Attachment 7: Testing and Monitoring Plan 40 CFR 146.90 Vervain Project, McLean County, Illinois 31 January 2023



Project Information

| Project Name: | Vervain |
|-------------------|--|
| Project Operator: | Heartland Greenway Carbon Storage, LLC |
| Project Contact: | Tyler Durham, SVP and Chief Development Officer 13333 California St., Suite 202, Omaha, NE 68154 Phone: 402-520-7089 Email: <u>tdurham@navco2.com</u> |
| Project Location: | McLean, McLean County, IL |

Table of Contents

| 1. | Overall Strategy and Approach for Testing and Monitoring | 7 |
|----|--|----|
| | 1.1 Testing and Monitoring Plan Strategy | 7 |
| | 1.2 Storage Complex | 9 |
| | 1.3 AoR and Project Wells | 11 |
| | 1.4 Summary of Testing and Monitoring Plan Components | 17 |
| | 1.4.1 CO ₂ Stream Analysis and Corrosion Monitoring | 18 |
| | 1.4.2 Injection Well Monitoring | 19 |
| | 1.4.3 Mechanical Integrity Testing | 19 |
| | 1.4.4 Pressure and Temperature Monitoring | 19 |
| | 1.4.5 Plume Monitoring | 20 |
| | 1.4.6 Shallow Groundwater Sampling and Monitoring | 20 |
| | 1.4.7 Deep Groundwater Sampling and Monitoring | 21 |
| | 1.4.8 Passive Seismic Monitoring | 21 |
| | 1.4.9 General Testing and Monitoring Activity Frequency | 22 |
| | 1.5 Quality Assurance Procedures | 23 |
| | 1.6 Reporting Procedures | 23 |
| 2. | CO ₂ Stream Analysis (40 CFR 146.90 (a)) | 24 |
| | 2.1 Sampling Location and Frequency | 24 |
| | 2.2 Analytical Parameters | 24 |
| | 2.3 Sampling Method – CO ₂ Injection Stream Gases | 24 |
| | 2.4 Laboratory to be Used, Chain of Custody, and Analysis Procedures | 26 |
| 3. | Continuous Recording of Operational Parameters | 26 |
| | 3.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency | 26 |
| | 3.2 Monitoring Details | 27 |
| | 3.2.1 Continuous Recording of Injection Pressure | 27 |
| | 3.2.2 Continuous Recording of Injection Mass Flow Rate | 28 |
| | 3.2.3 Injection Volume | 28 |
| | 3.2.4 Continuous Recording of Annular Pressure | 28 |
| | 3.2.5 Continuous Recording of Annulus Fluid Volume | 28 |
| | 3.2.6 Continuous Recording of CO ₂ Stream Temperature | 29 |
| | 3.2.7 Bottomhole Pressure and Temperature | 29 |
| 4. | Corrosion Monitoring (40 CFR 146.90 (c)) | 29 |
| | 4.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency | 29 |
| | 4.2 Sample Description | 29 |
| | 4.3 Monitoring Details | 30 |
| 5. | Above Confining Zone Monitoring (40 CFR 146.90 (d)) | 32 |
| | 5.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency | 32 |
| | 5.2 Analytical Parameters | 35 |
| | 5.3 Monitoring and Sampling Methods | 36 |
| | 5.4 Laboratory to be Used/Chain of Custody Procedures | 37 |
| 6. | Mechanical Integrity Testing | 37 |
| | 6.1 Internal Mechanical Integrity Testing | 37 |

| | 6.1.1 Annulus Pressure Testing (40 CFR 146.89(a)) | |
|----|---|--|
| | 6.1.2 Annulus Pressure Monitoring | |
| | 6.2 External Mechanical Integrity Testing (40 CFR 146.90 (e)) | |
| | 6.2.1 Testing Methodology and Frequency | |
| | 6.2.2 Testing Details | |
| 7. | Pressure Fall-off Testing (40 CFR 146.90 (f)) | |
| | 7.1 Testing Location and Frequency | |
| | 7.2 Testing Details | |
| 8. | CO ₂ Plume and Pressure Front Tracking (40 CFR 146.90 (g)) | |
| | 8.1 Plume Monitoring Location and Frequency | |
| | 8.2 Plume Monitoring Details | |
| | 8.3 Pressure Front Monitoring Location and Frequency | |
| | 8.4 Pressure Front Monitoring Details | |
| 9. | References | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1: Site-specific Illinois Basin stratigraphic column | 10 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2: Map of Vervain Project location. | 13 |
| Figure 3: Time-lapse CO ₂ plume development map | 14 |
| Figure 4: B-B' Cross-section of CO ₂ plume year 75 | 15 |
| Figure 5: C-C' Cross-section of CO ₂ plume year 75 | 16 |
| Figure 6: Atlantic Analytical Laboratory gas sampling instruction sheet | 25 |
| Figure 7: Corrosion coupon illustration in pipeline | 31 |
| Figure 8: Type of corrosion coupons to be used for corrosion monitoring | 32 |
| Figure 9: Shallow groundwater wells within the AoR annotated in blue | 34 |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Summary of general monitoring strategy for the vervalit fojeet | 8 |
|--|---|
| Table 2: Proposed Vervain Well Locations 1 | 2 |
| Table 3: Summary of Testing and Monitoring Activities | 7 |
| Table 4: General schedule and spatial extent for testing and monitoring activities2 | 2 |
| Table 5: Sampling devices, locations, and frequencies for continuous monitoring | 6 |
| Table 6: Summary of BHFP and MAIP for NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 | 7 |
| Table 7: List of equipment coupon with material of construction | 0 |
| Table 8: Schedule for monitoring wells during the pre-operational and injection phases | 2 |
| Table 9: Summary of analytical and field parameters for groundwater samples | 6 |
| Table 10: Internal mechanical integrity monitoring details 3 | 7 |
| Table 11: External mechanical integrity tests 3 | 9 |
| Table 12: Temperature logging schedule for well integrity | 9 |
| Table 13: CO ₂ plume monitoring activities | 2 |
| Table 14: Pressure front monitoring activities | 4 |

List of Acronyms

| 3D | three-dimensional |
|---------|--|
| ACZ | above confining zone |
| AoR | Area of Review |
| APT | annulus pressure test |
| ASTM | American Society of Testing and Materials |
| BGS | below ground surface |
| BHFP | bottomhole flowing pressure |
| CO_2 | carbon dioxide |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| DIC | dissolved inorganic carbon |
| DTS | distributed temperature sensor |
| EPA | Environmental Protection Agency |
| EPSG | European Petroleum Survey Group |
| ERRP | Emergency and Remedial Response Plan |
| fbgl | feet below ground level |
| FOT | fall-off test |
| GR | gamma ray |
| HGCS | Heartland Greenway Carbon Storage, LLC |
| IBDP | Illinois Basin–Decatur Project |
| IL-ICCS | Illinois Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage Project |
| MAIP | maximum allowable injection pressure |
| Mc | magnitude of completeness |
| MIT | mechanical integrity test |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| NV_ACZ1 | Vervain Above Confining Zone Well #1 |
| NV_ACZ2 | Vervain Above Confining Zone Well #2 |
| NV_INJ1 | Vervain Injection Well #1 |
| NV_INJ2 | Vervain Injection Well #2 |
| NV_MA1 | Mahomet Aquifer Monitoring Well #1 |
| NV_MA2 | Mahomet Aquifer Monitoring Well #2 |
| NV_OBS1 | Vervain Deep Observation Well |
| PISC | Post Injection Site Care |
| PNL | Pulsed Neutron Logging |
| PSI | pounds per square inch |
| QA | Quality Assurance |
| QASP | Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan |
| RAT | radioactive tracer |
| SCADA | Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition |
| SM | standard method |
| TBD | to be determined |
| TD | total depth |
| TDS | total dissolved solids |
| UIC | Underground Injection Control |
| USDW | Underground Source of Drinking Water |

1. Overall Strategy and Approach for Testing and Monitoring

The Testing and Monitoring Plan presented in this document provides details on how the Vervain Project will monitor the site pursuant to 40 CFR 146.90.

1.1 Testing and Monitoring Plan Strategy

The Vervain Project has developed a risk-based Testing and Monitoring Program that includes operational, verification, and environmental assurance components that meet or exceed the regulatory requirements of 40 CFR 146.90 (Attachment 1:Project Narrative, 2023). The Vervain Project Testing and Monitoring Program is based on experience gained from other CCS projects and Class VI permit development, as well as extensive geologic evaluation and computational modeling.

Goals of the monitoring strategy include, but are not limited to:

- Fulfillment of the regulatory requirements of 40 CFR 146.90,
- Protection of underground sources of drinking water (USDW),
- Risk mitigation over the life of the project,
- Verification that NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 are operating as planned while maintaining mechanical integrity,
- Acquisition of data to validate and calibrate the models used to predict the distribution of CO₂ within the injection zone,
- Support Area of Review (AoR) re-evaluations over the course of the project.

The Testing and Monitoring Plan will be adaptive over time, and is subject to alteration should one of the following potential scenarios occur:

- Project risks evolve over the course of time outside of those envisioned at the beginning of the project,
- Significant differences between the monitoring data and predicted computational modeling results are identified,
- Key monitoring techniques indicate anomalous results related to well integrity or the loss of containment.

Monitoring activities can be separated into three categories based on various objectives: operational, verification, and assurance monitoring.

- *Operational monitoring* focuses on day-to-day injection operations such as system performance.
- *Verification monitoring* confirms that the CO₂ remains contained within the selected storage complex. The CO₂ plume and pressure front development are monitored over time to provide data for model calibration. Integration of verification monitoring data into project models allows the project to

demonstrate conformance between the computational modeling and the testing and monitoring data collected during the operations and post injection phases of the project's lifecycle.

