

July 27, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5_EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Report

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 1972

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting these data validation reports for one hundred and two air samples, including eleven field blanks and eight field duplicate pairs collected at the E Palestine Site. The samples were collected on May 29-30, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates (for only n-Butyl acrylate or both 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate) by Eurofins Analytics, LLC at their Ashland, VA laboratory. The final laboratory data package was received on June 13, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the *Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on the findings of this validation effort.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely, Tom

Hahne

Digitally signed by Tom Hahne Date: 2023.07.27 14:07:00 -05'00'

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra ArroyoOrtiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B151-021, B151-022, B152-008 AND B152-009

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	1972a	TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B151-021	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	nalyses n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix Twenty nine air samples, including two fiel		d blanks and two field du	plicate pairs
Collection Date(s)	05/29/2023		
Field Dumlingto Dains	EPD-PB-CM-09-052923-1/EPD-PB-CM-099-052923-1		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-11-052923-1/EPD-PB-CM-111-052923-1		
Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-MB-01-052923-1 & EPD-PB-FB-01-052923-1			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020)

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blanks were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg , milligram per cubic meter (mg/m^3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The extraction date information in the EDD was blank for all field samples. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
	A unique sample was not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to the match the laboratory report.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis data field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The chain of custody was amended to add a badge number for EPD-PB-FB-01-052923-1.

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	
Y	None.

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	
Y	None.

Sample dilutions:

Witl Crite	nin eria	Exceedance/Notes
N/	Λ	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Hyceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.



Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B151-021

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	MDL	RL Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-06-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-07-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-08-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-09-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-099-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-10-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-11-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-111-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-12-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-CM-14-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-DW-G-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-FB-01-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-OD-02-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-OD-03-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-OD-04-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0093	LU
EPD-PB-OD-05-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0093	LU
EPD-PB-OD-06-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0093	LU
EPD-PB-OD-07-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-UW-C-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-WA-01-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-WA-02-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0091	LU
EPD-PB-WA-03-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-04-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-05-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-06-052923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.0093	LU

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201			
Document Tracking No.	1972b		TO/TOLIN NO.				
Laboratory Report No.	B151-022		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA			
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M						
Samples and Matrix	Thirty two air samples, including four field blanks and three field duplicate pairs						
Collection Date(s)	05/29/2023						
	EPD-PB-OD-01-052923-2/EPD-PB-OD-01	11-	052923-2				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-06-052923-2/EPD-PB-OD-066-052923-2						
	EPD-PB-WA-03-0529-2/EPD-PB-WA-033-052923-2						
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-MB-02-052923-2, EPD-PB-MB-0)3-(052923-2, EPD-PB-FB-	02-052923-2 & EPD-PB-FB-03-052923-2			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blanks were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg , milligram per cubic meter (mg/m^3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	A unique sample was not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to the match the laboratory report.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The extraction date information in the EDD was blank for all field samples. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD. The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis data field. The
	analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Η ΥΡΟΔΙΙΘΝΙΚΟ
Y	None.

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs	:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	DACCUARCE/140CS
NA	
Laborator	w dunlicates.
	y duplicates:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Field dupl	icates:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.
LCSs/LCS	SDs:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.
Sample di	lutions:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Excedimed/Notes
NA	
Re-extrac	tion and reanalysis:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Excedimed/Notes



NA

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B151-022

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	MDL RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U			0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-DW-G-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-011-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-066-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-UW-C-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-033-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	rylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm		0.009	1 U		
EPD-PB-WA-06-052923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		0.0091 ppm	0.009	1 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1972c		10/10LIN No.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B152-008		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-Po				rating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029	
Samples and Matrix	les and Matrix Nine air samples, including one field blank				
Collection Date(s)	05/30/2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	NA				
Field QC Blanks	lanks EPD-ST-FB-053023-1				

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), Tetra Tech's *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).)

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blanks were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg , milligram per cubic meter (mg/m^3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The laboratory report included the following note: "The method reference, Rohm & Haas IH9805 is referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029." The method is referred to by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029."
	The extraction date information in the EDD was blank for all field samples. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.

A unique sample was not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are
uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to the
match the laboratory report.

Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis data field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Method blanks:

method bi	The state of the s				
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
Y	None.				

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
T - b 4	
	y duplicates:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	
NA	
Field dupl	icates:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	DACCUMCC/1(OCC)
NA	
LCSs/LCS	SDs:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.
Sample di	lutions:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Excediance/Notes
NA	
Re-extrac	tion and reanalysis:
Within	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes



NA

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Ī	Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.





E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B152-008

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	MDL	RL Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U		0.014 ppm	0.01	4 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U		0.009 ppm	0.00	9 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U		0.014 ppm	0.01	4 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U		0.009 ppm	0.009	9 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		0.015 ppm	0.01	5 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U		0.01 ppm	0.0	1 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		0.015 ppm	0.01	5 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U		0.01 ppm	0.0	1 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U		0.014 ppm	0.01	4 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U		0.009 ppm	0.00	9 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		0.015 ppm	0.01	5 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U		0.01 ppm	0.0	1 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U		0.014 ppm	0.01	4 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U		0.009 ppm	0.009	9 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		0.015 ppm	0.01	5 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U		0.01 ppm	0.0	1 U
EPD-ST-FB-053023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	U		2.8 ug	2.5	8 U
EPD-ST-FB-053023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	U		1.3 ug	1.:	3 U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER			TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201			
Document Tracking No.	1972d		TO/TOLIN No.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201			
Laboratory Report No.	B152-009		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA			
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M						
Samples and Matrix	Thirty two air samples, including four field blanks and three field duplicate pairs						
Collection Date(s) 05/29/2023							
	EPD-PB-WA-02-053023-2/EPD-PB-WA-0)22	2-053023-2				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-08-053023-2/EPD-PB-CM-088-053023-2						
	EPD-PB-WA-05-053023-2/EPD-PB-WA-055-053023-2						
Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-MB-02-053023-2, EPD-PB-MB-03-053023-2, EPD-PB-FB-02-053023-2, & EPD-PB-FB-03-053023							

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), Tetra Tech's *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blanks were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg , milligram per cubic meter (mg/m^3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	A unique sample was not provided for LCSD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) in the EDD were manually revised to the match the laboratory report.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
V	The extraction date information in the EDD was blank for all field samples. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.
1	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis data field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
Y	The chain of custody was updated to correct the start and stop time for EPD-PB-FB-03-053023-2 to "11:10".				

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes			
Y	None.			

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs	:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	DACCUARCE/140CS
NA	
Laborator	w dunlicates.
	y duplicates:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Field dupl	icates:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.
LCSs/LCS	SDs:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	None.
Sample di	lutions:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Excedimed/Notes
NA	
Re-extrac	tion and reanalysis:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Excecuance/Notes



NA

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.					
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biase high.					
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.					
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.					
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.					
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).					
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.					



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B152-009

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	MDL RL Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-088-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-H-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2 ug	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2 ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2 ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2 ug	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-D-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-022-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-055-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-053023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091 ppm	0.0091 U