

Community Grants Program

FY 2023 FINAL IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

APRIL 2023



Contents

Purpose	2
Background	2
Eligibility	2
Technical Corrections	2
Cost Share Requirements	3
Waivers to Cost Share Requirements	5
Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle.....	8
Regulations and Requirements	11
Appendix A: Statutory Language	17
Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels.....	19
Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information	69
Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments	71
Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline	76
Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources.....	78

Purpose

This document provides information and guidelines on how the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will award and administer water infrastructure projects identified as Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) and Community Project Funding (CPF) items in Appropriations Acts. For Fiscal Year (FY) 2023, the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 117-328) includes \$1,472,364,541 in the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account for 715 drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects.¹

Background

President Biden signed the FY 2023 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 117-328) into law on December 29, 2022. In this law, Congress continued the practice of funding specifically named community infrastructure projects, referred to by the Senate as CDS items and in the House of Representatives as CPF items. [Appendix A](#) of this document provides the pertinent section of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, also referred to as the EPA's FY 2023 Appropriations Act. Water infrastructure CDS/CPF projects are further referred to as Community Grants projects in this document.

Eligibility

Community Grants projects are designated for the planning, design, and construction of drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure and for water quality protection. Eligible Community Grant projects are included in Appropriations Acts. For FY 2023, eligible projects are referenced in the explanatory statement found in [Appendix A](#). [Appendix B](#) lists each project that is eligible for funding under the FY 2023 Appropriations Act and identifies the state, recipient name, purpose, and appropriated funding amount of each project. EPA will use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) framework to guide implementation of these Community Grants; the [CWSRF](#) and [DWSRF](#) eligibilities should be referred to for development of workplans, project scopes, costs, and sub-awards. Funds appropriated for Community Grants projects may not be awarded solely to repay loans received from SRF programs or to repay other debts unless there are explicit instructions to do so in Appropriations Acts or accompanying explanatory statements and/or committee reports. These funds may not be used for operation and maintenance.

Technical Corrections

Should a Community Grant recipient identified in an Appropriations Act need to modify the type, purpose, or named recipient of the Community Grant, a technical correction will be needed. The Agency's FY 2006 Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-54) included a permanent authority that allows EPA to make technical corrections to Community Grants only after consultation with Congress, without the need for additional legislation. For example, if a recipient (*e.g.*, City of Salem) is named in the authorizing language but a different legal entity (*e.g.*, Salem Wastewater

¹ In the FY 2023 Consolidated Appropriations Act, EPA received a total of 733 CDS/CPF projects for \$1,502,588,541. Of this total, 715 projects are for water community projects; this document pertains to these projects.

Utility) owns the infrastructure, the recipient can request a technical correction. As another example, if the Appropriations Act provides for a specific type of project (*e.g.*, drinking water) when a different type of project (*e.g.*, wastewater) is needed, the recipient can request a technical correction to change the project type.

Appropriate Types of Technical Corrections

A technical correction can be made for all, or part of a project identified in an Appropriations Act to change the recipient, the purpose, or both. The statutory language that provides EPA with the authority to make technical corrections does not limit the extent to which a technical correction can alter the original project, if the new project provides for water quality protection or involves construction² of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure. Technical corrections cannot, however, be used to change the project purpose to debt repayment, because debt repayment does not meet the statutory terms of the authority. After consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, EPA will generally approve changes in purpose that meet the above criteria or changes in recipient where both the original entity and the new entity to be named concur with the change. Any technical correction request involving a change to both the purpose and the recipient entity must be accompanied by additional documentation explaining:

- The need or reason for the change;
- The relationship between the two entities;
- Who initiated the request; and
- The involvement of any third parties, if known.

Additional information on technical corrections is provided in [Appendix C](#).

Cost Share Requirements

Appropriations Acts require each Community Grant recipient to provide a cost share from non-federal sources unless the recipient is approved for a cost share waiver by EPA. For FY 2023, the cost share amount is 20% of the total grant project cost. All contributions toward cost share should be included in the grant budget and must be categorized in the appropriate grant budget category (see [Appendix D](#) for more information on budget development). The source of the cost share must be included in the workplan and payment requests. EPA may pay 80% of costs shown on approved payment requests up to the approved federal funding amount.

- All grant funds, including a cost share, can be used only for allowable costs in executing the project. All cost sharing funds must have supporting source documents (a record that supports a transaction).
- Services donated to recipients may be furnished by professional and technical personnel and consultants in accordance with [2 CFR 200.434](#). Dollar values must be placed on all

² “The term ‘construction’ means any one or more of the following: preliminary planning to determine the feasibility of treatment works, engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, or economic investigations or studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications, procedures, field testing of innovative or alternative waste water treatment processes and techniques meeting guidelines promulgated under section 1314(d)(3) of this title, or other necessary actions, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, improvement, or extension of treatment works, or the inspection or supervision of any of the foregoing items.” (33 U.S.C. § 1292(1)).

donated services in accordance with [2 CFR 200.306](#). All cost sharing funds must be included in the workplan and budget and be part of the grant's total project costs.

- All cost sharing funds must conform to the same laws, regulations, grant conditions, etc., as the federal funds within the grant; recipients may prefer to limit cost sharing to the amount required.

See [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix F](#) for information on general principles of cost allowability.

Sources of Cost Share

Eligible sources of “non-federal” funds to meet the cost share requirement are described below; recipients can use any or a combination of the following eligible sources if the requirements in [2 CFR 200.306](#) are met:

1) Public sources³. The following public funding sources can be used to meet the cost share requirement:

- State appropriations;
- Local government match to the grant project;
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant funds;
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development funds;
- Appalachian Regional Commission funds; and,
- The CWSRF and DWSRF programs if those funds are:
 - non-federal funds such as loan repayments, interest earnings, bond proceeds, and fees, or
 - a state contribution to the SRF above the statutorily required 20% match.

Note: EPA has issued a [class deviation document](#) pertaining to CWSRF and a [policy memo](#) pertaining to DWSRF that allow Community Grant recipients to use certain sources of funds from the two SRF programs as the non-federal cost share. The class deviation and policy documents allow SRF programs to use the non-federal and non-state match share of SRF funds to provide loans that Community Grant recipients can use as the cost share for community projects.

Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA), including ARPA Revenue loss funds, cannot be used to meet the non-federal cost share requirement.

2) Private sources. These include funding from a business or nonprofit contributing to the project.

3) In-kind services. These may include the applicant's administrative expenses for managing and overseeing the grant and projects, provided that the expenses are not being reimbursed by the federal share of the grant award. In-kind services contributed by other

³ Community Grant recipients can use federal funds from other programs as all, or part, of the cost share only if the statute authorizing those programs specifically allows the funds to be used as match for other federal grants. Additionally, other federal program funding must be allowed to support the planning, design and/or construction of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure projects.

entities may also be allowable as cost share. Force accounts may be used as in-kind services: personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project. In-kind (cost share) contributions must be verifiable and documented. For example, if the recipient does not intend to charge the EPA assistance agreement for all time employees spend working on the project, the applicant may include salaries or wages in the personnel category for cost share purposes.

Determining Cost Share Amount

For the purposes of calculating the cost share amount, the amount specified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act for EPA's contribution represents 80% of the total grant project cost. Grant applications are not required to reflect costs that exceed total grant project costs as calculated below; this is the minimum total grant project cost required to receive the full FY 2023 appropriation amount.

The following example demonstrates how to calculate the cost share amount using \$100,000 as the EPA contribution:

A. Identify the Total Grant Project Cost

Divide the EPA contribution by .80 to calculate the total grant project cost:

$\$100,000 \div 0.80 = \$125,000$.

\$125,000 is the total grant project cost

B. Multiply the Total Grant Project Cost by .20 to determine the cost share amount

Total grant project cost x .20 = required cost share amount

$\$125,000 \times .20 = \$25,000$

\$25,000 is the required 20% cost share amount

C. Confirm

Total grant project cost = EPA Contribution + Cost Share Amount.

$\$125,000 = \$100,000 + \$25,000$

Waivers to Cost Share Requirements

EPA supports waiving required non-federal cost share for projects located in, or that primarily serve, disadvantaged communities. EPA is using the discretion provided by the FY 2023 Appropriations Act (see [Appendix A](#)) to consider waiving or reducing statutorily required non-federal cost share on Community Grant funds when requested and appropriate.

For projects identified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act, EPA will consider the Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – F⁴ below, in defining disadvantaged communities for the purposes of Community Grants. This set of criteria differs from that which is available when EPA considers requests for waivers from the cost share requirement for projects identified in the FY 2022 Appropriations Act.⁵ Projects identified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act that are in communities that meet at least one of the criteria below may request a waiver of the non-federal cost share requirement under the Community Grants Program. Systems that serve large service areas with a specific project that will primarily serve a subset of its service area that meets one of these criteria may also request a waiver.

Waivers to the cost share requirement must be approved by EPA’s Assistant Administrator for Water, in accordance with EPA’s Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁶ Recipients requesting cost share waivers should submit a written request to the Regional EPA Project Officer for consideration. Waiver requests should include applicable Cost Share Waiver Criteria(s) and any related supporting documentation including source data retrieved from the websites noted below.

Many of the criteria can be found online on the [Census Bureau’s](#) website. Recipients can start by entering their community’s name in the search bar and viewing the community’s profile. Tables and graphics from the Census Bureau’s website can be downloaded or embedded in a recipient’s cost share waiver request. Recipients should use the most recent data available. Specific tables with more detailed information and other publicly available datasets beyond the community profile page for each metric are provided below.

Cost Share Waiver Criteria

A. Community median household income (MHI) is less than 80% of State MHI

- MHI can be found on a [community’s profile page of the US Census Bureau – use the search function to find your community](#). Communities should use the most recent data available.
- MHI is also available for most communities from the latest annual Census American Community Survey (ACS) data collection. In the few cases where a

⁴EPA developed Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – F for the purposes of assessing the appropriateness of waiving the cost share requirement for the 715 drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects identified in the FY2023 Consolidation Appropriations Act, based on EPA’s Memorandum: [Implementation of the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Provisions of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law](#), March 8, 2022 (see Attachment 1, Appendix E, of the memorandum).

⁵ EPA’s FY 2022 Community Grants Implementation Guidance identifies three criteria that are not available for consideration of cost share waivers for projects identified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act: Communities with ≥ 3.4% unemployed population ≥ 16 years in civilian labor force, Communities with ≥ 12.1% vacant households, and Communities in counties with Social Vulnerability Index scores higher than 0.80.

⁶ EPA’s *Delegation of Authority 1-102, Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Water Infrastructure Projects or Other Water Resource Projects from Funds Appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account*, authorizes EPA’s Assistant Administrator for Water and Regional Administrators, “To approve and administer grants and cooperative agreements for water infrastructure projects or other water resource projects from funds appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account or any successor accounts, including a project authorized by Section 510 of the Water Quality Act of 1987, P.L. 100-4, 101 Stat. 7,80, EPA’s FY 1991 Appropriations Act (P.L. 101-507), and any subsequent public law; and to perform other activities necessary for the effective administration of those grants and cooperative agreements.”

local jurisdiction's MHI is not available, the surrounding county's MHI may be sufficient. The Census Bureau provides annual [5-Year Average Median Household Income data in Table B19013](#). Click on the B19013 Table, select GEOS and search under “most common geographies” select “State” and then select the relevant and enter community name in the search bar.

B. Communities with \$25,766 or less upper limit of Lowest Quintile Income

- Communities can view their Lowest Quintile Income on the [Census Bureau](#) website and search by community name and “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS.” Communities should use the most recent data.

C. Communities with $\geq 30.9\%$ Population Living Under 200% of Poverty Level

- The US Department of Health and Human Services provides [US Federal Poverty Guidelines](#), including a chart with percentage of poverty levels (i.e., 200%).
- More detailed information on the population living under the poverty level can be found in [Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 months for communities](#).

D. Community with census tracts that have a poverty rate greater than or equal to 20%

- Percent of the poverty rate can be found on [a community’s profile page provided by](#) the Census Bureau.
- More detailed information can be found in [Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 months](#).

