TSCA section 6(b)(4) requires EPA to conduct a risk evaluation to determine whether a chemical

#### 1 Trichloroethylene (TCE)

## 2 5. UNREASONABLE RISK DETERMINATION

3 4

substance presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without 5 6 consideration of costs or other non-risk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially 7 exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified by EPA as relevant to this Risk Evaluation, 8 under the conditions of use. 9 10 EPA has determined that Trichloroethylene (TCE) presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health under the conditions of use. This determination is based on the information in previous 11 sections of the Risk Evaluation, the appendices and supporting documents of TCE, in accordance 12 with TSCA section 6(b), as well as TSCA's best available science (TSCA section 26(h)) and 13 14 weight of scientific evidence standards (TSCA section 26(i)), and relevant implementing 15 regulations in 40 CFR part 702. 16 17 The full list of conditions of use evaluated for TCE are listed in Tables 1-3 and 1-4 of the risk evaluation (Ref. 1). EPA's unreasonable risk determination for TCE is driven by risks associated 18 19 with the following conditions of use, considered singularly or in combination with other 20 exposures: 21 Manufacturing: domestic manufacture • 22 • Manufacturing: import 23 • Processing: processing as a reactant/intermediate • Processing: incorporation into a formulation, mixture or reaction product 24 • Processing: incorporation into articles 25 • Processing: repackaging 26 • Processing: recycling 27 28 • Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for open-top batch vapor degreasing 29 Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for closed-loop batch vapor degreasing • • Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for in-line conveyorized vapor degreasing 30 Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for in-line web cleaner vapor degreasing 31 • 32 Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for cold cleaning • 33 • Industrial and commercial use as a solvent for aerosol spray degreaser/cleaner and mold 34 release 35 • Industrial and commercial use as a lubricant and grease in tap and die fluid 36 Industrial and commercial use as a lubricant and grease in penetrating lubricant • Industrial and commercial use as an adhesive and sealant in solvent-based adhesives and 37 • 38 sealants; tire repair cement/sealer; mirror edge sealant Industrial and commercial use as a functional fluid in heat exchange fluid 39 •

• Industrial and commercial use in paints and coatings as a diluent in solvent-based paints 40 41 and coatings 42 • Industrial and commercial use in cleaning and furniture care products in carpet cleaner 43 and wipe cleaning 44 • Industrial and commercial use in laundry and dishwashing products in spot remover 45 Industrial and commercial use in arts, crafts, and hobby materials in fixatives and • 46 finishing spray coatings 47 • Industrial and commercial use in corrosion inhibitors and anti-scaling agents 48 Industrial and commercial use in processing aids in process solvent used in battery • 49 manufacture; process solvent used in polymer fabric spinning, fluoroelastomer 50 manufacture and Alcantara manufacture; extraction solvent used in caprolactam 51 manufacture; precipitant used in beta-cyclodextrin manufacture 52 Industrial and commercial use as ink, toner and colorant products in toner aid • 53 Industrial and commercial use in automotive care products in brake parts cleaner • 54 Industrial and commercial use in apparel and footwear care products in shoe polish • Industrial and commercial use in hoof polish; gun scrubber; pepper spray; other 55 • miscellaneous industrial and commercial uses 56 57 • Consumer use as a solvent in brake and parts cleaner 58 • Consumer use as a solvent in aerosol electronic degreaser/cleaner 59 • Consumer use as a solvent in liquid electronic degreaser/cleaner 60 • Consumer use as a solvent in aerosol spray degreaser/cleaner Consumer use as a solvent in liquid degreaser/cleaner 61 • 62 Consumer use as a solvent in aerosol gun scrubber • 63 Consumer use as a solvent in liquid gun scrubber • 64 Consumer use as a solvent in mold release • 65 Consumer use as a solvent in aerosol tire cleaner • 66 • Consumer use as a solvent in liquid tire cleaner 67 Consumer use as a lubricant and grease in tap and die cleaner • 68 • Consumer use as a lubricant and grease in penetrating lubricant • Consumer use as an adhesive and sealant in solvent-based adhesives and sealants 69 70 • Consumer use as an adhesive and sealant in mirror edge sealant 71 • Consumer use as an adhesive and sealant in tire repair cement/sealer 72 Consumer use as a cleaning and furniture care product in carpet cleaner • 73 Consumer use as a cleaning and furniture care product in aerosol spot remover • 74 Consumer use as a cleaning and furniture case product in liquid spot remover • 75 • Consumer use in arts, crafts, and hobby materials in fixative and finishing spray coatings 76 • Consumer use in apparel and footwear products in shoe polish 77 • Consumer use in fabric spray 78 • Consumer use in film cleaner 79 Consumer use in hoof polish • 80 • Consumer use in toner aid 81 Disposal •

- 82
- 83

84 EPA will initiate risk management for TCE by applying one or more of the requirements under

85 TSCA section 6(a) to the extent necessary so that TCE no longer presents an unreasonable risk.

86 Under TSCA section 6(a), EPA is not limited to regulating the specific activities found to drive

87 unreasonable risk and may select from among a suite of risk management options related to

88 manufacture, processing, distribution in commerce, commercial use, and disposal in order to

address the unreasonable risk. For instance, EPA may regulate upstream activities (e.g.,

90 processing, distribution in commerce) in order to address downstream activities driving

91 unreasonable risk (e.g., consumer use) even if the upstream activities are not unreasonable risk
92 drivers.

### 93 **5.1 Background**

94 95

#### 5.1.1. Background on Policy Changes Relating to the Whole Chemical Risk Determination and Assumption of PPE Use by Workers

96 From June 2020 to January 2021, EPA published risk evaluations on the first ten chemical
97 substances, including for TCE in November 2020. The risk evaluations included individual
98 unreasonable risk determinations for each condition of use evaluated. The determinations that
99 particular conditions of use did not present an unreasonable risk were issued by order under
100 TSCA section 6(i)(1).

