CWA COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION REPORT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 5

Purpose:

Northeast Juneau County Private Well and Surface Water Sampling Groundwater Investigation

Date of Inspection:

October 23 - 25, 2017

EPA Representatives:	
Cheryl Burdett	312-886-1463
Burdett.cheryl@epa.gov	
Joan Rogers	312-886-2785
Rogers.joan@epa.gov	
Ben Atkinson	
Atkinson.ben@epa.gov	312-353-8243

State Representatives: None

Report Prepared by:

		-		
Cheryl	Burdett,	CAFO	Program	Manager

Inspector's Sign	ature:
Approver Title:	Ryan Bahr, Section 2 Chief,

Water Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch

Approval Signature: 20-2-3-

Approval Date: 1014118

If you have trouble accessing this document, contact EPA Representative: Cheryl Burdett, 312-886-1463.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 Background and Purpose of Sampling
- 2.0 Prior to Sample Collection
- 3.0 Sampling Event
- 4.0 Results
 - Table 1. Sampling Locations and Results

List of Attachments

- Attachment A Photo Log
- Attachment B Maps
- Attachment C- Final Laboratory Results
 - Berkeley Laboratory
 - Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene
 - Chicago Regional Laboratory
- Attachment D- Field Sampling Plan and Phylochip QAPP

1.0 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF SAMPLING

Region 5 EPA received complaints and documentation from citizens in northeast Juneau County, Wisconsin about high nitrates levels in private wells, detection of *E. coli* and high nitrates in Central Sands Dairy, LLC's (CSD) monitoring wells, land application of nutrients in the area, and nutrient contamination within Petenwell Lake.

Northeast Juneau county, Wisconsin is in the Cambrian-Ordivian Aquifer System (COAS). The COAS is mainly comprised of sandstone aquifers which, along with the sandy top soil of the region, creates significant groundwater infiltration. The flow of groundwater within northeast Juneau county is generally in the southeasterly direction toward Petenwell Lake. The study of directional flow in this area was done by RJN Environmental Services, LLC, as requested by CSD. Another study was done by I.D. Lippelt, prepared by the Wisconsin Geological and Natural History Survey, and sponsored by Golden Sands Resource Conservation and Development Area (Attachment B, Maps) of the water table, irrigable land inventory, and the groundwater flow in Juneau County Wisconsin.

EPA's plan was to collect samples from private wells and from surface waters in the area. EPA also planned to utilize a new source of microbial source tracking known as a Phylochips. The PhyloChips were used where bacteria was detected to identify the type of bacterial contamination (bovine, human, swine, bird, etc.). At each sampling location, EPA collected samples to be analyzed for the following parameters: *E.coli* and/or Total Coliform, Phylochip, nitrate-nitrite-nitrogen, total phosphorus, total kjeldahl nitrogen, and ammonia nitrogen. At the surface water sampling locations, EPA also collected samples to be analyzed for biochemical oxygen demand five-day, total dissolved solids, and total suspended solids.

2.0 PRIOR TO SAMPLE COLLECTION

EPA was provided a list of private well owner from a concerned citizen, in northeast Juneau County, Wisconsin. Some of the private homeowners on the list had previously had their private wells tested from different agencies such as Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and/or had taken samples themselves and had them analyzed by a nearby laboratory.

EPA contacted the private well owners several months prior to conducting the sampling and explained that EPA would like to sample their private wells to be analyzed for the following parameters: *E.coli* and/or Total Coliform, Phylochip, nitrate-nitrite-nitrogen, total phosphorus, total kjeldahl nitrogen, and ammonia nitrogen. During the week prior to the inspection, EPA again contacted the citizens from the list and asked if they would allow EPA to sample their well in the upcoming week. EPA was not able to get in touch with everyone on the list, but everyone EPA spoke with agreed to allow EPA to sample their wells.

3.0 SAMPLING EVENT

EPA began this investigation by taking samples from the well of the home identified with Sample ID S01 on October 23, 2017 (Photos PA230022 through PA230023) at 1:30 PM. The dates and times of each sampling event is listed in Table 1.

EPA resumed sampling at the home identified with Sample ID S02 arriving on October 24, 2017. EPA arrived at approximately 8:30 AM and collected samples from the kitchen faucet at 8:41 AM (Photos PA240003 and PA 240005). EPA stopped collecting samples from private wells at 12:53 PM on October 24, 2017, so that EPA could stay within the holding times for the samples that were going to be analyzed for *E.coli* and/or Total Coliform. The samples were taken to the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene in Madison, Wisconsin and analyzed for *E.coli* and/or Total Coliform. EPA shipped the other samples using overnight delivery to EPA Region 5's Chicago Regional Laboratory. These samples were analyzed for nitrate-nitrite nitrogen, total phosphorus, total kjeldahl nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen.

On October 25, 2017, EPA started sample collection at the home identified with Sample ID S22 at approximately 8:30 AM and collected samples from the kitchen faucet at 8:38 AM. After collection of samples from the homes, EPA collected samples from two locations on Petenwell Lake, one location on Spud Creek, and one location on Cranberry Creek. EPA completed all sampling at approximately 11:00 AM. EPA then drove to the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene to drop off the S22 sample to be analyzed for Total Coliform. EPA shipped the remaining S22 samples and the surface water samples using overnight delivery to EPA Region 5's Chicago Regional Laboratory to be analyzed for the remaining parameters. Attachment A is the photo log of the sample collection.

The Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene data identified which samples contained *E.coli* or Total Coliform Bacteria. For the sample locations associated with these sample, the sample

taken for the Phylochip analysis was then sent to the Berkeley Laboratory for the Phylochip analyses.

4.0 RESULTS

EPA sampled 13 private wells and 4 surface water locations. The nitrate-nitrite nitrogen results showed that of the 13 wells, 6 private well locations were greater than the nitrate drinking water standard of 10 milligrams per liter. Table 1. provides the results from the October 23 through October 25, 2017 well and surface water sampling event. Attachment C – "Final Laboratory Results" contains the results as reported from the labs.

ł

Table 1. Sampling Locations and Results

Sample ID	Collection Date/Time	Sample Location	Phylochip Bovine Source	<i>E.coli</i> MPN/100mL	Total Coliform MPN/100mL	Nitrate- Nitrite (as N) (mg/L)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	Ammonia- Nitrogen (mg/L)	BOD 5-day (mg/L)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)
S01	10/23/2017 1:31 PM	Basement Bypass	Not Done	<1	Not Done	37.8	0.04	0.23	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S02	10/24/2017 8:50 AM	Kitchen Sink	Not Done	<1	<1	35.2	*U	0.38	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S03	10/24/2017 9:53 AM	Outside Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	5.5	¥U	0.22	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S04	10/24/2017 10:30 AM	Outside Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	0.41	*U	0.20	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S05	10/24/2017 10:48 AM	Outside Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	0.10	0.04	*U	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S06	10/24/2017 9:15 AM	Kitchen Sink	Not Done	<1	<1	10.1	*U	0.25	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S07	10/24/2017 12:07 PM	Kitchen Sink	Not Done	<1	<1	8.59	*U	0.22	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S08	10/24/2017 9:33 AM	Outside Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	4.83	0.05	*U	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S12	10/24/2017 11:48 AM	Outside Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	0.23	*U	0.26	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
S14	10/24/20/17 12:53 PM	Backyard Spigot	Not Done	<1	<1	28.2	*U	0.26	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
\$15	10/24/2017 10:09 AM	Kitchen Sink	Not Done	<1	<1	5.44	*U	0.20	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
\$20	10/24/2017 12:30 PM	Basement Bypass	Low source signal	Not Done	1	23.9	0.04	0.25	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
\$22	10/25/2017 8:38 AM	Kitchen Sink	Low source signal	Not Done	91	28.4	0.04	0.28	*U	Not Done	Not Done	Not Done
*S16	10/25/2017 9:22 AM	S Petenwell Lake	Low source signal	1	Not Done	0.49	0.09	1.33	0.26	2	148	10
*S17	10/25/2017 10:02 AM	N Petenwell Lake	Low source signal	15	Not Done	0.66	0.11	1.01	*U	4	156	14
518	10/25/2017 10:39 AM	Spud Creek	Low source signal	20	Not Done	4.29	*U	0.36	*U	*U	122	*U
\$19	10/25/2017 10:58 AM	Cranberry Creek	Low source signal	74	Not Done	0.34	0.45	0.67	*U	*U	116	*U

*U Not Detected

ATTACHMENT A

PHOTO LOG

Northeast Juneau County Private Well and Surface Water Sampling EPA Sampling October 23 – October 25, 2017 All photos taken by Cheryl Burdett, Joan Rogers, and Ben Atkinson U.S. EPA, Region 5 Camera: Olympus digital camera and iPHONE 7 Northeast Juneau County Private Well and Surface Water Sampling EPA Sampling October 23 – October 25, 2017 All photos taken by Cheryl Burdett, Joan Rogers, and Ben Atkinson U.S. EPA, Region 5 Camera: Olympus digital camera and iPHONE 7



Photo: PA230022


Description: S01 -Sampled collected from basement spigot at the bypass from RO System. EPA let the water run 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the basement spigot at the bypass from the RO System. Location: S01



Photo: PA230023

Description: S01's well data analysis from Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (WDATCP) Location: S01

Date/Time: 10/23/2017 at 13:31



Description: S02- Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S02 Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 08:41 AM



Description: S02- Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 10 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S02

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 8:42 AM




Photo: PA240011

Description: S03- Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA collected a sample immediately after turning on the outside spigot. Location: S03

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 9:53 AM




Photo: PA240012

Description: S03- Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA collected a sample immediately after turning on the outside spigot.

Location: S03

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 9:53 AM



Photo: iPhone 7

Description: S04 Samples collected from the outside pump. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the outside pump.

Location: S04

Date/ Fime: October 24, 2017 at 10:30 AM



Photo: iPhone 7

Description: S04 - Samples collected outside pump. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the outside pump.

Location: S04

Date/Time: October 24, 2017 at 10:30 AM (b) (6)




Photo: iPhone 7

Description: S05 Samples collected from outside spigot. EPA collected a sample immediately after turning on the water from outside spigot.

Location: S05



Description: S05 – Samples collected from outside spigot. EPA collected a sample immediately after turning on the water from outside spigot.

Location: S05

Date/Time: October 24, 2017 at 10:50 AM

(b) (6)	. October 1	12017 401		

Photo: PA240006

Description: S06- Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S06

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 10:17 AM



Description: S06- Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S06 Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 10:17 AM (b) (6) Photo: PA240008

Description: S06- EPA photographed the Nitrate Fact Sheet and Business card that the private well homeowner received from WDATCP.

Location: S06

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 10:18 AM



Description: S07 – Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S07

Date/Time: October 24, 2017 at 12:09 PM





Photo: 1Phone 7

Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S07



Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S07

Date/Time: October 24, 2017 at 12:09 PM

b) (6)	

Photo:

Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S07

o) (6)			

Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S07


Date/Time: October 24, 2017 at 12:09 PM

Date/Time:	October 24, 2017 at 12:09 PM	
(b) (6)	October 24, 2017 at 12:09 PM	

Photo: iPhone 7

Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink

Location: S07



Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink

Location: S07

01 0017 + 10 00 DM .

Date/Time:	October	<u>24, 2017</u>	<u>at 12:09</u>	PM
Date/Time: (b) (6)				

Photo: iPhone 7

Description: S07 - Samples collected from the kitchen sink faucet. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected sample from the kitchen sink

Location: S07



Photo: PA240009

Description: S08- Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the outside spigot.

Location: 508




Photo: PA240010

Description: S08- Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the outside spigot.

Location: S08

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 9:34 AM

Photo: S12 - No photos were taken at S12 Description: S12 - Samples were collected from the outside Spigot Location: S12 Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 11:48 AM





Photo: PA240030

Description: S14 – Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the outside spigot. Location: S14

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 12:56 PM



Description: S14 - S14 - Samples collected from the outside spigot. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the outside spigot.

Location: S14

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 12:56 PM





Photo: PA240013

Description: S15- Samples collected from the kitchen sink. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the kitchen sink.

Location: S15

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 10:09 AM



Description: S15- Samples collected from the kitchen sink. EPA let the water run for 3 minutes and then collected the sample from the kitchen sink. Location: S15

Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 10:09 AM

Photo: S22 - No photos were taken at S22 Description: S22 - Samples were collected from the kitchen sink Location: S22 Date/Time: 10/24/2017 at 11:48 AM



Photo: PA250015 Description: S16 – Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: East Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:23 AM



Photo: PA250016 Description: S16-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: East Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:24 AM



Photo: PA250017 Description: S16-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: East Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:24 AM



Photo: PA250018 Description: S16 -Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Down and east. Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:30 AM



Photo: PA250019 Description: S16 – Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Down and east. Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:31 AM



Photo: PA250020 Description: S16 - Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Down Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 9:32 AM



Photo: PA250021 Description: S17 - Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:02 AM



Photo: PA250023 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:11 AM



Photo: PA250024 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:11 AM



Photo: PA250025 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:11 AM



Photo: PA250026 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:11 AM



Photo: PA250027 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Northeast. Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:11 AM



Photo: PA250028 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: North of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Down and to the east. Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:12 AM



Photo: PA250029 Description: S17-Samples collected from Petenwell Lake. Location: South of S08 in Petenwell Lake Facing: Down and to the east. Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:12 AM



Photo: PA250030

Description: S18 – Samples collected from Spud Creek.

Location: Spud Creek sampled on the east side of 19th Avenue North southeast of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church (approximately located near 41.197167, -90.014922).

Facing: East

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:45 AM



Description: S18 – Samples collected from Spud Creek.

Location: Spud Creek sampled on the east side of 19th Avenue North southeast of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church (approximately located near 41.197167, -90.014922).

Facing: South

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:45 AM



Photo: PA250032

Description: S18 – Samples collected from Spud Creek.

Location: Spud Creek sampled on the east side of 19th Avenue North southeast of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church (approximately located near 41.197167, -90.014922).

Facing: East

Date/Time: 10/25/17 at 10:45 AM



Description: S18 - Samples collected from Spud Creek.

Location: Spud Creek sampled on the east side of 19th Avenue North southeast of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church (approximately located near 41.197167, -90.014922).

Facing: Down

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 10:45 AM



Photo: PA250034 Description: S19- Samples collected from Cranberry Creek. Location: Cranberry Creek accessed on the south side of 8th Street East west of 13th Avenue North Facing: East Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Photo: PA250035 Description: S19 - Samples collected from Cranberry Creek. Location: Cranberry Creek accessed on the south side of 8th Street East west of 13th Avenue North Facing: East

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Photo: PA250036 Description: S19 - Samples collected from Cranberry Creek. Location: Cranberry Creek accessed on the south side of 8th Street East west of 13th Avenue North Facing: East Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Description: S19- EPA was on the bridge looking upstream at Cranberry Creek.

Location: Facing downstream on the bridge located on 8^{th} Street E west of 13^{th} Avenue North Facing: North

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Photo: PA250038 Description: S19- EPA was on the bridge looking upstream at Cranberry Creek. Location: Facing downstream on the bridge located on 8th Street E west of 13th Avenue North Facing: North Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Description: S19 – EPA was on the bridge looking upstream at Cranberry Creek.

Location: Facing Upstream on the bridge over Cranberry Creek on 8th Street E west of 13th Avenue North Facing: North

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:01 AM



Photo: PA250040

Description: Nearest intersection of 8th street East and 13th Avenue North. EPA collected samples on Cranberry Creek.

Location: EPA standing at the intersection of 8th Street East and 13th Avenue North Facing: East

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:02 AM



Photo: PA250041 Description: EPA coming up from collecting samples from Cranberry Creek. Location: On the bridge located on 8th Street E west of 13th Avenue Facing: West

Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:02 AM




Photo: PA250042 Description: S19 – EPA was on the bridge looking downstream at Cranberry Creek. Location: On the bridge over Cranberry Creek on 13^{th} Avenue Facing: South Date/Time: 10/25/2017




Photo: PA250043 Description: S19- Opposite bank looking downstream from where samples were collected from Cranberry Creek. Location: On the bridge over Cranberry Creek on 13th Avenue Facing: Southeast Date/Time: 10/25/2017 at 11:02 AM .

