Dry Sorbent Injection for SO2/HCI Control Cost Development Methodology

Final

March 2024

Project 13527-002

Eastern Research Group, Inc.

Prepared by



55 East Monroe Street • Chicago, IL 60603 USA • 312-269-2000

LEGAL NOTICE

This analysis ("Deliverable") was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. ("S&L"), expressly for the sole use of Eastern Research Group, Inc. ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between S&L and Client. This Deliverable was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Client acknowledges: (1) S&L prepared this Deliverable subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of the Client; (2) information and data provided by others may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this Deliverable are time sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this Deliverable. Any use or reliance upon this Deliverable by third parties shall be at their sole risk.

This work was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) as a contractor and reviewed by ERG and EPA personnel.



DSI Cost Methodology

Purpose of Cost Algorithms for the IPM Model

The primary purpose of the cost algorithms is to provide generic order-of-magnitude costs for various air quality control technologies that can be applied to the electric power generating industry on a system-wide basis, not on an individual unit basis. Cost algorithms developed for the IPM model are based primarily on a statistical evaluation of proprietary data available from various industry equipment and sorbent suppliers (including Lhoist and Solvay) as well as Sargent & Lundy's proprietary database (which includes multiple test campaigns and permanent installations) and do not take into consideration site-specific cost issues. By necessity, the cost algorithms were designed to require minimal site-specific information and were based only on a limited number of inputs such as unit size, gross heat rate, baseline emissions, removal efficiency, fuel type, and a subjective retrofit factor.

The outputs from these equations represent the "average" costs associated with the "average" project scope for the subset of data utilized in preparing the equations. The IPM cost equations do not account for site-specific factors that can significantly affect costs, such as flue gas volume and temperature, and do not address regional labor productivity, local workforce characteristics, local unemployment and labor availability, project complexity, local climate, and working conditions. In addition, the indirect capital costs included in the IPM cost equations do not account for all project-related indirect costs, such as project contingency, that a facility would incur to install a retrofit control.

In the past five years the industry trend has moved towards installing DSI systems at smaller facilities. This update includes reviews of more recent installation costs and has updated the cost algorithm to capture the more recent trends for installations with lower feed rates. Additionally, since the application of DSI for SO₂ removal in electric power generation, the industry has continued to improve on system performance improvements. These improvements have included (1) the application of Computation Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis of injection location ductwork to evaluate the uniformity of the gas flow where the sorbent is injected, (2) improved lance design or injection system design to more widely distribute the sorbent within the duct, and (3) use of enhanced hydrated lime products for improved performance with hydrated lime injection. The model algorithm has been updated based on current availability of higher performing injection system and design methodology.

Technology Description

Dry sorbent injection (DSI) is a viable technology for moderate SO₂/HCl reduction on coal-fired boilers. Short term demonstrations and utility testing have shown SO₂/HCl removals greater than 80% for systems using trona-based sorbents and up to 98% for sodium bicarbonate sorbent. It should be noted that greater than 80% shouldn't be selected as a base design without testing being performed. The lowest achievable outlet emission recommended to be used for sodium based DSI is 0.10 lb/MMBtu, especially when being applied on a system-wide basis. Outlet emission rates below 0.10 lb/MMBtu may be achievable for specific facilities, but the lower rate should be demonstrated through unit-specific engineering analysis, design, and testing at the specific facility.

The most commonly used sodium-based sorbent is Trona, but sodium bicarbonate is also used. However, if the goal is only HCl removal, the amount of sorbent injection will be significantly lower. In this case, Trona may still be the most commonly used reagent, but hydrated lime also has been employed in some situations. Because of Trona's high reactivity with SO₂, when this sorbent is used, significant SO₂ removal must occur before high levels of HCl removal can be achieved. Studies show, however, that hydrated lime is quite effective for HCl removal because the need for simultaneous SO₂ removal is much reduced. In either case, actual testing must be carried out before the permanent DSI system for SO₂ or HCl removal is designed.



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

The level of SO₂ removal for sodium-based sorbents can vary from 0 to 98% depending on the Normalized Stoichiometric Ratio (NSR), type and quality of sorbent, and particulate capture device. NSR is defined as follows:

(moles of Na Injected) $\frac{(moles of Numpercur}{(moles of SO_2 in Flue Gas)} (theoretical moles of Na required)$

The level of SO₂ removal for calcium-based sorbents can vary from 0 to 50% depending on the Stoichiometric Ratio (SR), quality of sorbent and particulate capture device. SR is defined as follows:

(moles of Ca Injected) (moles of SO₂ in Flue Gas)

The required injection rate for alkali sorbents can vary depending on the required removal efficiency, NSR (or SR), and particulate capture device. The costs for an SO₂ mitigation system are primarily dependent on sorbent feed rate. This rate is a function of NSR or SR and the required SO₂ removal (the latter is set by the utility and is not a function of unit size). Therefore, the required SO₂ removal is determined by the user-specified SO₂ emission limit, and the cost estimation is based on sorbent feed rate and not unit size. Because HCl concentrations are low compared with SO₂ concentrations, any unused reagent for SO₂ removal is assumed to be used for HCl removal, resulting in a very small change in the NSR used for SO₂ removal when HCl removal is also a goal.

