

Declaration Affidavit of Facts and Memorandum in Support of Affidavit of  
Probable Cause

(b) (6) - Privacy

Date: 3/23/16

(b) (6) - Privacy

EPA File # 20X-16-R4

Bayboro Territory, North Carolina Republic  
U.S.A - Postal Zone - [28515] USA

U.S. (EPA) Environmental Protection Agency  
Deryl W. Cournfen / acting Deputy Director  
Office of Civil Rights (OCR) - Ariel Rios Building  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. near NW / Room 2540  
Washington Territory, District of Columbia  
U.S.A - Postal Zone - [20460] - USA

RE: In response to your letter dated on March 10, 2016.

Dear Mr. Cournfen:

I do thank you for your prompt response.

As you stated, "The OCR is responsible for processing and resolving complaints all alleging discrimination by programs or activities that receive financial assistance from EPA." So, my question is as large as your agency expands even international, how is it not that the EPA/OCR agency jurisdiction not cover human rights violations in the U.S.A environment.

I have seen your National Standard Damage Claim Packet, for an official complaint, which I am requesting professional assistance. Also, to include official complaint of my civil liberties violated. Once the jurisdictional review is completed to except this complaint. I will be willing to give you names of all the "accused" and/or Co-conspirators.

MAR 29 2016

(b) (6) - Privacy

(b) (6) - Privacy

This "Declaration Affidavit of Facts and Memorandum in Support of Affidavit of Probable Cause," are true, correct, and not meant to misled, being a "Formal Complaint."

(b) (6) - Privacy

Injured party, in Good Faith does hereby and herein provide ACCUSED with this brief Notice and demand for damages resulting from injuries caused by said ACCUSED, impersonating a "judge," as follows:

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On 9 24, 2007, the ACCUSED acted in criminal and  
(month/day/year)

civil violations of said ACCUSED sworn duty of care to serve and to protect the people of the state pursuant to public officer's affirmed Oath of Office of record that caused severe injury to the injured party and causing substantial loss of said injured party's substantive rights and deprivation of injured party's freedoms resulting from above-name public officer's civil and criminal acts and unlawful trespass upon the injured party's Constitutionally guaranteed unalienable rights to life, liberty, property and pursuit of happiness, constituting a criminal breach of public trust by public officer, constitutional impermissible application of statute; violation of due process of law; fraud by scienter, concealment and that there was no controversy, crime or charges that exist in this instant matter and request is made upon facts and evidence that the court pursuant to its own motion dismiss the action in the interest of justice, and a party of a Constitutionally illegal so-called quasi-government enterprise. All acts done absent jurisdiction are acts of treason.

Although injured party objected to the actions of the ACCUSED and his or her assumed jurisdiction, those who kept injured party imprisoned and/or continued detainment under color of law did not respond to any of his demands and requests for proof of jurisdiction or for reinstatement of his liberty. ACCUSED (and co-conspirators) continued to assume the jurisdiction without proof of jurisdiction or any attempt at proof of jurisdiction. Injured party continues to be subject, under color of law, to the assumed jurisdiction, will and control of various persons against his/her consent.

What is that all about? First, it is about willful, wanton and knowing acts of criminal tyranny by exceeding jurisdiction against a people of North Carolina State, a National of the dominate race and one who enjoys dominate jurisdiction accordingly. Behind that it is about assuming there is a crime committed, without checking the facts. It is about presumption of guilt, until proven innocent. It is about refusing to hear the facts, or more specifically, refusing to acknowledge the visual facts of injured party's innocence.

It is about raw power in rebellion against the sovereignty of the state. By all appearance, the public official has declared his/her independence from the people, so much so that the public official now appears to regard itself as the guardian and the people as its ward, and accordingly is causing and or supporting these civil and criminal acts of criminal tyranny by criminal tyrants against one of the people of State, (North Carolina), the injured party hereunder signed.

There is no cause to usurp jurisdiction under color of law.

### The ACCUSED has a constitutional duty to not cause injury

The ACCUSED is obligated to a sworn/affirmed duty to not cause injury to any of the people of the State who never surrender their sovereignty to the agencies that they, the We, the People, created for the sole purpose of serving and protecting the people of the state, the posterity of the We, the People. All governmental officers, Federal and State officers are only official when they are acting under Art. 6, Sect 3 of U.S. Constitution Republic.

All official State and Federal government officers are acting under color of law and color of office if they hold an office under 5 U.S.C. Sect. 5531.

"To swear (the Oath) is to call God to witness, and is an act of religion 3 Co. Inst. 165. Vide 5 Bouv. Inst. n. 3180; note; 1 Benth. Rat. of Jud. Ev. 376 371. note"

There is a general rule that a ministerial officer, who acts wrongfully, although in good faith, is nevertheless liable in a civil action and cannot claim the immunity of the sovereign. Cooper v O'Conner, 99 F.2d 133.

The public officer's had a duty to not cause injury. The ACCUSED is obligated to a sworn/affirmed duty to not cause injury to any of the people of the State who never surrender their sovereignty to the agencies that they created for the sole purpose of serving and protecting the people of the State to which the ACCUSED has an nondiscretionary duty and obligation to serve and to protect accordingly. Also, created a "Gatekeeping Order" to deny access to due process of law/court, which is a violation of "Constitutional Rights"

The ACCUSED contributed to the unlawful detainment of injured party, absent knowledge of sufficient judicial probable cause in breach of their moral, legal, and lawful duty to serve and to protect injured party as opposed to criminally trespassing upon injured party's guaranteed unalienable fundamental Rights as one of the people

and Cause injury to same. In accordance with the Universal Imperative, one must not engage in any activity such as extortion, lying, or breach of contract [public officer's Oath of Office.] which would Cause an unwanted injury to another. These Universal Imperatives are Codified in the Codes of the State, i.e., penal Code, Civil Code, Business and Professional Code.