101

In accordance with Executive Order 13990 ("Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis") and other Administration priorities (Refs. 2, 3, 4, and 5), EPA reviewed the risk evaluations for the first ten chemical substances to ensure that they meet the requirements of TSCA, including conducting decision-making in a manner that is consistent with the best available science, and weight of the scientific evidence.

107

108 As a result of this review, EPA announced plans to revise specific aspects of certain of the first

109 ten risk evaluations in order to ensure that the risk evaluations appropriately identify

110 unreasonable risks and thereby can help ensure the protection of health and the environment

111 (Ref. 6). To that end, EPA is reconsidering two key aspects of the risk determinations for TCE

112 published in November 2020. First, EPA proposes that the appropriate approach to these

113 determinations is to make an unreasonable risk determination for TCE as a whole chemical

substance, rather than making unreasonable risk determinations separately on each individual

115 condition of use evaluated in the risk evaluation. Second, EPA proposes that the risk

116 determination shall explicitly state that it does not rely on assumptions regarding the use of

117 personal protective equipment (PPE) in making the unreasonable risk determination under TSCA

section 6; rather, the use of PPE will be considered during risk management. Making

119 unreasonable risk determinations based on the baseline scenario without assuming PPE should

120 not be viewed as an indication that EPA believes there are no occupational safety protections in

121 place at any location or that there is widespread noncompliance with applicable OSHA

122 standards. EPA understands that there could be occupational safety protections in place at

123 workplace locations; however, not assuming use of PPE reflects EPA's recognition that

- 124 unreasonable risk may exist for subpopulations of workers that may be highly exposed because
- they are not covered by OSHA standards, or their employers are out of compliance with OSHA
- standards, or because many of OSHA's chemical-specific permissible exposure limits largely
- adopted in the 1970's are described by OSHA as being "outdated and inadequate for ensuring
- 128 protection of worker health."<sup>1</sup>
- 129

130 Separately, EPA is conducting a screening approach to assess potential risks from the air and

- 131 water pathways for several of the first 10 chemicals, including this chemical. For TCE the 132 exposure pathways that were or could be regulated under other EPA administered statutes were
- exposure pathways that were or could be regulated under other EPA administered statutes were excluded from the final risk evaluation for this chemical. This resulted in the ambient air and
- ambient water pathways for TCE not being assessed. The goal of the recently-developed
- 135 screening approach is to remedy this exclusion and to identify if there are risks that were
- 136 unaccounted for in the TCE risk evaluation. While this analysis is underway, EPA is not
- 137 incorporating the screening-level approach into this draft revised unreasonable risk
- determination. If the results suggest there is additional risk, EPA will determine if the risk
- 139 management approaches being contemplated for TCE will protect against these risks, or if the
- 140 risk evaluation will need to be formally supplemented or revised.
- 141
- 142 Further discussion of the rationale for the whole chemical approach is found in the Federal
- 143 Register notice in the docket accompanying this revised TCE unreasonable risk determination
- 144 and further discussion of the proposed decision to not rely on assumptions regarding the use of
- 145 PPE is provided in the Federal Register Notice and in section 5.2.4 below. With respect to the
- 146 TCE risk evaluation, EPA did not amend, nor does a whole chemical approach or change in
- 147 assumptions regarding PPE require amending, the underlying scientific analysis of the risk
- 148 evaluation in the risk characterization section of the risk evaluation.
- 149
- 150 With regard to the specific circumstances of TCE, as further explained below, EPA proposes that
- a whole chemical is appropriate for TCE in order to protect health and the environment. The
- 152 whole chemical approach is appropriate for TCE, because there are benchmark exceedances for
- 153 multiple conditions of use (spanning across most aspects of the chemical lifecycle–from
- 154 manufacturing (including import), processing, commercial and consumer use, and disposal) for
- 155 health, and the health effects associated with TCE exposures are irreversible. Because these
- 156 chemical-specific properties cut across the conditions of use within the scope of the risk
- evaluation and a substantial amount of the conditions of use drive the unreasonable risk, it is
- 158 therefore appropriate for the Agency to make a determination that the whole chemical presents
- an unreasonable risk. As explained in the Federal Register Notice, the revisions to the
- 160 unreasonable risk determination would be based on the existing risk characterization section of
- 161 the risk evaluation (section 4 of this risk evaluation) and do not involve additional technical or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As noted on OSHA's Annotated Table of Permissible Exposure Limits: "OSHA recognizes that many of its permissible exposure limits (PELs) are outdated and inadequate for ensuring protection of worker health. Most of OSHA's PELs were issued shortly after adoption of the Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) Act in 1970, and have not been updated since that time" (Ref. 7).

- 162 scientific analysis. The discussion of the issues in this draft revision to the risk determination
- 163 supersedes any conflicting statements in the prior TCE risk evaluation (November 2020) and the
- 164 response to comments document (Summary of External Peer Review and Public Comments and
- Disposition for Trichloroethvlene (TCE), November 2020). In addition, as discussed below in 165
- Section 5.2.4., in making this risk determination, EPA believes it is appropriate to evaluate the 166
- 167 levels of risk present in baseline scenarios where PPE is not assumed to be used by workers.
- EPA is revising the assumption for TCE that workers always or properly use PPE, although the 168 169 Agency does not question the information received regarding the occupational safety practices
- often followed by industry respondents. EPA also views the peer reviewed hazard and exposure
- 170 171 assessments and associated risk characterization as robust and upholding the standards of best
- 172 available science and weight of the scientific evidence, per TSCA sections 26(h) and (i).
- 173
- 174