The sorbent solids can be collected in either an ESP or a baghouse. Baghouses generally achieve greater SO₂ removal efficiencies than ESPs because the presence of filter cake on the bags allows for a longer reaction time between the sorbent solids and the flue gas. Thus, for a given removal efficiency, the NSR is reduced when a baghouse is used for particulate capture.

The SO₂ capture ability of the dry-sorbent is also a function of particle surface area. To increase the particle surface area, the trona may be injected into a relatively hot flue gas. Heating the trona produces micropores on the particle surface, which greatly improve the sulfur capture ability. For Trona, the sorbent should be injected into flue gas at temperatures above 275° F to maximize the micropore structure. However, if the flue gas is too hot (greater than 800°F), the solids may sinter, reducing their surface area and thus lowering the SO₂ removal efficiency of the sorbent.

Another way to increase surface area is to mechanically reduce the particle size by grinding the sorbent. Typically, Trona is delivered unmilled. The ore is ground such that the unmilled product has an average particle diameter of approximately $30 \ \mu\text{m}$. Commercial testing has shown that the reactivity of the Trona can be increased when the sorbent is ground to produce particles smaller than $30 \ \mu\text{m}$. Sodium Bicarbonate when used as the sorbent gains reactivity with in-line mills. In the cost estimation methodology, the Trona and sodium bicarbonate is assumed to be delivered in the unmilled state only. To mill the sorbent, in-line mills are continuously used during the injection process. Therefore, the delivered cost of sorbent will not change; only the reactivity of the sorbent and amount used change when milled. Estimated capital costs associated with in-line milling are included when a milled reagent is selected.

Ultimately, the NSR required for a given removal is a function of particle size and particulate capture equipment. In the cost program, the user can choose either as-delivered Trona (approximately 30 μ m average size) or in-line milled Trona (approximately 15 μ m average size) for injection. When selecting sodium bicarbonate as the sorbent the cost model is based on in-line milling. The average Trona particle size and the type of particulate removal equipment both contribute to the predicted sorbent feed rate.



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

The SO₂ capture ability of hydrated lime is also a function of particle surface area and temperature. An enhanced lime is available in the market for improved surface area per pound of sorbent. Additionally, the type of particulate control device also influences the SO₂ removal efficiency. The cost program estimate for SR is based on the use of an enhanced lime product.

When targeting the removal of SO₂ with sorbent injection HCl in the flue gas stream will also react with the sorbent. Typical concentrations of HCl in the coal fired flue gas streams will be much lower than the SO₂ concentrations. The SO₂ and HCl gas will compete for reaction with the injected sorbent. However, due to lower concentrations of HCl in the flue gas stream an emission limit will be achieved for the HCl prior to the projected removal efficiency of the SO₂ in most cases. The lower emission limit for HCl is established in the present work to be 0.002 lb/mmBtu based on test data and to meet the Mercury Air Toxics (MATS) regulation. The purpose of the present cost model is based on the SO₂ injection rates; HCl removal rates are provided for information purposes only and are not used as an input into the cost model.

Establishment of the Cost Basis

For wet or dry FGD systems, sulfur removal is generally specified at the maximum achievable level. With those systems, costs are primarily a function of unit size and target sulfur removal rate. However, DSI systems are quite different. The major cost for the DSI system is the sorbent itself. The sorbent feed rate is a function of sulfur generation rate, particulate collection device, and removal efficiency. To account for all of the variables, the capital cost was established based on a sorbent feed rate, which is calculated from user input variables. Cost data for several DSI systems were reviewed and a relationship was developed for the capital costs of the system on a sorbent feed-rate basis. The data was converted to 2021 dollars based on an escalation factor of 2.5% based on the industry trends over the last ten years (2010-2020) excluding the current market conditions¹.

Methodology

Inputs

Several input variables are required in order to predict future retrofit costs. The sulfur feed rate and NSR are the major variables for the cost estimate. The NSR is a function of the following:

- Removal efficiency,
- Sorbent particle size, and
- Particulate capture device.

A retrofit factor that equates to difficulty in construction of the system must be defined. The gross unit size and gross heat rate will factor into the amount of sulfur generated.

Based on commercial testing, removal efficiencies with DSI are limited by the particulate capture device employed and particulate emission limits. Trona, when captured in an ESP, typically removes 40 to 50% of SO_2 without an increase in particulate emissions, whereas hydrated lime may remove an even lower

¹ To escalate prices from Jan 2021 to July 2022 costs, an escalation factor of 19.5% should be used, based on the Handy Whitman steam production plant index.