Assurance monitoring is at surface and near-surface (i.e., soil, groundwater, • USDWs, etc.) to monitor for any changes from baseline pre-injection sample data that might indicate CO₂ or injection zone fluid migration towards surface.

These three categories cover a range of monitoring objectives including

- Well operations, •
- Containment,
- Non-endangerment of USDWs,
- Capacity,
- Injectivity,
- Injection pressure, and
- Conformance.

Table 1 provides of summary of the general monitoring strategy with subcategories.

| Monitoring Action | Monitoring Objectives | Monitoring Technology | |
|--|--|---|--|
| CO ₂ stream analysis | Purity of the CO ₂ stream | Lab analysis, chromatography | |
| CO ₂ plume monitoring | Verification/conformance, Containment, Non-endangerment of USDWs | Time-lapse seismic data, pulsed neutron logging (PNL), fluid sampling with aqueous geochemistry | |
| Pressure front monitoring | Injection pressure, Injectivity, Verification/ conformance | Downhole pressure sensors in the injection and deep observation wells, seismic monitoring | |
| ACZ Changes | Containment, Non-endangerment of USDWs | Downhole pressure sensors in ACZ monitor wells, fluid sampling with aqueous geochemistry, PNL | |
| Project well integrity Containment, Non-endangerment of USDWs | | Temperature logging, PNL, annular pressure monitoring, mechanical integrity tests (MIT), pressure fall-off tests (FOTs), corrosion monitoring, testing of emergency shut-down systems | |
| Reservoir performance | Injectivity | Wellhead and downhole pressure sensors, FOTs | |
| Seismicity | Containment, Non-endangerment of USDWs, Induced seismicity | Surface-based or downhole passive seismic monitoring arrays | |
| Groundwater and deep fluid monitoring | Containment, Non-endangerment of USDWs, Assurance | Fluid sampling with aqueous geochemistry | |

T 11 1 0

1.2 Storage Complex

A site-specific stratigraphic chart of geologic formations present at the Vervain Project site is shown in Figure 1. The specific intervals to be monitored are as follows:

- Mt. Simon Sandstone (injection zone),
- Ironton-Galesville Sandstone (ACZ),
- St Peter Sandstone (lowermost USDW, contingency only),
- Shallow groundwater including Mahomet Aquifer.

Based on work completed for the Illinois Basin–Decatur Project (IBDP) and Illinois Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage Project (IL-ICCS), which is located approximately 35 miles from the Vervain Project Site, the St. Peter Sandstone is expected to be the lowermost USDW at the site (Attachment 1:Project Narrative, 2023), (Gollakota & McDonald, 2014).



generalized lithology, and zone of use.

1.3 AoR and Project Wells

Figure 2 and Table 2 show the injection and deep observation wells for the project. Figure 2 shows the predicted extent of the CO₂ plume 50 years into the post injection period as well as the pressure based AoR. Figure 3 illustrates the predicted CO₂ plume development at different points out to 50 years in the post injection period. The current CO₂ plume and pressure front predictions have been used to inform the spatial extent of the Testing and Monitoring Plan. Figure 4 and Figure 5 show cross sections of the CO₂ plume 50 years into the PISC period through NV_INJ2 and NV_OBS1 and NV_INJ1 and NV_OBS1, respectively.

The AoR and Corrective Action Plan includes a discussion of the technical basis for the current AoR (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023). Once NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 have been drilled, the data gathered as part of the Pre-Operational Testing Program will be used to update the current static model and the computational modeling as well as how the monitoring data will be used to re-evaluate the AoR over the injection phase of the project (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023), (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023). The updated models will be used to verify or re-evaluate the current AoR, and associated Testing and Monitoring Plan should it be necessary (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Information

It is estimated that the CO₂ will breakthrough at NV_OBS1 between t hree and five years after injection operations commence based on the computational modeling (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023). The primary objectives of the NV_OBS1 well are to monitor injection zone pressures at a distance from NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 and to obtain fluid samples from the well prior to CO₂ breakthrough. Fluid samples from the injection zone will allow the project to characterize the changes in aqueous geochemistry and the rock matrix in the early years of the project. Once the CO₂ breaks through at NV_OBS1, the project will utilize PNL tools to characterize the development of the vertical CO₂ plume over time at a distance from NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2. The far field pressure measurements will be used to calibrate the computational modeling during the operations and post injection site care (PISC) phases of the project.

| 1401(2.11 | Toposcu verva | an wen Eberations (European Ferroreum Survey Group | (1150)20710 |
|--|---------------|--|---|
| Well Long Name | Well Name | Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Information | Purpose |
| Injection Well 1 | NV_INJ1 | | CO ₂ Injector |
| Injection Well 2 | NV_INJ2 | | CO ₂ Injector |
| Deep Observation Well 1 | NV_OBS1 | | Injection Zone Observation Between the Injector Wells |
| Above Confining Zone Monitoring Well 1 | NV_ACZ1 | | Above Confining Zone Monitor Near NV_INJ1 |
| Above Confining Zone Monitoring Well 2 | NV_ACZ2 | | Above Confining Zone Monitor Near NV_INJ2 |
| Mahomet Aquifer Monitoring Well 1 | NV_MA1 | | Mahomet Aquifer Monitor near NV_INJ1 |
| Mahomet Aquifer Monitoring Well 2 | NV_MA2 | | Mahomet Aquifer Monitor near NV_INJ2 |

| Table 2: Proposed Vervain Well Locations | (European Petroleum | Survey Group | (EPSG) | 26916 |
|--|---------------------|--------------|--------|-------|
|--|---------------------|--------------|--------|-------|



Figure 2: Map of Vervain Project location, proposed location of the injection and deep observation wells, simulated extent of the CO₂ plume 50 years post injection, and AoR based on the delta pressure front after 25 years of injection.



Figure 3: Time-lapse CO₂ plume development map over 5, 10, 15, 25 (injection end), 40 (15 years post injection) and 75 (50 years post injection). Note the relative stability of the CO₂ plume radius in the PISC phase of the project. Due to the proximity of the above confining zone (NV_ACZ1 and NV_ACZ2) and Mahomet Aquifer (NV_MA1 and NV_MA2) monitoring wells to the injection wells (NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2), the ACZ and Mahomet Aquifer monitoring well symbols underly the injection well symbols.



Figure 4: B-B' Cross-section of CO₂ plume year 75 (50 years post injection) along NV_OBS1 and NV_INJ2.



Figure 5: C-C' Cross-section of CO₂ plume year 75 (50 years post injection) along NV_INJ1 and NV_OBS1.

Attachment 7: Testing and Monitoring Plan 40 CFR 146.90; Vervain Project Permit Number: IL-113-6A-0004 & IL-113-6A-0005

1.4 Summary of Testing and Monitoring Plan Components

Operational monitoring serves to ensure all procedures and processes associated with the project are safe and well integrity is maintained. Continuously recorded data that will monitor the response of the injection zone includes:

- Injection rate and volume,
- Wellhead injection pressure,
- Injection well annulus pressure and fluid volume, and
- Lower Mt. Simon Sandstone / Arkose zone pressure and temperature.

The verification monitoring will provide data that will be used to evaluate the vertical and horizontal CO₂ plume development over time and identify any potential CO₂ migration beyond the confining zone. The primary components of the CO₂ plume monitoring consist of PNL in the project wells and time-lapse three-dimensional (3D) surface seismic monitoring. The pressure front development will be monitored with downhole pressure sensors in NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 as well as continuous passive seismic monitoring. In addition, the ACZ monitoring wells will provide further verification that the injection zone fluids are being contained below the confining layer through downhole pressure monitoring and fluid sampling in the Ironton-Galesville formations.

The assurance monitoring component of the program will monitor the shallow groundwater aquifers, including the Mahomet Aquifer, for any indications that injection zone fluids have migrated into the near surface. Fluid samples will be taken from shallow groundwater aquifers on a regular basis to analyze the aqueous geochemistry.

One of the primary goals of the testing and monitoring plan is to continue to demonstrate the activities of this project are safe for the health of the public and environment. To help facilitate this demonstration, the Quality Assurance and Surveillance Plan (QASP) has been developed to ensure the quality of the demonstration methods meet the requirements of the EPA Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program for Class VI wells (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

Table 3 shows a summary of the activities, monitoring points, and purpose of each activity in the Testing and Monitoring Plan. The activities are discussed on more detail in sections that follow in this document.