#### 5.1.2 Background on Unreasonable Risk Determination

175 In each risk evaluation under TSCA section 6(b), EPA determines whether a chemical substance 176 presents an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, under the conditions of use. 177 The unreasonable risk determination does not consider costs or other non-risk factors. In making the unreasonable risk determination, EPA considers relevant risk-related factors, including, but 178 179 not limited to: the effects of the chemical substance on health and human exposure to such 180 substance under the conditions of use (including cancer and non-cancer risks); the effects of the 181 chemical substance on the environment and environmental exposure under the conditions of use; 182 the population exposed (including any potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulations 183 (PESS)); the severity of hazard (including the nature of the hazard, the irreversibility of the hazard); and uncertainties. EPA also takes into consideration the Agency's confidence in the data 184 185 used in the risk estimate. This includes an evaluation of the strengths, limitations, and 186 uncertainties associated with the information used to inform the risk estimate and the risk 187 characterization. This approach is in keeping with the Agency's final rule, Procedures for 188 Chemical Risk Evaluation Under the Amended Toxic Substances Control Act (82 FR 33726, July 189  $20, 2017).^2$ 

190

191 This section describes the draft revised unreasonable risk determination for TCE, under the

- 192 conditions of use in the scope of the Risk Evaluation for TCE. This draft revised unreasonable
- 193 risk determination is based on the risk estimates in the final Risk Evaluation, which may differ
- 194 from the risk estimates in the draft Risk Evaluation due to peer review and public comments.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  This risk determination is being issued under TSCA section 6(b) and the terms used, such as unreasonable risk, and the considerations discussed are specific to TSCA. Other EPA programs have different statutory authorities and mandates and may involve risk considerations other than those discussed here.

#### 195

# 5.2 Unreasonable Risk to Human Health

196

#### 5.2.1 Human Health

EPA's TCE risk evaluation identified non-cancer adverse effects from acute and chronic
inhalation and dermal exposures to TCE, and cancer from chronic inhalation and dermal
exposures to TCE. The endpoint identified by EPA was immunosupression effects for acute
inhalation and dermal exposures, and autoimmunity effects for chronic inhalation and dermal
exposures, discussed in further detail below in Section 5.2.4 of this Risk Evaluation. The health
risk estimates for all conditions of use are in Tables 4-59 and 4-60 of Section 4.5 of this Risk
Evaluation.

204

205 In developing the exposure assessment for TCE, EPA analyzed reasonably available information

to ascertain whether some human receptor groups may have greater exposure or susceptibility

- than the general population to the hazard posed by TCE. Exposures of TCE would be expected to
- 208 be higher among workers who use TCE as part of typical processes and groups who have greater
- age-and route-specific intake rates compared to the general population. For the TCE risk
- 210 evaluation, EPA identified the following groups as Potentially Exposed or Susceptible
- 211 Subpopulations (PESS): workers and occupational non-users (ONUs), <sup>3</sup> including men and
- women of reproductive age, adolescents, and biologically susceptible subpopulations; and
- consumer users (age 11 and older) and bystanders (of any age group, including infants, toddlers,children, and elderly), including biologically susceptible subpopulations (Section 2.3.3 of this
- children, and elderly), including biologicallyRisk Evaluation).
  - 216

217 EPA evaluated exposures to workers, ONUs, consumer users, and bystanders to consumer use 218 using reasonably available monitoring and modeling data for inhalation and dermal exposures as 219 applicable. For example, EPA assumed that ONUs and bystanders do not have direct contact 220 with TCE; therefore, non-cancer effects and cancer from dermal exposures to TCE are not 221 expected and were not evaluated. Additionally, EPA did not evaluate chronic exposures for 222 consumer users and bystanders because EPA considered the frequency of consumer product use 223 to be too low to create chronic risk concerns. The description of the data used for human health 224 exposure is in Section 2.3 of this Risk Evaluation. Uncertainties in the analysis are discussed in 225 Section 4.3 of this Risk Evaluation and are considered in the unreasonable risk determination, 226 including the fact that the dermal model used does not address variability in exposure duration 227 and frequency. For the human health risk estimation, key assumptions and uncertainties are 228 related to data on exposures, exposure model input parameters, and the estimates for ONU 229 inhalation exposures for COUs in which monitoring data or probabilistic modeling data were not 230 reasonably available.

231

EPA currently is examining whether there are risks not accounted for in the risk evaluation by analyzing exposures to fenceline communities. As described earlier, in Section 5.1.1, while this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ONUs are workers who do not directly handle TCE but perform work in an area where TCE is present. (Executive Summary of this Risk Evaluation).

analysis is underway, EPA is not incorporating the screening-level approach into this draft

- 235 revised unreasonable risk determination.
- 236

#### 5.2.2 Non-Cancer Risk Estimates

237 The risk estimates for non-cancer effects (expressed as margins of exposure or MOEs) refer to 238 adverse health effects associated with health endpoints other than cancer, including to the body's 239 organ systems, such as reproductive/developmental effects, cardiac and lung effects, and kidney 240 and liver effects. The MOE is the point of departure (POD) (an approximation of the no-241 observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or benchmark dose level (BMDL)) and the 242 corresponding human equivalent concentration (HEC) for a specific health endpoint divided by 243 the exposure concentration for the specific scenario of concern. Section 3.2.5 of this Risk 244 Evaluation presents the PODs for acute and chronic non-cancer effects for TCE and Section 4.2 245 of this Risk Evaluation presents the HEC and MOEs for acute and chronic non-cancer effects. 246 247 The MOEs are compared to a benchmark MOE. The benchmark MOE accounts for the total 248 uncertainty in a POD, including, as appropriate: (1) the variation in sensitivity among the 249 members of the human population (i.e., intrahuman/intraspecies variability); (2) the uncertainty 250 in extrapolating animal data to humans (i.e., interspecies variability); (3) the uncertainty in 251 extrapolating from data obtained in a study with less-than-lifetime exposure to lifetime exposure 252 (i.e., extrapolating from subchronic to chronic exposure); and (4) the uncertainty in extrapolating 253 from a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) rather than from a NOAEL. A lower 254 benchmark MOE (e.g., 30) indicates greater certainty in the data (because fewer of the default 255 uncertainty factors (UFs) relevant to a given POD as described above were applied). A higher 256 benchmark MOE (e.g., 1000) would indicate more uncertainty for specific endpoints and scenarios. However, these are often not the only uncertainties in a risk evaluation. The 257 258 benchmark MOE for acute non-cancer risks for TCE is 10. The benchmark MOE for chronic 259 non-cancer risks for TCE is 30. Additional information regarding the non-cancer hazard 260 identification is in Section 3.2.3.1 and the benchmark MOE is in Section 4.2.1 of this Risk