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

percentage of SO₂ before the ESP performance is impacted.² This is related to the electrical resistivity of each of the sorbents. A baghouse used with sorbents generally achieve a higher SO₂ removal efficiency (50 to 98%) than that of an ESP. DSI technology, however, should not be applied to fuels with sulfur content greater than 2 lb SO₂/MMBtu.

Units with a baghouse and limited NO_X control that target a high SO₂ removal efficiency with sodium sorbents may experience a brown plume resulting from the conversion of NO to NO₂. The formation of NO₂ would then have to be addressed by adding an adsorbent, such as activated carbon, into the flue gas. However, many coal-fired units control NO_X to a sufficiently low level that a brown plume should not be an issue with sodium-based DSI. This algorithm does not incorporate any additional costs to control NO₂.

The equations³ provided in the cost methodology spreadsheet allow the user to input the required removal efficiency, within the limits of the technology. In the examples below, SO₂ removal for a unit with an ESP is set at 50% and 70% for a unit with a baghouse. The sorbent NSR would then be calculated as follows:

For an ESP at 50% removal

Unmilled Trona NSR = 1.68 Milled Trona NSR = 1.26 Sodium Bicarbonate NSR: = 0.93

For a baghouse at 70% removal

Unmilled Trona NSR = 1.61 Milled Trona NSR = 1.31 Sodium Bicarbonate = 0.90

The algorithm identifies the maximum expected HCl removal based on SO₂ removal. The HCl removal should be limited to achieve 0.002 lb HCl/MBtu to meet the Mercury Air Toxics (MATS) regulation. The hydrated lime algorithm should be used only for the HCl removal requirement. For hydrated lime injection systems, the SO₂ removal should be limited to 20% to achieve maximum HCl removal. The algorithm for the HCl removal is based on the removal rate of SO₂ determined from the sorbent injection rate. The algorithm takes into account a range of HCl inlet concentrations, but the algorithm averages this variability of HCl concentration at the inlet for the estimated HCl removal.

The current trend in the industry is to use in-line milling of the Trona to improve its utilization. For a minor increase in capital, milling can greatly reduce the variable operating expenses, thus it is recommended that only milled Trona be considered in the simplified algorithm.

² These control efficiencies represent average fleet level control efficiencies that can generally be achieved without increasing PM emissions. Individual units may be able to achieve higher efficiencies, depending on the configuration of the existing boiler and control devices. However, there is uncertainty with applying an assumed performance to any specific unit without doing site specific testing.

³ The cost equations represent the average of the fleet and are intended to be used to develop fleet costs on an average basis. There is uncertainty with applying these equations or assumed performance to any specific unit without doing site specific testing.



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Outputs

Total Project Costs (TPC)

First, the base installed cost for the complete DSI system is calculated (BM). The base installed cost includes the following:

- All equipment⁴,
- Installation.
- Buildings,
- Foundations,
- Electrical, and
- Average retrofit difficulty.

The base module cost is adjusted by the selection of in-line milling equipment, if milled reagent is selected. The base installed cost is then increased by the following:

- Engineering and construction management costs at 10% of the BM cost;
- Labor adjustment for 6 x 10-hour shift premium, per diem, etc., at 5% of the BM cost; and
- Contractor profit and fees at 5% of the BM cost.

A capital, engineering, and construction cost subtotal (CECC) is established as the sum of the BM and the additional engineering and construction fees.

Additional costs and financing expenditures for the project are computed based on the CECC. Financing and additional project costs include the following:

- Owner's home office costs (owner's engineering, management, and procurement) are added at 5% of the CECC.
- Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) is not added to the CECC and owner's costs because these projects are expected to be completed in less than a year.

The total project cost is based on a multiple lump-sum contract approach. Should a turnkey engineering procurement construction (EPC) contract be executed, the total project cost could be 10 to 15% higher than what is currently estimated.

Escalation is not included in the estimate. The total project cost (TPC) is the sum of the CECC and the additional costs and financing expenditures.

⁴ The cost equations assume that the current PM control equipment can handle any additional waste from the sorbent feed and do not factor in upgrades or additional PM control equipment. If additional PM control equipment or upgrades are necessary to meet a particular control efficiency, cost information can be found in the 2016 S&L "Particulate Control Cost Development Methodology" Report.



DSI Cost Methodology

Fixed O&M (FOM)

The fixed operating and maintenance (O&M) cost is a function of the additional operations staff (FOMO), maintenance labor and materials (FOMM), and administrative labor (FOMA) associated with the DSI installation. The FOM is the sum of the FOMO, FOMM, and FOMA.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the FOM:

- All of the FOM costs are tabulated on a per-kilowatt-year (kW-yr) basis.
- In general, 2 additional operators are required for a DSI system. The FOMO is based on the number of additional operations staff required.
- The fixed maintenance materials and labor is a direct function of the process capital cost (BM).
- The administrative labor is a function of the FOMO and FOMM.