| Activity | Location(s) | Purpose | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| CO2 stream analysis | | | | |
| CO2 stream analysis - | CO. Delivery Pipeline | Monitor injectate quality and composition | | |
| downstream | CO2 Derivery Tipenite | | | |
| Continuous Recording | | | | |
| Injection rate | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | Monitoring injection rate | | |
| Injection volume | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | Monitoring injection volume | | |
| Injection pressure | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 Wellhead | Monitoring injection pressure | | |
| Wellhead pressure | NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2 Wellhead | Monitoring above confining zone | | |
| Downhole pressure | NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2 | Monitoring above comming zone | | |
| Annular pressure | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 Wellhead, | Monitoring annulus prossure | | |
| Amuai pressure | NV_OBS1 Wellhead | Monitoring annulus pressure | | |
| Annular fluid volume | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 Wellhead, | Monitoring appulus fluid volume abanaos | | |
| Annuar mud volume | NV OBS1 Wellhead | Monitoring aminus fittid volume change | | |

| Table 3: Sur | nmary of | Testing | and | Monitoring | Activities |
|--------------|----------|---------|-----|------------|------------|
| | | | | | |

| Activity | Location(s) | Purpose | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Downhole pressure | NV_INJ1, NV INJ2 Injection Interval, NV_OBS1 Injection Interval | Monitoring injection zone | |
| Downhole temperature | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 Wellbore | Monitoring injection zone, wellbore integrity | |
| Passive seismic monitoring | Various Monitoring Stations | Injection zone and confining zone integrity | |
| Well Integrity | | | |
| Corrosion monitoring | CO ₂ Pipeline at the project site | Monitoring injectate, wellbore integrity | |
| Mechanical integrity (internal) | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 Wellhead, NV_OBS1 Wellhead | Wellbore integrity | |
| Mechanical integrity (external) | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 Wellbore, NV OBS1 Wellbore | Wellbore integrity | |
| Cement Evaluation | NV_INJI, NV_INJ2 Wellbore, NV OBS1 Wellbore, NV ACZ1, NV ACZ2 Wellbore | Wellbore integrity | |
| CO ₂ Plume and Pressure | Front Tracking | | |
| Pulsed Neutron Log | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 Wellbore, NV OBS1 Wellbore | CO ₂ saturation, vertical plume development | |
| Downhole pressure | NV_OBS1 Injection Zone, NV INJ1, NV INJ2 Injection Zone | Monitoring injection zone pressure, plume monitoring, confining zone integrity | |
| Passive seismic Monitoring | Minimum of 5 stations TBD | Injection zone and confining zone integrity | |
| Time-lapse 3D Seismic | Area sufficient to image | Indirect measurement of plume | |
| Data | modeled area of CO ₂ plume | development and overburden | |
| Fluid Sampling | | - | |
| Shallow Ground Water Sampling (Glacial Deposits) | Shallow wells spatially distributed throughout the AoR, NV_MA1, NV_MA2 | Detection of changes in aqueous geochemistry for the shallow USDWs. | |
| Above Confining Zone Sampling (Ironton-Galesville) | NV_ACZI, NV_ACZ2 | Detection of changes in aqueous geochemistry above the confining zone. | |
| Injection Zone Monitoring (Lower Mt. Simon Sandstone / Mt Simon Arkose) | NV_OBS1 | Detection of changes in aqueous geochemistry, and CO ₂ saturation in the injection zone. | |

1.4.1 CO2 Stream Analysis and Corrosion Monitoring

The chemical composition of the CO₂ stream will be monitored downstream of the final compression unit and upstream of NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 (40 CFR 146.90 (a)). Corrosion coupons composed of the same material as the well components and CO₂-delivery pipeline will be placed in the delivery pipeline and analyzed on a quarterly basis for signs of corrosion and loss of mass that may be indicative of future potential well integrity issues (40 CFR 146.90 (c)). If signs of corrosion are identified in the coupons, this may trigger further well integrity testing.

1.4.2 Injection Well Monitoring

Injection operations will be monitored through a range of continuous, daily, and quarterly techniques as detailed in the well operations plans for both injection wells (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023).

Continuous recording devices will allow monitoring of injection pressure, rate, and volume (40 CFR 146.90 (b)). The injection rate will be monitored using an orifice meter prior to entering the wellhead. The calculated injection volumes will, in turn, be used to update the computational models at regular intervals throughout the injection phase of the project (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

The annular pressure between the tubing and the injection casing strings as well as the annular fluid volumes will also be monitored on a continuous basis (40 CFR 146.90 (b)). These data will be linked into a supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) system to record the operations data, control injection rates, or initiate system shutdown, if needed. The SCADA system can also be used to adjust the volume of annular fluid, and thereby pressure, in the annular space to meet the operational and regulatory objectives.

1.4.3 Mechanical Integrity Testing

In addition to the annular pressure and fluid volume monitoring, the well integrity of NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 will be monitored using a range of internal and external mechanical integrity evaluation methods. The same methods of mechanical integrity testing (MIT) will be performed on each well.

1.4.3.1 Internal Mechanical Integrity Testing

The regulatory standard for internal MIT is performing an annulus pressure test (APT). This test will be run to regulatory standards after the well completion to confirm internal integrity as per the Pre-Operational Testing Program (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023). Further details on the APT standards and methods of performing it are provided in Section 6.1.1.

1.4.3.2 External Mechanical Integrity Testing

The external mechanical integrity of the wells will be confirmed through annual temperature and PNL logging. These logs will be compared back to baseline logs to identify any unexpected deviations that could indicate CO_2 flow or accumulations behind the casing above the injection zone (40 CFR 146.90 (e)). Further details on these logs and the methods of performing them will be provided in a later section in Section 6.2.

1.4.4 Pressure and Temperature Monitoring

The bottomhole pressure and temperature will be measured using gauges in the NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 wells that will continuously record these data and transmit them to surface. NV_OBS1 will be located within the area of the predicted 25-year CO₂ plume; the CO₂ plume is expected to intersect the well in the first three to five years of injection (Figure 3). This well will allow for pressure and temperature monitoring as well as periodic fluid sampling in the injection zone until such time as the CO₂ intersects the well. The variations in the pressure data

will be used to calibrate and verify the computational modeling through the pre-operational, injection, and PISC phases of the project (40 CFR 146.90 (g)).

1.4.5 Plume Monitoring

A pressure fall-off test (FOT) will be conducted in the Mt. Simon Sandstone in NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 after they are drilled to establish the hydrogeologic characteristics of the injection zone (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023). During the injection phase of the project, a FOT will be conducted in NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 at least once every five years unless increases in injection pressure indicate a need for a FOT sooner (40 CFR 146.90 (f)). The formation characteristics obtained through the FOT will be compared to the results from previous tests to identify any changes over time, and they will be used to calibrate the computational models.

NV_OBS1 will be used to monitor pressure and to collect fluid samples from the injection zone to monitor for changes in the aqueous geochemistry of the formation. It will also be used to verify when the leading edge of the CO_2 plume reaches the observation well.

PNL will be run in the NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 to monitor CO_2 saturations and vertical plume development adjacent to the wellbores. This logging can also be used to identify accumulations of CO_2 above the confining zone should there be CO_2 migration along the wellbore.

Both the pressure and log data will be used to calibrate and verify the computational modeling over the injection and PISC phases of the project.

Beyond the direct measurement techniques that the project will deploy, time-lapse 3D surface seismic surveys will be used to monitor the development of the CO₂ plume, and a passive seismic monitoring array will be used to monitor the associated pressure front through the injection and PISC phases (40 CFR 146.90 (g)). High resolution time-lapse 3D surface seismic data will be used to qualitatively monitor the CO₂ plume development and calibrate the computational modeling results over time. The time-lapse 3D surface seismic data will also be used to verify CO₂ containment within the injection zone, as any CO₂ accumulations in the overburden would result in seismic anomalies that would differ from the baseline seismic data. Source and receiver spacing and line intervals, and the resulting trace density will be designed to deliver full offset, full azimuth baseline data of sufficient resolution to image the target horizons.

The passive seismic monitoring array will be used to monitor for seismic events within the AoR using a phased approach. Initially, the monitoring array will be located close to injection wells. The array will be expanded to cover a larger area over time as the leading edge of the pressure front migrates away from the injection wells.



The lowermost USDW at the Vervain Project Site will be the St. Peter Sandstone with a predicted depth of 2,233 feet below ground level (fbgl) (Attachment 1:Project Narrative, 2023). Formation fluid samples from the St. Peter Sandstone will be obtained from the ACZ wells when they are drilled to establish the baseline aqueous geochemistry within the formation (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023).

Throughout the injection and PISC phases of the project, the results of the aqueous geochemistry sampling will be compared to the baseline conditions for any indication of CO_2 or brine migration into the shallow groundwater aquifers. If indications of injection zone fluids are found in a shallow groundwater aquifer, it will trigger the emergency response actions found in the Emergency and Remedial Response Plan (Attachment 10: ERRP, 2023).

1.4.7 Deep Groundwater Sampling and Monitoring

Two deep groundwater wells (NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2) will be drilled into the Ironton-Galesville formations to monitor above the confining zone (ACZ). Assuming fluid migration from the injection zone is most likely to occur along a wellbore, NV_ACZ1 and NV_ACZ2 will each be constructed close to the corresponding NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 wells.

NV_ACZ1 and NV_ACZ2 will be used to take formation fluid samples and to monitor pressure changes in the Ironton-Galesville formations (40 CFR 146.90 (d)). Injection zone fluid migration past the confining zone and into the ACZ monitoring zone will most likely be identified through pressure changes in the formation. Pressures will be monitored both at the wellhead and downhole in the ACZ wells.

1.4.8 Passive Seismic Monitoring

The project site is located in an area with low rates and low risk of natural seismic activity (Attachment 1:Project Narrative, 2023). It is not expected that natural seismicity will affect the project. The IBDP at the ADM facility in Decatur, IL, injected CO₂ into the basal Arkose interval of the Mt. Simon Sandstone and generated small seismic events throughout the injection phase of the project CO₂ despite maintaining injection below fracture pressure (Bauer, Carney, & Finley, 2016). Over 80 percent of the induced events were located in the Precambrian basement (Bauer, R, Greenberg, & Whittaker, 2019). The IL-ICCS project, one mile north of IBDP, is currently injecting into the Arkose and Lower Mt. Simon units but about 130 feet higher stratigraphically and has significantly reduced the frequency of induced seismic events. The Vervain Project also plans to inject in the Arkose and Lower Mt. Simon Sandstone and will use the findings from these other projects to mitigate and monitor for induced seismic activity to manage project risks (Attachment 10: ERRP, 2023).

The passive seismic monitoring will be used to accurately determine the locations and magnitudes of induced seismic events with the primary goals of:

- Addressing public and stakeholder concerns related to induced seismicity,
- Monitoring the spatial extent of the pressure front from the distribution of seismic events around the injection wells and as the pressure front expands,
- Identifying activity that may indicate failure of the confining zone and possible containment loss.