E. Combined sewer and drinking water costs are greater than 2% of the 20th percentile household income

- Communities can view their Lowest Quintile Income on the [Census Bureau](#) website and search by community name and “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS”. Communities should use the most recent data.
- The total bills for one year for residential customers can be found from the community’s local utilities.

F. Communities with $\geq 11.7\%$ Population Receiving Food Stamps/SNAP Benefits

- Communities can find the percentage of their population receiving SNAP benefits on the [Census Bureau](#) website. Select “view state and local data” to search by state and then City/town or county.

Insular Territories Projects

The non-federal cost share requirement is waived for any community projects located in the U.S. territories. This is consistent with the Omnibus Territories Act of 1977, which authorizes Departments and Agencies to award grants to Insular Territories, such as the U.S. Virgin Islands, without a match requirement.

Tribal Projects

Consistent with the implementation of the Clean Water Indian Set-Aside Grant Program under Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 518 and the Drinking Water Infrastructure Grants Tribal Set-Aside Program under Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Section 1452(i), which do not require tribal cost share, EPA will waive the non-federal cost share for tribal community projects.

Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle

EPA's Community Grant appropriations are STAG infrastructure grants to improve water infrastructure and water quality through funding for drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater projects. [Appendix B](#) lists the 715 water CDS/CPF projects identified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act. These 715 projects are collectively funded "off the top" at a level of \$863,108,642 from the FY 2023 general CWSRF appropriations and \$609,255,899 from the FY 2023 general DWSRF appropriations.

EPA's Regional Offices will administer Community Grants as authorized under EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁶ Per EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-14A,⁷ EPA Regional Administrators are authorized to award grants and cooperative agreements, including Community Grants, that were appropriated in FY 2023.

The following sections describe the lifecycle stages of each award. Additional information on grants policies and resources, including on receiving and managing EPA grants, is listed in [Appendix F](#).

Pre-Award Phase

While Congress directs Community Grant funds to specified recipients for defined projects, recipients are required to fulfill statutory and regulatory requirements **before** EPA can award grant funding. These requirements include but are not limited to providing necessary information for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review, review of any pre-award costs, and submitting a complete grant application package. [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix E](#) provide information on grant application package content and submission.

1. NEPA Environmental Review

As required by EPA's NEPA implementing regulations ([40 CFR 6.100-6.406](#)), EPA must complete the NEPA review process before awarding a grant for design and/or construction.

The requirement for an environmental review under NEPA generally does not apply to grants solely for planning activities, such as infrastructure assessments, watershed plans, and wastewater capital improvement plans. Applicants should check with their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to determine if NEPA applies to a particular Community Grant. See the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section and [Appendix F](#) for additional information about NEPA Environmental Review.

2. Pre-award Costs and Procurement Review

Costs incurred prior to grant awards may be eligible for reimbursement if the costs are in conformance with applicable federal and EPA statutes and regulations. Incurred costs are financial obligations: costs owed by an entity as a result of a transaction. The costs may have

⁷ EPA's *Delegation of Authority 1-14A, Assistance Agreements*, authorizes Regional Administrators, the Assistant Administrator for Mission Support, and the Chief Financial Officer, "To take all necessary actions to award, obligate and de-obligate funds for, and administer fellowship, grant, cooperative and loan agreements (hereinafter financial assistance), and to make any final determinations required by law or regulations, with eligible recipients."

been paid or remain unpaid. The regulations at [2 CFR 200.458](#) require that pre-award costs be incurred “...directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency. If charged to the award, these costs must be charged to the initial budget period of the award, unless otherwise specified by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity.”

For Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2023 Appropriations Act, pre-award costs must be incurred on or after October 1, 2022, to be considered for eligibility. Notwithstanding, and consistent with [2 CFR 1500.9](#), all costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient’s risk. EPA shall review the eligibility of such costs on a case-by-case basis prior to approving the project budget and awarding the grant.

A review of pre-award costs includes a review of contracts executed prior to award for compliance with applicable procurement regulations as described in [Regulations and Requirements](#).

3. Application Forms, Workplan, and Submitting an Application

Upon completion of an environmental review under NEPA, development of a project workplan⁸, and review of any pre-award costs (including any costs related procurement), applicants should submit a complete grant application package to EPA. The workplan and application must include any pre-award costs. Recipients must ensure that their organizations have registered with the federal government’s [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#). Recipients must have an active registration/record with [SAM.gov](#) and complete the [Grants.gov](#) registration process to apply for any federal funding.

The complete grant application includes several forms, as described in [Appendix D](#). These forms **must** be downloaded from the Community Grant opportunity package on Grants.gov - generic versions of the standard forms not downloaded from the Grants.gov website will not

⁸ The SRF appropriations are the vehicles being used to appropriate the CDS/CPF funds. However, the SRF authorities do not govern or authorize the CDS/CPF grants. The Consolidated Appropriations Act is structured so that the CDS/CPF funding is taken from the total amount in the SRF appropriations prior to the SRF allocation to the states. Accordingly, Program Results Codes (PRCs) have been assigned to each CDS/CPF project’s funding based on the SRF account from which each project’s funding was appropriated. However, the authorities governing the CDS/CPF projects are the language in the FY 2023 Consolidated Appropriations Act, which states that, “...\$863,108,642 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$609,255,899 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection...” and in the explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2023 Consolidated Appropriations Act (Appendix A).” **Therefore, CDS/CPF grant/workplan activities may entail construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure, and water quality protection related tasks**, irrespective of EPA’s assignment of PRC. Additionally, there is language in the explanatory statement that indicates the SRF is not intended to be the authority for the CDS/CPF funds. For example, the explanatory statement states: “Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a recipient receiving a CDS/CPF grant under this section.” That direction would be unnecessary if Congress was appropriating the CDS/CPF funds under the SRF authorities.

be accepted. See [Appendix D](#) for instructions on how to navigate to the Funding Opportunity Package and download the standard forms. [Appendix F](#) includes information on budget development and allowability of costs. In addition to the required forms, grant applicants must submit a project workplan that describes the proposed project, the milestone schedule, the need for the project, and the anticipated environmental and public health benefits (outputs and outcomes). See Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline in [Appendix E](#) for more information.

Applicants must submit a complete application package (with all required forms, a workplan, and additional required documentation) for EPA review and approval, through the grants.gov portal. See additional information in [Appendix D](#).

Post-Award Phase

After receiving an award, the recipient is ready to start working on the activities outlined in the approved workplan. Adhering to various grant regulations and the terms and conditions outlined in the grant agreement are critical to ensuring a successful grant project.

- Recipients submit payment requests to EPA for incurred costs. In some cases, pre-award costs may be included. Once the payment request is approved, the recipient can draw down the requested amount. As required by [2 CFR 200.305\(b\)](#), EPA requires that recipients of EPA financial assistance participate in the [Automated Standard Application for Payments \(ASAP\)](#) system. Recipients must request payment for the minimum amounts needed for actual and immediate cash. Recipients will submit a payment request including supporting documentation such as copies of bills (vouchers, invoices, etc.), along with a description of services rendered, time spent, and charges for EPA review and approval. After review and approval, EPA will pay the recipient for the federal share of the allowable costs shown on the payment request. Information on [ASAP](#) is available online.
- EPA grants contain General, Administrative, and Programmatic terms and conditions, which include reporting requirements such as filing an interim (annual) Federal Financial Report (FFR), annual MBE/WBE Reporting, and progress report submission. [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#) are applicable to all EPA awards, and additional terms and conditions for Community Grants awards will be specified in individual award agreements. Recipients should regularly review grant award terms and conditions throughout the life of the project to ensure that the organization remains in compliance with all requirements and must inform EPA if problems arise that jeopardize the completion of the project. EPA Regional Offices perform construction monitoring and oversight.
- Recipients' personnel payroll and records system must be capable of providing reports on the activities of each employee who works directly on a grant. Charges to federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. Activity reports are typically signed by the individual employee and/or by a responsible supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the activities performed by an employee. The supervisor should be able to certify that the distribution of activity represents a reasonable estimate of the actual work performed by the employee during the periods

covered by the reports. [2 CFR 200.430](#) provides additional information on Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses.

- Recipients should contact the EPA Project Officer should any changes to the grant agreement (e.g., workplan, milestone schedule, budget) become necessary for the project to succeed, as soon as possible to discuss the changes. In accordance with [2 CFR 200.308](#), most changes must be approved by EPA and may require a formal amendment to the assistance agreement.
- EPA conducts administrative monitoring, including reviewing recipient invoices/payment requests and programmatic reports, and can request access to all records and conduct grant audits. EPA can disallow costs and take enforcement actions if the recipient fails to remain in compliance.

Closeout Phase

Closeout refers to the process EPA uses to determine that a recipient has completed all the required workplan activities under a grant and confirm that all applicable financial and administrative requirements as described in [2 CFR 200.344](#) have been met.

- Recipients must submit the final progress report according to the terms and conditions listed in the grant agreement and should demonstrate satisfactory completion of all workplan tasks and activities.
- Recipients prepare and submit several reports as part of the grant closeout process. [EPA's Frequently Asked Questions about Closeouts](#) provides information about closeout requirements, procedures, records retention, and associated regulations. EPA provides more information for recipients via the [online course on closing out grants](#).

Regulations and Requirements

Recipients are responsible for compliance with many statutes, regulations, and requirements including but not limited to [EPA's general regulations](#). In addition, each grant agreement will specify terms and conditions that establish a legally binding agreement between EPA and the recipient including but not limited to [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#). Details and information related to several requirements that are of particular importance for recipient compliance prior to receiving grant awards are discussed below. Additional information and resources on these requirements, including recipient responsibilities for compliance, can be found in [Appendix F](#). EPA will review documentation from recipients to assess eligibility of costs incurred in accordance with EPA's General Principles for Cost Allowability, as described in [EPA's Interim General Budget Development Guidance](#).

Environmental Review

NEPA and other relevant applicable statutes and Executive Orders, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA), apply to Community Grants projects authorized by the Annual Appropriations Acts. The applicable NEPA regulations are the Council of Environmental

Quality's (CEQ) implementing regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500-1508](#) and EPA's NEPA regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#). In accordance with EPA's NEPA regulations, EPA must complete the NEPA process before issuing a grant award for construction activities.

NEPA and other cross-cutting Federal requirements that apply to the project (i.e., the approval and/or funding of work beyond the conceptual design point) cannot be delegated. Although EPA may fund the recipient's development of an Environmental Information Document (EID) or other analysis for cross cutting authorities or executive orders in order to provide supporting information, EPA has the legal obligation to make the NEPA related decision, to issue the NEPA documents, to sign NEPA determinations, and to fulfill other cross-cutting Federal requirements before approving or paying for design and/or construction. Therefore, EPA grant funds cannot be used to prepare a federal document, such as an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

When both EPA and another Federal agency are funding the same project, the agencies may negotiate an agreement for one to be the lead agency for performing grant oversight and management activities, including those related to NEPA and other cross-cutting Federal requirements. The lead agency can be the one that is providing the most funds for the project, or the agency that provided the initial funds for the project. The CEQ NEPA regulations at [40 CFR 1501.7\(c\)](#) provide the factors listed in order of descending importance to determine the lead agency designation. If an EIS is required on a joint or related Federal action, EPA may serve as a co-lead or request to be a cooperating agency. In addition, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EIS or EA. Note EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EA and use it as a basis for its Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), provided EPA has independently reviewed the EA and agrees with the analysis and circulates the FONSI and attached EA for the requisite 30-day comment period.

Recipients with CWSRF or DWSRF co-funded projects for which a State Environmental Review Process (SERP) has been completed can submit the completed state analysis for EPA review. EPA will review the SERP document and will incorporate by reference any pertinent part of that document into EPA's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental analysis.

Each federal agency has its own regulations pertaining to the NEPA environmental review process. Recipients with projects that have undergone an environmental review by another federal agency may submit documents pertaining to another federal agency's analysis for EPA review. EPA will independently review these documents to determine if the proposed actions is substantially the same and if it meets the standards of an adequate EIS, EA, or Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) determination. If so, EPA may adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, pursuant to [40 CFR 1506.3](#). If EPA is unable to adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, EPA will conduct its own environmental review and incorporate by reference any pertinent part of the agency's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental review. See [Appendix F](#) for additional information on CATEX and EID development.