Variable O&M (VOM)

Variable O&M is a function of the following:

- Reagent use and unit costs,
- Waste production and unit disposal costs, and
- Additional power required and unit power cost.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the VOM:

- All of the VOM costs are tabulated on a per megawatt-hour (MWh) basis.
- The additional power required includes increased fan power to account for the added DSI system and, as applicable, air blowers and transport-air drying equipment for the SO₂ mitigation system.
- The additional power is reported as a percentage of the total unit gross production. In addition, a cost associated with the additional power requirements can be included in the total variable costs.
- The reagent usage is a function of NSR and the required SO₂ removal. The estimated NSR is a function of the removal efficiency required. The basis for total reagent rate purity is 95% for hydrated lime, 100% for sodium bicarbonate, and 98% for Trona.
- The waste-generation rate, which is based on the reaction of Trona, sodium bicarbonate, or hydrated lime with SO₂, is a function of the sorbent feed rate. The waste-generation rate is also adjusted for excess sorbent fed. The reaction products in the waste for hydrated lime and sodium sorbents mainly contain CaSO₄ and Na₂SO₄ and unreacted dry sorbent such as Ca(OH)₂ and Na₂CO₃, respectively.
- The user can remove fly ash disposal volume from the waste disposal cost to reflect the situation where the unit has separate particulate capture devices for fly ash and dry sorbent.
- If Trona or sodium bicarbonate is the selected sorbent, the fly ash captured with this sodium sorbent in the same particulate control device must be landfilled. Typical ash content for each fuel is used to calculate a total fly ash production rate. The fly ash production is added to the sorbent waste to account for a total waste stream in the O&M analysis.



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Input options are provided for the user to adjust the variable O&M costs per unit. Average default values are included in the base estimate⁵. The variable O&M costs per unit options are as follows:

- Reagent cost in \$/ton.
- Waste disposal costs in \$/ton that should vary with the type of waste being disposed.
- Auxiliary power cost in \$/kWh.
- Operating labor rate (including all benefits) in \$/hr.

The variables that contribute to the overall VOM are:

VOMR	 Variable O&M costs for reagent
VOMW	 Variable O&M costs for waste disposal
VOMP	= Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power

The total VOM is the sum of VOMR, VOMW, and VOMP. The additional auxiliary power requirement is also reported as a percentage of the total gross power of the unit.

Cost Model Examples

The following cost examples illustrate the possible sorbent and PM control device combinations. Each example was run assuming the maximum SO₂ control efficiency indicated for each sorbent/PM control device combination. The examples are illustrative and as indicated above, there is uncertainty with applying an assumed performance to any specific unit without doing site specific testing.

Table 1 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of an ESP.

Table 2 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of a baghouse.

Table 3 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona injection ahead of an ESP.

Table 4 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona ahead of a baghouse.

Table 5 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime injection ahead of an ESP.

Table 6 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime ahead of a baghouse.

Table 7 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with sodium bicarbonate ahead of an ESP.

Table 8 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with sodium bicarbonate ahead of a baghouse.

⁵ Sodium sorbent costs in the examples are provided in 2023 dollars, lime sorbent costs have not been escalated. These values should be updated with the appropriate reagent costs to reflect the analysis being performed.





Table 1. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	Α	(MW)	500	< User Input
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminaus 🔻	< User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP 🔻	< User Input
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona	User Input
Removal Target	н	(%)	85	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 95% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	к		3.08	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^{(0.0313*H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^{(0.0254*H))} \\ \text{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^{(0.0254*H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^{(0.0255*H))} \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0126*H,0.255e^{(0.0243*H))} \\ \text{SBC with an BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^{(0.0243*H))} \\ \text{SBC with an BGH = if (H<10,0.34*H)0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))} \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with an BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H^{0.31},0.62*EXP(0.025*H))} \\ \end{array}$
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	17.54	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCI Removal	v	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	14.11	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.70	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	270	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)
		(4.2011)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)		will be more dificult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost		(\$/kWh)	0.06	< User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 1. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