ATTACHMENT B

MAPS





ATTACHMENT C

FINAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Berkeley Laboratory Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene Chicago Regional Laboratory



Fecal Source Results

Sample_ID	Organization	Received_date Sample_type	Extracted_matrix	Analysis_date Extraction_mathod	Method_name	Classifier Spike_mix	#Diagnostic_probes Unit_name	Result_Bird	Result_Dog	Result_Horse.	Result_Human	Result_Pig	Result_Ruminant	Notes*
R5_S16	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Filter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	149 proportion	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	
R5_S17	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Filter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	148 proportion	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	
R5_\$18	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Filter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	145 proportion	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.05	
R5_\$19	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Filter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	56 proportion	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	
R5_S20	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Fifter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	93 proportion	0.14	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	
R5_S22	EPA_R5	12/13/2017 Field	Filter	1/11/2018 MP FastDNA	PhyloChip_G3	SourceTracker_6057 Second Genome 2017	134 proportion	0.18	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	

Values >=0.2 (red) indicate strong source signal. Likely source.
 Values >=0.1 and <0.2 (yellow) indicate marginal source signal: Possible source, recommend additional testing.
 Values <0.1 indicate low source signal. Unlikely source.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350283001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) NERCOSA, WI Sampling Point: BASEMENT TAP

Collection Date: 10/23/2017 1:31:00 PM

Date Received: 10/23/2017 Date Reported: 10/24/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

BEFORE SOFTENER

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ
Prep Date	10/23/17	Analysis Date	10/24/17				
E. Coli			SM9223BMPN	< 1	MPN/100 mL		1



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350283001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

Report ID: 4687372



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350545001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: SO2 Sampling Point: KITCHEN TAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 8:50:00 AM

Date Received: 10/24/2017 Date Reported: 10/25/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17				
Total Coliform - Colilert-MPN QT			SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	L	1
E. Coli - Ce	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	Ĺ	1

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

WSLH Sample: 350545001

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NEL

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350542001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, 1L 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Instailers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) S03 Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 9:53:00 AM

Date Received:10/24/2017Date Reported:10/25/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte		Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date 10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Coliform - Colilert-M	PN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	
E. Coli - Colilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350542001

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

NELAP LAB ID: E37658



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350643001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP 1

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 10:30:00 AM

Date Received: 10/24/2017 Date Reported: 10/30/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

RE-REPORTED TO ADD LESS THAN RESULTS

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Colife	orm - Colilert-MF	PN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	
E. Coli - C	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	



Wisconsin State aboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350643001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Previous Reports

This sample was previously reported under the following report ID(s): 4692854

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NEL

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPALAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350641001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Date Received:10/24/2017Date Reported:10/30/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 10:48:00 AM

Sampling Location: (b) (6) S05 Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP

Driller or Pump Installers License #:

Sample Comments

RE-REPORTED TO ADD LESS THAN RESULTS

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte	Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date 10/24/17 Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Coliform - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	L	1	
E. Coli - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	L	1	



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350641001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Previous Reports

This sample was previously reported under the following report ID(s): 4692848

Responsible Party



Owner:

Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LA

NELAP LAB ID: E37658 EPA

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350544001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location:(**(b) (6)**

Sampling Point: KITCHEN TAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 9:15:00 AM

Date Received:10/24/2017Date Reported:10/25/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Colife	orm - Colilert-MF	PN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	L	1 .	
E. Coli - C	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	L	1	

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350544001

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAF

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350638001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Date Received: 10/24/2017 Date Reported: 10/30/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 12:07:00 PM Owner:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump installers License #: Sampling Location: Sor Sampling Point: KITCHEN TAP

Sample Comments

RE-REPORTED TO ADD LESS RESULTS

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Total Colife	опп - Colilert-M	PN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	nt.	1	
E. Coli - C	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 m	nL	1	



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350638001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Previous Reports

This sample was previously reported under the following report ID(s): 4692839

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NE

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350543001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, 1L 60604

Customer ID: 352275

10/24/2017

10/25/2017

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Date Received:

Date Reported:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) S08

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 9:33:00 AM

Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Colifo	orm - Colilert-Mi	PNQT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	
E. Coli - Ce	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ

Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD

if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350543001

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

NELAP LAB ID: E37658



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NE

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350639001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS

77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Date Received:10/24/2017Date Reported:10/30/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 11:48:00 AM Owner:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: S12 Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP

Sample Comments

RE-REPORTED TO ADD LESS THAN RESULTS

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17	2				
Total Colife	orm - Colilert-MI	PN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	
E. Coli - C	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350639001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Previous Reports

This sample was previously reported under the following report ID(s): 4692842

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350642001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) S14 Sampling Point: OUTSIDE TAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 12:53:00 PM

Date Received: 10/24/2017 Date Reported: 10/30/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

RE-REPORTED TO ADD LESS THAN RESULTS

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	 Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Colife	orm - Colilert-MP	N QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	
E. Coli - Ca	olilert-MPN QT		SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1	



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350642001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Previous Reports

This sample was previously reported under the following report ID(s): 4692851

Responsible Party



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 10:09:00 AM

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350541001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

icense #

Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Date Received:10/24/2017Date Reported:10/25/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Sampling Location:

(b) (6)

Sampling Point: KITCHEN TAP

Microbiology

Unique Well #: NA

Well Construction:

County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installe

Owner:

Analyte	Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ
Prep Date 10/24/17 Analysis Date	e 10/25/17				
Total Coliform - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1
E. Coli - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL	0	1

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection

LOQ = Level of quantification

ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD

F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ

Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD

if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350541001

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

NELAP LAB ID: E37658



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELA

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350640001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: CHERYL BURDETT Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6) St20 Sampling Point: BASEMENT TAP

Collection Date: 10/24/2017 12:30:00 PM

Date Received: 10/24/2017 Date Reported: 10/25/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Microbiology

Owner:

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/24/17	Analysis Date	10/25/17					
Total Colifo	m - Colilert-MPN	QT	SM9223BMPN	1	MPN/100 mL		1	

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/neiac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

WSLH Sample: 350640001

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

NELAP LAB ID: E37658



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350963001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

10/25/2017

10/26/2017

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Date Received:

Date Reported:

Owner:

Collection Date: 10/25/2017 8:38:00 AM

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: (b) (6)

Sampling Point: KITCHEN TAP

Microbiology

Analyte	Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ
Prep Date 10/25/17 Analysis Date	10/26/17				
Total Coliform - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	91	MPN/100 mL		1
E. Coli - Colilert-MPN QT	SM9223BMPN	<1	MPN/100 mL		1

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ. Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350963001

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270

NELAP LAB ID: E37658



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAI

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350959001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA
Well Construction:
County: WOOD
Driller or Pump Installers License #:
Sampling Location: S. PETENWELL LAKE
S16
Sampling Point: OTHER

Collection Date: 10/25/2017 9:22:00 AM

Date Received:10/25/2017Date Reported:10/26/2017Sample Reason:OTHER

Sample Comments

LAKE

Owner:

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ
Prep Date	10/25/17	Analysis Date	10/26/17				
E. Coli			SM9223BMPN	1	MPN/100 mL		1



Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350959001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Responsible Party



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350960001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: N PETENWELL LAKE S17 Sampling Point: OTHER

Collection Date: 10/25/2017 10:02:00 AM

Date Received: 10/25/2017 Date Reported: 10/26/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

LAKE

Owner:

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/25/17	Analysis Date	10/26/17					
E, Coli			SM9223BMPN	15	MPN/100 mL		1	



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350960001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350961001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: SPUD CREEK S18 Sampling Point: OTHER

Collection Date: 10/25/2017 10:39:00 AM

Date Received:10/25/2017Date Reported:10/26/2017Sample Reason:INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

STREAM

Owner:

Microbiology

Analyte			Analysis Method	Result	 Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date	10/25/17	Analysis Date	10/26/17					
E. Coli			SM9223BMPN	20	MPN/100 m	۱L	1	



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350961001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable.

Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edn

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790 NELAP LAB ID: E37658

7658 EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350962001

Report To: CHERYL BURDETT ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604 Invoice To: JOAN ROGERS 77 WEST JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604

Customer ID: 352275

Collected By: JOAN ROGERS Well Completion Date:

Unique Well #: NA Well Construction: County: WOOD Driller or Pump Installers License #: Sampling Location: CRANBERRY CREEK S19 Sampling Point: OTHER

Collection Date: 10/25/2017 10:58:00 AM

Date Received: 10/25/2017 Date Reported: 10/26/2017 Sample Reason: INVESTIGATION

Sample Comments

STREAM

Owner:

Microbiology

Analyte		Analysis Method	Result	Units	LOD	LOQ	
Prep Date 10/25/17	Analysis Date	10/26/17					
E. Coli		SM9223BMPN	74	MPN/100 mL		1	



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene 2601 Agriculture Drive, PO Box 7996 Madison, WI 53707-7996 (800)442-4618 - FAX (608)224-6213 http://www.slh.wisc.edu

Laboratory Report

D.F. Kurtycz, M.D., Medical Director - Prof. James J. Schauer, Ph.D., Director

Environmental Health Division

WDNR LAB ID: 113133790

NELAP LAB ID: E37658

EPA LAB ID: WI00007, WI00008 WI DATCP ID: 105-415

WSLH Sample: 350962001

List of Abbreviations:

LOD = Level of detection LOQ = Level of quantification ND = None detected. Results are less than the LOD F next to result = Result is between LOD and LOQ Z next to result = Result is between 0 (zero) and LOD if LOD=LOQ, Limits were not statistically derived

Test results for NELAP accredited tests are certified to meet the requirements of the NELAC standards. For a list of accredited analytes see http://www.slh.wisc.edu/about/compliance/nelac-laboratory-accreditation

Results, LOD and LOQ values have been adjusted for analytical dilutions and percent moisture where applicable. Results relate only to the items tested.

This Laboratory Report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The water microbiology unit analyzes samples as received and not all samples are tested for preservation before analysis is performed.

Responsible Party

Microbiology: Sharon Kluender, Lab Manager, 608-224-6262 Inorganic Chemistry: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Metals: DeWayne Kennedy-Parker, Lab Manager, 608-224-6282 Organic Chemistry: Al Spallato, Lab Manager, 608-224-6269 Emergency Chemical Response: Noel Stanton, Lab Manager, 608-224-6251 Environmental Toxicology: Tracy Hanke, Lab Manager, 608-224-6270



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



Date:	11/17/2017
Subject:	Review of Region 5 Data for CSD
To:	Water Division, US EPA Region 5
	77 West Jackson Boulevard
	Chicago, IL 60604
From:	Colin Breslin, Chemist
	US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with the EPA QA/G-8 *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* and the U.S. EPA Region 5 RMD QMP, CRL performs data verification on all the data generated internally. CRL does not perform data validation or quality assessment procedures.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

x COLIN BRESLIN Digitally signed by COLIN BRESLIN Date: 2017.11.17 11:24:52 -06'00'

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues, Robert Thompson at (312)-353-9078 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: CSD

Data Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

BOD

Page 1 of 5 Report Name: 1710017 BOD FINAL Nov 17 17 1116

1

1



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Nov-17-17 11:16

Analysis Case Narrative

General Information

Water samples for the analysis of 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory (CRL). The samples met the temperature preservation requirement of less than or equal to 6 °C. The samples were analyzed within the 48 hour hold time. The designated analyst, Colin Breslin, can be reached at 312-886-2912.

The samples were prepared and analyzed according to CRL SOP AIG006A, Version #3 (based on SM 5210B).

Sample Analysis and Results

The data reported herein meets the requirements referenced in the SOP used for analysis and any laboratory specifications stated in the Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) titled "General Field Sampling Plan for AFO Inspections FY 2018".

The final results for samples with CRL identification numbers 1710017-20, 1710017-21, and 1710017-22 were flagged as "J - The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate". The results were estimated because there were no depletions in the sample dilution series that were greater than 2.0 mg/L from the initial dissolved oxygen measurement to the final dissolved oxygen measurement, which is the valid depletion criteria. All other quality control audits were within acceptable limits. The lack of depletion is attributable to little or no biochemical oxygen demand in the samples.

Quality Control

All Quality Control (QC) audits were within CRL limits for the requested analytes or did not result in qualification of the data.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Nov-17-17 11:16

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
S18	1710017-13	Water	Oct-25-17 10:39	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$19	1710017-14	Water	Oct-25-17 10:58	Oct-26-17 08:09
S16	1710017-20	Water	Oct-25-17 09:22	Oct-26-17 08:09
S17	1710017-21	Water	Oct-25-17 10:02	Oct-26-17 08:09
B03	1710017-22	Water	Oct-25-17 09:46	Oct-26-17 08:09
D03	1710017-23	Water	Oct-25-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Nov-17-17 11:16

BOD, 5 day, SM 5210 B (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S18 (1710017-13)	Matrix: Water	Samp	pled: Oct-2	25-17 10:39	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Aualyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	U			2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17
S19 (1710017-14)	Matrix: Water	Samı	led: Oct-2	25-17 10:58	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Aualyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	U			2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matrix: Water	Samı	oled: Oct-2	25-17 09:22	Receiv	/ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Aualyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	2	J		2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 10:02	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	4	J		2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-2	25-17 09:46	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	4	J		2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-2	25-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	13			2	mg/L	1	B17J036	Oct-26-17	Oct-26-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Nov-17-17 11:16

Notes and Definitions

- J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.
- * This Quality Control measure meets the requirements of the CRL SOP for this analyte.
- U Not Detected
- NR Not Reported
- Q QC limit Exceeded

ъ. ъ



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



 Date:
 12/20/2017

 Subject:
 Review of Region 5 Data for CSD

 To:
 Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

 From:
 Laurence Wong, SEEP Analyst US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

 Analyst is a (check one):
 SEE Enrollee
 ORISE Participant
 Contractor

 Grantee Organization or Contract Company:
 DAPCA
 Assigned to the Chicago Regional Laboratory at U.S. EPA, Region 5

 Reviewed by (initials, date):
 MAPCA
 , chemist at Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with the EPA QA/G-8 *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* and the U.S. EPA Region 5 RMD QMP, CRL performs data verification on all the data generated internally. CRL does not perform data validation or quality assessment procedures.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

2 Langer Car Decamber 20, 2017 Х

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues, Robert Thompson at (312)-353-9078 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: CSD

Data Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

Solids, TDS

Solids, TSS

Page 1 of 8 Report Name: 1710017 Solids, TDS Solids, TSS FINAL Dec 20 17 1529



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-20-17 15:29

ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE - TSS

General Information

Twenty-three (23) water samples under Work Order #1710017 were received on October 26, 2017. Six (6) of them were for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) analysis. The designated analyst was Laurence Wong; and the contact person, Francis Awanya (phone number: 312-886-3682).

The samples were received in good conditions: on ice, at 0.4 to 1.9° C, well within the QC limit of 6.0° C. Their preparation and analysis began on November 1, 2017, following procedure CRL SOP AIG018 Version #3 (based on Standard Method 2540 D), and were completed on November 2, 2017. The samples were kept in refrigerator at $\leq 6^{\circ}$ C at all time except when in use. The sample holding time limit was met. Other pertinent information is provided in the final analysis report.

Sample Analysis and Results

Three (3) samples had fine, light and fluffy green-algae-like materials floating inside them. These materials were likely the significant portions of their suspended solids contents. These samples yielded detectable TSS results of 10 mg/L (Sample #1710017-20, client designation S16), 14 mg/L (Sample #1710017-21, client designation S17) and 17 mg/L (Sample #1710017-23, client designation D03). Three (3) other samples all yielded results less than the detectable limit of 5 mg/L.

No specific client Quality Assurance Project Plan for this analysis is archived in the QAPPs folders in the CRL's share drive G. All the analysis requirements are thus defaulted to the CRL guidelines. The data reported herein meet the requirements and limits referenced in the afore-mentioned CRL SOP.

Quality Control

All quality control (QC) audits followed CRL guidelines. The required quality control criteria for the laboratory, method, and system performance audits were evaluated and determined to be within the CRL's QC limits



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-20-17 15:29

ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE - TDS

General Information

Six (6) of the twenty-three (23) water samples received on October 26, 2017 under Work Order #1710017 were for Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) analysis. The designated analyst was Laurence Wong; and the contact person, Francis Awanya (phone number: 312-886-3682).

The samples were received in good conditions: on ice, at 0.4 to 1.9°C, well within the QC limit of 6.0°C. Their preparation and analysis began on November 1, 2017, and were completed on November 22, 2017, following procedure CRL SOP AIG017 Version #4 (based on Standard Method 2540 C). The samples were kept in refrigerator at ≤ 6 °C at all-time except when in use. The sample holding time limit was met. Other pertinent information is provided in the final analysis report.

Sample Analysis and Results

One (1) sample (#1710017-22, client designation B03) had a result less than the detectable limit of 20.0 mg/L. The results of the other five (5) samples ranged from 116 mg/L (Sample #1710017-14, client designation S19) to 156 mg/L (Sample #1710017-21, client designation S17).

No specific client Quality Assurance Project Plan for this analysis is archived in the QAPPs folders in the CRL's share drive G. All the analysis requirements are thus defaulted to the CRL guidelines. The data reported herein meet the requirements and limits referenced in the afore-mentioned CRL SOP.

Quality Control

All quality control (QC) audits followed CRL guidelines. The required quality control criteria for the laboratory, method, and system performance audits were evaluated and determined to be within the CRL's QC limits.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-20-17 15:29

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
S18	1710017-13	Water	Oct-25-17 10:39	Oct-26-17 08:09
S19	1710017-14	Water	Oct-25-17 10:58	Oct-26-17 08:09
S16	1710017-20	Water	Oct-25-17 09:22	Oct-26-17 08:09
S17	1710017-21	Water	Oct-25-17 10:02	Oct-26-17 08:09
B03	1710017-22	Water	Oct-25-17 09:46	Oct-26-17 08:09
D03	1710017-23	Water	Oct-25-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-20-17 15:29

Dissolved Solids, SM 2540C (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S18 (1710017-13)	Matri	x: Water	Sampl	ed: Oct-25	-17 10:39	Rece	ived: Oct-2	6-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	122			20.0	mg/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
519 (1710017-14)	Matri	ix: Water	Sampl	ed: Oct-25	-17 10:58	Recé	ived: Oct-2	6-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	116	· · · ·		20.0	mg/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matri	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	-17 09:22	Rece	ived: Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	148	<u>.</u>		20.0	ıng/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matri	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	5-17 10:02	Rece	ived: Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	156			20.0	mg/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 09:46	Rece	eived: Oct-:	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	, Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	U			20.0	mg/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matı	ix: Water	Sam	led: Oct-2	5-17 10:09	Rec	eived: Oct-	26-17 08:09	k
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Dissolved Solids	136	****		20.0	mg/L	1	B17J040	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-20-17 15:29

Total Suspended Solids, SM 2540 D (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S18 (1710017-13)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	-17 10:39	Rece	ived: Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	U			5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
S19 (1710017-14)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	iled: Oct-25	-17 10:58	Rece	ved; Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	U			5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	-17 09:22	Rece	ived: Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	10.			5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	-17 10:02	Rece	ived: Oct-2	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	d Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	14		····	5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-25	5-17 09:46	Rece	eived: Oct-:	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	U			5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matr	ix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 10:09	Rece	eived: Oct-:	26-17 08:09	
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Suspended Solids	17			5	mg/L	1	B17J041	Nov-01-17	Nov-01-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project:	CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number:	01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager:	Cheryl Burdett	Dec-20-17 15:29

Analyte Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-20-17 15:29

Notes and Definitions

U Not Detected

NR Not Reported

Q QC limit Exceeded



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605

Date:	12/8/2017
Subject:	Review of Region 5 Data for CSD
Te:	Water Division, US EPA Region 5
	77 West Jackson Boulevard
	Chicago, IL 60604
From:	Anna Knoebel, Chemist
	US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with the EPA QA/G-8 *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* and the U.S. EPA Region 5 RMD QMP, CRL performs data verification on all the data generated internally. CRL does not perform data validation or quality assessment procedures.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

Digitally signed by Anna Knoebeł Date: 2017.12.08 13:29:35 -06'00' u Knowled Х

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues, Robert Thompson at (312)-353-9078 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: CSD

Data Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

Nitrate-Nitrite N DA, Enzymatic reduction

Page 1 of 7 Report Name: 1710017 Nitrate-Nitrite N DA, Enzymatic reduction FINAL Dec 08 17 1325

1

1



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryi Burdett

Reported: Dec-08-17 13:25

ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE - Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen in Water

Work Order: 1710017 Analyst: Anna Knoebel Phone #: (312) 353-9467

General Information

Twenty-three water samples for Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen were received on October 26, 2017. All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis and Results

The samples were analyzed for Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen in water on November 16 – 17, 2017 using CRL SOP AIG031B, Version # 3 (SOP based on ASTM D7781-14). The samples were stored in the refrigerator at all times except when in use.

A new SOP (AJG031B) which follows ASTM method D7781-14 (*Standard Test Method for Nitrate-Nitrite in Water by Nitrate Reductase*) was added in August of 2015. This method is different than the method referenced in the sampling QAPP "FY 2017 General Field Sampling Plan 02132017." The data reported herein meets any laboratory specifications referenced in the sampling QAPP, sampling plan "2015 General Field Sampling Plan 040715," and the requirements referenced in CRL SOP AIG031B, Version # 3 (based on ASTM D7781-14) except for those listed in the quality control section below.

