The EPA Needs to Improve the Transparency of Its Cancer-Assessment Process for Pesticides

What We Found

The EPA did not adhere to standard operating procedures and requirements for the 1,3-Dichloropropene pesticide-registration-review decision to prevent unreasonable adverse effects on human health. We initiated this evaluation based on multiple complaints submitted to the Office of Inspector General Hotline.

The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act requires the EPA to review every pesticide registration no later than 15 years after the active ingredient’s initial registration to determine whether the pesticide continues to meet the statutory standard—that is, whether the pesticide performs its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health and the environment. When registered pesticides are reviewed as part of the 15-year registration review process, the EPA does not typically initiate a new cancer assessment unless requested by the registrant through the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act.

This evaluation supports an EPA mission-related effort:
- Ensuring the safety of chemicals.

This evaluation addresses these top EPA management challenges:
- Ensuring the safe use of chemicals.
- Safeguarding scientific integrity.

Address inquiries to our public affairs office at (202) 566-2391 or OIG_WEBCOMMENTS@epa.gov.

List of OIG reports.

Deficiencies and a lack of transparency in the 1,3-D pesticide cancer-assessment process has undermined scientific credibility and public confidence.

Recommendations and Planned Agency Corrective Actions

We make nine recommendations to improve the transparency of the 1,3-D cancer-assessment process and restore the scientific credibility of the Agency’s 1,3-D cancer classification. These recommendations address the lack of guidance for the EPA’s use of the kinetically derived maximum dose and weight-of-evidence approaches, an incomplete public docket, an incomplete literature search, noncompliance with internal peer review standards, and the need for an external peer review. These recommendations will also improve the EPA’s cancer-assessment process for pesticides more broadly.

The EPA was not in full agreement with Recommendations 1, 2, and 8, which remain unresolved. We are in discussions with the EPA on the unresolved recommendations. The EPA generally agreed with Recommendations 3–7 and 9, which are resolved with corrective actions pending.