A surface-based passive seismic monitoring array will be designed with seismic monitoring stations at a range of azimuths to optimize the accuracy of the event locations and magnitudes. The design of the array will be informed by data collected during the Pre-operational Testing Program (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023). This network can easily be expanded in response to monitoring results or future AoR re-evaluations as necessary.

1.4.9 General Testing and Monitoring Activity Frequency

Table 4 presents the general schedule and spatial extent for the monitoring activities in the baseline and injection phases of the project based on the current understanding of the site.

The depth of monitoring gauges and testing ranges will be updated once the data from NV_OBS1 has been analyzed. Changes to the monitoring schedule may occur over time as the project evolves. Any such changes to the testing and monitoring plan or the PISC will be made in consultation with the UIC Program Director (40 CFR 146.90 (j)).

| Monitoring Activity | Baseline Data Frequency | Injection Phase Frequency [*] | Location | Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Informatio | |
|---|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Groundwater Monitoring | | | | | |
| Shallow Groundwater Sampling | Quarterly | Biannual (twice/year) | 13 shallow wells, NV_MA1, NV_MA2 | | |
| Fluid Sampling | Biannual (twice/year) | Annual | NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2 NV_OBS1 | | |
| Injection Well Monitoring | | | | | |
| Injection Pressure | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Injection Temperature | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Injection Rate | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Injection Volume (Calculated) | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Annular Pressure | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Annular Fluid Volume | NA | Continuous | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 | | |
| Mechanical Integrity Testing | | | | | |
| Mechanical Integrity Test (MIT) (Internal): Annulus Pressure Test | Once Once | As required | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 NV_OBS1 | | |
| FOT | Once | Every 5 years | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| MIT (External) | Once | Annually | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |
| Temperature Log | Once | Annually | NV_OBS1 | | |
| Emergency Shut-down System Test | NA | Annually | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | | |

Table 4: General schedule and spatial extent for the testing and monitoring activities for the Vervain Project

| Monitoring Activity | Baseline Data Frequency | Injection Phase Frequency [*] | Location | Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Informat |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Pressure Monitoring | | | | |
| Annular Pressure | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 NV OBS1 | |
| Wellhead Pressure | NA | Continuous | NV ACZ1, NV ACZ2 | |
| Downhole Pressure | NA | Continuous | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 NV OBS1 | |
| CO2 Stream Analysis | ! | | NV_AC21, NV_AC22 | |
| CO ₂ Stream Analysis | Once | Quarterly | CO ₂ Pipeline | |
| Corrosion Coupon Analysis | NA | Quarterly | Surface | |
| CO2 Plume and Pressure Fr | | | | |
| | 3 months prior to injection | | NV_ACZ1***, NV ACZ2*** | |
| Pressure – Temperature Sensors | Continuous | Continuous | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 | |
| | Continuous | Continuous | NV_OBS1 | |
| PNL | Once Once | Annually Annually | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 NV_OBS1 | |
| Passive Seismic Monitoring | 6 months prior to injection | Continuous | Minimum 5 Stations within the pressure front | |
| Time-lapse 3D Surface Seismic Data | Baseline | Every 5-10 years | Area sufficient to image modeled CO ₂ plume extent | |
| * Minimum frequency display ** To be confirmed after well is | ed in table s drilled | | | |

*** Temperature data will not be collected

1.5 Quality Assurance Procedures

Data quality assurance and surveillance protocols adopted by the project have been designed to facilitate compliance with the requirements specified in 40 CFR 146.90 (k). Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for direct measurements within the injection zone, above the confining zone, and within the lowermost USDW aquifer are described in QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023). These measurements will be performed based on best industry practices, and the QA protocols recommended by the service contractors selected to perform the work.

1.6 Reporting Procedures

Heartland Greenway Carbon Storage, LLC (HGCS) will report the results of all testing and monitoring activities to the EPA in compliance with the requirements under 40 CFR 146.91.

Reports will be submitted every 6 months commencing from the date CO₂ injection operations commence.

2. CO₂ Stream Analysis (40 CFR 146.90 (a))

The project will analyze the CO_2 stream during the injection phase of the project to provide data representative of its chemical characteristics and to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 146.90 (a).

This section describes the measurements and sampling methodologies that will be used to monitor the chemical characteristics of the CO₂ injection stream. Additional details on technical standards, QA/QC policy, sample collection and storage policies, and analytical methods are provided in the QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

2.1 Sampling Location and Frequency

Prior to the start of the injection phase, the CO_2 stream from the delivery pipeline will be sampled for analysis to obtain representative CO_2 samples that will serve as a baseline dataset. Once the injection phase commences, samples of the CO_2 injection stream will be collected quarterly from the CO_2 delivery pipeline for analysis. Quarterly sampling of the CO_2 injection stream should be sufficient to accurately track the composition of the stream.

Section 4.5 of the QASP document details the quality control mechanisms and activities to be performed should there be a statistically significant variance in an analyte measurement.

2.2 Analytical Parameters

Samples of the injection stream will be collected for chemical analysis to provide data representative of its characteristics. The samples will be analyzed for CO₂ purity, total hydrocarbons as methane carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), nitrogen (N₂), oxygen (O₂), methane, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), acetaldehyde (AA), and ethanol.

Baseline samples of the injection stream will be collected prior to the start of injection and the analytes included for analysis may be expanded depending on the results of those analyses. Gas concentration analyses will be done by a contracted third-party lab. The lab will specialize in gas analyses and routinely perform specialized analyses on CO₂ for industrial clients. Samples of the CO₂ stream will be collected on a quarterly basis for chemical analysis.

2.3 Sampling Method – CO₂ Injection Stream Gases

Gas samples of the CO₂ stream will be obtained to analyze the components present in the injection stream. Samples of the CO₂ stream will be collected between the CO₂ delivery pipeline and the injection wells Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Information Fittings will be consistent with those used by the contracted third-party laboratory who will be performing the analysis.

The CO₂ stream will flow from the pipeline through an open ball valve, through a pressure reducer (regulator), and into the cylinder. The pressure regulator will reduce the pressure of the CO₂ stream Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Information to ensure the CO₂ is in a gaseous state rather than a supercritical liquid.

Figure 6 provides an example of the sampling procedures used by Atlantic Analytical Company. Cylinders will be purged with sample gas (i.e., CO₂) at least five times prior to sample collection to remove laboratory-added helium gas and ensure a representative sample. The QASP contains more information on sampling methods (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

Introduction

Atlantic Analytical Laboratory (AAL) provides pre-cleaned and conditioned stainless steel and sulfur-inerted sampling cylinders as a convenience to our customers. Rental cylinders are available in a variety of sizes, including 75cc, 300cc, 500cc, and 1 liter. All cylinders are DOT rated for 1,800 psig service, and are equipped with a burst-disc type relief valve set to approximately this pressure. All cylinders are dual ended, with 1/4" NPT valve port fittings. Cylinders are normally shipped with approximately 10 psig UHP grade helium backfill gas to prevent atmospheric contamination during shipment. Cylinders can be shipped under vacuum upon request.

Safety

Before sampling, review all MSDS information related to the gases present. Always wear safety glasses, protective gloves, and other necessary safety equipment. Sampling cylinders are only to be used by personnel trained in handling pressurized gases. For safety, always assume any cylinder or gas line contains the maximum amount of pressure possible in the system. Whenever possible, ensure that the sampling cylinder outlet port is attached to an appropriate vent line to avoid a potentially hazardous buildup of the gas being sampled, especially for oxygen and flammable gases. Refer to the back of this page for a diagram of a typical sampling setup.

- > DO NOT sample toxic, corrosive, pyrophoric, or extremely reactive gases with these cylinders.
- DO NOT sample cryogenic or liquefied gases using these instructions; instead, refer to separate instructions available from AAL for proper sampling techniques for these gases.
- DO NOT EXCEED the MAXIMUM 1,800 PSIG fill pressure. If the relief valve burst disc ruptures, the cylinder cannot be used for sampling - return to AAL immediately for repairs, cleaning, and recertification.

Equipment

Sampling cylinder, 1/2" NPT brass end cap, 1/2" NPT brass plug, ID tag.

Sampling Procedure

- 1) Remove the brass cylinder end cap and plug and store them in a clean, secure location.
- 2) Loosely connect the inlet valve of the sampling cylinder to the gas source valve.
- Carefully open the gas source valve and purge the connecting fittings of air then tighten these fittings. Keep the gas source valve open until step 11.
- 4) Carefully open the cylinder inlet valve to allow the sample gas to fill the cylinder.
- 5) Close the cylinder inlet valve. Do not over tighten, as this may damage the valve seat and cause leakage.
- 6) Open the cylinder outlet valve, and allow a majority the cylinder gas to vent. DO NOT blow down completely to atmospheric pressure, as this may cause outside contaminants to diffuse into the cylinder.
- 7) Close the cylinder outlet valve.
- Repeat steps 4 7 a minimum of 5 times to ensure the cylinder has been purged of all fill gas and conditioned with the sample gas.
- Open the cylinder inlet valve and partially open the cylinder outlet valve to allow the sample gas to flow through the cylinder for at least 2 minutes.
- 10) Close the cylinder outlet valve and wait at least 30 seconds for the cylinder to fully pressurize.
- 11) Close both the cylinder inlet and gas source valves then carefully disconnect the cylinder. Beware of excess gas pressure trapped between the two valves which may release suddenly when disconnecting the cylinder.
- 12) Apply new teflon tape to the NPT threads on the inlet valve of the cylinder and the brass outlet plug and securely cap both ends of the cylinder. DO NOT over tighten fittings or thread damage may result.
- 13) Record all sample data on the cylinder ID tag please do not affix labels to the cylinder body.
- 14) Package the cylinder in a DOT/IATA approved shipping box or container and insert a completed AAL "Analytical Testing Request" form. Follow all applicable shipping regulations including affixing the proper sample UN designation, shipping name, hazard labels, and identification of the sample contents on all courier paperwork.
- 15) Ship the sample to AAL via an express air (if eligible) or qualified ground courier as soon as possible.