For design and construction projects for which another federal agency has not completed a NEPA review and projects that CWSRF or DWSRF do not co-fund and/or have not undergone a SERP, recipients will need to determine whether to request a CATEX from EPA or to prepare and submit an EID in order to proceed with a NEPA review.

Procurement

In general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that includes and promotes fair and open competition from an adequate number of qualified sources. [2 CFR 200.320](#) details the specific methods of procurement to be followed and the circumstances under which each method can be used.

Recipients and subrecipients must have and use documented procurement procedures, consistent with State, local or tribal laws and regulation as well as Federal laws and regulations in accordance with the Procurement Standards contained in [2 CFR 200.317 – 2 CFR 200.327](#).

In accordance with [2 CFR 200.325](#), recipients must provide EPA with technical specifications on proposed procurements when requested by EPA, including when pre-award costs are being considered for eligibility. In addition, upon request by EPA's Grants Management Office (GMO) under [2 CFR 200.325](#) or [2 CFR 200.337](#), grantees must provide procurement documents to EPA for pre-procurement review when EPA is concerned that the grantee's procurement procedures or practices do not comply with federal procurement requirements, including but not limited to procurements that do not comply with competition requirements. As provided in [2 CFR 200.332\(d\)](#) and the terms of conditions of their EPA award, pass-through entities are responsible for monitoring subrecipient compliance with procurement requirements in 2 CFR Parts [200](#) and [1500](#). EPA's GMO may also request that pass-through entities provide EPA with information regarding subrecipient compliance with these requirements.

Selection of Architects and Engineers (projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities)

Projects consisting of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, must comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#), or an equivalent State requirement. Where equivalent State requirements are complied with, the source of the requirement (e.g., existing State legislation or regulation, etc.) must be stated, and the Governor of the State must provide a certification to accompany the grant application that the State's A/E procurement requirements are equivalent to [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) In lieu of a certification from the Governor, the Attorney General's certification submitted with each grant application may include the A/E certification.

EPA's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

EPA's [DBE Program](#) applies to all EPA Assistance Agreements and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to: employ the good faith efforts, document their efforts, and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor. EPA grant recipients and subrecipients are required to seek and encouraged to utilize disadvantaged business enterprises (DBEs) for their procurement needs under grant agreements. Recipients and subrecipients must ensure that their contracts contain the following term and condition:

“The contractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of [40 CFR Part 33](#) in the award and administration of contracts awarded under EPA financial assistance agreements. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract which may result in termination of this contract or other legally available remedies.”

Other DBE requirements are identified in [40 CFR Part 33](#).

Davis Bacon Act (DBA)

The [DBA](#) requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. DBA requirements may be extended to federal financial assistance programs by the terms of other statutes (referred to as Davis Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA)) establishing or funding the programs. The [FY 2022 Appropriations Act](#) provides that those federal requirements that would apply to a CWSRF or DWSRF project grant recipient shall also apply to a grantee receiving a Community Grant, and the FY 2023 Appropriations Act directs the Agency to follow the guidance in the joint explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2022 Appropriations Act (see [Appendix A](#)). Consequently, the FY 2023 Appropriations Act extends DBRA provisions applicable to state revolving fund projects to the Community Grants. [Clean Water Act \(CWA\) Sec. 513](#) applies DBA requirements to projects for treatment works. DBA requirements apply to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors with job duties that are physical and manual in nature including: laborers and mechanics, watchmen or guards (under certain conditions), and working foremen (under certain conditions). The term laborer or mechanic does not include workers whose duties are primarily administrative, executive, or clerical, rather than manual. Requirements only apply to construction at the “site of the work,” which has generally been defined as the physical place where the construction occurs. Work conducted off-site is generally not covered. EPA’s [Interim Davis-Bacon Act Guidance](#) provides additional information on requirements and compliance.

Build America, Buy America (BABA)

BABA states that: “[N]one of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Project means any activity related to the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States. This law applies to all Federal financial assistance as defined in section [2 CFR 200.1](#), whether funded through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) or not. New awards made on or after May 14, 2022, must comply with BABA requirements. EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on BABA compliance, implementation, and any applicable waivers. Recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans comply with BABA requirements prior to grants being awarded.

American Iron and Steel (AIS)

The AIS provision requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works. Compliance with AIS requirements for iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel); however, BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects in compliance with BABA are in compliance with AIS. EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on AIS compliance and implementation, any applicable waivers, as well as a step-by-step process for requesting waivers and the circumstances under which waivers may be granted.

Federal Cross-cutting Requirements/Other Applicable Federal Laws

Recipients must comply with Federal cross-cutting requirements as well as other applicable Federal laws. These requirements may include but are not limited to –

- **Environmental Authorities:** Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 93-291, as amended; Clean Air Act, Pub. L. 95-95, as amended; Clean Water Act, Titles III, IV and V, Pub. L. 92-500, as amended; Coastal Barrier Resources Act, Pub. L. 97-348; Coastal Zone Management Act, Pub. L. 92-583, as amended; Endangered Species Act, Pub. L. 93-205, as amended; Environmental Justice, Executive Order 12898; Flood Plain Management, Executive Order 11988, as amended by Executive Order 12148; Protection of Wetlands, Executive Order 11990, as amended by Executive Order 12608; Farmland Protection Policy Act, Pub. L. 97-98; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Pub. L. 85-624, as amended; Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Pub. L. 94-265; National Environmental Policy Act, Pub. L. 91-190; National Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 89-655, as amended; Safe Drinking Water Act, Pub. L. 93-523, as amended; Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Pub. L. 90-54, as amended;
- **Economic and Miscellaneous Authorities:** OSHA Worker Health and Safety Standards; Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, Pub. L. 91-54; Debarment and Suspension, Executive Order 12549; Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act, Pub. L. 89-754, as amended, and Executive Order 12372; Drug-Free Workplace Act, Pub. L. 100-690; Copeland “Anti-kickback” Act, Pub. L. 73-324; Government Neutrality Toward Contractor's Labor Relations, Executive Order 13202, as amended by Executive Order 13208; New Restrictions on Lobbying, Section 319 of Pub. L. 101-121; Prohibitions relating to violations of the Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act with respect to Federal contracts, grants, or loans under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act and Section 508 of the Clean Water Act, and Executive Order 11738; Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Pub. L. 91-646, as amended;
- **Civil Rights, Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity Authorities:** Age Discrimination Act, Pub. L. 94-135; Equal Employment Opportunity, Executive

Order 11246; Section 13 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. 92-500; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Pub. L. 93-112, supplemented by Executive Orders 11914 and 11250; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, Pub. L. 88-352;

- Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, EPA has a responsibility to ensure that federal funds are not being used to subsidize discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. This prohibition against discrimination under Title VI has been a statutory mandate since 1964, and EPA has had Title VI regulations since 1973. EPA's nondiscrimination regulations prohibit recipients of EPA financial assistance from taking actions in their programs or activities that are intentionally discriminatory and/or have a discriminatory effect based on race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), age, disability, or sex.
- **Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Authorities:** EPA's FY 1993 Appropriations Act, Pub. L. 102-389; Section 129 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendment Act, Pub. L. 100-590; Small, Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises, Executive Orders 11625, 12138, and 12432.

Regional Contacts

For general questions about the Community Grants Program, or for project specific questions that require the assistance of an EPA Regional Office, contact the [EPA Regional Contact](#).

Appendix A: Statutory Language

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023,⁹ contains the following provision:

For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,480,428,000, to remain available until expended, of which— (1) \$1,638,861,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; and of which \$1,126,101,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act: Provided, That \$863,108,642 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$609,255,899 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) for projects specified for “STAG—Drinking Water SRF” and “STAG—Clean Water SRF” in the table titled “Interior and Environment Incorporation of Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items” included for this division in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 20 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency[.]

The aforementioned “explanatory statement¹⁰” accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, states:

The bill provides \$4,480,428,000 for the State and Tribal Assistance Grants program and includes the following specific funding levels and direction: Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items.-From within funds provided for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, the Committees recommend \$863,108,642 from the Clean Water SRF and \$609,255,899 from the Drinking Water SRF be for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants for the construction of drinking water, waste-water, and storm-water infrastructure and for water quality protection. The Agency is directed to follow the guidance in the joint explanatory statement accompanying Public Law 117-103.

The aforementioned joint “explanatory statement¹¹” accompanying Public Law 117 - 103, states:

⁹ P.L. 117-328.

¹⁰ Explanatory Statement Explanatory Statement for Division G of P.L. 117-328.

¹¹ Explanatory Statement for Division G of P.L. 117-103.

Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items.—From within funds provided for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, the Committees recommend \$443,639,051 from the Clean Water SRF and \$397,766,044 from the Drinking Water SRF be for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants for the construction of drinking water, waste-water, and storm-water infrastructure and for water quality protection. Each project shall provide not less than 20 percent matching funds from non-Federal sources, unless approved for a waiver. Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a CPF grant under this section. The Committees note that the following funding sources are to be treated as non-Federal funds and can be used to meet the non-Federal matching fund requirement: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant program; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Program; and Appalachian Regional Commission grants. Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P.L. 117–2) are considered Federal funds and may not be applied towards the non-Federal cost share requirement. A detailed list of projects is in the table titled “Interior and Environment Incorporation of Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items.”

**Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects
and Funding Levels
(FY 2023 Consolidated Appropriations Act)**

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
<i>The list below is organized by: (1) Community projects funded by the Clean Water SRF; and (2) Community projects funded by the Drinking Water SRF. Projects are arranged alphabetically by state within each category. Note that EPA made grammatical changes to remove extra spaces, hyphens, and periods. The original CDS list should be referred to for technical corrections.</i>			
Community Projects Funded with Clean Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Municipality of Anchorage for the Regional Landfill Leachate Treatment Facility	13,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Kenai for Digester Blower Replacements at the Wastewater Treatment Plant	2,900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Seldovia for Bloch Street Sewer Line Replacement	746,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Wasilla for Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Digester	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Municipality of Anchorage for the Powder Reserve Access Project	4,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Municipality of Anchorage for Ruane Road Culvert Replacement	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of St. George for Potable Water and Sewer Line Replacement	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Seward for Sludge Removal for the Lowell Point and SMIC Sewage Treatment Lagoons	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Soldotna for Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks Rehabilitation	680,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Wasilla for Wastewater Treatment Plant Sludge Drying Beds	2,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Soldotna for Wastewater Biosolids Dewatering	960,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Municipality of Anchorage for the Holtan Hills Sewer Access Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Valdez for Municipal Sewer Force Main Replacement	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Palmer for Wastewater Treatment System Upgrade	6,900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Seldovia for Lower Section of Raw Water Transmission Line Replacement	414,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Soldotna for pH Control of Wastewater Effluent	320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Craig for Replacing Lift Stations in the Craig Wastewater Collection and Treatment System	760,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Petersburg Borough for Water Treatment Plant Clear Well Replacement	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Ketchikan Gateway Borough for Mountain Point Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	1,760,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Wasilla for Wastewater Treatment Plant Suction Dredge	880,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Tagiugmiullu Nunamiullu Housing Authority for Wastewater Replacement Project	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Dauphin Island Stormwater Management Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Alexander City Coley Water Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Wilson for Clean Water Infrastructure	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Yellville Wastewater Collection and Treatment Improvements	2,160,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Mohave County for Grace Neal Channel Stormwater Project	1,250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Gila Valley Irrigation District for Highline Canal Nonpoint Source Pollution Control	333,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Show Low for Sewer Line Replacement	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Santa Cruz County for Storm Water Management at Ephraim Canyon	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Greenlee County for New Model Canal Stormwater Management	361,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District for Wellton Canal Pipeline Project	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage District for New Water Conveyance System to Enable Nonpoint Source Pollution Control	934,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Pima County for a Stormwater Drain at El Vado Wash	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Silver Creek Flood Control District for Millet Swale Stormwater Management Project	2,224,350
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Chandler for a Reclaimed Water Interconnect Facility	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Occidental County Sanitation District for Pipeline Design and Preconstruction Activities	1,450,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Butte for Palermo Clean Water Consolidation Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Tolowa Dee-Ni' Nation for Tolowa Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District for a Stormwater Recharge Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Rialto for the Lake Rialto Habitat Management and Community Open Space	2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Paula for the Harvard Boulevard Water and Sewer Pipeline Replacement	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Simi Valley for the Sewer Line Replacement near Easy Street and the Arroyo Simi	1,684,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District Canyon Lake Water Treatment Plant Phase 2 Upgrades	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District Quail Valley Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Orange County Sanitation District for a Supercritical Water Oxidation Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Santa Cruz for a Boulder Creek Sanitation District Expansion Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District Wine Country Sewer Infrastructure Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Western Municipal Water District Western Water Recycling Facility Project	3,920,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Padre Dam Municipal Water District—East County Advanced Water Purification Joint Powers Authority Project	2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Yorba Linda Water District Green Crest Lift Station Rehabilitation Project	300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Clemente for Avenida Cordoba/ Via Avila Storm Drain Improvements	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Oceanside for the Loma Alta Creek Sewer Relocation	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	South Coast Water District for a Coastal Recycled Water Expansion Program	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Los Angeles for a Hyperion Water Reclamation Plant Modernization project	3,452,972

