

EPA Tools & Resources Webinar The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System Version 5.4: An Overview

Presented by K. Wyat Appel US EPA Office of Research and Development Center for Environmental Measurement & Modeling

January 18, 2023



Managing Air Quality is Complex

€EPA



Atmospheric Models are Essential Tools

• Numerical modeling is required because:

SEPA

- Pollutants of concern are not always directly emitted, but often created in the atmosphere
- Atmospheric chemistry is complex and non-linear
- Atmospheric models allow us to:
 - Simulate the complexity of atmospheric chemistry
 - Understand future air quality
 - Fill in gaps spatially where monitors do not exist
 - Formulate and test potential control strategies



"State Implementation Plan must provide air quality modeling performance to predict future pollution levels, as EPA Administrator prescribes." 110(a)(2)(k)(i) 3

AQ Models: Implementing the Clean Air Act

Evolution of EPA's models guided by increasingly complex application & assessment needs



Model Development & Applications

₩FPA

What is CMAQ?

CMAQ *(see-mak) is* an active open-source development project of the US EPA that consists of a suite of programs for conducting air quality model simulations.

For over two decades, EPA and states have used EPA's Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System, a powerful computational tool for air quality management.

CMAQ brings together three kinds of models:

Sepa

- **Meteorological models** to represent atmospheric and weather activities
- Emission models to represent man-made and naturallyoccurring contributions to the atmosphere
- An air chemistry-transport model to predict the atmospheric fate of air pollutants under varying conditions



CMAQ is used for Air Quality Assessments

States

EPA

- State Implementation Plans to attain NAAQS
- Regional Haze Rule

EPA

- National Rulemaking
 - Clean Air Interstate Rule
 - Clean Air Mercury Rule
 - Renewable Fuel Standard Act-2
- Updates to NAAQS



Other Federal Agencies

- Deployed in NOAA/National Weather Service's National Air Quality Forecast Capability
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
 - Tools for county-specific air quality information
- 4th National Climate Assessment (USGCRP)

Academia

• Research tool

International

• Worldwide: users in 125 countries

€PA

Nonattainment Presents Unique Challenges

Nonattainment Classification: PM_{2,5}

Nonattainment Classification: O₃



Modeling is often tailored to address local issues. Each location has unique process and modeling challenges from emission sources, meteorological conditions, geographical features, and/or non-controllable sources.

SEPA

Features of the CMAQ Modeling System

- Comprehensive Chemical Transport Model
 - Emissions, advection, diffusion, chemistry, deposition
- Multiscale: Hemispheric \rightarrow Continental \rightarrow Regional \rightarrow Local
- Multi-pollutant & multi-phase:
 - Ozone (O₃) photochemistry
 - Particulate Matter (PM)
 - Sulfate, nitrate, ammonium
 - Organic aerosol
 - Natural aerosol (wind blown dust, sea salt)
 - Acidifying and eutrophying atmospheric deposition
 - Wet and dry deposition
 - Air Toxics
 - Benzene, formaldehyde, mercury, etc.
 - Research/exploratory
 - Pollen, nano-materials, PFAS

Simulated Trends (1990-2010) in Ambient PM_{2.5}



Simulated Trends (1990-2010) in Nitrogen Deposition



Zhang et al., ACP, 2018

Download CMAQ at https://www.epa.gov/cmaq/

Many Emissions Sources Considered in CMAQ



\$EPA

✤ EGUs

- Non-EGU point sources
- ✤ Agriculture
- Trains
- Passenger vehicles
- Offroad vehicles
- Onroad shipping
- Construction
- ✤ Wildfires
- Residential fuel
- Consumer products



- CMAQ allows direct scaling of emissions by species, sector, and location
- Easy to introduce emissions for new pollutants
- Direct scaling of emission sources computed inside CMAQ (e.g., wind-blown dust, biogenic compounds)