Quality Control

Matrix Spike (MS)

The matrix spike recovery for sample 1710017-01 (S01) was below the acceptance limit (70 – 125 %). The blank spike (BS) recoveries (105 - 10.9 %) and other QC audit recoveries were within the CRL limits. The sample and spike were diluted tenfold, as a result the spike was diluted out and the sample was not qualified on this basis.

All other quality control audits were within CRL limits or did not result in qualification of the data



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago L, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-08-17 13:25

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
S01	1710017-01	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
D01	1710017-02	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
B01	1710017-03	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
S02	1710017-04	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
B02	1710017-05	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
D02	1710017-06	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
S03	1710017-07	Water	Oct-24-17 09:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
S04	1710017-08	Water	Oct-24-17 10:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
S05	1710017-09	Water	Oct-24-17 10:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$07	1710017-10	Water	Oct-24-17 12:07	Oct-26-17 08:09
S08	1710017-11	Water	Oct-24-17 09:33	Oct-26-17 08:09
S12	1710017-12	Water	Oct-24-17 11:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
S18	1710017-13	Water	Oct-25-17 10:39	Oct-26-17 08:09
S19	1710017-14	Water	Oct-25-17 10:58	Oct-26-17 08:09
S06	1710017-15	Water	Oct-24-17 09:15	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$15	1710017-16	Water	Oct-24-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$14	1710017-17	Water	Oct-24-17 12:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$20	1710017-18	Water	Oct-24-17 12:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
S22	1710017-19	Water	Oct-25-17 08:38	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$16	1710017-20	Water	Oct-25-17 09:22	Oct-26-17 08:09
S17	1710017-21	Water	Oct-25-17 10:02	Oct-26-17 08:09
B03	1710017-22	Water	Oct-25-17 09:46	Oct-26-17 08:09
D03	1710017-23	Water	Oct-25-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018

Reported: Dec-08-17 13:25

Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen, Nitrate Reductase, ASTM D7781 - 14 (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1710017-01)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	37.8		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
D01 (1710017-02)	Matrix: Water	Samj	oled: Oct-2	23-17 13:31	Recei	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	37.3		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
B01 (1710017-03)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-2	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Aualyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	υ	U	0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
S02 (1710017-04)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	/ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Lunit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	35.2		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
B02 (1710017-05)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-J	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	U	U	0,04	0,10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
D02 (1710017-06)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	34.8		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S03 (1710017-07)	Matrix: Water	Samp	ied: Oct-2	4-17 09:53	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDI.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	5.50		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Aualyzed



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-08-17 13:25

Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen, Nitrate Reductase, ASTM D7781 - 14 (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

504 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1			
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.41		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
505 (1710017-09)	Matrix: Water		led: Oct-2	4-17 10:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.10		0.04	0,10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
807 (1710017-10)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:07	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	8.59	<u> </u>	0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S08 (1710017-11)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09:33	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Ртеpared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	4.83		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
S12 (1710017-12)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 11:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Lunit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.23		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-16-17
S18 (1710017-13)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	25-17 10:39	Receiv	Received: Oct-26-17 08:09			
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	4.29		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S19 (1710017-14)	Matrix: Water	Sam	oled: Oct-2	25-17 10:58	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.34		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S06 (1710017-15)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	24-17 09:15	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	30.1		0.40	1.00	ıng/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-;	24-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018

Reported: Dec-08-17 13:25

Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen, Nitrate Reductase, ASTM D7781 - 14 (modified)

Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-2	4-17 10:09	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-2	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	5,44		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S14 (1710017-17)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-2	4-17 12:53	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	28.2		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S20 (1710017-18)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	4-17 12:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		,
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	23.9		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S22 (1710017-19)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	5-17 08:38	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Алајује	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	28.4		0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	5-17 09:22	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDI,	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.49		0,04	0,10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	5-17 10:02	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0,66		0.04	0.10	mg/L	l	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-2	5-17 09:46	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	L7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	U	U	0.40	1.00	mg/L	10	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-2	25-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Nitrate-Nitrite N	0.65		0.04	0.10	mg/L	1	B17K020	Nov-16-17	Nov-17-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5ProjectCSD77 West Jackson BoulevardProject Number:01CB2018Reported:Chicago IL, 60604Project Manager:Cheryl BurdettDec-08-17 13:25

Notes and Definitions

J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

U Not Detected

NR Not Reported

Q QC limit Exceeded



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



Date:	12/8/2017
Subject:	Review of Region 5 Data for CSD
To:	Water Division, US EPA Region 5
	77 West Jackson Boulevard
	Chicago, IL 60604
From:	Anna Knoebel, Chemist
	US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with the EPA QA/G-8 *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* and the U.S. EPA Region 5 RMD QMP, CRL performs data verification on all the data generated internally. CRL does not perform data validation or quality assessment procedures.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

Digitally signed by Anna Knoebel Date: 2017.12.08 09:39:00 -06'00' from Knochel х.

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues, Robert Thompson at (312)-353-9078 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: CSD

Data Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

Ammonia N DA, Distilled

Page 1 of 8 Report Name: 1710017 Ammonia N DA, Distilled FINAL Dec 08 17 0932

1

1



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-08-17 09:32

ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE – Distilled Ammonia Nitrogen in Water

Work Order: 1710017 Analyst: Anna Knoebel Phone #: (312) 353-9467

General Information

Twenty-three water samples for Ammonia Nitrogen were received on October 26, 2017. All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis and Results

The samples were distilled and analyzed on November 17 & 20, 2017 for Ammonia Nitrogen in water using CRL SOP AIG029B, Version # 5 (based on method 4500 - NH3 - B & H). The samples were stored in the refrigerator at all times, except when in use.

The data reported herein meets any laboratory specifications referenced in the sampling QAPP "FY 2017 General Field Sampling Plan 02132017" and "2014 reporting request for CAFO samples 062014." The data reported herein also meets the requirements referenced in CRL SOP AIG029B, Version # 5 (based on method 4500 – NH3- B & H).

Quality Control

All quality control audits were within CRL limits or did not result in qualification of the data.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-08-17 09:32

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	 Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
S01	1710017-01	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
D01	1710017-02	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
B01	1710017-03	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
S02	1710017-04	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
B02	1710017-05	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
D02	1710017-06	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
S03	1710017-07	Water	Oct-24-17 09:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
S04	1710017-08	Water	Oct-24-17 10:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
S05	1710017-09	Water	Oct-24-17 10:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
S07	1710017-10	Water	Oct-24-17 12:07	Oct-26-17 08:09
S08	1710017-11	Water	Oct-24-17 09:33	Oct-26-17 08:09
S12	1710017-12	Water	Oct-24-17 11:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
S18	1710017-13	Water	Oct-25-17 10:39	Oct-26-17 08:09
S19	1710017-14	Water	Oct-25-17 10:58	Oct-26-17 08:09
S06	1710017-15	Water	Oct-24-17 09:15	Oct-26-17 08:09
S15	1710017-16	Water	Oct-24-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09
S14	1710017-17	Water	Oct-24-17 12:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
S20	1710017-18	Water	Oct-24-17 12:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
\$22	1710017-19	Water	Oct-25-17 08:38	Oct-26-17 08:09
S16	1710017-20	Water	Oct-25-17 09:22	Oct-26-17 08:09
S17	1710017-21	Water	Oct-25-17 10:02	Oct-26-17 08:09
B03	1710017-22	Water	Oct-25-17 09:46	Oct-26-17 08:09
D03	1710017-23	Water	Oct-25-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project	CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number:	01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager:	Cheryl Burdett	Dec-08-17 09:32

Ammonia Nitrogen, SM4500B & H (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1710017-01)	Matrix: Water	Samp	ied: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
D01 (1710017-02)	Matrix: Water	- Samj	pled: Oct-2	23-17 13:31	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0,20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
B01 (1710017-03)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S02 (1710017-04)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U	····	0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
B02 (1710017-05)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	/ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
D02 (1710017-06)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	/cd: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S03 (1710017-07)	Matrix: Water	Samp	ied: Oct-2	4-17 09:53	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0,20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit		Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-08-17 09:32

Ammonia Nitrogen, SM4500B & H (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	Ľ		0.12	0.20	mgЛ	J	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
805 (1710017-09)	Matrix: Water	-	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	}	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S07 (1710017-10)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:07	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Repo rt ing Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S08 (1710017-11)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09:33	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	Limit 0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S12 (1710017-12)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 11:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-J	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilntion	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S18 (1710017-13)	Matrix: Water	Samp	Sampled: Oct-25-17 10:39		Received: Oct-26-17 08:09				
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reportin <u>e</u> Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	υ		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S19 (1710017-14)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	:d: Oct-25-17 10:58 Rec		Received: Oct-26-17 08:09			
Analyie	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K022	Nov-17-17	Nov-17-17
S06 (1710017-15)	Matrix: Water		oled: Oct-2	24-17 09:15	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	J	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-2	24-17 10:09	Recei	red: Oct-26-	17 08:09		



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burde	tt Dec-08-17 09:32

Ammonia Nitrogen, SM4500B & H (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:09	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Алајуtе	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDI.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	υ		0,12	0.20	mg/L	1.	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S14 (1710017-17)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:53	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Baich	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	υ		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S20 (1710017-18)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Uuits	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	· U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S22 (1710017-19)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 08:38	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Uuits	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	Ŭ		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 09:22	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	0.26	1999 (1999) (1999) (1999) (1999) (1999) (1999)	0.12	0.20	mg/L	I	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matrix: Water	Sampled: Oct-25-17 10:02		Received: Oct-26-17 08:09					
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	25-17 09:46	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDI.	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matrix: Water	Samj	oled: Oct-2	25-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-:	17 08:09		
Апајује	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Ammonia as N	U		0.12	0.20	mg/L	1	B17K024	Nov-20-17	Nov-20-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-08-17 09:32



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

W	Vater Division, US EPA Region 5	Project:	CSD		
7	7 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number:	01CB2018		Reported:
C	bicago IL, 60604	Project Manager:	Cheryl Burdett	D	ec-08-17 09:32

Notes and Definitions

J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

U Not Detected

NR Not Reported

Q QC limit Exceeded



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



Date:	12/12/2017
Subject:	Review of Region 5 Data for CSD
To:	Water Division, US EPA Region 5
	77 West Jackson Boulevard
	Chicago, IL 60604
From:	Nidia Fuentes, Chemist
	US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with the EPA QA/G-8 *Guidance on Environmental Data Verification and Data Validation* and the U.S. EPA Region 5 RMD QMP, CRL performs data verification on all the data generated internally. CRL does not perform data validation or quality assessment procedures.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

Nidia Fuentes 12/12/17 Х

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues, Robert Thompson at (312)-353-9078 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: CSD

Data Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

TKN DA

Total Phosphorus DA

Page 1 of 11 Report Name: 1710017 TKN DA Total Phosphorus DA FINAL Dec 12 17 1355

1 1



 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605

 Phone:(312)353-8370
 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-12-17 13:55

Analysis Case Narrative

General Information

A total of 23 water sample to be analyzed for Total Phosphorus (TP) were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory on October 26, 2017. The samples were analyzed within the holding time. The designated analyst for the samples is Nidia Fuentes. Nidia can be reached at 312-353-9079.

Sample Analysis and Results

The data reported herein meets any laboratory specifications referenced in the sampling "FY 2017 General Field Sampling Plan 02132017" and the limits referenced in CRL SOP AIG034B Version #4 (based on EPA method 365.4).

Quality Control

All quality control audits were within the CRL's limits.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-12-17 13:55

Analysis Case Narrative

General Information

A total of 23 water samples, to be analyzed for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory on October 26, 2017. The samples were digested and analyzed using CRL SOP AIG035B, Version #6 (based on EPA method 351.2). All holding times were met. The designated analyst for these samples is Nidia Fuentes. Nidia can be reached at 312-353-9079.

Sample Analysis and Results

The data reported herein meets any laboratory specifications referenced in the sampling "FY 2017 General Field Sampling Plan 02132017", and the limits referenced in CRL SOP AIG035B Version #6 (based on EPA method 351.2).

Quality Control

All quality control audits were within the CRL limits, with the exception of one out of three sample matrix spike.

Sample 1710017-21 (S17) spike recovery (120%) was out of the limit of 90 to 100%. Sample result was flagged "K" meaning: The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value may be biased high. The actual value is expected to be less than the reported value.



Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

536 South Clark Street, Chicago, TL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5Project: CSD77 West Jackson BoulevardProject Number: 01CB2018Chicago IL, 60604Project Manager: Cheryl BurdettDec-12-17 13:55

ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
501	1710017-01	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
D01	1710017-02	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
B01	1710017-03	Water	Oct-23-17 13:31	Oct-26-17 08:09
S02	1710017-04	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
B02	1710017-05	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
D02	1710017-06	Water	Oct-24-17 08:50	Oct-26-17 08:09
S03	1710017-07	Water	Oct-24-17 09:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
S04	1710017-08	Water	Oct-24-17 10:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
S05	1710017-09	Water	Oct-24-17 10:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
S07	1710017-10	Water	Oct-24-17 12:07	Oct-26-17 08:09
S08	1710017-11	Water	Oct-24-17 09:33	Oct-26-17 08:09
S12	1710017-12	Water	Oct-24-17 11:48	Oct-26-17 08:09
S18	1710017-13	Water	Oct-25-17 10:39	Oct-26-17 08:09
S19	1710017-14	Water	Oct-25-17 10:58	Oct-26-17 08:09
S06	1710017-15	Water	Oct-24-17 09:15	Oct-26-17 08:09
S15	1710017-16	Water	Oct-24-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09
S14	1710017-17	Water	Oct-24-17 12:53	Oct-26-17 08:09
S20	1710017-18	Water	Oct-24-17 12:30	Oct-26-17 08:09
S22	1710017-19	Water	Oct-25-17 08:38	Oct-26-17 08:09
S16	1710017-20	Water	Oct-25-17 09:22	Oct-26-17 08:09
S17	1710017-21	Water	Oct-25-17 10:02	Oct-26-17 08:09
B03	1710017-22	Water	Oct-25-17 09:46	Oct-26-17 08:09
D03	1710017-23	Water	Oct-25-17 10:09	Oct-26-17 08:09



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604 Project: CSD Project Number: 01CB2018 Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett

Reported: Dec-12-17 13:55

Phosphorus, Colorimetric, EPA 365.4 (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1710017-01)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.04	J	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
D01 (1710017-02)	Matrix: Water	Samı	oled: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting		Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U	Quanners	0.04	Limit 0.15	Units mg/L	1	Bi7K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
	,,								
B01 (1710017-03)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	3-17 13:31	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U	<u></u>	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S02 (1710017-04)	Matrix: Water		oled: Oct-2	4-17 08:50	Receiv	red: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	- Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
B02 (1710017-05)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-2	24-17 08:50	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
A 1. 4		Flags /		Reporting			.		
Analyte Total Phosphorus	Result U	Qualifiers	0.04	Limit 0,15	Units mg/L	Dilution 1	Batch B17K001	Prepared Nov-01-17	Analyzed Nov-03-17
D02 (1710017-06)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-:	24-17 08:50	Recei	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.30		0.04	0,15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S03 (1710017-07)	Matrix: Water	Sam	oled: Oct-2	4-17 09:53	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting		Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U	Quantiers	0.04	Limit 0,15	Units rug/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
		0				1.0.4.20	7 00.00		
S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water		pled: Oct-2	24-17 10:30	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

- 1			
	Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
ļ	77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported;
	Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Phosphorus, Colorimetric, EPA 365.4 (modified)

S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Sam	oled: Oct-2	4-17 10:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0,15	wg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
505 (1710017-09)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	4-17 10:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Aualyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.04	J	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S07 (1710017-10)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12: 07	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0.15	nıg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S08 (1710017-11)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09 :33	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.05	J	0,04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S12 (1710017-12)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 11:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S18 (1710017-13)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 10:39	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0,15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
519 (1710017-14)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	5-17 10:58	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.45		0,04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
806 (1710017-15)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09:15	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0,15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:09	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago 1L, 60604	Project Manager: Cheryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Phosphorus, Colorimetric, EPA 365.4 (modified)

815 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:09	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	Ŭ		0.04	0,15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
S14 (1710017-17)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:53	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	× 1.	Flags /		Reporting		D.1	D + 1		
-	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	U		0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
S20 (1710017-18)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
ат.		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.04	J	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
S22 (1710017-19)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	25-17 08:38	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.04	J	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 09:22	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.09	1	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 10:02	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-2	17 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.11	J	0.04	0.15	ıng/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matrix: Water	Samj	pled: Oct-	25-17 09:46	Recei	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	D14	Flags / Onalifiers) (D)	Reporting	\	Dilution	Detek	Descard	Analyzad
-	Result		MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	0.06	J	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matrix: Wateı	Sam	pled: Oct-	25-17 10:09	Recei	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qnalifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phoenharma	0.10	j	0.04	0.15	mg/L	1	B17K001	Nov-01-17	Nov-06-17
Total Phosphorus	V.IU		0.04	0.19	mg, D				1107-00-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project:	CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number:	01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager:	Cheryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, EPA 351.2 (modified)

S01 (1710017-01)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	23-17 13:31	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Popult	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting	 .	Dilution	Batch	Branned	A peliuse 3
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Result 0,23	J	0.20	Limit 0.50	Units mg/L	Dilution 1	B17K002	Prepared Nov-01-17	Analyzed Nov-03-17
Totar Kjeldalli Pittogen	0.25		0.20	0.00	ing/E	-			
D01 (1710017-02)	Matrix: Water		pled: Oct-2	23-17 13:31	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0,30	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
B01 (1710017-03)	Matrix: Water	- Samı	oled: Oct-2	23-17 13:31	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
		Flags /		Reporting					
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
502 (1710017-04)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 08:50	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.38	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
B02 (1710017-05)	Matrix: Water	· Samp	oled: Oct-2	24-17 08:50	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	L7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0.20	0,50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
D02 (1710017-06)	Matrix: Water	- Samj	oled: Oct-2	24-17 08:50	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-:	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.31	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S03 (1710017-07)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09:53	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.22	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S04 (1710017-08)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 10:30	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting		Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.20	J	0.20	Limit 0,50	Units mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S05 (1710017-09)	Matrix: Water	Comp	1. 1. 0.4 1	24-17 10:48		ed: Oct-26-1			



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: C	CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 0	01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: C	Cheryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, EPA 351.2 (modified)

S05 (1710017-09)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 10:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0,20	0,50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
S07 (1710017-10)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:07	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.22	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
808 (1710017-11)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 09:33	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S12 (1710017-12)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 11:48	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S18 (1710017-13)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 10:39	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.36	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S19 (1710017-14)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	25-17 10:58	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.67		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S06 (1710017-15)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 09:15	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	L7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.25	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S15 (1710017-16)	Matrix: Water		pled: Oct-2	24-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-1	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.20	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17



 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605

 Phone:(312)353-8370
 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project:	CSD	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number:	01CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager:	Cheryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, EPA 351.2 (modified)

S14 (1710017-17)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	4-17 12:53	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.26	l	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S20 (1710017-18)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	24-17 12:30	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-3	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0,25	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
822 (1710017-19)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 08:38	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.28	J	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S16 (1710017-20)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 09:22	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	1.33		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
S17 (1710017-21)	Matrix: Water	Samp	led: Oct-2	25-17 10:02	Receiv	ed: Oct-26-1	7 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	1.01	К	0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-03-17
B03 (1710017-22)	Matrix: Water	Sam	pled: Oct-2	25-17 09:46	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	U		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17
D03 (1710017-23)	Matrix: Water	Samp	oled: Oct-2	25-17 10:09	Receiv	ved: Oct-26-	17 08:09		
Analyte	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDL	Reporting Limit	Units	Dílution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.92		0.20	0.50	mg/L	1	B17K002	Nov-01-17	Nov-02-17



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5	Project: CSI)	
77 West Jackson Boulevard	Project Number: 01C	CB2018	Reported:
Chicago IL, 60604	Project Manager: Che	ryl Burdett	Dec-12-17 13:55

Notes and Definitions

- K The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value may be biased high. The actual value is expected to be less than the reported value.
- J The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

U Not Detected

- NR Not Reported
- Q QC limit Exceeded

ATTACHMENT D

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN PHYLOCHIP QAPP

•

GENERAL FIELD SAMPLING PLAN FOR AFO INSPECTIONS FY 2018

FIELD SAMPLING PLAN: WECAB inspectors plan to inspect Animal Feeding Operations.

