

The Role of Modeling Given Uncertainty

Nikita Pavlenko

**Fuel Program Lead, International Council on Clean
Transportation**

EPA Workshop on Biofuel GHG Modeling,
March 1st 2022

Sources of Uncertainty in Biofuel LCA

Types of Uncertainty

- Uncertainty is inherent within modeling for both direct and indirect LCA
 - **Aleatory Uncertainty**—Inherent randomness of a system
 - **Epistemic Uncertainty**—Data and knowledge gaps
- LCA guidance (ISO 14040) recommends sensitivity analysis to evaluate the robustness of the results

Uncertainty in Direct LCA

- Most LCA relies on a mix of collected LCI data *and* assumptions + modeled data
- Subject to year-to-year & regional variation
- Data gaps may require assumptions for parameters

Crop Production

- Fertilizer application
- Yield
- N₂O Emissions
- Chemical application
- Fossil fuel input
- SOC Change

Logistics

- Distribution distance
- Distribution mode

Fuel Production


- Yield
- Co-product displacement
- Fossil fuel input

Fuel Distribution

- Distribution distance
- Distribution mode


Uncertainty in Direct LCA

- Sensitivity analysis identifies which parameters & assumptions have largest impact on results
- Identify impacts of decisions on allocation
- Can be used to inform further research & data collection
- Can inform the likely range of outcomes




Uncertainty in ILUC

- Greater reliance on modeling and assumptions than direct LCA
- Extremely sensitive to parameters & assumptions (i.e., decision uncertainty)
- Impacted by model choice, scenario design, analytical scope



Uncertainty in ILUC


- Greater reliance on modeling and assumptions than direct LCA
- Extremely sensitive to parameters & assumptions (i.e., decision uncertainty)
- Impacted by model choice, scenario design, analytical scope



GLOBIOM ILUC Sensitivity Analysis; Adapted from ICAO CORSIA LCA Methodology, 2019

Beyond Existing Models

- Effects outside scope of many existing models
- Displacement & substitution effects
- Rebound effects
- These effects are often tied to behavioral assumptions (e.g., demand response)



Making Sense of Uncertain Results

- Decide what range of outcomes is acceptable for policy (i.e., an uncertainty standard)
- Evaluate the range of results for a given pathway
 - Assess sensitivity analyses; identify key sources of parametric uncertainty & data needs
 - Where possible, compare trends across different models (e.g., ICAO CORSIA process)
 - Assess the risk of indirect effects outside of existing models

Using Modeling Results to Inform Biofuel Policy

LCFS-Style GHG Accounting

- Inherent assumption of precision; policy value associated with incremental GHG reductions
- Greater reliance on collected data; site-specific inputs
- Typically combines direct, site-specific LCA factors with a single ILUC emission factor

GHG Reduction thresholds

- Lower threshold implies greater certainty of modeling results
- Higher threshold may exclude some low-CI pathways, in exchange for greater certainty

Policy	GHG Reduction Threshold	Scope
ICAO CORSIA	10%	Direct + Indirect
US RFS2	20%-60% by category	Direct + Indirect
EU RED II	50-65% by facility date	Direct only

Other Eligibility Requirements

- “High-ILUC” risk exclusions (EU RED II, proposed Canada Clean Fuel Standard)
- Regulatory impact assessment may be used to assess consequential effects and identify high-risk pathways
- Based on trends identified in modeling, not necessarily specific LCA values

Concluding Remarks

- LCA models provide valuable information, but are not necessarily definitive
- Identifying trends and risk areas just as important to LCA as specific emissions estimates
- Policy design can incentivize biofuels with greater **certainty** of GHG reductions

Questions?

Contact Nik at n.pavlenko@theicct.org

The logo for the International Council on Clean Transportation (icct). It features the lowercase letters 'icct' in a bold, white, sans-serif font. The letter 'i' has a small white circle above it, resembling a dot or a small wheel. The letters 'c', 'c', and 't' are connected and have a consistent thickness.

THE INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL
ON CLEAN TRANSPORTATION

San Francisco ●

Mexico City ○

Bogotá ○

● São Paulo

★ Washington, DC
(headquarters)

● Berlin

● New Delhi

● Beijing

○ Jakarta