261 Evaluation.

#### 262 **5.2.3 Cancer Risk Estimates**

263 Cancer risk estimates represent the incremental increase in probability of an individual in an 264 exposed population developing cancer over a lifetime (excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR)) 265 following exposure to the chemical. Standard cancer benchmarks used by EPA and other 266 regulatory agencies are an increased cancer risk above benchmarks ranging from 1 in 1.000.000 to 1 in 10,000 (i.e., 1x10-6 to 1x10-4) depending on the subpopulation exposed. For example, in 267 268 this risk evaluation, EPA used 1x10-4 as the benchmark for the cancer risk to individuals in 269 industrial and commercial work places. The  $1 \times 10-4$  value is not a bright line and EPA has discretion to make an unreasonable risk determination for the chemical substance based on other 270 271 benchmarks as appropriate. Additional information regarding the cancer benchmark is in Section 272 4.2 of this Risk Evaluation.

273

#### 5.2.4 Determining Unreasonable Risk of Injury to Health

274 Calculated risk estimates (MOEs or cancer risk estimates) can provide a risk profile of TCE by 275 presenting a range of estimates for different health effects for different conditions of use. A calculated MOE that is less than the benchmark MOE supports a determination of unreasonable 276 277 risk of injury to health, based on noncancer effects. Similarly, a calculated cancer risk estimate 278 that is greater than the cancer benchmark supports a determination of unreasonable risk of injury 279 to health from cancer. These calculated risk estimates alone are not bright-line indicators of 280 unreasonable risk. Whether EPA makes a determination of unreasonable risk for the chemical 281 substance depends upon other risk-related factors, such as the endpoint under consideration, the 282 reversibility of effect, exposure-related considerations (e.g., duration, magnitude, or frequency of 283 exposure, or population exposed), and the confidence in the information used to inform the 284 hazard and exposure values.

285

286 In the TCE risk characterization, EPA identified several acute and chronic endpoints for non-

- 287 cancer effects of TCE (e.g., developmental toxicity, reproductive toxicity, liver toxicity, kidney 288 toxicity, neurotoxicity, and immunotoxicity). In Section 3.2.5.4.1, EPA identified the best overall
- 289
- non-cancer endpoints to be immunosuppression effects for acute inhalation and dermal exposures, and autoimmunity effects for chronic inhalation and dermal exposures. EPA 290
- 291 determined that these were the best overall endpoints for Risk Evaluation under TSCA, based on
- 292 the best available science, weight of the scientific evidence, and confidence in the POD, and
- 293 were used as the basis of risk conclusions in Section 4.5.2 and risk determinations in Section 5.
- 294

295 Consistent with EPA guidance, in this Risk Evaluation EPA concluded that TCE is carcinogenic to 296 workers and ONUs by all routes of exposure. This is most strongly supported by the data on kidney

- 297 cancer. The cancer hazard analysis is described in Section 3.2.4.2. EPA considered cancer risk estimates from chronic inhalation or dermal exposures in the unreasonable risk determination.
- 298 299
- 300 When making a determination of unreasonable risk for the chemical substance, the Agency has a
- 301 higher degree of confidence where uncertainty is low. For example, EPA has high confidence in
- 302 the hazard and exposure characterizations when the basis for characterizations is measured data

303 or representative monitoring data or a robust model and the hazards identified for risk estimation 304 are relevant for conditions of use. Where EPA has made assumptions in the scientific evaluation, 305 whether or not those assumptions are protective is also a consideration. Important assumptions 306 and key sources of uncertainty in the risk characterization are described in more detail in Section 307 4.3.2 of this Risk Evaluation.

308

When determining the unreasonable risk for a chemical substance, EPA considers the central tendency and high-end exposure levels in occupational settings and in environmental media and low, moderate and high intensity of use for consumer uses. Risk estimates based on high-end exposure levels or high intensity use scenarios (e.g., 95th percentile) are generally intended to cover individuals or sub-populations with greater exposure (PESS) as well as to capture individuals with sentinel exposure, and risk estimates at the central tendency exposure are generally estimates of average or typical exposure (Section 4.4 of this Risk Evaluation).

316

317 As shown in Section 4 of this Risk Evaluation, when characterizing the risk to human health 318 from occupational exposures during risk evaluation under TSCA, EPA believes it is appropriate 319 to evaluate the levels of risk present in baseline scenarios where PPE is not assumed to be used 320 by workers, it should be noted that, in some cases, baseline conditions may reflect certain mitigation measures, such as engineering controls, in instances where exposure estimates are 321 322 based on monitoring data at facilities that have engineering controls in place. This approach of 323 not assuming PPE use by workers considers the risk to potentially exposed or susceptible 324 subpopulations (workers and ONUs) who may not be covered by Occupational Safety and 325 Health Administration (OSHA) standards, such as self-employed individuals and public sector 326 workers who are not covered by a State Plan. In addition, EPA risk evaluations may characterize 327 the levels of risk present in scenarios considering applicable OSHA requirements (e.g., chemical-328 specific PELs and/or chemical-specific health standards with PELs and additional ancillary 329 provisions), as well as scenarios considering industry or sector best practices for industrial 330 hygiene that are clearly articulated to the Agency. EPA's evaluation of risk under scenarios that, 331 for example, incorporate use of engineering or administrative controls, or personal protective 332 equipment, serves to inform its risk management efforts. By characterizing risks using scenarios 333 that reflect different levels of mitigation, EPA risk evaluations can help inform potential risk 334 management actions by providing information that could be used to tailor risk mitigation 335 appropriately to address worker exposures where the Agency has found unreasonable risk. In 336 particular, EPA can use the information developed during its risk evaluation to determine 337 whether alignment of EPA's risk management requirements with existing OSHA requirements or 338 industry best practices will adequately address unreasonable risk as required by TSCA. 339 340

340 When undertaking unreasonable risk determinations as part of TSCA risk evaluations, EPA 341 cannot assume as a general matter that an applicable OSHA requirement or industry practice is

342 consistently and always properly applied. Mitigation scenarios included in the TCE risk

343 evaluation (e.g., scenarios considering use of various personal protective equipment (PPE))

344 likely represent what is happening already in some facilities. However, the Agency cannot

345 assume that all facilities will have adopted these practices for the purposes of making the TSCA

346 risk determination.