Сар	ital Cost Calcu	lation	Examp	ole	Comments
	Includes - Equ	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (860.000°B'M) else 6,290.000°B'(M^0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (850.000°B'M) else 6,970.000°B'(M^0.378)) Hydrated lime H(M>25 then (910.00°B'M) else 6,800.000°B'(M^0.378)) SBC if (M>25 then (920.000°B'M) else 6,730.000°B'M^0.378)	\$	20,583,480	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			41	Base module cost per kW
Tota	al Project Cost				
	A1 = 10% of B	M	\$	2,058,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BN	Λ	\$	1,029,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BN	1	\$	1,029,000	Contractor profit and fees
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	24,699,480 49	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of CE	cc	\$	1,235,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners
	TPC' (\$) - Incl TPC' (\$/kW) -	udes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	25,934,480 52	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (C	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CE(TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	25,934,480 52	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW	yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	s	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM (\$/kW) FOMA (\$/kW)	yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) /r) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	s	0.41	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.93	Total Fixed O&M costs
Vari	able O&M Cos	t			
	VOMR (\$/MW	h) = M"R/A	\$	9.47	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
	VOMW (\$/MW	h) = (N+P)*S/A	s	3.48	variable Com costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MW)	h) =Q*T*10	\$	0.42	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	13.38	





Table 2. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation			
Unit Size (Gross)	Α	(MW)	500	< User Input			
Retrofit Factor	B		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)			
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input			
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input			
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input			
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻	< User Input			
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona	User Input			
Removal Target	н	(%)	90	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an BGH = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 95% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%			
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000			
NSR	к		2.18	$ \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:2.1} Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^{*}H,0.352e^{(0.0313^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^{*}H,0.355e^{(0.0254^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{*}H,0.296e^{(0.0242^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150^{*}H,0.220e^{(0.0255^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{SBC with an ESP = if (H<60,0.0185^{*}H,0.255e^{(0.0243^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{SBC with an ESP = if (H<60,0.0120^{*}H,0.165e^{(0.0243^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{SBC with an ESP = if (H<10,0.34^{*}H^{-0.51,0.82^{*}EXP(0.031^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime With A BGH = if (H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ Hydrated Lime With Lime VI \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime VI \\ \mbox$			
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	12.46	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D			
Estimated HCI Removal	v	<mark>(</mark> %)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu			
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	10.42	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.			
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal			
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.50	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A			
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	270	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)			
Waste Disposal Cost	6	(\$/ton)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to discuss = \$100)			
Aux Power Cost	<u>э</u> т	(\$/k/Mb)	0.06	will be more dificult to dispose = \$100)			
Operating Labor Rate	1	(\$/br)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefite)			
operating capor read	U	(4/11)	00	see over input (Labor cost including all benefits)			



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 2. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

Сар	ital Cost Calcu	lation	Exam	ple	Comments
	Includes - Equ	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Ummiled Trona if (M>25 then (880,000°B*M) else 6,290,000°B*(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,970,000°B*(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime f(M>25 then (910,000°B*M) else 6,690,000°B*(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B*M) else 6,730,000°B*M*0.378)	\$	18,083,999	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			36	Base module cost per kW
Tota	I Project Cost A1 = 10% of B	м	s	1,808,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BM	1	s	904,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BM	1	s	904,000	Contractor profit and fees
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	21,699,999 43	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of CE	cc	\$	1,085,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners
	TPC' (\$) - Incl TPC' (\$/kW) -	udes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	22,784,999 46	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (CB	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CEC TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	22,784,999 46	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW y FOMM (\$/kW y FOMA (\$/kW y	r) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000) r) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) r) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	s s	0.50 0.36 0.02	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.88	Total Fixed O&M costs
Vari	able O&M Cost				
	VOMR (\$/MWI	n) = M"R/A	\$	6.73	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
	VOMW (\$/MW	h) = $(N+P)^*S/A$	\$	3.12	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MWH	n) =Q*T*10	\$	0.30	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	10.14	





Table 3. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP 🔻	< User Input
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	User Input
Removal Target	н	(%)	70	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmiled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an BGH = 85% Unmiled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	к		3.15	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^{\text{H}},0.352e^{0}(0.0313^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^{\text{H}},0.355e^{0}(0.0254^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{\text{H}},0.296e^{0}(0.0254^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{\text{H}},0.220e^{0}(0.0255^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185^{\text{H}},0.255e^{0}(0.0248^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{SBC with an BGH = if (H<60,0.0120^{\text{H}},0.165e^{0}(0.0243^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{SBC with an EGP = if(H<10,0.34^{\text{H}},0.51,0.82^{\text{EXE}}\text{EXE}(P(0.031^{\text{H}})) \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40^{\text{H}},0.31,0.62^{\text{H}}\text{EXP}(0.025^{\text{H}})) \\ \end{array} $
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	17.96	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCI Removal	v	<mark>(</mark> %)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	14.22	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.65	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	270	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)
Waste Disposal Cost	6	(S/ton)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to discose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost		(\$/kWh)	0.06	See User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)





Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 3. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