PREPARED BY: Cheryl Burdett, U.S. EPA Region 5, 312-886-1463 Joan Rogers, U.S. EPA Region 5, 312-886-2785

INSPECTION DESCRIPTION: The purpose of the sampling will be to collect samples from private wells and nearby surface waters to see if pollutants that are associated with CAFOs are contaminating wells and the nearby surface waters.

SITE DESCRIPTION: Private wells and Surface Waters in and near Nekoosa, Wisconsin

BIOSECURITY

Prior to the inspections, EPA inspectors must familiarize themselves with the EPA Biosecurity Procedures, the EPA Biosecurity SOP, and should follow all guidelines for frequency of site visits to AFOs. There must be a designated area in the vehicle for the storage of samples and separate areas for clean and dirty equipment in order to prevent cross contamination to the clean areas of the vehicle or uncontaminated equipment. During the inspection, inspectors must discuss biosecurity guidelines with the facility representative and are encouraged to adopt more stringent biosecurity measures, as appropriate. Inspectors must also be mindful of their movement around the facility, making sure they only go from high health areas (nursery/sow units) to low health areas (finishing units).

During sampling, samples will be preserved on-site and put in a zip -lock baggie on ice in the appropriate cooler. Samples must be secured separately from other equipment and dirty sampling equipment must be bagged and stored for proper cleaning at a later time or left at the site for disposal. Inspectors must use hand sanitizer before entering the clean part of the vehicle. A biosecurity checklist can be utilized to capture the biosecurity protocols that were followed at a site.

NUMBER OF SAMPLES: Average of 15-20 samples may be taken (fewer than 16 liquid samples per day, possible solid samples taken in place of liquid samples if no flow). Each site will collect samples for the following parameters:

		Priv	vate W	/ells/Surface	e Waters				
Location ID	Date Collecting	Location of sample	E. coli	Nutrients	Phylo chip	QPC R	BOD	TDS	TSS
	Sample								
S01	10/23/2017	Private Well	Х	X	X	X			
B01	10/23/2017	1 st site	X	X					
S02	10/24/2017	Private well	X	Х	X	X			
B02	10/24/2017	1 st Site		Х	X				
D01	10/24/2017	Private Well		Х	X	X	1	1	
S03	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X	X		1	
S04	10/24/2017	Private well	Х	X	X				·
S05	10/24/2017	Private well	X	X	X			<u> </u>	
S07	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X				-
S08	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X	X			
S11	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X				
S12	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X	1			
S13	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	X	X				<u> </u>
S15	10/24/2017	Private Well	X	Х	X				
B03	10/25/2017	1 st site		X		1	X	X	X
*S16	10/25/2017	Petenwell Lake	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
*S17	10/25/2017	Petenwell Lake	Х	X	X		X	X	X
S18	10/25/2017	Spud Creek	Х	Х	X		X	X	X
S19	10/25/2017	Yellow River	Х	Х	X		X	X	X
S20	10/25/2017	? Creek	X	X	X		X	X	X

*Ask homeowner if I can have access to Petenwell lake at location S07 and S08.

DUPLICATE SAMPLES: Duplicate samples will be collected in the same manner and from the same location, immediately after collecting the primary sample. Duplicate samples are taken as a quality control check of the sampling method. Duplicates should be taken at 10% of all sample points.

SPLIT SAMPLES: EPA will offer to split samples with the facility. If split samples are requested by the facility, the samples are collected simultaneously from the same source under identical conditions and are collected with one bottle and dispensed into two separate bottles except for the bacteria samples which will be collected in separate containers.

SAMPLE NETWORK AND RATIONALE: Pictures will be taken to document sample techniques and location. The volume of sulfuric acid used for the preservation of each sample will be recorded on the chain of custody form. Disposable sampling equipment and nitrile gloves, will be used at all sites.

Sampling will take place in the following areas at each facility, listed in order of priority:

1) For samples taken at private homes, EPA will take samples from the private owners outside spigot unless requested by home owner to take it inside or outside spigot is not working. EPA will confirm that all home disinfection systems will be shutoff or will bypass the area of sampling.

2) For samples from the surface waters, EPA will try to collect the sample approximately one foot below the surface. EPA will stand downstream from the where the sample is being collected, so the sediment below is not disturbed.

The samples will be collected by hand or alternative methods using mitrile gloves into the appropriate collection bottles. Sampling equipment and bottles will be obtained from CRL, Region 5 for Nutrients and General Chemistry and UW Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene for the bottles used for the Phylochips and the E. coli. Used disposable sampling equipment will be bagged and disposed of at either the facility or at Region 5, EPA.

Grab samples will typically be collected directly into sample bottles and may be taken with one bottle and transferred into the separate bottles for the general chemistry and nutrients samples. Bacteria samples will be taken with a grab sample using only one bottle.

Precision, accuracy and sensitivity of all measurements as described in the Region 5 Central Regional QA Facility Plan shall be sufficient for this sampling plan.

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND SAMPLE ANALYSIS PARAMETERS:

State Water Quality Standards and/or protocol will be used as resources to determine the level of pollutants that are discharging into the waterway and from the source (see attached). Potential parameters needed for this inspection are listed in the table below. The parameters needed for this inspection will be marked on the chain of custody record.

Liquids:	General Chemistry: BOD 5-day, TDS, TSS
	Nutrients: Nitrogen Ammonia, Nitrate + Nitrite, TKN, Total Phosphorus
	Bacteria: Fecal Coliform or E. coli
Solid:	Nutrients: Nitrogen Ammomia, TKN, Total Phosphorus

CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND TRANSPORTATION PROCEDURES:

All samples will be tagged with an ID which indicates the general location of the sample. Standard chain of custody forms will be used. E. coli samples will be transported by car to the appropriate independent laboratory, which is Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene in Madison, Wisconsin.

All other samples will be transported to EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory.

DATE SAMPLES ARRIVE AT THE LAB: All BOD 5-day, TDS, and TSS samples will be kept on ice, locked in the vehicle and either shipped to the Chicago Regional Laboratory (CRL) or hand delivered to the CRL. All nutrient samples (i.e. TKN, Total Phosphorus, Nitrogen Ammonia, and Nitrate + Nitrite) will be preserved with Sulfuric Acid, kept on ice, locked in the vehicle and either shipped to the CRL or hand delivered to the CRL. The CRL address is:

Chicago Regional Laboratory Attention: Robert Snyder 10th Floor 536 S Clark Street Chicago, IL 60605

Fecal coliform or E. coli samples will **not** be sent to the CRL. Pending the approval of funds, fecal coliform or E. coli samples will be analyzed by a contract laboratory. All fecal coliform or E. coli samples will be delivered to the lab within 6 hours of when they are collected. (Need to confirm contract lab contract with ERG.)

Samples that will be used for the Phylochip analysis will be collected in an autoclaved 250 mL HDP bottle that we received from Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene Madison, Wisconsin. The samples will be stored on ice for no longer than 6 hours, at which time Aaron Jastrow, CRL Microbiologist, will use membrane filtration. The filter will then be put in a vial on dry ice until Wednesday, October 25, 2017, where it will be moved to a -80 cooler as we wait to ship them to UC Berkeley.

CONTACTS:

Kenneth Gunter, WECAB Laboratory Liaison: 3-9076

<u>Contractor</u>

Jessica Gray, P.E. Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) 14555 Avion Parkway Suite 200 Chantilly, VA 20151 703-633-1681 703-263-7280 (fax) jessica.gray@erg.com

Chicago Regional Lab:

Robert Thompson, Laboratory Contact: 3-9078 Francis Awanya, Laboratory Contact: 6-3682 George Schupp, Laboratory Contact: 3-1226 Robert Snyder, Sample Custodian: 3-9083 Danielle Kleinmaier, Back-up Sample Custodian when Rob Snyder is unavailable: 3-9771

Fecal Coliform Labs:

Teklab

5445 Horseshoe Lake Road Collinsville, IL 62234 John Riley: 618-344-1004

Lyons Lab 9795 Route 20 East Stockton, IL 61085 Sean Lyons: 815-947-3360 (office) 815-541-7875 (cell) Larry Lyons: 815-947-3310 (cell)

PDC Laboratories, Inc

2231 West Altorfer Drive Peoria, IL 61615 Kurt Stepping: 309-683-1719 www.pdclab.com

Prairie Analytical

1210 Capital Airport Drive Springfield, IL 62707 Michael Brophy: 217-753-1148

Pace Analytical Labs

1241 Bellevue St #9 Green Bay, WI 54302-2156 Steve Mieczko: 920-469-2436

Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene

465 Henry Hall Mall
Madison, Wisconsin
Sharon KInder (?) Water Microbiology
608-224-6266
Needs to be called as early as possible if we need this lab. I am not sure if 3:30 is standard, but she gave until 3:30 for our samples on
4/9/15 provided we call her by noon to let her know if we are bringing samples in. Need a better address.

Microbac Laboratory Services

250 West 84th Drive Merrillville, IN 46410 219-769-8378 (Office) 219-472-4565 (Direct) 219-746-3125 (Cell)

A&L Great Lakes Laboratories

3505 Conestoga Drive Fort Wayne, IN 46808 260-482-4759

EMS Analytical, Inc.

212 S. Wagner Road Ann Arbor, MI 48103 734-668-6810

Saginaw County Dept. of Public Health Laboratory Services Division

1600 N. Michigan Ave., Rm. 102 Saginaw, MI 48602 Tamara Theisen 989-758-3680 ttheisen@saginawcounty.com

Brookside Laboratories, Inc.

308 South Main Street New Knoxville, OH 45871 419-753-2448 Kari Long 419-977-2766 klong@blinc.com

Alloway-Lima Laboratory

1101 North Cole Street Lima, OH 45805 Nicole Jones 419-223-1362

SAFETY EQUIPMENT FOR COLLECTING AND/OR PRESERVING SAMPLES:

Nitrile gloves Long pants Latex boots Safety Glasses/Goggles

EQUIPMENT:

Equipment	Location	Contact
Latex Boots and	12 th Floor Safety Office	Shanna Horvatin
Nitrile Gloves		Horvatin.shanna@epa.gov
Any other PPE		Becky Fisher
		Fisher.becky@epa.gov
Sample bottles	CRL Lab 7th floor Common Use Room	Rob Snyder
pH buffers	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
		Joan Rogers
Sulfuric Acid	CRL	Rob Snyder

Duct Tap/ Garbage	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
Bags		Joan Rogers
Extension Rod for	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
Grab Sampling		Joan Rogers
Chain of Custody	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
Forms		Joan Rogers
Sample Tags	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
1 0		Joan Rogers
Rite in the Rain	Top file cabinet drawer across from cubicle	Cheryl Burdett
notebooks	number	Joan Rogers
Sharpies	15 th floor north side copy room closet	Cheryl Burdett
		Joan Rogers
DI- Water	CRL	Rob Snyder
pH Test Strips	CRL	Rob Snyder

TEST PARAMETER METHODS

Parameter	EPA 40 CFR 136 Test Method	Sample volume	Container	Type of collection	Preservative	Hold- ing time	Lab
Ammonia	SM 4500-NH3 B/G	500 mL	500 mL natural HDPE Boston Round with unlined polypropylene cap. Cleaned procedure A.	Grab *fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius Sulfuric acid <2	28 days	CRL
BOD 5-Day	SM 5210 B	1L	1 L Natural HDPE bottles cylinder round with polyethylene unlined cap.	Grab * fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius	48 hours	CRL
TKN	351.2	1L	500 mL natural HDPE Boston Round with unlined polypropylene cap. Cleaned procedure A.	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius Sulfuric acid <2	28 days	CRL
Nitrate + Nitrite	NEci Method N07-0003	500 mL	500 mL natural HDPE Boston Round with unlined polypropylene cap. Cleaned procedure A.	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius Sulfuric acid <2	28 days	CRL
Total Phosphorus	365.4	500 mL	500 mL natural HDPE Boston Round with unlined polyethylene cap. Cleaned procedure A.	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius Sulfuric acid <2	28 days	CRL
Ammonia (solids)	SM 4500-NH3 B/G	500 ml	500 ml wide mouth glass jar	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius	28 days	CRL

TKN (solids)	351.2	500 ml	500 ml wide mouth glass jar	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius	28 days	CRL
Phosphorus (solids)	365.4	500 ml	500 ml wide mouth glass jar	Grab * Fill to the top	Ice 6 degrees Celsius	28 days	CRL
TSS	SM 2540 D	1L	1 L Natural HDPE bottles cylinder round with polyethylene unlined cap.	Grab	Ice at 6 degrees Celsius	7 days	CRL
TDS	SM 2540 C	1L	I L Natural HDPE bottles cylinder round with polyethylene unlined cap.	Grab	Ice at 6 degrees Celsius	7 days	CRL
Fecal Coliform Or E. coli	TBD	250 mL	250 mL borosilicate glass bottle with screw-cap. Sodium thiosulfate (0.1 ml of 10% solution per 250 mL) is added before sterilization.	Grab (no transfer of sample).	Ice at 6 degrees Celsius	6 hours	TBD

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN NOOKSACK RIVER WATERSHED MICROBIAL SOURCE TRACKING STUDY

Version 1.0

Water Resources Division Natural Resources Department Lummi Indian Business Council

Natural Resources Whatcom County Public Works

EPA Region 10 Laboratory

December 2015

Nooksack River Watershed Microbial Source Tracking Study Quality Assurance Project Plan Approval (A1):

Name and Title	Signature	Date
Leroy Deardorff Lummi Nation Natural Resources (LNR) Department Deputy Director	Derry Dearlong	1/4/16
Jeremy Freimund, P.H. Lummi Nation MST Study Project Manager LNR Water Resources Manager	Jevery Friend	1/4/2016
Jamle L. Mattson Lummi Nation MST Study QA Officer LNR Water Resources Specialist II	Samie L Mallson	12-28-15
Hanna Winter Lummi Nation MST Study Coordinator LNR Water Resources Specialist I	Hame int	12-23-15
William Zachmann EPA Region 10 Project Manager Gary Stoygka	William Judhum	-1/4/16
Whatcom County Program Manager Stephanie Bailey EPA Region 10 Laboratory Microbiologist	SAR ZI	44/11_ 12-21-15
Barry Pepich EPA Region 10 Laboratory Director	(myles	12-21-15
Donald M. Brown EPA Region 10 Quality Assurance Manager	Seuffall	12-21-2015

·

. .

.