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sacramento Area Sewer District for a Franklin Community Septic to Sewer Conversion Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Helendale Community Service District Wastewater Treatment Plant Tertiary Upgrade Engineering and Design Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency Replenish Big Bear Lake Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County—Desert Knolls Wash Phase IV Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Twentynine Palms Wastewater Treatment Facility Phase III	1,275,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Monterey One Water for a Cybersecurity and SCADA Resilience Project	1,252,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Coronado for the Parker Pump Station	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Paramount for the Spane Park Regional Stormwater Infiltration Facility	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Redwood City for the Douglas Avenue Pump Station Project	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County for a Stormwater Capture Project	2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Western Municipal Water District for a PFAS Treatment and Prevention Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of McFarland Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of San Diego for a Smuggler’s Gulch Dredging Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CNMI	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for an Engineering Report and Assessment	911,302

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of La Jara for Wastewater Sewer Lagoon Rehab Project	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of De Beque for Wastewater Treatment Plant	1,246,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Wellington for a Water Infrastructure Project	608,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Torrington Water Pollution Control Authority for Harris Drive Pump Station Upgrade	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Norwich Public Utilities for Sewer Gravity Pipe Lining Project	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Monroe for Senior Center Septic Tank Replacement	200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Save the Sound for Designing and Demonstrating Green Infrastructure Alternatives Across Bridgeport	200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Save the Sound for Hamden Town Center Park-Green Stormwater Infrastructure	80,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Bridgeport Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA) for Design of the West Side Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Coventry for the Coventry/Bolton Gateway Sewer Extension Project	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Somers Water Pollution Control Authority for Facility Treatment Improvements	945,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Stamford for the Stamford Bioswales Initiative	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Western Connecticut Council of Governments for a Sanitary Sewer Infrastructure Study	200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	Partnership for the Delaware Estuary, Inc. for The Mussels for Clean Water Hatchery	1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Seaford for Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks Replacement	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Wilmington for Sewer Interceptor Rehabilitation	3,060,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Wilmington for Sewer-Stormwater Separation	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Pinellas County Government Anclote Road Stormwater and Roadway Improvements	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Longboat Key Wastewater Main Replacement	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for a Septic to Sewer Project	1,920,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Pinellas County for a Baypointe Regional Stormwater Treatment Facility Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Treasure Island for a Master Pump Station Project	1,217,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Ocoee for a Utility Upgrade Project	1,408,412
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Wilton Manors for a Stormwater Improvement Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Hialeah Sewer Line Revitalization	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami-Dade County Stormwater Drainage Improvement	420,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Marco Island—Clean Waters Marco Island Project	260,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Cape Coral Reclaimed Water Transmission Main, Caloosahatchee River Crossing Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Naples Phase 2 Naples Red Bay Tide Septic Tank Mitigation	5,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Sanibel Slough Dredge and Water Quality Improvement Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Delray Beach for a Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Homestead Septic to Sewer Conversion Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Jacksonville for a Septic Tank Phase Out Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Port St. Lucie—St. Lucie River/C—23 Water Quality Project	324,483
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Sanford for Georgetown Stormwater Improvements	1,086,544
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Seminole County for a Washington Street Outfall Stormwater Project	1,304,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	St. Johns County Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Project	400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Jacksonville Septic Tank to City Sewer Connection Project	3,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami-Dade County Stormwater Local Drainage Improvement Project for SW 216 Street from Old Cutler Drive to SW 87 Avenue	420,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of North Port Sewer Vacuum Station Installation Project	2,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Southwest Ranches for a Drainage and Water Quality Project	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami-Dade County for a Septic to Sewer Conversion Project—El Portal & Miami Shores	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami-Dade County for Remediation of 127th Street Canal—Opa Locka	3,452,972

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Clayton County Board of Commissioners for Camp Creek Watershed Flood Reduction Infrastructure	2,688,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Thomson for Stormwater Project	965,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Thomasville for Wastewater Master Plan Phase 1 Implementation	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Augusta-Richmond County for Storm Sewer Improvements	4,093,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	County of Chatham for Storm Water and Sea Level Rise Impact Study	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Bulloch County for Wastewater Infrastructure	3,010,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Waynesboro for Sewer Line Improvements and Upgrades	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Colquitt for a Wastewater Pollution Control Plant Project	1,187,200
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Leesburg for a Sewage and Clean Water Infrastructure Project	949,146
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Tybee Island Stormwater Detention System Project	2,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Roswell for a Children’s Stormwater Garden and Adventure Path Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Fulton County for the Friendship Pump Station Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of College Park for a South East Sewer Wastewater Pump Station Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of College Park for Stormwater Upgrades	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	City and County of Honolulu for Green Storm Water Infrastructure	679,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Maui for Recycled Wastewater Distribution	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	State of Hawaii for Pearl Harbor Aquifer Recovery Projects	1,720,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Kaua'i for Sewer Collection Inspection	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Clive for the Greenbelt Landing Project	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Pacific Junction for a Green Infrastructure Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Dubuque Granger Creek Lift Station Improvements at Catfish Creek Sanitary Sewer System	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Maquoketa Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Roberts Clean Water Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Grace Wastewater Collection and Treatment Systems Improvement	2,560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Diamond for Watermain Replacement and Repair Lift Stations	601,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Cahokia Heights for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Cedar Point for Wastewater Treatment Plant Renovation Project	530,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Streator for WWTP Oxidation Ditch and Maintenance Project	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Northern Moraine Water Reclamation District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Emergency Power Upgrades	250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Chicago for Forging Resilient Communities Program	3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Peoria for Sewer Extension	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Streator for Storm Sewer Installation	400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Will County for Updates to Fairmont Water and Sewer System	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Mt. Vernon Sanitary Sewer Lining Project	2,411,896
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Wheaton Sanitary District for Secondary Clarifier Upgrades	2,655,400
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Heyworth Sanitary Sewer Inflow & Infiltration Reduction Project	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Northlake for a Sanitary Sewer Lining Replacement Project	3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Hanover Park for a UV Disinfection System Project	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Northern Moraine Wastewater Reclamation District for a Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Garden City for Water Reclamation and Reuse	19,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Wyandotte County for a Kaw Point Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Georgetown Municipal Water and Sewer Service (GMWSS) WWTP1 Upgrade & Expansion	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Montgomery County Sanitation District Improvements	3,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Bardstown—Bardstown Town Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Reactor & Clarifier Upgrade	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Springfield Water & Sewer Commission—Springfield City Barn Pump Station Replacement	1,399,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for a Neighborhood Drainage Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Odor Control Improvements	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Gonzalez for Wastewater Treatment Upgrades	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Lafayette Consolidated Government Sewer Lift Station and Force Main—Downtown Lafayette and University Avenue Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Monroe Calypso Street Pump Station Project	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Holyoke for River Terrace Area 21 CSO Elimination Project—Phase A	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Agawam for North Street (White Brook) Culvert Replacement Project	1,280,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Medway for Restoring Green Infrastructure for Healthy Rivers and Climate Resilience	1,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Melrose for Ell Pond Park Stormwater Management and Resiliency Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Foxborough for a Sewer Design Route 1 Project	400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Watertown for a Stormwater Tree Trench Project	240,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Framingham for the Lake Waushakum Clean Water Project	1,700,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Martha’s Vineyard Airport Commission for Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) Upgrades	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Brockton for the Biosolids Sludge Dryer Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Northborough for Water System Upgrades	491,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of North Reading for Wastewater Collection and Conveyance System Final Design	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Gloucester for a Water Pollution Control Facility Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Reading for Maillet Sommes and Morgan Stormwater Wetlands	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Chicopee for a Water Pollution Control Facility	2,854,800
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Grantsville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Prince George’s County for Restoration of Lower Beaverdam Creek	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Talbot County for Sewer Extension Project and Royal Oak Pump Station Replacement	911,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Somerset County for the Smith Island Clean Water Project	2,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Centreville for Water/Wastewater Infrastructure Renovation and Upgrade	2,155,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Brunswick for Wastewater and Drinking Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	550,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Baltimore for Egg Shaped Digesters Rehabilitation	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Dover-Foxcroft for Dover-Foxcroft Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Greater Augusta Utility District for Sewer Pump Station Consolidation	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Mattawamkeag for Mattawamkeag Lagoon Sludge Dredging	520,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Calais for Calais North Street Sewer Remediation Project	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Mapleton Sewer District for West Chapman Road Sanitary Sewer Replacement	783,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Eagle Lake Water and Sewer District for Eagle Lake Wastewater Treatment & Pumping Stations Upgrade	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Grand Isle for Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant and Pump Station Upgrades and Sludge Drying Bed	1,296,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Winthrop Utilities District for Winthrop Pump Stations Upgrade	1,896,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	York Sewer District for Route 1 Corridor Sewer Extension	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Hampden for Souadabscook Pump Station Replacement	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Windham for North Windham Wastewater Treatment Facility	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Solon Water District for Solon Water Main Replacement	340,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Gorham for Feasibility Study for Sewer and Water Distribution System	240,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Bar Harbor for Up Island Water Tank Storage	1,439,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Saco for Electrical Phase of the Saco Water Resource Resiliency Project	1,574,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Dixfield for a Hall Hill Road Pump Station Project	514,400
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Ellsworth for a High Street Pump Station	1,774,075
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Loring Development Authority for a Sewer Replacement and Infiltration/Inflow Removal Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Blue Hill for a Wastewater Treatment Facility	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Saco for a Water Resource Resiliency Project	3,452,978
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oscoda Charter Township for Water Distribution System for Potable Water	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Marquette County for KI Sawyer Clean and Safe Water Initiative	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Evergreen Farmington Sanitary Drain Drainage District for Pump Station Improvement Project	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Bay City for Update to Storm Sewer System—Banks Area	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Township of Grosse Ile for Upgrades to the Wastewater Treatment Plant	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for a PFAS Compounds Remediation Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	West Bloomfield Parks and Recreation Commission for a Pond Remediation Project	320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Macomb County for Lake St. Clair Trash Capture Project	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Port Huron Township Maywood Sanitary Sewer Pump Station Rehabilitation	1,450,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Chesterfield Maurice DeMuynck Anchor Bay Pump Station Repair	4,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Rapids Water Resource Recovery Facility Groundwater Treatment Project	1,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Midland Sylvan Pump Station Detention Basin Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Northwestern Oakland Drainage District for a Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Birmingham for a Combined Sewer System Critical Rehabilitation Program	1,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Northville for the Randolph Drain Serenity Point and Riverbank Stabilization Project	560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of North Adams Lagoon Repair and Compliance	750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Berlin Charter Township for a Waste Water Discharge Line Project	3,040,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Brooten for Water Service Expansion	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community for Recycling Facility Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Birchwood Village for a Sewer Lift Station Replacement Project	480,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Shorewood Park Sanitary District for the community sewer expansion project	1,900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Northern Township for the Sewer and Water Infrastructure Project to install water and sanitary sewer extensions	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	Saline County for Interstate 70 and Highway 65 Interchange Improvements	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	Village of Pollock for Sewer Collection System	5,061,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of St. Joseph Mainline Sewer Lining Project	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Camden for the Point Sewer Project	3,361,707
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Willard Water Systems Treatment Upgrade	2,978,320
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Crawford for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Jackson for Savanna Wastewater Treatment Plant Phase 1B Improvements	4,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of West Point for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Sardis for Wastewater System Improvements	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Pearl—North Pearl Interceptor Rehabilitation	3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Pelahatchie Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade and Sewer Rehab/Replacement	3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Clinton for Regional Wastewater Project	3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Brunswick County for Northwest Water Treatment Plant to Bell Swamp Transmission Project	2,105,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Warren County for the Pleasant Hills Wastewater Pump Station Replacement	838,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Wilson for Sewer Collection System Improvements	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Winston-Salem for Runnymede Water Infrastructure Improvements	2,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Kernersville for Beeson Creek Stream Restoration	2,420,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Holly Springs for a Water Reclamation Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Holden Beach Greensboro Street Lift Station #2 Hazard Mitigation Upgrade	2,669,867
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Belknap County Conservation District for Reservoir Road Culvert Replacement Stormwater Project	150,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Bristol for Pump Station and Force Main	1,050,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Durham for Bennet Road Stormwater and Flood Resiliency Project	2,040,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Southern District YMCA for Erosion Mitigation at Kingston Lake (Great Pond) Protection	75,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Winchester for Wastewater Biosolids	1,900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Dover for Stormwater Management	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Lake Winnepesaukee Association for Comprehensive Protection Initiative	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Gorham for a Water and Sewage Infrastructure Project	220,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Derry for the Route 28S Sewer Line Extension Project	3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Woodland for Park Rifle Camp Road Sewer Line Project	709,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Guttenberg for Galaxy CSO Chamber Elimination Project	394,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Fort Lee for Sewer Pump Station Upgrades	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Prospect for Park Main Sewer Line Repair Project	442,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Cliffside Park for Oakdene Avenue Stormwater Improvements Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Rahway for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Vernon Township for a Sewer Expansion Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Hackensack for a Storm Water Discharge Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Teaneck for Belle Avenue Drainage Improvements	1,060,780
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Bedminster for a Miller Lane Pump Station Project	1,176,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Phillipsburg for Sanitary Sewer System Rehabilitation	3,360,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Rutherford for a Stormwater Management Project	750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Edgewater for Pump Station No.4 Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	County of Essex for an Urban Rooftop Farming Stormwater Management System	2,823,150
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Parsippany-Troy Hills for the Pump Station No. 4 Sanitary Sewer Redirection Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of North Bergen for a Drainage Improvement Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	El Valle de Los Ranchos Water & Sanitation District for a Sewer Main	1,860,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Maxwell for Wastewater Treatment Upgrades	1,900,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	East Pecos Mutual Domestic Water Consumer’s Association for Connecting Additional Homes to Sewer Lines and Centralized Treatment	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Town of Edgewood for Water Quality Improvement Facility	900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Las Vegas Sewer Rehabilitation Project	1,152,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Santo Domingo Pueblo for a Wastewater Distribution System	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Santa Clara Pueblo for a Water Treatment and Wastewater Facility Design	640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Town of Mountainair for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Churchill County for Water Storage Tank	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Clark County Water Reclamation District for Logandale Sewer Collection Extension	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Incline Village General Improvement District for Effluent Export Pipeline	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Sparks for Truckee Meadows Water Reclamation Facility Disinfection Upgrades	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Reno Booth Street Sewer Siphon	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Fallon Wastewater Treatment Plant Dewatering Press	4,369,600
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Southern Nevada Water Authority for a Septic Conversion Program	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Clark County for a Water Infrastructure Project	2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Webb for Wastewater Treatment Facility Infrastructure Improvements	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Save the Sound for Hutchinson River Watershed Plan	700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Monroe County for Genesee Valley Pump Station	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Chemung County for Sewer District WWTP Consolidation	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Poughkeepsie for Hudson Heritage Sewer System	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County Oakdale Sewer Expansion Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Concord Combined Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of New Square for a Drainage and Flood Mitigation Improvement Project	2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Owasco Sanitary Sewer System Improvement Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Cornwall for the Hasbrouck Area Drainage Project	1,650,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	County of Putnam for the Southeast Sewer Diversion Project	2,125,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Dolgeville Main Street Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Project	2,640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Greenwich Waste Water Treatment Plant Reconstruction	4,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Dolgeville Fink Creek Flood Mitigation Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Canastota—South Canal, Commerce, and State Street Sewer Separation Project	1,507,605

