

# AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. 1251 et. seq; the "Act"),

Village of Fort Sumner Wastewater Treatment Plant P.O. Box 180 Fort Sumner, NM 88119

is authorized to discharge from a facility located between Salt Cedar Street and Sewer Plant Drive, in De Baca County, New Mexico. The effluent from the plant is discharged into Pecos River in Segment No. 20.6.4.207 at the following coordinates:

Latitude 34° 26′ 39" N; Longitude 104° 14′ 5" W

in accordance with this cover page and the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in Part I, Part II, Part III, and Part IV hereof.

This permit supersedes and replaces NPDES Permit No. NM0023477 issued April 20, 2018.

This is a reissue permit, prepared by Ruben Alayon-Gonzalez, Environmental Engineer, Permitting Section, and shall become effective on July 1, 2023

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, June 30, 2028

Issued on May 23, 2023

(for) Charles W. Maguire Director

13 Diel

Water Division (6WD)

(This page intentionally left blank)

# PART I – REQUIREMENTS FOR NPDES PERMITS

# A. LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH OUTFALL

Effluent limits – 0.21 MGD design flow – OUTFALL 001

During the period beginning on the effective date of this permit and lasting through the expiration date of this permit (unless otherwise noted), the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewater to Pecos River, in Segment Number 20.6.4.207, from outfall number 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

| EFFLUENT<br>CHARACTERISTICS        | DISCHAGE<br>LIMITATION | IS           | DISCHAGE LIMITATIONS      |           | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS   |           |                 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|                                    | lbs/day, unless        | noted        | mg/l, unless no           | oted (*1) |                           |           |                 |
| POLLUTANT                          | 30-DAY<br>AVG          | 7-DAY<br>AVG | 30-DAY<br>AVG             | 7-DAY AVG | DAILY MAX                 | FREQUENCY | TYPE            |
| Flow                               | Report MGD             | Report MGD   | N/A                       | N/A       | N/A                       | Daily     | Totalized meter |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> Effluent          | 53                     | 79           | 30                        | 45        | N/A                       | 2/Month   | Grab            |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> % Removal         | ≥85% (*4)              | N/A          | N/A                       | N/A       | N/A                       | 1/Month   | Calculation     |
| BOD <sub>5</sub> Influent          | Report                 | N/A          | N/A                       | N/A       | N/A                       | 1/Month   | Grab            |
| TSS Effluent                       | 53                     | 79           | 30                        | 45        | N/A                       | 2/Month   | Grab            |
| TSS % Removal                      | ≥85% (*4)              | N/A          | N/A                       | N/A       | N/A                       | 1/Month   | Calculation     |
| TSS Influent                       | Report                 | N/A          | N/A                       | N/A       | N/A                       | 1/Month   | Grab            |
| E. coli (*2)                       | N/A                    | N/A          | 126<br>colonies/100<br>mL | N/A       | 410<br>colonies/100<br>mL | 2/Month   | Grab            |
| Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) (*5) | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                       | N/A       | 11 ug/L                   | 5/Week    | Inst. Grab (*3) |

| DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS    |        |                |         |                         |                    |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS |        | Standard Units |         | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS |                    |
|                          | STORET |                |         | MEASUREMENT             |                    |
| POLLUTANT                | CODE   | MINIMUM        | MAXIMUM | FREQUENCY               | SAMPLE TYPE        |
| рН                       | 00400  | 6.6            | 9.0     | 5 /Week                 | Instant. Grab (*3) |

| EFFLUENT  | DISCHARGE  | MONITORING               |                               |                 |
|---|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS   | MONITORING | REQUIREMENTS             |                               |                 |
| WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY LIMITS (7Day Chronic NOEC) (*6) | VALUE      | MEASUREMENT<br>FREQUENCY | DMR<br>Reporting<br>Frequency | SAMPLE TYPE     |
| Pimephales promelas                                     | 14%        | 1/6months                | Monthly                       | 24-Hr Composite |
| Ceriodaphnia dubia                                      | 14%        | 1/6 months               | Monthly                       | 24-Hr Composite |

<sup>\*</sup>Monitoring and reporting requirements begin on the effective date of this permit. See Part II of the permit for WET testing requirements and additional WET monitoring and reporting conditions. Grab samples are allowed per method, if needed.