Table of Contents

1.	DIST	RIBUTION LIST (A3)	1
2.	PRC	JECT ORGANIZATION (A4)	
3.	PRC	BLEM DEFINITION/BACKGROUND	5
	3.1.1	Objectives and Goals	7
4.	PRC	JECT DESCRIPTION (A6)	
4	.1	PARTNERS	9
4	.2	PROJECT/TASK DESCRIPTION AND SCHEDULE (A6)	9
4	.3	DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND CRITERIA (A7)	10
4	.4	SPECIAL TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION (A8)	
4	1.5	DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS (A9)	
	4.5.1	QAPP Distribution	
	4.5.2	Field and Laboratory Documentation and Records	
	4.5.3	Quarterly and/or Final Reports	
5.	DAT	A GENERATION AND ACQUISITION	
5	5.1	SAMPLING DESIGN (EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN) (B1)	13
	5.1.1	Sampling Schedule	
	5.1.2	Health and Safety	15
5	5.2	SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION	15
5	5.3	SAMPLING METHODS (B2)	
	5.3.1	Sample Bottles	16
	5.3.2	Sample Collection and Handling	
	5.3.3	Representative Location	
	5.3.4	Site Disturbance	
	5.3.5	Sample Collection With a Sampling Wand	
	5. <i>3.</i> 6	Sample Collection by Hand (Without a Sampling Wand)	
	5.3.7	Field Duplicates	
	5.3.8	Field Transfer Blank Corrective Actions	
r	5.3.9	Sample Handling, Custody and Documentation (B3)	
	5.4	ANALYTICAL METHODS (B4)	
-	5.5		
6.	QU	ALITY CONTROL (B5)	23
(5.1	FIELD DUPLICATE	
(5.2	ASEPTIC FIELD TECHNIQUES	
(6.3	Field Transfer Blank	
(6.4	HOLDING TIMES	24
(6.5	Chain-of-Custody Form	24
(6.6	EPA REGION 10 LABORATORY QA/QC	24
	6.6.1	Filtration Blank	
	6.6.2	Positive Control	25

	6.6.3	Negative Control	25
	6.6.4	Negative Sample Result Interpretation	25
	6.6.5	Out of Specification	25
	6.6.6	Specificity	25
	6.6.7	Additional Laboratory Checks	26
7.	INST	RUMENTS/EQUIPMENT	29
7.1	1	INSTRUMENT/EQUIPMENT TESTING, INSPECTION, AND MAINTENANCE (B6)	29
7.2	2	INSTRUMENT/EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND FREQUENCY (B7)	29
7.3	3	INSPECTION/ACCEPTANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR SUPPLIES AND CONSUMABLES (B8)	
7.4	1	DATA ACQUISITION REQUIREMENTS (NON-DIRECT MEASUREMENTS) (B9)	29
8.	DAT	A MANAGEMENT (B10)	31
9.	ASSE	SSMENT AND OVERSIGHT	22
φ.	7,000		
9.1			
	L.	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2)	
9.1	L . 2	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1)	
9.1 9.2		Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY	
9.1 9.2 10. 10		Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2)	
9.1 9.2 10. 10	L 2 DAT/ .1	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY Data Review, Verification, and Validation (D1, D2)	
9.1 9.2 10. 10	L 2 DAT .1 10.1.1	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY Data Review, Verification, and Validation (D1, D2) Data Review	
9.1 9.2 10. 10	DATA 10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY Data Review Data Review Data Verification	
9.1 9.2 10. 10	DAT/ 10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 .2	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY Data Review, Verification, and Validation (D1, D2) Data Review Data Verification Data Validation	
9.1 9.2 10. 10	DAT/ .1 10.1.1 10.1.2 10.1.3 .2 REFE	Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1) Reports to Management (C2) A REVIEW AND USABILITY Data Review, Verification, and Validation (D1, D2) Data Review Data Verification Data Verification Data Validation Reconciliation with User Requirements (D3)	

List of Tables

Table 4.1 Timeline for the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study	9
Table 5.1 Sample Locations for MST Study	. 13
Table 6.1 Summary of EPA Region 10 Laboratory QA/QC Procedures	. 27
Table 13.1 Summary Sampling Schedule for Nooksack River Watershed MST Study	. 55
Table 13.2 Data Quality Objectives Summary	. 57

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Regional Location of the Nooksack River Watershed and the Lummi Indian
Reservation
Figure 5.1 Nooksack River Watershed Microbial Source Tracking Study Sample Site Locations 14

1. DISTRIBUTION LIST (A3)

- Merle Jefferson, Lummi Nation Natural Resources Director; (360) 312-2328; Merlej@lummi-nsn.gov
- Leroy Deardorff, Lummi Nation Natural Resources Deputy Director; (360) 312-2318; LeroyD@lummi-nsn.gov
- *Jeremy R. Freimund, P.H., Lummi Nation Water Resources Manager; (360) 312-2314; JeremyF@lummi-nsn.gov
- *Jamie L. Mattson, Lummi Nation Water Resources Specialist II; (360) 312-2313; JamieM@lummi-nsn.gov
- *Hanna Winter, Lummi Nation Water Resources Specialist I; (360) 312-2312; <u>HannaW@lummi-nsn.gov</u>
- Lisa Cook, Lummi Nation Water Resources Technician III; (360) 312-2324; LisaC@lummi-nsn.gov
- Victor Johnson, Natural Resources Specialist I; (360) 312-2326; VictorJ@lummi-nsn.gov
- Craig Dolphin, Database Manager; (360) 312-2304; CraigD@lummi-nsn.gov
- Westley Foster, EPA Tribal Coordinator; (206) 553-1604; Foster. Westley@epa.gov
- Stephanie Bailey, EPA Region 10 OEA LAB Microbiology Technical Lead; (360) 871-8739; Bailey.Stephanie@epa.gov
- William Zachmann, EPA Region 10 Office of Water and Watersheds Project Manager; (360) 753-9543; Zachmann.Bill@epa.gov
- Jennifer Crawford, EPA Region 10 OEA QA Chemist / Regional Sample Control Coordinator; (206) 553-6261; <u>Crawford.Jennifer@epa.gov</u>
- *Erika Douglas, Whatcom County Natural Resources Senior Planner; 360-778-6230; EDouglas@co.whatcom.wa.us
- *Gary Stoyka, Whatcom County Natural Resources Program Manager; 360-778-6230; gstoyka@co.whatcom.wa.us

Recipients identified on this distribution list will be sent updated versions of this document or copies of minor change letters for this document immediately after they are approved. Recipients listed may also obtain the most current copy of the approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) by contacting the Lummi Nation Water Resources Manager or Water Resources Specialist II or from the Lummi Natural Resources Department website through the following link: <u>http://lnnr.lummi-nsn.gov/LummiWebsite/Website.php?PageID=56</u>.

Final laboratory results will be distributed by Stephanie Bailey, to individuals marked with an asterisk in the distribution list above.

This page intentionally left blank

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 December 2015

2. PROJECT ORGANIZATION (A4)

The following individuals are responsible for the design and implementation of this project, and/or will be the primary data users and decision makers:

- Leroy Deardorff, Lummi Natural Resources Department Deputy Director, is responsible for ensuring that the Lummi Water Resources Division has the necessary resources and effectively fulfills the roles and responsibilities identified in this QAPP.
- Jeremy Freimund P.H., Lummi Nation Water Resources Manager, is responsible for overseeing Lummi Natural Resources Department (LNR) Water Resources Division (LWRD) employees who will implement the Nooksack River Watershed Microbial Source Tracking (MST) Study and will serve as the Quality Assurance Manager for LWRDcollected samples.
- Jamie Mattson, Lummi Nation Water Resources Specialist II, is responsible for overseeing the work of the Water Resources Specialist I and serves as the Quality Assurance Officer for LWRD-collected samples.
- Hanna Winter, Lummi Nation Water Resources Specialist I, is responsible for implementing the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study for the LWRD, including preparation and maintenance of the official, approved Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), data collection, and sample delivery to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 10 Environmental Laboratory, and overseeing the Water Resources Technician III.
- Lisa Cook, Lummi Nation Water Resources Technician III, is responsible for assisting the Water Resources Specialist I with sample collection, tracking, and delivery, including performing quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) activities.
- **Stephanie Bailey**, EPA Region 10 Environmental Laboratory Microbiologist, is responsible for the preparation of the laboratory-specific sections of this QAPP, analysis of MST samples, and preparation of the final lab report.
- Bill Zachmann, EPA Region 10 Office of Water and Watersheds Project Manager, will serve as the primary point of contact at EPA Region 10 for the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study.
- Jennifer Crawford, EPA Region 10 Regional Sample Control Coordinator, will coordinate sample analyses performed by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory and will provide unique assigned project codes, Region 10 sample numbers, and training on Region 10 sample collection, documentation and shipment requirements to LWRD and Whatcom County staff implementing the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study.
- Donald M. Brown, EPA Region 10 Regional Quality Assurance Manager, is responsible for reviewing and approving the QAPP and any subsequent revisions and amendments. Responsibilities for quality assurance (QA) review are also be delegated to R10 QA

Chemists. For this project, Jennifer Crawford will provide QA support and oversight for the project.

- Gary Stoyka, Whatcom County Public Works Natural Resources Program Manager, is responsible for overseeing Whatcom County employees who will implement the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study, including the Whatcom County Senior Planner, and will serve as the Quality Assurance Officer for Whatcom County-collected samples.
- Erika Douglas, Whatcom County Public Works Natural Resources Senior Planner, is
 responsible for implementing the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study for Whatcom
 County, including overseeing sample collection, tracking, and delivery to the EPA Region
 10 Laboratory.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITION/BACKGROUND

The Nooksack River watershed comprises the majority of the Water Resources Inventory Area 1 (WRIA 1) located in Whatcom County in Washington State (Figure 3.1). From its headwaters in the northwestern Cascade Mountains, the Nooksack River drains approximately 809 square miles, comprising most of western Whatcom County, including agricultural areas and the developed lowlands surrounding the towns of Deming, Everson, Lynden, and Ferndale. The Nooksack River enters the Lummi Indian Reservation at its eastern extent, which contains the majority of the river delta before it discharges into the marine waters of Bellingham Bay. The Nooksack River is also the primary source of freshwater into Portage Bay, which is located approximately 5 miles southwest of the Nooksack River delta (DOH 1997).




Figure 3.1 Regional Location of the Nooksack River Watershed and the Lummi Indian Reservation.

Portage Bay is located within the Lummi Indian Reservation boundaries and contains important shellfish beds harvested for commercial, cultural, and subsistence purposes by members of the

Lummi Nation. Fecal coliform contamination from the Nooksack River presently and historically has threatened Portage Bay shellfish growing areas and resulted in shellfish harvest closures.

In consultation with the Lummi Nation, pursuant to the Shellfish Consent Decree (Order Regarding Shellfish Sanitation, United States v. Washington [Shellfish], Civil Number 9213, Subproceeding 89-3, Western District of Washington, 1994), the Washington State Department of Health (DOH) is responsible to the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to ensure that the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) standards for certification of shellfish growing waters are met on the Reservation. Fecal coliform concentrations and *in situ* water quality (temperature and salinity) have been monitored in Portage Bay by the Lummi Water Resources Division (LWRD) in partnership with the DOH since 1989. A total of 12 samples sites in Portage Bay are currently monitored. In addition, bacteria (fecal coliform, E. coli, and enterococcus) and in situ water quality parameters (temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, and salinity) have been monitored at several surface water quality sample sites on the Lummi Reservation, including the Nooksack River at Marine Drive Bridge, as part of the Lummi Nation Ambient Surface Water Quality Monitoring Program that was established in 1993. The program provides data regarding the water quality and bacteria levels of waters entering Portage Bay from the Nooksack River and from on-Reservation sources. There are currently 18 surface water quality samples sites in and draining into Portage Bay in this ambient monitoring program that are sampled on a regular basis.

The Whatcom County Public Works Natural Resources Division has been monitoring 17 sites in the Nooksack River watershed since 1998. Sites are currently sampled twice per month for water temperature, turbidity, and fecal coliform bacteria.

Commercial shellfish beds located on the Lummi Indian Reservation were downgraded from "approved" to "restricted" status in various areas in Portage Bay from 1996 to 2006. The cause of the downgrades was attributed to Nooksack River water entering Portage Bay (Ecology 2000). According to the 1997 DOH Sanitary Survey of Portage Bay, fecal contamination of the Nooksack River was the result of manure management practices by dairy farms in the Nooksack River watershed, and these sources represent a high probability of being the principal source of fecal contamination in Portage Bay. The presence of Nooksack River water in Portage Bay occurs frequently and is evidenced by lowered salinities, salinity-based stratification, and/or color. In general, elevated fecal coliform bacteria levels in Portage Bay are associated with lower surface salinities.

In 2000, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Nooksack River was developed (Ecology 2000) and a TMDL implementation plan was executed (Ecology 2002). Improvement in water quality that resulted in the reopening of shellfish beds in 2006 was generally attributed to the combined effects of inter-agency coordination; water quality monitoring in Portage Bay and the Nooksack River watershed; compliance enforcement inspections by the EPA and Washington State Department of Ecology; and technical assistance and financial support to Nooksack River watershed dairy operations and municipalities (LWRD and Salix 2006). Although these efforts were initially successful in dramatically improving water quality in the Nooksack River watershed (essentially all of the TMDL targets were achieved at all of the quantification sites at

the end of the first quarter in 2004) and the reopening of all of the Portage Bay shellfish growing area, soon after the shellfish beds were reopened these improvements started to be reversed. The degradation trends of water quality in the Nooksack River and Portage Bay was obvious as early as 2010. In September 2014, a 335-acre portion of the Portage Bay shellfish growing area was voluntarily closed to harvest by the Lummi Nation to protect public health after two monitoring sites exceeded the NSSP fecal coliform standards. In March 2015, after poor water quality was encountered again in November 2014 that affected additional sample sites, the DOH changed the classification of nearly 500 acres of Portage Bay, including the portions already under the voluntary closure, from "approved" to "conditionally approved." The conditional closure prohibits commercial shellfish harvest from April through June and from October through December (DOH 2015). Due to the poor water quality and associated public health threat, the Lummi Nation has also closed these areas to ceremonial and subsistence harvests.

The primary goal of the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study is to identify sources of the fecal coliform contamination in the Nooksack River and Portage Bay. Information regarding the sources (human, ruminant, or other) of the fecal coliform contamination will aid in identification of strategies to reduce bacterial loading in the Nooksack River and Portage Bay. Potential sources of contamination are failing septic systems (human-source), farms with poor manure management (ruminant-source), ruminant-type wildlife (ruminant-source) and/or non-ruminant wildlife including birds and marine mammals (other non-human, non-ruminant sources).

3.1.1 Objectives and Goals

The Nooksack River Watershed MST Study will provide information regarding the types of fecal coliform contamination at selected sample sites in the Nooksack River, its tributaries, and Portage Bay. Potential sources of fecal coliform contamination include human, ruminant, or other (e.g., non-ruminant wildlife, including birds or marine mammals).

Additional studies may be needed to further refine sources, depending on the results of the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study. Results will inform collaborators on the Nooksack River TMDL implementation and the Whatcom Clean Water Program on the selection of strategies for reducing fecal coliform loads in the Nooksack River and Portage Bay, with the ultimate goal of meeting Nooksack River TMDL targets and Washington State, Lummi Nation, and NSSP water quality standards.

The EPA Region 10 Manchester Laboratory will assist the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners (LWRD and Whatcom County) by providing the described MST analyses, with EPA lab staff funding and support provided by the EPA Region 10 Office of Water and Watersheds.

This page intentionally left blank

4. PROJECT DESCRIPTION (A6)

4.1 Partners

The Nooksack River Watershed MST Study is a partnership between the Lummi Nation Water Resources Division (LWRD), Whatcom County Public Works Natural Resources Division (Whatcom County), and EPA Region 10.

4.2 Project/Task Description and Schedule (A6)

This QAPP provides information regarding the collection and analysis of water samples from the Nooksack River, its tributaries, and Portage Bay to help determine the source of fecal coliform contamination in these waterbodies. The basic field and analytical tasks required to achieve the objectives of this project are:

- 1. Collect grab samples of water from designated locations within the watershed.
- 2. Analyze the samples for the presence of species-specific *Bacteroides* markers using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology.

This Nooksack River Watershed MST Study will begin in January 2016 and is expected to be completed in April 2017. Samples will be collected from eight sites in the Nooksack River watershed and Portage Bay for twelve months over the January 2016 to December 2016 period. Laboratory analysis may continue for up to four months following receipt of the final batch of samples. The final laboratory results will be provided to all partners by March 31, 2017. Table 4.1 includes a schedule for conducting tasks related to this project. The schedule is a guideline only as it is possible that unforeseen circumstances and conditions will require adjustment to some or all of the following proposed dates. A sampling schedule with specific dates and any subsequent changes with be communicated to the EPA lab staff and the EPA RSCC prior to sample collection.

		2016 2017															
Task	D	1	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	0	N	D	J	F	М	A
QAPP Approval	Х	1															
Sample Collection		X	X	x	x	X	x	х	x	x	x	x	Х				
Lab Analysis		X	X	X	X	x	х	х	x	х	x	х	Х	x	x	x	
Final Lab Reporting																X	X

Table 4.1	Timeline for the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study	

4.3 Data Quality Objectives and Criteria (A7)

The primary data quality objective for the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study is to characterize the qualitative (presence/absence) source(s) of fecal contamination at the targeted locations to the following level of differentiation: human source, ruminant source, or other (non-human, non-ruminant) source. The results will be used to determine if additional source identification work is needed at these locations, and will help guide development of strategies to reduce bacterial loading in the Nooksack River and Portage Bay.

The microbial source tracking analytical methodology is not quantitative; laboratory analysis results indicate either the presence or absence of human source, ruminant source, or other *Bacteroides* species. Due to the qualitative results of the laboratory analysis, the precision and accuracy of the method is unknown at this time and information about the relative contribution of the various sources in each sample will not be possible to develop.

Accuracy is a measure of the closeness of a sample analysis result to the "true" value. Although the accuracy of the MST method overall is currently unknown, the accuracy of the data will be determined through the use of positive and negative laboratory QA/QC samples and the calculation of specificity (see Sections 6.6 and 6.6.6).

Representativeness is defined as the degree to which data accurately and precisely represents characteristics of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, or an environmental condition. For this project, representativeness is ensured through the collection of samples at representative locations of the sampled waterbody. Collection and analysis of duplicate field samples provides information about the field variability of bacterial sources.

Data are comparable if collection techniques, measurement procedures, methods, and reporting units are equivalent for the samples within the study. Comparable data for this project will be obtained by following field and laboratory methods as specified in this QAPP and specifying standard units for results. All samples will be collected on the same day to ensure comparability.

Analytical completeness is defined as the percentage of valid analytical results requested. Although collection and analysis of 100% of the samples planned for sampling and analysis in this QAPP is anticipated, sample collection and analysis is considered complete if 90% of planned samples are collected and analyzed.

The desired method sensitivity is one strand of *Bacteroides* DNA targeted during PCR. It is anticipated that the presence of one strand of DNA in a sample will be detected, and is reported as a "presence" of the appropriate source type (human, ruminant, other).

The measurement performance criteria/acceptance criteria for this project are discussed in Section 6, Quality Control. In general, if a sample, or associated controls, fall outside of the acceptance criteria, they are rejected and either re-sampled or re-analyzed, as appropriate.

4.4 Special Training and Certification (A8)

Field personnel who are responsible for the collection of Nooksack River Watershed MST Study samples are trained in aseptic sampling techniques. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring that staff members are adequately trained. No special training or certification is required.

Although the MST analysis is not an accredited parameter, the EPA Region 10 Laboratory's Quality System is accredited by the NELAC Institute.

4.5 Documents and Records (A9)

4.5.1 QAPP Distribution

The LWRD Water Resources Specialist I is responsible for maintaining and updating the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP. The LWRD Water Resources Manager is responsible for ensuring that appropriate project personnel have the most current, approved version of the QAPP, including any updates. The final version of the QAPP and any updates will be distributed in portable document file (PDF) format.

Substantial QAPP updates will be transmitted to all partners for approval as an entire document with identification and justification of changes. Major updates will result in a change in the number before the decimal point in the QAPP version number (*e.g.,* change of name from Version 1.0 to 2.0).

Minor updates to the QAPP will be transmitted to all partners for approval via a letter that identifies changes and justifications. Minor updates include correction of mistakes and non-substantial changes to the QAPP. Corrections of mistakes are tracked through the use of a lower case letter at the end of the QAPP version number (*e.g.*, change of name from Version 1.0 to 1.0a). Non-substantial minor changes are tracked through change of the number following the decimal point in the QAPP version number (*e.g.*, change of name from Version 1.0 to 1.1).

4.5.2 Field and Laboratory Documentation and Records

Field documentation includes but is not limited to field notes, photographs, sample datasheets, chain-of-custody forms, and laboratory results. All field documentation will be archived in electronic and paper format by the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partner collecting the samples.