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Tioga County Soil and Water Conservation District—Upper Susquehanna River Watershed Resilience Project	1,580,628
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Oneida for the Oneida Castle Sanitary Sewer Collection System Project	4,152,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Marathon Waste Water Treatment Plant and Pump Station Rehabilitation	3,480,960
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Brookhaven—Mastic/Mastic Beach/Forge River Sewer District Project	4,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County Smithtown Business District Sewer Extension Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County North Bellport Sewers Project	3,260,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Southampton Riverside Sewer System Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Southampton Lake Agawam Algae Harvesting Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Cuyahoga County for the MLK Boulevard Sewer Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Shaker Heights for the Lomond/ Lynnfield Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) Project	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for a CSO Elimination Project in Garfield Heights	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Wilmington New Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Plain City Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion Project	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Madison County Board of County Commissioners Summerford Sanitary Sewer Project	765,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Forest Park West Kemper Road Storm Water Improvement Project	1,209,900

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of New Franklin for the Vanderhoof Road Pump Station East Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Brunswick Healey Creek Storm Water Management Project	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District Smith Road Storm Water Project	2,724,800
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Scio Sanitary Sewer Extension	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Belmont County Water and Sewer District Sanitary Sewer Project	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Kirtland Old Town Sewer Project	820,890
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Willoughby Chagrin Floodplain Restoration and Protection Project	2,323,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Board of Lucas County Commissioners for Unsewered Areas of Curtice-Williston	2,532,832
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Port Clinton for Phase 1 Sewer & Waterline Improvements	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Dayton Wolf Creek Sanitary Liners Installation Project	3,360,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Adams County Commissioners Alexander Salamon Airport Sewer Extension	2,845,552
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ross County Board of Commissioners Union Heights Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Hinton for Improvements to Wastewater Lagoon System	289,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Town of Canadian for Wastewater System Improvements	1,599,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Porter for New Disinfection Facility	1,320,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Perkins for Sewer Line Replacement	320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Town of Corn for Rehabilitation of Wastewater Lagoons	640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Barnsdall for Replacement of Waxhoma Dam Spillway	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Wewoka for Dam Rehabilitation	68,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Ponca City for Rehabilitation of Major Interceptor	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Ada Wastewater Treatment Plant and Water Reuse	400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Midwest City S.E. 15th Street Drainage Project	3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Talent Irrigation District for Billings Siphon and Eastside Canal Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Owyhee Irrigation District for Kingman Lateral Pipeline	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Ochoco Irrigation District for McKay Creek Infrastructure Improvement	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Carlton for Sewer Collection Pipe Replacement	2,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Clackamas County for a Watershed Protection Project and Wastewater Facility Decommission	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Aumsville for a Waste Water Treatment Plant Project	1,252,950
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Wyoming County for Sewage Pollution Prevention	325,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Freeport Borough for Long-Term Control Plan Implementation and Improvements	1,500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Lancaster for Combined Sewer Separation	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Lower Ten Mile Joint Sewer Authority for Chartiers Road Sewer Extension	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority for Restoration, Retrofits, and Acquisition Projects	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Milford Borough for a Central Sewage and Stormwater Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Whitpain Township for the Ambler Alley Storm Sewer Project	220,416
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority for an Effluent Flushing Water Improvements Project	3,234,170
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Coatesville for the Ash Park Master Plan Implementation Phase I	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Cumberland Township/Cumberland Twp. Authority Greenmount Area Sewer Service Engineering Project	1,120,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Mercersburg Water Authority Water System Improvement Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Cranberry Township Brush Creek Water Pollution Control Facility Plant Solids Processing and Dewatering Upgrades	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Crafton Borough for the Broadhead Avenue Sewer Separation Project	991,758
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Bellevue Borough for Straw Avenue Sanitary Sewer Improvements	720,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Scott Township for a Sanitary Sewer Repair Project	999,999
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Mount Pleasant Township Municipal Authority—Hickory, Southview and Westland Sanitary Sewer Project	3,500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Warwick for Oakland Beach Pump Station Replacement	2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Pawtucket for Citywide Sewer CCTV and Cleaning	3,164,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Warwick for Oakland Beach Force Main Rehabilitation and Lining	1,440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Warwick for Apponaug Pump Station Upgrades	536,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Smithfield for a Water and Sewer Project	840,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Cumberland for a Returning Stormwater Runoff Project	1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Bristol for the Sewer Rehabilitation Area 2 and 3 Project	1,248,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	MetroConnects for Union Bleachery Mill Village Sewer Replacement Project	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Beaufort for Bayard Street Drainage Improvement and Stormwater Project	800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Pickens Regional Joint Water System for a water treatment plant	4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Aiken County for Horse Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Pee Dee Council of Governments for Water Treatment Plant-1 Service Road	423,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Pendleton for Pendleton-Clemson Wastewater Treatment Plant	5,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Luttrell Wastewater Treatment Plant	2,522,800
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City Of Maynardville Wastewater System Improvements	1,600,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Village of Salado Stormwater Improvement Project	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Temple Sanitary Overflow Reduction Project	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Montgomery County Municipal Utility District Number 84 Bentwood Drainage Channel Improvement Phase I Project	2,999,568
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District Woodridge Stormwater Detention Basin	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District Q534 Stormwater Detention Basin	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Municipal Utility District 468 Stormwater Detention Basin	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Kyle for the Reclaimed Water Master Plan Project	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Bellaire for a Water and Wastewater Line Replacement Project	2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	El Paso County Planning & Development Department Sandhills Wastewater Collection System Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of San Juan for a Lift Station Rehabilitation Project	3,452,972
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Mercedes for an Expansion of Sanitary Sewer Collection System Project	1,549,044
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Waco Bull Hide Regional Reclamation Plant Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Orem Wasatch Front Water Conservation Effort	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Logan City for the Biodigester Project	5,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Millville City Sewer Project	3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Summit County Weber River Watershed Resilience Partnership Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Harrisonburg for Western Raw Water Line Project Phase 3	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of South Boston for Storm Sewer Improvements	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Amherst County Service Authority for James River Bank Stabilization	2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Norfolk for Ballentine Place Water and Sewer Replacement	1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Haymarket for Stormwater Assessment	160,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Lake Iroquois Association for Beebe Lane Stormwater Improvements	320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Whitingham for Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Chelsea for a Pump Station Project	600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Lake Stevens for Lake Stevens Outlet Restoration	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Long Beach for Lift Station Replacements	1,670,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Malden for Replacement Municipal Sewer System	1,911,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Sultan for a Water Treatment Plant Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Washougal Wastewater Treatment Plant Anoxic Selector Project	1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Port Townsend for a Sewer Pump Station Project	2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Jefferson County for a Port Hadlock Sewer Project	3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Oak Harbor for an Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) Correction Program	1,950,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust for a Creek Restoration Project	1,023,632
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Steilacoom for a Garrison Springs Creek Restoration Project	1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Viroqua for a Sewer Project	1,223,400
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Sun Prairie for a Water Pollution Control Facility Solar Radiation Project	3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Mason County Public Service District for Apple Grove Sewer Collection System Project	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Elk Valley Public Service District for Elk River Embankment Stabilization and Sanitary Sewer Relocations Project	3,887,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Raleigh County for Raleigh County Piney View—Batoff Mountain Waterline Extension	1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Barboursville for Wastewater System Upgrade & Lagoon Decommissioning	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Spencer for Municipal Wastewater System Inflow & Infiltration Reduction Project	1,652,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Ansted for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	4,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Romney for Sewer System Improvements Project	400,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	McMechen for Wastewater Treatment Plant	300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Greater Harrison County PSD River Crossing Replacement	500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Davis Sewer Collection System Improvements	5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Shady Spring Public Service District—Glen Morgan Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	2,000,000
Community Projects Funded with Drinking Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Whittier for Well Field Upgrades	1,230,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Chickaloon Village Traditional Council for Community Well in Chickaloon	250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City and Borough of Yakutat for Waterline Extension Project	5,082,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Nome for Potable Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection Systems	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Town of Garden City Water System Improvements	520,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Mobile County Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection Authority Water Well Project	1,280,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	Fulton Waterworks for City of Fulton Water System Delivery	3,050,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	Central Arkansas Water for West Pulaski County Water Delivery	12,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Bullhead City for New Drinking Water Well Construction Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Carefree for Silver Saddle Pressure Zone Waterline Improvement Project	800,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Page for Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project	456,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Douglas for a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System	833,285
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Kearny for a Water Infrastructure Project	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Hopi Tribe for a Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage District for the Santa Rosa Canal Project	2,846,076
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Page for New Intake Pipes from Lake Powell	3,432,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	East Palo Alto for East Palo Alto Water Infrastructure Improvements	800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Tulare for Drinking Water Kiosks	200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Woodville Public Utility District for Woodville PUD Well No. 4 Replacement	1,150,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Modesto for Grayson Well and Tank Replacement	1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Allensworth Community Services District for Allensworth Well Solar Array	150,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Tulare for Water Delivery System	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Dinuba for Well 21 Construction	1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Carmichael Water District for Aquifer Storage and Recharge Well #2	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Citrus Heights Water District for the Highland Avenue Well Project	1,500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sacramento County for a Regional Conjunctive Use Project	2,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Oxnard for a Water Pipeline Replacement	2,846,400
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board for the Lake Cachuma Pumping Facility Project	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Atwater for the Buhach Road and Gurr Road Utility Extension	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Solano Irrigation District for Quail Creek Well Relocation and Associated Conveyance Facilities	2,824,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Ripon for the SSJID Surface Water Connection Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Stanislaus County for a Crows Landing Water Wells Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Valley Center Municipal Water District Lilac Road Pipeline Replacement Project	3,060,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Borrego Water District—Borrego Spring Road Transmission Main and Sun Gold Pipeline Replacement Projects	3,392,667