Primary Updates in CMAQv5.4

- Updated chemistry for ozone and PM from global-to-local scales
- Expanded biogenic emissions options
- Improved modeling of aerosol dry deposition
- Simplified model evaluation workflows
- Improved **visualization** of meteorology and air quality data
- Streamlined coupling of CMAQ with meteorological models
- Improved CMAQ instrumented extensions
- New diagnostic and output tools



€PA

Improving Estimates of Particulate Matter

Introducing the Community Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Multiphase Mechanism

- CMAQ historically has relied on empirically derived anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA), an important component of PM_{2.5}
- **CRACMM** (Pye et al., 2022 ACPD) provides a bottom-up approach to SOA formation and supports source apportionment of SOA
 - Emphasis on process-based design
 - Multi-phase chemistry approach
 - Incorporates autoxidation, aromatic chemistry, oxygenated hydrocarbons, organic nitrate chemistry
 - Developed with a specific consideration of health applications
- CRACMM available in CMAQv5.4 as a research option
- Currently, CRACMM expected to become the "flagship" chemical mechanism in CMAQ in 2025



Image: Burkholder et al., ES&T, 2017

Lead PI: Havala Pye¹¹



DESID: Detailed Emission Scaling, Isolation and Diagnostics Module

- Allows easy scaling of input emissions
- Easy specification of regions and aggregated emission types
- Define any number of diagnostic files
- Sum multiple streams to one diagnostic file
- Choose individual variables, all variables, or sum variables

ELMO: Explicit and Lumped CMAQ Model Output Module

- Simplifies the CMAQ output files
- Reduces required post-processing of CMAQ output
- Online processing of aggregate variables (e.g., PM_{2.5})
- Instantaneous and/or average files
- Reduces required disk space

Lead PI: Ben Murphy¹²

Accounting for Emissions from Ocean Water

- Halogens (e.g., iodine, bromine) from oceans can affect ozone concentrations in air
- Including these reactions in CMAQ improves accuracy of ozone concentrations:
 - in simulations with large expanses of open ocean (e.g., hemispheric and global simulations)
 - near coastal regions (e.g., southern CA, Houston, NY-NJ-CT) in regional CMAQ simulations
- Updates in CMAQv5.4 further refined and improved the marine chemistry treatment in CMAQ



https://www.epa.gov/sciencematters/modeling-research-shows-how-salty-ocean-air-impacts-ozone-pollution

Lead PI: Golam Sarwar

Estimating NO_x Emissions from Lightning

- Lightning strikes are an important natural source for NO_x emissions
- Lightning has important implications for simulating:
 - Ozone
 - Nitrate deposition
- Lightning NO (LNO) production in CMAQ uses either:
 - observed lightning flash data (NLDN) or
 - climatological lightning data and meteorology
- CMAQv5.4 has been updated to use alternative and readily available sources of lightning flash data, such as the World Wide Lightning Location Network (WWLLN) and satellite retrievals

National Lightning Detection Network



14

Generating Biogenic Emissions within CMAQ

- Biogenic (e.g., plant, tree, soil) emissions are an important natural source of emissions (e.g., isoprene, terpenes, NO)
- Biogenic emissions have important implications for simulating:
 - Ozone

EPA

- Secondary organic aerosol (SOA)
- Prior to CMAQv5.4, the only option for inline biogenic emission production in CMAQ was the Biogenic Emissions Inventory System (BEIS)
- As of CMAQv5.4, the Model of Emissions and Gases from Nature (MEGAN) is also available.
 MEGAN can be used for simulations beyond the US



Generating Wind-Blown Dust in CMAQ

- Wind-blown dust (WBD) is an important natural source of particulate matter
- WBD has important implications for simulating:
 - PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀

♣EPA

- Solar radiation and ozone (indirectly)
- WBD production in CMAQ relies on:
 - Meteorology (e.g., wind speed, precipitation)
 - Land-use characteristics
 - WBD model in CMAQ
- Updates to the WBD model and other updates in CMAQv5.4 result in increased dust emissions across the Northern Hemisphere





€PA

Impact of Volatile Chemical Products on PM

As VCPs take on a greater role in PM_{2.5}, research suggests that the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) may underrepresent some VCPs by a factor of 2-3.