#### Footnotes:

- \*1 See Appendix A of Part II of the permit for minimum quantification limits.
- \*2 May be measured as colony forming units (cfu) per100ml or as Most Probable Number (MPN) per 100 ml depending on the EPA approved method being used for analysis. Geometric mean of E.coli shall be used for reporting the 30-day average values.
- \*3 Regulations at 40 CFR Part 136 define "instantaneous grab" as analyzed within 15 minutes of collection.
- \*4 Percent removal is calculated using the following equation: [(average monthly influent concentration average monthly effluent concentration) / average monthly influent concentration] \* 100.
- \*5 When chlorine is used as either backup bacteria control or when disinfection of plant treatment equipment is required.
- \*6 Compliance with the Whole Effluent Toxicity limitations is required on Permit Effective Date. See PART II, Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing Requirements for additional WET monitoring and reporting conditions.

#### FLOATING SOLIDS, VISIBLE FOAM AND/OR OILS

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts. There shall be no discharge of visible films of oil, globules of oil, grease, or solids in or on the water, or coatings on stream banks.

Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at a point from the final treatment unit and prior to the receiving stream.

## B. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

None.

# C. MONITORING AND E-REPORTING (MINOR DISCHARGERS)

Applicable reports (DMRs, Biosolids/Sewage Sludge, Sewer Overflow/Bypass Event Pretreatment Program) shall be electronically reported to EPA at <a href="https://cdx.epa.gov/">https://cdx.epa.gov/</a>. The permittee may seek a waiver from electronic reporting or until approved for electronic reporting, the permittee shall first submit an electronic reporting waiver request to: U.S. EPA - Region 6, Water Enforcement Branch, New Mexico State Coordinator (6EN-WC), (214) 665-7179. If paper reporting is granted, the permittee shall submit reports on paper in accordance with signature and certification as required by Part III.D.11, and all other reports required by Part III.D. to the EPA and copies to NMED (under Part III.D.4 of the permit).

| Applicable e-Reporting     | e-Reporting Compliance Date | Reporting Frequency       |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Program                    |                             |                           |
| DMRs                       | Permit effective date       | Quarterly                 |
| Biosolids/Sewage Sludge    | Permit effective date       | Annually for major permit |
| Report                     |                             |                           |
| Pretreatment Program       | By 21 December 2025         | Annually                  |
| Reports                    |                             |                           |
| Sewer Overflow/Bypass      | By 21 December 2025         | Quarterly                 |
| Event Reports and          |                             |                           |
| Anticipated Bypass Notices |                             |                           |

Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) results shall be electronically reported to EPA per 40 CFR 127.16. To submit electronically, access the NetDMR website at <a href="https://usepa.servicenowservices.com/oeca\_icis?id=netdmr\_homepage">https://usepa.servicenowservices.com/oeca\_icis?id=netdmr\_homepage</a>. Until approved for Net DMR, the permittee shall request temporary or emergency waivers from electronic reporting. To obtain the waiver, please contact: U.S. EPA - Region 6, Water Enforcement Branch, New Mexico State Coordinator (6EN-WC), (214) 665-6468. If paper reporting is granted temporarily, the permittee shall submit the original DMR

signed and certified as required by Part III.D.11 and all other reports required by Part III.D. to the EPA and copies to NMED as required (See Part III.D.IV of the permit). Reports shall be submitted quarterly.

- 1. Reporting periods shall end on the last day of the months March, June, September, and December.
- 2. The permittee is required to submit regular monthly reports as described above postmarked no later than the 28<sup>th</sup> day of the month following each reporting period.
- 3. NO DISCHARGE REPORTING: If there is no discharge at Outfall 001 during the sampling month, place an "X" in the NO DISCHARGE box located in the upper right corner of the Discharge Monitoring Report.
- 4. If any 7-day average or daily maximum value exceeds the effluent limitations specified in Part I.A, the permittee shall report the excursion in accordance with the requirements of Part III.D.
- 5. Any 30-day average, 7-day average, or daily maximum value reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report which is in excess of the effluent limitation specified in Part I.A shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.
- 6. Other measurements of oxygen demand (e.g., TOC and COD) may be substituted for five-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) or for five-day Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD<sub>5</sub>), as applicable, where the permittee can demonstrate long-term correlation of the method with BOD<sub>5</sub> or CBOD<sub>5</sub> values, as applicable. Details of the correlation procedures used must be submitted and prior approval granted by the permitting authority for this procedure to be acceptable. Data reported must also include evidence to show that the proper correlation continues to exist after approval.

#### D. OVERFLOW REPORTING

The permittee shall report all overflow/bypass via the website <a href="https://cdx.epa.gov/">https://cdx.epa.gov/</a> with the compliance date mentioned above. If the reports on paper are submitted before the compliance dated, these reports shall be summarized and reported in tabular format. The summaries shall include: the date, time, duration, location, estimated volume, and cause of the overflow; observed environmental impacts from the overflow; actions taken to address the overflow; and ultimate discharge location if not contained (e.g., storm sewer system, ditch, tributary).