347

348 Therefore, EPA conducts baseline assessments of risk and makes its determination of 349 unreasonable risk from a baseline scenario that is not based on an assumption of compliance with 350 OSHA standards, including any applicable exposure limits or requirements for use of respiratory 351 protection or other PPE. Making unreasonable risk determinations based on the baseline scenario 352 should not be viewed as an indication that EPA believes there are no occupational safety 353 protections in place at any location, or that there is widespread noncompliance with applicable 354 OSHA standards. Rather, it reflects EPA's recognition that unreasonable risk may exist for 355 subpopulations of workers that may be highly exposed because they are not covered by OSHA 356 standards, such as self-employed individuals and public sector workers who are not covered by a 357 State Plan, or because their employer is out of compliance with OSHA standards, or because many of OSHA's chemical-specific permissible exposure limits largely adopted in the 1970's are 358 359 described by OSHA as being "outdated and inadequate for ensuring protection of worker health," 360 or because EPA finds unreasonable risk for purposes of TSCA notwithstanding existing OSHA 361 requirements. 362

The draft revised unreasonable risk determination for TCE is based on the peer reviewed risk characterization (Section 4 of this Risk Evaluation), which was developed according to TSCA section 26(h) requirements to make science-driven decisions, consistent with best available science. Changing the risk determination to a whole chemical approach does not impact the underlying data and analysis presented in the risk characterization of the risk evaluation. Section 4.5.2 and Table 4-59 of this Risk Evaluation summarize the risk estimates with and without PPE, and informed the revised unreasonable risk determination.

#### **5.3 Unreasonable Risk to the Environment**

#### 372

#### 5.3.1 Environment

373 EPA calculated a risk quotient (RQ) to compare environmental concentrations against an effect 374 level. The environmental concentration is determined based on the levels of the chemical released to the environment (e.g., surface water, sediment, soil, biota) under the conditions of 375 use, based on the fate properties, release potential, and reasonably available environmental 376 377 monitoring data. The effect level is calculated using concentrations of concern that represent 378 hazard data for aquatic, sediment-dwelling, and terrestrial organisms. Due to the volatile 379 properties of TCE, EPA also considered when it was more likely for acute or chronic exposure 380 durations to occur. Section 4.1 provides more detail regarding the environmental risk characterization for TCE. 381

382

#### 5.3.2 Determining Unreasonable Risk of Injury to the Environment

383 Calculated risk quotients (RQs) can provide a risk profile by presenting a range of estimates for 384 different environmental hazard effects for different conditions of use. An RQ equal to 1 indicates 385 that the exposures are the same as the concentration that causes effects. An RQ less than 1, when 386 the exposure is less than the effect concentration, generally indicates that there is not risk of 387 injury to the environment that would support a determination of unreasonable risk for the 388 chemical substance. An RQ greater than 1, when the exposure is greater than the effect 389 concentration, generally indicates that there is risk of injury to the environment that would 390 support a determination of unreasonable risk for the chemical substance. Consistent with EPA's 391 human health evaluations, the RQ is not treated as a bright line and other risk-based factors may 392 be considered (e.g., confidence in the hazard and exposure characterization, duration, magnitude, 393 uncertainty) for purposes of making an unreasonable risk determination.

394

395 EPA used a screening-level approach to integrate relevant pathways of environmental exposure

with available environmental hazard data to evaluate unreasonable risk to relevant environmental

receptors. EPA assessed environmental exposures derived from predicted and measured

398 concentrations of TCE in surface water in the U.S. Specifically, the aquatic exposures associated 399 with the industrial and commercial conditions of use were predicted through modeling, and the

399 with the industrial and commercial conditions of use were predicted through modeling, and the 400 aquatic exposure assessment also includes an analysis of collected measured surface water

400 aquatic exposure assessment also includes an analysis of conected measured surface water 401 concentrations from monitoring data. EPA considered the biological relevance of the species to

401 determine the concentrations of concern for the location of surface water concentration data to

403 produce RQs, as well as frequency and duration of the exposure. EPA determined that the

404 evaluation does not support an unreasonable risk determination to aquatic organisms.

405

406 For sediment-dwelling invertebrates, the toxicity of TCE is similar to the toxicity to aquatic 407 invertebrates. TCE is expected to remain in aqueous phases and not adsorb to sediment due to its 408 water solubility and low partitioning to organic matter. TCE has relatively low partitioning to 409 organic matter and biodegrades slowly, so TCE concentrations in sediment pore water are 410 expected to be similar to the concentrations in the overlying water or lower in the deeper part of 411 sediment where anaerobic condition prevails. Thus, the TCE detected in sediments is likely from the pore water. Therefore, for sediment-dwelling organisms, the risk estimates, based on the 412 highest ambient surface water concentration, do not support an unreasonable risk determination 413 414 to sediment-dwelling organisms from acute or chronic exposures. For terrestrial organisms, TCE 415 exposure is expected to be low since physical-chemical properties do not support an exposure 416 pathway through water and soil pathways to these organisms. Therefore, for terrestrial

417 organisms, the risk estimates, based on the EPA 2003 Guidance for Ecological Soil Screening

418 Levels, do not support an unreasonable risk determination from acute or chronic exposures.

419

420 When making a determination of unreasonable risk, EPA has a higher degree of confidence

421 where uncertainty is low. For example, EPA has high confidence in the hazard and exposure

422 characterizations when the basis for the characterizations is measured or representative