Emissions from VCPs are added to CMAQ to examine impacts on PM, O₃, and toxics.



Photo: https://esrl.noaa.gov/csd/news/2018/231_0416.html



Annually:

VCPs contribute:

- up to 0.55 μg m⁻³ to annual PM_{2.5}
- up to 3 ppb to annual MDA8 O₃
- to formaldehyde as well

Lead PIs: Havala Pye Karl Seltzer

Aerosol Dry Deposition Updates

- CMAQ contains two dry deposition models, M3Dry and STAGE
- Both models were updated in CMAQv5.4
- M3Dry updates include:
 - New representation of "leaf-level" microscale features to correct underestimation of PM dry deposition
 - Increases deposition to forested areas, resulting in 10-40% reductions in regional PM_{2.5}
- **STAGE** updates include:
 - Option to toggle between multiple deposition models (i.e., Emmerson et al. (2020), Pleim et al., (2022))
 - Reduced redundant land-use categories
 - Easier control of species and land-use specific data



Lead PI (M3Dry):

Jon Pleim

Lead PI (STAGE):

18

Jesse Bash

Simulating Emerging Pollutants of Concern

CMAQ is used to simulate local atmospheric fate and transport of per- and polyfluorinated substances (PFAS).

SEPA

- Model a production facility with documented air emissions \geq
- > 26 individual PFAS and 1 additional lumped species were added to CMAO





PFAS Emissions 109,000 kg yr⁻¹

Deposition and air concentrations are highest near the facility, decreasing rapidly with distance.

5% of total emissions by mass are deposited within ~150 km of the facility; the remaining mass is transported farther.

> Lead Pls: Emma D'Ambro 19 Benjamin Murphy

D'Ambro et al., ES&T, 2021

Air Quality: A Global Transport Issue



EPA

Examining US air quality in context of the changing global atmosphere

- Pollutants near the Earth's surface can be lofted to higher altitudes where strong winds can efficiently transport then from one continent to another
- Need to accurately represent the global emission and transport of pollutants to estimate US background pollution concentrations

Lead PI: Rohit Mathur 20

Simulating Global Influences on Local AQ

Consistent Representation of Air Pollution Process-Interactions Across Scales (MPAS-CMAQ)

- Linking CMAQ with the Model for Prediction Across Scales (MPAS)
- Seamless mesh refinement to local scales

SEPA

- Finer resolution in regions of interest
- Lack of discontinuities at boundaries improves results





Long-range O₃ Transport

Lead PI: Jonathan Pleim

SEPA

Air Quality-Meteorology-Climate Interactions





to accurately simulate air quality and the effects of a changing climate. CMAQ specific requirements for two-way coupled WRF-CMAQ are included in the latest WRF model release.

Tailored Model Evaluation Strategies

Atmospheric Model Evaluation Tool (AMET)

- Packaged software for evaluating AQ and Met models
 - MySQL database for data storage and access
 - Fortran and R post-processing tools
 - R software for database interface and analysis
- Advantages of AMET

EPA

- Capable of managing large datasets efficiently
- Partially automated system, therefore easy to use
- Relational database allows for unique querying of data
- Pre-defined analysis scripts for common analysis across groups
- Users can easily develop their own custom analyses in R