Overflow/bypass that endanger health or the environment shall be reported via email to EPA (Part III.D.7) within 24 hours, and to NMED Surface Water Quality Bureau via phone or email at (505) 827-0187 or in Part III.D.7 within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance. A written report of overflows that endanger health or the environment shall be provided to EPA, and the NMED Surface Water Quality Bureau within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance.

## E. POLLUTION PREVENTION REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall institute a program within 12 months of the effective date of the permit (or continue an existing one) directed towards optimizing the efficiency and extending the useful life of the facility. The permittee shall consider the following items in the program:

- a. The influent loadings, flow and design capacity;
- b. The effluent quality and plant performance;
- c. The age and expected life of the wastewater treatment facility's equipment;
- d. Bypasses and overflows of the tributary sewerage system and treatment works;
- e. New developments at the facility;
- f. Operator certification and training plans and status;
- g. The financial status of the facility;
- h. Preventative maintenance programs and equipment conditions and;
- i. An overall evaluation of conditions at the facility.

#### PART II - OTHER CONDITIONS

### A. MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVEL (MQL)

EPA-approved test procedures (methods) for the analysis and quantification of pollutants or pollutant parameters, including for the purposes of compliance monitoring/DMR reporting, permit renewal applications, or any other reporting that may be required as a condition of this permit, shall be sufficiently sensitive. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when (1) the method minimum level (ML) of quantification is at or below the level of the applicable effluent limit for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or (2) if there is no EPA-approved analytical method with a published ML at or below the effluent limit (see table below), then the method has the lowest published ML (is the most sensitive) of the analytical methods approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or required under 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapters N or 0, for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or (3) the method is specified in this permit or has been otherwise approved in writing by the permitting authority (EPA Region 6) for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter. The Permittee has the option of developing and submitting a report to justify the use of matrix or sample-specific MLs rather than the published levels. Upon written approval by EPA Region 6 the matrix or sample-specific MLs may be utilized by the Permittee for all future Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) reporting requirements.

Current EPA Region 6 minimum quantification levels (MQLs) for reporting and compliance are provided in Appendix A of Part II of this permit. The following pollutants may not have EPA approved methods with a published ML at or below the effluent limit, if specified:

| POLLUTANT               | CAS Number | STORET Code |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Total Residual Chlorine | 7782-50-5  | 50060       |
| Cadmium                 | 7440-43-9  | 01027       |
| Silver                  | 7440-22-4  | 01077       |
| Thallium                | 7440-28-0  | 01059       |
| Cyanide                 | 57-12-5    | 78248       |
| Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)   | 1764-01-6  | 34675       |
| 4, 6-Dinitro-0-Cresol   | 534-52-1   | 34657       |
| Pentachlorophenol       | 87-86-5    | 39032       |
| Benzidine               | 92-87-5    | 39120       |
| Chrysene                | 218-01-9   | 34320       |
| Hexachlorobenzene       | 118-74-1   | 39700       |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine  | 62-75-9    | 34438       |
| Aldrin                  | 309-00-2   | 39330       |
| Chlordane               | 57-74-9    | 39350       |
| Dieldrin                | 60-57-1    | 39380       |
| Heptachlor              | 76-44-8    | 39410       |
| Heptachlor epoxide      | 1024-57-3  | 39420       |
| Toxaphene               | 8001-35-2  | 39400       |

Unless otherwise indicated in this permit, if the EPA Region 6 MQL for a pollutant or pollutant parameter is sufficiently sensitive (as defined above) and the analytical test result is less than the MQL, then a value of zero (0) may be used for reporting purposes on DMRs. Furthermore, if the EPA Region 6 MQL for a pollutant or parameter is not sufficiently sensitive, but the analytical test result is less than the published ML from a sufficiently sensitive method, then a value of zero (0) may be used for reporting purposes on DMRs.

#### B. 24-HOUR ORAL REPORTING: DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION VIOLATIONS

Under the provisions of Part III.D.7.b.(3) of this permit, violations of daily maximum limitations for the following pollutants shall be reported orally to EPA Region 6, Compliance and Assurance Division, Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-W), Dallas, Texas, and concurrently to NMED within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the violation followed by a written report in five days.

E. coli bacteria Total Residual Chlorine

## C. PERMIT MODIFICATION AND REOPENER

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.44(c), the permit may be reopened and modified during the life of the permit if relevant portions of New Mexico's Water Quality Standards for Interstate and Intrastate Streams are revised, or new State of New Mexico water quality standards are established and/or remanded.