### The ACCUSED committed treason, Criminal trespass on injured party's rights

All violations committed are construed as acts committed in clear violation of the Declaration of Independence, the organic Constitution for and of the United States of America, absent sufficient judicial probable cause, with implied malice, to be willful, wanton and knowing acts of civil and criminal tyranny as each is a direct violation of the Public officer's Oath of Office, such additionally being treasonous acts committed against the Constitution and the injured party, such that the ACCUSED [supposedly] swore to serve and to protect. All criminal acts are unlawful trespass upon injured party's rights consistent with Kidnapping, aka man stealing, of the injured party hereunder signed and are construed as treason. All acts are committed with implied malice in violation of the GOD-given unalienable due process Rights of the injured party. There must be at least sufficient judicial probable Causes apparent in order to acquire jurisdiction over any one of the American people prior to any act taken to deprive him of his freedom.

Further, there is no such thing as consent as a Sovereign never surrenders his sovereignty to the agencies he created to serve him and to consider there is would be an act of fraud against the Constitution and the people, specifically in this matter.

### Injured party's constitutionally guaranteed unalienable fundamental Bill of Rights

The new United States of America Republic adopted the Bill of Rights, the first ten amendments to the U.S.A Constitution, confirming the fundamental rights of its citizens on December 15, 1791. The ACCUSED has committed act(s) in violation of injured party's constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights pursuant to injured party's Bill of Rights. Said act(s) cause injury to said injured party.

"All codes, rules and regulations are applicable to the government authorities only, NOT human / Creators in accordance with God's Law" Redriques v Ray Donovan  
[U.S. Department of Labor,] 769 F. 2d 1344, 1348 [1985]

## Additional violations:

- 18 USC Sec. 241, 242, 42 USC Sect. 1983, 1985, 1986
- According to the "United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples" Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities Forty-fifth Session: Art. 2; Art. 5; Art. 9; Art. 14; Art. 31; Art. 32
- Principle III of the United Nations Declaration of The Rights of the Child (Every child shall be entitled from his/her birth to a Name and a Nationality)
- United States of America Constitution - Art. 3, Section 2; Amendment (5) (Liberty Clause) and Amendment (9) (Reservation of Rights of the People); Art. VI (e).
- Treaty of Peace and Friendship between United States of America and Morocco of 1787, superseded by the Treaty of 1836 (Note: Recorded in the United States at large, foreign treaties, Also in the Book of Treaties [1787-1845] pages 100-105]. Also the Articles of Confederation of 1781, the Constitution of the United States of 1789 (Organic), the Bill of Rights of 1791, the Divine Constitution and By-Laws of the Moorish Science Temple of America.
- Resolution Number Seventy-Five (75), dated April 19, 1933 (Moorish American Society of Philadelphia and use of their name - Bey and EL.

I am asking, please, for any/all suggestions, assistance, and cooperation in the following through with this civil complaint. I ask that you contact me with a less scrutinize, more confidential contact: (b) (6) - Privacy

(b) (6) - Privacy

Mention my name or write at the above address, your acceptance! **THANK-YOU!**

Please understand that while I seek to resolve this matter, I respectfully with "Good Faith," "Clean Hands" and "with Honor" and "Without Prejudice"; "All Rights Reserved" submit this Declaration Affidavit of Facts and Memorandum in Support of Affidavit of Probable Cause.

I affirm that all of the foregoing is true and correct. I affirm that I am of lawful age and am competent to make this "Affidavit". I hereby affix my own signature to all of the affirmations in this entire document with explicit reservation of all my unalienable rights and my specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which I have not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

(b) (6) - Privacy

"Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal, Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent!"

Acknowledgement

Affirmed TO and Subscribed before Me

This 23 day of March, 2016

Mary Ann Robinson Date: 3-23-16  
(Notary Public)



2-26-2020  
(Commission Expires)

Affidavit Certificate of Service - Exhibit

The Affiant certifies that a copy of these (Affidavits); "Declaration Affidavit of Facts and Memorandum in support of Affidavit of Probable Cause," and "Affidavit Certificate of Service - Exhibit," has been served by this United States of America Republic Postal Service - pre-paid postage upon the following addressee:

CC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
Deryl W. Courjon / Acting Deputy Director  
Office of Civil Rights (OCR) - Ariel Rios Building  
401200 Pennsylvania Ave. near NW/Room 2540  
Washington Territory, District of Columbia  
U.S.A - Postal Zone - [20460] - USA

**(b) (6) - Privacy**

**(b) (6) - Privacy**

**(b) (6) - Privacy**

MAR 29 2016

**(b) (6) - Privacy**

**(b) (6) - Privacy**

Bayboro Territory, North Carolina Republic  
u.s. A-Postal Zone - [20515] USA

CHARLOTTE NC 282

25 MAR 2016 PM 2 L

MAILED FROM  
UNITICO C.I.



MAR 29 2016

Legal  
Mail

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
Deryl W. Courmjen / acting Deputy Director  
Office of Civil Rights (OCR) - Ariel Rios Building  
e/o 1200 near Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. / Room 2540  
Washington Territory, District of Columbia  
u.s. A-Postal Zone - [20460] - USA

1261A



20460