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Orange County Water District PFAS Groundwater Treatment Project	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Placer County Water Agency Alta Loop Pipeline Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Sacramento for the Shasta Groundwater Well Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Azusa for Aspan Well Treatment and Rehabilitation	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Salinas for the Castroville Seawater Intrusion Project	900,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Soquel Creek Water District for a Water Reliability Improvement Project	1,416,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Hemet for the Oakland and Santa Fe Water Main Replacement Project	1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Coachella Valley Water District for a Water Consolidation Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Fe Springs for Water Well No. 12 Assessment and Treatment	2,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Water Replenishment District of Southern California for Five Groundwater Well Projects	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Pleasanton for a PFAS Treatment and Well Rehabilitation Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for the Well 56 & 57 PFAS Removal Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Pomona for Groundwater Treatment R34	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Chino for a Treatment Plant Groundwater Wells 4 & 6 Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Fowler New Well and System Interconnection for Drought Resiliency Project	3,005,200
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Inglewood for a Water Main Replacement Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Beulah Water Works District for Raw Water Storage	380,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Naturita for Wastewater Treatment Plant Lagoon Upgrade and Collection System	1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Delta for North Delta Water Line Replacement	380,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Trinidad for a Drinking Water Infrastructure Project	1,149,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Cheraw for a Regional Consolidation Project	1,625,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Superior for Granular Activated Carbon System at Water Treatment Plant	1,280,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Aurora for a Waterline Replacement Program	2,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Minturn for a Water Treatment Plant	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Northglenn for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	2,329,600
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	School Hill Water Association for Clean Water for the School Hill Association	1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	The South Central Regional Water Authority for Lake Gaillard Water Treatment Plant HVAC and Electrical Improvements	2,647,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	The South Central Regional Water Authority for Seymour Well Treatment Facility Generator Replacement	716,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	The Metropolitan District Commission for Northeast Transmission Water Main	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Norwich Public Utilities for Poquetanuck Cove Crossing Water Interconnect	800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Dover for Water Main Extension and New Well	1,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Fort Myers Water Treatment Expansion Plan	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Lantana for a Water Main Repair Project	1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Village of Pinecrest Waterline Lateral Connection Project	640,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	DeSoto County for the Restoration of Shoreline Off the Peace River in DeSoto County	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Lee County for the North Lee County Wellfield Expansion Project	2,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Hollywood for Membrane Softening Drinking Water Upgrades	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Byron for Walker Road Drinking Water Improvement	651,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Augusta-Richmond County for Water Line Evaluation	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Keysville for Water Supply and Water Source Lines	197,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Gwinnett County for Replacement and Upgrade of Aged Water Distribution Mains	2,988,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of East Point for a Water Main Upgrade Project	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Hawai'i for North Kona Deep Well	2,080,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Hawai'i for Large Water Meter Replacement	80,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Chicago for Daycare Lead Service Line Replacement	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Edwardsville for Cass Avenue Water Main Replacement	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Ivesdale for Water Main Improvements	250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Villages of Bedford Park & Lemont for Water Connection Project	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Centralia for Water Line Replacement	500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Quincy for Lead Service Line Replacement	400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	DuPage County for York Township Drinking Water Project	300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Waukegan for Lead Service Line Replacement	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Lewistown for a Municipal Water Supply Project	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Litchfield Drinking Water Distribution System Improvements Phase I & II	2,284,429
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Greenville Drinking Water Treatment Plant Construction	750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Pana Drinking Water Transmission Main Replacement	1,881,600
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Sharpsburg & Neighboring Area Water System—Rural Water Distribution System Phase 3	690,750
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Joliet for a Design and Development Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Roberts Emergency Water Infrastructure Needs	90,400
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Manhattan for Well 7 Improvements and Radium Reduction Treatment Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Midlothian for the 149th Street & Pulaski Road Water Main Replacement	1,064,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Skokie for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Waukegan for a Water Works Improvement Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Oswego for Corrosion Studies	1,800,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Kansas City Board of Public Utilities for Aged Water Line Replacement	10,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Lawrenceburg Water System Improvements Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Nicholas County Water District—Phase 13 Water System Improvements	1,548,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Stanton Water Improvements Project	640,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Lebanon Water Works Company Tank Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Daviess County Water District Waterline Upgrade	640,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Letcher County Water and Sewer District Water System Improvements	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Hazard Buckhorn Water Treatment Plant and Transmission Line Project	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Town of Farmerville for Water Metering Project	560,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Jackson Parish Hospital for Potable Water System	750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Natchitoches Regional Medical Center for Natchitoches Regional Medical System Potable Water System	1,120,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	West Calcasieu Cameron Hospital Water Booster Station (100,000 GPD Avg. Demand) Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Gardner for Gardner Water Transmission Main	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Sharon for a PFAS Water Treatment Plant Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Millis for a Water Supply PFAS Treatment Planning, Design, Construction Project	3,452,972

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Somerset for a Hot & Cold Lane Tank THM Removal System	3,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Mansfield for the PFAS Treatment for Dustin/Prescott Wells Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Burlington for the Mill Pond PFAS Filter Facility	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Winchendon for the Central Street Water Main Replacement and Upgrade Project	494,950
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Westminster for Advanced Water Purification System	2,945,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of North East for Leslie Water Treatment Plant	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Charles County for WSSC Waldorf Interconnection	250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Westminster for a Water Main Replacement at Route 27	2,150,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Gray Water District for Maine Turnpike Crossing Water Main Replacement	1,416,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Calais for Calais Well and Generator Replacement	576,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Baileyville Utilities District for Palm Street Waterline Replacement	1,592,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Stonington Water Company for Stonington Water Storage Tank Construction	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Anson-Madison Sanitary District for Anson- Madison Regional PFAS Treatment Facility	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Limerick for a Water and Sewer Mains Replacement Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Benton Harbor for Water System Improvements	800,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oakland County for Royal Oak Township Water System Improvements	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Hamtramck for Water System Improvements	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Bruce Township 33 Mile Road & McVicar Water Main Connection Project	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Richmond Main Street Water Main Replacement (Division to CN RR)	943,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Almont W. & E. St. Clair Road Reconstruction/Water Main & Sewer Replacement Project	3,950,800
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Township of Clay M—29 Water Main Replacement Project	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of St. Clair Water Tower/Booster Station Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Clawson for a Water Main Reconstruction Project	710,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Jackson for the Hupp-Morrell Water Transmission Connector	4,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Jackson for a M—50 Water Transmission Main	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Cologne for Water Tower Repair	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Woodbury for Water Main Replacement Project	468,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Beaver Bay for Water Intake Repair Project	432,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Otsego for Drinking Water Treatment Improvements	3,400,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Lafayette for Water Treatment Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Woodbury for a East Wellfield Manifold Pipe Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Plymouth for Zachary Water Treatment Plant Enhancements	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Aitkin Regional Water Tower Upgrade	2,320,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Aurora—East Mesabi Water Project	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Springfield for Booster Pump Station	14,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of St. Charles for Well Replacement	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Brookfield Drinking Water Project	1,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Republic Water Tower Project	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Byram for Water Supply Improvements	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Ridgeland Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	3,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians Tucker Potable Water Treatment Plant Project	2,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Jackson—General Filter Repairs at the J.H. Fewell Water Treatment Plant	2,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Brooksville Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Leakesville Jernigan Water Main Extension	1,826,424

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Gulfport Northwood Water Main Replacement	1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Oxford for the Kerr Lake Regional Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Hillsborough for a Water Booster Pump Station	1,267,500
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Sanford for Triangle Regional Governments Water Treatment Plant Improvements	3,326,400
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Sampson County Expansion of Water Treatment Facilities	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Jaffrey for Water Main Replacement	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Winchester for Pump Station and Water Main	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Dover for a Regional Emergency Drinking Water Distribution Interconnection	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Keyport Borough for Water Main Replacement and Upgrade Project	2,347,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Cape May for Drinking Water Treatment Plant Expansion	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Dover for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Fair Lawn for a Water Treatment Facility Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Mahwah for a Water Department Filtration System	800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Park Ridge for a Water Remediation Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Moorestown Township for the Kings Highway/Main Street Water Main Replacement	2,240,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority for the Well 5A PFOS Treatment System Upgrade	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Netcong for Water Service Line Replacements	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Flemington for Potable Water Well #11	1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Alpha for a Elevated Water Storage Replacement Project	3,320,561
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Garfield for a Lead Piping Removal and Replacement Project	2,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Morris County Parks Commission for the Boonton Reservoir Improvement Project	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Montclair for PFOAS and Perchlorate Treatment — Rand Well	2,056,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Elizabeth for Replacement of Known Lead Service Lines	2,170,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Lower Township Municipal Utilities Authority—North Cape May Watermain Replacement	1,953,918
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Cimarron for Waterline Replacement	700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Gallup for New Water Wells	1,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Town of Springer for Water Distribution System Improvements	1,265,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Santa Rosa for Water System Improvements	800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of North Las Vegas for Robinson Well Rehab	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Virgin Valley Water District for Arsenic Treatment Plant	3,040,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Ely for Lower East Ely Water Mains Upgrade	2,430,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Truckee Meadows Water Authority Spanish Springs Nitrate and Arsenic Treatment Plant	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Moapa Valley Water District for Water Transmission Line Replacement	2,476,640
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Virgin Valley Water District for Water Line Replacement	2,660,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Syracuse for Intake No.2 Extension	1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Cuba for Water System Improvements	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Long Beach for Water Mains Replacement	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Kiryas Joel for Phase II Water Treatment Facility Improvements	1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Hempstead for an East Meadow Dioxane Mitigation Project	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Genesee County Water Security and Resiliency North Water Storage Tank Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Cayuga County Water and Sewer Authority Installation of an Alternate Water Connection in the Town of Sennett	560,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Newburgh for the Colden Park Watermain Replacement Project Phase III	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Putnam Valley for a Potable Watermain and Treatment Plant Installation Project	2,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Middletown for Water Systems Improvement Phase III Project	3,452,972