Capi	tal Cost Calcu	lation	Examp	ole	Comments
	Includes - Equi	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Unmiled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B°M) else 6.290,000°B°(M°0.378) Miled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B°M) else 6.970,000°B°(M°0.378)) Hydrated Lime I(M>25 then (910,000°B°M) else 6.800,000°B°(M°0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B°M) else 6.730,000°B°M°0.378)	s	18,741,170	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			37	Base module cost per kW
Tota	l Project Cost				
	A1 = 10% of BI	M	\$	1,874,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BM	1	\$	937,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BM	1	\$	937,000	Contractor profit and fees
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	22,489,170 45	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of CE	cc	s	1,124,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering management and procurement activities)
	TPC' (\$) - Inclu TPC' (\$/kW) - I	udes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	23,613,170 47	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (CE	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CEC TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	23,613,170 47	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW y	r() = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	s	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM (\$/KW y FOMA (\$/kW y	r) = 0.03"(FOMO+0.4"FOMM)	s	0.38	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr)) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.89	Total Fixed O&M costs
Varia	able O&M Cost	t in the second s			
	VOMR (\$/MWH	h) = M*R/A	\$	9.70	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
	VOMW (\$/MW	h) = (N+P)*S/A	\$	3.49	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MWh	n) =Q*T*10	\$	0.39	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	13.58	





Table 4. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻	< User Input
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	User Input
Removal Target	н	(%)	85	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an BGH = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with a BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	к		2.32	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^{*}H,0.352e^{(0.0313^{*}H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^{*}H,0.355e^{(0.0254^{*}H))} \\ \text{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{*}H,0.296e^{(0.0242^{*}H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150^{*}H,0.220e^{(0.0242^{*}H))} \\ \text{SBC with a ESP = if(H<60,0.0185^{*}H,0.255e^{(0.0248^{*}H))} \\ \text{SBC with a BGH = if (H<60,0.0120^{*}H,0.165e^{(0.0243^{*}H))} \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34^{*}H^{*}0.51,0.82^{*}EXP(0.031^{*}H)) \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40^{*}H^{*}0.31, 0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H)) \\ \end{array} $
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	13.21	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCI Removal	v	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	10.91	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)(C*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.48	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	270	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)
		(anon)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)		will be more dificult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	Т	(\$/kWh)	0.06	< User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 4. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

Costs are all based on 2021 dollars									
Capital C	ost Calcu	lation	Exam	ple	Comments				
Inclu	udes - Equ	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty							
BM	(\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B'M) else 6,260,000°B'(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (950,000°B'M) else 6,970,000°B'(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (910,000°B'M) else 6,680,000°B'(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B'M) else 6,730,000°B'M*0.378)	\$	16,686,066	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system				
BM	(\$/KW) =			33	Base module cost per kW				
Total Pro	ject Cost								
A1 =	= 10% of B	M	\$	1,669,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs				
A2 =	= 5% of BN	1	\$	834,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc				
A3 =	= 5% of BN	1	\$	834,000	Contractor profit and fees				
CEC	CC (\$) - Ex CC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	20,023,066 40	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW				
B1 =	B1 = 5% of CECC			I = 5% of CECC			\$ 1,001,000 Owners costs including all "home office" costs (engineering, management, and procurement ar		
TPC TPC	TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =			21,024,066 42	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC				
B2 =	= 0% of (Cl	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)				
TPC TPC	C (\$) = CE(C (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	21,024,066 42	Total project cost Total project cost per kW				
Fixed O& FON FON FON	M Cost MO (\$/kW) MM (\$/kW) MA (\$/kW y MA (\$/kW yr	rr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000) rr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) r) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	s s s	0.50 0.33 0.02 0.85	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs Total Fixed O&M costs				
Variable	OR M Corre								
Variable	VR (\$/MW)	n) = M"R/A	\$	7.13	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the				
VOMW (\$MWh) = (N+P)*S/A				3.16	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection				
VON	MP (\$/MWI	n) =Q*T*10	\$	0.29	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)				
VO	4 (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	10.58					



Table 5. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input
Particulate Capture	F		ESP 🔻	< User Input
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	User Input
Removal Target	н	(%)	40	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	к		2.83	$ \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:constraint} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	8.08	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCI Removal	v	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H*0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.97	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.
I√I Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.29	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)
Waste Disnoral Cost	c	(S/ton)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	will be more diricul to dispose = \$100)
Operating Labor Rate	U U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 5. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