Extensive Evaluation Against Observations



Post-processing 1 month of model output for the continental US

SEPA

Ozone estimates improve with CMAQv5.4



€PA

Large improvement in O₃ in Spring

Difference in seasonal MDA8 O_3 bias between CMAQv5.4 and v5.3.3

CMAQv54_12US1_2018_Base_M3DRY - CMAQv533_12US1_2018_Base_M3DRY O3_8hrmax Bias Diff March to May 2018 Ottawa Montréal O3 8hrmax (ppb) Bias Diff (mean) -5.5 - -5.0-5.0 - -4.545 - 40Bia -4.0 - -3.5-3.5 - -3.0 σ Albuquerque prove 3.0 - -2.5 -2.5 - -2.020 - 15Vew Mexic -1.5 - -1.0Ε -1.0 - -0.5 -0.5 - 0.0Togeor 0.0 - 0.50.5 - 1.0Ciudad Juáre 1.0 - 1.51.5 - 2.0Bia 2.0 - 2.525 - 30Chihuahua 3.0 - 3.5δ 3.5 - 4.0Spring Coahuila 4.0 - 4.54.5 - 5.0 Monterrey 5.0 - 5.5Torreón Nassau

Along with temporal comparisons, evaluations include **spatial comparisons** to observations

SEPA

PM_{2.5} Estimates Similar with CMAQv5.4



Higher Bias in PM_{2.5} in Summer w/ CMAQv5.4

Difference in summer PM_{2.5} bias between CMAQv5.4 and v5.3.3

SEPA



Application-Driven Model Development

- Chesapeake Bay
- Climate Scenarios

• Modify CMAQ to

meet research

partner needs

- Green
 Infrastructure
- Emissions
 Development

 Feedback from research partners Lessons from model evaluation Model Identify Model and Application and Measurement Evaluation Needs Measurements Applicationand Detailed **Driven Model** Field-Scale Development Modeling **Design field** experiments

• Develop & apply field-scale models

CMAQ development draws from partner needs. It includes a feedback cycle that links development, evaluation, and applications. It incorporates suites of observations to inform scientific advancement for Agency needs.

> Image adapted from J. Bash²⁹

Sound Science is a Foundation for Regulatory Actions and Implementation

Transparency & Reproducibility



Dissemination





External Peer Review

- External panels comprised of International experts in atmospheric modeling & applications
- Six peer reviews since 2000; most recent in May 2019
- Panel's findings and our responses accompany the public release of the model
- CMAQ is open source and free, with a large userbase that acts as a de facto peerreview

Partner Need: Quantifying Wildfire Impacts



SEPA

Fighting to breathe in the face of Canada's wildfire emergency By **Mika McKinnon** in Kamloops, British Columbia www.newscientist.com



https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/NaturalHazards /view.php?id=90695

Haze hangs over Seattle as smoke from B.C. wildfires drifts into Washington by: KIRO 7 News Staff Updated: Aug 2, 2017 - 6:25 PM

CMAQ not only predicts movement of smoke from wildfires, but also simulates the atmospheric chemistry related to wildfire emissions.



Partner Need: Quantifying Impact of Emissions

- For policy applications it is often the response of model predictions to emission changes that inform decision making
- The Integrated Source Apportionment Method (CMAQ-ISAM) quantifies the contributions of various emissions to pollutant levels



Partner Need: Local Air Quality Characterization



Responding to needs from EPA Regions 1 and 2, higher resolution modeling enables better representation of:

- Pollution transport across the sound
- Impacts on locations along the Connecticut shore-line
- Regional strategies to address nonattainment



This work will ultimately improve the science in CMAQ with better representation of:

- Land/sea breeze circulations
- Low-level "jets" (airflow)
- Urban-scale physical processes

Lead PI: Jon Pleim ³³



Partner Need: Deposition to Chesapeake Bay

ORD has a very long history working with Maryland and other groups on deposition to Chesapeake Bay.



CMAQ application and development has been an important part of the Chesapeake Bay work.