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Freeport for Security Improvements to Water Operations	240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Beekmantown Drinking Water Remediation	750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Ticonderoga for Route 74 Neighborhood Water Source and Distribution	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Schuyler Falls Morrisonville Water District Improvements	2,562,500
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Glen Cove for Installation of Packed Tower Aeration System at Duck Pond Road Well Station	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Schuyler—Graham, Newport, and Brown Road Water District Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Orwell Water System Improvements	2,351,200
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Norwich Water Main Replacement	2,850,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Fultonville for Drinking Water Upgrades Project	45,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County Water Authority Calverton Connection Project (South River Road)	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Riverhead Clean Water Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ironton for Water Main Extension and Booster Station Improvements Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Cleveland Water for Potable Water System Upgrade	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Southwest Licking Community Water and Sewer District 161 Water Tower Project	2,134,300
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Seville Water Transmission Main Replacement	1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Adena Water Meter Replacement Project	174,240
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Toronto Walton Acres Phase 1 Waterline Improvement Project	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Geauga County Board of County Commissioners Services Center Water System Upgrade	520,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Portsmouth Water Treatment Plant	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Waurika for Improvements to Water Treatment Plant	3,892,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	McCurtain County for Water Line Replacement	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Town of Maysville for Improvements to Water Treatment Plant	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Oilton for Improvements to Water Treatment Plant	80,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Barnsdall for Improvements to Water Treatment Plant	880,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Cleveland County for Water System Extension	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Watonga for Improvements to Water Treatment Plant	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Lawton for Alternate Groundwater Supply	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Edmond Water Treatment Plant Expansion	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Seminole Water Tower Replacement	4,351,281
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Falls City for Water System Project	1,600,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Burns for Water System Improvements	3,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Prairie City for Water Distribution System Improvements	602,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Redmond for Water System Construction	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Grants Pass for Water Treatment Plant Relocation Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Paisley for Water System Improvements	2,820,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs for the Warm Springs Public Water System Drinking Water Infrastructure including through an interagency agreement with the Indian Health Service	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Tualatin Valley Water District for the Willamette Water Supply System Construction Project	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Meadville Area Water Authority for Tank Rehab	390,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa for Water Distribution System Improvements	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Ashland Area Municipal Authority for S.R. 61 Waterline Replacement Project	416,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Albert Gallatin Municipal Authority for Distribution System Expansion	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Redbank Valley Municipal Authority for Water System Improvements	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	East Dunkard Water Authority Waterline System	2,734,400
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Jamestown Water for Transmission and Distribution Line Replacement Project	2,500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Providence Water Supply Board for Cybersecurity Protection	212,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Providence Water Supply Board for Lead Service Line Replacement	2,288,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Greenville Water for Adkins Water Transmission Main Line Project	5,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Aiken for Shaws Creek Water Treatment Plant	4,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Oak Ridge Water Treatment Plan Transmission Main	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Town of Tellico Plains Water Treatment Plant	800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of West University Place for the Milton Street Waterline Replacement Project	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	San Antonio Water Systems Generators for Critical Infrastructure Protection Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District Emergency Drinking Water	2,873,120
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Herriman City Water Line Replacement	3,243,520
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Kearns Improvement District Water Infrastructure Project	1,760,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Tooele City Corporation—Tooele Valley Water Well and Storage Project	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Rockbridge County for Raw Water Pumping Station	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Spotsylvania County for the Motts Run Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Randolph for North Wells and Reservoir Project	775,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of Barre for Route 302 Water Main Replacement	2,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Royalton Fire District 1 for Water Improvement Projects	670,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of West Richland for Flat Top Community Park Well Replacement and Hazard Elimination	2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Public Utility District #1 of Wahkiakum County for Puget Island Water System Mainline Improvement Project	261,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Cowlitz County for Shadow Mountain Water System Extension and Booster Pump Station	1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Bridgeport for Water System Improvements	751,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Pomeroy for Water System Improvements	436,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Public Utility District No. 1 of Skamania County— Carson Water Treatment Plant Rebuild	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Skagit Public Utility District for the Alger Interstate 5 Waterline Relocation	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Winthrop Watermain Reconstruction	667,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Issaquah for the Aquifer Project	600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Muckleshoot Tribe for a Water System Improvements Project	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Campbell for PFAS Remediation in Drinking Water Wells	1,666,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Rib Mountain Sanitary District for Drinking Water Plant and PFAS Mitigation	1,667,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Park Falls for Water and Sewer Aging Infrastructure Improvements	1,667,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Eau Claire Waterworks for Drinking Water Infrastructure	1,666,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Peshtigo for Safe Drinking Water Infrastructure	1,667,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Wausau for Drinking Water PFAS Response Project	1,667,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Milwaukee for a Clean Water Initiative	3,452,972
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of New Glarus for a Water Tower Project	1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Ravenswood for Sewage Treatment Plant	3,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Union for Pickaway Waterline Extension	400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Logan Water Department for Alternate Source Water	500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Berkeley County Public Service Water District for Bunker Hill Water Mainline Upgrade	3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Keyser for Water Treatment Plant and System Improvements	8,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Mannington for Water Meter Upgrades	229,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Branchland Midkiff PSD for Kentucky Fork of 4 Mile Creek Water Extension	370,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Gilmer County PSD for Waterline Extension Upgrade	350,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Marshall County Commission Grand Vue Park Waterline Replacement	410,438

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Newburg Independence Water Supply Line Project	2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Triadelphia Village of Valley Grove Water System Improvements	5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Kingwood Water Works (WISDOM Project)	4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Harrisville Mellin Ridge Water Line Extension	400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Wyoming County Commission Hanover Waterline Extension	450,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	New Haven Public Service District Old Gwinn Road Water Main Extension	1,000,000

Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information

Examples of Acceptable Technical Corrections

Below are three common types of corrections that are generally acceptable. All examples assume concurrence from the original recipient and the new recipient to be named, if applicable.

Original Language	Purpose (P) or Recipient (R)?	New Language
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P	Anytown for water infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P, R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Water Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements

Technical corrections cannot: 1) change the purpose to a non-construction project unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 2) change the purpose to construction of infrastructure that is not drinking, waste, or stormwater-related unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 3) change the purpose to debt repayment; or 4) transfer funds to another Federal Agency.

Who Can Request a Technical Correction?

Technical correction requests must be in writing and, for a change in purpose, must be originated by the original recipient. A request involving a change in recipient can be initiated by either the original recipient or the new entity to be named, but such a request requires the written concurrence of the other party. Requests involving a change in both recipient and purpose can also be initiated by either entity with concurrence from the other; however, the original earmark recipient must specifically acknowledge both the change in purpose and the change in recipient in their concurrence. Any request for technical corrections from parties other than the original recipient or the new entity will generally not be considered.

Procedure for Making a Technical Correction

Recipients requesting a technical correction should first consult their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to discuss the need for, and evaluate the appropriateness of, a technical correction. When appropriate and necessary, the EPA Regional Office will submit technical corrections to EPA Headquarters for consultation with and resolution by Congress.

EPA Regional Offices provide all written requests that are consistent with this Guidance to EPA Headquarters for review and submission to the Agency's Liaison to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations ("Appropriations Liaison"). The Appropriations Liaison initiates consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. EPA approves proposed corrections after the Appropriations Liaison transmits confirmation of consultation with the Committees.¹² EPA may then proceed with administering projects within the scope of approved corrected language.

When a Technical Correction is Unnecessary

All changes in the project purpose require a technical correction. All changes in the recipient require a technical correction unless the intended recipient is an agency of the original recipient or is wholly owned or controlled by the recipient (e.g., the recipient is listed as Anytown, USA, but the intended recipient is the Anytown Department of Water Quality). In such cases, a grant may be made to the intended recipient without a technical correction.

Withdrawing or Reversing a Technical Correction

Technical corrections requests that have been approved by EPA can be reversed (i.e., reverted to the original appropriation language). Reversing a technical correction requires a new technical correction following the procedures outlined above. Technical corrections requests that have not been approved by EPA Headquarters can be withdrawn. Withdrawal procedures depend on how far along the request went in the Congressional consultation process.

- If the request has not yet been provided to Congress for consultation, the request will simply be removed from the submission list. Upon confirmation from EPA Headquarters that the request was removed, the Region can proceed under the scope of the original language.
- If the request has been sent to Congress for consultation, but not yet returned, EPA Headquarters will request its removal from consideration and will notify the Region when they can proceed under the scope of the original language.
- If the consultation process was already completed, EPA will send the language reversal back to Congress in a subsequent request.

Administering a Technically Corrected Project

Technical corrections made under the technical corrections authority should be administered in accordance with the guidance document from the fiscal year of appropriation.

¹² If the circumstances surrounding a technical correction for a particular project change after consultation with the Committees and EPA decides not to approve the request, EPA will notify the Committees in a subsequent request.

Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments

The following registration steps must be completed prior to submitting an application package:

☐ **Registration in [SAM.gov](#).** Unique Entity Identifiers (UEIs) are assigned during the SAM.gov registration process. Recipients with active SAM.gov registrations prior to April 2022 automatically have a UEI but may need to complete entity validation within SAM.gov. Recipients must be registered in SAM.gov. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or [fsd.gov](#) (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

☐ **Registration in [Grants.gov](#).** Once registered in SAM.gov, recipients who have a SAM.gov registration can then [register with Grants.gov](#) and assign Grants.gov Roles. Please note that only an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) can submit an application on behalf of the recipient. [Grants.gov instructions](#), and [Training Resources & Videos](#) are available online. Grants.gov assistance is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at 1-800-518-4726 or [support@grants.gov](#) (closed on federal holidays).

Complete application packages must be submitted through [Grants.gov](#) and must include the following:

1. ☐ **Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)** with authorized signatures submitted.
2. ☐ **Additional information for SF424, Block #19 (if applicable):** [Is application subject to review by State under Executive Order 12372 Process?](#)

Select the appropriate box. If box “a” is selected, enter the date the application was submitted to the State SPOC (generally, applicants must submit the SF424 or summary thereof to the State SPOC to meet the requirements under Executive Order 12372).

- California. All EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review have been selected for [State Single Point of Contact](#) (SPOC) review. Community Grant Applications for projects in California should be submitted to the California SPOC at [state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov](#).
- Utah. Only applications for EPA financial assistance subject to Intergovernmental Review submitted by Utah state agencies have been selected for SPOC review. Applications by local governments, nonprofit organizations and other entities are not reviewed by the Utah SPOC. Utah state agencies are to submit their Community Grant applications to [stategrants@utah.gov](#).

No other SPOCs have selected EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review for SPOC review, however there may be requirements for submission of Federal grant applications to SPOCs or other state agencies based on state law that are independent of [40 CFR Part 29](#). EPA encourages applicants to comply with state requirements but does not enforce those requirements.

- [Intergovernmental Review SPOC List](#) provides contact information for each SPOC.
- [Fact sheet for Applicants Intergovernmental Review Process](#) provides additional information on Intergovernmental Review.

3. ☐ **Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF 424A).**

☐ **Budget Detail-Breakdown by Object Class Categories.**

[RAIN-2019-G02](#) at <https://www.epa.gov/grants/rain-2019-g02>

- Costs for hiring construction contractors would be reflected in **SF 424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for building or repairing facilities and related demolition and site preparation work or for remediating contamination are to be classified as **SF424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for hiring Architectural and Engineering firms for design/project management services would be categorized in **SF 424A Category f “Contractual.”**
- Construction activities carried out by the applicant’s own employees (“force account”) are to be classified as **SF 424A Category a “Personnel.”**
- Information on cost allowability is provided in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#). Recipients may review EPA’s [training course](#) on budget development. EPA reviews costs included in project budgets as part of the application/pre award process to ensure they conform with general principles of cost allowability:
 - A cost is eligible if it is permitted by statute, program guidance, or regulations.
 - A cost is reasonable if it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost.
 - Costs must be allocable: costs must be incurred either directly or indirectly to carry out the project and must be charged proportionately across all benefitting cost centers.
 - Costs must be necessary for the project being funded.

