

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)****Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name: Intermet Archer Creek Foundry
Facility Address: 1132 Mount Athos Road, Lynchburg, Virginia
Facility EPA ID #: VAD00820506

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

- ☒ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
- ☐ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
- ☐ if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND**Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be “contaminated”¹ above appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	<u>Rationale / Key Contaminants</u>
Groundwater		x		
Air (indoors) ²		x		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	x			No evidence of contamination but more data required.
Surface Water		x		
Sediment		x		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		x		
Air (outdoors)		x		

☐ If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter “YE,” status code after providing or citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these “levels” are not exceeded.

☒ If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each “contaminated” medium, citing appropriate “levels” (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

☐ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The Archer Creek Foundry (ACF) facility manufactured metal parts for automobiles, heavy trucks, small internal combustion engines, computers, industrial tools, and household appliances. Manufacturing at the facility began in 1973. Manufacturing activities included mainly melting and casting of metal parts, with some limited machining and painting. The foundry was demolished beginning in September, 2010, with demolition complete by the end of May, 2011. Numerous hazardous chemicals, non-hazardous chemicals, and petroleum products were historically used during the manufacturing process. The raw chemicals and petroleum products were stored in small aboveground storage tanks (ASTs), 55-gallon drums, and carboys. The hazardous and non-hazardous wastes generated at the facility were stored in ASTs, 55-gallon drums, carboys, and small containers pending disposal/treatment.

June 28, 1989, PRC Environmental Management Inc. completed the Final RCRA Facility Assessment (FA) for the ACF. The FA concluded that the ACF facility likely sustained releases of waste materials including baghouse dust to the atmosphere and identified five possible sources of releases of hazardous waste to surface water including spills, deposition of dust into the James River, wastewater discharges, discharges from sedimentation areas, and groundwater discharge. The facility maintained a number of permits with Virginia’s Dept. of Environmental Quality, or its predecessor, including surface water discharge, landfill, and pollution complaints in the tank program. While in existence the permits were followed and monitoring data was collected. There is no documented historical or ongoing soil or groundwater contamination, however given the historical use of the property, surficial soil contamination of heavy metals is possible. VDEQ’s Final Remedy for the site consists of controls restricting access and qualifiers requiring soil sampling prior to development.

Ref: Final RCRA Site Visit Report, Internet Archer Creek Foundry, February 23, 2007, ICOR Limited.
Statement of Basis, Internet Archer Creek Foundry, Virginia Dept. of Env. Quality, August 2016.

Footnotes:

¹ “Contamination” and “contaminated” describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based “levels” (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

3. Are there **complete pathways** between “contamination” and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

<u>“Contaminated” Media</u>	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not “contaminated” as identified in #2 above.
2. enter “yes” or “no” for potential “completeness” under each “Contaminated” Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (“___”). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- ☒ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter “YE” status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).
- ☐ If yes (pathways are complete for any “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
- ☐ If unknown (for any “Contaminated” Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The former foundry is currently vacant, consisting of large concrete slabs delineating the locations of former structures, a few derelict structures, and elements of the wastewater treatment system. The northwest landfill is largely overgrown and inaccessible as is much of the site. The closed landfill (SWMU 3) rises above the site abutting the property boundary to the northwest and is enclosed by a security fence. There are no occupants, no current activities, and the property is fenced. There are no current exposures to any media for any receptor.

Ref: Final RCRA Site Visit Report, Internet Archer Creek Foundry, February 23, 2007, ICOR Limited.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be **“significant”**⁴ (i.e., potentially “unacceptable” because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable “levels” (used to identify the “contamination”); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable “levels”) could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
- ☐ If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “YE” status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”
- ☐ If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to “contamination” (identified in #3) are not expected to be “significant.”
- ☐ If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter “IN” status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are “significant” (i.e., potentially “unacceptable”) consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

5. Can the “significant” **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?
- ☐ If yes (all “significant” exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter “YE” after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all “significant” exposures to “contamination” are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
- ☐ If no - (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be “unacceptable”)- continue and enter “NO” status code after providing a description of each potentially “unacceptable” exposure.
- ☐ If unknown (for any potentially “unacceptable” exposure) - continue and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

**Current Human Exposures Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)**

6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI (event code CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

- ☒ YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the _____ facility, EPA ID # _____, located at _____ under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
- ☐ NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
- ☐ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by /s/
Erich Weissbart
Remedial Project Mgr.

Date 10/6/2016



Supervisor

Date 10/13/2016

Luis A. Pizarro, Associate Director
Land and Chemicals Division
US EPA Region 3

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III
Waste & Chemicals Management Division
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Remediation Programs
629 East Main Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Contact telephone numbers and e-mail

(name) Erich Weissbart
(phone #) (410) 305-2779
(e-mail) weissbart.erich@epa.gov

