

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5\_EastPalestine@epa.gov

August 9, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

#### Subject: Data Validation Report E Palestine Site - ER EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005 Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 Document Tracking No. 1971

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for fifty-eight air samples (including four field duplicate samples and five field blanks) collected at the E Palestine ER. The samples were collected between May 27 and June 1, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC in their Ashland, Virginia laboratory. The final laboratory data package was received on June 28, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on the findings of this validation effort.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please feel free to contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

Sandy Digitally signed by Sandy Anagnostopoulos Anagnostopoulos 14:19:46-05'00'

**Environmental Chemist** 

Enclosure

 cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager Mayra ArroyoOrtiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator TO-TOLIN File

# ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NOS. B151-018, B151-019, B156-107, AND B156-108

Site Name	te Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	1971a			08HE0320F0032/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B151-018		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029			
Samples and Matrix	x Ten air samples including one field blank and one duplicate sample			
Collection Date(s)	5/27/2023			
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052723-2/ EPD-ST-8H-V	٧A	-11-052723-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-052723-2			

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

#### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The laboratory report included the following note: "The method reference, Rohm & Haas IH9805 is referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029" and "Rohm & Haas IH9805" is listed as the method on the EDD and qualified data table.



### Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	A unique sample was not provided for LCSD in the laboratory EDD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) of the validated EDD was manually revised to match the laboratory report.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.

### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP sample preparation holding time limit was exceeded. The samples were collected on May 27 <sup>th</sup> but samples were prepared on June 5 <sup>th</sup> , which is two days past the 7-day holding time. The non-detect sample results were qualified as estimated, possibly biased low (flagged UJ).

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### MS/MSDs :

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### Laboratory duplicates :

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The recoveries of 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the QC limits. All sample results were nondetect, therefore, no qualification was applied.

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



# E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B151-018

Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qualifier	MDL	RL	Units	VAL Result	VAL Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	D.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	0.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	IJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	D.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	IJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	0.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U		(	0.014 ppm	0.014	I UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	0.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	0.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	U		(	0.016 ppm	0.016	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U		(	0.011 ppm	0.011	IJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-11-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U		(	0.015 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-11-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-FB-052723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	U			2.8 ug	2.8	B UI
EPD-ST-FB-052723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	U			1.3 ug	1.3	B UJ

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	1971b	TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B151-019	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA		
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank				
Collection Date(s)	5/28/2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	None				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-052823-1				

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

#### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
v	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	A unique sample was not provided for LCSD in the laboratory EDD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) of the validated EDD was manually revised to match the laboratory report.



### Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The laboratory report included the following note: "The method reference, Rohm & Haas IH9805 is referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029" and "Rohm & Haas IH9805" is listed as the method on the EDD and qualified data table.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.

### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP sample preparation holding time limit was exceeded. The samples were collected on May 28 <sup>th</sup> but samples were prepared on June 5 <sup>th</sup> , which is one day past the 7-day holding time. The non-detect sample results were qualified as estimated, possibly biased low (flagged UJ).

### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
MS/MSDs:	
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The recoveries of 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the QC limits. The sample results were nondetect, therefore, no qualification was applied.

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes						
NA							



### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes						
NA							

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.					

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



# ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B151-019

Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qualifier	MDL	RL	Units	VAL Result	VAL Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	5 U			0.016 ppm	0.016	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	. UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	l U			0.014 ppm	0.014	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	ΙU			0.014 ppm	0.014	IJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	) U			0.009 ppm	0.009	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	5 U			0.016 ppm	0.016	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U			0.011 ppm	0.011	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	ΙU			0.014 ppm	0.014	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	. UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	l U			0.014 ppm	0.014	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	) U			0.009 ppm	0.009	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	5 U			0.015 ppm	0.015	i UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U			0.01 ppm	0.01	UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	5 U			0.016 ppm	0.016	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U			0.011 ppm	0.011	UJ
EPD-ST-FB-052823-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	3 U			2.8 ug	2.8	S UJ
EPD-ST-FB-052823-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	8 U			1.3 ug	1.3	UJ

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201				
Document Tracking No.	1971c		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201				
Laboratory Report No.	B156-107		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA				
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029							
Samples and Matrix Ten air samples including one field blank and one duplicate sample								
Collection Date(s)	6/01/2023							
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060123-2/EPD-ST-8H-W	/A-	66-060123-2					
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-060123-2							

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

#### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The laboratory report included the following note: "The method reference, Rohm & Haas IH9805 is referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029" and "Rohm & Haas IH9805" is listed on the EDD and qualified data table as the Method.



### Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
v	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP sample preparation holding time limit was exceeded. The samples were collected on June 1 <sup>st</sup> but samples were prepared on June 9 <sup>th</sup> , which is one day past the 7-day holding time. The non-detect sample results were qualified as estimated, possibly biased low (flagged UJ).

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

#### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
MS/MSDs:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/ Notes
NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



# Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



### ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B156-107

Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result Lab Qualifier	MDL	RL	Units	VAL Result	VAL Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l Uj
EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U		0.0	)16 ppm	0.016	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l Uj
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U		0.0	)14 ppm	0.014	1 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-66-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U		0.0	)15 ppm	0.015	5 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-66-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U		0	.01 ppm	0.01	l UJ
EPD-ST-FB-060123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U			2.8 ug	2.8	3 UJ
EPD-ST-FB-060123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U			1.3 ug	1.3	B UJ

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	1971d	TO/TOLIN NO.	08HEUS20F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B156-108	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC, Ashland VA		
Analyses	n-Butyl Acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M (Modified GC/FID)				
Samples and Matrix	Twenty-nine air samples including two field blanks and two duplicate samples				
Collection Date(s)	6/01/2023				
Field Durlisste Daire	EPD-PB-CM-09-090123-1/EPD-PB-CM-099-060123-1				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-10-060123-1/EPD-PB-CM-100-060123-1				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-MB-01-060123, EPD-PB-FB-01-060123-1				

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

#### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).



### Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	A unique sample ID was not provided for the LCSD in the laboratory EDD. Unique IDs are needed to keep from overwriting QC sample IDs when EDDs are uploaded to the client database. The LCSD ID (in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields) of the validated EDD was manually revised to match the laboratory report.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The extraction date information in the EDD did not match the laboratory report or was blank. The project management team confirmed that this information was not needed in the EDD; therefore, all extraction date information except the field header was deleted from the EDD.

### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes						
NA							

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [specify]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.						
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.						
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.						
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.						
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.						
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).						
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.						



### E PALESTINE ER - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B156-108

Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qual	MDL	RL	Units	VAL Result	VAL Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-06-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-07-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-08-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-09-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-099-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-100-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-10-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-11-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-12-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-CM-14-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-DW-H-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-FB-01-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	2 U		2	2 ug	ź	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	2 U		2	2 ug	ź	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-02-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-03-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-04-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-05-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-06-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-OD-07-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-UW-D-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-01-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-02-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	L ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-03-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U		0.0091	ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-04-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U		0.0091		0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-05-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	ppm	0.0092	LU
EPD-PB-WA-06-060123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U		0.0091	ppm	0.0092	LU