- 423 monitoring data or a robust model and the hazards identified for risk estimation are relevant for
- 424 conditions of use. Where EPA has made assumptions in the scientific evaluation, the degree to

- 425 which these assumptions are conservative (i.e., more protective) is also a consideration.
- 426 Additionally, EPA considers the central tendency and high-end scenarios when determining the
- 427 unreasonable risk. High-end risk estimates (*e.g.*, 90th percentile) are generally intended to cover
- 428 organisms or populations with greater exposure (those inhabiting ecosystems near industries) and
- 429 central tendency risk estimates are generally estimates of average or typical exposure.
- 430
- 431 EPA considered uncertainties in its determination of unreasonable risk for TCE. Key
- 432 assumptions and uncertainties in the environmental risk estimation are related to uncertainties
- 433 regarding the hazard data used for aquatic species, uncertainties around surface water
- 434 concentrations used to determine the environmental risk, and the variable effect of TCE
- 435 volatilization as site-specific depending on stream flow and environmental conditions.
- 436 Additionally, the reasonably available environmental monitoring data was limited temporally
- 437 and geographically. Assumptions and key sources of uncertainty in the risk characterization are
- 438 detailed in Section 4.3.1. of this Risk Evaluation.
- 439
- 440 Therefore, based on this Risk Evaluation, including the risk estimates, the environmental effects
- 441 of TCE, the exposures, physical-chemical properties of TCE, and consideration of uncertainties,
- 442 EPA did not identify risk of injury to the environment that would drive the unreasonable risk
- 443 determination for TCE.

# 444 5.4 Additional Information regarding the Basis for the Unreasonable 445 Risk Determination

- 446 Table 5-1 and Table 5-2 summarize the basis for the draft revised determination of unreasonable
- risk of injury to health presented by TCE. In these tables, a checkmark indicates the type of
- 448 effect and the exposure route to the population evaluated for each condition of use that drive the
- 449 unreasonable risk determination. As explained in Section 5.2, for the draft revised unreasonable
- 450 risk determination, EPA considered the effects on human health of exposure to TCE for
- 451 occupational conditions of use at the central tendency and high-end, and the human health effects
- 452 of exposure to TCE for consumer conditions of uses at low-, moderate-, and high-intensity uses,
- 453 the exposures from the condition of use, the risk estimates, and the uncertainties in the analysis.
- 454 See Section 4.5.2 of this Risk Evaluation for a summary of risk estimates.

Table 5-1. Conditions of Use Included in the Unreasonable Risk Determination for Human Health (Occupational Conditions of Use)<sup>4</sup>

						H	uman Hea	lth Effects		
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure	Acu Non-ca		Chronic Non- cancer		Ca	incer
	Category	Subcategory	Topulation	Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency
	Domestic			Inhalation	✓		√	√	✓	√
Manufacture	manufacture	Domestic	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
		manufacture	ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$	✓	$ND^d$	✓
	Import	Import	Worker	Inhalation	✓		✓		✓	
Manufacture	-	-		Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation						
Processing	Processing as a	Intermediate in	Worker	Inhalation	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
0	reactant/intermediate	industrial gas		Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
		manufacturing (e.g., manufacture of fluorinated gases used as refrigerants, foam blowing agents and solvents)	ONU	Inhalation	$\mathrm{ND}^d$		ND <sup>d</sup>	<b>v</b>	ND <sup>d</sup>	<b>√</b>
Processing	Processing – incorporation into formulation, mixture	Solvents (for cleaning or degreasing)	Worker	Inhalation	√		~		~	
	or reaction products	Adhesives and sealant chemicals		Dermal	~	~	✓	~	~	✓

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The checkmarks indicate the type of effect and the exposure route to the population evaluated for each condition of use that supports the draft revised unreasonable risk determination for TCE. This table is based on Table 4-59 of this Risk Evaluation.

						Н	uman Hea	lth Effects		
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure	Acu Non-ca			nic Non- ncer	C	ancer
Life Cycle Stage	Category	Subcategory	1 opulation	Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency
		Solvents (which become part of product formulation or mixture) (e.g., lubricants and greases, paints and coatings, other uses)	ONU	Inhalation	$\mathrm{ND}^d$		ND <sup>d</sup>		ND <sup>d</sup>	
Processing	Processing –	Solvents	Worker	Inhalation	√		✓		✓	
	incorporation into articles	(becomes an integral component of articles)		Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$\mathrm{ND}^d$		$ND^d$	
Processing	Repackaging	Solvents (for	Worker	Inhalation	✓		✓		✓	
		cleaning or degreasing)		Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$		$ND^d$	
Processing	Recycling	Recycling	Worker	Inhalation	√		✓		✓	
				Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$		$ND^d$	
		Batch vapor	Worker	Inhalation	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	~
Industrial/ commercial use	Solvent (for cleaning or degreasing)	degreaser (open-		Dermal	√	✓	~	✓	~	~
commercial use	or degreasing)	top)	ONU	Inhalation	√	✓	~	✓	~	~
		Batch vapor	Worker	Inhalation	√	~	~	✓	~	~
Industrial/	Solvent (for cleaning	degreaser		Dermal	√	~	~	✓	~	~
commercial use	or degreasing)		ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$	~	$ND^d$	~	$ND^d$	~