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.62(a)(2), the permit may be reopened and modified if new information is received that was not available at the time of permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance. Permit modifications shall reflect the results of any of these actions and shall follow regulations listed at 40 CFR Part 124.5.

#### D. CONTRIBUTING INDUSTRIES AND PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The following pollutants may not be introduced into the treatment facility:
  - (a) Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the publicly owned treatment works (POTW), including, but not limited to, wastestreams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140 degrees Fahrenheit or 60 degrees Centigrade using the test methods specified in 40 CFR 261.21;

- (b) Pollutants which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case discharges with pH lower than 5.0, unless the works are specifically designed to accommodate such discharges;
- (c) Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW, resulting in Interference;
- (d) Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration which will cause Interference with the POTW;
- (e) Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW treatment plant exceeds 40 degrees Centigrade (104 degrees Fahrenheit) unless the Approval Authority, upon request of the POTW, approves the alternate temperature limit;
- (f) Petroleum oil, non biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through;
- (g) Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems; and
- (h) Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
- 2. The permittee shall require any indirect discharger to the treatment works to comply with the reporting requirements of Sections 204(b), 307, and 308 of the Act, including any requirements established under 40 CFR Part 403.
- 3. The permittee shall provide adequate notice of the following:
  - (a) Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 and 306 of the Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
  - (b) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works.
  - (c) Any notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and (ii) any anticipated impact of such change in the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the publicly owned treatment works.

## E. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY LIMITS (7 DAY CHRONIC NOEC FRESHWATER)

It is unlawful and a violation of this permit for a permittee or his designated agent, to manipulate test samples in any manner, to delay sample shipment, or to terminate or to cause to terminate a toxicity test. Once initiated, all toxicity tests must be completed unless specific authority has been granted by EPA Region 6 or the State NPDES permitting authority.

#### 1. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

a. The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section.

| APPLICABLE TO FINAL OUTFALL(S) |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| REPORTED AS FINAL OUTFALL      | 001                                      |  |
| CRITICAL DILUTION (%)          | 14%                                      |  |
| EFFLUENT DILTION SERIES (%)    | 6%, 8%, 11%, 14%, 19%                    |  |
| TEST SPECIES AND METHODS       | Ceriodaphnia dubia / Method 1002.0 (EPA- |  |
|                                | 821-R-02-013 or latest version)          |  |
|                                | Pimephales promelas/ Method 1000.0       |  |
|                                | (EPA/821/R-02-013 or latest version)     |  |
| SAMPLE TYPE                    | Defined in PART I                        |  |

- b. The NOEC (No Observed Effect Concentration) is herein defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which toxicity that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Chronic lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution. Chronic sub-lethal test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant sub-lethal effect (i.e., growth or reproduction) at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.
- c. This permit may be reopened to require chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.
- d. The conditions of this item are effective beginning with the effective date of the WET limit. When the effluent fails the lethal or sub-lethal endpoint at or below the critical dilution, the permittee shall be considered in violation of this permit limit and the frequency for the affected species will increase to monthly until compliance with the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) effluent limitation is demonstrated for a period of three consecutive months, at which time the permittee may return to the testing frequency stated in PART I of this permit. The purpose of the increased frequency for

WET testing after a violation is to determine the duration of a toxic event. A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result.

e. If a scheduled WET test is in violation of the WET limit, the frequency increases to monthly until compliance is demonstrated for three consecutive months. When any two of three consecutive monthly toxicity tests for either species exhibit significant toxic effects below the critical dilution, the permittee shall notify the EPA (WET Coordinator) and the NMED in writing, within 5 days of notification of the WET test failure. EPA and NMED will provide instructions on how to initiate a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE).

# 2. REQUIRED TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA

The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:

| Condition/Criteria                       | Ceriodaphnia dubia  | Pimephales promelas                     |
|--|---|---|
| Test Duration                            | Until 60% or more of surviving control females have 3 broods (max 8 days) | 7 days                                  |
| # of replicates per<br>concentration     | 10  | 5                                       |
| # of organisms per<br>replicate          | 1   | 8                                       |
| # or organisms per concentration         | 10  | 40 (minimum)                            |
| # of test concentrations<br>per effluent | 5 and a control   | 5 and a control                         |
| Holding time *                           | 36 hours for first use  | 36 hours for first use                  |
| Sampling Requirement *                   | Minimum of 3 samples  | Minimum of 3 samples                    |
| Test Acceptability<br>Criteria           | ≥80% survival of all control organisms.                                   | ≥80% survival of all control organisms. |
|  | Average of 15 or more neonates per  | Average dry weight per surviving        |
|  | surviving control female.   | organism in control<br>must be ≥0.25mg. |

|                             | 60% of surviving control females must produce 3 broods. |   |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Coefficient of Variation ** | 40% or less, unless significant effects are exhibited.  | 40% or less unless significant effects are exhibited. |
| Percent Minimum             | 13 – 47   | 12 - 30   |
| Significant Difference      |   |   |
| (PMSD range) for            |   |   |
| Sublethal Endpoint **       |   |   |

<sup>\*</sup> If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples and the minimum number of effluent portions are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must collect an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent, and must meet the holding time between collection and first use of the sample. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days. The effluent composite sample collection duration and the static renewal protocol associated with the abbreviated sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item 3 of this section.

