defendant certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any Records (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since the earlier of notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

XXVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

113. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified in this Section shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, the State, and Settling Defendant, respectively. Notices required to be sent to EPA, and not to the United States, under the terms of this Consent Decree should not be sent to the U.S. Department of Justice.

As to the United States:

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044-7611
Re: DJ # 90-11-2-08795/1

With a copy to EPA

As to EPA

Jeffrey Field
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7
901 North 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101
field.jeff@epa.gov

As to the State:

Evan Kifer
State Project Manager
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Hazardous Waste Program
Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Phone: 573-751-1990
FAX: 573-751-7869
evan.kifer@dnr.mo.gov

As to Settling Defendant:

Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator
Mark Raybuck
Parsons Corporation
40 La Riviere Drive, Suite 350
Buffalo, New York 14202
Telephone: 716-541-0730
mark.raybuck@parsons.com

With a copy to

Steven J. Poplawski, Esq.
Bryan Cave LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 3600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2750
Telephone: 314-259-2610
sjpoplawski@bryancave.com

XXVIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

114. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent Decree and Settling Defendant for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

XXIX. APPENDICES

115. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree:

“Appendix A” is the ROD.

“Appendix B” is the SOW.

XXX. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

116. If requested by EPA or the State, Settling Defendant shall participate in community involvement activities pursuant to the community relations plan developed by EPA regarding the Site. EPA will determine the appropriate role for Settling Defendant under the Plan. Settling Defendant shall also cooperate with EPA and the State in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA or the State, Settling Defendant shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings that may be held or sponsored by EPA or the State to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

117. Costs incurred by the United States under this Section regarding the Site, including the costs of any technical assistance grant under Section 117(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(e) regarding the Site, shall be considered Future Response Costs that Settling Defendant shall pay pursuant to Section XVII (Payments for Response Costs).

XXXI. MODIFICATION

118. Except as provided in Paragraph 13 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans), material modifications to this Consent Decree, including the SOW, shall be in writing, signed by the United States and Settling Defendant, and shall be effective upon approval by the Court. Except as provided in Paragraph 13 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans), non-material modifications to this Consent Decree, including the SOW, shall be in writing and shall be effective when signed by duly authorized representatives of the United States and Settling Defendant. All modifications to the Consent Decree, other than the SOW, also shall be signed by the State, or a duly authorized representative of the State, as appropriate. A modification to the SOW shall be considered material if it fundamentally alters the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(c)(2)(ii). Before providing its approval to any modification to the SOW, the United States will provide the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification.

119. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court’s power to enforce, supervise, or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

XXXII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

120. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.

§ 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations that indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendant consents to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

121. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

XXXIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

122. Each of the undersigned representatives of Settling Defendant, and the Deputy Section Chief of the Environmental Enforcement Section of the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice and the Assistant Attorney General for the State certify that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

123. Settling Defendant agrees not to oppose entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified Settling Defendant in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.


124. Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on its behalf with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendant agrees to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons. Settling Defendant need not file an answer to the complaint in this action unless or until the Court expressly declines to enter this Consent Decree.

XXXIV. FINAL JUDGMENT

125. This Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties regarding the settlement embodied in the Consent Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree.

126. Upon entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States, the State, and Settling Defendant. The Court enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS 24th DAY OF August, 2012.


United States District Judge