Laboratory documentation includes but is not limited to raw data, sample preparation and analysis logbooks, and results of calibration and QA/QC checks. The EPA Region 10 Laboratory will archive the following documents: (1) signed hard copies of sampling and chain-of-custody records; and (2) electronic and hard copies of analytical data. The EPA Region 10 Laboratory will store all sample receipt, sample log-in, and laboratory instrument documentation for a minimum of ten years. Laboratory documentation is generated and maintained by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory.

All electronic records are stored on secured servers that are backed up regularly, ideally nightly.

4.5.3 Quarterly and/or Final Reports

The EPA Region 10 Laboratory will provide a final laboratory analysis report to all project partners within four months of the last sampling event (*i.e.*, April 2017). The report will be distributed to all individuals included on the distribution list.

5. DATA GENERATION AND ACQUISITION

The elements in this section ensure that appropriate methods for sampling, measurement and analysis, data collection, data handling, and QA/QC activities are employed and documented.

5.1 Sampling Design (Experimental Design) (B1)

The EPA Region 10 Laboratory will analyze up to 120 MST samples over the course of the project, including quality control samples. Samples will be collected monthly at eight locations for twelve months. Field staff will collect grab samples of approximately 250 ml of water at each designated site. One sterile transfer blank and one field duplicate will be included as quality control samples in each monthly sample batch. The samples will be shipped to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory within the 30-hour maximum holding time. The EPA Region 10 Laboratory will analyze the samples using *Bacteroides* host specific PCR and classify the fecal sources as human, ruminant, other (non-human, non-ruminant), or absent.


The sampling locations are listed in Table 5.1 and are shown in Figure 5.1. The sites were chosen to provide a wide spatial distribution of sampling efforts. Sites represent upstream (3) and downstream (2) reaches of the Nooksack River, five tributaries to the Nooksack River (4-8), and Portage Bay (1).


The sampling period was selected to provide dry and wet season sampling events. No stormspecific sampling is planned as part of this project but it is possible that a sampling event will coincide with a storm event.

#	Location	Lat	Long	Site ID	Agency
1	Portage Bay	48.72375	-122.64470	DH050	LWRD
2	Lower Nooksack River at Marine Drive Bridge	48.79068	-122.59070	SW118	LWRD
3	Upper Nooksack River at Everson	48.91788	-122.348296	M5	Whatcom County
4	Bertrand Creek	48.924333	-122.528832	B1	Whatcom County
5	Fishtrap Creek	48.914081	-122.519835	F1	Whatcom County
6	Kamm Creek	48.945265	-122.440334	К1	Whatcom County
7	5cott Ditch	48.919109	-122.462896	S1	Whatcom County
8	Tenmile Creek	48.853635	-122.572188	T1	Whatcom County

Table 5.1 Sample Locations for MST Study

Datum for coordinates: North American High Accuracy Reference Network of 1983 (North American 1983 HARN)





If sample sites become inaccessible, a nearby, safely accessible, representative location may be sampled instead. Sampling at a location different from the designated sample site will be noted on field datasheets and chain-of-custody forms. Partners will be informed of sampling at a different, representative location.

5.1.1 Sampling Schedule

All sites will be sampled on the same day pursuant to an existing coordinated effort among the partners to characterize the water quality and bacterial load distribution in the Nooksack River watershed on a monthly basis. Sample collection is targeted for the day prior to NSSP sampling of Portage Bay. Sampling in Portage Bay for the NSSP is scheduled by the DOH and conducted by the LWRD and DOH on alternate months. Sampling of sites will be scheduled in coordination with the EPA Region 10 Laboratory in 3-6 month intervals to the extent practicable.

Sampling of sites is scheduled for a Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday to ensure that the EPA Region 10 Laboratory receives the samples no later than Thursday of the sampling week. Sites are sampled randomly, in the sense that season and weather are not used to stratify sampling efforts. Sampling is restricted by practical considerations, including requirements for a sufficient tidal elevation to access marine sites in Portage Bay.

Appendix D provides a summary schedule for field duplicate sample collection and responsibility of sterile field transfer blank sample preparation.

5.1.2 Health and Safety

During MST sample collection, field personnel are exposed to water that is likely to be contaminated with bacteria and other pathogens. Although coliforms are not usually pathogenic themselves, their presence is an indicator of potential pathogenic bacterial or viral contamination. It is expected that field personnel will use good hygiene and good sense in undertaking sampling activities. Powder-free rubber or latex gloves are available for use. Hands are washed thoroughly with soap and water or appropriate sanitary wipes as soon as possible after sampling at sites that may be contaminated with biological pathogens, and again prior to eating or drinking. Sampling equipment are cleaned and dried after use.

No water quality measurement is worth risking injury or death. Field personnel must be aware of the environment, use common sense and training, and not exceed their abilities or limits. Field work is never conducted alone. All LWRD *Health and Safety Plan* (LWRD 2015) or Whatcom County safety requirements and guidelines will be followed at all times while conducting fieldwork.

5.2 Sample Identification

Sampling locations and site identifications have been assigned by the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners. Each sample collected and analyzed by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory will be assigned a unique laboratory sample number. Laboratory sample numbers will be assigned in blocks of 50 by the EPA Regional Sample Control Coordinator. Each sample sent to the EPA Laboratory must include the assigned project code and the unique laboratory sample number in the format YYWWXXXX where:

YY: Calendar year in which samples were collected.

WW: Calendar week number in which samples were collected.

XXXX: Laboratory identification number assigned by the EPA Regional Sample Control Coordinator. This number is unique to each sample.

It is recommended that while the sample week number will change, the laboratory identification numbers are used consecutively through the assigned block in order to avoid duplication at the laboratory. For example, if one event in week 33 of 2015 ended at 15334933 the next event in week 37 of 2015 would use 15374934.

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners will coordinate to ensure that laboratory sample numbers are properly assigned and the sample location identifications for each unique laboratory sample number are tracked. The LWRD Water Resources Specialist I and Whatcom County Senior Planner will be responsible for ensuring proper sample tracking and numbering.

Prior to collecting a sample, the MST bottles are labeled with the following information:

- Region 10 Project Code
- Region 10 Sample ID (the unique laboratory sample number described above)
- Sample collection date and time
- Laboratory analysis requested

5.3 Sampling Methods (B2)

5.3.1 Sample Bottles

Sterile, plastic, 250-ml sample bottles are provided by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. Bottles are inspected by field personnel upon receipt, and any damaged bottles or bottles with caps removed are returned to the EPA Laboratory. Only undamaged, tightly capped bottles with intact foil cap are used to collect MST samples.

5.3.2 Sample Collection and Handling

The samples will be collected following guidelines as cited in *Microbiological Methods for Monitoring the Environment: Water and Wastes* (EPA 1978). Sampling methods may vary slightly between standard operating procedures used by samplers and different conditions encountered in the field. The following is general guidance for the samplers.

When arriving at a sample site, determine where the MST sample will be collected based upon visual assessment of the waterbody and previous experience. MST sample sites are visited monthly by study partners as part of coordinated water quality monitoring of the Nooksack River watershed. Upon arrival at the sample site:

- 1. Determine the path of sample collection in the waterbody prior to physically collecting the sample. The sample is collected at a representative location (Section 5.3.3).
- 2. Minimize disturbance to waterbody (Section 5.3.4).
- 3. Use a sampling wand to collect the MST sample unless unsafe or impractical. If site conditions prevent sampling using the wand (*e.g.*, very shallow water), the MST sample can be collected by hand.
- 4. Sample upstream of any influences of the sampler.
 - a. When sampling from a boat, sample water from near the bow (front of the boat) while slowly moving forward over water that the boat has not previously come into contact with during the sample run.
 - b. Sample outside of the zone of influence of the sampler(s) if the sample site requires wading. Water and sediments can be entrained by the movement of the sampler.

5.3.3 Representative Location

MST samples are collected at a representative location. The following are considered when selecting a representative location at the sampling site:

- Avoid areas along margins, where debris accumulates, and other areas that are not characteristic of the waterbody at the sample site.
- Select an area that minimizes disturbance to the waterbody (Section 5.3.4).
- For wading sites, unless safety precludes wading into the water, avoid collecting samples along the shoreline where waves are breaking and washing across the beach.
 - Sample seaward of debris and seaweed generally found in the water close to the shoreline.
 - Avoid areas of entrained air in the wave-wash zone.
- If a representative location cannot be found, use professional judgment to determine whether the site should be sampled. Note observations of non-representativeness and explanation of rationale for choosing to collect or not collect a sample.

5.3.4 Site Disturbance

Ensure that the sample site is not disturbed prior to MST sample collection. A site is disturbed if sediments or other materials (*e.g.*, plants, benthic algae) settled at the bed of the waterbody are suspended into the water column, or debris falls into the water at the sample site. Fecal coliform bacteria in bottom sediments can remain viable for many weeks. Disturbing sediments can re-suspend these bacteria and result in temporary uncharacteristically high bacteria concentrations and may bias MST results. Strategies for avoiding site disturbance include:

- Avoid walking in the waterbody or near the edge of the waterbody.
- If wading into the waterbody is required, approach the sample site from the downstream side.

• Use a wand to collect samples.

Occasionally, it will be necessary to collect a second MST sample (*e.g.*, if the first attempt at sampling is unsuccessful). If the site was not disturbed by the first attempt at sample collection, the second attempt at collecting a MST sample can follow immediately after the first with a new, sterile bottle. If the area appears disrupted by the first sample collection attempt, consider the following when attempting to re-sample:

- 1. Subsequent samples should be at a different, but representative location at the sample site.
- 2. If overall conditions at the sample site are stable, the disturbance will dissipate within a few minutes, and if water quality is not likely to change from when sampling started, the disturbed location may be re-sampled after the disturbance has passed.
- 3. If subsequent samples cannot be relocated or sampled within a few minutes, consider a complete re-sampling of the site at a later time the same day.

5.3.5 Sample Collection With a Sampling Wand

Use a sampling wand for MST sample collection unless impractical or unsafe.

- 1. Do not rinse the sample bottle.
- 2. Label closed (never opened) and undamaged laboratory-supplied sample bottle as described in Section 5.2. Do not write directly on the sample bottle. [Note: masking tape works well as a label as it adheres nicely to the bottle and can be removed in the laboratory without leaving glue residue behind.]
- 3. Attach capped sample bottle to wand. The top of the sample bottle should be several inches upstream/up-gradient of every part of the sample wand (*i.e.*, no sample water will touch any part of the wand before flowing into the sample bottle).
- 4. Open sample container. Do not place bottle or cap on ground and do not touch or allow any foreign materials to come into contact with bottle opening or threads, or the inside of the bottle cap. Do not set the cap down; hold the lid carefully touching the outside only.
- 5. Vertically dip the sample bottle, opening first, into the water column and then in one motion, rotate the bottle in the direction of the current (upstream), so that trapped air can escape as the bottle fills in an upstream/up-gradient arc.
 - a. The bottle should be no deeper than 6 inches below the water surface.
 - b. The water from the surface should not enter the bottle, unless low flows prevent sampling of water below the surface.
 - c. Ensure that bottle opening is always upstream of the wand.
- 6. Continue the motion until the bottle is nearly full, then rotate the bottle to remove it vertically from the waterbody.
- 7. There should be a 1 inch head space in the neck of the bottle, to allow adequate mixing by the analyst. If, however, the sample container is overfilled, DO NOT pour out any

excess sample. Place the cap securely on the sample bottle and return it to the analyst overfilled.

- 8. Carefully recap the sample bottle securely, leaving the foil cap in place. Place sample upright in cooler with bagged ice or cold packs. If bottle cannot be placed on ice immediately after collection, place bottle in sheltered area out of direct sunlight and place in cooler as soon as possible.
- 9. If the sample is not collected successfully, place an "X" on the label and collect another sample using a new, sterile bottle. Do not collect sample from waters that were disturbed during collection of the unsuccessful sample. See Section 5.3.4 for details about re-sampling at a potentially disturbed site.

5.3.6 Sample Collection by Hand (Without a Sampling Wand)

MST samples can be collected by hand if use of the sampling wand is unsafe or unpractical. Very low flows can result in the sampling wand disturbing the bottom of the waterbody during sample collection. The MST sample is collected by hand as follows:

- 1. Do not rinse the sample bottle.
- 2. Label closed (never opened) and undamaged laboratory-supplied sample bottle as described in Section 5.2. Do not write directly on the sample bottle. [Note: masking tape works well as a label as it adheres nicely to the bottle and can be removed in the laboratory without leaving glue residue behind.]
- 3. Rinse hands with distilled or deionized water and dry. Wear powder-free nitrile/latex/rubber gloves and consider the use of safety glasses.
- 4. Hold the capped sample bottle near base with hand. The top of the sample bottle should be several inches upstream/up-gradient of the sampler's hand (*i.e.*, no sample water will touch the sampler's hand before flowing into the sample bottle).
- 5. Follow steps 4-9 in Section 5.3.5.

5.3.7 Field Duplicates

A field duplicate is collected and submitted with each monthly sample batch. The duplicates are given their own sample number and labeled as "duplicate." The field duplicate sample is factored into the total number of samples (*i.e.*, eight sites plus one duplicate and one field transfer blank for a total of ten samples each month). Appendix D details which site will be duplicated for each monthly sample batch.

5.3.8 Field Transfer Blank

A field transfer blank sample is prepared and submitted with each monthly sample batch. The field transfer blanks are given their own sample number and labeled as "field transfer blank." The field transfer blank sample is factored into the total number of samples (*i.e.,* eight sites plus one duplicate and one field transfer blank for a total of ten samples). Field transfer blanks monitor for the introduction of extraneous material into the samples during field sample

handling, transport, storage, and throughout the MST laboratory analysis process. Appendix D details which partner will be responsible for collecting each field transfer blank.

5.3.9 Corrective Actions

Corrective actions for deficiencies will be addressed immediately in the field. Corrective actions include discarding improperly collected or handled samples and re-sampling. The Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners will collaborate on solutions to any problems that cannot be remedied in the field, such as site inaccessibility.

5.4 Sample Handling, Custody and Documentation (B3)

The MST samples are stored on ice upon collection and are shipped to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory for analysis within 30 hours of sample collection. The eight MST samples, one field duplicate MST sample, and one field transfer blank are packaged in a polystyrene cooler with ice packs for delivery to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. The Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners intend to ship all samples for each monthly sample batch in a single container; however, in the event that this is not possible, this QAPP contains provisions for delivering samples to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory in multiple shipping containers.

The EPA Region 10 Laboratory must receive all samples no later than Thursday of the sampling week to allow sufficient time for filtration and initial processing of the samples without staff overtime or compensatory time charges. Samples are accepted for analysis only if the temperature control measures below 10°C but above freezing at the time the samples are received by EPA Region 10 Laboratory staff. The Regional Sample Control Coordinator and lab staff are notified of the anticipated field sampling schedule prior to collection and are provided with the required shipment information on the day of each sample shipment (*e.g.,* air bill or tracking number, number of samples for analysis, project code, date shipped).

Bottles are labeled with the unique sample site identifier prior to sampling.

An EPA Region 10 chain-of-custody form accompanies the samples, and includes the following for each sample:

- Site location information
- Sample number (the EPA Region 10 laboratory sample number)
- Site description/ID (LWRD, DOH, or Whatcom County sample site ID)
- Date sample collected
- Time sample collected
- EPA Project Code

The chain-of-custody form is signed and dated for relinquishment of custody upon shipment to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. A copy of the chain-of-custody form is attached as Appendix A.

The LWRD Water Resources Specialist I will compile all samples into one shipping container and prepare the container for overnight shipment via FedEx to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. The

EPA Region 10 Laboratory will return the re-useable cooler to the LWRD via FedEx. As needed, EPA Region 10 Laboratory will provide new sample bottles and ultra pure water for field transfer blank.

5.5 Analytical Methods (B4)

DNA microbial source tracking is determined by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory using *Bacteroides* host specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Samples are filtered, DNA is extracted from the filters, the general *Bacteroides*, human and ruminant primers are used to amplify the target DNA in the samples, and the amplified product is separated using gel electrophoresis. Laboratory microbiologists will interpret the results and report the presence or absence of each source (human, ruminant, and other).

Methods utilized by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory were derived from the PCR method developed by Kate Field at Oregon State University. The EPA Office of Research and Development has developed the methods and work instructions for this analysis. These work instructions (#Mi-WI008, effective date 8/24/2015) are appended to this QAPP and include: Sample Filtration, DNA Extraction, PCR, Gel Electrophoresis, and Reading and Interpretation. It is possible that additional *Bacteroides* host specific primers may be developed to detect other sources during the performance period of this QAPP. If this new technology becomes available, the associated methods and work instructions may be appended to this QAPP. The QA/QC procedures for laboratory analysis are included in Section 6.

This page intentionally left blank

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 December 2015

6. QUALITY CONTROL (B5)

Quality assurance/quality control procedures include aseptic field techniques, field transfer blanks, laboratory holding times, chain-of-custody forms, and laboratory QA/QC procedures.

6.1 Field Duplicate

A field duplicate will be collected and submitted with each monthly sample batch. The duplicates will be given their own sample number and labeled "field duplicate" in the chain-ofcustody form comments. The location is correctly identified (not masked or blind). The field duplicate sample will be factored into the total number of samples (*i.e.,* eight sites plus one duplicate and one field transfer blank for a total of ten samples per month).

Although the field duplicate is not a QA/QC sample per se, it will provide an indication of variability and may indicate the range of possible sources of fecal contamination.

Selection of sites for duplication is determined by the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners in advance (Appendix D).

6.2 Aseptic Field Techniques

Field staff will ensure that all MST samples are collected using sterile techniques. This includes inspecting the laboratory-provided sample bottles for potential contamination prior to use and proper handling of the sample bottle during MST sample collection. In particular, proper handling includes ensuring that:

- The bottle is not contaminated by contact with sampler's hands, sampling wand, or foreign materials such as plants and substrate in the waterbody.
- The cap is not contaminated by contact with sampler's hands or foreign materials.

6.3 Field Transfer Blank

A field transfer blank QA/QC sample is supplied to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory for analysis in each sample batch. A sterile bottle containing 100 ml of ultra pure water is provided to the LWRD or Whatcom County by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. In the field, field personnel will transfer the ultra pure water to a sterile 250 ml sample bottle. The field transfer blank sample is treated like all other samples for the remainder of the field visit, during transportation to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory, and in laboratory procedures. The field transfer blanks will be given their own sample number and labeled "field transfer blank" in the chain-of-custody form comments.

Field transfer blanks monitor for the introduction of extraneous material into the samples during field sample handling, transport, storage. The field transfer blank verifies the ability of field personnel to collect, handle, and transport bacteria samples using aseptic techniques (*i.e.*, without contaminating the sample).

A positive result indicates the presence of contamination most likely due to poor aseptic technique in the field, contact with other samples, or damaged storage containers.