Figure 6: Atlantic Analytical Laboratory gas sampling instruction sheet (Atlantic Analytical Laboratory, 2022)

2.4 Laboratory to be Used, Chain of Custody, and Analysis Procedures

A contracted third-party laboratory will analyze the CO₂ stream samples. The lab will specialize in gas analyses and routinely perform specialized analyses on CO₂ for industrial clients. The contracted laboratory will follow standard sample handling and chain-of custody guidance (EPA 540-R-09-03, or equivalent). The relevant QASP sections detail the following (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023):

- Section B.1.a: Laboratory to be used and quality
- Section B.3.e: Chain of custody
- Section B.4.a: Analysis procedures

3. Continuous Recording of Operational Parameters

The project will install and use continuous recording devices to monitor injection pressure; injection rate (and volume [calculated]); the pressure on the annulus; the annulus fluid volume added; and the temperature of the CO_2 stream, as required at 40 CFR 146.88 (e)(1), 146.89 (b), and 146.90 (b). The details are described in the following sections.

3.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency

The project will perform the activities identified in Table 4 to monitor operational parameters and verify internal mechanical integrity of NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2. All monitoring will take place at the locations and frequencies shown in Table 5. All of the data recorded on a continuous basis will be connected to the main facility through a SCADA system.

| Parameter | Device(s) *** | Location | Minimum Sampling Frequency* | Minimum Recording Fre uenc ** |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Wellhead Injection Pressure | Pressure Gauge | Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Informa | Every 10 seconds | Every 10 seconds |
| Formation Injection Pressure | Pressure Gauge | | Every 10 seconds | Every 10 seconds |
| Wellhead Injection Tem erature | Thermocouple | | Every 10 seconds | Every 10 seconds |
| Formation Temperature | Temperature Sensor | | Every 10 seconds | Every 10 seconds |
| Injection Rate | Orifice Meter | | Every 10 seconds Ever 10 seconds | Every 10 seconds Ever 10 seconds |
| Annular Pressure | Pressure Gauge | | Ever 10 seconds | Ever 10 seconds |
| Annulus Fluid Volume | Accumulator | _ | | Ever 1 minute |

* Sampling frequency refers to how often the monitoring device obtains data from the well for a particular parameter. For example, a recording device might sample a pressure transducer monitoring injection pressure once every two seconds and save this value in memory.

** Recording frequency refers to how often the sampled information gets recorded to digital format (such as a computer hard drive). For example, the data from the injection pressure transducer might be recorded to a hard drive once every minute. *** All calibration standards, methods of conformance, precision, and tolerance parameters are provided for the devices listed in the QASP_Attachment 11: QASP, 2023.

3.2 Monitoring Details

3.2.1 Continuous Recording of Injection Pressure

The CO₂ injection pressure will be monitored on a continuous basis at the wellhead to ensure that injection pressures do not exceed the calculated maximum allowable injection pressure (MAIP), determined, in part, by using 90% of the fracture pressure of the injection zone per 40 CFR 146.88 (a). For purposes of this application a safety factor of 80% is applied, and if the injection pressure exceeds this value, then the injection process will be automatically shut down per the Well Operations Plans (Attachment 6A: NV INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). Sensitive, Confidential, or Privileged Information

Table 6 summarizes the maximum allowable bottomhole flowing pressure (BHFP) after year 1 and year 5 as well as the maximum allowable injection pressure (MAIP) at surface for NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). These results will be re-assessed with the data collected during the Pre-Operational Testing Program (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023).

Table 6: Summary of BHFP and MAIP for NV INJ1 and NV INJ2



Pressure will be continuously monitored by an electronic pressure transducer to ensure that the MAIP is not exceeded during injection operations. This electronic pressure transducer will feed into the SCADA system.

As is noted in the Well Operations section, several assumptions have gone into the calculations for the MAIP (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). To assist with the proper hydrostatic gradient evaluations, permanent downhole gauges will be used. The data gathered from this sensor will help to calibrate the surface pressure readings. The current plan is to use these gauges for calibration purposes until sufficient hydrostatic data has been collected. It is noted that these gauges are not considered to be a part of the routine testing and monitoring program, but for gradient calibration and model/simulation verification.

Any anomalies outside of the normal operating specifications may indicate that an issue has occurred within the well, such as a loss of mechanical integrity, blockage in the tubing, or a change in injection flowrate. Anomalous pressure measurements would trigger the need for further investigation of the cause of the change (40 CFR 146.89 (b)). The wellhead and

downhole injection pressures will also be used to calibrate the computational modeling throughout the injection phase and PISC phases of the project.

3.2.2 Continuous Recording of Injection Mass Flow Rate

The mass flow rate of CO_2 injected into the wells will be monitored by an orifice meter. The orifice meter will be placed in the CO_2 delivery line near each well. The flow meters will be connected to the SCADA system for continuous monitoring and control of the CO_2 injection rate into the well.

3.2.3 Injection Volume

The injection volume will be calculated for each well using an orifice meter. The calculated volume will be used in the computational models to determine storage formation capacity and flow.

3.2.4 Continuous Recording of Annular Pressure

As discussed in the Well Operations Plans, the pressure on the annulus between the injection tubing and the long-string casing will be measured by an electronic pressure transducer with analog output that is mounted on the wing valve/annular fluid line connected to the wellhead of an injector (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). The transmitter will be connected to the well control system and the SCADA system to regulate the annular pressure.

Annular pressures are expected to vary during normal operations due to atmospheric and CO₂ stream temperature fluctuations; however, the well control system will be designed to maintain annular pressures of 100 psi in both injection wells (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023).

In particular, the annular pressure is expected to fluctuate during start-up and shut-in operations as the tubing naturally expands and contracts in response pressure and temperature changes related to CO_2 flow, or lack thereof, in the tubing. Sudden changes in the annular pressure during routine injection operations are a sign of potential tubing or tubing packer integrity issues that will trigger further investigation through mechanical integrity testing.

3.2.5 Continuous Recording of Annulus Fluid Volume

As discussed in the Well Operations Plans, the volume of the annulus fluid between the injection tubing and the long-string casing will be measured using the accumulator levels and the brine reservoir level on the well control system (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). The accumulator and brine reservoir levels will be measured using a level transmitter. The transmitters will be connected to the well control system and to the SCADA system.

Similar to the annular pressure, the annular fluid volume is expected to fluctuate as atmospheric and injection stream temperatures change. These changes are expected to be most dramatic during start-up and shutdown operations. A significant change in the fluid volume in the accumulator or brine reservoir (i.e., fluid is being pumped from the reservoir to the annulus or fluid being pushed out of the annular space) during routine injection operations may be an indication of well integrity problems, as the fluid volumes would normally remain relatively constant, and will require further investigation.

3.2.6 Continuous Recording of CO₂ Stream Temperature

The temperature of the CO_2 injection stream will be continuously measured by an electronic thermocouple. The thermocouple will be mounted in a temperature probe in the CO_2 line at a location close to the pressure transmitter near the wellhead. The transmitter will be electronically connected to the SCADA system.

3.2.7 Bottomhole Pressure and Temperature

Bottomhole pressure and temperature will be monitored prior to and during the injection phase of the project. These data will be used to assist with the calibration of the wellhead pressure measurements to determine the response of the formation to the injected CO_2 .

The downhole pressure gauge will be set, just above the packer in the injection wells, and will be programmed to continuously record the pressure and transmit it to surface.

After the wellhead/ injection zone pressure relationship has been defined, the wellhead pressure measurement will be the point of compliance for maintaining injection pressure below 90% of formation fracture pressure as per 40 CFR 146.88 (a). The downhole pressure and temperature data will also be used to calibrate the computational models.

4. Corrosion Monitoring (40 CFR 146.90 (c))

To meet the requirements of 40 CFR 146.90 (c), the project will monitor well materials and components during the operational period for loss of mass, thickness, cracking, pitting, and other signs of corrosion to ensure that the well components meet the minimum standards for material strength and performance (Table 7). This section discusses the measures that will be taken to monitor the corrosion of well materials used in the casing and tubing. For Class VI injection wells, corrosion monitoring of the well materials is required on a quarterly basis (40 CFR 146.90 (c)).

4.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency

The corrosion coupons will be retrieved and analyzed every three months after the date that injection commences. If the coupons show evidence of corrosion, NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 can be assessed for signs of corrosion using commercially available logging or other inspection tools.

4.2 Sample Description

The coupons will be made from the same materials as the long string casing and tubing (Table 7). Prior to placement of the corrosion coupons in the CO_2 stream, they will be weighed and measured for thickness, width, and length as a baseline measurement.

| Equipment Coupon | Material of Construction |
|--------------------|--|
| Long String Casing | 13Cr80 Steel Alloy and Standard Carbon Steel |
| Injection String | Standard Carbon Steel with TK-15XT Coating (Tuboscope) |
| Pipeline | Stainless Steel |
| Wellhead | Xylan coated iron |
| Packer | Nickel coated steel, nitrile |

Table 7: List of equipment coupon with material of construction

4.3 Monitoring Details

Corrosion monitoring of well materials will be conducted using coupons placed in the CO₂ pipeline (Figure 7). The coupons will be made of the same materials that are listed in the table above. An example of one such coupon is provided in Figure 8. The coupons will be removed quarterly and assessed for corrosion using American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) G1-03: Standard Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimens (ASTM, 2017). This method measures the corrosivity of steel to both aqueous and non-aqueous liquid wastes.