						H	uman Hea	lth Effects		
I :fa Cuala Staga	Cotogory "	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Donulation	Exposure	Acu Non-ca			nic Non- ncer	Ca	ancer
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory	Population	Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency
Industrial/commercial	Solvents for cleaning	In-line vapor		Inhalation	~	✓	~	✓	~	
use	or degreasing	degreaser –	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
		conveyorized vapor degreasing	ONU	Inhalation	$\mathrm{ND}^d$	~	$\mathrm{ND}^d$	~	$ND^d$	~
Industrial/	Solvent (for cleaning	In line vapor		Inhalation	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	or degreasing)	degreaser – Web	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓
		Vapor Degreasing	ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Solvent (for cleaning	Degreusing		Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	or degreasing)	Cold cleaner	Worker	Dermal	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/ commercial use	Solvent (for cleaning or degreasing)	Aerosol spray degreaser/cleaner	W7 1-	Inhalation	~	✓	✓	✓	~	1
commercial use		Mold release	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Lubricants and			Inhalation	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	greases/ lubricants	Tap and die fluid	Worker	Dermal	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓	✓	✓
	and lubricant additives	1.00	ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$	✓	$ND^d$	✓
Industrial/	Lubricants and			Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	greases/ lubricants	Penetrating	Worker	Dermal	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓	✓	✓
	and lubricant additives	lubricant	ONU	Inhalation	√		✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	√	✓
Industrial/ commercial use	Adhesives and sealants	Solvent-based adhesives and sealants	Worker	Inhalation	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>√</b>
		Tire repair cement/ Sealer		Dermal	√	•	✓	~	✓	✓

						H	uman Hea	lth Effects		
Life Cycle Stere	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure	Acu Non-ca			nic Non- ncer	C	ancer
Life Cycle Stage	Category "		ropulation	Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency
		Mirror edge sealant	ONU	Inhalation	√	✓	~	~	~	-
Industrial/	Functional fluids		<b>XX</b> 7 1	Inhalation	√		4	✓	4	1
commercial use	(closed systems) Heat ex fluid	Heat exchange	Worker	Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	~	✓
		Iluid	ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$	✓	$ND^d$	✓
Industrial/	Paints and coatings	Diluent in	Worker	Inhalation	√	✓	√	✓	√	✓
commercial use		solvent-based		Dermal	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓
		paints and coatings	ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Cleaning and furniture care products	Carpet cleaner		Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use		Wipe cleaning	Worker	Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
			ONU	Inhalation	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
Industrial/	Laundry and	Spot remover		Inhalation	√	✓	√	✓	✓	√
commercial use	dishwashing		Worker	Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	products		ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Arts, crafts, and	Fixatives and		Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	hobby materials	finishing spray	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
		coatings	ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Corrosion inhibitors	Corrosion		Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	and anti-scaling	inhibitors and	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	agents	anti-scaling agents	ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/ commercial use	Processing aids	Process solvent used in battery manufacture	Worker	Inhalation	1	•	1	<b>√</b>	•	1
		Process solvent used in polymer		Dermal	✓	•	4	✓	•	•

						H	uman Hea	alth Effects		
					Acu			nic Non-	C	ancer
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure	Non-ca	ancer	cancer			
				Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency
		fabric spinning, fluoroclastomer manufacture and Alcantara manufacture Extraction solvent used in caprolactam manufacture Precipitant used in beta- cyclodextrin manufacture	ONU	Inhalation	✓		√		✓	✓
Industrial/	Ink, toner and			Inhalation	✓		√	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓
commercial use	colorant products	Toner aid	Worker	Dermal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
			ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$	✓	$ND^d$	✓
Industrial/	Automotive care		XX7 1	Inhalation	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	products	Brake and parts cleaner	Worker	Dermal	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓
		cicalici	ONU	Inhalation	√		1	✓	1	✓
Industrial/	Apparel and		Worker	Inhalation	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
commercial use	footwear care products	Shoe polish	worker	Dermal	√	✓	~	✓	✓	✓
	products		ONU	Inhalation	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓
Industrial/	Other uses	Hoof polishes <sup>c</sup>		Inhalation	√	✓	~	✓	✓	✓
commercial use		Gun Scrubber	Worker	Dermal	√	✓	~	~	~	~
		Pepper spray								

					Human Health Effects						
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure	Acu Non-ca		Chronic Non- cancer		Ca	incer	
	Category	Subcategory	i opuiation	Route	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	High End	Central Tendency	
		Other	ONU	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓	
		miscellaneous									
		industrial and									
		commercial uses									
Disposal	Disposal	Industrial pre-	Worker	Inhalation	✓		√		√		
		treatment									
		Industrial		Dermal	√	✓	√	✓	√	✓	
		wastewater									
		treatment									
		Publicly owned	ONU	Inhalation	$ND^d$		$ND^d$		$ND^d$		
		treatment works									
		(POTW)									

<sup>*a*</sup> These categories of conditions of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, reflect CDR codes, and broadly represent all conditions of use of TCE.

<sup>b</sup> These subcategories reflect more specific information regarding the conditions of use of TCE.

 $^{c}$  "Hoof polish" would remain within EPA's jurisdiction unless the article in question was also intended for the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, of disease or intended to affect the structure or function of the body of animals, as described in the FFDCA. EPA identified a single product for hoof polish containing TCE, and this product is intended for only cosmetic and not medical use. Therefore, "hoof polish" was evaluated as a COU, applicable only to products restricted to cosmetic function.  $^{d}$  "ND" stands for No Data and is an indication that there was not sufficient data to be analyzed for a category.

Table 5-2. Conditions of Use Included in the Draft Revised Unreasonable Risk Determination for Human Health (Consumer Conditions of Use) <sup>5</sup>

						Human Health			
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure route	Acute Non-cancer				
Life of the singe			- opuniou		High Intensity Use	Moderate Intensity Use	Low Intensity Use		
	Solvents (for			Inhalation	~	✓	1		
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Brake and Parts Cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	✓			
	degreasing)		Bystanders	Inhalation	✓	√	✓		
	Solvents (for		TT	Inhalation	1	1			
Consumer uses Solvents (for cleaning or	Aerosol electronic degreaser/cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	✓				
	degreasing)	degreuser, ereuner	Bystanders	Inhalation	✓	✓			
	Salaranta (fan			Inhalation	✓	✓	✓		
Consumer uses	Solvents (for cleaning or	Liquid electronic degreaser/cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	✓			
	degreasing)	degreuser/eleuner	Bystanders	Inhalation	✓				
	Solvents (for		TT	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓		
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Aerosol spray degreaser/cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	✓	✓		
	degreasing)	aogreuser, ereuner	Bystanders	Inhalation	✓	√	✓		
			User	Inhalation	1	✓	~		
	Solvents (for		User	Dermal	~	~	~		
Consumer uses	cleaning or degreasing)	Liquid degreaser/cleaner	Bystanders	Inhalation	~	✓	✓		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The checkmarks indicate the type of effect and the exposure route to the population evaluated for each condition of use that support the draft revised unreasonable risk determination for TCE. This table is based on Table 4-60 of this Risk Evaluation.