Capi	tal Cost Calcu	lation	Exam	ple	Comments
	Includes - Equi	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B'M) else 6,200,000°B'(M^0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (950,000°B'M) else 6,970,000°B'(M^0.378)) Hydrated Line H(M>25 then (910,000°B'M) else 6,800,000°B'(M^0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B'M) else 6,730,000°B'M^0.378)	\$	14,739,815	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			29	Base module cost per kW
Tota	I Project Cost A1 = 10% of Bl	м	s	1,474,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BM	I construction of the second	s	737,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BM	I construction of the second	\$	737,000	Contractor profit and fees
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	17,687,815 35	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of CE	cc	\$	884,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners
	TPC' (\$) - Incl TPC' (\$/kW) -	udes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	18,571,815 37	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (CB	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CEC TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	18,571,815 37	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW y	r) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	s	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMA (\$/kW y	r) = 0.03"(FOMO+0.4"FOMM)	s	0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr)) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.81	Total Fixed O&M costs
Varia	able O&M Cost				
	VOMR (\$/MWH	n) = M'R/A	\$	2.43	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
	VOMW (\$/MW	$h) = (N+P)^*S/A$	\$	2.97	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MWh	n) =Q*T*10	\$	0.17	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	5.57	





Table 6. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻	< User Input
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	User Input
Removal Target	н	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with a BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000
NSR	к		2.16	$ \begin{array}{l} \label{eq:2.1} Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^*H,0.352e^{(0.0313^*H))} \\ \mbox{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^*H,0.355e^{(0.0254^*H))} \\ \mbox{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^*H,0.296e^{(0.0242^*H))} \\ \mbox{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^*H,0.220e^{(0.0242^*H))} \\ \mbox{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185^*H,0.255e^{(0.0248^*H))} \\ \mbox{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0120^*H,0.165e^{(0.0243^*H))} \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34^*H^{-0.51},0.82^*EXP(0.031^*H)) \\ \mbox{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40^*H^{-0.31}, 0.62^*EXP(0.025^*H)) \\ \end{array} $
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	6.17	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D
Estimated HCI Removal	v	<mark>(</mark> %)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	7.28	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.0077*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Ρ	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)(/2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.22	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)
out of the other		(arton)	50	User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)		will be more dificult to dispose = \$100)
Aux Power Cost	Т	(\$/kWh)	0.06	< User Input
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)





Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 6. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

Costs are all based on 2021 dollars								
Capital Cost Calculation Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty	Exam	ple	Comments					
Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B'M) else 6,290,000°B'(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (950,000°B'M) else 6,870,000°B'(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime (HW-25 then (940,000°B'M) else 6,869,000°B'(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B'M) else 6,730,000°B'M*0.378)	5	13,311,779	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system					
BM (\$/KW) =		27	Base module cost per kW					
Total Project Cost A1 = 10% of BM	s	1,331,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs					
A2 = 5% of BM	\$	666,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc					
A3 = 5% of BM	\$	666,000	Contractor profit and fees					
CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	15,974,779 32	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW					
B1 = 5% of CECC	s	799,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)					
TPC' (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 TPC' (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	16,773,779 34	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC					
B2 = 0% of (CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)					
TPC (\$) = CECC + B1 + B2 TPC (\$/R/W) =	\$	16,773,779 34	Total project cost Total project cost per kW					
Fixed O&M Cost FOM0 (\$kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000) FOMM (\$kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) FOMA (\$kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	5 5 5	0.50 0.27 0.02	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs					
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.78	Total Fixed O&M costs					
Variable O&M Cost VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A	\$	1.85	Variable O&M costs for sorbent					
VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)*S/A	\$	2.80	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection					
VOMP (\$/MWh) =Q*T*10	\$	0.13	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)					
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	4.79						





Table 7. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation	
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input	
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)	
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input	
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input	
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input	
Particulate Capture	F		ESP 🔻	< User Input	
Sorbent	G		Sodium Bicarbonate	User Input	
Removal Target	н	(%)	95	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an BGP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with a BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%	
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000	
NSR	к		2.69	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^{*}H,0.352e^{(0.0313^{*}H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^{*}H,0.355e^{(0.0254^{*}H))} \\ \text{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{*}H,0.296e^{(0.0242^{*}H))} \\ \text{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150^{*}H,0.220e^{(0.0255^{*}H))} \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185^{*}H,0.255e^{(0.0243^{*}H))} \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0120^{*}H,0.165e^{(0.0243^{*}H))} \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34^{*}H^{-0.51,0.82^{*}EXP(0.031^{*}H))} \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.40^{*}H^{-0.31,0.62^{*}EXP(0.025^{*}H))} \\ \end{array} $	
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	16.77	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D	
Estimated HCI Removal	~	<mark>(</mark> %)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu	
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	11.85	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.	
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Ρ	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C) ² Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal	
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.67	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A	
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	380	< User Input (Trona = \$270. Hydrated Lime = \$150. Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)	
Weste Dispesal Cost		(8/100)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone	
Aux Power Cost	5	(\$/t0n)	0.06	will be more difficult to dispose = \$100)	
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)	





Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 7. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