Upon removal, coupons will be inspected visually for evidence of corrosion, which may include pitting, cracking, and loss of mass or thickness. The weight and size (thickness, width, length) of the coupons will also be measured and recorded each time they are removed and compared to the baseline measurements. Corrosion rate will be calculated as the weight loss during the exposure period divided by the duration (i.e., weight loss method).

If the coupons show evidence of corrosion, NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 can be assessed for signs of corrosion using commercially available logging or other inspection tools. The frequency of running these inspection logs will be contingent on the corrosion data from the coupon monitoring program.



Figure 7: Corrosion coupon illustration in pipeline (Cosasco, 2022)



Figure 8: Type of corrosion coupons to be used for corrosion monitoring (Cosasco, 2022)

5. Above Confining Zone Monitoring (40 CFR 146.90 (d))

The project will monitor for changes to aqueous geochemistry above the confining zone during the operational period to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 146.90 (d).

5.1 Monitoring Location and Frequency

Table 8 shows the deep ACZ monitoring zone, lowermost USDW, and shallow groundwater monitoring methods, depths, and frequencies. The project will acquire a minimum of one year of shallow groundwater data before injection operations begin. Fluid samples from the Ironton-Galesville formations will be taken for analysis from NV_ACZ1 and NV_ACZ2 twice prior to the start of injection operations.

| Designated Wells | Target Formation | Monitoring Activity | Baseline Frequency | (Minimum) Injection Phase Frequency |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Existing Wells TBD NV_MA1, NV_MA2 | Shallow Groundwater Wells | Aqueous Geochemis t ry | Quarterly | Biannual |
| NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2 | St Peter Sandstone | Aqueous Geochemistry | Once | If required (Contingency) |
| | | Wellhead Pressure | Continuous | Continuous (Every Hour) |
| NV ACZ1, NV ACZ2 | Ironton- | Downhole Pressure | Continuous | Continuous (Every Hour) |
| | Galesville | Aqueous Geochemistry | Biannual | Annual |

| Table 8: Schedule for mo | nitoring of pressure | and aqueous geoc | hemistry for the | NV_AC2 | 21, NV_ACZ2, an | id shallow |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|
| groundwater | monitoring wells dur | ing the pre-opera | tional and inject | ion phase | ș of the project. | |

Given the thick and continuous nature of the Eau Claire Formation, the highest risk of CO₂ or brine migration out of the injection zone is along the NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 wellbores that will penetrate the Eau Claire Formation. As such, the ACZ wells will be drilled adjacent to the injection wells to help monitor for any CO₂ leakage or brine migration into the ACZ monitoring zone. Fluids from the deepest ACZ saline formation will be sampled twice prior to the start of CO₂ injection to characterize any natural variability in the fluids in the formation (Table 8).

Migration of CO₂ or brine into the Ironton-Galesville formations will likely first be identified through pressure changes in the formation. An increasing pressure trend in the ACZ monitoring zone would suggest that leakage across the confining zone has occurred. While any increasing trend in pressure will be evaluated, an increase in pressure that deviates more than 2% above baseline values will warrant additional monitoring and inspections to rule out the possibility of fluid leakage out of the injection zone. Such a change in pressure would initiate more frequent fluid sampling and analysis for aqueous geochemistry from the ACZ monitoring zone as well as additional external well integrity investigations in the NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, or NV_OBS1.

Pressures in the ACZ monitoring interval will be monitored at the wellhead and downhole. The St. Peter Sandstone is the lowermost USDW and is located at a depth of approximately 2,233 feet (fbgl) (Attachment 1:Project Narrative, 2023). It will be sampled, and the baseline aqueous geochemistry will be established when the ACZ monitor wells are drilled. It will only be monitored on a regular basis as contingency should there be any indications that injection zone fluids have migrated into the Ironton-Galesville formations.

Figure 9 shows the distribution of the groundwater wells within the AoR (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023). The shallow groundwater monitoring program will include approximately 13 existing groundwater wells that will be spatially distributed within the AoR (40 CFR 146.90 (d)). Two dedicated wells will be drilled in the Mahomet Aquifer at the same location as the injection wells. Baseline shallow groundwater samples will be collected from existing shallow groundwater wells, NV_MA1, NV_MA2 within the AoR on a quarterly schedule starting at least one year before injection commencement to characterize the seasonal variations in groundwater quality within the AoR (Table 8).



Figure 9: Shallow groundwater wells within the AoR annotated in blue.

The accumulation of CO₂ or brine in an overlying aquifer will likely result in changes to the following parameters:

- Aqueous geochemistry parameters such as pH and alkalinity,
- Reaction of cements, mineral surface coatings, and clay particles with the CO₂ will liberate cations and anions into the aqueous phase,
- Carbon isotopes can be used to differentiate between existing CO₂ sources within the AoR and the injected CO₂.

If anomalous changes in the aqueous geochemistry are observed in the ACZ monitoring interval, new samples will be obtained from the affected formation to verify the changes. The frequency with which fluid samples are obtained the ACZ zone for analysis will also be increased.

If the injected CO₂ has a unique isotopic signature from the existing isotopes in the ACZ monitoring interval, a new round of samples will be collected for isotopic analysis from the affected formation. Anomalous changes may also trigger the need for additional well integrity testing in NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 to ensure that no well integrity issues have developed since the last set of external mechanical integrity tests. If injection zone fluids are detected in the ACZ monitoring interval, it may trigger regular sampling of the St. Peter Sandstone fluids as the lowermost USDW. Stable isotopes from the shallow groundwater samples will only be analyzed if anomalies are found in the ACZ monitoring interval or lowermost USDW.

A combination of anomalous pressure, geochemical, and well integrity testing data that shows a clear loss of integrity in the storage complex may result in the decision to acquire a time-lapse surface seismic survey to determine the size of a potential leakage accumulation. Further details on any remedial or emergency response are detailed in the ERRP portion of this permit application (Attachment 10: ERRP, 2023).

5.2 Analytical Parameters

Table 9 details the full suite of analytes that will be used to establish the baseline conditions from NV_OBS1, NV_ACZ1, NV_ACZ2, and the shallow groundwater monitoring wells. Once the project has established baseline conditions, it may reduce monitoring to a subset of analytes that are most likely to change as a result of interactions with CO₂; however, any changes will be in full consultation with the UIC Program Director. During the injection phase of the project, fluids from these wells will be sampled biannually to identify any changes to parameters aqueous geochemistry.

| Parameters | Analytical Methods * |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Cations: Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, Si | EPA 6010B |
| Cations: Al, Sb, As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Mn, Hg, Se, Tl | EPA 200.8, EPA 245.1 |
| Anions: Br, Cl, F, NO ₃ , and SO ₄ | EPA 300.0 |
| Alkalinity | SM 2320B |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) | SM 2540C |
| Total Organic Carbon (TOC) | SM 5310C |
| Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) | SM 5310C |
| Total and Dissolved CO ₂ | ASTM D513-06B |
| Stable Isotopes of δ13C | Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry ** |
| pH | Field with multi-probe system |
| Conductivity/Resistivity | Field with multi-probe system |
| Temperature | Field with multi-probe system |

An equivalent method may be employed with the prior approval of the UIC Program Director.

** Gas evolution technique by Atekwana and Krishnamurthy (1998) with modifications made by Hackley et al. (2007)

Changes in these parameters during the injection phase of the project may provide an indication of CO₂ or brine movement above the confining zone. pH and alkalinity may be indicators of CO₂ migration above the confining zone; dissolved inorganic carbon analysis may provide direct evidence of CO₂ migration into these formations. δ 13C values (of dissolved inorganic carbon) could provide an indication of fluid or CO₂ migration into the ACZ monitoring zone and may also provide information about the origin of any migrating fluids.

The relative benefit of each analytical measurement will be evaluated throughout the design and initial injection testing phase of the project to identify the analytes best suited to meeting project monitoring objectives under site-specific conditions. If some analytical measurements are shown to be of limited use, they will be removed from the analyte list and not carried forward through the operational phases of the project. Any modification to the parameter list in Table 9 will be made in consultation with the UIC Program Director.

Currently, there are no plans to use tracers during operations; however, as the monitoring plan is designed to be adaptive as project risks evolve over time and may be re-assessed later.

5.3 Monitoring and Sampling Methods

Pressure in the ACZ monitoring zone will be monitored from the wellhead and downhole. The gauges will record and transmit data the SCADA system once every 10 seconds. The downhole gauges will be installed in the ACZ wells when the wells are completed. The wellhead gauges will be installed at least three months prior to any injection to ensure that a sufficient baseline is established.

For ACZ fluid sampling, a bailer system will be used to collect the water samples. Prior to sample collection the well will be flushed to remove stagnant water from the well and ensure representative water is collected from the formation. The fluid removed from the well will be monitored for field parameters that are listed in Table 9. Once these parameters stabilize, it will be an indication that representative formation fluid is in the well at the time the sample is collected. Preservation/preparation methods, container type, and holding times for the analyte classes are presented in the QASP section of this application (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

5.4 Laboratory to be Used/Chain of Custody Procedures

The geochemical analyses and the isotopic analyses will be performed by contracted third-party laboratories that meet the standards and guidelines set forth in the QASP. Samples will be tracked using appropriately formatted chain-of-custody forms (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

6. Mechanical Integrity Testing

6.1 Internal Mechanical Integrity Testing

Internal mechanical integrity testing (MIT) refers to testing of seal integrity within, and between, injection string, long casing string, packer, and wellhead. The quality of these seals can be confirmed with an annulus pressure test (APT) and annular pressure monitoring. An APT will be conducted when the injection wells are completed. Annular pressure testing will be continuous in the injection wells. Table 10 presents the details for conducting the annular pressure MIT and the annular pressure monitoring.

| Testing/Monitoring Method | Frequency | Location of Monitoring | Parameters Measured | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Annular Pressure Test | After completion | NV_INJ1and NV_INJ2 Wellheads, NV OBS1 Wellhead | Pressure | |
| Annular Pressure Monitoring | Continuous | NV_INJ1and NV_INJ2 Wellheads, NV_OBS1 Wellhead* | Pressure, temperature, annular fluid volume | |
| *No continuous annular fluid volume monitoring | | | | |

Table 10: Internal mechanical integrity monitoring details

An APT will be performed after the initial well completion. It is noted that the annulus will be filled with a non-corrosive fluid with some additives.