Lead PI: Jesse Bash

34

Partner Need: Alaskan Wintertime PM_{2.5}

- Fairbanks, Alaska and regions near the North Pole exceed daily fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) standards
- High wintertime PM_{2.5} episodes are characterized by low winds, strong temperature inversions, and high home heating emissions
- The Alaska Pollution and Chemical Analysis (ALPACA) field campaign aims to better understand PM formation in these areas
- ORD researchers are working with Region 10, the State of Alaska, and other partners to use CMAQ to simulate the areas at a fine scale
- The sulfur tracking capability in CMAQ is used to understand the role of SO₂ emissions and sulfate formation in wintertime exceedances



CMAQ in the Cloud: Computing, Analysis, Data

Exploring cloud computing and data storage for CMAQ

Goal: enable states and Multi-Jurisdictional Organizations (MJOs) to more easily receive/send large data sets and to conduct modeling and analysis in the Cloud, which could:

- Provide a cost-effective data sharing and modeling solution
- Improve ability of states/MJOs to conduct simulations to meet their needs
- Improve sharing of information between states/MJOs and EPA
- Improve efficiency of OAQPS sharing data sets and assisting states/MJOs in configuring simulations
- Support research groups

♥EPA



Fahim Sidi Kristen Folev **Set EPA**

EQUATES: EPA's Air QUAlity TimE Series

- Long-term CMAQ simulations:
 - Decadal CMAQ simulations have been used for a wide variety of applications, e.g., epidemiological studies, critical loads analyses, local and global air quality trends
 - CMAQ modeling of 2002–2019 has been created for the Northern Hemisphere and contiguous US using updated models and emissions datasets
 - Model output will be available to collaborators
- EQUATES team members include ORD (CEMM) and OAR (OAQPS, OTAQ)
- Additional information on EQUATES is available at <u>https://www.epa.gov/cmaq/equates</u>.

CMAQv5.0.2 2002-2010 Trends



EQUATES modernizes the version of CMAQ (v5.3.2) and supersedes the previous time series based on CMAQv5.0.2 to unify modeled data for applications.

Lead PIs: Kristen Foley and George Pouliot

37



CMAQ Research Impact

2021 CMAQ Publications per Coauthoring Country



53 journal & conference proceeding titles

- Authors from 355 organizations across 26 countries
- Over 57% of 2021 publications already cited resulting in >340 citations
- The 5 journals with most CMAQ mentions in 2020 are in top quartile based on impact factors

2021 Publications Metric Analysis (Courtesy: EPA-RTP Library)

Supporting the CMAQ Community

We are partnered with the **CMAS Center** at University of North Carolina to support CMAQ and affiliated software products.

- Training (on-site, online, and across the globe)
- Advanced CMAQ testing
- Outreach
- Model support (via <u>https://forum.cmascenter.org</u>)
- Annual technical conference
- Data Warehouse
- Mutual research initiatives



https://www.cmascenter.org/



NSTITUTE FOR HE ENVIRONMENT

EPA Regional Air Modeling Contacts

- Each of the 10 EPA Regions maintains experts and points of contact for air modeling functions within the Region
- Experts are available for various needs:
 - SIP Modeling

SEPA

- Permit Modeling
- Mobile Source Modeling
- Air Toxics Modeling



https://www.epa.gov/scram/air-modeling-regional-contacts





K. Wyat Appel

Physical Scientist Center for Environmental Measurement & Modeling US EPA Office of Research and Development appel.wyat@epa.gov



Disclaimer: The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the US EPA.

Bash et al., 2018, 19th Annual CMAS Conference, <u>Bash_STAGE_CMAS_Conference_2018.pptx</u>

D'Ambro et al. Environmental Science & Technology, 2021, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.est.0c06580

Foroutan et al., 2017, *JAMES*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000823</u>

Gilliam et al., 2021, JGR Atmospheres, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033588

Kang et al., 2022, MDPI Atmosphere, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13081248

Mathur et al., 2017, Atmos. Chem. Phys., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12449-2017, 2017

Murphy et al., 2021, *Geosci. Model. Dev.*, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-3407-2021</u>

Pleim et al., 2022, JAMES, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003050

Pye, et al., 2022, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2022-695.

Sarwar et al., 2019, Atmos. Environ., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.06.020

Torres-Vazquez, et al., 2022, JGR Atmospheres, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035890

Wong et al, 2012, Geosci. Model. Dev., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-299-2012

Xing et al., *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2016, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00767</u>