

June 15, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5\_EastPalestine@epa.gov

**Subject:** Data Validation Reports

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

**Document Tracking No. 1885** 

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting these data validation reports for fifty-eight air samples, including four field blank and five field duplicate pairs collected at the E Palestine Site. The samples were collected on May 18-20, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC at their Ashland, Virginia laboratory. The final laboratory data package was received on May 26, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022) and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Shanna M Vasser
Date: 2023.06.15 23:05:24 -04'00'

Shanna Vasser Civil Engineer, PE

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

Tetra Tech, Inc.

# **ATTACHMENT**

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B142-167, B142-168, B142-171, AND B142-172

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1885a		10/10LIN No.   68HE0320F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B142-167		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA	
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M				
Samples and Matrix	Thirty-two air samples, including two field blanks and three field duplicate pairs				
Collection Date(s)	05/19/2023				
	EPD-PB-CM-077-051923-2/EPD-PB-CM-	07	-051923-2,		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-WA-044-051923-2/ EPD-PB-WA-04-051923-2, and				
_	EPD-PB-CM-144-051923-2/EPD-PB-CM-14-051923-2				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-03-051923-2 and EPD-PB-FB-02-051923-2				

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra *Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

## **Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blanks were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu g$ ) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu g$ , milligram per cubic meter ( $mg/m^3$ ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	A unique sample ID not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to match the laboratory report.



## **Data completeness (continued):**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

## Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

#### **Method blanks:**

1.10011001 81	
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

# **Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
<b>Laboratory duplicates:</b>		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Execuance/Notes	
NA		
Field duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		
LCSs/LCSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		
Sample dilutions:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excediance/Notes	
NA		
Re-extraction and reanalysis:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excecuance/Poics	



NA

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

# **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased
3 '	high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased
J-	low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
143	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be
IX	present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due
OJ	to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.
NF	The tentatively identified compound was manually searched for but was not found in the sample.



# E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B142-167

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab	b_Qual MD	L RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-06-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-07-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-077-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-08-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-09-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-10-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-11-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-12-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-14-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091		0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-144-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-DW-A-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-FB-02-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U		2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-FB-03-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U		2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-02-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U		2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-03-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U		2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-OD-01-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-02-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-03-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-04-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-05-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-06-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-07-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-UW-E-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-01-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-02-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-03-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M		n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091		0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-04-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M		n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-044-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-05-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-06-051923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	1885b	10/10LIN No.	08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1
Laboratory Report No.	B142-168	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate	by laboratory standard o	perating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples, including one field dupli-	cate pair	
Collection Date(s)	05/19/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051923-2/ EPD-ST-8	H-WA-33-051923-2	
Field QC Blanks	None		

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra *Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

## **Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	Per email correspondence from the laboratory to the client (documented in the laboratory report), the field blank sample, EPD-ST-FB-051923-2, was broken by the syringe that introduces the sample to the instrument (autosampler); therefore, no results were available.
Y	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter ( $m$ g/ $m$ <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).



## **Data completeness (continued):**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	A unique sample ID not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to match the laboratory report.
Y	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## **Method blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	Field blank EPD-ST-FB-051923-2 was damaged during analysis, therefore no results are available.

Within	Ewasadanas/Natas	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
MS/MSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Execuality/Notes	
NA		
Laboratory duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	L'Accuance/1 (otes	
NA		
Field duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		

Exceedance/Notes

# TETRA TECH

Within

Criteria Y

Sample dilutions	Sam	ple	dilı	utio	ns
------------------	-----	-----	------	------	----

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

# **Tentatively identified compounds:**

	J
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



## **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.
NF	The tentatively identified compound was manually searched for but was not found in the sample.

# E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B142-168

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	MDL RL Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-A-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-A-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.018 U	0.018 ppm	0.018 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.012 ppm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-051923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-051923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1885c		10/10LIN No.		
Laboratory Report No. B142-171			Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA	
Analyses 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate		by	y laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029		
Samples and Matrix	Matrix Seven air samples, including one field bla				
Collection Date(s) 05/20/2023					
Field Duplicate Pairs	None				
Field QC Blanks EPD-ST-FB-052023-1					

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra *Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

### **Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
Y	Samples EPD-ST-8H-DW-A-052023-1 and EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-052023-1 were cancelled due to pump failures caused by weather. The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter ( $m$ g/ $m$ <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).					
	A unique sample ID not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to match the laboratory report.					



## **Data completeness (continued):**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Method blanks:

1.10011001 81	
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

# Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
<b>Laboratory duplicates:</b>		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excedime/10005	
NA		
Field duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excecuance/Notes	
NA		
LCSs/LCSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		
Sample dilutions:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Electrical (1965)	
NA		
Re-extraction and reanalysis:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Execuance/Notes	



NA

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

## **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased
3 '	high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased
J-	low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
143	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be
IX	present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due
OJ	to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.
NF	The tentatively identified compound was manually searched for but was not found in the sample.



# E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B142-171

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Resul <sup>-</sup> Lab_Qual MDL	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.	014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.	009 ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.	014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.	009 ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.	014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.	009 ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.	013 ppm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.	009 ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.018 U	0.	018 ppm	0.018 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.	012 ppm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.	014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.	009 ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-FB-052023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	·	2.8 ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-052023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U		1.3 ug	1.3 U

Site Name	Site Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1885d		10/10LIN No.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B142-172		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA	
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard opearating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix	Ten air samples, including one field blank and one field duplicate pair				
Collection Date(s) 05/18/2023					
Field Duplicate Pairs EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051823-2/ EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-051823-2					
Field QC Blanks EPD-ST-FB-051823-2					

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the *Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

## **Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu g$ ) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu g$ , milligram per cubic meter ( $mg/m^3$ ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	
	A unique sample ID not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to match the laboratory report.



## **Data completeness (continued):**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The laboratory sample receipt date and time are missing on the COC.

### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## Field blanks:

I ICIG DIGII	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	
Y	

# Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
<b>Laboratory duplicates:</b>		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Execuance/1votes	
NA		
Field duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		
LCSs/LCSDs:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Y		
Sample dilutions:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excettance/Notes	
NA		
Re-extraction and reanalysis:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Excecuance/Notes	



NA

## MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	H vceedance/Notes		
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.		

# **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [specify]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.
NF	The tentatively identified compound was manually searched for but was not found in the sample.

# E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B142-172

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	MDL RL Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-H-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-H-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-D-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-D-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014 ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015 ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01 ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-051823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8 ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-051823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3 ug	1.3 U