6.1.1 Annulus Pressure Testing (40 CFR 146.89(a))

The APT will be performed to exhibit internal mechanical integrity any time a component of the internal seals, detailed above, are broken or altered. The test will be performed consistent with approved and accepted guidance and regulation CFR 146.89 (a). In addition, an APT will be performed following an emergency shut-in due to a high-high or low-low annulus alarm should the cause of the alarm not be easily correlated to a change in temperature.

The APT will then be performed by pressuring up the annulus after the well has reached thermal equilibrium. Once this has occurred, the annulus will be pressured up to 1500 psi. A calibrated digital gauge will be installed on the annulus, and the pressure will be monitored for a period no less than 60 minutes.

The following procedure will be followed for all APTs that will be run.

- 1. Ensure well is in thermal equilibrium. Thermal equilibrium will be assumed under the following circumstances:
 - a. Injection has not occurred for approximately 24 hours, or sufficient data indicates the wellbore temperature is static. The scenario constitutes a static APT.
 - b. Injection is occurring at a constant rate ($\pm 5\%$), often referred to as a dynamic APT.
- 2. Install calibrated digital gauge on the casing-tubing annulus. Note initial pressures.
- 3. Increase annulus pressure to 1500 psi.
 - a. Ensure to note the fluid level in the system prior to increasing the annulus pressure.
- 4. Disconnect annulus system and ensure the annulus is isolated.
- 5. Monitor the annulus and tubing pressure for a period of one-hour, taking readings every 10-minutes.
- 6. Once the test has concluded, reconnect the annulus system.
- 7. Blow the pressure down to the normal operating pressure.
- 8. Note the fluid level in the system.

6.1.2 Annulus Pressure Monitoring

The annular pressure will be continuously monitored throughout the operational period in conjunction with the annular pressure monitoring and control system to ensure internal mechanical integrity. Once injection operations commence, injection pressure, annular pressure, and annular fluid volumes will be monitored continuously in order to ensure that internal well integrity and proper annular pressure is maintained in both injection wells (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023).

If a change in the annular pressure or annular fluid volume indicates a change that was not a result of temperature or injection rate alteration, the cause of the change will be investigated (Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan, 2023), (Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan, 2023). Note that changes in the temperature of the injection stream can result in changes in the temperature of the annular space, leading to variations in annular pressure. Initial investigations would likely look at correlations between the temperature of the injection stream and the variations in annular pressure.

6.2 External Mechanical Integrity Testing (40 CFR 146.90 (e))

The project will conduct external MIT annually to meet the requirements of 146.89(c) and 146.90(e).

6.2.1 Testing Methodology and Frequency

External mechanical integrity refers to the absence of fluid movement through channels between the long casing string and the borehole or the intermediate casing string. Migration of fluids through this zone could result in contamination of USDWs; therefore, the external integrity of NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 will be confirmed throughout the injection phase of the project. External MIT activities will occur annually.

This project plans to use temperature monitoring to ensure external mechanical integrity. It is noted that the practice of running temperature logs to ensure external mechanical integrity is a generally accepted method used in Class I and II wells across multiple EPA regions. Radioactive tracer (RAT) logs can be run contingently to ensure external mechanical integrity, if required.

Table 11 shows the logs to be run to display external mechanical integrity, as well as the frequency with which they will be run and the depth range they will be run over.

| Test | Well | Depth Range (MD feet) | Schedule |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Temperature Las | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 | Surface to Well TD | Annually |
| Temperature Log | NV OBS1 | Surface to Well TD | Annually |
| Radioactive Tracer Log | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 | 500 feet above packer to Well TD | If required |

| Fable | 11: | External | mechanical | integrity | tests |
|-------|-----|----------|------------|-----------|-------|

It is important to note that while PNL is not planned to be a direct method of displaying external mechanical integrity, it can be used to identify accumulations of CO_2 adjacent to the wellbore in intervals above the injection zone.

6.2.1.1 Temperature Logging

Temperature logging is used to establish a temperature profile of the well and make year to year comparisons to determine if any unexpected variations are present. Multiple temperature logging runs are acquired during each event in order to capture the temperature decay over a six-hour period (Table 12).

Temperature logs will be run using the same tool assembly as is presented in the RAT logging Section (7.2.1.2). The well will be shut-in, and a baseline temperature log will be run as per the schedule in Table 12. This will allow for four temperature curves to be plotted for each year that temperature logs will be performed. Temperature logs will be acquired from the bottom up.

| Temperature Logging Run | Time Increment from Shut-in (hours) |
|-------------------------|--|
| Baseline | Shut-in |
| Second | 1 |
| Third | 3 |
| Fourth | 6 |

| Table 12: Temperature logging schedule for well integrity | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

6.2.1.2 Radioactive Tracer Logging

The primary purpose of RAT logging is to verify the absence of pathways along the wellbore for the upward migration of injection zone fluids. RAT logging will be performed in accordance with federal and state guidance if it is required.

RAT logs can be run while fluid is actively being injected into the well. As such, pressure, temperature, and rate data can be collected as part of the logging activities and reporting.

A RAT logging tool can be run on the same string as a gamma ray (GR), casing collar locator (CCL), and temperature tool. A summary of the general testing events is provided below.

- 1. Run baseline GR log across the zone of interest.
- 2. Run 5-minute statistical (stat) checks on the tool. These stat checks should be run in an area with a known low GR signature, and in an area with a known, higher GR signature. This check will help to ensure the tool is operating properly.
- 3. Run tracer chase sequence. A tracer will be ejected at least 300 feet above the packer, after which the tool will chase the tracer down the injection string and into the cased-hole interval by performing successive downward passes through the well. Multiple passes will be made over the perforated interval to ensure that all the tracer has exited the tubing and passed into the Mt. Simon Sandstone.
- 4. Run time-drive sequence. A tracer will be ejected at least 300 feet above the packer. After which the tool will be move to just above the packer. The tool will record the GR measurements at the set depth for a minimum of 30-minutes. During this time, the tracer will be observed passing the tool and never have any upward movement.
- 5. Run final GR log across the zone of interest.

This sequence of logs will allow for investigation into any potential upward pathways for fluid migration out of the injection interval present during injection.

6.2.2 Testing Details

The data from each annual logging event will be compared to the baseline log to determine if there are any inconsistencies between the logs. If inconsistencies appear, the cause of the deviations will be determined, and additional logs will be performed over the entire depth of the well to substantiate results of the MIT logging.

7. Pressure Fall-off Testing (40 CFR 146.90 (f))

The project will perform pressure fall-off tests (FOT) during the injection phase as described below to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 146.90(f).

Pressure fall-off testing involves the measurement and analysis of pressure data from a well after it has been shut-in. FOT tests provide the following information:

• Confirmation of reservoir properties such as flow capacity (KH), which is used to derive average permeability,

- Formation damage (skin) near the well bore, which can be used to diagnose the need for well remediation,
- Changes in injection zone performance over time, such as long-term pressure build-up in the injection zone.

Average injection zone pressure can be used to calibrate computational modeling predictions of injection zone pressure to verify that the operation is responding as modeled/predicted.

7.1 Testing Location and Frequency

Fall-off tests will be run every five years on NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2 during injection operations. An initial FOT will be run as part of the pre-operational testing to be performed on the well. The permanent downhole pressure gauges set above the packer will be used for the FOT. Surface monitoring equipment will be used to monitor injection data for the test.

7.2 Testing Details

To begin the FOT a constant rate injection period will be used for a minimum period of 24hours. The rate will be kept within $\pm 5\%$ during this period and will be at a rate that is representative of the injection rate for normal operations.

Following this constant rate injection period, injection will cease, and the well will be shut-in at the wellhead. Pressure will be monitored for a period to be no longer than the constant rate injection period. Following the shut-in period, the well will be restarted, and routine injection operations will resume.

Surface monitoring equipment will be used to record the injection data. This test can be performed as a function of routine injection operations and will prevent any additional shut-in of the well other than what is necessary for the test.

The downhole pressure data will be collected, and pressure transient analysis (PTA) will be performed on the data. Analysis of the test data will be completed using PTA techniques that are consistent with guidance for conducting pressure fall-off tests.

8. CO₂ Plume and Pressure Front Tracking (40 CFR 146.90 (g))

The project will employ direct and indirect methods to track the extent of the CO₂ plume and the presence or absence of elevated pressure during the operation period to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 146.90 (g).