LWRD and Whatcom County field personnel will provide the field transfer blank sample on alternate months (Appendix D).

6.4 Holding Times

The EPA Region 10 Laboratory holding time of 30 hours is observed for sample delivery. If samples are received by the Region 10 Laboratory outside of the maximum holding time, all samples in the batch will be discarded.

6.5 Chain-of-Custody Form

Chain-of-custody forms are used to handle and track samples from field collection to delivery (typically shipped) to the EPA Region 10 Laboratory.

A chain-of-custody form is provided by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. The chain-of-custody form is filled out while the sampler is in possession of the samples and included inside the sample delivery box. The number on the chain-of-custody form will follow the samples through analysis to final reporting. Multiple chain-of-custody forms will be required if more than one shipping container is to be used.

Copies of chain-of-custody forms are saved in hard copy and electronic format by LWRD and Whatcom County staff. A copy of the chain-of-custody form is included in Appendix A.

6.6 EPA Region 10 Laboratory QA/QC

Laboratory analysis and procedures will comply with the guidelines described in the *Quality Assurance Manual for the U.S. EPA Region 10 Manchester Environmental Laboratory* (EPA Region 10 Laboratory 2015). A copy of this document is available from Stephanie Bailey, EPA Region 10 Laboratory Microbiologist.

The following QA/QC activities are performed by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory performing analytical services in support of this project. Table 6.1 summarizes these activities.

6.6.1 Filtration Blank

The filtration blank is designed to screen for contamination through the screening primer portion of the MST process. False positive results indicate contamination at some point in the laboratory analysis process. False positive results may not disqualify the data if a cause can be determined and if the cause is determined not to impact the remainder of the samples processed in that batch. If the cause cannot be determined or the cause may have impacted the remainder of the samples, the sample batch associated with the filtration blank will be discarded. Filtration blanks are created by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory at time of filtration.

6.6.2 Positive Control

One positive control is prepared with each batch of samples to be amplified. The positive control will serve as the reference sample and consists of plasmid DNA containing the target sequence or the appropriate fecal DNA. The positive control verifies that the PCR amplification (*e.g.*, the polymerase, master mix, templates) and gel electrophoresis systems are functioning properly and that the laboratory analysis process (including gel reading and interpretation) can detect the presence of the positive reference sample. The positive control also verifies that a negative result in an unknown sample is actually negative for that particular primer.

If the positive control is not acceptable or is questionable, the amplification steps will be repeated on the controls' associated batch of samples.

6.6.3 Negative Control

One negative control is prepared with each batch of sample to be amplified. The negative control is prepared by performing PCR with water instead of a template. The negative control verifies that the PCR amplification (*e.g.*, the polymerase, master mix, templates) and gel electrophoresis systems are functioning properly. The negative control verifies that cross contamination is absent throughout the PCR and gel electrophoresis process, and that gel reading and interpretation are adequate.

If the negative control is not acceptable or is questionable, the amplification steps will be repeated on the controls' associated batch of samples.

6.6.4 Negative Sample Result Interpretation

An actual sample will not be considered negative until it has been subjected to replicate analyses using at least five different concentrations of the sample containing the purified DNA.

6.6.5 Out of Specification

Results that are out of specification will be reviewed by the Technical Director for Microbiology and the Project Officer for a decision on whether the data should be included in the report. Method experts in EPA's Office of Research and Development may also be consulted for advice on the quality of the data in these situations.

6.6.6 Specificity

Specificity is the ability of a given MST method to discriminate between various animal sources. Specificity can be calculated from the results of negative QA/QC controls, which can either test negative (true negative) or positive (false positive).

$$Specificity = \frac{True \ Negatives}{(True \ Negatives + False \ Positives)} \ x \ 100\%$$

Although there is currently no consensus, specificity values below 80 percent reflect questionable discriminatory power (EPA 2005).

6.6.7 Additional Laboratory Checks

EPA Region 10 Laboratory staff will review all QA/QC results, including negative and positive samples, and documenting requirements. See Appendix C Microbiology Laboratory Data Review/Release Form for details.

Table 6.1 Summary of EPA Region 10 Laboratory QA/QC Procedures

QA/QC Түре	QA/QCType Description		Corrective actions/ consequences of failure	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Acceptance Criteria/Measurement Performance Criteria		
Positive Control	Reference sample/positive control of plasmid DNA containing target sequence or the appropriate fecal DNA	One per PCR batch, each analysis/primer	Repeat amplification step or gel electrophoresis; data reviewed, may or may not disqualify data depending on cause	Positive reaction, DNA present	Appropriate DNA amplification		
Duplicate	DuplicateDuplicateIndicates fieldvariability andlaboratory precision		Data reviewed, may or may not disqualify data depending on cause		Appropriate DNA amplification		
Filtration Blank	Negative filtration control using sterile rinse water; assessing entire process	One per filtration series per day	Data reviewed, may or may not disqualify data depending on cause	Negative, no DNA	Negative, no DNA		
Negative Control	ontrol PCR with ultra pure water instead of template Cne per PCR batch, electropho each analysis/primer reviewed, m not disqua		Repeat amplification step or gel electrophoresis; data reviewed, may or may not disqualify data depending on cause	Negative, no DNA	Negative, no DNA		
Field Transfer Blank	Field transfer blank using ultra pure water; used as a negative extraction control	One per sampling event; analyzed as a separate sample	Data reviewed, may or may not disqualify data depending on cause	Negative, no DNA	Negative, no DNA		

This page intentionally left blank

7.1 Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance (B6)

All field sampling equipment are kept clean and in working order.

Laboratory instruments and equipment (*e.g.,* waterbaths, incubators, thermal cyclers, and other equipment required by the applicable analytical methods) are tested, inspected, and maintained as required by the laboratory standard operating procedures (SOPs) and manufacturer's instructions. Laboratory instrument and equipment testing, inspection, and maintenance records are maintained by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory.

7.2 Instrument/Equipment Calibration and Frequency (B7)

No field instruments that require calibration are used for the MST Study.

Laboratory instruments and equipment (*e.g.*, pH meters, waterbaths, incubators) are calibrated as required by the laboratory SOPs and manufacturer's instructions. Laboratory instrument and equipment calibration records are maintained by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory.

7.3 Inspection/Acceptance Requirements for Supplies and Consumables (B8)

Consumable supplies used in the field will consist primarily of sterile, 250-ml plastic sample bottles. The quality of consumable supplies such as sample bottles used for this project should be documented by the supplier and certificates should be available on request. Bottles are provided by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory and are batch tested for sterility prior to sending them to the field for sample collection. Bottles other than those prepared at the EPA Region 10 Laboratory will not be acceptable for use in the MST Study. Sample bottles are inspected by LWRD and Whatcom County field personnel prior to use as described in Section 5.3.1.

All supplies and consumables used in the EPA Region 10 Laboratory are maintained, inspected, and monitored according to the laboratory's work instructions, SOPs, QAPPs, and NELAC requirements.

7.4 Data Acquisition Requirements (Non-Direct Measurements) (B9)

No non-direct measurement or data acquisition are anticipated for the MST Study.

This page intentionally left blank

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 December 2015

8. DATA MANAGEMENT (B10)

Field notes for each site will be recorded in a field datasheet, and include the following:

- Sampler(s) name(s)
- Sample number (the EPA Region 10 laboratory sample number)
- Site description/ID (the internal LWRD, DOH, or Whatcom County sample site ID)
- Date sample collected
- Time sample collected
- Notes regarding human or animal activity in the area at the time of the sampling, if available
- Additional observations
- EPA Project Code

Sampling activities performed by the LWRD are documented in the LWRD Water Database. The LWRD Water Database is an Access-based database maintained by the LNR Database Manager and stored on secure servers that are backed up nightly. Sampling activities performed by Whatcom County are documented in field datasheets or electronic format.

Hard copies of field datasheets, chain-of-custody forms, and laboratory results are scanned and saved in electronic format on secure servers by each partner organization. Hard copies are archived and managed by each partner organization.

In addition, the EPA Region 10 Laboratory maintains a logbook that includes the information provided in the chain-of-custody forms, as well as time of analysis and analyst initials. Quality control results are recorded on bench sheets. All data generated by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory are subject to a peer review then signed-off by the Microbiology Team Technical Director. Sample custodian staff process and distribute all information and documentation in accordance with laboratory SOPs. Logbooks, bench sheets and final reports are stored on-site. All data generated during this project are processed, stored, and distributed according to laboratory SOPs.

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 December 2015

32

This page intentionally left blank

9. ASSESSMENT AND OVERSIGHT

9.1 Assessments/Oversight and Response Actions (C1)

The LWRD Water Resources Specialist I and the Whatcom County Senior Planner are responsible for oversight of field sample collection and QA/QC procedures (*e.g.,* field transfer blank preparation, holding times, chain-of-custody forms). Corrective actions for deficiencies will be addressed immediately in the field or will be resolved through collaboration of the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners.

The EPA Region 10 Laboratory routinely performs performance checks using method-specific positive and negative controls. An internal assessment of the data and results is also routinely conducted by the Laboratory QA Coordinator.

Corrective actions will be implemented in response to any QA/QC results or detection of unacceptable data. These corrective actions will be developed in consultation with the EPA Office of Research and Development, keeping the partners informed of any impacts on the data. If required, corrective actions will be documented and approved by the EPA QA Manager.

9.2 Reports to Management (C2)

If, for any reason, the schedules or procedures provided in the QAPP cannot be followed, the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners will work with EPA Region 10 and Laboratory staff to make modifications to the schedule or procedures. The changes will be reviewed and approved by the QA Officer. All parties in the distribution list will be given a copy of the QA Officer-approved changes for reference and for the project file.

A final laboratory report will be generated by the Region 10 Laboratory at the completion of the project, within four months of receipt of the last samples for analysis. This report will include a discussion of the findings, interpretation of data and an executive summary. The report will be provided to all individuals listed in the distribution list. In addition, short summary reports will be provided during the study period to keep those on the distribution list informed of the progress of the project and to allow for adaptations in the sampling program. Difficulties that may result in delayed data and reporting will be communicated to those parties on the distribution list.

This page intentionally left blank

10. DATA REVIEW AND USABILITY

10.1 Data Review, Verification, and Validation (D1, D2)

Data review, verification, and validation are largely the responsibility of the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. The final report will only include data that have been reviewed and verified as reliable by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory, and will indicate the quality of all data reported.

10.1.1 Data Review

EPA Region 10 data verification and peer review will be accomplished following the EPA Region 10 Laboratory SOP for data review (Mi_D001A). An example Microbiology Laboratory Data Review/Release Form is included as Appendix C for details of data review checks conducted before release. Data will be qualified as necessary to convey to the user any important information that needs to be considered in its use.

10.1.2 Data Verification

Data verification will include a review of the findings of all QA/QC assessment activities including:

- Appropriate sample collection and preparation of field transfer blank sample: assessed during sample collection by responsible field personnel.
- Chain-of-custody procedures: assessed by the responsible field personnel and laboratory sample custodians for EPA Region 10 Laboratory.
- Analytical data collection, recording, and reporting including laboratory QA/QC procedures: assessed by EPA Region 10 Laboratory staff.

Verification of the EPA Region 10 Laboratory analytical results is the responsibility of the Microbiology Technical Director, as required by the laboratory's QA Manual. If any deviations are identified, the potential impact of those deviations on the reliability of the data will be assessed, and the information will be provided to the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study partners through a QA Memo and appropriate flagging of the data.

10.1.3 Data Validation

Data validation is an evaluation of the technical usability of the verified data with respect to the planned objectives of the project. This is accomplished by applying a defined set of performance criteria to the body of data in the evaluation process.

Data validation will evaluate all individual samples collected and analyzed to determine if the results are within acceptable limits. Quantitative or qualitative limits of acceptability are defined for precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, and completeness. Typically, data that are reviewed and verified as meeting appropriate standards, including passing QA/QC protocols, by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory will be considered valid for use in final reporting.

10.2 Reconciliation with User Requirements (D3)

All data and related information obtained during the course of this project will be included in a final laboratory report prepared by the EPA Region 10 Laboratory. Presentations of data and data analysis may be made to relevant user groups upon request. All data will include metadata, including any associated qualifiers.

11. REFERENCES

- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1978. Microbiological Methods for Monitoring the Environment: Water and Wastes. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory. Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA-600/8-78-017.
- EPA. 2005. Microbial Source Tracking Guide Document. National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development. Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA/600/R-05/064. June.
- EPA Region 10 Manchester Environmental Laboratory. 2015. Quality Assurance Manual for the U.S. EPA Region 10 Manchester Environmental Laboratory. April.
- Lummi Water Resources Division (LWRD) and Andrew M. Ross, LG, LHG, Salix Environmental Services (Salix). 2006. Preliminary Characterization of Fecal Coliform Contributions to Portage Bay from the Hermosa Beach Area 2000-2001. Prepared for the Lummi Indian Business Council. Lummi Reservation, Bellingham, WA. September.
- LWRD. 2015. Lummi Nation Water Resources Division Health and Safety Plan. Prepared for the Lummi Indian Business Council. Lummi Reservation, Washington. April.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2000. Nooksack River Watershed Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load Submittal Report. Olympia, WA. Publication No. 00-10-036. June.
- Ecology. 2002. Nooksack River Watershed Bacteria Total Maximum Daily Load Detailed Implementation Plan. Water Quality Program. Olympia, WA. Publication No. 01-10-060. January.
- United States v. Washington [Shellfish], 1994, Order Regarding Shellfish Sanitation, Civil Number 9213, Subproceeding 89-3, Western District of Washington.
- Washington State Department of Health (DOH). 1997. Report: Sanitary Survey of Portage Bay. Office of Shellfish Programs. August 19.
- DOH. 2015. News Release: Shellfish Harvest in Portage Bay Limited Due to Periodic Pollution. 15-045. Olympia, WA. March 24.

This page intentionally left blank

12. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

сос	Chain of Custody
DOH	Washington State Department of Health
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
LNR	Lummi Natural Resources
LWRD	Lummi Water Resources Division
MEL	EPA R10 Manchester Environmental Laboratory
MST	Microbial Source Tracking
NSSP	National Shellfish Sanitation Program
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RSCC	Regional Sample Control Coordinator (EPA)
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load

This page intentionally left blank

,

13. APPENDICES

Appendix A: Chain-of-Custody Form

1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 - 1900 -	Custody	La particular de la comparticular de la compar	Crigonites Manual Mitrio Ganti International Internation Control Control Internation Control Control	MAS 11-T Anton	22 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2														and a start of the start of the start of the start of the second start of the start of the start of the start o Herein the start of t			101. 4.0.0 Benefitier and state of the state
Merbod of Shiprical control	Direction of the second s	iter sciencer of contactive solution (1994 service) solution (1994 service) solution (1994 service) solution (1994 service)	D in SO	We support the second se	(1) Explore the forder to remore any protects the most statistical for any second protection of the statistic statistic statistics for the former statistic for the statistic statistic statistics of the statistical statistics for the statistic statistics of statistics interface statistics for the statistic statistics and statistics and statistic statistics.	startugaet's had of Dougraphics of the Start Had and the Start of Starts	DID DONKEN	UNOSO PORTNAL ENT MELLI DUR	SWILD-LANGALL & MANAGAL BY	ME-MINISCHER DURAN	A. BULLOW WAS		VI- KANM GER	SI-SCAT DITAL	- Sec. 1	a na status ana ana ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		(1) A statistical st statistical statistical stat statistical statistical statistic statistical statistical			and the second of the second	domentaria dari na na na na marta dari na marta mana marta na na na na na na na tangan tangan na tangan na na m Basar 2015 ang mana na
- 180A	PAR JUN 163.9	 Ch.Matrix Codes Water Anald (Erran) Water Anald (Erran) Water Anald (Erran) Water Anald (Erran) Matrix Codes 	80 Officianti 41 Av files 42 WilserSweb	² (M.2) (server in the "claim + strend of Unstand	www.eeks_ucitud															g annound for state of the product of the state of the st	and the second	HEORY IN MORE LAD IN HOURS AND AND A CARACTERIA
MARKE COM	DILL TANGARA		₩ ₹ ₩8> €×=		D SMILES	Mains Inc. [7]	I to I w	-					NIGUN		10 D M					17:00	and a state of the second s	'E'syst
I SSUM	.	a supplimentale, airtet merkels Al Sat B Sat	rz. Jyz		D YOK BU							1-	01500	1 10 1	2016 GUIS	factor to sold the factor where the	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A CONTRACT			1002000	Annual Contraction of Contraction	a el tere e grapha en el Anija varan in an mu
- RIVER WAREFUED INS	D2 EXX X24	KONTOKD (P)		Ava Broker	CONTINC IN	Earling Date & Torn	2	LIV OL 2	8				1 10 01 2	11001011					ucerd		and a the state of a second and a second s	t for a big i for a la dia fa fa ba a ba a ba a ba
NUDYENX AVEN	ZONZORTBND72	JENNER LER	おう	Mananetika besta bistra bistra solar Mananetika solar Mananetika solar solar solar solar solar solar solar so	JUNUARY SMALLING CONTING INFO PICK QUECTIME : JE	tradition (and the formation of the form	W035300	30	1995 1975 1971	o Fil Mi	ないのです。		0352	513	10 2 5 E 0 M				Chain, of Custorly Record		and the second se	an one and the second one of the second one of the second

Nooksack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 December 2015

42

Appendix B: EPA Region 10 Laboratory's Laboratory Wide Procedure Document # Mi-WI008 for Microbial Source Tracking (MST) Work Instructions

LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Version #: 1 Page 1
Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	T)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015

Filtration (Lab 28)

Materials Needed:

- 1) Gloves
- 2) Sterilized filtration funnels
- 3) Vacuum source and manifold to accommodate the filters
- 4) Filter forceps
- 5) 95% non-denatured ethanol
- 6) On-demand Bunsen burner
- 7) Sterilized rinse water
- 8) Supor-200® membrane filters, 0.2 µm pore size, 47 mm diameter (Pall)
- 9) MP Biomedical® Lysing Matrix A, 2 mL tubes

Sample Handling:

Samples should be held between 1-10°C and shipped overnight for filtration within 30 hours of collection.

Controis:

- 1) One filtration control for each batch of samples.
- 2) One transfer blank for each sampling event.

Safety Measures:

- 1) The use of personal protective equipment (lab coat, nitrile gloves and safety glasses) is required.
- Special care should be taken when flame sterilizing the filtration funnels and forceps. To reduce the risk of unintended fire only an on-demand Bunsen burner will be used.