Allowable costs are:

- Adequately documented.
- Conform to limitations of laws, regulations, etc. and grant terms and conditions.
- Consistent with recipient’s policies/procedures – same factors apply to both federal and non-federal activities.
- Accorded to consistent treatment – a cost may not be assigned as direct if a similar cost incurred for the same purpose has been allocated as an indirect cost.

- Not included as a cost or used to meet a matching requirement for any other federal grant.
 - Consistent with generally accepted accounting principles
4. ☐ **Use the Project Narrative Attachment Form to submit the Workplan.** The workplan should include tasks, milestones, and expected environmental results or outcomes (See [Appendix E](#)).
 5. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Current Indirect Cost Rate Negotiation Agreement.** If applicable, include Rate and Signature pages (i.e., Sections 1 and 3) of the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement with application. **EPA Policy on Indirect Rate Costs (IDC) effective 10/1/2018, [RAIN-2018-G02](#).** Prior to drawing down EPA funds for IDCs, and/or using unrecovered IDCs as cost-share, recipients must have an approved rate and an EPA- approved budget that includes IDCs.
 - IDCs are those that are not readily identifiable with a particular activity but are necessary to the general operation of the recipient organization and the conduct of the proposed project (such as general administration expenses).
 6. ☐ **EPA Key Contacts Form (EPA Form 5700-4).**
 7. ☐ **Pre-Award Compliance Review Report (EPA Form 4700-4),** current form with authorized signature. See [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).
 8. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Certification Regarding Lobbying (EPA Form 6600-06)** with authorized signature. All applicants, including Tribes, are required to submit this certification if the total federal dollar awarded to the applicant/recipient is greater than \$100,000 for the life of the grant.
 9. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF-LLL),** with authorized signature attached with the grant application package. For all other applicants, form is required for reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to Title 31 U.S.C Section 1352. Used by applicants to disclose lobbying activities that have been secured to influence the outcome of a federal grant action.

Accessing the Application Package

NOTE: Do not use the “SEARCH” bar located at the top right of the Grants.gov webpage to find Application Packages.

To locate Application Package:

1. Go to directly to the [funding opportunity](#) on Grants.gov.
2. In the “Package” tab, scroll down the page to locate Assistance Listing Number 66.202 (listed under the column heading “CFDA”) for the application package.

VIEW OPPORTUNITY



EPA-CEP-01
EPA Mandatory Grant Programs
Environmental Protection Agency

[« Back | Link](#)

Apply

Subscribe

SYNOPSIS

VERSION HISTORY

RELATED DOCUMENTS

PACKAGE

[Print Package List](#) ?

Select Grant Opportunity Package

PLEASE READ BEFORE APPLYING!

If you view and complete your application package using Grants.gov downloadable PDF forms, you MUST have Adobe Reader installed. You may receive a validation error using incompatible versions of Adobe Reader. To prevent a validation error, it is now recommended you uninstall any earlier versions of Adobe Reader and install the latest compatible version of Adobe Reader. If more than one person is working on the PDF forms, ALL applicants must be using the same Adobe Reader version. [Click for more information on Adobe Reader Compatibility.](#)

Opportunity Package(s) Currently Available for this Funding Opportunity:

CFDA	Competition ID	Competition Title	Opportunity Package ID	Opening Date	Closing Date	Actions
66.001			PKG00213703		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.032			PKG00214049		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.034			PKG00214050		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.038			PKG00214051		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.040			PKG00214052		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.042			PKG00214046		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.121			PKG00214048		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.124			PKG00214043		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.125			PKG00214045		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.202			PKG00214047		10/04/2030	Preview Apply
66.418			PKG00214053		10/04/2030	Preview Apply

REMINDERS:

- Do NOT use the “SEARCH” bar located at the top right of the [Grants.gov](#) screen to find Application Packages. Follow the instructions above.
- Recipients must ensure appropriate role(s) and access in [Grants.gov Workspace](#) are assigned within recipient entity as applicable; each entity’s [EBiz point of contact \(POC\)](#) is the person that authorizes or assigns Grants.gov roles. Additional [information on Grants.gov role assignment](#) is available online.
- There are a series of automated emails generated by Grants.gov during the application submission process. See sample email below.
- Obtain a Tracking Number from Grants.gov Support technicians when contacting the [Grants.gov Support Center](#) for assistance. This Tracking Number is used to help ensure your issue(s) is fully addressed.

Please contact the [Grants.gov Support Center](#) by phone (1-800-518-4726) or email (support@grants.gov) for technical support or questions. Help is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, excluding federal holidays.

ALERT: It is important to read the automated emails generated by Grants.gov as the messages provide application status updates during the submission process. Below is a sample automated email indicating that an application has been sent to the funding agency with an assigned tracking number.

Sample Grants.gov Email – Application Sent To Funding Agency

From: DoNotReply@grants.gov
<DoNotReply@grants.gov> Sent: Tuesday, December 25, 2019 4:32 AM
To: Jane.Doe@happycamp.org
Subject: GRANT12345678 Grants.gov Agency Tracking Number Assignment for Application

Grantor agency has assigned the following Agency Tracking Number to your application:
e9466bf2-797a-4cfc-b013-7bb696001c1bGRANT12345678.

You will need the Agency Tracking Number when corresponding with the Grantor agency about your application.

Use the Grants.gov Tracking Number at Grants.gov to check your application's status and to review your Agency Tracking Number:

Type: GRANT
Grants.gov Tracking Number: GRANT12345678
DUNS Number: 9876543210000
AOR name: Jane Doe
Application Name: Clean Environment Grant Program
Opportunity Number: EPA-CEP-01
Opportunity Name: EPA Mandatory Grant Programs

Thank you.
Grants.gov

If you have questions, please contact the Grants.gov Contact Center: support@grants.gov 1-800-518-4726
24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Closed on federal holidays.
PLEASE NOTE: This email is for notification purposes only. Please do not reply to this email for any purpose.

Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline

Name of Applicant and Project Title

Project Objective(s) and Need

Narrative, how project will resolve need/purpose.

Project Description

Narrative, maps, photographs, relevant design parameters etc. Clearly defined scope of work, outlining all activities to be performed under the grant; detailed description of the proposed project, summary of deliverables. Framework for managing the project, explanation of the approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner, evaluating performance and reporting progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes. How tasks/activities will be undertaken. The scope of work must be in conformance with the project description. Budget narrative that links the budget to workplan tasks activities and includes source(s) of non-federal cost share.

Milestone Schedule

Narrative or tabular depiction of each grant activity's estimated start and end dates, interim milestones, deliverables, and project completion. The length of the grant award project period should be consistent with the milestone schedule.

Environmental Results/Benefits

Narrative or tabular linkage of each grant activity with the applicable [EPA Strategic Plan goal and objective](#) (i.e. EPA's FY2022 – FY2026 Strategic Plan Goal 5: Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities, Objective 5.1: Ensure Safe Drinking Water and Reliable Water Infrastructure), anticipated environmental results, anticipated environmental outputs, and anticipated environmental outcomes.

- Outputs: environmental activities, efforts, and/or associated work products related to environmental goals or objectives, that will be produced or provided over a period of time or by a specified date. Outputs should be well-defined and may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period.
- Outcomes: the results, effects or consequences that will occur from carrying out an environmental program or activity that is related to an environmental or programmatic goal or objective. Outcomes should be well-defined to the maximum extent practicable, and may be environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, must be quantitative, and may not necessarily be achievable within an assistance agreement funding period.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Contractors

Contractual selection must comply with the competitive Procurement Standards set forth in [2 CFR 200.317 – 2 CFR 200.327](#). EPA's Contracts and Subawards solicitation clause provides more information about partnerships, and the [Best Practice Guide for Procuring](#)

[Services, Supplies, and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) can assist community project recipients in complying with procurement requirements. In general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that promotes fair and open competition from an adequate number of qualified sources. 2 CFR 200.320 discusses the specific methods of procurement to be followed and the circumstances under which each method can be used.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Subrecipients

Any proposed subawards must comply with regulatory standards as implemented in [EPA's Subaward Policy](#). In almost all cases, for-profit firms and individual consultants are not proper subrecipients. For-profit firms and individual consultants would more likely be considered contractors.

Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources

Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients

- [EPA's online training courses](#) are free and are designed to introduce potential EPA grant recipients to key aspects of the entire grant lifecycle, from preparation of an application through grant closeout.
- Information on EPA Form 4700-4 is available online, see [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#)

EPA Grant Policies

- [EPA grant policies](#) may affect how recipients manage and administer EPA assistance agreements.

Budget Development

- Community Grant recipients may refer to [Interim General Budget Development Guidance for Applicants and Recipients of EPA Financial Assistance](#) to learn more about cost eligibility and preparation of the budget component of the application package.

Selected Items of Cost

EPA POs and grant specialists review costs included in project budgets as part of the application. Allowability of costs is based on several factors specified in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#).

EPA's [Guidance on Selected Items of Cost for Recipients](#) provides information on the allowability of specific costs.

Environmental Review

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires evaluation of how federal grant actions may affect the quality of the environment. Under NEPA, environmental impacts must be considered before EPA can award the grant.

- The [Categorical Exclusion \(CATEX\) Checklist](#) provides information on assessing whether a project may be considered for a CATEX. EPA's list of actions that can be categorically excluded is contained within [40 CFR Part 6 Subpart B](#). EPA makes CATEX determinations based on its own regulations and can use information collected as part of another federal agency's NEPA process. Recipients having a CATEX determination resulting from another agency's NEPA review of their project may provide that information to EPA as part of any request for a CATEX.

The following tools may be used to support development of Environmental Information Documents (EIDs).

- The sample [EID Outline](#) provides optional format and content on what to include in an EID.
- The [Infrastructure Task Force Preliminary Engineering Report](#) provides a recommended format for preliminary engineering reports (PER) for use when planning drinking water and wastewater infrastructure.

Procurement

- [EPA's Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) describes the financial transactions covered by the competitive procurement requirements and other rules you must follow when awarding and administering EPA funded contracts.
- Community grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local or tribal laws and regulation as well as Federal laws and Uniform Grant Guidance (UGG) [procurement regulations](#). [Projects inclusive of](#) CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, must comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#), or an equivalent State requirement.

Davis Bacon

- The [Davis-Bacon Act](#) requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. Personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project and are not subject to Davis Bacon.

EPA's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

- EPA's [DBE Program](#) applies to all EPA Assistance Agreements and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to: employ the good faith efforts, document their efforts and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor, and [report their procurement and DBE activities](#) even if there isn't anything to report.

Build America, Buy America (BABA)

- Recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans comply with [BABA](#) requirements prior to grants being awarded. Requirements call for all the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project to be produced in the United States.

American Iron and Steel (AIS)

- The [AIS provision](#) requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works. Compliance with AIS requirements correspond to for iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements, (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel), however BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects that are in compliance with BABA are in compliance with AIS.

EPA Community Grants program

- The [EPA Community Grants](#) web page will be updated as new information becomes available.

Reporting waste, fraud, abuse, or other suspected violations of law

- The EPA Office of Inspector General is an independent oversight office charged with preventing and detecting [waste, fraud, and abuse](#) by EPA and U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigations Board employees, grantees, contractors, and others. It does this through [audits](#) and [investigations](#) of Agency programs and operations, often in response to complaints submitted to the [OIG Hotline](#) regarding alleged violations of law, needless spending, or intentional deception.
- Suspected waste, fraud, abuse, or other violations of law can be reported anonymously or confidentially to the [OIG Hotline](#) via phone at (888) 546-8740, [email](#), or online [form](#). Listen to this podcast to learn more about the [hotline](#).

Whistleblower Protection

- A [whistleblower](#) is a federal employee, an employee of a federal contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee or personal services contractor who discloses what the individual believes to be evidence of a gross waste of federal funds, a substantial danger to public health or safety, or any of the following related to a federal contract or grant: gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, or other violation of law, rule, or regulation.
- Because of the important public service these individuals perform when they come forward, [whistleblower protection](#) laws prohibit reprisal against them, such as firing, demotion, or other discrimination, and protect the identities of those who make anonymous or confidential disclosures, such as via the [OIG Hotline](#). Learn more about Whistleblower Protection [here](#).