8.1 Plume Monitoring Location and Frequency

Table 13 presents the methods that the project will use to monitor the position of the CO_2 plume; this includes the activities, locations, and frequencies the project will employ. The parameters to be analyzed as part of fluid sampling in the injection zone and associated analytical methods are presented in Table 9. Quality assurance procedures for these methods are presented in the QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

| Target Formation | Monitoring Activity | Monitoring Location(s) | Spatial Coverage | Frequency | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Direct Plume Monitoring | | | | | | | | |
| Mt. Simon Sandstone | Fluid Sampling | NV_OBS1 | Injection zone TBD | Annually | | | | |
| | PNL | NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2 NV OBS1 | ACZ monitoring interval to TD | Annually Annually | | | | |
| | Downhole Pressure and Temperature | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 NV_OBS1 | 5,550 feet (above packer) Injection zone TBD | Continuous | | | | |
| Indirect Plume Monitoring | | | | | | | | |
| Entire Interval | Time-lapse 3D Surface Seismic Data | Over projected CO ₂ plume | Area sufficient to image modeled CO ₂ plume extent | Every 5-10 years, as appropriate | | | | |
| Entire Interval | Passive Seismic Monitoring | Minimum of five (5) Stations with expansion | Events within the pressure front | Continuous | | | | |

| Table 13: CO2 p | olume monitoring | activities |
|-----------------|------------------|------------|
|-----------------|------------------|------------|

Fluid samples will be obtained for analysis from the Mt. Simon Sandstone during the initial well completion and pre-operational testing program (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023). The final sampling interval in the Mt. Simon Sandstone in NV_OBS1 will be determined after the well has been drilled and the well logs have been analyzed. The CO₂ plume is expected to intersect NV_OBS1 approximately three to five years after injection commences. Once free phase CO₂ breaks through at the NV_OBS1 sampling interval, the project will stop taking fluid samples from the injection zone.

Baseline PNL logs will be acquired in NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, NV_OBS1, NV_ACZ1, and NV_ACZ2 prior to the start of injection operations. Once injection starts, PNL logs will be acquired in NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 once each year. PNL logs may be acquired in the ACZ wells as a contingency monitoring action.

A baseline 3D surface seismic survey will be acquired prior to the start of injection operations. Subsequent time-lapse 3D surface seismic surveys will be acquired every five to ten years after injection operations commence.

At this time, no continuous CO₂ plume monitoring has been planned for the project. The only monitoring technique that will take phased or adaptive monitoring approach is the passive seismic monitoring where it is expected that the monitoring array will increase in size over the operational life of the project. However, if during the reassessment of the AoR during the injection phase of the project, the AoR is shown to have grown, the Testing and Monitoring Plan will be reassessed (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

8.2 Plume Monitoring Details

As CO₂ is injected into the injection zone, the geochemistry of the fluids in the formation are expected to change. Geochemical modeling will be used to predict the geochemical changes to the Lower Mt. Simon Sandstone and Arkose Zone fluids once data from the pre-operational testing program has been collected (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023).

The results of the geochemical will be delivered in the form of lab reports. Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of this document detail the sampling procedures and the analytical and field parameters that will be used for the fluid sampling. Details on the methods, containers, and preparation methods for the fluid sampling can be found in the QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023). The project will stop taking fluid samples from the Mt. Simon Sandstone once free phase CO₂ is encountered at the sampling ports.

The PNL logs will be received as LAS files, and the interpreted products that can be imported into the static model. This logging data will be used to monitor the distribution and saturation of CO₂ adjacent to the wellbores in NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1. The logs will be acquired through the Ironton-Galesville formations as well to confirm the absence of CO₂ accumulations along the wellbore above the confining zone in the ACZ monitoring zone. Technical details on the logging tools can be found in the QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

Surface seismic data is delivered in a variety of formats including acquisition and processing reports and SEG-Y data files. The data will be processed using industry standard workflows for noise attenuation, demultiple, pre-stack migration, and time-lapse analysis. For time-lapse analysis, an assessment will be provided on the differences between the baseline and time-lapse surveys. The injection of CO_2 and expansion of the plume is expected to change the acoustic impedance and travel times of the seismic waves through the injection zone, and these changes will be used to track CO_2 plume development over time. The time-lapse surface seismic data will also be examined for changes that may suggest that CO_2 has migrated past the confining zone and into the overlying formation(s).

The results of the geochemical analyses, PNL, and time-lapse 3D surface seismic data will be integrated to evaluate the CO₂ plume development over time. The logging and time-lapse 3D surface seismic data can be incorporated into the static model for comparison to the computational modeling predictions at different points in time. The monitoring data can be used to constrain the computational modeling results and produce more accurate plume predictions over the course of the project. The logging data will be used to calibrate the computational modeling on a yearly basis and provide information on the vertical and horizontal plume development. It will also provide more detailed and direct measurement of CO₂ saturations than indirect seismic methods. The time-lapse 3D surface seismic data will be used to update the models every five to ten years. If the CO₂ plume monitoring data diverges significantly from the modelled plume predictions, it may result in a reassessment of the AoR (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

8.3 Pressure Front Monitoring Location and Frequency

Table 14 presents the methods that the project will use to monitor the position of the pressure front; this includes the activities, locations, and frequencies that the project will employ. Quality assurance procedures for these methods have been presented in the QASP (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

| Target Formation | Monitoring Activity | Monitoring Location(s) | Spatial Coverage | Frequency | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|
| Direct Pressure-Front Monitoring | | | | | | | |
| Mt. Simon Sandstone | Pressure Monitoring | NV INJ1, NV INJ2 | Sensitive, Confidential, or Provileged Information | Continuous | | | |
| | | NV OBS1 | Injection zone TBL | | | | |
| Indirect Pressure-Front Monitoring | | | | | | | |
| Eau Claire Formation | Passive seismic | Minimum of five (5) | Events within the | Continuous | | | |
| Mt. Simon Sandstone | monitoring | Stations | pressure front | Committous | | | |

The pressure sensors will be programmed to measure and record pressure and temperature readings every 10 sec. The pressure sensors in the injection wells will be set above the packers

In NV_OBS1, the pressure sensor will be set in the injection zone with the final depth to be determined once the well logs have been analyzed (Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program, 2023). The project will start recording pressures in the injection zone in the injection wells and the deep observation well in the quarter before injection operations commence.

Induced seismicity data will also be recorded on a continuous basis. This data will be sent to a cloud-based service via a cellular connection for data processing and archive. Baseline induced seismicity data will be acquired for four to six months prior to the start in injection operations. As the pressure front expands over time, the array may be expanded to better locate seismic events that occur at a greater distance from the injection wells. The AoR will be reassessed on a regular basis through the injection phase of the project, if the AoR increases in area then the Testing and Monitoring Plan will be reassessed (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

8.4 Pressure Front Monitoring Details

Sensors will be placed on the tubing string of NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, NV_OBS1, NV_ACZ1, and NV_ACZ2 to monitor the pressures. Temperature will also be collected in NV_INJ1 and NV_INJ2. The gauges will collect and transmit data to surface continuously. Refer to the QASP for technical information on the potential pressure gauges (Attachment 11: QASP, 2023).

The pressure data and temperature data for NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 will be stored as time-stamped data. It is expected that the pressure in the injection zone will begin to increase when injection operations begin. This data will be used to calibrate the computational modeling results over the injection and PISC phases of the project. Calibrating the computational model with pressure data from the injection zone will lead to more accurate predictions of pressure

front behavior over time. The AoR and Corrective Action Plan further discusses how the pressure and temperature data will be used to calibrate the computational modeling, and how it might be used to trigger an early reassessment of the AoR (Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan, 2023).

Initially, the passive seismic monitoring array will consist of five monitoring stations with one station located close to the injection wells, and the other four stations distributed around the AoR in proximity to the injection wells. The objective of the array will be to monitor induced seismic events within the pressure front with a magnitude of completeness (Mc) of 1.5. As the pressure front expands over time, the array number and aerial distribution of the stations may be increased to better locate induced seismic events that occur at a greater distance from the injection wells. The physical locations of these stations will be optimized through a design process once the data from NV_INJ1, NV_INJ2, and NV_OBS1 have been analyzed. The local array will be complemented with the addition of any relevant regional seismometer stations that are available through the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS) to aid in positioning events from outside the AoR.

Each standalone station will likely consist of a seismometer, digitizer, solar with battery backup, and a cell modem/antenna. Triggered data will be processed to provide magnitude and location error ellipsoids on a real-time basis and results will be reviewed by a data processor and event data can be received by the project daily. Automatic notifications will be sent for events over a certain size. The event locations will be incorporated into the static model.

9. References

- Atlantic Analytical Laboratory. (2022). Retrieved from https://atlanticanalytical.com/
- (2023). Attachment 1: Project Narrative. Class VI Permit Application Project Narrative; Vervain.
- (2023). *Attachment 10: ERRP*. Class VI Permit Application Emergency And Remedial Response Plan; Vervain.
- (2023). *Attachment 11: QASP*. Class VI Permit Application Quality Assurance and Surveillence Plan; Vervain.
- (2023). Attachment 2: AoR and Corrective Action Plan. Class VI Permit Application Area Of Review And Corrective Action Plan; Vervain.
- (2023). Attachment 5: Pre-Op Testing Program. Class VI Permit Application Pre-Operational Formation Testing Program; Vervain.
- (2023). Attachment 6A: NV_INJ1 Well Operations Plan. Class VI Permit Application Injection Well #1 Operations Plan; Vervain.
- (2023). Attachment 6B: NV_INJ2 Well Operations Plan. Class VI Permit Application Injection Well #2 Operations Plan; Vervain.
- Bauer, R. A., Carney, M., & Finley, R. J. (2016). Overview of microseismic response to CO2 injection into the Mt. Simon saline reservoir at the Illinois Basin -- Decatur Project. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 378-388.
- Bauer, R., R, W., Greenberg, S., & Whittaker, S. (2019). Illinois Basin Decatur Project . *Geophysics and Geosequestration*, 339-369.
- Cosasco. (2022). Retrieved from https://www.cosasco.com/
- Gollakota, S., & McDonald, S. (2014). Commerical -Scale CCS Project in Decatur, Illinois --Construction Status and Operational Plans for Demonstration. *12th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies* (pp. 5986-5993). Austin, Texas: Energy Procedia.