						Human Health	
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure route	1	Acute Non-cance	r
	Category		Topulation	Laposureroute	High Intensity Use	Moderate Intensity Use	Low Intensity Use
	Solvents (for		II	Inhalation			
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Aerosol gun scrubber	User	Dermal	✓	✓	✓
	degreasing)		Bystanders	Inhalation			
	Solvents (for		IT	Inhalation			
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Liquid gun scrubber	User	Dermal	1	1	✓
	degreasing)		Bystanders	Inhalation			
	Solvents (for		II	Inhalation	1	1	×
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Mold Release	User	Dermal	~	~	
	degreasing)		Bystander	Inhalation	1	1	
	Solvents (for		II	Inhalation	~	~	*
Consumer uses	cleaning or	Aerosol Tire Cleaner	User	Dermal	1	1	×
	degreasing)		$\frac{\text{Inl}}{\text{De}}$ Bystander Inl User	Inhalation	1	1	×
	Solvents (for		Lizer	Inhalation	✓	✓	<b>~</b>
Consumer use	cleaning or	Liquid Tire Cleaner	User	Dermal	1	1	~
	degreasing)		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	<b>~</b>
			User	Inhalation	✓	✓	<b>~</b>
Consumer use	Lubricants and greases	Tap and Die Fluid	User	Dermal	✓	✓	
	<u> </u>		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	1	
			User	Inhalation	1	1	
onsumer use	Lubricants and	Penetrating lubricant		Dermal	✓		
	greases	Penetrating lubricant	Bystander	Inhalation	~	~	

						Human Health	
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure route	1	Acute Non-cance	r
	Category	~ us enougo 1 y	ropulation		High Intensity Use	Moderate Intensity Use	Low Intensity Use
			<b>T</b> T	Inhalation	✓	✓	
Consumer use	Adhesives and sealants	Solvent-based adhesives and sealants	User	Dermal	✓	✓	
	sourants		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	~	
			I.I	Inhalation	✓	✓	
Consumer use	Adhesives and sealants	Mirror edge sealant	User	Dermal	1	1	
	sourants		Bystander	Inhalation	✓		
				Inhalation	✓	✓	
Consumer lise	Adhesives and sealants	Tire repair cement/ sealer	User	Dermal	✓	✓	✓
	sourants	Souloi	Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	
	Cleaning and			Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
Consumer use	furniture care	Carpet cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	✓	
	products		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
	Cleaning and		<b>T</b> T	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
Consumer use	furniture care	Aerosol Spot Remover	User	Dermal	✓	✓	
	products		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	
	Cleaning and		<b>T</b> T	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
Consumer use	furniture care	Liquid Spot Remover	User	Dermal	✓	~	
	products		Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
			L	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓
	Arts, crafts, and	Fixatives and finishing	User	Dermal	✓	✓	
	hobby materials	spray and contings'	Bystander	Inhalation	~	~	

						Human Health			
Life Cycle Stage	Category <sup>a</sup>	Subcategory <sup>b</sup>	Population	Exposure route	Acute Non-cancer				
Life Cycle Suge	Category				High Intensity Use	Moderate Intensity Use	Low Intensity Use		
	Apparel and		TT	Inhalation	✓	~			
Consumer use	footwear care	Shoe polish	User	Dermal	✓				
	products		Bystander	Inhalation	✓				
			TT	Inhalation	1	✓	✓		
Consumer use	Other consumer uses	Fabric spray	User	Dermal	✓	✓			
			Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓			
				Inhalation	✓	✓	✓		
Consumer use	Other consumer uses	Film cleaner	User	Dermal	✓	~			
			Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓		
			TT	Inhalation	✓				
Consumer use	Other consumer uses	Hoof polish <sup>c</sup>	User	Dermal	✓	✓			
			Bystander	Inhalation					
			TT	Inhalation					
Consumer use	Other consumer uses	Pepper spray	User	Dermal					
			Bystander	Inhalation					
			TT	Inhalation	✓	✓	✓		
Consumer use	Other consumer uses	Toner aid	User	Dermal	✓	✓			
			Bystander	Inhalation	✓	✓			

<sup>*a*</sup> These categories of conditions of use appear in the Life Cycle Diagram, reflect CDR codes, and broadly represent all conditions of use of TCE. <sup>*b*</sup> These subcategories reflect more specific information regarding the conditions of use of TCE.

<sup>c</sup> "Hoof polish" would remain within EPA's jurisdiction unless the article in question was also intended for the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, of disease or intended to affect the structure or function of the body of animals, as described in the FFDCA. EPA identified a single product for hoof polish containing TCE, and this product is intended for only cosmetic and not medical use. Therefore, "hoof polish" was evaluated as a COU, applicable only to products restricted to cosmetic function.

#### **5.5 References**

1. EPA. Risk Evaluation for Trichloroethylene (TCE). November 2020. EPA-HQ-OPPT-2019-0500.

2. Executive Order 13985. Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government. *Federal Register* (86 FR 7009, January 25, 2021).

3. Executive Order 13990. Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis. *Federal Register* (86 FR 7037, of January 25, 2021).

4. Executive Order 14008. Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad. Federal Register (86 FR 7619, February 1, 2021).

5. Presidential Memorandum. Memorandum on Restoring Trust in Government Through Scientific Integrity and Evidence-Based Policymaking. *Federal Register* (86 FR 8845, February 10, 2021).

6. EPA Press Release. EPA Announces Path Forward for TSCA Chemical Risk Evaluations. June 30, 2021. https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-path-forward-tsca-chemical-risk-evaluations

7. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Permissible Exposure Limits – Annotated Tables. Accessed June 13, 2022. https://www.osha.gov/annotated-pels