\*\*Test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value of greater than 40%, or a PMSD value greater than the higher value on the range provided.

#### a. Statistical Interpretation

The statistical analyses used to determine if there is a significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be in accordance with the methods for determining the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) as described in the appropriate method manual listed in Part II or the most recent update thereof.

#### b. Dilution Water

- Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the
  point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. The permittee shall
  substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness, and alkalinity to the closest
  downstream perennial water for;
  - i. toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges to receiving water classified as intermittent streams; and
  - ii. toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges where no receiving water is available due to zero flow conditions.

- 2) If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to fulfill the test acceptance criteria), the permittee may substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:
  - i. a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements was run concurrently with the receiving water control;
  - ii. the test indicating receiving water toxicity has been carried out to completion,
  - iii. the permittee includes all test results indicating receiving water toxicity with the full report and information required; and
  - iv. the synthetic dilution water shall have a pH, hardness, and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water.

### c. Samples and Composites

- 1) The permittee shall collect a minimum of three samples (flow-weighted composite if possible) from the outfall(s).
- 2) The permittee shall collect a second and third sample (composite samples if possible) for use during the 24-hour renewal of each dilution concentration for each test. The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 36 hours for first use of the sample. The permittee must have initiated the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. Samples shall be chilled to 6 degrees Centigrade during collection, shipping, and/or storage. A holding time up to 72 hrs is allowed upon notification to EPA of the need for additional holding time.
- 3) The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples are representative of the discharge duration, and of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on an intermittent basis.

#### 3. REPORTING

a. The permittee shall prepare a full report of the results of all tests conducted pursuant to this part in accordance with the Report Preparation Section of the most current publication of the method manual, for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated, whether carried to completion or not. The permittee shall retain each full report and submit them upon the specific request of the Agency. For any test which fails, is considered invalid, or which is terminated early for any reason, the full report must be submitted for agency review.

- b. A valid test for each species must be reported during each reporting period specified in PART I of this permit. One set of biomonitoring data for each species is to be recorded on the DMR for each reporting period.
- c. The permittee shall submit the results of each valid toxicity test on the DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART I of this permit, as follows below. Although the biomonitoring frequency is once every 6 months, the reporting frequency shall be monthly to accommodate for potential fluctuating frequencies due to test failures. During the period the permittee is out of compliance and testing monthly, test results for each month shall be reported separately on monthly DMRs. Use a no data indicator (NODI) code of 9 (not required), for months when biomonitoring is not required.

| Reporting Requirement                                   | Parameter STORET CODE |            |  |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--|
|   | Ceriodaphnia          | Pimephales |  |
|   | dubia                 | promelas   |  |
| Enter a "1" if the No Observed Effect                   | TLP3B                 | TLP6C      |  |
| Concentration (NOEC) for survival is                    |                       |            |  |
| less than the critical dilution, otherwise enter a "0". |                       |            |  |
| Report the NOEC value for survival                      | TOP3B                 | TOP6C      |  |
| Report the LOEC value for survival                      | TXP3B                 | TXP6C      |  |
| Enter a "1" if the NOEC for growth or                   | TGP3B                 | TGP6C      |  |
| reproduction is less than the critical                  |                       |            |  |
| dilution, otherwise enter a "0".                        |                       |            |  |
| Report the NOEC value for growth or                     | TPP3B                 | TPP6C      |  |
| reproduction  |                       |            |  |
| Report the LOEC value for growth                        | TYP3B                 | TYP6C      |  |
| Report the highest (critical dilution or                | TQP3B                 | TQP6C      |  |
| control) Coefficient of Variation                       |                       |            |  |
| Report the lowest NOEC value                            | 51710                 | 51714      |  |
| (survival, reproduction, or growth)                     |                       |            |  |
| COMPLIANCE CODE   |                       |            |  |

## 4. MONITORING FREQUENCY REDUCTION

a. Monitoring frequency reduction is not allowed for any species that has a WET limit.