Protocol:

- 1) Label each Lysing Matrix A tube with the sample number it will be associated with.
- Place sterile filtration funnel on the manifold. Aseptically place a Supor® 200 filter on the funnel base (grid side facing up).
- 4) Select a sample and its' associated 2ml labeled tube. Thoroughly shake the sample bottle then pour 100mls of sample into the funnel and apply vacuum. Maintain a vacuum until all of the sample has passed through the filter then rinse the funnel with sterilized Milli-Q water.
- 5) Lift the funnel from the base and using flame-sterilized forceps carefully roll the filter into a small cylinder, gently bending at a 90° angle to prevent the filter from unrolling.
- 6) Aseptically insert the filter into its' associated 2 mL labeled tube.
- Flame sterilize the filtration unit using alcohol. Thoroughly rinse the filtration unit using sterile rinse water prior to moving on to the next sample.
- 8) After the last sample has been filtered, place the labeled tubes in the freezer, lab 113.

Title: N	Effective Date: 8/24/2015		
	RATORY WIDE PROCEDURE invironmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Page 2

Extraction: Fast DNA[®] Kit (Lab 113)

Materials Needed:

- 1) Tubes containing frozen filters from the filtration process
- 2) Fast DNA® Kit
- 3) DNAse/RNAse-free 2 mL tubes
- 4) DNAse/RNAse-free Water
- 5) Teeny Tuff Tags®
- 6) DNAse/RNAse-free 0.65µL pop-cap tubes

Protocol:

- 1) Pull filters from freezer and select samples to be extracted
- 2) Add 800µL CLS-VF and 200µL PPS to each sample tube
- 3) Place tubes in the bead beater and run for two. 15 second intervals
- 4) Centrifuge sample tubes at 14,000xg for 5 minutes
- 5) Place an appropriate number of new 2mL tubes in a rack and label with the corresponding sample numbers of those tubes containing the filters
- Fill a separate 2mL tube with DNAse/RNAse-free water and place in the hot block to warm (set to 60°C)
- 7) Add 600µL of well shaken binding matrix to each newly labeled 2mL tube
- 8) Once centrifugation is complete, gently remove the tubes and place upright in a tube rack.
- 9) For each tube containing a filter, carefully withdraw 600µL of sample and place in the corresponding 2mL tube containing the binding matrix. Do not withdraw any of the bead pellets with the sample.
- 10) Gently rock tubes back and forth for 5 minutes
- 11) Centrifuge at 14,000xg for 2 minutes
- 12) Open each tube one at a time and gently pour off the liquid into the sink, taking care to prevent pellet loss.
- 13) Place each tube back into the rack. At this point the tubes should only contain pellet; DNA is now attached to the binding matrix.
- 14) To each tube of pellet add 400µL of SEWS-M with ethanol (comes as a concentrate, ethanol must he added before initial use)
- 15) Cap and vortex each tube
- 16) Centrifuge at 14,000xg for 2 minutes
- 17) Pour off liquid and place tubes back in rack. Again add 400µL SEWS-M with ethanol.
- 18) Repeat vortex and centrifuge steps
- 19) Pour off liquid and place tubes in rack. To each tube add 600µL DNAse/RNAse-free water.

Uncontrolled when printed: 8/27/2015

44

ta Quality Objectives Summary – Laboratory Measurements pendix E:

: 13.2 Data Quality Objectives Summary

	30	Nol filtr
Volume, Container	250 ml PP, sterile	N/A
Complet eness	% 06 <	% 06 <
Precision (RPD)	See Section 4.3	See Section 4.3 ¹
Accuracy	See Section 4.3	See Section 4.3 ¹
Method Detection Limits	1 strand DNA	1 strand DNA
Method	ORD prep by filtration	Bacteriodes identification
Matrix	Water	Frozen prepared filter
# of QA Samples: Reference Samples	1 each batch	1 each batch
Total Number of Samples for Project ³	120	Varying
Number of Samples per sampling event ²	10	Varying

andard Accuracy and Precision for analysis by PCR is unknown at this time. Identification is not quantitative. imber of samples includes 8 field samples, a field duplicate, and a field blank (10 total)

tal Number of samples = 120: 10 samples per event (including field QC) for 12 events. Varying number of PCR samples depends on the number of prin red.

olypropylene

sack River Watershed MST Study QAPP, Version 1.0 mber 2015 This page intentionally left blank

Appendix D: MST Sample Collection Schedule

The table below provides a summary example of the Nooksack River Watershed MST Study sample schedule. One duplicate sample will be collected per sampling event, with the site selected for duplicate sampling selected by the study partners in advance. Duplicate sampling will be targeted to provide duplicate sampling during the rainy season for all sites, and priority duplication for sites for which additional information would be most useful.

Sampling Event	Duplicate	Sterile Transfer
January 2016	Portage Bay (DH050)	Whatcom County
February 2016	Lower Nooksack River (SW118)	LWRD
March 2016	Upper Nooksack River (M5)	Whatcom County
April 2016	Fishtrap Creek (F1)	LWRD
May 2016	Bertrand Creek (B1)	Whatcom County
June 2016	Kamm Creek (K1)	LWRD
July 2016	Portage Bay (DH050)	Whatcom County
August 2016	Lower Nooksack River (SW118)	LWRD
September 2016	Upper Nooksack River (M5)	Whatcom County
October 2016	Scott Ditch (51)	LWRD
November 2016	Tenmile Creek (T1)	Whatcom County
December 2016	Fishtrap Creek (F1)	LWRD

Table 13.1 Summary Sampling Schedule for Nooksack River Watershed MST Study

This page intentionally left blank

Appendix C: Microbiology Laboratory Data Review/Release Form

Project:

Project Code:

Sample Numbers Peer Reviewed by:

Date:

Raw Data/Quality Control Check

- _____ Verify positive and negative culture controls associated with media are satisfactory.
- _____ Verify media sterility was checked.
- Check for sample carryover/contamination if membrane filtration method used. Note any deficiencies.
- _____ Check duplicate analyst counts are within 20 %, when applicable.
- Verify that media was prepared within method specifications.
- _____ Verify that samples were received and analyzed within the holding time.

Bench Sheet Check

- Is the data package properly labeled?
 - _____ Analyst name
 - _____ Sample numbers and project name
 - _____ Analytical method used
 - _____ Date and time of collection/analysis
- _____ Verify that there is a bench sheet for each sample listed on the Analysis Required forms.
- Verify that there is a Data Review Memo written for the project -forwarded to ESAT Data Entry Technician
- Verify that there is a Data Release Memo for this project forwarded to ESAT Data Entry Technician

Results

- Verify that the reported results:
 - _____ have appropriate qualifiers assigned
 - _____ reflect the correct units
 - _____ reflect dilution factors used in the analysis
 - were transferred correctly from the bench sheets
 - _____ were calculated correctly

	Effective Date: 8/24/2015		
	LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-W1008	Page 10
100 Kar			Version #: 1

Document History

	Document history							
Version	Status	Date	Location of	Name & Title				
#	(I, R , C)	Approved	Change History	Author	Approving Official			
1	I	8/24/2015	N/A	Stephanie Bailey, Microbiologist	Reviewer: Dana Walker, Quality Assurance Coordinator Approver: Dana Walker, Quality Assurance Coordinator Reviewer: Approver:			
					Reviewer: Approver:			

Version #: version number of this document. Status: I = Initial. R= Revision, or C = Cancelled.

LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Page 9

.

Attachment 1.

Master Mix Volumes (in uls)

No. of samples to amplify>		- 5	8	10
DNAse-free water	94,5	472.5	756	945
10X Ex Taq buffer	13.5	67.5	108	135
dNTP	10.8	54	86.4	108
25% Acetamide Solution	5.4	27	43.2	54
Forward Primer	2.7	13.5	21.6	27
Reverse Primer (708R)	2.7	13.5	21.6	27
Ex Tag Enzyme (add last)	0.675	3.375	5.4	6.75

Attachment 2.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	A												
	в		and and a strategy of the stra										
Primer/date/initials	С		and the second second										
	D												
	E												
	F												
	G												
	н		[

LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Version #: 1 Page 8
Tide: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	5T)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015

- b. Ensure that the positive control or negative control (if present) is acceptable. If these results are questionable discard the gel and repeat the process.
- 3) Compare the molecular weight of the amplified product to the placement on the ladder. For *Bacteroides spp*, the weight should be as follows: 700 base pair (bp) for the general *Bacteroides* and between 515 and 580 bp for the host specific assays.
- 4) If the bands are easily visible and match up in the proper weight area, record as a positive result in the Laboratory logbook for that sample number/well number. Should bands be absent or in the wrong location, record as a negative result in the logbook. Do this for each well raceway and for each gel.
- 5) Discard the gel after results have been recorded.

LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-W1008	Version #: 1 Page 7
Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	T)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015

trays; each one should contain 30-40mls of agarose. Set aside to solidify; keep shielded from light.

 Electrophoretic chambers should be inspected at this point to ensure there is sufficient 1X TBE present and that it doesn't show signs of precipitation or cloudiness. Each chamber should contain approximately 200mls of 1X TBE.

Sample Preparation and Gel Inoculation

- It is important to record the placement of the samples into the gel in the Laboratory logbook (e.g. sample ABC 1:10 dilution will be placed into well #1, sample XYZ 2.0 dilution will be placed in well #8, etc).
- Once the gels are solidified remove the combs, lower the sides on the gel tray and place in the electrophoretic chamber with the tallest wall of the gel tray facing the electrode connections. Ensure the buffer completely covers the agarose.
- 3) Prepare and inoculate each sample individually as follows: to a tube containing 2 μL of Orange-G® add 5 μL of PCR product. Using an aerosol resistant gel tip, remove the entire 7 μL of stain and product and inoculate a well in the gel. Take care not to pierce the well with the pipet tip. Repeat this process loading all wells needed. One well of the gel should be inoculated with the molecular ladder to use as a reference point.
- 4) After all wells have been filled close tight the electrophoretic chamber. It is important to engage both the red and black electrode connection completely. Plug the red and black lines into the FOTODYNE® power supply.
- 5) Quality Control Steps:
 - a. Ensure each gel is loaded with a positive control, molecular ladder or both.
 - Ensure that at least one positive control and one negative control are gelled for each PCR batch.
- 6) Run the gels at 100V for approximately 1.5 hours (to ensure a minimum migration of ¾ the length of the gel). If the power supply is appropriately engaged, you will notice small bubbles forming on the positive side of the chamber. If you do not see bubbles, turn off the power supply and check electrode connections. Take care to turn off the power supply before opening the chambers.

Gel Reading and Interpretation

- Turn off the power supply. Carefully open the chamber and pick up the tray taking care the gel does not slip off the tray into the chamber. Slide the gel off the tray onto the FOTODYNE® UV transilluminator, being careful not to tear the gel. Close the cover on the transilluminator, turn the power on and read the gel.
- 2) Quality Control Steps:
 - a. Ensure the ladder is well distributed

	LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Version #: 1 Page 6
C PRO-	Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	ST)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015

Gel Preparation, Loading, Electrophoresis, and Interpretation (Lab 114)

Materials needed: 1) GeneMate LE Quick Dissolve® agarose

- 2) Balance
- 3) 100 mL graduated cylinder
- 4) 250 mL beaker
- 5) Microwave
- 6) Molecular Grade Reagent Water
- 7) Gloves
- 8) Safety Glasses
- 9) Working Strength (1X) Tris-broate-EDTA
- 10) GelStar® DNA stain
- 11) Orange-G®
- 12) FOTODYNE® electrophoretic chambers and power supply
- 13) FOTODYNE® UV transilluminator
- 14) Mini-gel tray with combs (12 well comb is preferred)
- 15) Appropriate molecular ladder
- 16) 0.2 mL PCR tubes
- 17) Pipettors: 0.1 10 μL 0.1 20 μL, 10 100 μL and assorted aerosol resistant tips. Gel loading tips are necessary for this step
- 18) Kimwipes®

Gel Preparation

When working with nucleic acid stains it is critical to wear gloves at all times.

- A single 2% agarose gel is prepared using 40mls of 1X TBE buffer and 0.8g of agarose. After weighing out the agarose, place it into a 250 mL beaker containing the appropriate amount of 1X TBE. Preparation of 4 gels uses 3.2 grams of Agarose in 160mls of 1X TBE.
- 2) Place beaker in the microwave in the hood Lab 114. Microwave till boiling then continue to boil long enough for the agarose to fully dissolve. If preparing enough agarose for 4 gels, it will take approximately 3 minutes to thoroughly melt the agar.
- 3) Remove the beaker from the microwave but leave inside the hood to cool until it is warm to the touch but no longer hot (approximately 55°C). At this point, add the appropriate amount of GelStar® DNA stain (3-4ul per 100mls of agar).
- 4) Prepare the appropriate number of mini-gel trays by raising and locking into place the side bars and placing the comb in the appropriate slot in the chamber. Carefully pour the agarose into the

Region 10 Laboratory Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	5 T)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015
LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE	Document #:	Version #: 1
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Mi-WI008	Page 5

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) (Lab 113)

- 1) Pull from freezer extracts to be run along with their associated positive control
- 2) Place extracts in small centrifuge and spin to knock any beads down
- 3) Finish filling out the sample location template (see Attachment 2)
- 4) To the PCR tray add the following volumes:
 - a. $1:10 = (24 \ \mu L \text{ and}) 1 \ \mu L$ of the 1:10 dilution sample (tube found in dilution tray)
 - b. 1:5 = $(23 \ \mu L \text{ and}) 2 \ \mu L$ of the 1:10 dilution sample (tube found in dilution tray)
 - c. 1:2 = $(20 \,\mu\text{L and}) 5 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the 1:10 dilution sample (tube found in dilution tray)
 - d. $1.0 = (24 \ \mu L \text{ and}) 1 \ \mu L$ of the straight extract
 - e. $2.0 = (23 \,\mu\text{L and}) 2 \,\mu\text{L}$ of the straight extract
 - f. Positive control = $(24 \,\mu\text{L and}) \, 1 \,\mu\text{L}$ positive control (not primer but positive)
- 5) All volumes should now be 25 µL in each PCR tube in the PCR tray
- 6) Repeat the above sample additions with each sample extract
- 7) Once filled, close lids
- 8) Turn on thermocycler
- Place a top tray into the quad to be used (found in the drawer immediately below the thermocycler)
- 10) Load quad tray with PCR tubes, being sure sample isn't clinging to side of tubes
- 11) Once quad is loaded, hit "proceed", then left or right arrow until the desired run is selective (i.e. RUM, HUM, GB) then hit "proceed" again. Hit block key until desired block is highlighted then hit "proceed". Select "yes" for heated lid then "proceed" again
- 12) If running more than one block, start the run of the first block then program the second block by pushing the "block" key to go back to the main menu and then set up the next run.
- 13) The run takes approximately 2.5 hours
- 14) Label Teeny Tuff Tags® with appropriate sample numbers
- 15) When thermocycler run is complete, press "proceed" then "yes" when correct block is highlighted.
- 16) Carefully remove each tubes from the quad by gently lifting the top tray. Place the top tray with PCR tubes into a secondary PCR tray. Place each tube in its' appropriate location in a labeled PCR tray (forward primer, run #, date, and initials). Label the first two tubes of each 5 dilutions within a sample set
- 17) Place completed PCR tray in the freezer in lab 114. Glue the sample location template into the logbook, also in Lab 114

	Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	JT)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Page 4
ANTICO ACCESSION	LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE		Version #:]

Master Mix/Tray Set Up (Lab 112)

Reagents:

10X Ex Taq Buffer dNTP 25% Acetamide (0.25g Acetamide/1mL DNAse/RNAse free water) Ex Taq (enzyme) Reverse Primer (708R)

- 1) Pull reagents from freezer as well as the forward primer to be run (32F, CF 193, HF 183, or HF 134)
- Load one frozen tray with as many PCR tubes as samples being run (i.e. 5 samples, 5 PCR tubes) Set up desired order/sample location. This is called the dilution tray.
- 3) Load a second frozen tray with PCR tubes that will be used to contain the 5 dilutions of each sample, as well as a positive and a negative control. This is called the PCR tray. On a PCR sample location template note where each sample is going to be located, including the positive and negative controls (see Attachment 2). When trays are loaded proceed to hood. Fill one 2 mL tube with DNAse/RNAse free water and label accordingly. Label a second 2 mL tube as "Master Mix".
- 4) To each tube in the dilution tray, add 9 µL of DNAse/RNAse free water.

To the master mix tube add appropriate amount of DNAse/RNAse free water (see Attachment 1). Continue adding the rest of the reagents in their appropriate order and amounts to the master mix tube. Order addition will be: DNAse/RNAse free water, 10 Ex Taq Buffer, dNTP,

25% Acetamide, 708R and the desired forward primer, Ex Taq enzyme. Note: vortex well each reagent EXCEPT the Ex Taq enzyme; do not vortex.

- 5) Close the master mix tube and vortex. Place reagents back in the freezer.
- 6) To the PCR tray add the following volumes of master mix to their corresponding sample tubes:
 - 1:10 = 24 μL
 - $1:5 = 23 \,\mu L$
 - $1:2 = 20 \,\mu L$
 - $1.0 = 24 \,\mu L$
 - 2.0 = 23 µL
 - Negative control = $24 \mu L$ and $1 \mu L$ DNAse/RNAse free water
 - Positive control = $24 \,\mu$ L
- Place trays, PCR sample location template, left over master mix and DNAse/RNAse free water in pass through.

LABORATORY WIDE PROCEDURE U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 Laboratory	Document #: Mi-WI008	Version #: 1 Page 3
Title: Microbial Source Tracking (MS Work Instruction	ST)	Effective Date: 8/24/2015

Note: Each tube is rinsed a *total* of 3 times: 2 ethanol rinses followed by a final 600µL DNAse/RNAse-free water rinse

- 20) Repeat vortex and centrifuge steps
- 21) Pour off liquid and place tubes in rack, leaving the caps off of the tubes
- 22) Place the opened tubes into the hot block and dry until very little liquid remains
- 23) While drying, label Teeny Tuff Tags[®] with the appropriate sample numbers. Place the labels on the $0.65\mu L$ pop-cap tubes
- 24) After each sample has dried add 100µL of the warmed DNAse/RNAse-free water
- 25) Vortex well to disrupt the pellet and place back into the hot block for 5 minutes
- 26) Remove the tubes from the hot block and place in centrifuge. Spin at 14,000xg for 5 minutes
- 27) Carefully remove the tubes from the centrifuge and place in rack, matching the 2mL sample tubes to their corresponding 0.65µL pop-cap tubes
- 28) Carefully draw off the liquid from each tube and transfer to the 0.65µL pop-cap tube, being sure to leave the pellet behind. Approximately 100µL of sample will be drawn off
- 29) At this point the 0.65nl labeled tubes containing the sample extract can be placed in the freezer to safely await the PCR step.