Cap	ital Cost Calcu	lation	Examp	ple	Comments	
	Includes - Equ	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty				
	BM (\$) =	Unmiled Trona if (M>25 then (860,000°B'M) else 6,290,000°B'(M^0.378) Miled Trona if (M>25 then (850,000°B'M) else 6,970,000°B'(M^0.378)) Hydrated lime If(M>25 then (910,000°B'M) else 6,690,000°B'(M^0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920,000°B'M) else 6,730,000°B'M^0.378)	\$	19,538,344	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system	
	BM (\$/KW) =			39	Base module cost per kW	
Total Project Cost A1 = 10% of BM				1,954,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs	
	A2 = 5% of BN	Λ	\$	977,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc	
	A3 = 5% of BN	Λ	\$	977,000	Contractor profit and fees	
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	ccludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	23,446,344 47	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW	
	B1 = 5% of CE	ec	s	1,172,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners	
	TPC" (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 TPC" (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =		\$	24,618,344 49	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC	
	B2 = 0% of (Ci	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)	
	TPC (\$) = CE(TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	24,618,344 49	Total project cost Total project cost per kW	
Fixed 0&M Cost FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000) FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)			\$ \$ \$	0.50 0.39 0.02	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs	
	FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.91	Total Fixed O&M costs	
Vari	iable O&M Cost	t				
VOMR (\$/MWh) = M*R/A			\$	12.75	Variable O&M costs for sorbent	
	VOMW $(MWh) = (N+P)^S/A$		\$	3.26	variable using costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection	
	VOMP (\$/MW)	h) =Q*T*10	s	0.40	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)	
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	16.41		



Table 8. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation			
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input			
Retrofit Factor	В	(,	1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)			
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input			
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input			
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input			
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻	< User Input			
Sorbent	G		Sodium Bicarbonate	User Input			
Removal Target	н	(%)	98	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 95% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%			
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000			
NSR	к		1.79	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310^{\text{H}},0.352e^{(0.0313^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245^{\text{H}}H,0.355e^{(0.0254^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196^{\text{H}}H,0.296e^{(0.0242^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150^{\text{H}},0.220e^{(0.0255^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185^{\text{H}}H,0.255e^{(0.0243^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0120^{\text{H}},0.165e^{(0.0243^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34^{\text{H}}h^{0.51},0.82^{\text{H}}\text{EXP}(0.031^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \text{Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40^{\text{H}}h^{0.31},0.62^{\text{H}}\text{EXP}(0.025^{\text{H}}H)) \\ \end{array} $			
Sorbent Feed Rate	м	(ton/hr)	11.13	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D			
Estimated HCI Removal	v	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = $60.86^{\circ}H^{\circ}0.1081$, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH = $84.598^{\circ}H^{\circ}0.0346$ or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = $60.86^{\circ}H^{\circ}0.1081$, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = $84.598^{\circ}H^{\circ}0.0346$, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = $54.92^{\circ}H^{\circ}0.197$ or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = $0.0085^{\circ}H+99.12$ or 0.002 lb/MBtu			
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.33	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Hydrated Lime and 2% for Trona and 0% for SBC.			
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal			
Aux Power	Q	(%)	0.45	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A			
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	380	< User Input (Trona = \$270, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$380)			
Weste Diseased Oast	_	(64)	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone			
waste Disposal Cost	5 T	(\$/ton)	0.06	will be more dificult to dispose = \$100)			
Operating Labor Rate	ů i	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)			



Project No. 13527-002 March 2024

DSI Cost Methodology

Table 8. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

Capi	ital Cost Calcu	lation	Exam	ple	Comments
	Includes - Equi	ipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Unmiled Trona if (M>25 then (860.000°B'M) else 6,290.000°B'(M*0.378) Miled Trona if (M>25 then (850.000°B'M) else 6,270.000°B'(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime f(M>25 then (910.000°B'M) else 6,680.000°B'(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (920.000°B'M) else 6,730.000°B'M*0.378)	\$	16,733,787	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			33	Base module cost per kW
Tota	I Project Cost				
	A1 = 10% of B	M	\$	1,673,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BM	1	\$	837,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BM	1	\$	837,000	Contractor profit and fees
	CECC (\$) - Ex CECC (\$/kW)	cludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	20,080,787 40	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of CE	cc	s	1,004,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering management and progrement activities)
	TPC' (\$) - Incl TPC' (\$/kW) -	udes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	21,084,787 42	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (CE	ECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CEC TPC (\$/kW) =	CC + B1 + B2	\$	21,084,787 42	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW y	r) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	s	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM (\$/kW y	r) = 0.03'(FOMO+0.4'FOMM)	s	0.34	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr)) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.85	Total Fixed O&M costs
Varia	able O&M Cost	t in the second s			
	VOMR (\$/MWH	h) = M"R/A	\$	8.46	Variable O&M costs for sorbent
	VOMW (\$/MW	h) = $(N+P)^*S/A$	\$	2.91	sorbent and the fly ash waste orsposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MWh	n) =Q*T*10	s	0.27	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh)	= VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	11.63	